

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2011 1:20 PM
Attach: July 13.CAPITAL CRC.docx
Subject: CRC letter of July 13, 2011

Commissioners - please consider the input attached.
COALITION OF ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN FOR REDISTRICTING (CAPAFR)

CAPAFR-Sacramento local organization CAPITAL
Council of Asian Pacific Americans Together for Advocacy and Leadership

July 13, 2011

California Citizens Redistricting Commission:

In the July 7, 2011 letter that CAPITAL submitted on behalf of the Asian American and Pacific American (AAPI) community of interest in South Sacramento and Elk Grove, we explained that our community resides in South Sacramento (**south of Sutterville Road**), Elk Grove, Florin and Vineyard. This morning, a commissioner stated that the AAPI community of interest is kept whole in the current Congressional visualization because the AAPI community is south of Florin Road. This is not correct.

The AAPI community resides south of Sutterville Road which is north of Florin Road. Also, in the current visualization, the Congressional district only goes up to Florin Road east of Highway 99. However, the majority of the AAPI community lives **east of Interstate 5**. And there are AAPI pockets, such as the Greenhaven Pocket, west of Interstate 5. If the current visualization is adopted, the commission will divide a core portion of our community by not including (1) the area between Interstate 5 and Highway 99 with Elk Grove (plus the Greenhaven and Little Pocket areas west of Interstate 5) and (2) the area south of Sutterville Road.

We once again ask that you recognize our entire community of interest and keep it whole at all levels of government.

May O. Lee ? CAPITAL representative

|

COALITION OF ASIAN PACIFIC AMERICAN FOR REDISTRICTING (CAPAFR)

CAPAFR-Sacramento –local organization CAPITAL
Council of Asian Pacific Islanders Together for Advocacy and Leadership

July 13, 2011

California Citizens Redistricting Commission:

In the July 7, 2011 letter that CAPITAL submitted on behalf of the Asian American and Pacific Islander (AAPI) community of interest in South Sacramento and Elk Grove, we explained that our community resides in South Sacramento (**south of Sutterville Road**), Elk Grove, Florin and Vineyard. This morning, a commissioner stated that the AAPI community of interest is kept whole in the current Congressional visualization because the AAPI community is south of Florin Road. This is not correct.

The AAPI community resides south of Sutterville Road which is north of Florin Road. Also, in the current visualization, the Congressional district only goes up to Florin Road east of Highway 99. However, the majority of the AAPI community lives **east of Interstate 5**. And there are AAPI pockets, such as the Greenhaven Pocket, west of Interstate 5. If the current visualization is adopted, the commission will divide a core portion of our community by not including (1) the area between Interstate 5 and Highway 99 with Elk Grove (plus the Greenhaven and Little Pocket areas west of Interstate 5) and (2) the area south of Sutterville Road.

We once again ask that you recognize our entire community of interest and keep it whole at all levels of government.

May O. Lee – CAPITAL representative

[Redacted]

From: [Redacted]
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted]
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2011 3:49 PM
Attach: 6.22.11 executed resolution re congressional district map .pdf; Serna congress bound letter 071311 PS.pdf
Subject: RE: Congressional Redistricting and Sacramento International Airport

We respectfully request that you replace the letter sent a few moment ago with this version. The previous version was a draft and sent inadvertently. Thank you for your understanding and we apologize for the inconvenience.

=/p>

Celia Coronado

Special Assistant
Supervisor Phil Serna, District 1
Board of Supervisors
[Redacted]
Sacramento CA 95814

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

From: Coronado. Celia
Sent: Wednesday, Jul= 13, 2011 3:23 PM
[Redacted]
Cc: =zalay. Steven; Acree. Hardy
Subject: Congressional Redistricting=and Sacramento International Airport
Importance: High<=span>

Dear Commissioners,

=
Please see the attached letter and resoluti=n from Supervisor Phil Serna regarding the Congressional Redistricting and=Sacramento International Airport. Should you have any questions plea=e do not hesitate to contact our office.

Celia Coronado
Special A=sistant
=upervisor Phil Serna, District 1

Board of Supervisors

[REDACTED]
Sacramento CA 95814

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
< class=MsoNormal>

OF SACRAMENTO EMAIL DISCLAIMER: COUNTY

This email and any attachments thereto may contain private, confidential, and privileged material for the sole use of the intended recipient. Any review, copying, or distribution of this email (or any attachments thereto) by other than the County of Sacramento or the intended recipient is strictly prohibited.

If you are not the intended recipient, please contact the sender immediately and permanently delete the original and any copies of this email and any attachments thereto.

=</html



PHIL SERNA
SUPERVISOR, FIRST DISTRICT



BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO

July 13, 2011

California Redistricting Commission



Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Congressional redistricting and Sacramento International Airport

Dear Commissioners:

As the Sacramento County Supervisor representing District 1 – the area that includes both the Sacramento International Airport and a substantial portion of the City of Sacramento including the central business district – I write to register my continuing strong objection to the latest “visualization” showing the airport outside the proposed congressional district that includes Sacramento’s downtown metropolitan area. This objection is shared by my colleagues on the Board of Supervisors and was memorialized unanimously in a resolution on the subject dated June 22, 2011 (see attached).

My concern stems from the fact that the Redistricting Commission continues to ignore one of its fundamental charges to respect county and city boundaries. The latest visualization, which was released after the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors issued its objection, continues to disregard Sacramento International Airport’s location within Sacramento County and its principal service to those residing in the County and City of Sacramento. Furthermore, the proposed congressional district boundaries unreasonably suggest that Sacramento International Airport possesses a stronger geographic, economic and social connection with places such as Glenn County more so than Downtown Sacramento. By all accounts this is irrational and does not reflect application of any justifiable criteria.

In addition to its consideration of municipal and county boundaries as they relate to the location of Sacramento International Airport, it is also incumbent upon the Commission to consider the local operational connection the airport has relative to our city and county. For example, the governing body providing oversight of the airport is the Sacramento County Board of Supervisors. And because it is the main passenger airport for the City and County of Sacramento, Sacramento International annually contributes approximately \$4 billion to the local economy, mostly within Sacramento County. This economic bond is further illustrated by the fact that Sacramento International's major partners include the Sacramento Metro Chamber of Commerce and Sacramento Area Trade and Commerce Organization, both based out of the City of Sacramento.

Most importantly, Sacramento International Airport relies on federal resources to maintain ongoing development and operation, and requires the combined support of our local and congressional representatives. Should the current "visualization" boundaries be adopted as-is and the airport lie outside the city and county congressional district, our community will be placed at a severe disadvantage as we'll lose the collective voice we've enjoyed so long to work in the best interest of the airport and travelling public.

For these reasons I respectfully ask that the Citizens Redistricting Commission reconsider the currently proposed congressional district boundaries and make the necessary changes to ensure Sacramento International Airport is located within the same congressional district as the City and County of Sacramento.

Thank you for the opportunity to comment.

Sincerely,



Phil Serna
Supervisor, District 1

cc: Steve Szalay, Sacramento Interim County Executive
Hardy Acree, Sacramento County Airport System Director

Attachment

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]

Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2011 3:31 PM

It has come to my attention that there is a draft being considered which pu= Citrus Heights together with El Dorado Hills, Rancho Cordova, and Elk Gro=e. I do not believe this is in the best interest of the residents of th= communities that have historically been together. Please reconsider th=s plan as I believe it to be flawed.

Staven Noble

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, July 13, 2011 7:54 PM
Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Sacramento
From: Joan Kramer [REDACTED]
Subject: Redistricting of Citrus Heights

Message Body:

Your reason for changing our area is totally without merit. Being on the corridor of the I-80 is not a justifiable reason. Leave us alone! Doris Matsui is NOT a fit for us and she is not in our best interest.

Joan Kramer

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission