n 9: Shastau

AUG 0 1 2011

Dear Commission Members,

We believe you have made an error in your attempted drawing of new district lines for Northern California. You
The series of the common an order in your differentiation of them district these tot moundary transfers. As a
have a difficult task and many incure to help the house the first the world in the first to receive the first task and many incure to help the house the first task and many incure to help the first task and many incure to help the help the first task and the f
have a difficult task and many issues to balance however the lines you have drawn for the North State are
atally flawed.

Por

You have drafted districts that may meet the population guidelines but they violate everything that is truly important in Propositions 11 and 20. You have lumped portions of the far northern reaches of the state with Sacramento- why? Simply adding population from Sacramento into a district primarily comprised of rural agricultural counties is not a constant community of interest.

You have received hundreds of comments from the north state and the message is simple: keep us away from the coast and the delta and draft districts based on our major economic connections of transportation infrastructure. There should be an I-5, Hwy 395 and a Hwy 101 district. The North State should not stretch into Yolo or Sacramento Counties if it can be helped.

Major flaws exist in your maps published on July 11th, yet there are simple ways to alter the drawn districts that will be more consistent with regard to communities of interest and simply more logical.

State Senate:

Please remove Siskiyou County, Shasta County, the City of Lincoln, the City of Rocklin as well as surrounding rural agricultural areas of Placer County from the Mt. Cap district and place them in the more appropriate Yuba District. Next, remove Roseville, Rancho Cordova, Citrus Heights, Carmichael and as much Sacramento County as possible from the Yuba District and place them in the Mt. Cap district.

These changes only affect the Yuba and the Mt. Cap districts and have no effect on any other lines. Yet these changes create a much more logical and community minded district layout. By keeping the I-5 communities together and removing large suburban and urban areas from the Yuba District you are creating a more balanced agriculturally consistent and economically similar district. Additionally, by shifting Roseville and Sacramento Counties to the Mt. Cap district you keep established communities together in Sacramento and the very important Roseville/ Sacramento infrastructure link consolidated in one district.

State Assembly:

Shasta County should be located in the Yuba District and populations should be shifted in Butte to make this accommodation. The economic connections created by the I-5 corridor are very important and are a significant link between our communities. Siskiyou County would also be a very logical addition to this district but since the commission has decided that Yuba County must be linked with Sutter County the populations cannot work.

These are very simple changes and are truly the most logical choices for creating districts. Those of us who have lived here for decades know the basis of our communities and work together to improve our lives. Please don't split our well-established regions. We hope you will grant us this easy change and improve upon the work you have done.

Sincerely,

Len War Drulel

Dear Commission Members.

We believe you have made an error in your attempted drawing of new district lines for Northern California. You have a difficult task and many issues to balance however the lines you have drawn for the North State are fatally flawed.

You have drafted districts that may meet the population guidelines but they violate everything that is truly important in Propositions 11 and 20. You have lumped portions of the far northern reaches of the state with Sacramento-why? Simply adding population from Sacramento into a district primarily comprised of rural agricultural counties is not a constant community of interest.

You have received hundreds of comments from the north state and the message is simple: keep us away from the coast and the delta and draft districts based on our major economic connections of transportation infrastructure. There should be an I-5, Hwy 395 and a Hwy 101 district. The North State should not stretch into Yolo or Sacramento Counties if it can be helped.

Major flaws exist in your maps published on July 11th, yet there are simple ways to alter the drawn districts that will be more consistent with regard to communities of interest and simply more logical.

State Senate:

Please remove Siskiyou County, Shasta County, the City of Lincoln, the City of Rocklin as well as surrounding rural agricultural areas of Placer County from the Mt. Cap district and place them in the more appropriate Yuba District. Next, remove Roseville, Rancho Cordova, Citrus Heights, Carmichael and as much Sacramento County as possible from the Yuba District and place them in the Mt. Cap district.

These changes only affect the Yuba and the Mt. Cap districts and have no effect on any other lines. Yet these changes create a much more logical and community minded district layout. By keeping the I-5 communities together and removing large suburban and urban areas from the Yuba District you are creating a more balanced agriculturally consistent and economically similar district. Additionally, by shifting Roseville and Sacramento Counties to the Mt. Cap district you keep established communities together in Sacramento and the very important Roseville/ Sacramento infrastructure link consolidated in one district.

State Assembly:

Shasta County should be located in the Yuba District and populations should be shifted in Butte to make this accommodation. The economic connections created by the I-5 corridor are very important and are a significant link between our communities. Siskiyou County would also be a very logical addition to this district but since the commission has decided that Yuba County must be linked with Sutter County the populations cannot work.

These are very simple changes and are truly the most logical choices for creating districts. Those of us who have lived here for decades know the basis of our communities and work together to improve our lives. Please don't split our well-established regions. We hope you will grant us this easy change and improve upon the work you have done.

Sincerely.

Dear Commission Members,

We believe you have made an error in your attempted drawing of new district lines for Northern California. You have a difficult task and many issues to balance however the lines you have drawn for the North State are fatally flawed.

You have drafted districts that may meet the population guidelines but they violate everything that is truly important in Propositions 11 and 20. You have lumped portions of the far northern reaches of the state with Sacramento- why? Simply adding population from Sacramento into a district primarily comprised of rural agricultural counties is not a constant community of interest.

You have received hundreds of comments from the north state and the message is simple: keep us away from the coast and the delta and draft districts based on our major economic connections of transportation infrastructure. There should be an I-5, Hwy 395 and a Hwy 101 district. The North State should not stretch into Yolo or Sacramento Counties if it can be helped.

Major flaws exist in your maps published on July 11th, yet there are simple ways to alter the drawn districts that will be more consistent with regard to communities of interest and simply more logical.

State Senate:

Please remove Siskiyou County, Shasta County, the City of Lincoln, the City of Rocklin as well as surrounding rural agricultural areas of Placer County from the Mt. Cap district and place them in the more appropriate Yuba District. Next, remove Roseville, Rancho Cordova, Citrus Heights, Carmichael and as much Sacramento County as possible from the Yuba District and place them in the Mt. Cap district.

These changes only affect the Yuba and the Mt. Cap districts and have no effect on any other lines. Yet these changes create a much more logical and community minded district layout. By keeping the I-5 communities together and removing large suburban and urban areas from the Yuba District you are creating a more balanced agriculturally consistent and economically similar district. Additionally, by shifting Roseville and Sacramento Counties to the Mt. Cap district you keep established communities together in Sacramento and the very important Roseville/ Sacramento infrastructure link consolidated in one district.

State Assembly:

Shasta County should be located in the Yuba District and populations should be shifted in Butte to make this accommodation. The economic connections created by the I-5 corridor are very important and are a significant link between our communities. Siskiyou County would also be a very logical addition to this district but since the commission has decided that Yuba County must be linked with Sutter County the populations cannot work.

These are very simple changes and are truly the most logical choices for creating districts. Those of us who have lived here for decades know the basis of our communities and work together to improve our lives. Please don't split our well-established regions. We hope you will grant us this easy change and improve upon the work you have done.

Sincerely.

Dear Commission Members.

We believe you have made an error in your attempted drawing of new district lines for Northern California. You have a difficult task and many issues to balance however the lines you have drawn for the North State are fatally flawed.

You have drafted districts that may meet the population guidelines but they violate everything that is truly important in Propositions 11 and 20. You have lumped portions of the far northern reaches of the state with Sacramento- why? Simply adding population from Sacramento into a district primarily comprised of rural agricultural counties is not a constant community of interest.

You have received hundreds of comments from the north state and the message is simple: keep us away from the coast and the delta and draft districts based on our major economic connections of transportation infrastructure. There should be an I-5, Hwy 395 and a Hwy 101 district. The North State should not stretch into Yolo or Sacramento Counties if it can be helped.

Major flaws exist in your maps published on July 11th, yet there are simple ways to alter the drawn districts that will be more consistent with regard to communities of interest and simply more logical.

State Senate:

Please remove Siskiyou County, Shasta County, the City of Lincoln, the City of Rocklin as well as surrounding rural agricultural areas of Placer County from the Mt. Cap district and place them in the more appropriate Yuba District. Next, remove Roseville, Rancho Cordova, Citrus Heights, Carmichael and as much Sacramento County as possible from the Yuba District and place them in the Mt. Cap district.

These changes only affect the Yuba and the Mt. Cap districts and have no effect on any other lines. Yet these changes create a much more logical and community minded district layout. By keeping the I-5 communities together and removing large suburban and urban areas from the Yuba District you are creating a more balanced agriculturally consistent and economically similar district. Additionally, by shifting Roseville and Sacramento Counties to the Mt. Cap district you keep established communities together in Sacramento and the very important Roseville/ Sacramento infrastructure link consolidated in one district.

State Assembly:

Shasta County should be located in the Yuba District and populations should be shifted in Butte to make this accommodation. The economic connections created by the I-5 corridor are very important and are a significant link between our communities. Siskiyou County would also be a very logical addition to this district but since the commission has decided that Yuba County must be linked with Sutter County the populations cannot work.

These are very simple changes and are truly the most logical choices for creating districts. Those of us who have lived here for decades know the basis of our communities and work together to improve our lives. Please don't split our well-established regions. We hope you will grant us this easy change and improve upon the work you have done.

Sincerely, Mahay Kaliba 9

Subject: Public Comment: 9 - Shasta

From: "Linda G. White" <

Date: Mon, 1 Aug 2011 17:13:18 +0000

To:

From: Linda G. White <

Subject: Redistricting input ignored

Message Body:

It appears from the final map drafts that you did not consider Shasta County as being in the I-5 corridor which has most in common with the other I-5 cities. I oppose and object to your rubbers stamping and lack of review of public opinion. Did you even consider Shasta County would best be served by staying in the north south districting as it exists and keeping the same Assembly and Senate regions. This "new" districting failed in it's mandate to strictly apply constitutional criteria, consistently apply race and community of interest criteria and sought to diminish dissenting viewpoints. Instead it appears compromised by political concerns. We noticed, object and ask it to be fixed.

_ -

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

1 of 1 8/2/2011 9:27 AM

RECEIVED

region 9: shasta

AUG 0 1 2011

Per____

July 26, 2011

Citizens Redistricting Commission 901 P Street, Suite 154A Sacramento, CA 95814

If you politicians worked as hard to save our tax money, As you do to spend it and raise our taxes more. It would sure help our Country.

You want to change the County lines so you can charge us more Taxes. Why don't you leave them alone.

Shasta County needs to be back with Tehama County & Glenn Counties.

It worked for years and we did alright. You Politicians make Laws & Rules to help <u>you</u>. Not us Taxpayers.

You Politicians lack common sence.

If you have any Questions feel free to call

Chuck Lema