STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

CRC BUSINESS MEETING

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 23, 2020 9:30 a.m.

Transcription by:

eScribers, LLC

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Isra Ahmad, Chair
Alicia Fernandez, Vice-Chair
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Ray Kennedy, Commissioner
Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Taylor, Commissioner
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner
Angela Vazquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Raul Villanueva, Interim Administrator Marian Johnston, Interim CRC Staff Counsel

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator Katy Manoff, Comment Moderator

Also Present

PUBLIC COMMENT

Renee Westa-Lusk
Michelle DiGuilio
Lori Shellenberger
Anne Hunter
Jacqueline Coto

3

INDEX

	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	4
General Announcements	5
Public Comment	5
Commissioner Updates	18
Update on 2020 Census	35
Counsel Update	39
Public Comment	42

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 9:30 a.m. September 23, 2020 3 CHAIR AHMAD: Good morning, everyone. Today is 4 Wednesday, September 23rd, 2020. The time is 5 approximately 9:38 a.m. Can we have --6 MS. JOHNSTON: Could you speak up, please? 7 CHAIR AHMAD: Can we have a roll call vote? I'm 8 sorry. Roll call attendance. 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Ahmad? COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here. 10 11 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Akutagawa? 12 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here. 13 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Andersen? 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here. 15 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Fernandez? 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Here. MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Fornaciari? 17 18 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here. 19 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Kennedy? 20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here. 21 MS. JOHNSTON: Commi -- yeah! 22 Commissioner Le Mons? 2.3 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here. 24 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Sadhwani? 25 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

1 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Sinay? 2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here. MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Toledo? 3 4 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here. 5 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Turner? COMMISSIONER TURNER: 6 Here. 7 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Taylor? COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: 8 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Vazquez? 10 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here. 11 MS. JOHNSTON: Commissioner Yee? Commissioner Yee? 12 You're muted. 13 COMMISSIONER YEE: (Unintelligible). 14 CHAIR AHMAD: Your voice is very chipmunky. 15 COMMISSIONER YEE: (Unintelligible). 16 MS. JOHNSTON: The joys of technology. 17 Everyone's present Commissioner Chair. 18 CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. 19 Do we have any general announcements? 20 (No audible response.) 21 Seeing none, can we hear the instructions to call in 22 for public comment? 2.3 MR. VILLANUEVA: So before I do those, to the 24 public, we're going to present to you a different system 25 than the ATT. Hopefully, it will be a little bit more

simpler and straightforward for you. The instructions that I'm reading to you are also posted on the website.

So in order to maximize transparency and public

participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment during their meeting by phone.

There will be opportunities to address the Commissioners regarding the items on the agenda. And there will also be opportunities for the public to submit general comments about items not on the agenda.

Please note that the Commission is not able to comment or discuss items not on the agenda. The Commission will advise the viewing audience when it is time to submit public comment. The Commissioners will then allow time for those who wish to comment, to dial in.

So to call in on your phone, dial the telephone number that is provided on the livestream feed. Next, when prompted, enter the meeting ID number, which is also provided on the livestream feed using your dial pad.

When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound sign.

Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a queue from which a moderator will begin unmuting callers to submit their comment. You will also hear an automatic, automatic message to press star nine to raise

your hand, indicating that you wish to comment. Again, you would be pressing star nine to raise your hand, which indicates that you wish to comment.

When it is your turn to speak, the moderator will unmute you, and you will hear an automatic message to the effect, "the host would like you to talk and to press star six to speak." You will have 3 minutes to provide your comments. Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And please turn down the livestream volume.

The Commissioners will take comment for every action item on the agenda. And as you listen to the online video stream, the Chair will be calling for public comments. This is the time to call in. The process for making a comment will be the same each time, beginning by dialing the telephone number provided on the livestream feed, and following the steps as I just stated.

Again, these instructions are on the website, if you would like to download them and use them. Thank you.

Chair?

2.0

2.3

CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you, Raul.

MR. VILLANUEVA: You're welcome.

CHAIR AHMAD: Katie, I see we have some folks in the

```
1
    queue. Can you let the first person in?
 2
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes.
 3
         If you wish to make a comment and haven't done so,
 4
    please press star nine to raise your hand. It's not on
 5
    yet. It's not letting me in. If you could hold on for
    just one moment, please.
 6
 7
        MS. SHELLENBERGER: Yes. Hello, this is
 8
    Lori Shellenberger. Can you hear me?
 9
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes.
10
        MS. SHELLENBERGER: Okay. It's a little tricky
11
    getting -- hello?
12
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We had -- so we had
13
    multiple people unmute themselves. If -- I did. So one
14
    moment. So the last two -- the last four, 2829, if you
15
    could state your comment?
16
        MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yes. My name is Renee Westa-Lusk.
17
    I live in Ridgecrest, California. I'm located in eastern
18
    Kern County. I had two, I guess, questions that are not
19
    on the agenda. One is, maybe the commission could
20
    address this at a later meeting about the -- first of
21
    all, the delay of the census. Will that impact the
22
    redistricting's Commission's ability to meet California
2.3
    state deadlines for redistricting, if the census data
24
    isn't given to them in time to do the redistricting,
25
    according to California state laws?
                                         There's a Brennan
```

Report that was on the last Commission's last meeting of June 6th that reported the consequences of the delayed census taking. So that is a major concern to me.

My second comment is, I hope all the redistricting Commissioners have read over the redistricting 101. It was a PowerPoint that was on that redistricting Commission website that described the basis on which the redistricting is to be done. And I hope they all take into consideration about conti — contiguity and communities of interest, because those are really the most — or they call it municipal geography, which incorporates communities of interest. And to be fair, to — the last commission paid huge attention to the municipal geography and communities of interest.

And I believe that's the best way to make this nonpartisan because I think the Commission did a great job in the last redrawing the lines because they kept politics out of it and based it on directives and proper directives in redrawing the lines so they're fair and stay out of political reasons for drawing lines. That's my comment. Thank you.

THE COURT REPORTER: This is the court reporter.

Could the speaker please spell your name?

And can we be reminded when speakers do speak, just please spell their name when they first log on?

```
1
         MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. It's Renee, R-E-N-E-E.
    first name has two Es after the N. And the last name is
    W-E-S-T-A-, and then there's a hyphen, and then it's
 3
 4
    Lusk, capital L-U-S-K.
 5
         COURT REPORTER:
                          Thank you.
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And we're going to our
 6
 7
    next caller.
         If you could please spell your name after you state
 9
    it for the court reporter? You can hit star six to
10
    unmute yourself.
11
         MS. DIGUILIO:
                       Hello?
                                Can you hear me?
12
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes. Can you please
13
    spell your name --
14
         MS. DIGUILIO: Okay.
15
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: -- for the court
16
    reporter?
17
         MS. DIGUILIO: My name -- good morning. My name is
18
   Michelle DiGuilio, M-I-C-H-E-L-L-E D-I-G-U-I-L-I-O.
    one of the former 14 commissioners from the 2010
19
20
    Commission, being one of the no party preference members
21
    who is now just an average citizen. I'm still in
22
    Stockton, been here with my four kids, who are now
    teenagers, and busy working for the Stockton Fire
23
24
    Department.
25
         It's great to see all the new commissioners.
```

Congratulations. And while initially reluctant to address the Commission, I realized that some words of wisdom may come in handy as you develop your own approach to redistricting in 2020. I've broken it down to three areas that can be summarized as people, process, and pressure. I'll try to make it fast, but if you -- you may need to indulge me a bit towards the end. The first area is people. Basically, you, the Commissioners, you probably realize that you'll be connected with one another pretty intensely for the next year plus and the following 10 years. And as 14 people with different backgrounds and stories, I cannot emphasize enough the importance of building relationships and camaraderie early amongst your group. I know you have the added challenge of COVID, but because you are all new to one another as well as this process, building your relationships with each other will be fundamental to your success in many ways, because each and every one of you, at some level, will have to work towards consensus and even compromise at some point on some topic quaranteed. And you can't do these things without a foundation of knowing each other. Again, I can't emphasize this enough. Normally I would say this will happen with meals and travel and committees and meal, carpeal (sic), carpools and even more meals. But trying to gather in

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

any form. But for now, just start somewhere. Get to know each other. You'll need it later.

2.0

Okay. My next set of words of wisdom around -- revolves around the process. I'll start off with a suggestion. If you ever have questions about the intention of the Voters First Act, ask them. Bring in those that created the proposition. California Common Cause, League of Women Voters, and others. They can be extremely helpful.

Now, about the process. The first two lessons are simple reminders. First, fundamentally, you can't draw the lines and start mapping until you have the census data in March, public input, and VRA Legal Assistance.

Securing these things early will help you tremendously.

The second reminder is that the line drawing criteria is, of course, ranked. This is your guideline for everything. You will come back to the ranking over and over again, and you will have to prioritize some things over others due to the position in the ranks.

The next key to the process is transparency, which is very important to the legitimacy of the process. If there is daylight, there is the opportunity for the public to respond to the process as well as better accept the outcome. In addition to legitimacy, transparency allows for checks and balances. Transparency allows for

people to comment on previous issues. When the process is open, people are held accountable.

An example -- a quick example is that a former student brought to my attention Doug Johnson's presentation to you from the Rose Institute, in which Doug had multiple drastic mischaracterizations of the last Commission's work. But that's the beauty of transparency. Someone somewhere will call people out when they are wrong or intentionally misleading to you. That transparency loop will be a great benefit to you in this process.

Finally, my last bit of procedural advice, but maybe one of my most important, is to remember that you are the line drawers. You are not hiring line drawers. You are hiring an organization with technical capacity to help the Commission draw the lines. To this end, I would highly recommend not structuring it so the technical consultants go off and draw the lines. That's way too -- there's way too many applications with each line movement, and all commissioners and the public must see how each line comes into existence for the acceptance of the process. To this end, I would just maybe briefly suggest that you could have a quick look at the line drawing sessions from the last commission, not because it's how it should be done, but because you can get a

flavor of what it's like and how that nuanced line drawing actually is. It's definitely a process worth a peek.

And finally, my last section is pressure. It's quick and can easily be summed up as the good, the bad, and the extra. The good pressure, that is public testimony input. Highlights include the fact that you will have the privilege of hearing from your fellow Californians. Your appreciation for California will deepen, because you will learn something new about The People and places of California, even if this has been your home for decades upon decades. It will be one of the most challenging things to do. But one of the most rewarding.

The bad pressure. Some realities. Now, if it hasn't already been said to you, let me be the first to say it out loud. There are people that want you to fail, not aggressively, and not to anyone specifically, but generally speaking. This includes legislators, lobbyists, line drawers, influencers, and not just from California, but from other states. They want you, the commission, to be unsuccessful in redistricting, because one, if no net maps are adopted, then the responsibility will go to the judges.

And two, more insidiously, if you fail, it will



1 prove their point that, quote, regular citizens don't have the skill or ability to redistrict and that power should be back in the hands of legislators; or in the 3 4 case of other states, it will be used as proof that 5 independent commissions won't work in their state. Don't underestimate the powers around you that aren't always in 6 7 your corner; another reason you will need to trust each other. You 14 are the most invested in this 8 9 success -- in this being successful, and you'll need to 10 lean each -- on each other heavily. 11 Lastly, the extra pressure. As a bonus, the 12 Commission also carries the extra weight on its shoulders 13 that you have each the embodiment of Californians placing 14 their trust in their fellow citizens. You will also be 15 an example of what has been lost somewhere in our 16 political culture; collaboration, compromise, consensus. 17 Working together, even when you don't agree, especially 18 when you don't agree, ultimately, your success means fair 19 representation. Something extra, but something very 20 important. 21 So that brings me to the end of my very long words 22 of wisdom for your consideration. If it helps inform 23 your discussions, great. If not, I've had my say, like 24 so many other Californians will do. Either way, I wish

each and every one of you the best of luck.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The next caller, if you could press star six.

2.3

MS. SHELLENBERGER: Good morning. Can you hear me?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes. Can you please

state, and spell your name for the Court reporter?

MS. SHELLENBERGER: Yes. Good morning. This is

Lori, L-O-R-I, Shellengerger, S-H-E-L-L-E-N-B-E-R-G-E-R.

I'm the redistricting consultant for Common Cause, and I

want to say good morning to everyone. And I'm actually

calling in just with a -- a few words about public

participation and facilitating participation, and really

want to say, first of all, I appreciate the demonstrated

commitment that the Commission has toward being as

inclusive as possible and making sure the public has the

ability to participate.

And with that in mind, I had three things that I wanted to flag for you. The first is notice regarding your meetings and the agenda. There is a way to sign up on the website for notices, but we aren't currently getting those. And so we previously received emails from the auditor's office about the selection process. We don't get those emails anymore, and there aren't any new emails being generated from the list that I'm assuming is being amassed, but perhaps just not operationalized yet.

So I just wanted to flag that, because that's an



important way for folks to understand when meetings will be happening and to -- and when the agendas have been posted. And I want to preface this with recognizing you're still getting up and running. And I know some of this is just ramping up and getting staffed, but just wanted you to know that folks are currently getting those emails.

Second is regarding your agenda. And we raised this during your last meeting, but to the extent that you can, at the beginning of each meeting and at the end of each day, let the public know what items you will be covering. And for any items that are time certain, letting them know so that folks can plan around that.

And then the third is regarding the dialing in which actually this system seems to be a little bit easier, in terms of folks getting into the queue. But I just want to make sure that one of the things I know you were working in that waiting period while people had the opportunity to dial in. And I just want to make sure that you realize it can be a little confusing and cumbersome. This now includes a passcode that people have to enter. So just being patient and allowing -- and I would say up to five minutes for people to figure out how to dial in and not include that instruction period because some people are listening to that to understand

how to do it. Even to the extent you -- maybe you want to take a short break while people are getting into the queue. But to consider that it is -- there is a lag time, and it can take a few minutes for people to figure out how to get in that queue. And with that, I thank you, and good luck with your meeting over the next few days.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: That is everybody in the queue at this time.

CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you.

So moving on to the next agenda item, Commissioner Updates. So items of interest to the Commission. For this item, we can just popcorn. So any of my colleagues have anything that you would like to share that would be of interest to the rest of the Commission? Please raise your hand, and we can start that conversation.

Yes, Commissioners Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't know what the intent is, if it's just our personal life or if it's actually things that are on the agenda for this. So if you could clarify that a little bit more.

CHAIR AHMAD: I believe this item was in response to just general updates that would be of interest to the whole Commission, whether you define that as personal, I think, it is up to you. But I would like to keep it

1 somewhat consistent with the Commission work.

2.0

2.3

And yes, Commissioner Fernandez, and then back to Sinay.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think -- I remember from the last time, the way I had proposed it would be, you know, we talked about making sure we all know what's going -- what we're doing, just to make sure we're transparent. So I think part of it also had to do, like, if you speak at any event or you went somewhere or you read something, just to kind of keep us all up to date in terms of the activities that we're doing that are related to this redistricting process, just glad that we're all transparent.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So on that note, that's what I thought, but I wanted to make sure. I did notice that on the agenda, the Public Education Outreach and Engagement Committee -- Public -- Advisory Committee or what -- subcommittee that Vasquez and I are on does not show up in today's agenda, nor the October 5th agenda. And I was also concerned that we created an October 5th agenda without the input from the Commission. I thought that was part of the work that we would do at the end of each one for the next one. So I did want to put that out at the very beginning.

As part of the outreach subcommittee, I checked



with -- checked in with counsel and was told, yes, you 1 and Vasquez together can talk to some of the groups out 3 there and get some ideas. I will tell you that sometimes 4 I wear multiple hats, so I may be on a call with one hat 5 and other information comes up. So I am on a share -information share, so I apologize if you get too many 6 7 emails from me. Just delete them if they're not of interest. But I do believe in sharing what I can. 8 9 And I'm looking to -- one of the emails that I 10 just -- one of the webinars I went to was on the Census 11 Legacy. It was a funders-only webinar, but I do have the 12 access to it. And that had a lot of interesting 13 conversations around the census blocks and how to 14 con -- to build them, especially in the state of 15 California for other things. And redistricting did come 16 up in that conversation, so that might be of interest. 17 did speak with the former Commissioner, oh, I'm 18 forgetting Connie's last name. I apologize. 19 MS. JOHNSTON: Ms. Malloy. 2.0 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Malloy. She used 21 to work with the Irvine Foundation. And I also spoke 22 with Amy Dominguez Arms, who also -- she was the 2.3 consultant for the funding for the 2010, as well as the 24 funding now for the 2020. And she, I think, would be a 25 great speaker at our next meeting, just because she

worked the landscape both times, and she can tell us how much funding is out there for outreach, what worked, what didn't. And my main question for folks was what worked, what didn't last time, as well as if they had any best practices out there around how to engage people in the time of COVID.

And I also spoke to -- yesterday

Commissioner Vazquez, and I spoke to Ben Williams from
the National Committee of State Legislature. No, the C.

I got this wrong. But anyway, again, the same type of
conversation they were sharing, that there's a lot of
commissions out there that probably the commissioners
from different commissions would love to just sit around
and talk about this in different states because it's such
a big question. But I am putting together a kind of a
literature review, as well as I will have a report when
we're on the agenda. Thank you.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I just wanted to address a couple of the issues or concerns that

Commissioner Sinay brought up. First of all, yes, the subcommittee for outreach wasn't there, and I did bring that up. But unfortunately, what happens is the agenda has to be posted two weeks prior to the meeting, and it had already been posted. And I realized that they had

left the Outreach Subcommittee that you and 1 Commissioner Vazquez are on. So it should be corrected 3 for future meetings. And in terms of the agenda already 4 being out for the next meeting, again, it has to be 5 posted 14 days prior. And that was as of yesterday, which is prior to our meeting today. So it's -- it's 6 7 kind of if we're going to have almost weekly meetings, it's going to be very difficult to try to have the full 8 9 Commission contribute to that, other than if, you know, 10 we do some other format. So I think it's good, once we 11 get to agenda item number 11 to maybe really talk about 12 that, like, having a meeting every week. That means 13 we're posting agendas every week. So I'm sure there's a 14 way that we'll be able to do it. But I think as we're 15 learning right now, we're trying to figure it out, and 16 it's not intentional. So we just kind of went with what 17 we had discussed last time, in terms of what we wanted to 18 be on agendas for -- be on future agendas. It's kind of 19 how we posted it for the next one. 2.0 CHAIR AHMAD: Just to add to that with the whole 21 staggered agenda posting. So as of today, myself and 22 Commissioner Fernandez are leading, but 2.3 we -- Commissioner Fernandez was only Vice Chair starting 24 today, but the agenda was posted yesterday for the 25 meeting that she will be chairing next time. So there's

1 a little passing the baton trust game that we have to play with each other, that we would be chairing a meeting 3 that we might not necessarily have put the agenda 4 together for. Do we have any other commissioners' 5 thoughts on this item before we move on? Commissioner Sadhwani? 6 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- for future, I feel 8 like, well, maybe we want to go back and revisit. 9 we adopted last time our understanding of how long the 10 chairs would hold their position. Please correct me if 11 I'm wrong, but I think we said a minimum of three 12 meetings or something like that, or I forget the wording 13 that we had. But perhaps we want to -- we can talk about 14 it later, but perhaps we want to think about having 15 commissioners -- if we're doing these two days every week 16 moving forward, perhaps a commissioner takes two weeks. 17 So there's four days there, and then there's a little bit 18 more continuity across. Just one suggestions. 19 In terms of updates, for full transparency, I teach 20 a class in California politics, so we were talking about 21 redistricting. We have a quest speaker coming in this 22 week, who's been the long-time redistricting scholar at 2.3 Stanford (unintelligible). 24 CHAIR AHMAD: Any last-minute thoughts on this 25 agenda item before we move on? And there's plenty of

1 room at the end also to share as well. 2 Commissioner Andersen and Sinay? 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just a quick on the subcommittee. It actually says, "Subcommittee Updates". 4 5 So I don't quite understand why we can't put all the subcommittees in there. Just because one -- a particular 6 7 one isn't listed, I don't see -- we certainly -- what we're putting together we're, you know, that -- it's 8 9 certainly under the topic. And I think that's certainly 10 within the purview, which is when we originally were 11 talking about these, understanding that the 14-day 12 requirement, we are putting topics together. 13 And to say, well, since we didn't specifically say 14 that particular one, I -- I think that's, you know, 15 getting sort of too nitty pick, like, it's too specific. 16 And I don't think we need to limit ourselves quite that 17 much. I mean, I -- I'd like Raul to please clarify. But 18 I think we're really being, you know, over -- it should 19 just be updated, you know, when the -- and re -- when we 20 repost. 21 You know, it, like, that's -- because I think most 22 people don't actually print out the agenda until the 23 meeting before. And it's not like we're adding a new

topic. It's just being a little bit more specific.

as one of the -- I think Lori Shellenberger just

24

- 1 ca -- called in and said, you know, at the day -- at the
- 2 | beginning of each day, if you could tell us what's
- 3 happening when, that certainly says now, notice that
- 4 there is a highlight. It's still under the same topic.
- 5 | We're just being a bit more specific. I don't think -- I
- 6 think that's certainly within the intent of the Bagley-
- 7 Keane Act, keeping things open, so.
- 8 CHAIR SINAY: I'm not sure that's accurate. What I
- 9 have heard often is put as much as you can in the agenda
- 10 | so you're as clear as you can. And so it would be
- 11 | listing all the subcommittees so that the community knows
- 12 | what we're going to talk about. So we do need to be
- 13 | careful about that.
- Regarding creating the agenda, I'm not sure why
- 15 Fernandez could not work. That was kind of why we had
- 16 the chair and the vice chair. You can have up to two
- 17 people working on things, so the vice chair should be
- 18 pulled in when creating the next agenda. And I would
- 19 recommend not putting the let's talk about the next
- 20 agenda last because I tried to talk about the last -- the
- 21 agenda.
- 22 Last time I worked really hard on collecting what
- 23 everybody was saying through all eight days or seven days
- 24 of meetings and really felt like I was cut short. And --
- 25 and no one asked me for my notes. I had -- I worked

- 1 | really hard on that, and nobody came back asking for it.
- 2 | So I do recommend that we move it earlier, and we
- 3 actually take it seriously about let's look at all of
- 4 October.
- 5 And we also, I know Commissioner Sadhwani and I and
- 6 others, we really need our dates planned out through
- 7 December. It's not working for me to have just October.
- 8 So because people are in such a hurry to finish the
- 9 meeting, can I please ask us to move that item closer to
- 10 | the beginning?
- I also would like this not to feel rushed about
- 12 selecting staff and all that and to talk about that
- 13 during that. But I'm really feeling at times that people
- 14 are rushing us when we don't need to be rushed.
- 15 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Akutagawa?
- 16 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: If I can just make a
- 17 | suggestion about the Committee Updates. Given that the
- 18 likelihood, at least for the next few meetings, is that
- 19 the committees will have updates. Can we just have them
- 20 as a standing item on the agenda, at least for right now?
- 21 And if for whatever reason, there isn't really a
- 22 | substantive update that the Committee wants to report on,
- 23 they can always say, you know, we don't have anything to
- 24 report at this time. And then that way then, you know,
- 25 | we don't have to be concerned about, oh, this wasn't on

the agenda. That -- that's just one thought about just making sure that then people know that there will be an expectation of a conversation. And if there isn't, there isn't.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez?

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And -- and that's -- that is what we talked about. And in terms of me developing the agenda for part of the next meeting alone, I did not. It was actually started with Commissioner Mod, and then I was brought in towards the end of it, which was fine. So I wasn't in a bubble. And so it was very rushed, and I really did not like that feeling. And I think not -- I don't think any of us like that rushed feeling. But in terms of the subcommittee, that was something we talked about that we would definitely, one, want to include all of them. I even have it on here. I have my outreach English for there and that it would be ongoing and an ongoing agenda item until at some point it might not be needed. Because once we fill certain positions, you know, that subcommittee will no longer be needed. Right. But yeah, I will definitely keep it on there. And you're right, if there's nothing to report, there's nothing to report.

1 about that later when we talk about 11, unless we move that up, which would be a great idea. And I would also 3 like to say, as Commissioners Sinay, that I did feel 4 rushed last time. I felt like every time we were cut off 5 because we're -- we're going to be -- meet at -- be at the hour and a half mark. Okay. So we go -- we take a 6 7 break, and we come back. That's what we signed up for. 8 I'd rather feel comfortable that everybody got to say 9 what they needed to say. And -- and I feel comfortable 10 coming back, that I -- I feel comfortable knowing what 11 we're going to do for the next meeting, then okay, it's 12 one and a half hour, and we're done. So, yeah, I don't 13 mind going beyond -- taking a break and coming back. 14 CHAIR AHMAD: Any other commissioner updates? Yes, 15 Commissioner Akutagawa? 16 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I have a question, and it's 17 just more about process. But to Commissioner Fernandez's point about going beyond, you know, I'm fine with that. 18 19 I think I have a question in terms of -- and maybe this 20 is more directed to Raul and Marian. Our scheduled time 21 is to end at 4:30. Is there a -- is there a limitation 22 or a restriction that we have to be conscious of if we go 23 beyond, let's say, 4:30, 4:45, and then go to 5:00 or 24 even 5:30? 25

So you have contractors in place

MR. VILLANUEVA:

1 providing services. And so really the fallout, if you even want to call it that, is it would -- is just be 3 additional costs. It's always good to have folks advised 4 you could go over, but most of your service providers 5 have been very accommodating. So I don't -- I don't think that's as much of an issue. Did that -- did I 6 7 respond to your question, Commissioner Akutagawa? That's -- that's the -- and it's not a limiter. 8 9 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 10 MR. VILLANUEVA: That's the impact. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. All right. 11 12 right. Thank you. That was helpful. I just -- I think 13 I just want to be able to make sure that we're understand 14 that we're not going to be running up against, like, we 15 have to finish by a certain time regardless of where we 16 are if we're going to go further. I think -- I guess the 17 question I would have is, is given that we've run over 18 all of our meetings, is stating that 4:30 as an end time, 19 is that realistic? Because I am -- I -- I'm scheduling 20 meetings around it, so -- and I'm sure others may be 21 doing so. And I'm perfectly fine. Just tell me what 22 timeline I need to be on, and I will be on. I just want 2.3 to be able to also balance that with also understanding, 24 you know, are we going to run up against other personnel

time costs, restrictions, labor laws, things like that.

```
1
    But I'll totally honor the idea that I think the
    conversations that we're having, especially because
 3
    we're, you know, because of the -- we have to give so
    much notice. We can't just tap on let's meet tomorrow
 4
 5
    because we didn't finish this conversation. You know,
    so, you know, I just want to be conscious about how do we
 6
 7
    balance all of those things and -- and still be able to
    ensure that we have that kind of robust conversation that
 8
 9
    we've been having and honoring everybody's, you know,
10
    ideas and thoughts too.
11
         CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Turner?
12
         COMMISSIONER TURNER:
                               Yes.
13
         Commissioner Le Mons, I'm aware you were ahead of
14
         Do you want to go?
    me.
15
         (No audible response.)
16
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. The thing I think I
17
    wanted to say, I appreciate the comments from everyone
18
    ready for a new week and all.
                                   I think what will be
19
    beneficial is as we get an opportunity to learn each
20
    other's kind of personality and work style and what have
21
    you because, for me, a lot of it has to do with
22
    discipline as well. Discipline and comment,
23
    participation, et cetera. And I think there are a lot of
24
    repeated thoughts throughout our day that sometimes it
25
    feels like each person feels that -- I can recall a
```

1 conversation or a comment that -- I believe was Commissioner Fornaciari said, you know, I wish I had 3 something different or additive to say. I'll probably 4 just listen. And that's kind of a little bit my 5 personality as well. But it seems that throughout our day and perhaps if we can be more mindful of this, not to 6 7 necessarily rush people in your thought process. 8 understand people process and think through things 9 differently. But it does appear that sometimes there are 10 times throughout our day that could perhaps have been a 11 little bit more disciplined in how we approach it. 12 does push us into the end of the day. And we do have 13 contractors working, and we do not have the luxury of 14 just continuing to push things out to the next day. 15 So as chair, it gets to be difficult. You're 16 watching time. You're watching the calendar. You know 17 what's on. You want everyone to talk and -- and then 18 sometimes it seems like, okay, that was good, because it 19 came from a different person, but it really was the same 20 thing that was said before. And so I just think that as 21 we learn personality types, styles and learn to value 22 everyone's input, that's great. And I'm hoping and 23 hopeful for the magnitude of what we need to learn and 24 get accomplished. But we're also being a little bit 25 aware and disciplined of the comments as well.

CHAIR AHMAD: Any additional comments at this time?

MS. JOHNSTON: Just a suggestion on the agenda. If something comes up that was not mentioned in the meeting, it is perfectly appropriate for a commissioner to send an email to the chair and vice chair suggesting items for the -- to be included on the agenda. And the chair and vice chair can -- can do that, even if it did not come up during a full meeting.

CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, I'm not sure if this is part of this agenda item or later, but Marian and Raul, I mean, can't -- once you post the agenda and then if you notice that maybe there's issues or things that are missing or it changes or you want to move things

2.3

around, can you repost an agenda? Or once you post it,
you can't make any changes in that two-week block before
the meeting?

MS. JOHNSTON: You cannot -- you cannot add items to
the agenda. You could have a discussion, if not an

the agenda. You could have a discussion, if not an action item, on your general -- in your general announcements, if you wanted to have a discussion of something, but particularly anything that's going to be an action item must be on the agenda. That cannot be changed after the 14-day notice. It can certainly be moved around. There's nothing wrong with adjusting

1 the -- when each item comes up. MR. VILLANUEVA: Marian, excuse me. So for my 3 clarification, you can't make an -- a revision or an 4 addition? An addition meaning, like, clarification. 5 here --MS. JOHNSTON: You can do a clarification. 6 7 MR. VILLANUEVA: So well --MS. JOHNSTON: You could not do an addition. 9 MR. VILLANUEVA: Let me give an example. So there's 10 presenters that haven't been obtained yet for one of the items on October 5th, and so there's a placeholder there 11 12 for that to add them and to post that. So that would be 13 permissible, yes? 14 MS. JOHNSTON: The topic and that there will be a 15 presentation is notice that they said the name of the 16 presenter does not need to be included in the initial 14-17 day notice. 18 Thank you for the clarification. MR. VILLANUEVA: 19 CHAIR AHMAD: Yes? Commissioner Vasquez? COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: So to that end, we can add. 20 21 If we had items we wanted to discuss but not take action 22 on, could we then not add that? So for example, the 23 Outreach Committee, because there's no action. There's 24 no action. That does (unintelligible).

MS. JOHNSTON: I agree with Commissioner Andersen

1	that you can have a report from the all subcommittees
2	under that general agenda item. And as
3	Commissioner Fernandez said, in the future, we'll make
4	sure that it's listed as a standard item on every agenda.
5	COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Right. And so but then,
6	likewise, if there are discussion pieces we thought had
7	been added, we're not we get to the meeting and we
8	realize, oh, we wanted to finish this conversation, or we
9	wanted to have this discussion, et cetera, that's
10	approp we can still have that discussion as long as
11	we
12	MS. JOHNSTON: Not a discussion. You could have an
13	announcement under the general announcements of items
14	that you wanted to bring up to the general information of
15	the Commission.
16	COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Okay. All right. Thanks.
17	CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy. I saw the
18	yellow box circle your square. Do you have anything to
19	share at this time? Any thoughts you would like to
20	share?
21	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm here and still struggling
22	with technology. I did listen in to the first meeting,
23	or at least part of the first meeting of the Michigan
24	Redistricting Commission last week. That was an
25	interesting experience learning how there are

1	similarities and differences between how they're
2	structured and how we're structured and so forth. But
3	for those who who didn't, I would I would encourage
4	you to take the opportunity whenever their next scheduled
5	meeting is, to listen in and get a get a sense of how
6	they're dealing with things.
7	CHAIR AHMAD: Any additional comments at this time
8	related to item number four?
9	Yes, Commissioner Taylor?
10	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Not to be repetitive or
11	not judicious with my statements. To add on to what
12	Commissioner Kennedy stated, the Michigan Independent
13	Redistricting meetings are available on YouTube, the
14	entirety of both their first two meetings.
15	CHAIR AHMAD: All right. So in the spirit of
16	sharing how our personalities are or working styles, I'm
17	very much so a go-down-the-list kind of person. And my
18	hope is that as we go through the agenda items, we have a
19	substantial amount of time for item 11, in which we can
20	discuss what we want in our future meetings or any
21	suggestions or recommendations on structure, et cetera.
22	So if it's okay with folks, I'd like to move on to
23	number five, which is the update on the 2020 census. So
24	the report on the progress of California Census. So

there is and there is a publicly-available website,

1 2020census.gov, that reports self-response rates. this morning, the national self-response rate was 66.2 percent, and the California self-response rate was 68.6 3 4 The website also includes a map of the 5 different counties, congressional districts, cities, and then also by census tract as well. And in 2010, 6 7 California's self-response rate was 68.2 percent. Currently, the highest reporting count -- or the 9 highest self-response rate by county is sitting at 77.8 10 percent, and the lowest self-response rate by county is 11 sitting at 21.7 percent within the state of California. 12 If you are curious, I highly encourage you all to go 13 check out that publicly-available website. Again, that's 14 2020consensus.gov. I will hand it over to Marian to go 15 over the various litigations related to the census. 16 MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. And I think I'm going to 17 review a little bit, since some members of the public may 18 not have been aware of the -- all the developments 19 happening with the census. Early this spring, the 20 federal census did announce that they were going to delay 21 for some four months. In response to that, there was 22 litigation in California, which actually gave the 2.3 Commission an extra four months also to prepare the maps 24 if the census were delayed for that amount of time. 25 you are relieved from those statutory deadlines, if the

census does come to the state late?

Subsequently, the Census Bureau announced that it was —— instead of delaying, it was moving up the process of collecting the data and was going to end it early.

Not surprisingly, there's been litigation over that as well. There are two lawsuits currently pending; one in California, one in New York that deal with the proposed speed up. One had a hearing on Monday. The other had a hearing on Tuesday. I've not heard of a result in either one of those cases. There was a news report saying the judge seemed inclined to grant an order to the census that it continue until through October, as the federal statute indeed requires. And as soon as we get a ruling on that, I will pass it along to the commissioners.

Interestingly, in the case in California, there was a hearing a couple of days ago where the judge really criticized the Census Bureau for not producing documents that it was supposed to produce, and indicated that in the meantime, it would extend the temporary restraining order until it could have a full hearing with all the necessary documents. That hearing did happen yesterday. And as I said, the press reports indicated the judge seem inclined to issue the preliminary injunction compelling the census to continue, but I don't know the exact result of that.

1 There's also been legislation proposed. We talked 2 about two of those bills last time, and perhaps 3 Commissioner Sadhwani and Toledo would like to give a 4 report on the letters they sent out concerning those two 5 There's also a new two bills that are bipartisan efforts in the Senate and the House of Representatives. 6 7 One final note, as I -- we talked about last time, the 8 litigation over whether or not the census would ask for 9 undocumented status. There was an injunction issued requiring the Census 10 11 Bureau not to ask that question. The Administration has 12 filed a petition with the Supreme Court to seek a review 13 of that order and has asked for a speedy resolution. 14 Court has not ruled on that request yet. The Court has 15 had other things on its mind, obviously, in the last few 16 days. But as soon as there's any the decision on whether 17 there's going to be hearing in the Supreme Court on the 18 undocumented immigrants issue, I will pass that along. 19 Commissioner Sadhwani and Toledo, do you want to 20 report on your letters? 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Perhaps Raul can report. 22 only learned this morning that it was sent out. 2.3 haven't seen it. 24 MR. VILLANUEVA: So the letters were sent out, a 25 hard copy. I haven't had a chance to post them yet.

```
1
    I don't know what else there is to really to report on
 2
    that.
 3
         MS. JOHNSTON: Unless there are any questions.
 4
         (No audible response.)
 5
         CHAIR AHMAD: No question? All right. I'm sure we
    will hear more about this later on this week if there are
 6
 7
    developments. So moving on to item six.
 8
         Back to you, Marian, about counsel updates.
 9
         MS. JOHNSTON:
                       I don't really have anything further
10
    in addition to what I've just said.
11
                      All right.
         CHAIR AHMAD:
12
         So at this time, our 90-minute break is actually at
13
    11:20. I'm sorry, 11:05. And we will be going into
14
    closed session now to address item seven on the agenda.
15
    Do you all think it's okay for us to take our break now
16
    so that when we return, we are not interrupted for
17
    another hour and a half?
18
         MS. JOHNSTON: That's fine.
19
         CHAIR AHMAD: Yes. Commissioner Sinay?
2.0
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do we -- do we want any public
21
    comments be -- on item seven now, before we go into
22
    the -- or -- I know it's awkward because we're going into
23
    private, but if people have thoughts on questions and
24
    stuff, do we want to hear about it now or later?
25
         MS. JOHNSTON: It's up to you. You can hear -- have
```

1 public comment now if you wish. 2 CHAIR AHMAD: Any thoughts on that, Commissioners? Yes? Commissioner Turner and then Andersen. 3 COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think my only thought was I 4 5 was actually wondering if we needed public comment because of the other agenda items we went through. 6 7 Typically, I thought we would -- were to have public 8 comment after each agenda item. 9 MS. JOHNSTON: For any action item, it's required. 10 For other information items, you certainly may. 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just for the record, my 12 question was exactly the same as Commissioner Turner. 13 CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Sinay? 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: If there's no one saying no, 15 then I would recommend -- I would ask or whatever for us 16 to do public comments now so that the public feels like 17 they had their say. 18 CHAIR AHMAD: Sure. 19 Raul, can -- or -- yeah, Raul, can we hear the 2.0 instructions to call in? 21 MR. VILLANUEVA: Certainly. 22 So the Commission will advise the viewing audience 2.3 when it is time to submit public comment. Commissioners will now allow time for those who wish to 24 25 comment to dial in. To call in on your phone, dial the

telephone number provided on the livestream feed. When prompted, enter the meeting ID number, which is also provided on the livestream feed, using your dial pad.

When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the state of the prompted to enter a participant ID.

When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press the pound sign.

2.3

Once you've dialed in, you'll be placed in a queue from which a moderator will begin unmuting callers to submit their comment. You will also hear an automatic message to press star nine to raise your hand, indicating that you wish to comment.

When it's your turn to speak. The moderator will unmute you, and you will hear an automatic message, "The host would like you to talk and to press star six to speak." You will have three minutes to provide your comments. Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. And once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And please remember to turn down the livestream volume.

The Commissioners will take comment for every action item on the agenda. As you listen to the online video stream, the chair will call for public comments as they are now. The process for making comment will be the same each time. Begin by dialing the telephone number provided on the livestream feed, and follow the steps as

```
they've been described. These instructions are also on
1
 2
    the website.
                  Thank you.
 3
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Chair, are you ready for
 4
    public comment?
 5
         CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, we are.
 6
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Please state and spell
 7
    your fir -- for your name for the court reporter.
 8
         MS. SHELLENBERGER: Yes. Hello, this is Lori, L-O-
 9
    R-I, Shellenberger, S-H-E-L-L-E-N-B-E-R-G-E-R,
10
    Redistricting Consultant for Common Cause. And
11
    I'm -- I'm just calling to reiterate, once again, if you
12
    could share, give us a little bit of a sense of
13
    what -- of where you're going for the rest of the day. I
14
    know you're going into the closed session. If that means
15
    you'll be conducting interviews for the rest of the day,
16
    will you be -- do you have a sense of when you'll be
17
    coming back online? It would be very helpful for folks
18
    who are watching and engaged in and following this.
19
    Because many of those folks are, you know, busy, and many
20
    of them working on the census and improving the count.
21
    So to the extent you can let us know what the schedule
22
    for today, tomorrow, and Friday before you take your
23
    break today, that would be greatly appreciated. Thank
24
    you very much.
```

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Please state your name.

- 1 | Spell your name for the court reporter.
- 2 MS. HUNTER: Hi. My name is Anne Hunter, A-N-N-E H-U-N-
- 3 T-E-R. And I have the same comment as Lori
- 4 | Shellenberger. How -- do you have any sense of how long
- 5 you will be in closed session or do I need to and other
- 6 people need to check back incessantly to see where you
- 7 are? So just a very general timeframe would be super,
- 8 super helpful. Thank you very much.
- 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you.
- 10 If you can press star six. Please state and spell
- 11 your name for the Court reporter.
- MS. CUESTA: Yes, this is Renee Westa-Lusk, R-E-N-E-
- 13 E is the first name. Last name is W-E-S, like in Sam, T,
- 14 like in To, A, like an apple, hyphen, Lusk, capital, L-U,
- 15 | like in umbrella, S, like in Sam, K, like in kitten. I
- 16 | just wanted to ask the question of the council, the
- 17 | litigation in California that you said relieved the
- 18 deadlines if the census data is not distributed in time
- 19 to meet the original California laws deadline on
- 20 redistricting, did that happen in the state legislature?
- 21 Like, did something pass there to allow that?
- MS. JOHNSTON: To allow the extra time?
- 23 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yes.
- MS. JOHNSTON: No, it was a decision by the
- 25 California Supreme Court. The legislature brought the

litigation against the Secretary of State, and the Court asked for a response from the 2010 Commission. And after receiving all the information, the California Supreme Court issued the order giving the Commission more time.

MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: If you will please state and spell your name for the court reporter.

MS. COTO: Hi. Good morning. My name is Jacqueline Coto, J-A-C-Q-U-E-L-I-N-E, last name is spelled, C-O-T-O. I am calling on behalf of NALEO Educational Fund. Good morning, everybody. I wanted to briefly share that we have a collaborative work group joining forces together to offer a letter of recommendation to the Commission on best practices related to community engagement and outreach. And we would love to partner with you and be happy to make presentations on different recommendations set forth on the letter that will be submitted to you.

So the workgroup includes organizations with expertise on community engagement and other civic engagement efforts that will be including information on census and engaging underrepresented -- represented communities. And some of the organizations were very active during the last redistricting in 2011. So I wanted to just comment, make a comment on that so that it won't take you off guard. We are really looking to

1 partner with you and move forward with being a really 2 great resource. Thank you so much. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And that's everyone in 4 the queue at this time. Thanks, Katie. 5 CHAIR AHMAD: We'll just stand up for one more minute in case 6 7 other folks want to call in. MS. JOHNSTON: Madam Chair, I -- it's hard to 9 predict how long item six is going to take, but I think 10 it would probably be safe to say they would -- the 11 Commission would not be coming back into open session 12 today, if you and Commissioner Fernandez agree with that. CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Fernandez? 13 14 VICE CHAIR FERNANDEZ: I think when we initially 15 talked about, I think Commissioner Kennedy and myself, we 16 talked about what we're going to go into closed session. 17 We didn't anticipate it -- we anticipated going into 18 closed session in the afternoon and hoping that in the 19 afternoon we could, you know, get ready for tomorrow. 20 And so what I'm wondering is, do we want to maybe move, 21

Because, yes, I agree with Marian. I don't know how

long it's going to take. And I would hate if we're going

like, either agenda item nine up now before we go to

closed session, or do we just go to closed session and

just decide when we're done, we're done and then we go

22

2.3

24

1 to -- if we have the possibility of coming back after closed session, maybe we move that item now so that whoever's listening can listen now instead of trying to 3 4 wait until we're done with agenda item number 7. Does 5 that make sense? Am I just -- okay. Anyways, so that's just my recommendation. We could 6 7 maybe move agenda item 9 up. I think agenda item 11 8 might take a little bit longer, because that, I think, 9 all of us really wanted to take a lot of time on. 10 I'm thinking that's one that we could potentially move 11 And then agenda item 10, I know that Raul had said 12 something to the subcommittee. We're going to plan to 13 discuss that on Friday. So anyway, that's just my 14 suggestion -- or two options, I guess. 15 CHAIR AHMAD: Any other thoughts? Commissioner 16 Sadhwani? 17 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think Commissioner Sinay 18 had her hand up first. 19 CHAIR AHMAD: Go ahead, Commissioner Sinay. 2.0 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just had a quick question, 21 and it might have been sent to us; but when are the folks 22 coming to talk about the redistricting software? 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: Friday. 24 MR. VILLANUEVA: Friday morning.

About what time?

COMMISSIONER SINAY:

1 MR. VILLANUEVA: Friday morning. MS. JOHNSTON: Friday morning. 3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Just if you can have 4 that on their agenda. 5 CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. Go ahead, Commissioner Sadhwani. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. I had the same question as their -- I mean, it sounds like what people 8 9 are asking in public comments is there a specific time 10 for this -- like, what time is the person who's going to 11 give this --12 MS. JOHNSTON: After public comment, I would -- is 13 it 10 o'clock that they're scheduled for? 14 MR. VILLANUEVA: So if you open with public comment 15 Friday morning, it will be right after that. 16 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. 17 MR. VILLANUEVA: I do --18 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It'll be approximately 10 19 o'clock for, I think, folks listening in who wanted more 2.0 of that information. And then I support before we --Commissioner Fernandez's recommendation to move something 21 22 up, whether it's item number 9 or item number 11 which 2.3 has been mentioned as well. Kind of thinking a little 24 bit more about future agenda items; it doesn't really 25 matter to me which one it is. But if we have that

additional time; if we think that that afternoon closed session is -- we only need the afternoon, then I would say let's get that moving now. So I support that.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Vazquez?

2.3

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. Could we maybe ask
Raul if -- because it also sounds like what people are
asking for on public comment -- when we get speakers
scheduled, can we amend the agenda with their time
certain? So that way, again, at the beginning of each
meeting, folks have time certain, Friday at 10,
presentation.

MR. VILLANUEVA: Right. And that was the nature of the clarification question that I was asking Marian precisely. I had left a -- I had a left a space on the agenda for that, and that's why.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, Raul. I think

Commissioner Vazquez -- what she was asking is now we know it's going to be on Friday at 10, so could we amend the agenda now and show that it's going to be on Friday at 10; that way, if anyone else that's interested, knows what time that's going to be discussed. I don't think that's an issue from what Marian said because it's already an agenda item. We're just adding a specific date and time.

```
1
        MS. JOHNSTON: Are you requesting that it be
 2
    reposted with that change or just that it be announced
 3
    with that change?
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Posted. Is that what you
 4
 5
    wanted?
         COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Reposted.
 6
                                           Yeah.
 7
        COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Posted, right?
                                                  Yeah.
         COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I would like it
 8
 9
    reposted.
10
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That would be great.
        MS. JOHNSTON: Are you able to do that?
11
12
        MR. VILLANUEVA:
                          It won't happen immediately.
13
        COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's okay. We already
14
    said it. We've already said; they're aware of it.
15
    for those that aren't viewing right now, it'll be good
    information for them.
16
17
        MR. VILLANUEVA: And if I may; the asterisk on that
18
    is -- is that presupposes that any discussion that we end
19
    with on Thursday, there's no carryover into Friday
20
    morning. And so that's a caveat there. So saying that
21
    it's going to happen at 10 precisely is kind of a
22
    misnomer.
2.3
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, at least we know it's
24
    going to happen on Friday. That's --
25
        MR. VILLANUEVA: Right. Friday morning.
```

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's a good starting 2 point. And then --MR. VILLANUEVA: (Indiscernible, simultaneous 3 4 speech), yes. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. So it's better than not knowing if it's going to be a Wednesday, Thursday, or 6 7 Friday. So it's a good starting point for people that are interested in that specific agenda item. 8 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Sadhwani? 9 10 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thanks. Perhaps one -- I 11 mean, the other piece that we haven't discussed -- I 12 mean, I hope I'm not, like, saying anything that 13 shouldn't be public knowledge. I think it all should, 14 but, I mean, our intention then is to be in closed 15 session Wednesday afternoon and all-day Thursday. I 16 don't think that is quite written anywhere on the meeting 17 agenda. But I think if those kinds of things, like, you 18 know -- folks who are trying to listen in from around the 19 state should probably just know, tomorrow we're not 20 intending to have an open session because we have all of 21 those interviews lined up and stuff scheduled. 22 And then the other piece, if it takes that long to 23 post things to the internet, perhaps -- I don't know how 24 you all -- I'm happy to, like, tweet out, like, that 25 we're expecting folks at 10 a.m. Certainly, that's not

1 the whole list of everybody that we have there. think we've heard this comment several times from folks that they want more transparency in terms of our agenda. 3 4 I know it's difficult because we'd have to, you know --5 we're trying to put so many things together, but I think that we have to find solutions for this. We want an open 6 7 and transparent process. CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons? 8 9 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'd like to hear from 10 commissioners that have recommendations on solving this. 11 I feel like a lot of people are sort of complaining. 12 think about your concern and offer a solution, because 13 there's a lot of variables that prevent this. And I 14 think to frame it in a transparency context is a little 15 off-putting to me. Because in no way is this about us 16 not wanting to be transparent. We have to be very 17 careful about us sitting here saying that, you know, people want transparency as if we aren't being 18 19 transparent. I think we're trying to work out details on 20 how to make this happen with all the variables that we 21 have to consider. So I think we need to talk to staff. 22 And I don't know how this happens; whether it happens 2.3 with the subcommittee who is putting together the agenda,

Because to have, I think, these conversations with

to understand all the mechanisms by which we can adjust

24

1 fourteen people, I don't know if it's really a good use 2 of time, in my opinion. I think that we establish subcommittees for a reason. So we raise these concerns; 3 4 who's going to do the solutions? Because I don't hear 5 that. I just hear, you know, this is wrong, and that's wrong, and we need to do this. And how do we get it 6 7 I guess I like to jump to that discussion. How do 8 we get it done, and who has the answers to the how? I don't know if that's Marian and Raul or someone who has 10 done this before. But I really would love to hear more 11 of that. 12 CHAIR AHMAD: I saw Marian's hand and then 13 Commissioner Andersen and Vazquez. 14 MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Part of it -- a lot of it 15 is just staff limitations. Raul and I are just part-time 16 employees, and we're all you've got right now. And when 17 you're having a meeting, we have to be here. So to ask 18 us to do things now that -- to happen right away, just 19 can't happen. So if you would understand that. It will 20 improve if you hire more staff. 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair, Commissioner 22 Kennedy. 2.3 CHAIR AHMAD: Go ahead, Commissioner Kennedy.

was anyone else in line before me.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I didn't know whether there

24

1 CHAIR AHMAD: Vazquez and Andersen, are you okay 2 with giving Commissioner Kennedy some space to talk? 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Absolutely. CHAIR AHMAD: I'm sorry. Commissioner Kennedy, go 4 5 ahead. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 6 7 sorry if I sound like a broken record on this, but the State Auditor's Office is obligated to provide us the 8 9 support that we need until we are fully functional. 10 you know, if we need more support now, then the State 11 Auditor's Office should provide us more support now. 12 can't be hobbled by these limitations in the meantime. 13 Thank you. 14 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Andersen and then Vazquez 15 and then Le Mons? 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner 17 Kennedy and Commissioner Le Mons. I really appreciate 18 those comments, because it is sort of a frustrating 19 process. I would like to, Raul, sort of facilitate this 20 conversation a little bit. I was talking to Raul about 21 how do we do these things, and it turns out that because 22 of the way it's posted, there's a delay. It isn't just 23 as simple as, you know, what we're used to -- the general 24 public is used to -- is I want to change this document; I

change it; and then I replace the one that's on the

website. It isn't that simple. And that's the basic issue that we're dealing with here.

Raul, is that -- am I just summing it up really quickly and condensing exactly what's going on?

MR. VILLANUEVA: In part, Commissioner Andersen -to the group, that conversation was in regards to the
posting of the recruitments, and those recruitments
connect to actual state processes. And so making a
change to one of those -- that's why it took so long to
establish it, and that's why it takes so long to make any
kind of change; because there's a whole lot backend
processes that are attached to it.

In regards to -- just focusing on the agenda and members of the public wanting to know exactly when something will occur, that's very difficult unless you set everything by a timetable, and then you limit the discussion and put the timetable first. So while that can be done, there's a choice to be made on, like I said, stopping the discussions. Otherwise, what you have is a general time. On the other side with that, is as a commission, if you choose to make changes and take an agenda item in a different order, like has been suggested now, instead of going into closed session immediately to bring some things up to the front and put the closed session after that, that's a choice that you have. Can

it confuse the public? Yes, but that's also your choice in terms of how you order your meeting. You can only announce things in real-time up to a certain point. You can make accommodations up to a certain point. There is those give and takes. But unless you limit your discussion and go on a timetable, people will always be asking you, so when exactly is that going to occur. So I can post something that says it's going to occur at 10 o'clock on Friday, but if something happens Thursday and you need to do additional work with that Friday morning, it's going to impact that. That's just the nature of the work.

And so I kind of agree with Commissioner Le Mons; I don't think we're trying to not be transparent; I don't think that's the issue. I think the issue is managing the discussion, scheduling how you approach things, and as your doing, to let the public know each step of the way, here's what we're doing today. This is our intent. And carrying out that intent as honestly as you can, knowing that things can change. Anyway, my two cents.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you very much, Raul. What I was actually specific -- is going more to the specifics of the details of how. And in terms of our just general information -- in terms of what we put down, what we want to summarize, and how we'd like

1 to make modifications on the agenda, then there's a time frame in which modifying that and updating it onto the 3 website -- there's a bit of a delay there, and I think 4 that's what we're trying to address. Just trying to 5 shorten that up. And I totally agree with Commissioner Le Mons; we are not -- it's not as though we're trying to 6 7 prevent that from happening. We just want to facilitate that to happen. And I'll be the first to nominate if we 8 9 need a subcommittee. I will lead that subcommittee. 10 I'm going to put that in -- out there. If I need to make 11 a motion to do that and whatever that would take, whether 12 it be, get someone from the State Auditor's to do this. 13 I think that's what Commissioner Kennedy -- and what 14 we're sort of indicating we'd like to go to. If that's 15 an action item that we should do. I'm asking for --16 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Vazquez? Oh, I'm sorry. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. So I'm asking for if 18 other commissioners or specifically Raul, or -- do you 19 think that needs to be moved to that level? 2.0 MR. VILLANUEVA: So one of your agenda topics, item 21 number 10, is looking at contracts and procurement. 22 going to skip ahead real quick, not to talk about that, but to mention one of the contracts is to have an interim 2.3 24 IT person. 25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. That's all we need to

1 hear. 2 MR. VILLANUEVA: Yes. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Let's say -- then yes, we're 3 4 going to talk about that in item number 11 -- or in item 5 number --6 MR. VILLANUEVA: Item number 10. 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- 10. MR. VILLANUEVA: Yes. 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Perfect. Thank you very 10 much. 11 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Vazquez, Le Mons, and 12 then Kennedy, maybe? 13 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: So to reiterate my specific 14 request, it may be -- understanding it may be a moot 15 point given that there is quite a bit of lag in the IT 16 department; the extent that we know a general time that 17 things are scheduled, can we update today's agenda to 18 reflect those time approximates -- maybe not time 19 certain, but time approximate, and have those uploaded as 20 soon as you are able, Raul, given everything that you're 21 balancing. And then in the future -- for future agendas, 22 as presenters get scheduled, if we can take that into 2.3 consideration whether we need to update agendas before 24 the meeting starts.

Yes.

25

MR. VILLANUEVA:

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I was going to say --I was going to support Commissioner Andersen and say, if we want to establish a troubleshooting subcommittee, I'd be more than happy to join her on it, or we can -actually, there's a lot of things that are going to come And we talked about the meetings going long et cetera. Part of the reason is, we spend a lot of time on things like this, which is fine, it's not that these things shouldn't get addressed, but I think we need to have a better mechanism. I also think patience is important. We don't have our staff, that's why we set up these interviews. We're trying to get there. I think that there's also little bit of a differential between the first eight's experience and the additional six experience. Last session was you guys' first meeting, and so you're kind of jumped into this and probably are having a very different -- well, you are having a very different experience than we have in terms of, you know, navigating each other's personalities, being accustomed to how the processes kind of work so far even though it's bumpy and cumbersome, kind of waiting it out. So I get that today is a reaction to your experience from the last meeting, and I respect that -- and I am sensitive to that.



I think in order for us to move these foundational things that we need to get in place -- we'll be sailing soon much more smoothly, but it's going to be a little rocky for these first few meetings until we get our staff in place and other things. And if there is -- like for example, the troubleshooting committee can reach out to the State Auditor's Office and pursue Commissioner Kennedy's recommendations so that everything isn't just dumped on the staff; that's something that I'm absolutely prepared to do or any other things that we need to navigate. But I do want to point out that we only have two staff people right now, and our first order of business is to get staffed up so that we can move forward.

So let's just keep a little laundry list of all of our upset and the things that we don't like and what's not working, and we'll start to tick away at that list of getting it fixed. So I want the public to know that we stated from the very, very beginning how important public comment is to us. And I think everything that has really been raised, we've tried to adjust and make sure we have public comment at the beginning. So we're listening, we're committed, and transparency is at the forefront of what we're doing. And I just want to caution that we don't suggest anything other than that, because I haven't

experienced with any of you anything other than that. So that's all I'm going to say on that.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy and Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: The only thing that I would add to this at this point is, we've known for a while that tomorrow was going to be fully occupied with interviews, so, you know, the public can be aware of that. And how we reflect that on agendas in the future is something that we can troubleshoot. Thank you.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fornaciari, did you have your hand up? And then Sinay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Actually, I was just scratching my head, but since you called on me, I'll -- I think part of the challenge we're having here is that we haven't put together a set of ground rules on how we're going manage our meetings, you know. We just haven't done that. We haven't had time to do it. It seems like, you know, hiring and staffing up is, you know -- it's something we need to do and something we need to get going on, and obviously, we're doing that. I'm looking at the agenda for the next meeting, and maybe there's a little room in agenda item 14 for doing that. But, you know, I think maybe at least in the subsequent -- one of the subsequent meetings, we need to make some space to have those kinds of conversations.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Sinay?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't think you meant it this way, Commissioner Le Mons, but you have pushed a few buttons today, and I think we all need to be a little I have not felt a division, and I thought -- I was very happy to be part of the fourteen. I never felt a separation between the six and the eight. And you brought it up a couple of times last meeting as well, and I would like us -- you also are the one who said hey, let's just jump into this and not have the selected and the lucky, you know. We haven't used those names, so I would like us not to bring it up since we have worked well together. We do have different ways of working, and I think it was unfair -- Commissioner Sadhwani did give a solution by saying hey, I'll tweet it out if that's helpful; I can tweet it out as well. Solutions had been put out there, and we were told we were complaining. part of the reason that the first group got a lot of pressure was because it wasn't as diverse and reflective of the whole community. And so we, you know -- we are going to think differently, and that's what we're supposed to. We're all supposed to be a little different.

I don't think you mean to press buttons, but I'd like us all to try to build each other up and build on

1 each other's strengths. I know your strength is to move us forward as quickly as possible, and I do turn to you, 3 and I use you as -- I think before I speak and say, how much will Commissioner Le Mons roll his eyes? As a joke; 4 5 I'm not saying it harshly. Sorry if it came off harsh. But I just want us all to just be a little kinder to each 6 7 other, because I think that is what we're -- you know, 8 when we use the word transparency, that might mean 9 something different for those of us who are more grounded 10 in the community. And for some community people, 11 knowing -- their time is precious. And so knowing what 12 time things are is being transparent for them. But we're 13 being very transparent; we're in a fishbowl right now. 14 So I apologize, but I just want to make sure that we're 15 all a little kinder to each other as we move forward. 16 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Vazquez? 17 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. If I can offer a I think when I've heard people say 18 language adjustment. 19 transparency, I think we're really talking about access. 20 Transparency, I think, has some negative connotations. 21 We're trying to make this more accessible, and I think 22 that that is what this conversation is about. So maybe 23 we need an accessibility committee which is this 24 troubleshooting, right? Like we keep -- we'll do things 25 and we'll realize oh, this wasn't as accessible as we had

1 intended it to be. So there's nothing nefarious, which I think transparency, especially when we're talking about government, has particular connotations. Access; we are 3 4 trying to provide greater access. So accessibility. 5 CHAIR AHMAD: All right. So hearing the conversation, what I would propose for your 6 7 consideration, is that we use the time we have now until 8 11:20 when we get our required break, to start agenda item number 9, and work through that agenda item. 10 we will take our break at 11:20 -- I'm sorry; 11:05, and 11 we return at 11:20. So we have three minutes. 12 our break when we come back at 11:20, we start agenda 13 number -- agenda item number 9. We complete that agenda 14 item, hopefully before lunch. If we need to go on after 15 lunch, we will continue the conversation after lunch. 16 The idea I have is after that we will jump into closed 17 session, and we will be in closed session until Friday 18 morning. Friday morning we will return to open session. 19 We will present the summary of what occurred during 20 closed session and then move along the remaining items of 21 the agenda. 22 How does that sound to everyone? Do I see any 23 objections? 24 Marian and Raul, do you all have any thoughts on

25

that?

```
1
         MS. JOHNSTON: That's perfect.
 2
         CHAIR AHMAD: Okay.
         MR. VILLANUEVA: Very succinctly stated. Thank you,
 3
    Chair Ahmad.
 4
 5
         CHAIR AHMAD: I'm sorry there's no time stamps
    associated with anything, but we will -- that's our
 6
 7
    general idea.
         So right now, it's 11:03. Let's take a fifteen-
 8
 9
    minute break, and we can return at 11:17. And then we
10
    will start with agenda item number 9.
11
              (Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:03 a.m.
              until 11:17 a.m.)
12
13
         CHAIR AHMAD: Welcome back from break. We will be
14
    jumping into item number 9 which is the Subcommittee
15
    Updates.
16
         So going down the list, Action on Census.
    Commissioner Sadhwani and Toledo?
17
18
         COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I can go. So thank you to all
    the commissioners who contributed their edits and sent us
19
20
    their edits via email or in person at the last meeting.
    We used those edits to finalize the letters.
21
                                                  The letters
22
    were then signed and sent out. And currently, we're just
23
    monitoring the litigation, and there's no recommendation
    at this time. I don't know if Commissioner Sadhwani has
24
25
    any additional updates.
```

1 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I would just add that 2 there have been new bills sent out -- introduced. 3 had mentioned those; they are bipartisan bills. In the 4 Senate, it's Democrat Brian Schatz from Hawaii and 5 Senator Lisa Murkowski from -- a republican for Alaska. On the House side, it's Representative Don Young, a 6 7 Republican from Alaska. Also, Ruben Gallego, a Democrat from Arizona. Again, it's just pushing for the extension 8 9 to the census figures that would require the census to 10 continue its work through October and push that deadline 11 for the data to come to the states to August 2021. 12 You know, I don't have a strong recommendation 13 there. Certainly, we could, you know, do another letter 14 specific to these bills if we wanted to. I would love to 15 urge them to move that legislation forward. 16 basically the same kind of the thing as what we had seen 17 before, but this time a bipartisan approach. Certainly, 18 Senator Murkowski has been in the news of late, so I 19 don't know if this is like a top priority for her or not; 20 you know, I have no sense of that. 21 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I quess, one final comment 22 would just be that once we have a communications director 23 that may be publicizing the letters, either through --24 our position, rather -- the position stated in the 25 letters may be a way to just -- to communicate with the

1 public our support for our position and get the word out there a little bit more and include potentially these types of legislation. So just having that additional 3 4 staff capacity would certainly help in communicating 5 these types of positions in the future. 6 CHAIR AHMAD: Are there any questions or comments 7 from other commissioners on action on census 8 subcommittee? 9 Yes, Commissioner Sinay? 10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think once we're able to make the letters public, which I understand we're getting 11 12 there, hopefully, our community partners -- those that 13 want to partner with us, and I do consider them a community partners -- can share our perspective and, you know, they have more of an infrastructure than we do

14 15 16 right now. 17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

But I was shocked in talking to someone this week that they just said that even if the census moves forward, that there's going to be so much litigation that we won't have any data. That was a lot more weak than I had heard the information in the -- you know, heard everyone talking to us -- who had talked to us. So that just this whole idea that the litigation may stop everything, it was kind of a shock. So if anyone knows -- has any updates or thoughts on that.

1	CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fornaciari?
2	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So the assertion was that
3	litigation is going to stop the census data from being
4	released? Do they have any crystal ball to just when
5	or how long; or just indefinitely?
6	COMMISSIONER SINAY: The sense that you know,
7	this was our conversation with Ben (ph.) at NCLS. And he
8	had said that a lot of people in the world of is really
9	feeling that the litigation will prevent the data from
10	coming out because the litigation will be around the
11	quality of the data.
12	CHAIR AHMAD: Marian, I saw your hand up.
13	MS. JOHNSTON: I was just going to suggest to the
14	subcommittee, I think the action at the last meeting was
15	to authorize you to send letters on legis pending
16	legislation. I don't think you need new authority to go
17	ahead and send it on the two new bipartisan bills if you
18	choose to do so.
19	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. Is there a
20	consensus amongst the Commission that that is something
21	we want to move forward? Any objection?
22	CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Andersen.
23	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Particularly, I would
24	recommend that we do go ahead because it is consistent
25	with our mission, and particularly the bipartisan. That

1 is completely what our commission is about. You know,

2 | this is -- this isn't a -- it shouldn't be a partisan

3 | item. We should all have the correct information

4 equally, and that's what we're all about. So I would

5 certainly propose that we can -- that you do go ahead and

6 draft up the letters.

My only thing is, and I don't mean this as a stop, but could you -- could the letter be sent? I don't know if that was -- if that's within the purview of the -- of our procedure, not that would be say, okay. Everyone has to approve it before it goes, it's just if you have a serious objection or something before it goes, but I believe it would be very similar. So that was my two cents.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair, I have a question for counsel, and this may actually require some research, so I'm not necessarily expecting an immediate answer. I know that in the past, cases have gone all the way to the Supreme Court where one state is suing another state over apportionment, and what happens if there is a dispute over the apportionment? Do we go ahead and draw maps on the basis of the initial apportionment, and then if California were to be, for example, sued by Texas in the Supreme Court over a disputed seat and Texas

1 eventually prevails, we would then have to redraw the 2 Congressional maps? Thank you. 3 MS. JOHNSTON: I think that is correct, and 4 hopefully that litigation would be on a fast track so 5 that you could take the decision into account when you're 6 drawing your lines the first time around, but if, for 7 instance, California were to lose a Congressional seat, 8 those maps would have to be redrawn. 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. 10 CHAIR AHMAD: Any other questions or comments at 11 this time related to this particular subcommittee? 12 Yes, Commissioner Fernandez.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't know if -- I just re-read the letter. Well, I don't know what action went out, but I re-read the letter that -- the draft letter from last time, and that was very well-written. I don't know when we want to add a little bit more. You know, we talk about COVID, but then especially in California and some other states, those communities that have been displaced because of the fires. So now again, there's an added obstacle to having a reliable member. So I don't know if that's something that we want to consider.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think that's a great idea,

CHAIR AHMAD: I have a question. Maybe this

and I'd be happy to add language to that effect.

1 question is for Raul or the subcommittee. There were several iterations of the draft addressed to various people. Do we have a clear sense of which letters 3 4 actually went out and which ones we did not send out? 5 MR. VILLANUEVA: So there were a lot of iterations, and we depended on the subcommittee to direct which ones 6 7 were the final ones, which from my perspective, they were well able to do so, and those were the ones that were 8 then printed and sent to the Chair for signature because 10 I think all the information, excuse me, was coming into 11 them and not to Marian and I. They were coming in to 12 them directly. 13 CHAIR AHMAD: I'm sorry. Maybe I should clarify. So the -- who did we send the letters to? There were 14 15 several people. We had senators on the list. We had --16 MR. VILLANUEVA: Yes. 17 CHAIR AHMAD: -- census bureau staff, if we had that 18 information. If you need time to look that up, that's 19 fine too. 2.0 Commissioner Fornaciari, and then Kennedy, and then 21 Turner. 22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just to comment, I'm 23 really quite comfortable with the job that the 24 subcommittee did in drafting those letters and putting 25 them together, and I'm completely comfortable with them,

1 you know, making whatever minor tweaks they feel they need to make to a draft to send it in support of these 3 bipartisan bills. 4 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy, and then Turner. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Could I just jump in quickly? What I actually meant to say is just more in 6 7 terms of can we just get a copy essentially so we know 8 the final thing that went out? That was more rather 9 than -- I didn't mean that we need to review it because I 10 agree with Commissioner Fornaciari. 11 CHAIR AHMAD: That was my question too. 12 understand. 13 Commissioner Kennedy, and then Turner. 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I have nothing further at 15 this point, Madam Chair. Thank you. 16 MR. VILLANUEVA: Then I'll send those --17 CHAIR AHMAD: Now --18 MR. VILLANUEVA: -- out to the group today. 19 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. Madam Chair, I was just 20 go to add to your question. The letters went to Senator 21 Kamala Harris, Wilbur Ross, Representative Caroline 22 Maloney, and Senator Ron Johnson. 2.3 CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. 24 MR. VILLANUEVA: And Director Dillingham. 25 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, did I miss one?

you.

2.3

CHAIR AHMAD: Do we have any other questions, comments, concerns for action on census subcommittee?

No? Okay.

Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I guess I have more of a process question with regard to updates, particularly since we've discussed subcommittee updates being a standing part of our agendas moving forward, at least for the time being. So the questions that were asked with regard to the final letter, whom it went to, et cetera, I guess my question is there seems to be like some un -- lack of clarity between who would report that.

So I guess my expectation would be that the subcommittee would report that, like, they would have the end-to-end understanding of everything that has gone down, or they instruct staff to report that when raised, but it just seemed to be confusion about who knew what.

So I'm just kind of putting that out there for subcommittees in general that my thought is or my expectation would be that the subcommittees who take on whatever particular responsibility that they take on, that they're reporting back during this process, you know, end to end, what happened, outcomes, et cetera, recommendations, so on and so forth.

CHAIR AHMAD: Any additional questions, comments at this time? Seeing none, we can move on to item B, 9B, which is the hiring of the executive director. So I will hand the floor over to Commissioners Fernandez and Kennedy to give the update regarding that item. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, actually the update on that is we'll be dealing with that the rest of today, and then also tomorrow, and hopefully -- a hopeful outcome is that we'll have a chosen candidate. CHAIR AHMAD: Do we have any questions or comments for Commissioners Fernandez and Kennedy at this time? MS. JOHNSTON: There is one matter that I think should be discussed in open session, which has to do with some of the commissioners not being able to be there for the full time tomorrow and how the Commission wants to deal with that issue. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So in terms of -- Marian, if I'm understanding you correctly is there's a possibility that all commissioners can't be there for every interview, so they might be there for some of the interviews, and my suggestion for that would be that they obviously give feedback for the interviews that they were present for, and then rely on the other -- the rest of the commissioners in terms of feedback for those

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

interviews that they're not present for.

1 MS. JOHNSTON: And I think you expressed one other concern, that if they miss an interview, they miss the 3 entire interview, they not come in during the middle of 4 an interview? 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. And that was -- I thinking more of it could be distracting somewhat, and 6 7 I'm not sure how especially doing -- it could be distracting to the candidate, and I would hate for them 8 9 to be distracted. Also, if there's somebody else in 10 there. I'm not sure. I have no idea what this is going 11 to look like tomorrow, and it may not be distracting. 12 I kind of have to wait and see, or we have to wait and 13 see. 14 Commissioner Kennedy. CHAIR AHMAD: 15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I have nothing to add at this 16 point. Thank you. 17 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons, and then Sinay. 18 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: We'll -- I just want to 19 confirm we'll have a quorum for each of the interviews, 20 yes? 21 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. 22 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay. And then the second 23 thing would be that I would support not joining in the 24 middle. I think that is disruptive to a candidate, to 25 have a new party just join the interview process. So my

- 1 recommendation would be that if a commissioner is not
- 2 present for that interview, that they sit that particular
- 3 | interview out, and again, following Commissioner
- 4 Fernandez's other recommendations, I support those as
- 5 well.
- 6 MS. JOHNSTON: We could ask Raul to let the
- 7 commissioners who are absent know when the next interview
- 8 starts so then they may join at that time. He's not
- 9 going to be participating in the interviews. So --
- MR. VILLANUEVA: Someone would have to tell me, but
- 11 | I'd be --
- 12 MS. JOHNSTON: Right.
- MR. VILLANUEVA: -- more than happy to do that.
- MS. JOHNSTON: Well, it will be when the one -- one
- 15 | interviewee leaves, then we'll know it's over.
- 16 MR. VILLANUEVA: Well, but then will the group then
- 17 | need time to collect their notes?
- 18 MS. JOHNSTON: Right.
- 19 MR. VILLANUEVA: Do you want them to come in after
- 20 that?
- 21 MS. JOHNSTON: Well, that's --
- 22 MR. VILLANUEVA: That's --
- MS. JOHNSTON: That could give them time to.
- MR. VILLANUEVA: Once you notify me, I'd be happy to
- 25 do so.



CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Sinay, Sadhwani, and then Akutagawa.

2.0

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm one of the ones that won't be able to be at -- I have a one-hour -- less than a one-hour facilitation I need to do tomorrow. I had kind of scheduled it thinking that I'd miss the end of one interview and could jump in on the others so I wouldn't miss any. I'm fine, I just want clarification if I shouldn't even be on the one I'm going to have to jump off of? Because I will be -- yeah. As I said, it's about a half an hour, 45 minutes.

And second of all, if we keep our video off, we can still hear the interview, and so I want that to be okay because I don't -- I think it's important for us to hear the interview. I also think it's important then maybe today when we do the questions to also share -- I mean, I have some concerns, and I have some positives and stuff that I want to hear about certain candidates, and unless those get answered, I don't think I can make a decision.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Sadhwani, Akutagawa, and then Turner.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I think that my question was the same, actually. I have an hour where I have to step away. Would it be okay to start the interview, at least for those first 15 minutes, and then

1 step away, or would folks feel like that is also
2 distracting?

2.3

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Akutagawa, and then

Turner, and then I think we should discuss the questions

on the floor.

I have the same questions as Commissioners Sinay and Sadhwani. I have to step away for a bit today, and then for a very short time tomorrow. I was intending to just leave my connection up, but to turn my video off, and if it's preferable, I can just keep my video off for the entirety of an interview where I know I'm going to have to leave midway through, and then another interview where I'm going to have to join partway through, I'm happy to just keep the video off so it's not distracting, but I'd like to be able to listen in.

MS. JOHNSTON: Just for information for those of you who aren't sitting here, all of you appear on a Brady Bunch type screen. So the candidate will be able to see people who come on and off of videos, but it's still your decision about how you want to handle, whether that's going to be your procedure or not.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. Thank You, Madam Chair.

I personally think that it is a good example of what will

happen in the field on a regular basis. I don't think that it is such a negative to have candidates know up front that there will be commissioners that, you know, have over engagements that will come and go. I think, Commissioners, where it could get disruptive if indeed you're not going to be on for the full interview or have an opportunity to perhaps hear a response to a question, maybe you shouldn't necessarily ask questions, but to come and go and have different people, I'm not concerned about that for -- particularly for what we're asking our executive director to be able to do and accomplish with a lot of different variables as they move forward, number one.

2.3

The other thing is is I guess I wanted to respond to is that I'm hopeful not just for this situation, but for anything going forward. For any time period that I'm not going to be a participant, I do want to be able to rely and trust on the other thirteen that's sitting on this Commission, and not hold up any forward movement because I was not -- I didn't choose or could not personally be involved. So I'm making that comment specifically.

Commissioner Sinay, you mentioned, I'd like to be in involved in a lot too. So that's a hard thing lose, but I also think it's a trust issue for the other commissioners, and we don't want to hold proceedings

because we've missed time and now we can't necessarily
move forward. This is where trust of the other
commissioners, I think will come in.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fornaciari, and then Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I just want to say, you know, I agree with that. If we give the candidates a heads up at the beginning commissioners may be coming and going. You know, I think we have to be able to accommodate that, we have to expect our executive director to be able to, you know, deal with minor distractions like that.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commission Kennedy, and then Andersen.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair, my only concern

to be out at some point is Commissioner Le Mons' question from earlier. Are we certain that we are going to have a

with a number of people indicating that they might have

18 quorum at all times? Because we have to -- we have to

make decisions on personnel matters through our super

20 majority rules. Thank you.

MS. JOHNSTON: As far as I know, that's only -- the three that are absent will not pose a problem. If there are others of you who are not going to be here for the entire day, if you would let us know, we can ensure that we do what's necessary.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Andersen.

since I was the person who was actually attending in person all of the first seven — the first eight group, the idea — and it's hard not being in the room to see what it would look like in terms of it being distracting. It's basically a television screen that you're looking at, and I think there will be, I think I believe it's three commissioners in attendance as well as Marian, and then, you know, court — a few reporters, things around, and the person being there then to look at a small screen if someone changes or something, I really don't think that's a distraction other than, which we — Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Fornaciari mentioned that that's something they should definitely be able to handle given the position that we're requesting them to do.

So I really don't see -- I know it's kind of a respect thing about coming in and out of even during the middle of an interview, and if it was in person, that's a completely different thing. No, I don't think -- you know, that is distracting. In and out on a little tiny screen, particularly when there's a group, because what you don't understand is sometimes just on the screen itself, like we see it how it rearranges as times even when it isn't like someone's just going off. At times,

1 it will just sort of rearrange. That's what it would really look like, and it's far away from you. You can see it's far away from you. 3 4 So I really don't think that's a distraction, and I 5 think it would be actually helpful, even if the people would just like to listen in, to get as much information 6 7 to as many of the commissioners as possible. So I know we've sort of said, well, you know, we really shouldn't 8 be listening in, or -- but I do agree with the questions. 10 Maybe if you're not there, then don't -- maybe don't you 11 invite or ask questions, but I just can't see that as a 12 problem having been in the room. 13 MS. JOHNSTON: Just one clarification about the 14 process. There will be no court reporter. I'll be 15 taking minutes, and that will be it. 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So -- but there still 17 we be technical in the room, correct? 18 MS. JOHNSTON: The vid -- yeah, videographers, 19 right. 2.0 MR. VILLANUEVA: But no video. 21 MS. JOHNSTON: What? 22 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Yee. 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: So they can broadcast it to everyone,

24

25

the web -- Webinar.

1 about how the actual questioning we expect to proceed with that? I mean, is the subcommittee going to put questions to the candidates, and then, you know, other 3 4 commissioners perhaps add additional questions? Is that what we're thinking or what? 5 6 CHAIR AHMAD: Marian, you can correct me if I'm 7 wrong, but this is an items for discussion during closed 8 session? 9 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. 10 CHAIR AHMAD: So we will get to that soon, 11 Commissioner Yee. 12 Any other questions? Commissioner Fernandez. 13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: My only other comment is if we do -- you know, if those commissioners that won't be 14 15 there for the whole time and, you know, they mute, which 16 is fine, I just want to remind everyone that is closed 17 session. So if someone else is in the room or maybe wear 18 headphones because no one else is supposed to hear what's 19 going on during the interview. So that would be my own 2.0 concern if we move forward that way. 21 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Yee. 22 COMMISSIONER YEE: So for those commissioners who 23 will be stepping away at times, I mean, just let us know 24 if there are things that you absolutely want and need to

have asked, and we we'll try to do our best to do so.

Yeah.

2.3

CHAIR AHMAD: I would like to bring back to the group for discussion just because I didn't get a sense of clarity on how we are going to proceed. So are those commissioners who will be absent for a portion of the interview, whether it be at the beginning or the end of an interview, are they sitting out for the whole interview, are they going to come in at some point and remain silent, or are they going to jump back in as if they were there the whole interview? I just want to make sure that we're all on the same page with that piece.

Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. My hope is that they will be allowed to come in and out to be able to hear as much as possible, and I'm also hoping that they will not ask questions because often, they'll ask a question that could have already been visited while they were gone, and so I love what Commissioner Yee said. If -- as we're in closed session, if there are specifics that need to be asked, et cetera, we can have that discussion at that time and ensure that we cover all ground to make everyone comfortable.

So I guess my view of what I'm hoping would happen is that commissioners should be able to hear as much as they can for the time period that they are, and we will



curate the space in a way that says those asking the 1 questions will be those that are able to be there for the 3 full time and hear responses. 4 CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Le Mons. 5 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I think there was one more point on that, which was their participation in the post-6 7 interview discussion after each candidate. My thought 8 would be if they're in and out, then they should participate based on what they heard because that would 10 be the whole point, right? So I just wanted to make sure 11 that that piece of the consideration was also covered in 12 whatever decision we end up with. 13 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So I think it sounds like 15 what we want to do is move forward. They can come 16 forward, but they'll remain silent and not ask questions, 17 but they will be involved in the discussion, obviously. 18 So I think that's what was majority, right? I'm set. 19 Okay. 2.0 CHAIR AHMAD: Can I get a thumbs up if we're all 21 okay with that? Okay. 22 I'm assuming, Commissioner Kennedy, you have your 2.3 thumbs up?

Yes, I do.

CHAIR AHMAD: All right. Any remaining questions or

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY:

24

thoughts on 9bB. Seeing none, let's move on to 9C,
hiring of chief counsel.

Commissioners Anderson and Toledo, do you have any updates for us?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, we do. Basically, we got together and had a quick meeting. We ended up writing a -- basically, a posting, which is now on it's called the dailyjournal.com. It is essentially the legal mag gene that that's used throughout California. It's actually posted in -- they actually have fourteen different essentially newspapers, and it's online as well.

If you go to dailyjournal.com, you will see that posting, and it refers to -- we actually got -- I can read that, if you'd like. It's quite short, and then it refers to the job bulletin, which is still on the We Draw the Lines, and then it has for inquiries, which is how they actually apply, it's called votersfirstact. -- but it's a CLCD.CA.gov, that way it all funnels to the same mechanism, and they all come in looking the same in terms of their interview documents.

That got posted, I believe it was actually just yesterday, and it will be -- the way they do this, is runs for a certain period of time. It will also include, there's -- on Fridays, they do a very large sort of a

special where they highlight different job opportunities, and this is one that will be highlighted.

So that went in, and it is sort of running its course, and that's where we are, but what I would like to do at this time, which is why I think it's very important that this is the general meeting here is we'd like to get any of our community partners out there who are listening to please spread the word for the details of this. It's dailyjournal.com. They probably are -- since many of those groups actually have redistricting personnel, they're very familiar with this publication, and it essentially refers everyone to how to do -- basically how to take it from there. So if we could just get our community partners who are listening to please help us post this everywhere, that would be great.

So Pedro, did you want to say additional?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I just wanted to add that any commissioner who wants to forward the advertisement, they're more than -- you're more than able to on social media or otherwise forward the announcement to your networks, and we did reach out to Professor Lovett and just ask that them to -- and other speakers to ask them to publicize the announcement, just as we are doing all of our community partners, just to get the message out there and to try to get a qualified pool, a larger

1 qualified pool of candidates. CHAIR AHMAD: Do you have any additional points to 3 add to your update before I move to questions or comments 4 from the group? 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, just questions or --CHAIR AHMAD: Okay. So questions or comments from 6 7 the group? Commissioner Fornaciari. 8 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So the announcement gets 10 sent out to the mailing list that we have? 11 MR. VILLANUEVA: That will occur today. 12 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. 13 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I can find out by just 15 going on the -- our website, but when's the final filing 16 date for the new advertisement? 17 MR. VILLANUEVA: For both, it will be September 30. 18 So it just went out to our COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 19 mailing list today, and it's due September 30th, Raul; is 20 that right? 21 MR. VILLANUEVA: It didn't just go out to the 22 mailing list. It went out on the newspaper ad --2.3 advertisement, it's been on the State website. Actually, 24 I heard from an organization in New York who's interested 25 in wanting to participate in the recruitment.

1 gone out fairly widely. So with the mail chip -- mail chimp, I'm still learning that. So I learned it last 3 week, and so I should have one out today while you're 4 enclosed session. 5 CHAIR AHMAD: Any other questions or comments for hiring of chief counsel? 6 7 Commissioner Sinai. I'm not sure if I saw your hand 8 go up, your hand up. Okay. 9 Commissioner Kennedy. 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. 11 I just wanted to check with Raul. I had submitted a 12 number of other options for posting these job 13 applications, California Association of Counties, 14 California Association of Clerks and Election Officials, 15 electionline.org. I just want to know if those went out to them or if I'll need to send them out to them. 16 17 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: So what I did with those 18 is, I forwarded those to the subcommittees who then made 19 the decisions regarding where things would be posted, 2.0 where the advertisements would go. 21 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Okay. Thank you. 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, Commissioner 23 Kennedy, it was a little hard -- I didn't have the actual 24 notes on that quite as well. So if you could go ahead 25 and forward that, we'd appreciate it. Unless Pedro is --

1 I did not actually go ahead and send that to that to those troops, because I didn't have the details. unless Pedro did. 3 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I didn't forward it to those 4 5 Happy to do so, or if you have connections with 6 those groups, we're happy to go down the list as well. 7 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: And actually, I thought a lot of those suggestions were for the Communications 8 Director and not for the Chief Counsel. 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Now, there were some that 11 were specific to Chief Counsel, but then others that were 12 for any and all of the postings. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So Commissioner Kennedy, 14 could you -- whatever's more efficient. The ones for the 15 counsel, if you can either take care of that yourself or 16 let one of us know which particular ones those were, 17 that'd be great. 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'll go ahead and -- I'll go 19 ahead and send them out once I can get to the 2.0 announcement itself. 21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay, great. Thank you. 22 Thank you. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 2.3 CHAIR AHMAD: I have a question for Marian and Raul. 24 So at this point, since we are publicizing very wisely

the hiring of a Chief Counsel, we as a Commission can go

1 into our own independent networks as well to advertise this position, correct? MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. It's a public announcement. 3 CHAIR AHMAD: So in case folks have a network that 4 5 you would want to share that information with. MS. JOHNSTON: The wider, the better. 6 7 CHAIR AHMAD: Yes. INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: And I sent the -- I sent 9 the Commissioners a copy of the ad this morning, so 10 everybody should have gotten that. 11 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons. 12 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I have a process question. 13 understand that with the subcommittees is the 14 communication between only two Commissioners. And so I 15 want to be clear that I understand to what degree other 16 Commissioners can communicate with the subcommittee 17 directly versus processing communication with the 18 subcommittee through staff. 19 MS. JOHNSTON: We would like it to come through staff, and then we will forward it so that we are kept in 20 21 the loop, so to speak. 22 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: And so that means that --23 let's say, for example, that I had some resources that I 24 wanted this particular announcement to go to. I would 25 send them to staff with my desire for them to be

1 submitted to the subcommittee for consideration for distribution, and then, yeah, kind of walk that through for me. 3 4 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, that's how it should work. 5 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: And then the subcommittee would, of course -- now, what if they had questions about 6 7 what I submitted? MS. JOHNSTON: They could come to you directly with 8 9 those questions. Right? 10 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: They could come directly to 11 me with those questions? 12 MS. JOHNSTON: Right. 13 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Now, would I respond to those 14 questions to them directly, or would I send my response 15 through staff to them? 16 MS. JOHNSTON: How about a compromise and you send it to staff and the subcommittee? 17 18 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay. 19 MS. JOHNSTON: I don't want to pose an extra lag 20 time in there. I just want to be sure that we're kept 21 informed. 22 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay. 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Marian, could I say -- could 24 I, again, just clarification, this would apply to all the 25 different subcommittees. Is it okay to send it to, like,

1	say, Commissioner Le Mons wants to send something about
2	the council? Is it okay to essentially send one email
3	copying the staff and the subcommittee?
4	MS. JOHNSTON: Yes, that would be okay.
5	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Clarification on that. Would
6	it be more appropriate to send it to staff and copy the
7	subcommittee, or the other way around?
8	MS. JOHNSTON: Your preference. There's no magic
9	rule on that.
10	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay. And then, Madam Chair,
11	I have one more subcommittee not the ones listed
12	question for when it's appropriate during this agenda
13	item.
14	CHAIR AHMAD: Yes. Commissioner Sadhwani.
15	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: On the same point, I think,
16	for the Census subcommittee. At what point does it then
17	become a serial meeting? So if another Commissioner is
18	emailing the two members of a subcommittee and the staff,
19	the subcommittee cannot respond; is that correct?
20	MS. JOHNSTON: You can respond as long as you don't
21	get to be a quorum. So if it's just the two of you to
22	one of the commissioners, there's no problem with that.
23	But then that should not be distributed to everybody on
24	the Commission.
25	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So sorry. I think this will

1 clarify; say like, specifically, on your letters. if I have comments and I send them to the staff and to 3 both you and Commissioner Toledo. And then you might 4 say, you know, we didn't like it, clarify, get it all 5 cleaned up, and then send it back to me. But then I 6 never see anything that goes, say, from Commissioner 7 Fernandez that does the same. And so not until the document is completed, and then you will be showing it to 8 9 everybody. That's the time when everyone hears about it. 10 MS. JOHNSTON: Correct. 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Is that correct? 12 MS. JOHNSTON: That's correct. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Thank you for that I feel like we have had a situation in which 15 16 another commissioner had -- I can go back and check my 17 emails, but the response was, careful; this is becoming a 18 serial meeting, so I appreciate that clarification, and I 19 will go back --2.0 MS. JOHNSTON: And the other option, if you wish 21 something you consider important enough to have everybody 22 involved, is to do it during a notice meeting. 2.3 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right. Of course. 24 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Akutagawa and Kennedy. 25 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So I want to make sure I'm

1 understanding this correctly. So I think I heard that if we wanted to share something with the entire Commission (audio interference) staff so that you're kept in the 3 4 loop versus just sending something to staff to then 5 redistribute to the Commission? MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. If it's through the entire 6 7 Commission, because that will do as a warning on there, 8 not to discuss it with each other, but just to reply to 9 the staff. 10 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Got it. Okay. Thank you. 11 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy. 12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nothing at this time, Madam 13 Chair. Thank you. 14 CHAIR AHMAD: Do we have any additional 15 questions/comments on hiring of the Chief Counsel? 16 Seeing none. The next subcommittee is the hiring of 17 Communications Director. Commissioners Taylor and 18 Commissioner Vazquez, do you all have an update for us? 19 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: So we have made the edits as 20 per our discussion from our last meeting to the 21 Communications Director recruitment posting. We then, 22 let's see, the Royal Week, Raul has been doing the work 23 to get that advertised, get that reposted across several, 24 hopefully, wider spread distribution lists. 25 So specifically, it went out in The Nooner and the

1	Capitol Morning Report. And those have pretty wide
2	circulation in Sacramento and political government
3	circles, so hoping to get a wide distribution there. And
4	then the website, idealist.org, which has wide
5	circulation around community groups and nonprofits.
6	So especially because the discussion around the
7	Communications Director was really centered around their
8	ability to support and even lead outreach to several
9	diverse communities and ethnic communities, hoping that
10	that really widened that, gets us some high-quality
11	candidates, in addition to the candidates that we had
12	gotten sort of through the first wave.
13	So we did commit to keeping folks in the pool who
14	had already applied via the first process. I don't
15	believe that we heard back from anybody around
16	withdrawing their application. We did also offer the
17	option of amending their materials based on the new
18	posting, if they so wish.
19	So hopefully, like I said, we are on track to
20	growing our pool for the Communications Director
21	position.
22	CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Taylor, do you have
23	anything to add?
24	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: No. Commissioner Vazquez said
25	it very well and succinctly. I also encourage us to use

1 your channels and our partners to reach out. Our goal is to have this done fairly shortly, within the two weeks 3 that we agreed upon, so that we can begin to filter the 4 applications and we can move on to the next phase. 5 CHAIR AHMAD: Are there any questions or comments 6 from the group regarding the hiring of Communications 7 Director? No questions? Commissioner Sadhwani. COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Very quick. Is it possible 9 for someone to send us the link of where we can find it 10 so we can send it out? I know several different places. COMMISSIONER SINAY: 11 I've got it open. Is it okay 12 for me to send it --13 MS. JOHNSTON: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- or not? Okay. I'll send it 15 out right now. 16 CHAIR AHMAD: All right. Commissioner Kennedy, just 17 checking in with you. Do you have any thoughts or 18 questions on this particular subcommittee or for this 19 subcommittee? 2.0 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm looking at the job 21 posting, and it has a final filing date of Friday. 22 that intended or was this to be 30 September as well? 2.3 MS. JOHNSTON: If you're talking about the one on 24 the state website, I contacted them yesterday because 25 they changed the date on it, and I have directed them to

fix that.

2.0

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Perfect. Thank you.

3 CHAIR AHMAD: All right. Thank you for that update.

4 Moving on to the Finance Subcommittee. Commissioners

5 Fornaciari and Fernandez, you have the floor.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Let's see. I don't think we have a whole lot to report at this point. We want to get with Raul and with the budget. Raul has been super busy with other stuff. Hopefully, he's going to -- you know, he'll come to us with the -- I think at the next meeting with some interim temporary staff.

A couple of things, though -- updates that I want to give that I did. One question was about whether the computers we have will run ArcGIS, and the answer is no. And so there's not enough memory on the hard drives to download the software, plus, I'm pretty sure that the processing horsepower is not -- it might run, but it won't be adequate. I mean, no big rush on that. It's something we need to think about.

The other thing that I didn't get a chance to talk to Raul about that I thought about, I mean, I think part of the problem some of the folks might be having is with these cheap webcams that we have. And I'm just wondering if we can get the folks who need better webcams, if that's an option. And I didn't get a chance to talk to

Raul about that. But maybe what we can do is, is those of you who are struggling with your microphones or having problems with your webcam, maybe you can let Raul know, and maybe we can look into getting some more decent webcams for those folks.

Oh, and then Raul just sent out the historical budget that we're going to talk about, I think, at the next meeting. And so what the plan is here is to kind of look at the historical budget, look at the burn rate that we're — the remote we're looking at right now, and couple that with the Gantt chart that's coming out, and I have an idea where we're headed with the budget, how many meetings we think we can afford, that kind of thing. But again, we're in the early days and we'll get on that, you know, as soon as we can. But, you know, other things I think are more pressing at this point.

CHAIR AHMAD: Do we have any questions or comments for the Finance Subcommittee? Yes. Commissioner Turner, and then Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. I just wanted some clarification. Are we waiting for the Finance Committee to update the computers, or are we needing to submit something? I thought, the computers when they were received, that once we had our full Commission they were being switched out as well as the phones. And so it

1 sounds like I'm hearing something different, so I'd just 2 like that clarified, please. 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. I hadn't heard 4 about the computers being switched out. I thought we 5 were just switching out the phones. COMMISSIONER TURNER: And it certainly could have 6 7 been hopeful thinking, I don't know. I'm just asking, 8 what is the plan? 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So you mentioned 10 you wanted a -- you were a Mac person, right? 11 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right. And I understand that 12 the technology or the software won't work with a Mac --13 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER TURNER: -- so I did make peace with 15 that. But it's still a very heavy old computer to move 16 around. And so I just was still in the mindset that this 17 was a temporary piece of equipment that was going to be 18 upgraded with the phones. 19 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Yeah, that wasn't 20 part of my thinking. I'll just share -- I quess I didn't 21

part of my thinking. I'll just share -- I guess I didn't share. I thought we might be looking at getting, you know, a few computers that can run ArcGIS, but I mean, maybe that's not the right approach. Maybe we need to think of a different approach there. And that's raising a lot of hands.

22

23

24

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez, Sinay, and then Kennedy.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think in the last meeting where we were with -- there's a few people that are having issues -- I think that Commissioner Sinay is having issues with her computer and there's a few others, and those were going to be replaced.

And then I just wanted to add a little bit more on the Budget Committee. Raul did forward some contracts that we'll be reviewing for discussion on Friday, Agenda Item Number 10. And again, in terms of the budget that he's putting together, it'll show obviously, 2010, and what their budget was and what their expenditures were, and then we'll start a budget for 2020 showing the expenditures and what expenditures and encumbrances because obviously, that'll give us a good idea of -- at some point in time if we need to go back and request additional funds. But at some point in time, when we hire the budget or finance person, hopefully, that'll be their task in terms of continuing to look at those line items -- that line item detail. So that's all I have.

CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I listened in on the NCLS free webinar on technology and redistricting and there's a ton of questions that we need to look through and think

1 through, and if we're each going to have one or if we're going to do one that's online. And so maybe 3 Commissioner -- we could just have to wait a little to 4 make any decisions like that because I think there'll be 5 a lot of budget questions. I do recommend the webinar. Karin, who has 6 7 presented to us, was one of the presenters, and there's just a lot of stuff I didn't know about that helped me 8 9 feel like, okay, now I know what to do. 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can you send a link to 11 that? 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I can send it out to all 13 of you. It was part of the link that was sent out last 14 meeting of all the different trainings, but I'll send out 15 the link to just that one again, because I know Google 16 Mail is not very easy to manage. So I'll get it out to 17 you all. 18 Okay. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I mean, you 19 know, there are web-based mapping applications, too, but 20 then there's -- you know, we've got to consider security 21 issues in the big picture, too. I was also kind of 22 waiting, I guess -- I apologize, Commissioner Le Mons. 23 should have my act together a little bit better than I do 24 right now, and I should be telling the full story.

We're getting this presentation on redistricting

software. You know, the state database is making a -you know, it's putting together a free tool to use. Yo
know, I kind of thought we had a little bit of time to
better understand what was happening down the road and
make a decision on what we were going to do with
computers for mapping and that kind of thing. But it
sounds like there's two different issues here.

It sounds like some people have computers that just aren't working for them at this point, and we should get them computers that work. And then we need to come up with a strategy and figure out what we're doing with how we're going to manage mapping software and doing that work.

CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Anderson.

2.3

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, I understand the idea that we definitely need to figure out the software that the state is proposing, and that's why I thought we were waiting on the computers. But if people are having issues right now and need new computers, we don't want to be getting different kinds of computers based on, you know, oh, well, okay, that'll do. That's kind of good enough, but not good enough. You know, it's like it's okay, but not good enough.

And the idea that all of us don't have a computer that can do the software, I have a real problem with.



1 That's because we can't -- you know, we can't do our jobs. I mean, that's like -- you know, I mean, this 3 is -- our jobs are to draw the lines, and if our 4 computers aren't going to handle the software, then 5 that's a problem. I don't see any other way to put it 6 nicely. That's just inadequate because that's our job, 7 to draw the lines and we need to get the information and everything around it, but that's a basic. 8 9 So we will have to have -- and it's not that 10 11 computers, which are indeed heavy -- if it doesn't have

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

different in terms of, you know, if this doesn't -- these enough memory, you know, then that's something -- the cost of getting a new computer that's updated and a new computer that has enough memory is not that different. And it's something that I think is a mandatory thing we need to have. I don't think I can say it any more distinctly that, you know, that's something we don't have. I would rather then -- let's wait until -- let's find out what the software is, what we need, and then get the computers all at one time. And then I don't think that needs to be too delayed. I just don't know in terms of the cost how that will actually fit in, but that would be my vote rather than getting people a couple of computers now -- unless we're just temporary things -- to make sure it's the same computer that everyone can work

1 with.

2 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioners Kennedy, Taylor, and 3 Akutagawa.

4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nothing at this point, Madam 5 Chair. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. So I agree. If we're not going to move to something that's web-based map drawing, I would think a simple point of litigation in the future would be that these commissioners don't even have a computer that can draw a map, and they're responsible for a map. I would think that's something that we'd want to cut off. That's it.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Akutagawa, and then Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'm going to pile on that, and I'll also agree. One thought that I have is in terms of the computers, as much as I would love to have something that would be more (audio interference).

Excuse me. I'm with Commissioner Turner, perhaps -- sorry about that. Is there an option to upgrade the memory -- the RAM on the current computer so that it can handle the software? I just want to throw that out. I'm not very computer savvy on these things, but that is something that we've done at my organization where we do need to have more computing power.

2 want -- I might just jump in. On a laptop, you know, 3 updating your memory, you know, the cost of taking it in 4 and updating it doesn't -- adding more memory isn't much, 5 but if there are other glitches, then you kind of have to have different memory with it. There's a downtime, 6 there's the -- basically, the cost of putting it in. 7 8 It's typically more expensive than getting a new machine. 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I don't think these 10 computers have the horsepower, even if they had enough 11 memory. 12 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Fernandez and then 13 Vazquez. I saw your hand up. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just a quick question to 15 Raul. Raul, how long will it take to get new computers? 16 At the point in time when we decide, okay, we have a line 17 drawer, we want to make sure we have computers that are 18 going to be compatible with that. Regarding the 19 procurement process, I think it's somewhat lengthy, but I 20 remember you said something at the last meeting. I don't 21 have a specific time frame. 22 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: You can probably count 23 on about six weeks to get computers. As the discussion 24 is going on, two issues that I'm kind of having trouble 25 understanding. Two individuals indicated that they were

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. Neal, did you

having trouble with their computers, and when I reached out, well, specifically to Commissioner Sinay, who's having the blue screen of death, the response I got back was, I think I have it now. I'll let you know if I

continue to have any problems.

2.3

- So I haven't really heard from anyone that they're having these detrimental problems with the computers being inoperable. And so I am a little surprised. And I would like to let you know, if you are having issues with your computer, please let me know because otherwise, I don't know.
- 12 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Vazquez, Kennedy, and then Turner.
 - we've got two separate issues. There's the immediate troubleshooting of specific computers right now, and then perhaps maybe -- I'm not sure which committee this would go to, but it sounds like (audio interference) solve include what our wish list would be for new computers, besides that. And then either this interim, hopefully, IT person or a committee or something comes up with a proposal here, the last (audio interference) we can have based on what we know, will need to be the minimum to run the software.
 - You know, like for me, ideally, I would love to not

- have an external camera, so I'd like to have a computer
 that already has a camera built-in but also that is thin
 enough and light enough that I can travel with, but also
 has the horsepower to run the software. So it sounds
 like we need to, like, come up with a wish list of what
 our ideal mission/work computer looks like, and then go
 out and get that for everyone.
- 8 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy and then Turner.
 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nothing at this point, Madam

2.3

Chair. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: And I think good for the conversation. I just wanted to say that, Raul, for sure my computer, I don't believe, is broken. And I think it's a matter of setting expectations. Our initial Counsel that we had -- I can't think of her name right at the moment -- but of course, when I initially reached out at the surprise that it was not a Mac -- or just whatever to talk about it -- the thing that I was told at that time is that these were temporary computers and they would be being switched out, which is why the age of the computer, the not attached camera, and the ported mouse and all those things to me and said, oh, okay, it's a transitional computer.

So I've been waiting for it because if you are mobile moving from place to place, it is quite

1 cumbersome. It fits in no computer bags that are current. And so it just is a -- we need to be able to 3 get into them. We should not be pulling it up on our own 4 computers or what have you, and I'm trying to adhere to 5 that, and carry it around. So not that it's not operational; it's just that I was expecting to have it 6 7 changed out and that's what I was looking for. CHAIR AHMAD: Yes. Commissioner Fornaciari and then 9 Sadhwani. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I'll let Commissioner 10 11 Sadhwani qo first. 12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Very briefly, to say that I 13 agree with Commissioner Turner. That was my 14 understanding as well from the previous counsel. 15 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: Interesting. 16 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, I'll just 17 offer then to take the action to get more deeply into 18 understanding the software and the requirements for the 19 software -- the variety of software out there -- to try 20 to get a hold of the statewide data -- or will, not 21 try -- I will get ahold of the statewide database and 22 understand, you know, what they're planning on doing with 2.3 their software and with the requirements are. But I'll look around at the variety of different 24 25 software that may be used for mapping and make sure I

1 understand the computer requirements for that. And then I'll get with -- well, Alicia and I will do this -- I'm 3 volunteering her too -- and then get with Raul to -- get 4 with Raul to, you know, understand the procurement 5 process and what -- you know, if there's some limitations 6 on what we can buy and bring that back. And Commissioner 7 Andersen's waving frantically. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm just waving frantically because, look, you know, I'm a structural engineer who --10 I do drawings and that's sort of in my wheelhouse in terms of what you need and what's out there. So I would 11 12 be more than willing to help out with this. And yeah, 13 like, you know, I have a small, nice light laptop that 14 will fly and will do AutoCAD, which is way more 15 cumbersome than any of the GIS software. 16 So I will be more than happy, you know -- if we need 17 a subcommittee that's just doing that or the Line Drawing 18 Subcommittee or -- I would love to put in my two cents 19 because it's something that I think I can save us all a 2.0 lot of time. 21 Just one warning, that you need to MS. JOHNSTON: 22 have a subcommittee of no more than two people. So if 2.3 Commissioner Andersen wants to get involved, maybe there 24 could be a separate computer research --

I'm relinquishing that

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:

piece of it to Commissioner Andersen. How's that?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'll take it. But I think
there's other comments.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons.

2.3

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I think that Commissioner

Andersen opened the door to the practice that I made
earlier about wanting to address something. So we had a
discussion earlier, and I was very serious about

Troubleshooting Subcommittee, Chair. So I'm actually
inviting you to appoint one. And it sounds like earlier,
it was Commissioner Andersen, who also expressed an
interest, and I'd be willing to support her. And we
could not just take this on, but I think other things
that need to be troubleshooted, and working with staff,
you'd have a dedicated team of people who are giving some
attention to that at your pleasure.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would totally love that idea because it would be just specific troubleshooting rather than an entire topic. Like, it's not the Finance Committee, this is an element of that, you know, and getting our communications cleared up. It's not part of the communications; it's like the weird little pieces — the trouble part of that.

And I would totally second Commissioner Le Mons' idea that it could be a separate little subcommittee, and



1 I'd be more than willing to chair, if that's -- I mean, to be on that subcommittee, if the chair will so approve. 3 CHAIR AHMAD: Any questions, comments? Yes, 4 Commissioner Fernandez. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So it would not be Commissioner Fornaciari, it would be Andersen and Le 6 7 Mons? I just want to make sure that I clear it for my agenda for next time, in terms of what they'll be 8 9 presenting. Yeah? 10 CHAIR AHMAD: Well, I just want to -- before I --11 you know, I don't know what the formal process is before 12 I embark on the formal process. I just want to make sure 13 that we're all on the same page with this. 14 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: Yes. 15 CHAIR AHMAD: So what is being proposed on the floor 16 is to appoint a new subcommittee, which will be the 17 Troubleshooting Subcommittee. They will take on tasks 18 that come up throughout our meetings and between 19 meetings, I'm assuming. Yes? Can I get a nod? Okay. 2.0 Do we want to clarify what kinds of troubleshooting, 21 or is that something that we as a group will just say, 22 hey, this looks like it belongs to the Troubleshooting 2.3 Subcommittee? Yes? Okay. 24 Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I was going to say that it

1 would be very specific to the operational (audio 2 interference). That is the operation of line drawing when we get to that point, right, or thinking about 3 community interest or something of that nature, that it 4 5 is a very specific to operational issues. CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons, I saw you 6 7 unmute. COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I'm flexible on what the topics are. I think little things are going to come 10 up. Like, what I'm not suggesting is that we're 11 circumventing the current standing committees at all. So 12 I want to be very clear. So I'm not suggesting to 13 replace what Commissioner Fornaciari is doing with 14 regards to finding out what's required for the software on the line drawing. No, no, we're not -- I'm not 15 16 suggesting we take that part on; he's doing that. What 17 triggered it for me -- well, I had been thinking about it 18 earlier, because we had other issues that kind of sat out 19 there. And if there is some communication that needs to 20 happen specific to those issues, you know, fill in the 21 blank with staff, it may be a procedural thing that we 22 need to understand. 2.3 So we'd just be bringing, you know, that support

24

decisions. But it isn't specific to any of the other subcommittees, and I think in this case, Commissioner Andersen just happens to have expertise when it comes to equipment, hardware, and software for laptops or portable computers.

2.3

So this just happens to be one of those things. But we can -- if you appoint it, we can more specifically at each meeting, decide what that is and say, okay, we'd like the Troubleshooting Subcommittee to look into X, Y, Z, whatever that is. It could be broad or narrow as the Commission wants.

CHAIR AHMAD: Great. I already have a few items for your to-do list at the end of this meeting. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I think that the requirements for the line drawing software are going to inform, you know, the specifications for the computers that we decide we want to get. So I'm happy to continue to get those specifications, if you'd like, or I could pass that on to the Troubleshooting Committee to do that too, either way. But I'm not -- if I do it, I'm not sure how to communicate that without breaking the rules.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I might just say that a bit of coordination through our staff, I think, would easily facilitate that because --

1	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: you know, I have look,
3	it should probably have this, you know, this, this
4	criterion, and I think it would facilitate it would
5	help your process going, you know, if I have this, does
6	all the software work? And they'll say yeah, or they
7	might say yeah, but oh no, we don't need that, you know,
8	particular chip capacity or amount of storage.
9	I think a bit of coordination through the staff
10	could help you in all the different softwares, just
11	almost more like do a checklist, so it would help
12	facilitate that.
13	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'll do that. I'll
14	look at the software. I'll get a summary together. It's
15	going to be a quad core i7 with
16	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right, and memory
17	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: with like tons of
18	memory and a huge hard drive and excuse me. Go ahead.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: and a good graphics card.
20	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
21	CHAIR AHMAD: I see Commissioner Vazquez and Le
22	Mons.
23	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. So my understanding
24	from other boards that an issue can crosscut committees
25	through that, correct? Like, so there's going to be

- 1 | financial implications based on whatever the
- 2 Troubleshooting Committee finds us, like proper
- 3 computers.
- 4 | So it seems like there should be some coordination
- 5 through staff, between two subcommittees, potentially, on
- 6 this issue or others, right? That the Troubleshooting
- 7 folks are going to take the lead and then whomever needs
- 8 to sort of weigh in will also be appropriately legally
- 9 looped in through staff, correct?
- 10 MS. JOHNSTON: That will work, yes.
- 11 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons.
- 12 | COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I was going to say --
- 13 but I realize it won't work. I was going to say I'm also
- 14 | willing to step back and have Commissioner Fornaciari and
- 15 Andersen run with it, but I realize they can't. So I'll
- 16 stay. That's all.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Nice try.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Nice try.
- 19 CHAIR AHMAD: And so I will go ahead and appoint
- 20 | Commissioner Andersen and Le Mons to the Troubleshooting
- 21 Subcommittee. Yes, Raul.
- 22 INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: I just wanted to add,
- 23 before you go too much further, IT is done through
- 24 statewide contracts, and so you have a pool of equipment
- 25 | that's already there, been contracted, priced out, et

- 1 | cetera. When you start stepping outside of that, you're
- 2 | basically asking somebody to custom develop it for you.
- 3 And so go ahead and add a few more weeks onto that
- 4 process, plus delivery time, plus cost.

intention.

- They don't make it easy. This is the first that

 I've heard about these computers being temporary. These
 computers -- I'll just leave it at that. That's the

 first I've ever heard of that. So the fact that other

 Commissioners were told that, I'm going to follow up on
 that because these aren't temporary or that was not the
- 12 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Le Mons.
 - COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I was going to say, like this, what just happened right here, is a great example of what the Troubleshooting Committee would do. Get to the bottom of all these variables so that we know the frame that we can and cannot operate within, and we know the considerations and impact of all these different variables. And then just bring that -- we're like fact finders and bring the information back, so that, you know, decisions can be made with the broadest swath of correct information. So I just wanted to say that.
- 23 CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Kennedy, do you have anything to add at this point?
- 25 | COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Nothing at this point. Thank

you, Madam Chair.

CHAIR AHMAD: All right. So Commissioners Andersen and Le Mons, you all will be troubleshooting for us.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes.

CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. There is one subcommittee that is not listed explicitly but does still fall under Agenda Item Number 9, which is the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee. We must break at 12:45, so hopefully, we can cover that subcommittee content in the next ten minutes. Commissioners Vazquez and Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I feel like I already shared at the beginning, so I don't know if you want to add anything, Commissioner Vazquez. Basically, right now we're just trying to understand the lay of the land in 2010, but also understand best practices — you know, thinking through what can help us all together think through 2020. And I did want to publicly thank the collaborative letter that we got from public comments because I was getting ready to create something like that. So thank you for doing a much better job than I could have ever done it for everybody.

And you know, I think the only thing I would want to add is I saw on the agenda we may have space to have a speaker. I think it's important that the reason we start our conversations with the philanthropy is because we do

1	have a two-million-dollar budget. And last time Irvine
2	Foundation invested three-million dollars, and that was
3	ten years ago. And so I think it's important to
4	understand where those outside of the Commission are
5	complementing the work we're doing, what we need to do,
6	and thinking through how we go deeper. There's different
7	levels (audio interference).
8	Commissioner Kennedy, for some reason, your phone
9	is okay.
10	So that's just why we haven't gone to community
11	groups and had any conversations. It's been more
12	understanding the big picture for figuring out how to
13	bring the big picture to you all. Commissioner Vazquez.
14	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes. Thank you for that
15	summary. I also wanted to add that part of my lens in
16	this engagement is to increase access to folks, both sort
17	of in this fully most-strict quarantine time of this
18	pandemic, but also wanting to plan for this whole process
19	to be as accessible as possible across the state, because
20	even if, in an ideal world, you know, we're in a much
21	more socially open landscape across the state.
22	There will still be I think there's still
23	definitely an opportunity for us to build on what is
24	being created, in terms of virtual engagement, both on

the public side, but also privately through, you know,

online digital organizing, et cetera.

2.3

So that's how I have been approaching these conversations with folks in terms of best practices because I do think even in a post-vaccine world, I still think that we as a Commission can do all we can virtually to increase communicating to those folks who are not able to attend in-person meetings, if and when we're able to have them.

CHAIR AHMAD: Do we have questions or comments for the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee? Yes,

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: My question is the community collaborative letter that we received. And I know you guys are working on the big picture portion right now, but for example, something like that, how would you -- and this is -- I guess, so when something like that comes, then would this be the appropriate committee? And I know that that wasn't specific to just outreach. It's, you know, global, but would you take that list and explore it and then come back with recommendations?

Okay. So all right. That's what I was wondering.

Maybe give us just a little insight into how you would do that, like what we can expect as a Commission around something. This is just an example.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So we haven't had a chance to



1 really think through all the pieces. So we don't have an

2 official report. But my thought was -- kind of my

3 thought process has been -- we have that regional map.

4 Last time they broke up California into regions. I think

5 | we need to look at that regional map together.

So that's kind of the first step is for all of us to understand that regional map. Yeah, I'm not a big fan of all of it, but think through the regional map because that's going to be our guide then to the outcome -- you know, to moving from there. Understand what's covered by those community groups in that regional map. I was glad that they gave their areas. And then thinking through -- there's national players, there's regional players, but then we also need to think through the local players that will help on the outreach.

And then on top of that, another layer on all of this is how do we use technology and what technology is working well out there, and how do we learn from -- how do we use the census infrastructure that was created? So those are some of the -- more than anything, what I've been trying to do is just uncover those questions that we can bring to the group with some speakers to answer those questions. Based on the stuff that I had pulled out the last time we all met, and things kept coming up. Does that help?

Т	CHAIR ARMAD. COMMISSIONEL ANGELSEN.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. It was
3	definitely along the sort of the regional lines, and I
4	was wondering that letter was wonderful, and I really
5	appreciated it. I was specifically looking at the
6	locations and regional, and I was wondering if the
7	Outreach Committee, you could possibly talk to some of
8	those groups and otherwise because what, of course, I
9	was looking at is where they all were and what was
10	missing.
11	And except for, you know, I think it was Pico and
12	obviously, Common Cause, Legal Women Voters, and I
13	believe it was Conservation Voters. They are like
14	statewide, but Pico was the and Pico actually heads up
15	north; everyone else is down south. And the areas that
16	we're really
17	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Pico is actually Pico's
18	based up north
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
20	COMMISSIONER SINAY: but they have local groups
21	throughout. So like San Diego, or organizing causes to
22	local ones. So a lot of those do have locals.
23	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: If you could possibly
24	where we need help is particularly up north and
25	particularly given all the fires. Essentially, that

entire section of our state, even the organizations that might already be there, have been or will have been displaced.

So if any of those members have connections elsewhere, you know, that's something I hope we could certainly -- that people will be bringing to our group, because I think that's going to be a really hard area for us to work on. So I just want to make sure that -- I'm sure you were aware of that, but I just want to make sure we brought that up.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, and I think speaking with Amy, who's the consultant both with the California Philanthropy, who last time -- well, it was with Irvine last time and with California Philanthropy this time -- one of the things that she said is that there are exactly what you said, and their funding again, was 3 million last time, and this time a million with only -- they've already spent 600 on getting folks through kind of to apply. So they only have 400 left for outreach and that our piece is going out this week.

So that's a very different map than the map that we had before. So when we have the conversation, how do we make grants and contracts? A funding map. You can't just ask groups to do work without giving them that support and the money to have the outreach workers doing

1 that work. So that's why we need to have that conversation of understanding the money and the regions 3 and all that. And then what can we do to support those 4 groups that are on the ground that need the funding to be 5 able to do this? CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Akutagawa. 6 7 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think what I'm -- I just want to make sure I'm hearing things correctly. I think 8 what I heard Commissioner Andersen perhaps asking about 10 is how are we going to reach those organizations -- and 11 they may not come with titles like, you know, with Civic 12 Engagement and those titles. It could be like a Humboldt 13 County Community Foundation that knows -- the really, 14 Michael players or the organizations that are in those 15 areas that perhaps don't necessarily come to the 16 attention of, like, the Irvine Foundation or even some of 17 the other larger players. And they may be in coalition, 18 but given everything that's going on, it wouldn't be 19 surprising that they're, you know, possibly offline right 20 now. 21 So I think -- I just want to say, I think we need to 22 really be expansive in who we're looking at and not just 2.3 limit ourselves to the civic engagement groups, that 24 there may be other organizations, in my experience, you

know, where they may not have the kind of right

1 organization name but end up doing a lot of different things just because they're the only ones up there. just want to be mindful of that, in terms of particularly 3 4 the far north, so. 5 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I know we have --COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair? 6 7 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Oh, go ahead. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair. 9 been thinking about this quite a bit as well, trying to 10 come up with some thoughts on who has networks statewide. 11 And I know that last time there was a statewide network 12 of, I think, six redistricting information centers that 13 UC Berkeley started up -- or a statewide database set up. 14 But six of these is not nearly enough. And so thinking 15 about this, two entities that came to mind that the 16 Outreach Committee may want to consider and do some more 17 research on; one is the California Libraries Association. 18 So local libraries are throughout California. 19 how can we engage with the Library Association to get 20 information out? I think this would also be very 21 important when we start taking public input on maps. 22 every public library in California had equipment 2.3 available to members of the public to come in and draw 24 their own maps and submit them from their local public 25 library rather than having to find one of six information centers statewide. To me, that would be an enormous expansion of our outreach footprint.

2.3

And the other entity that came to mind was

California community colleges. There are ninety-some

California community college districts, and some of those

districts have multiple colleges. Many, if not all, have

political science faculty who could be trained by us to

provide information and assistance and information to the

public. And so those two networks, I think, if we can

find a way to engage with them, would really ramp up our

public outreach footprint down the road. Thank you.

CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you. Commissioner Vazquez.

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. If I could just respond to both of the previous two comments. My goal and my hope is also to do, again, a landscape analysis to try to find where there are potential gaps in terms of our direct connections to community groups, organizers — anyone who's sort of civically engaged in these more local areas, particularly up north. Because I have also, a laundry list of potential folks, in terms of categories, whom I think I would love to be directly connected with in terms of the Commission.

So you know, schools, school boards, you know, as Commissioner Kennedy said, the community colleges. There are groups who are civically engaged at the hyper-local



1 level that I'm sure, you know, would love to hear from us
2 but maybe aren't engaged currently.

So absolutely, I don't think we should rely on sort of the big -- rely solely on the big names and the big players. But that also we -- I think it's on us, as a Commission, to proactively reach into those and tap into those local networks, especially those that aren't as well represented at a statewide level.

CHAIR AHMAD: So we are right up at break. I wanted to ask if we need some more time after lunch to continue this conversation, or are we agreeing to continue this particular conversation when we come back to open session on Friday? What are thoughts around that? Commissioner Turner and Sinay.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I can certainly wait until later.

CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. As I said, since we weren't on the agenda, we didn't really prepare anything. But knowing what you all are thinking, I think it's very easy for us to prepare something for the next -- for our next meeting that's coming up, that will answer more of the questions.

And the only reason I'm looking at Irvine was just because they were such a strong funder. But my



- 1 | background is all community foundations, United Way,
- 2 | Giving Circles. And I also -- I'm really -- this time
- 3 around, the census blocks that were created are amazing.
- 4 And those are private public health care -- the health
- 5 | care foundations -- I mean, not health care -- health
- 6 public clinics are very engaged in census and in voting
- 7 registration and all that. So there's a lot of entities
- 8 out there.
- 9 So I think we're in a good place to build on what
- 10 was started. So we'll get that all succinct for you guys
- 11 next time.
- 12 CHAIR AHMAD: Thank you for your report.
- 13 | Commissioner Turner, I just wanted to ask if your comment
- 14 was related to subcommittees or if it's on --
- 15 | COMMISSIONER TURNER: I basically was going to say
- 16 that I am a Pico California Federation, and so I know
- 17 | that they are throughout all of California, and they're
- 18 | actually a federation made up of a lot of smaller
- 19 organizations. And so that's all I was going to add back
- 20 when that was the talk.
- 21 CHAIR AHMAD: Okay. Thank you. I asked because I
- 22 think we need to make a very clear distinction of what's
- 23 going to happen next in our agenda. And this is also
- 24 | related to our technical support and our videographers as
- 25 | well. So we are now at 12:51. We will break for one

1	hour for lunch and then go into closed session.
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?
3	CHAIR AHMAD: Yes, Commissioner Kennedy.
4	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. I'm wondering if
5	inviting public comments on the discussion that we've
6	just had might be useful/helpful, and then go into closed
7	session after lunch.
8	CHAIR AHMAD: So are you suggesting public comment
9	when we return from lunch?
10	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
11	CHAIR AHMAD: Okay. Do we have any objections to
12	that or other recommendations? Are we okay with that?
13	Yes? Okay. So when we return from lunch at 1:51, we
14	will take public comments on anything and everything that
15	has been discussed so far on our agenda, and then we will
16	move into closed session. Just so the commissioners are
17	aware, please keep an eye out on your inbox. We will
18	receive further instructions on how to access closed
19	session. Any questions before we break? Okay. See you
20	all in one hour at 1:53.
21	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
22	CHAIR AHMAD: All right. Seeing no callers in
23	queue, Christian, would you be so kind as to remind my
24	colleagues and myself what the process looks like for
25	joining into a closed session?

```
1
         MR. CHRISTIAN: Certainly, Chair. So by now, you
 2
    should have hopefully received the instructions for
    joining by Zoom. And so after we adjourn out of this
 3
 4
    session, we'll go into a separate Zoom meeting, and you
 5
    should all have that information now.
         CHAIR AHMAD: Great. So in order to troubleshoot
 6
 7
    some unanticipated -- if there are unanticipated
    glitches, let's try to be on that Zoom link by 2:04.
 8
 9
    if you have any issues, please make sure that Christian,
10
    Raul, and staff are also aware of that as we transition
11
    into closed session.
12
         And before we jump over, just so that folks are
13
    aware, we will be in closed session for the remainder of
14
    today and through tomorrow, and we will jump back into
15
    open session on Friday. Do we have any questions or
16
    comments at this time regarding this process?
    All-righty. I will be --
17
18
         INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: Chair Ahmad?
19
         CHAIR AHMAD: Yes.
2.0
         INTERIM DEPUTY VILLANUEVA: I just wanted to note, I
21
    will not be attending the closed session. I have recused
22
    myself from the process. So if anyone is having any
2.3
    problems, they could email Christian or Marilyn. I
24
    won't --
25
         CHAIR AHMAD: Commissioner Turner.
```

1	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. You were closing. I
2	just wanted you to see Raul's hand.
3	CHAIR AHMAD: Oh. Okay. Thank you, Raul, for that
4	update. All right. We will meet again in closed
5	session, and we will see everyone from the public back on
6	Friday.
7	(Whereupon the CRC Business Meeting recessed at
8	4:20 p.m.)
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
25	
- 0	N Company of the Comp

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the videoconference recording of the proceedings provided by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

LISA HILL, CDLT-163

July 25, 2022 DATE