

**Subject:** Appeal Redistricting

**From:** Roy Parker <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 05 Jul 2011 22:13:09 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Dear Sirs:

It has come to my attention that it is the intention of the Citizens Redistricting Commission to redistrict the counties of Tehama, Shasta & Modoc together with the counties bordering the Pacific Coast.

It is my belief that such a redistricting would be a grave mistake. The coastal counties have nothing in common with the inland counties. I hereby demand that my name be placed on the record as a **negative vote** for such an action by the aforesaid Commission.

Respectfully submitted this 5th Day of July in the year of 2011

by:

--

**Roy Parker**

[REDACTED]  
**Redding, CA. 96003**  
[REDACTED]

**Subject:** shasta county

**From:** [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 17:53:32 -0400 (EDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Shasta county is not part of the coast. by putting us in with the coast, we will have no representation at all.

Your plan is a bad idea

**Subject:** Redistricting

**From:** Katie Bohne [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 19:58:27 -0700 (PDT)

**To:** [REDACTED]

Please do not redistrict Redding with our neighbors on the coast as we do not have much in common with them. We are a I5 community, with unique and individual problems that have very little to do with the costal area and need to remain where we are now. I myself really don't understand the problems that those living on the coast might have and I am sure they are in the same position.

I am asking that this redistricting does Not Happen.

Respectfully,

Katie Bohne

[REDACTED]  
Redding, CA 96002

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** william spainhower <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 06:30:03 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: william spainhower <[REDACTED]>

Subject: district change

Message Body:

I see no reason to include the pacific coast as part of our district. We are part of the I-5 corridor which has nothing to do with the coast. Please reconsider your choice of including the coast as part of the Shasta district

thank you

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Susan Markham <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 05:26:49 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Susan Markham <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting of shasta co

Message Body:

I want it known that I strongly oppose our county being redistricting into the coastal district. Shasta county is along the I-5 corridor and in no way should be pulled into the coastal district. Shasta county has nothing in common with any of the coastal districts whatsoever! Our best interest is NOT being taken into account by this plan.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Janet Chandler [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 04:51:56 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Janet Chandler <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

A recent perusal of the redistricting possibilities for the state of California indicates that my area of Shasta County may be pushed into the coastal area of Humboldt County. Do not do this. The Northeastern portion of California has nothing in common with the coastal area and my representation will suffer because of this. Leave my representation as it is.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** David Bedwell <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 03:58:01 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: David Bedwell <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Against redistricting Shasta County with the coast

Message Body:

I am opposed to redistricting Shasta County with the coast of California. Shasta County needs to continue to be in the same district as the I-5 corridor as Shasta County's interests are much more similar to the I-5 agricultural interests than we do with the coastal counties.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Evert Dale <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 03:08:33 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Evert Dale <[REDACTED]>

Subject: REDDING

Message Body:

Please keep Shasta Co. separate from the coastal region.

In the Congressional district, Siskiyou county should not be split.

Thank you

Evert E. Dale

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** "L.Sue Richards" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 02:36:54 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: L.Sue Richards <[REDACTED]>

Subject: REDISTRICT

Message Body:

There is NO WAY you can lump our County in with the coast...we are very different and very different concerns.

Lumping Shasta County in with Eureka, or even more southern coastal area's is another example of our politicians NOT caring about the concerns of the rural areas.

PLEASE RETHINK THIS ....again VERY VERY wrong...!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Angela Wendele [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 02:30:15 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Angela Wendele <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Planned Redistricting

Message Body:

Good evening. I have just become aware of the plan to redistrict Shasta County. I am appalled at the possibility. My job takes me all over Northern California from Fresno in the south to the Oregon border and all points in between. I have lived in Shasta County for the past 5 years and I can tell you beyond a shadow of a doubt that this is a VERY BAD idea. The coastal communities have NOTHING in common with the I-5 Corridor counties, in fact, when I visit those communities on the coast, I am always struck by the huge differences in opinions, values, way of life and priorities. If this proposed re-districting takes place, the imbalance of population / income found in favor of the liberal leaning coastal counties, will overshadow the more conservative and sparsely populated counties found inland. This cannot happen. PLEASE consider the needs of political representative of the counties along the I-5 Corridor as hugely different than those on the coast.

If this were to proceed as planned, the counties east of Humboldt, Mendocino and Lake would have the bulk of the representation as they are them most populated of those proposed.

They need to be included in the planned region 8 district where their ideals and priorities more closely match.

Thank you for taking my comments into consideration.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Don Christian [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 01:13:26 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Don Christian <[REDACTED]>

Subject: I-5 Corridor

Message Body:

I have been a lifelong resident of Shasta County. Our county has very little in common with the coastal counties with which we have been lumped. It should be obvious that the Trinity Alps are a natural barrier to interaction between the two areas. Please do not approve this illogical grouping.

Sincerely,

Don Christian

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** jack ratledge <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 00:38:45 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: jack ratledge <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Shasta County should not be placed in a coastal district. Shasta County is in the northern Sacramento Valley along the I-5 corridor with dissimilar socio/economic and political issues to a coastal district, as dissimilar as the watersheds in which they lie. Leave the county as is.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** charles knight [REDACTED]

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 00:33:48 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: charles knight <[REDACTED]>

Subject: shingletown

Message Body:

What does our area have in common with the coast? San Francisco and Crescent City have more in common than Crescent City and Redding. I am against this map.

chuck

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Mary Lou Cota <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 00:17:18 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Mary Lou Cota <[REDACTED]>

Subject: realinging

Message Body:

Please do not realign us to the coast.

We do have a couple of lakes but our county is not coast like in our business, industry, farming, living values. We are in the middle of the State and have different requirements and needs.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Mary Stephens <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Wed, 6 Jul 2011 00:09:53 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Mary Stephens <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

We in Shasta County are part of the I-5 corridor community and we DO NOT want to be part of the coast. We have NOTHING in common with them and our representation will be diminished if we are put in their district.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Gerald Flowers [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 23:19:32 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Gerald Flowers <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting map

Message Body:

Please Do Not!

Do not loop us in with the coastal communities. SHASTA COUNTY and region must remain with the I-5 corridor. Shasta area has nothing in common with the businesses or the people in the Coastal areas. We need people who know us and the type of business we represent. Please give us something we can still have hope in.

Sincerely

Gerald Flowers

Shasta County

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Lynn Shaw <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 23:17:42 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Lynn Shaw <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

I was shocked to see the redistricting map you came up with for Shasta County. I know that my views are not unique and you have no doubt heard them all before. I have to tell you that the proposed district line looks incredibly contrived by politics. You have included Shasta county like some great boil on the butt of the coastal counties. You will have successfully disenfranchised Shasta county which has little in common with the coastal counties!!! I personally am a cattle rancher and my current professional affinities are with Tehama County and other agricultural areas. Furthermore, was part of your mission really the destruction of so-called "safe" districts as some have actually voiced? Redistricting should have nothing to do with how long a representative has served or for which party, and I don't even like our current long-term representative. With 2 mountain ranges separating most of the constituents, with sparse roads, closed in the winter due to snow and la!

ndslides, and many times closed in the summer due to fires and landslides, we will be unable to locally meet to share the concerns of our district. 299 West from Redding to the coast is frequently closed. You have imposed an impossible burden and very unfair representation for us in Shasta County. It's not just the politicians who need to access their constituents, it is even more important that we the constituents have access to each other, our resources, and then our representative! The previous district lines which follow the main transportation corridors and take into account the geography of the region provide this access. Adjustments for population should be made on the southern borders of the three existing districts rather than lump counties together across the great divides of mountain ranges. Geography must be a major factor! The coastal range is a HUGE transportation obstacle, and for that to be ignored is mind-boggling. I attended the first meeting here in S!

Shasta county and was pleased to meet the committee, most of wh!

om were

present. I would like to have been on that committee! Sincerely, Lynn Shaw

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Lynn Shaw <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 23:17:42 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Lynn Shaw <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

I was shocked to see the redistricting map you came up with for Shasta County. I know that my views are not unique and you have no doubt heard them all before. I have to tell you that the proposed district line looks incredibly contrived by politics. You have included Shasta county like some great boil on the butt of the coastal counties. You will have successfully disenfranchised Shasta county which has little in common with the coastal counties!!! I personally am a cattle rancher and my current professional affinities are with Tehama County and other agricultural areas. Furthermore, was part of your mission really the destruction of so-called "safe" districts as some have actually voiced? Redistricting should have nothing to do with how long a representative has served or for which party, and I don't even like our current long-term representative. With 2 mountain ranges separating most of the constituents, with sparse roads, closed in the winter due to snow and la!

ndslides, and many times closed in the summer due to fires and landslides, we will be unable to locally meet to share the concerns of our district. 299 West from Redding to the coast is frequently closed. You have imposed an impossible burden and very unfair representation for us in Shasta County. It's not just the politicians who need to access their constituents, it is even more important that we the constituents have access to each other, our resources, and then our representative! The previous district lines which follow the main transportation corridors and take into account the geography of the region provide this access. Adjustments for population should be made on the southern borders of the three existing districts rather than lump counties together across the great divides of mountain ranges. Geography must be a major factor! The coastal range is a HUGE transportation obstacle, and for that to be ignored is mind-boggling. I attended the first meeting here in S!

Shasta county and was pleased to meet the committee, most of wh!

om were

present. I would like to have been on that committee! Sincerely, Lynn Shaw

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** John Wendele [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 23:03:00 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: John Wendele <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Proposed Redistricting

Message Body:

I strongly disagree with the proposal to include Shasta County in a District composed of Del Norte, Humboldt, Trinity, Mendocino, Lake and Sonoma Counties. Shasta County has nothing in common with the those counties and our representation would be further diminished. Shasta County is part of the Interstate 5 corridor community, not part of the north coast. Do not include Shasta County in the proposed north coast district!

John Wendele

Shasta County Resident

[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** "a.j. ayers" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 22:13:20 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: a.j. ayers <[REDACTED]>

Subject: vital part of I-5

Message Body:

Shasta is a vital part of the I-5 path. What is the common link with coast? Not a reasonable connection since there is virtually so little traffic (one subject alone) that goes a direct from Redding to Coast.

Shouldn't Redding and Shasta County be part of I-5 corridor?

Thank you, Dr. A. J. Ayers

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** donna ayers <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 22:09:24 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: donna ayers <[REDACTED]>

Subject: does not look reasonable

Message Body:

This does not look reasonable in considering population, where live, work, etc.

What are criteria used? Thank you.

Donna Ayers

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Merilee Hudson [REDACTED]

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 22:08:29 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Merilee Hudson <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

I strongly disagree with the proposed redistricting plan. Shasta County has nothing in common with the coastal counties. We have opposite views on many important topics and I feel our representation would be greatly diminished. We are part of the I5 corridor communities whom have more like interests and need to remain so.

I attended the meeting held at Shasta Community College. Was anyone listening to us???

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Phillip Barker <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 21:53:00 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Phillip Barker <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Shasta County

Message Body:

By custom and culture, Shasta County should be in a district which includes Trinity, Siskiyou, Lassen, Modoc, Tehama, and Plumas Counties. A decision to attach it to the coastal counties of California can only be viewed as an attempt to dilute the political expressions of the people of Shasta County.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** dexter rogers <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 21:51:53 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: dexter rogers <[REDACTED]>

Subject: shasta county

Message Body:

we are not part of the coast. we are part of the central valley and need to stay there

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Molly Frame <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 21:50:23 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Molly Frame <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting

Message Body:

Shasta County is on the I5 corridor, and should be in a district with other counties on the corridor. We are a rural society, and have little in common with the CA coastal area.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Lorretta Woodard <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 21:43:13 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Lorretta Woodard <[REDACTED]>

Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

I do not feel we should be included in the coastal districting. Our life style and business atmosphere is not the same. We are perfectly satisfied the way our district is at this time.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

**From:** Sally Rapoza <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 21:39:19 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Sally Rapoza <[REDACTED]>

Subject: We are NOT Coastal!

Message Body:

Redding and Shasta county should not be put in with the coastal areas! We have nothing in common with the communities of Eureka, Crescent City, Fortuna and further down. Our economy, cultural preferences, and way of life are not similar. Plus it is very difficult to get from Redding "over the hill" (especially in winter) that our Representative will have much difficulty serving his constituency.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Coastal Forests

**From:** "Scott & Nora" <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 5 Jul 2011 10:22:16 -0700

**To:** [REDACTED]

Hello, I am a forest landowner in the northwest corner of Shasta County. I understand that we are about to be lumped in with the coastal counties for forest issues and legislative and regulatory considerations.

The growth patterns and habitat between our north-central counties and the coastal counties is different.

Please do not consider us as one region - we are definitely separate in so many ways.

Thank you. Eleanor Vermilyea, Scott Vermilyea  
[REDACTED] Big Bend, CA. 96011