

Molly Casey

From: "Dorotha Putnam" <[REDACTED]>
To: <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 8:19 AM
Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Shasta
From: Dorotha Putnam <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Lumping Shasta County with coast

Message Body:

I have heard that the maps will be changed to move Shasta County into a district with the coastal area, and now it appears that may be done without the appropriate map showing that being released. This seems to be totally out of line with the spirit of why this "Citizens" Redistricting Commission was set up. The people of Shasta County have little in common with those who live on the coast, and this appears to be a blatantly premeditated plan to make it appear to release misinformation and then do the same old gerrymandering thing the Democrats always do in California...once again leaving the people who provide California's resources feeling like we have no vote or representation. Please tell me I'm wrong!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Molly Casey

From: "Dotti Putnam" <[REDACTED]>
To: <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 8:21 AM
Subject: Redistricting commission cancels next round of draft maps

I have heard that the maps will be changed to move Shasta County into a district with the coastal area, and now it appears that may be done without the appropriate map showing that being released to the public. This seems to be totally out of line with the spirit of why this "Citizens" Redistricting Commission was set up. The people of Shasta County have little in common with those who live on the coast, and this appears to be a blatantly premeditated plan to make it appear to release misinformation and then do the same old gerrymandering thing the politicians always do in California...once again leaving the people who provide California's resources feeling like we have no vote or representation. Please tell me I'm wrong!

Dorothea Putnam

This communication is only for the person(s) to whom it is directly sent. Unless otherwise indicated it contains information that is confidential and privileged under applicable laws. If you are not the person(s) named above or responsible for delivering it to that person, be aware that review, disclosure, copying, distribution or use of this communication is strictly prohibited. If you received this message in error, or are uncertain as to its proper handling, please immediately notify the sender by telephone at ([REDACTED] [REDACTED]) or by reply email and securely destroy the message sent to you in error. Thank you.

Molly Casey

From: "James Cooksley" <[REDACTED]>
To: <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 4:19 PM
Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Shasta
From: James Cooksley <[REDACTED]>
Subject: redistricting

Message Body:

Shasta County belongs in the Sacramento Valley Corridor. We have little in common with the coastal communities and region -- economically, culturally, and historically. We are essentially an important part of the Sacramento Valley, sharing its history, climate, and economic base.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Molly Casey

From: "Patricia Venti" <[REDACTED]>
To: <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 2:03 PM
Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Shasta
From: Patricia Venti <[REDACTED]>
Subject: I-5 corridor

Message Body:

Shasta County should stay in the I-5 area instead of being shoved to the coast. It would be difficult to get to meetings in the winter, economy is very different inland from the coast area.

Thank you.

Patricia Venti

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Molly Casey

From: "Erin Ryan" <[REDACTED]>
To: <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, July 11, 2011 9:19 AM
Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Shasta
From: Erin Ryan <[REDACTED]>
Subject: northern CA communities

Message Body:

Do you have any idea how much your crazy redraws are freaking out the citizens of northern California? Your first map was pretty good and then you guys went off the rails. Stop it please!

I spoke at your first public meeting in Redding and gave you my written comments. I have 30 years experience as a professional and as a volunteer working in the 9 northern CA counties. Based on the communities of interest, travel patterns and business needs this area will be BEST SERVED in similar fashion to the lines we have currently.

It seems you are getting more and more off track by pulling counties like Siskiyou and Shasta into the coastal districts. Someone is not going to be represented at all in a plan like that.

Your first maps virtually removed 1 set of representatives by putting the I-5 corridor in with the eastern counties. We can live with that I guess but cherry-picking counties on I-5 and pulling them over to the coast tells me you either don't hear us or you don't hear them because no one wins in that model. Northern CA has a lot of resource issues and losing a set of representative was bad - having the central valley pulled into the coast for 10 years is a death sentence for our land owners and water users.

Go back to your first set of maps. You told us that those would be about 90% of the way there so people here didn't worry. You've since been all over the boards with Siskiyou cut in half or Shasta in with Humboldt. Come one! Get a grip on the geography and understand as we TOLD you for 3 hours that EAST TO WEST DOES NOT WORK UP HERE WITH OUR ROAD SYSTEMS, MOUNTAINS AND WEATHER ISSUES.

You were right the first time and our original districts weren't bad either up here. All of our counties were whole and we had representatives for 101, I-5, and 395. That was awesome and no one wants it to change into an east west model except for pure politics. If indeed, you are not a politically driven body go back to the maps that make representative sense - the first draw.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission