

Subject: Redistricting Impacting District 8

From: Christina Garcia <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:48 PM

To: [REDACTED]

CC: [REDACTED]

Citizens Redistricting Commission

901 P Street, Suite 154-A

Sacramento, CA 95814

Gentlemen:

As a native of San Jose and the Eastside and Evergreen areas, I write to voice my concern over redistricting that proposes dividing critical residential areas such as The Villages, the Meadowlands and California Oaks from the rest of Evergreen.

There is a common thread involved with these areas in that they are inhabited by tax paying senior citizens on fixed incomes who have over a lifetime contributed to the growth and development of their neighborhoods. We take comfort in knowing that by contributing to municipal and state coffers, that we in turn will receive the benefit of city and state services and as such will have a voice in how services are to be deployed.

I respectfully submit that the Commission refrain from dividing these locations from the Evergreen district as it would severely limit resources for seniors who have a lifetime of paying taxes to promote the development of the community in which they have chosen to enjoy retirement years.

Respectfully,

Christina M. Garcia

[REDACTED]
San Jose, CA 95135

Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara

From: Voter <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 3:19 PM

To: [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara

From: Ramesh <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 2:23 AM

To: [REDACTED]

From: Ramesh <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I just had an opportunity to look at the proposed redistricting map on the web at:
<http://swdb.berkeley.edu/gis/gis2011>

It is beyond my comprehension why the neighborhoods of The Villages, Meadowlands, and California Oaks are included in the Milpitas district. We have next o nothing in common with the Milpitas, Fremont or North San Jose neighborhoods. Nor do we identify with the rural ranch country to the east and south. We consider ourselves part of the Evergreen community that includes the Estates, Silver Creek Country Club and the shopping area of White and Aborn.

This is where our political interests lie. this is the area where we attend church and where our children attend school. It contains the roads that we utilize daily - We have very little contact with the area shown in the Milpitas district. It seems to be a crude gerrymander to perhaps pull in some residences to equalize the population of the Milpitas District.

Please consider getting needed population from neighborhoods that have more in common with the North San Jose - Fremont/Milpitas, or the rural ranch country. It looks like there could be a chunk of territory from the Fremont, Union City area that could be added if it is necessary to gain required population. That area is more homogeneous to the Milpitas, North San Jose sections.

Please do not split us off from our area of common interests.

Thanx for listening,

ramesh.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

Subject: Redistricting of the Evergreen community
From: "Jim Barrett" <[REDACTED]>
Date: 7/24/2011 10:51 AM
To: <[REDACTED]>

Once again Evergreen is considered the long lost relative of San Jose.

We, as part of Evergreen and in particular, the neighborhoods involved with being redistricted to Milpitas, do not want to be part of the Milpitas district. We do not have anything in common with a district that is far to our north. We will again be the long lost relative of a community that could care less to a non-neighboring district. There is NO REASON to chop up communities but if they have to be, then AT LEAST keep neighboring communities of the same district together. Don't pull out a core of a neighborhood that is totally surrounded by one district and shift them northward.

It was better when we were part of the Morgan Hill District. At least we are neighbors to them.

Though this again will make no difference because politics is based solely on what is best for the politicians, at least we took the time to voice our negative opinion of the lack of thought that went with the redistricting.

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara
From: Johanna Castle <[REDACTED]>
Date: 7/24/2011 5:10 PM
To: [REDACTED]

From: Johanna Castle <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Redistricting Evergreen

Message Body:

I have lived in Evergreen for more than 30 years. I shop in Evergreen - buy food, drugs, gas and patronize stores, restaurants, etc. I do not want my tax dollars being used in any other district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

Subject: Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara
From: "James J. Castle" <[REDACTED]>
Date: 7/24/2011 5:18 PM

To: [REDACTED]

From: James J. Castle <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I object to the redistricting of district 8 as it has been stated in the newspaper, I live in the Evergreen area and have lived here the past 35 years and pay my taxes for the improvements for this area and I would like that to continue. Plus all my shopping and gasoline taxes occur in the Evergreen area.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml

Subject: CAPAFR's proposal for Silicon Valley

From: Chris Bowman <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 5:39 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Commissioners:

The CAPAFR proposal for Silicon Valley is similar to what CCAG proposed, in that they moved Santa Clara and Sunnyvale and the Golden Triangle into the Fremont, Milpitas, Berryessa district. The only difference is that we also added Mountain View.

It keeps more of San Jose together in one district.

Also, the interpretation of Mayor Lee's email letter was not correct. He was pleased that you went back to not crossing the Golden Gate, and didn't comment one way or another of how the City was divided.

Sincerely,

Christopher L .Bowman

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml

Subject: Support Commissioner Ancheta's Recommendation

From: Andres Quintero <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 5:47 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Esteemed Commissioners,

Please support commissioner Ancheta's recommendation for Congress in the SANJO district. East San Jose and Downtown can be split from Berryessa without adversely affecting Est San Jose and Downtown San Jose. East San Jose and Downtown would be well served by his recommendation which would keep East San Jose and Downtown San Jose together with populations to the south of Santa Clara County.

For the Assembly in the SANJO district I would request that you please put back the west san jose communities that are between highway 87 and bird ave to the south of highway 280. That community known as the Gardner Community has more in common with Downtown and East San Jose than the communities to the west. It looked like you cut the Gardner community out of the SANJOSE assembly district on Friday. The video was not clear so I am not entirely sure.

Andres Quintero
East San Jose Resident

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

Subject: SANJO District

From: Diego Barragan <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 6:06 PM

To: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Esteemed Commissioners,

Please support commissioner Ancheta's recommendation for Congress in the SANJO district. East San Jose and Downtown can be split from Berryessa without adversely affecting Est San Jose and Downtown San Jose. East San Jose and Downtown would be well served by his recommendation which would keep East San Jose and Downtown San Jose together with populations to the south of Santa Clara County.

For the Assembly in the SANJO district I would request that you please put back the west san jose communities that are between highway 87 and bird ave to the south of highway 280. That community known as the Gardner Community has more in common with Downtown and East San Jose than the communities to the west. It looked like you cut the Gardner community out of the SANJOSE assembly district on Friday. The video was not clear so I am not entirely sure.

Sincerely,

Diego Barragan
San Jose Resident

—Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml—

From: Chris Bowman <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 6:10 PM

To: [REDACTED]

Commissioner Ancheta:

I'd recommend you put part of Willow Glen into the West Valley district. The areas you're currently adding are blue collar worker areas.

Sincerely,

Christopher L .Bowman

Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml

Subject: Fw: San Jose Map

From: "Garcia, Edward" <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 6:32 PM

To: "[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

----- Original Message -----

From: Garcia, Edward

To: "[REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>

Sent: Sun Jul 24 18:18:40 2011

Subject: San Jose Map

Esteemed Commissioners, Please support commissioner Ancheta's recommendation for Congress in the SANJO district. East San Jose and Downtown can be split from Berryessa without adversely affecting Est San Jose and Downtown San Jose. East San Jose and Downtown would be well served by his recommendation which would keep East San Jose and Downtown San Jose together with populations to the south of Santa Clara County. For the Assembly in the SANJO district I would request that you please put back the west san jose communities that are between highway 87 and bird ave to the south of highway 280. That community known as the Gardner Community has more in common with Downtown and East San Jose than the communities to the west. It looked like you cut the Gardner community out of the SANJOSE assembly district on Friday. The video was not clear so I am not entirely sure.

Eddie Garcia

Latino Leadership Alliance

Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara.eml

Subject: REDISTRICT PROPOSAL

From: Mohammed Palla <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/24/2011 11:31 PM

To: [REDACTED]

I am a resident of evergreen district. I would like to convey that **state and federal electoral boundaries should NOT split communities like the Villages, the Meadowlands and California Oaks**
from the rest of Evergreen!

Sincerely,

Mohammed Siddique Palla

[REDACTED]
San Jose, CA. 95148.

Subject: Districts

From: Rita Blumstein <[REDACTED]>

Date: 7/25/2011 11:20 AM

To: [REDACTED]

The Villages is doing just fine in the Evergreen district.

You should not spit communities apart.

The Villages Golf and Country Club is located at the crossroads of Villages Parkway and San Fillipe Road.

This is an active adult community. We are approximately 4500 residents.

State and federal electoral boundaries should NOT split communities like the Villages, the Meadowlands and California Oaks from the rest of Evergreen!

Rita Blumstein