

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** vanessa <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 16:54:19 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: vanessa <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Torrance

Message Body:

Cities should not be split. Torrance (west of crenshaw) is more in sync with the Beach Cities (Redondo and Palos Verdes) than the inland Cities.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Dennis Ridge <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 20:14:11 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Dennis Ridge <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Commission Member Presentation

Message Body:

Hello,

I am the Program Director for the Manhattan Beach Rotary Club and I am inquiring to see if a member of the Commission would be interested in giving a presentation to our Club about the local district changes in our area. Our Club meets on Monday mornings at 7am and we are done at 8:30. Approximate program time would be 20-30 minutes.

Thank you,

Dennis Ridge

[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** districts

**From:** Kckaneko <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 15:41:12 -0400

**To:** [REDACTED]

I support the old district territory of Hawthorne south, including Torrance and Lomita.

Kevin Kaneko

[REDACTED]  
Torrance, CA 90504

**Subject:** Fwd: Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Voter <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 13:20:13 -0700

**To:** christ [REDACTED]

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 06:52:11 +0000

**From:** Steve Singleton <[REDACTED]>

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Steve Singleton <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Wanting to find my new district(s)

Message Body:

Website is a MESS. I have "dial-up"; pages load too slow; there are "errors on the page"; there's no easy way to find out what districts I'm now in (or

--  
This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** PETITION TO THE CALIFORNIA CITIZENS'REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

**From:** RJ B <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 23:05:56 -0700

**To:** <[REDACTED]>

**CC:** <[REDACTED]>

**PETITION TO THE CALIFORNIA CITIZENS'REDISTRICTING COMMISSION**

The Commission's Code of Conduct requires commissioners to "disclose information that belongs in the public domain freely and completely." Article 21 of the state Constitution, provides in Section 2(a) that the commission shall "conduct an open and transparent process enabling full public consideration of and comment on the drawing of district lines."

These goals and criteria will not be met if Commissioner Ward is muzzled. All Commissioners should be allowed to file a full and complete minority report to the public on the Commission website and to the media.

Ramon & Margaret Brown

[REDACTED]

Los Angeles, CA 90045

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Cindy <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 17:52:56 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Cindy <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting Commission

Message Body:

This is not in favor of the citizens but in favor of the democratic party. This does not have the citizens best interest and the word is being passed around about this and how wrong it is. The republicans agreed just because they wanted to keep their seats. This will not go unnoticed.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** diana cauble <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 20:45:36 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: diana cauble <[REDACTED]>

Subject: new maps

Message Body:

you have just taken representation from me that is guarenteed by the u.s. constitution in the new congressional and assembly districts.

a blindfolded monkey with a crayon would have been fairer!!!!!!!

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Greg Raizk <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 16:26:46 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Greg Raizk <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Redistricting.

Message Body:

The beach cities being broken up and El Segundo being put in with Henry Waxmans district makes no sense. As a long time resident of El Segundo, of El Segundo I am outraged. This certainly will discourage voter participation

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Karen Blum <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 16:00:06 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Karen Blum <[REDACTED]>

Subject: New district lines

Message Body:

The new district for Valencia, CA represents a disappointing, yet expected result for the residents of Santa Clarita.

We have been linked mostly by mountain ranges to Palmdale/Lancaster and Simi Valley, both areas we have little in common with. The San Fernando Valley has the greatest influence on our traffic, finances, etc.

The district lines do nothing more than create a Republican stronghold in an area that is growing, changing and is registering way more Democrats than Republicans.

The new district lines represent a giant step backward for the future of the Santa Clarita Valley.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Kenneth Conant <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 22:08:12 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Kenneth Conant <[REDACTED]>

Subject: re-districting maps

Message Body:

Hi

I am a Realtor of over 24 years. I live and work in Torrance. We should not be voting with the inland cities as their issues are different from ours in Torrance. Torrance residents are more intertwined with the beach communities for most issues including traffic, building, crime, etc.

Please draw the maps accordingly.

Ken Conant

[REDACTED]

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

**Subject:** Public Comment: 4 - Los Angeles

**From:** Mary Graybill <[REDACTED]>

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 21:46:31 +0000

**To:** [REDACTED]

From: Mary Graybill <[REDACTED]>

Subject: Congress, Senate & Assembly

Message Body:

Dear Redistricting Committee,

As a voter who strongly supported this redistricting effort, I am very disappointed. The result appears to be the same old gerrymandering. You've done a very poor job of keeping communities of interest intact. Instead, you've ensured that certain incumbents stay in office. My West Los Angeles neighborhood shares far more concerns with people in Westwood and Santa Monica than we do with people in South Los Angeles. I support good candidates, never parties. My vote has not counted in years and you've made sure it won't count for 10 more. I'm also outraged that you've divided an Asian community such as Little Saigon. Agree with the politics or not, diluting that population's voice is wrong, suggesting partisanship at its ugliest.

--

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission