

Subject: Public Comment: 1 - San Diego

From: Janet Mulder <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 12 Aug 2011 20:40:40 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Janet Mulder <[REDACTED]>

Subject: District 52 Boundary

Message Body:

Your "final maps" show that you have divided the community of Jamul between two Congressional districts and there appears to be no geographical reasons for this. Consider leaving our Jamul community in what is now District 52 but would be the new District 50 so as not to split it between two districts (50 and 51) as the current map shows. It is difficult enough to get our spread out community to function with one Congressman, and would be almost impossible to do it with two. We were told that one of the caveats of the redistricting process would be that the re-districting would not split communities...and yet this "final draft" does just that. Please do rectify by moving the new District 50 (NESAN) to the eastern boundary of the OLD District 52 map as the new one also splits the community of Alpine between two districts as well. Thank you for your work and I would appreciate knowing your decision and the reasoning behind it.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

Subject: Public Comment: 1 - San Diego

From: Janet Mulder <[REDACTED]>

Date: Fri, 12 Aug 2011 21:33:13 +0000

To: [REDACTED]

From: Janet Mulder <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Splitting Communities Between Districts

Message Body:

I just spoke with someone at your office and understand that you do not plan to change any of the maps, but rather vote on them on Monday after discussion on Saturday. I would urge you to vote no on these maps and take the time to re-look at them following your own caveat of not splitting communities. In the Congressional District maps you divide Jamul and Alpine between two districts. These are both active rural communities who need to be in one district, not divided between two. Rural communities have enough of a difficult time working with Congressmen who have larger more demanding urban communities in their district, but when divided between two the smaller rural district loses any advantage it might have built up over the years. Please consider re-looking at these areas and keep communities in one district rather than splitting them in the Congressional District maps. In the State Assembly District maps, these communities were not split, so it is possible.

Thank you for your consideration and time.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission