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REMARKS:
Written Public Comment on Proposed July 16, 2011 Assembly Map (Northern California) from BAYMEC on behalf of the LGBT community in San Jose, California.
July 17, 2011

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS & FACSIMILE (916) 651-5711

Citizens Redistricting Commission
901 P Street, Suite 154-A
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: San Jose Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual & Transgender (LGBT)
Community Written Public Comment on the Proposed July 16,
2011 Assembly Districts Map for Northern California.

Dear Commissioners:

The Bay Area Municipal Elections Committee (BAYMEC) would
like to thank the Citizens Redistricting Commission for recognizing the
Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) community as a
“Community of Interest”. On behalf of the LGBT community in San Jose,
BAYMEC respectfully requests a small shift in the proposed SANJO
Assembly District to protect the LGBT Community of Interest.

ONE SIMPLE ADJUSTMENT KEEPS THE CORE SAN JOSE
LGBT COMMUNITY WHOLE

We have reviewed the Commission’s Proposed California
Assembly District Map for Northern California, published July 16, 2011
on your website at http://webdrawthelines.ca.gov/maps-assembly-working-
draft.html (“July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map”), and with one simple
shift the entire Core San Jose LGBT (“Core SJ-LGBT”) community will
be one and whole.

In our last letter to the Commission, dated June 27, 2011, presented
at the public hearing in San Jose, BAYMEC identified the Core SJ-LGBT
community, which is attached again to this letter as Exhibit A. (This Core
SJ-LGBT community was identified through Equality California’s
database built with Redistricting Partners, utilizing methodology reviewed
by the Williams Institute and university researchers from USC and CSU.)

In the July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map, the southern portion of
the Core SJ-LGBT area is split and separated between two Assembly
Districts. If the Commission looks at Diagram 1 on the next page, just
below the northwestern border of the proposed SANJO Assembly District,
it will observe that the blue LGBT community in the Newhall-Sherwood
community and south of Park Avenue sit uncomfortably in the proposed
SILIV Assembly District, away from the rest of the blue LGBT
community in the proposed SANJO Assembly District.
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Proposal 1

To correct this, BAYMEC respectfully requests that the northwestern boundary of the SANJO Assembly District, as proposed in the July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map, shift southwest to include the major corner of W. San Carlos Street and N. Bascom Avenue. (See Diagram 1A below.)

Proposal 2

In the alternative, BAYMEC proposes a simpler line change for the proposed SANJO Assembly District. The southwestern boundary for the proposed SANJO Assembly District could follow W. San Carlos Street / Stevens Creek Blvd to the Valley Fair Shopping Center. (See Diagram 1B below.)
THERE EXIST SEVERAL GOOD REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED SHIFT

In addition to the proposed shift being good for the LGBT community, there exist several other good reasons for the shift. They are as follows:

1. The shift eliminates the triangular island anomaly at the northeastern border of the proposed ST/LV Assembly District. This area is centered in the Newhall-Sherwood neighborhood. (See Diagram 2.)

   ![Diagram 2]

2. Major highways and city intersections are observed to meet local expectations of where political boundaries would naturally occur. Highway 880 is, by its very nature, an expected boundary, and West San Carlos Street and N. Bascom Avenue are major feeder streets to two freeway entrances to Highway 880. All local residents that use W. San Carlos or N. Bascom
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SUMMARY

The Core SJ-LGBT Area is so close to being one and whole, and with the Commission’s help to shift the proposed SANJO Assembly District southwest to the corner of W. San Carlos Street & N. Bascom Avenue, or to the Valley Fair Shopping Center (as proposed in Diagram 1A and Diagram 1B respectively), the Core SJ-LGBT Area will be one and whole. Not only does BAYMEC’s requested shift empower San Jose’s Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender community, but it eliminates the triangle island anomaly in the northeastern corner of the proposed SILIV Assembly District, uses natural highway and large street boundaries, and keeps the historic “Rosegarden” neighborhood together.

Thank you for all of the work you have done thus far, and for your kind consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

[Signature]
Thinh Ngo, President
BAYMEC

Attachment

ABOUT BAYMEC

BAYMEC is a four-county lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered (LGBT) non-partisan group that has been advocating for the civil rights of LGBT people since 1984. It is the only political action committee (PAC) dedicated to this purpose in the counties of San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, and Monterey. BAYMEC is governed by a Board of Directors and is supported solely through private contributions. The organization's purpose is to lobby and fight for LGBT civil rights. For over 27 years, BAYMEC has raised its concerns with countless politicians; worked to elect LGBT people and supportive allies to political offices; lobbied Sacramento and the state on AIDS and LGBT civil rights; and worked with police, fire department, governmental, organizational, and a variety of community groups to further equality for LGBTs. BAYMEC provides an experienced, broad-based, and coherent political voice for the LGBT community.
My name is Maria Elma Arredondo. I am a long time resident of California, a resident of East San Jose, a registered voter and an active member of my community.

I want to express my dissatisfaction and dismay with the San Jose Assembly and Senate district maps that were released by the Commission on June 7th and your recent "visualizations" that discriminate against our Latino community. These maps represent a dilution of the critical political leadership of East San Jose. Our community has historically been disenfranchised, and only recently have we experienced success in electing representatives that truly represent our community.

Your actions to divide our community into three Assembly and Senate districts will completely dilute our voice at the state level and divide our community of interest.

I would like to recommend to the Commission that they maintain the 23rd Assembly District as is or with only minor adjustments. The district is reasonably compact. I would also urge that the 28th Assembly District be maintained as it is also reasonably compact. The last decade we have elected Assembly Members in these districts that have served our community very well and given us important leadership at the state level.

I would then recommend that the 23rd and 28th Assembly Districts should be nested together to form a Senate District that combines communities of interest in Santa Clara, Monterey, and San Benito Counties. I recommend this because there are common similarities in these districts that form an effective community of interest. The income and poverty levels are similar, the educational needs are similar, there are cultural similarities, and the employment needs are similar. There is also a transportation corridor that runs through the center of these two districts.

In closing, I would like to remind you that 90 percent of state population growth since 2000 was from Latinos, yet the number of districts where a Latino has a good chance of being elected remains the same or may even go down. I urge the Commission to please fix this before the final maps are approved on August 15. You must also correct the East San Jose split in the final preparation of maps. As the San Jose Mercury News points out "East San Jose is heavily Latino and its fragmentation clearly splits a 'community of interest' as defined by law'. Finally, We will not stand idly by and allow this commission to disenfranchise and intentionally discriminate against California Latinos.

Maria Elma Arredondo
San Jose, CA 95116
Subject: Public Comment - Proposed Northern California Assembly Maps, released July 16, 2011
From: "Dennis W. Chiu" <dennis@prodigylaw.com>
Date: Mon, 18 Jul 2011 00:41:01 -0700
To: <received via email address>

Dear Commissioners:

I am sending the attached written public comment via email, because your fax number is busy or non-functioning as of Monday morning, July 18, 2011 at 12:12 AM.

The attached letter is on behalf of BAYMEC, representing the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual & Transgender of San Jose, California regarding the proposed working maps for the Northern California Assembly Districts, released on your website July 16, 2011.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at my information below.

Very truly yours,

Dennis W. Chiu, Esq.
Attorney-At-law

PRODIGY LAW
WWW.PRODIGYLAW.COM
San Jose, California 95113

WWW.PRODIGYLAW.COM

This e-mail is intended for the recipient indicated above, and may contained confidential and/or privileged information. If you receive this message in error, please erase it immediately and contact the sender above. Thank you in advance for your efforts.

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure. IRS regulations generally provide that, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax penalties, a taxpayer may rely only on formal written advice meeting specific requirements. Any tax advice in this message (including any attachments) does not meet those requirements and is not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of avoiding federal tax penalties or promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any transaction or matter addressed herein.
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VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS & FACSIMILE

Citizens Redistricting Commission
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: San Jose Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual & Transgender (LGBT) Community Written Public Comment on the Proposed July 16, 2011 Assembly Districts Map for Northern California.

Dear Commissioners:

The Bay Area Municipal Elections Committee (BAYMEC) would like to thank the Citizens Redistricting Commission for recognizing the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) community as a “Community of Interest”. On behalf of the LGBT community in San Jose, BAYMEC respectfully requests a small shift in the proposed SANJO Assembly District to protect the LGBT Community of Interest.

ONE SIMPLE ADJUSTMENT KEEPS THE CORE SAN JOSE LGBT COMMUNITY WHOLE

We have reviewed the Commission’s Proposed California Assembly District Map for Northern California, published July 16, 2011 on your website at http://wdrawthelines.ca.gov/maps-assembly-working-draft.html (“July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map”), and with one simple shift the entire Core San Jose LGBT (“Core SJ-LGBT”) community will be one and whole.

In our last letter to the Commission, dated June 27, 2011, presented at the public hearing in San Jose, BAYMEC identified the Core SJ-LGBT community, which is attached again to this letter as Exhibit A. (This Core SJ-LGBT community was identified through Equality California’s database built with Redistricting Partners, utilizing methodology reviewed by the Williams Institute and university researchers from USC and CSU.)

In the July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map, the southern portion of the Core SJ-LGBT area is split and separated between two Assembly Districts. If the Commission looks at Diagram 1 on the next page, just below the northwestern border of the proposed SANJO Assembly District, it will observe that the blue LGBT community in the Newhall-Sherwood community and south of Park Avenue sit uncomfortably in the proposed SILIV Assembly District, away from the rest of the blue LGBT community in the proposed SANJO Assembly District.
Proposal 1

To correct this, BAYMEC respectfully requests that the northwestern boundary of the SANJO Assembly District, as proposed in the July 16, 2011 N. CA Assembly Map, shift southwest to include the major corner of W. San Carlos Street and N. Bascom Avenue. (See Diagram 1A below.)

Proposal 2

In the alternative, BAYMEC proposes a simpler line change for the proposed SANJO Assembly District. The southwestern boundary for the proposed SANJO Assembly District could follow W. San Carlos Street / Stevens Creek Blvd to the Valley Fair Shopping Center. (See Diagram 1B below.)
THERE EXIST SEVERAL GOOD REASONS FOR THE REQUESTED SHIFT

In addition to the proposed shift being good for the LGBT community, there exist several other good reasons for the shift. They are as follows:

1. The shift eliminates the triangular island anomaly at the northeastern border of the proposed SILIV Assembly District. This area is centered in the Newhall-Sherwood neighborhood. (See Diagram 2.)

2. Major highways and city intersections are observed to meet local expectations of where political boundaries would naturally occur. Highway 880 is, by its very nature, an expected boundary, and West San Carlos Street and N. Bascom Avenue are major feeder streets to two freeway entrances to Highway 880. All local residents that use W. San Carlos or N. Bascom to access Highway 880 would see BAYMEC’s proposed shift as common sense. (See Diagram 3.)

3. Finally, the historic San Jose “Rosegarden” neighborhood would be kept intact. The “Rosegarden” neighborhood surrounds the award-winning San Jose Municipal Rose Garden at the center of Diagram 3, which has existed as an entity since the early 1900s. The neighborhood extends from the Municipal Rose Garden northeast to The Alameda (CA 82) and south to W. San Carlos Street.
SUMMARY

The Core SJ-LGBT Area is so close to being one and whole, and with the Commission’s help to shift the proposed SANJO Assembly District southwest to the corner of W. San Carlos Street & N. Bascom Avenue, or to the Valley Fair Shopping Center (as proposed in Diagram 1A and Diagram 1B respectively), the Core SJ-LGBT Area will be one and whole. Not only does BAYMEC’s requested shift empower San Jose’s Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender community, but it eliminates the triangle island anomaly in the northeastern corner of the proposed SILIV Assembly District, uses natural highway and large street boundaries, and keeps the historic “Rosegarden” neighborhood together.

Thank you for all of the work you have done thus far, and for your kind consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

Thanh Ngo, President
BAYMEC

Attachment

ABOUT BAYMEC

BAYMEC is a four-county lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgendered (LGBT) non-partisan group that has been advocating for the civil rights of LGBT people since 1984. It is the only political action committee (PAC) dedicated to this purpose in the counties of San Mateo, Santa Clara, Santa Cruz, and Monterey. BAYMEC is governed by a Board of Directors and is supported solely through private contributions. The organization’s purpose is to lobby and fight for LGBT civil rights. For over 27 years, BAYMEC has raised its concerns with countless politicians; worked to elect LGBT people and supportive allies to political offices; lobbied Sacramento and the state on AIDS and LGBT civil rights; and worked with police, fire department, governmental, organizational, and a variety of community groups to further equality for LGBTs. BAYMEC provides an experienced, broad-based, and coherent political voice for the LGBT community.