California Redistricting Commission

It has come to my attention that the Commission is struggling with the Congressional Districts boundaries for redistricting. As in all political situations, there are strong feelings by those who currently hold positions who fear the loss of that position if redistricting occurs in a particular manner. It is the challenge of the Commission to see beyond a “few” and look to the benefit of the citizens of California who voted for redistricting.

It seems that creating new districts along natural boundaries like the Oakland Richmond hills just makes sense. Is it possible for the commission to “view” the maps California as if as if there were no existing boundaries and then “see” those “common sense” boundaries? It is my understanding that a group of grassroots volunteers, the CCAG, have presented the Commission with maps which attempt to do just that. If the CCAG has put in so much effort, is there some reason that their work is not being utilized now?

Your commission has a set of guidelines and the backing of the voters of California to do this job. I’m quite certain that without “pressure”, the Commission could make those distinctions easily; it becomes “challenging” when certain groups of people put pressure to “retain” certain areas for a currently elected official. However, your job in determining the boundaries was mandated by the “people”. Your obligation is to all of us. We want changes—what exists now is not acceptable. It is time.

It is your time to do a difficult and perhaps unpopular job. The individuals on the Commission were chosen to make it happen— in spite of the challenges. It is your task to give back to California voters districts that represent the citizen’s common interests. It is likely to change current elected officials’ areas. Our representatives should be chosen and reelected not because of lines on a map but because of their outstanding performance in service to the citizenry.

We, the people, look forward to a “new” map of California Congressional Districts.

Sincerely,

Diana Toland
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Dear Commissioners,

The redistricting argument continues. Again I urge "Fair" redistricting. Establishing new districts that would virtually guarantee election to any party, representative, or candidate would be cause for great concern, even political and legal redress.

I believe the Commission should absolutely follow their own guidelines and the intention of the voters. Stop any gerrymandering and create common sense districts. Use the Oakland/Richmond hills as a natural dividing line, use maps provided by grassroots volunteers as opposed to partisan political interests, and keep communities of interest together.

Without fair elections what do we become?

Sincerely,
Rob Johnson
Walnut Creek
I challenge the commission members to get into a car with a full gas tank. Start in downtown Oakland in Chinatown, and I recommend you to get a pink box of dim sum. Get onto 14th (International Blvd), and drive south; at some point, the street name will change to Mission Blvd. Note the rather contiguous ethnic, cultural, culinary, and political landscape all the way to Fremont.

Next, do the same thing but take 580 or 680 over the hills to the interior valley. You will notice a rather startling difference.

One of your Assembly districts encompasses both, very different, areas. This is a serious mistake.

There ought to be one large-ish assembly district that encompasses the Nimitz, and an entirely separate district than has the interior valley.

Sincerely,
Gerald Hashimoto,
Republican candidate who battled with the ridiculous CA CD9 that goes over the hills.
July 22, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission

As you determine voting districts, I respectively demand FAIR districts for the California East Bay. The CCAG redistricting map is the fair plan for all voters and will not disenfranchise East Bay Conservative voters.

Sincerely,

Susan G. Barclay, member ACRCC
Livermore, CA 94551