Subject: Public Comment: 7 - Santa Clara From: Ana Fortes < Date: Tue, 9 Aug 2011 19:12:39 +0000 To:

From: Ana Fortes ≤ Subject: Redistricting Effective Date

Message Body: Good afternoon,

I am curious about the effective date of the final redistricted lines within California. I read that by August 15, 2011 maps should be formally certified and presented to the secretary of state. However, I could not find anywhere on the website when the effective date of implementation is.

Your FAQs mentions the anomoly of legal challenges regarding redistricting in this question:

Q. What happens if there is a legal challenge to the district maps adopted by the Commission?

A. The Act provides that any legal challenge is sent straight to the California Supreme Court for review. This provision was written to expedite any legal challenge straight to the state's highest court so a decision could be made on the maps in time for the June 2012 elections.

is the June 2012 election deadline in fact, THE effective date of implemention of redistricted areas of California? We appreciate your reply as we are concerned about the potential challenges we may face in regards to maintaining political support from our regional representation.

Regards, Ana V. Fortes City of Mountain View

- -

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

08709/2011 08:45 FAX SANTA CLARA CITY ATTY OF 7 Santa CLARA CITY ATTORNEY'S OFFICE Santa Clara, CA 95050 DATE: August 9, 2011 TO: Citizens Redistricting Commission FAX NUMBER:

FROM: Elizabeth H. Silver, Interim City Attorney

TOTAL NUMBER OF PAGES, INCLUDING COVER PAGE: 3 IF YOU DID NOT RECEIVE ALL THE PAGES, PLEASE CALL MY OFFICE IMMEDIATELY.

1:\CMO\LETTERS\Citizens Redistricting Comm Fax Cover 08-09-11.doc

Confidentiality Notice

This facsimile transmission is intended only for the use of the individual or the authorized representative of the entity to which it is addressed and may contain information which is confidential and protected from disclosure by law as proprietary information, legally privileged, or otherwise. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, disclosure, distribution or copying of the transmitted material is strictly prohibited. If you have received this facsimile in error, please immediately notify us by telephone to arrange for return of the original transmission. <

Ľ

City Attorney's Office

inta Clara

August 9, 2011

Via Facsimile and U.S. Mail

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Ste. 154-A Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: Opposition to the Latest Draft Assembly District Map related to the City of Santa Clara

Dear Commissioners:

This letter follows the July 22, 2011, letter from the City of Santa Clara's Mayor and City Manager voicing strong opposition to the major revisions to the July 10, 2011, Assembly District Map. This latest version of the map has placed the City of Santa Clara in the Alameda County Assembly District, instead of its home within the Silicon Valley Assembly District. The City of Santa Clara believes that this decision to shift the City of Santa Clara from its contiguous neighbors to a distant district is contrary to the California Constitution and the federal and state Voting Rights Acts.

California Constitution, Article XXI, Section 2 provides that the Citizens Redistricting Commission shall draw district lines according to the following redistricting criteria, and in the following priority:

"(1) Districts shall comply with the United States Constitution. Congressional districts shall achieve population equality as nearly as is practicable, and Senatorial, Assembly, and State Board of Equalization districts shall have reasonably equal population with other districts for the same office, except where deviation is required to comply with the federal Voting Rights Act or allowable by law.

(2) Districts shall comply with the federal Voting Rights Act.

(3) Districts shall be geographically contiguous.

(4) The geographic integrity of any city, county, city and county, local neighborhood, or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes their division to the extent possible without violating the requirements of any of the preceding subdivisions. A community of interest is a contiguous population which shares common social and economic interests that should be included within a single district for purposes of its effective and fair representation. Examples of such shared interests are those common to an urban area, a rural area, an industrial area, or an agricultural area, and those common to areas in which the people share similar living standards, use the same transportation facilities, have similar work opportunities, or have access to the same media of communication relevant to the election process. Communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents, or political candidates.

(5) To the extent practicable, and where this does not conflict with the criteria above, districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness such that nearby areas of population are not bypassed for more distant population.

City	01	Sant	аС	lara.

Sente Clans, CA 95050

www.aentaciereca.gov

- 7

Letter to Citizens Redistricting Commission Re: Opposition to the Latest Draft Assembly District Map re: City of Santa Clara August 9, 2011 Page 2 of 2

(6) To the extent practicable, and where this does not conflict with the criteria above, each Senate district shall be comprised of two whole, complete, and adjacent Assembly districts, and each Board of Equalization district shall be comprised of 10 whole, complete, and adjacent Senate districts." [Emphasis added.]

California courts have long established redistricting criteria that mirror these constitutional requirements, namely that the following principles must guide redistricting efforts: (1) equality of population; (2) contiguity and compactness of districts; (3) respect for city and county boundaries; (4) preservation of the integrity of the state's geographical regions; (5) consideration of the "community of interests" of each area; (6) formation of state senatorial districts from adjacent assembly districts and use of assembly district boundaries in drawing congressional district boundaries; and (7) reliance on the current census and on undivided census tracts. (Wilson v. Eu (1992) 1 Cal.4th 707, 714; Legislature of the State of California v. Reinecke (1973) 10 Cal.3d 396, 402.)

These criteria are imposed on redistricting efforts to prohibit the arbitrary division of cities and counties for political purposes and to help cities and counties maintain local control by giving them effective representation in the Legislature. Redistricting should not violate the City's territorial integrity, divide the "community of interests" shared by its residents, or deny or dilute any particular community's voting strength in violation of the Voting Rights Act.

To excise the City of Santa Clara from its neighboring cities within Santa Clara County violates both the City of Santa Clara's and the County of Santa Clara's territorial integrity and contravenes the Constitutional mandates that districts shall be geographically contiguous, that local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes division, and that districts shall be drawn to encourage geographical compactness. Further, it will prevent the City of Santa Clara from effectively working with its other city neighbors and the County of Santa Clara in regional programs mandated by federal and state law, including but not limited to National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System ("NPDES") permits, reduction of solid waste and improved recycling programs (Cal. Public Resources Code §§ 40000 et seq.), and Local Area Formation Commission ("LAFCO") planning efforts related to annexations, detachments, and spheres of influence within the County of Santa Clara.

I join with the City of Santa Clara's Mayor and City Manager in my strong opposition to the current draft Assembly District Map and urge your Commission to return the City of Santa Clara to its rightful home within the Silicon Valley Assembly District.

Very truly yours,

-Blann 26 SiL

Elizabeth H. Silver Interim City Attorney

LS:cee cc: City Council City Manager L:\CMO\LETTERS\Citizens Redistricting Comm 8-5-11.doc