

Subject: Rancho Santa Fe split into three CA districts

From: Phil Trubey <[REDACTED]>

Message Body:

Rancho Santa Fe is a well defined community in San Diego county with a large part of it operating under a quasi-government homeowners association (<http://www.rsfassociation.org>). In the federal congressional re-districting, you included all of RSF into the coastal communities, which makes sense. However for the CA districts (both senate and assembly), you chose to split RSF into three different districts. What rationale did you use to keep RSF together for federal, but not for CA?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Delivered-To: [REDACTED]
Received: by 10.231.208.65 with SMTP id gb1cs85323ibb;
Mon, 8 Aug 2011 15:32:02 -0700 (PDT)
Received: from mr.google.com ([10.90.8.15])
by 10.90.8.15 with SMTP id 15mr7889145agh.27.1312842722334 (num_hops = 1);
Mon, 08 Aug 2011 15:32:02 -0700 (PDT)
Received: by 10.90.8.15 with SMTP id 15mr5395260agh.27.1312842719626;
Mon, 08 Aug 2011 15:31:59 -0700 (PDT)
Return-Path: <[REDACTED]>
Received: from wedrawthelines.ca.gov (184-106-92-95.static.cloud-ips.com
[184.106.92.95])
by mx.google.com with ESMTPS id q4si17092964icc.[REDACTED]
(version=TLSv1/SSLv3 cipher=OTHER);
Mon, 08 Aug 2011 15:31:58 -0700 (PDT)
Received-SPF: neutral (google.com: 184.106.92.95 is neither permitted nor denied by
best guess record for domain of [REDACTED] client-ip=184.106.92.95;
Authentication-Results: mx.google.com; spf=neutral (google.com: 184.106.92.95 is
neither permitted nor denied by best guess record for domain of
[REDACTED] smtp.mail=[REDACTED])
Received: from wedrawthelines.ca.gov (localhost [127.0.0.1])
by wedrawthelines.ca.gov (8.13.8/8.13.8) with ESMTTP id p78MVwLM003505
for <[REDACTED]> Mon, 8 Aug 2011 15:31:58 -0700
Received: (from apache@localhost)
by wedrawthelines.ca.gov (8.13.8/8.13.8/Submit) id p78MVwha003504;
Mon, 8 Aug 2011 15:31:58 -0700
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Public Comment: 1 - San Diego
Date: Mon, 8 Aug 2011 22:31:57 +0000
From: Phil Trubey <[REDACTED]>
Message-ID: <[REDACTED]>
X-Priority: 3
X-Mailer: PHPMailer (phpmailer.sourceforge.net) [version 2.0.4]
MIME-Version: 1.0
Content-Transfer-Encoding: 8bit
Content-Type: text/plain; charset="UTF-8"

From: Phil Trubey <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Rancho Santa Fe split into three CA districts

Message Body:

Rancho Santa Fe is a well defined community in San Diego county with a large part of it operating under a quasi-government homeowners association (<http://www.rsfassociation.org>). In the federal congressional re-districting, you included all of RSF into the coastal communities, which makes sense. However for the

CA districts (both senate and assembly), you chose to split RSF into three different districts. What rationale did you use to keep RSF together for federal, but not for CA?

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission