Subject: Fwd: Public Comment: General Comment

From: Voter <

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 12:43:33 -0700

To: christi

----- Original Message -----

**Subject:**Public Comment: General Comment **Date:**Tue, 16 Aug 2011 01:23:19 +0000

From: Katherine Moyd ≤

To:

From: Katherine Moyd ≤ Subject: Final maps?

subject. Tillul maps

Message Body:

The link in your e-mail displays only the final drafts. Were there no changes in the approved versions?

- -

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

1 of 1 8/17/2011 10:28 AM

**Subject:** Public Comment: General Comment

From: Bill Arrowsmith <

**Date:** Wed, 17 Aug 2011 05:28:46 +0000

To:

From: Bill Arrowsmith <

Subject: ACRONYMS Not Defined

## Message Body:

Kudos to all on a terrific job. I particularly appreciated Dr. McCue's explanation of how voting populations were determined within census blocks and the staggering statistical problems in coordinating geographic and population stats from different sources.

My question is quite simple: where can I find an explanation of the acronyms used on the 2011 redistricting maps?

Specifically, the district "names" (e.g. IGWSG for my Congressional District, #43. I know that CVAP refers to Citizen Voting Age Population, but what do LCVAP, BDCVAP, and ADCVAP refer to? Am I being obtuse? Did I miss a glossary somewhere? Why publish all these statistics but not tell us what they mean?

Thank you.

p.s. I also discovered a couple of errors in Dr. McCue's example on pages 9 and 10 of the document explaining how the redistricting demographic statistics were derived, but I will contact him directly via his email address.

- -

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

1 of 1 8/17/2011 10:29 AM

**Subject:** Public Comment: General Comment

From: "James A. Woods" <

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 17:53:23 +0000

To:

From: James A. Woods <

Subject: Disapproval of the final version of the new districts

## Message Body:

I have been a registered voter for more than 40 years and some of the decisions made to split cities and areas on this congressional district map are the worst I have seen since I started voting and studied gerimandering in school. What were the members of the committee thinking when they decided to cut up the state like this? This map of the state districts should be put into the shredder and start from scratch. It is unfair to Californians in almost every district (as drawn). Good old COMMON SENSE would have come up with a better map than this one. Dump it and start again!!

- -

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

1 of 1 8/17/2011 10:29 AM

**Subject:** Public Comment: General Comment

From: Michael Barkley <

**Date:** Tue, 16 Aug 2011 17:16:41 +0000

To:

From: Michael Barkley ≤

Subject: Supreme Court writings on your web site

Message Body:

2 of the 3 Supreme Court writings posted on your home page seem to be incomplete. Best wishes, --Mike

\_ \_

This mail is sent via contact form on Citizens Redistricting Commission

1 of 1 8/17/2011 10:29 AM