# STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE (CSA)

In the matter of:

2020 CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC) FIRST EIGHT COMMISSIONERS PUBLIC MEETING

621 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

FRIDAY, AUGUST 7, 2020 9:30 A.M.

Reported by:

Troy Ray

#### APPEARANCES

## COMMISSIONERS:

Jane Andersen, Temporary Chair

Trena Turner, Temporary Vice Chair (Remote attendance)

Isra Ahmad (Remote attendance)

Neal Fornaciari

J. Ray Kennedy (Remote attendance)

Antonio Le Mons (Remote attendance)

Sara Sadhwani (Remote attendance)

Derric H. Taylor (Remote attendance)

#### STAFF:

Amanda Saxton, Counsel

Shauna Pellman, Secretary (Remote attendance)

Marian Johnston, Temporary Legal Counsel

Raul Villanueva, Temporary Administrator

# PUBLIC COMMENT:

Alejandra Ponce Deleon, Redistricting Alliance

George Santana, Northern California Resident

James Woodson, Black Census and Redistricting Hub

Thomas Wong, Resident of California

Alex Hilke, Montebello, California Resident

Jesus Guzman, Healdsburg, California Resident

#### APPEARANCES (CONT.)

## PUBLIC COMMENT:

Minsun Meeker, former Supervisor of Angela Vazquez

Rob Quan

Abe Ali, President, Asian Pacific Americans in Higher Education

Arturo Carmona, La Opinion de la Bahia

Jeffrey Lee

Eliot Enriquez, Board Member, Los Cien

Ahmad Nawabi

Jacqueline Coto, NALEO Educational Fund

Nelly Nieblas, Local Community Organizer, L.A.

Ann Navarro

Christy Lubin, Executive Director, Gratin Day Labor Center, Sonoma County

Tony Winer

Maricela Morales, CAUSE

Marjorie Helm, Petaluma National Association of Social Work

Walter Chip Atkin, Retired Social Worker

Yolanda Latham, Hoopa Valley Tribe

Fayaz Nawabi, DARE

Kimberley Coles, Professor of Clinical Anthropology

Tammy Tran

#### APPEARANCES (CONT.)

## PUBLIC COMMENT:

Tammy Kim, Managing Director of Korean American Center, Korean Community Services, Orange County

Vanessa Hernandez, Hispanas Organized for Political Equality (HOPE)

Maria Morales

Esperanza Guevara, CHIRLA

Sky Allen, IE United, Inland Empire

Vanessa Acosta, HOPE

Myron Quon

Dyrell Foster, President, Las Positas College, Livermore

Kimberly Fuentes, LULAC

Julia Marks, Attorney, Asian Americans Advancing Justice

Belinda Barragan, HOPE

Yvette Chappell-Ingram, President and CEO of the African American Board Leadership Institute

Jaype Sanders, Northern California Resident

Timmy Lu, Executive Director, AAPI

Lori Shellenberger, Redistricting Consultant, California Common Cause

Helen Torres, HOPE

Manju Kulkarni, Executive Director, Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council (A3PCON)

Mariko Kahn, Executive Director in Mental Health

# APPEARANCES (CONT.)

# PUBLIC COMMENT:

Armando De La Libertad, Businessman

Tammy Tumbling, Resident, City of Cerritos, California

Stephanie Ramirez, HOPE

Joseph Williams, Elected member, San Bernardino Community College Board of Trustees

Beatriz Perez, HOPE

Jonathan Paik

Byrd Lochtie, Northern California Resident

			6
		INDEX	
			PAGE
1.	Call	to Order and Roll Call	8
2.	Meet	coval of minutes from July 21, 2020 sing of the First Eight Commissioners the Citizens Redistricting Commission.	
3.	Anno	puncements	
4.	Aman Comm supp rela addi	ort of Counsel.  Ida Saxton, Counsel to the First Eight  Ida Saxton, will report on activities in  Foort of the Commission, regarding matters  Iting to administrative support and  Itional information regarding remaining  Idicants.	
5.	the	cussion, deliberation, and action to select final six members of the Commission, auding the following:	42
	a.	Legal guidance from Counsel regarding the selection of the final six Commissioners.	
	b.	Discussion and deliberation about the process for selecting the final six Commissioners, including receiving public comment and obtaining additional information from and about the applicants.	
	С.	Discussion and deliberation regarding the qualities that the first eight Commissioners are seeking in the final six Commissioners.	
	d.	Proposal, discussion, deliberation, and voting on one or more slates of six Commissioners.	
	е.	Adoption of the slate of the final six Commissioners.	

7 INDEX (CONT.) PAGE 6. Introduction of Temporary 2020 Commission Staff. 135 7. Litigation Update (Informational Only). Marian M. Johnston, Retired Annuitant and 2010 Citizens Redistricting Commission Counsel, will update the First Eight Commissioners on Legislature v. Padilla, S262530. 151 8. Public Comment on items not on the agenda. 161 Recess 179

1	PROCEEDINGS	
2	9:30 a.m.	
3	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, the time being 9:30 I will	
4	call this meeting to order out of recess.	
5	Could the secretary please read the roll?	
6	MS. PELLMAN: Yes. Commissioner Ahmad?	
7	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here, Commissioner Ahmad.	
8	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Andersen?	
9	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Here.	
10	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Fornaciari?	
11	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.	
12	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Kennedy?	
13	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.	
14	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Le Mons?	
15	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.	
16	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Sadhwani?	
17	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.	
18	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Taylor?	
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Present.	
20	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Turner?	
21	VICE CHAIR TURNER: Here.	
22	CHAIR ANDERSEN: I see we have a quorum. The	

first order of business is public comment. Can I have the counsel read the instructions for the people can call in?

MS. SAXTON: Yes, Madam Chair.

If you'd like to make public comment regarding Agenda Item 5, deliberation and action to select the final six members of the Commission, please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment. And again that number is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number you'll speak with an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting. After providing this information the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note you're not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own.

When it's your turn to make public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator you'll be placed in a listening room. In this room you will be able to

listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use speakerphone and speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about an action item on the agenda, press 1 0 and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment about that action item. After joining the queue to make public comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue. When it's your turn the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist. Then, state your comment clearly and concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains.

After you finish making your comment, we'll move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda item at a later time, please call back when we open up public comment for that item and repeat this process.

If you are disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process, and rejoin the public comment queue by

pressing 1 0. These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: We do. And just a reminder it is pressing 1 then 0 to place yourself into queue.

The first line is Alejandra Ponce Deleon. Your line is open.

MS. PONCE DELEON: Hi, good morning

Commissioners. My name is Alejandra Ponce Deleon with the Advancement Project of California. And I'm calling on behalf of the Redistricting Alliance to urge you to keep Pedro Toledo and one of the candidates from Orange County as part of the nonaffiliated subpool.

From a racial equity perspective engagement in our democratic processes always entail greater barriers of participation for people of color. These barriers include economic disparities, lack of information and knowledge about even participating in these processes, inability to speak the language, and all of these accumulate the disempowerment of communities of color.

Therefore, we urge you to prioritize selecting candidates that represent these communities of color that continue to be disenfranchised in our democratic processes.

Pedro Toledo is the only Latino within the nonaffiliated subpool and given the complexity and diversity of the Latino population there is a need to have a Latino voice within this subpool.

Of the two candidates from Orange County, both of them represent communities of color that are critical to include their voices. Linda Akutagawa is representing the East Asian community and it's important. And she has ties with the Hawaiian and Pacific Islander community.

The other Victoria Tamoush is Middle Eastern and this is a community that has not been uplifted throughout these hearings and it's a critical community to also have representation.

And therefore we urge you to go with racial equity perspective in ensuring that these voices of these communities, both the Latinx and either East Asian or Middle Eastern be represented. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Ponce Deleon.

Is there anyone else in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: Yes. Next we have the line of George Santana, if you would please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. SANTANA: G-E-O-R-G-E S-A-N-T-A-N-A.

Dear Commissioners, my name is George and I'm a resident of Northern California. I'm calling to let you

that I'm disappointed to see that instead of adding more
Latinos onto the proposed slate, some are proposing fewer.

I'm reaching out today to respectively urge the eight of
you, to urge you to select at least four Latinos onto the
Commission, and at least one Latino from each of the three
political areas to ensure the Latino community is fairly
represented on the Commission.

2.2.

As you know, Latinos comprise 40 percent of California's population and it's growing. And if you only select four Latinos, that is only 26 percent representation, which is not enough. Latinos throughout California have been excluded from full civic participation for years. And with the current political climate in the United States, it's important to uplift Latino voices. The Latino community is very diverse in terms of politics, geography, language, immigration status and culture.

Please continue to support Mr. Pedro Toledo, the nonpartisan Latino candidate. He is a former chamber of commerce president, a healthcare leader, and has worked hard to give under-served communities a hand up. I know him personally and Mr. Toledo will ensure that all Californians have a fair representation.

Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Santana. Do we have anyone else in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: We do have several more lines in the queue. Next is the line of James Woodson, if you would please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. WOODSON: Sure. So, it's J-A-M-E-S W-O-O-D-S-O-N. And I'm calling on behalf of the Black Census and Redistricting Hub, a group of 35 black-led and black-serving organizations across the state.

I really want to echo the sentiments of
Commissioners Turner and Sadhwani regarding, you know, the
potential dismissiveness of voices and stand in solidarity
with our Latinx and AAPI brothers and sisters, and in
particular our partners of Asian Americans Advancing
Justice, the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights, and the
Lao Educational Fund to uplift the important of Latinx and
AAPI candidates to fill the NPP seats.

You know, as black Californians we've certainly had to deal with a lot of pain, and grief, and exhaustion. Historically, we've been under-counted and under-represented, and dealt with decades, in fact centuries, of lifting our voice only to have people ignore that voice. And it took a public health pandemic and the murder of three people, two on camera, for people to finally wake up to hear what we had to say.

And so, we're concerned about the arguments and

the rhetoric that was used yesterday to suggest that people raising their voice is a sign that they've been heard and will be represented. When, in fact, our history shows that that isn't the case.

2.2.

You all received a lot of public comments and calls, many from the Latinx and AAPI communities. And I would hate knowing that racial minorities have historically been disenfranchised in this country and in this state I'd hate for Latinx and AAPI voices to be dismissed and ignored for the simple fact that they spoke up.

We know the systems in this country and in this state that have been set up to protect older, white, male landowners. And the fact is that, you know, while California's made strides to right these wrongs in the last 20 to 30 years, we still had to deal with vestiges of that past.

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. WOODSON: We know that, you know, certain communities are being attacked in the Census right now. And so, it's one thing to say we hear your voices it's another thing to show it. So, we would urge you to put Pedro Toledo --

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MR. WOODSON: -- and one of the Orange County
applicants on the NPP subpool. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Woodson.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Thomas Wong. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. WONG: Hi, Thomas Wong, T-H-O-M-A-S W-O-N-G. I would like to commend the Commission for taking the time to do this and to take public input, and also your own time and resources to participate in this process, this critical process once a decade to redraw the lines in a fair way, for fair representation for the residents and the communities of California. I know it's an extraordinarily tough job to make sure that a Commission of this size represents all of the diversity of the entire State of California. And that you have tough choices ahead of you and very many good candidates.

I'd like to echo my support and my encouragement, along with others who have spoken before to make sure that there is Latino representation in the remaining appointments to the Commission. But also wanted to specifically call out and support Pedro Toledo's and Linda Akutagawa's consideration for being added to the nonpartisan seats for the Commission.

Both have very much proven track records of involvement in the community and in state affairs, and

local affairs, and make sure they've demonstrated a commitment to fair representation and empowering the community. And I hope that the Commission and the Commissioners, each of you will give due attention to both of their appointments -- or, their consideration. And I hope and wish you all the luck and best wishes as you kind of move forward in this process. Thanks so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Wong.

Next in the queue, please.

2.2.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Alex Hilke. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. HILKE: Hi, my name is Alex Hilke, A-L-E-X H-I-L-K-E. And I'm a resident of Montebello, California.

I just wanted to pose a slate to the Commission today. As I've been listening to testimony and feedback over the last four days, I believe the most optimal slate at this moment would be Sinay and Vazquez for the Democrats, Fernandez and Gallegos for the Republicans, and Akutagawa and Morgan for the independents.

I know Commissioner Le Mons had some concerns about negative feedback for Applicant Gallegos, but this slate would serve to be reflective of the Latino community, the Asian community, and also balance regional considerations that I've heard from several Commissioners over the last few days.

I thank you for your time. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Hilke.

Next in the queue, please.

2.2.

AT&T OPERATOR: Yeah, Jesus Guzman, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. GUZMAN: Hi, my name is Jesus Guzman. That's spelled J-E-S-U-S G-U-Z-M-A-N.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please go ahead.

MR. GUZMAN: Hi, good morning Commissioners and thank you for convening us this morning. I will be brief. I want to just share a few words in urging the Commission to support the appointment of Mr. Pedro Toledo. I'm a resident here of Healdsburg, in Sonoma County. I've had the pleasure of working with Mr. Toledo for a number of years and have seen in action his leadership, and his advocacy for our entire community in terms of making sure that the healthcare is more than just -- that it's a service that's provided for everybody in the community.

He's often approached issues through an equity lens and has fought to make sure that we have an inclusive community here in Sonoma County. So, I have no doubt that his service will continue to make sure that that's something that's afforded to the rest of Californians, as well.

And so, I would urge you to support Mr. Toledo.

As somebody who's been a former chamber of commerce president, a healthcare leader and worked hard to give under-served communities a hand up. So, again, I want to thank you for your time and wish you luck in your future deliberations.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Guzman.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Minsun

Meeker. If you would, please spell your name for the court
reporter. Please go ahead.

MS. MEEKER: Hello, this is Minsun Meeker. It's M-I-N-S-U-N. The last name is Meeker, M-E-E-K-E-R.

Good morning, Commissioners. I'm calling to support Angela Vazquez's candidacy for the Citizen's Redistricting Commission. I heard some concern with Ms. Vazquez that the Commission may lack a particular expertise and necessary to serve as a potential counterbalance, the legal counsel that the Commission may hire.

I was Angela's supervisor when we worked together at the National Center for Youth Law, a national legal advocacy organization. And I wanted to assure you of Angela's expertise in policy and legal analysis, and her ability to interpret and apply the law. Angela has spent nearly a decade of her career working with lawyers within the legally driven world of the foster care system. She

has more than once been the sole voice speaking truth to power, holding school and child welfare leaders accountable to legal violations of foster youth education rights, such as the right to remain enrolled in their school if their foster home changes.

She's highly skilled in policy analysis, digging deeply into the state's Education and Welfare and Institutions Codes to ensure she understands the legal context at play when advocating for policy change on behalf of children from marginalized communities.

Her skills in policy analysis and development has meant that Angela has helped author legislation alongside lawyers, including AB 1164 which ultimately ensured greater access to early learning opportunities for young children in foster care, and SB 233 which clarified exceptions to the Federal Education Rights and Privacy Act to ensure foster parents have the necessary information to support foster youth in school.

One of the Commissioners said in a prior discussion that lawyers have a certain way of thinking that would be an asset to the Commission in working with its hired counsel. I agree that deep critical analysis of existing policy is a relevant and essential skill for the Commission and I believe that Ms. Vazquez -- with Ms. Vazquez you will be gaining the expertise and the way of

thinking you desire. Thank you.

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Ms. Meeker.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: It's the line of Rob Quan.

Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. QUAN: Okay, R-O-B Q-U-A-N. I just had a few brief comments. I thought it was interesting, especially after all the discussion about process that towards the end of yesterday's meeting Mr. Le Mons, you know, revealed that he had engaged in serial meetings with three of the other Commissioners that brings it to a total of four, half of the Commission. I don't know that much about Bagley-Keene, but under the Brown Act that would be a little suspect.

I looked at the rules and regulations and it's kind of running right up to that line because five people would constitute a quorum. So, I just wanted to flag that because, you know, if we're talking about process and having everything aboveboard, let's see that.

You know, I know you all have a tough day today, so I'd just say that I hope you, you know, find a way to get four Latinos on this Commission. There's some things that you just can't make up for in perspective. And I've heard a few white people on this Commission go on and on

about diversity, and talk about nooks and crannies of the state. And, frankly, I just -- it's not really sitting well as far as what this Commission is, you know, seeking to pursue. And, you know, the concerns about geography, and resumes, like you should really be thinking about who these people are, what perspectives they have, and what they bring to the table and all of those intangibles.

I'll end on a positive note. I really do appreciate that this Commission has made an effort to, you know, improve things along the way and make these meetings accessible. I'll note that your hold music, your standby music is amazing. Maybe that doesn't go to the Commission and somebody in the Auditor's Office --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. QUON: -- but it's the best standby music of any governmental body out there. So, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Quan.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next we have the line of Abe Ali. Please spell your name for the reporter. And now, your line is open for us.

MR. ALI: Hello, my name is Abe Ali, spelled A-B-E A-L-I. I represent the Asian Pacific Americans in Higher Education. I'm the president of that association.

25 I also am a resident of Bakersfield, California. And I

speak on behalf of Linda Akutagawa. She is an excellent candidate that I believe will be a great nonpartisan participant in your process.

I applaud the Commission's intent to include, to be inclusive of shaping California's future. This starts with working and involving fair representation with all ethnic communities and sharing the values of -- that should be represented on the Commission.

Linda Akutagawa is that person. She's an ideal candidate that can work and toggle between private businesses, where she has collected several amounts of resources to be allocated to nonprofits throughout the nation, but in particular California.

She has been a great asset to all three, private sector businesses, nonprofits, and higher education.

There's no other person that I would look to, to be fairly representing our Asian Pacific American community.

As you know, diversity and cultural identification has been an issue in the Asian community and --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. ALI: -- Linda has -- Linda has participated greatly in learning about resource distribution and cultural identification that's collected through Census, and she has learned a lot --

MS. PELLMAN: Five.

MR. ALI: -- and participated in our (indiscernible) -- so, thank you and appreciate your consideration.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Ali.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Arturo

Carmona. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. CARMONA: Hi, my name is Arturo Carmona, A-R- T-U-R-O, the last name C-A-R-M-O-N-A.

And I am calling on behalf of La Opinion, La Opinion de la Bahia, and the Latino Media Collaborative, representing 32 media outlets that help keep the millions of Latinos informed with high quality news and journalism. As a collective of outlets that value independent and unbiased journalism, we are calling to remind the Commission of the commitment they made to the public to address the complete lack of Latinix representation on the body and to uplift Pedro Toledo, a Latinix candidate who represents 40 percent of California's population, as well as one-fourth of registered no party preference Latinix voters in this space.

We also join in support of the previously made request that at least four Latino representatives be

integrated into the Commission.

I also wanted to personally thank the Commission for this opportunity and for taking this important issue on, and the issue of ensuring full representation. Our outlets have made editorial positions on this very important issue for our state. And we stand in unison in making this request.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Carmona.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Jeffrey

Lee. If you would please spell your name for the reporter.

Your line is open.

MR. LEE: J-E-F-F-R-E-Y L-E-E. And happy -- you know, good morning and happy Friday. You know, I'm calling to just really express my appreciation for all the Commissioners' public service in prior roles, and all the things that you've done, you know, leading up to this time.

Commissioner Kennedy, I'd like to say I really admire your international experience. I'm from an immigrant family and a student of international relations. I really appreciated your work on democratic elections.

And in my humble opinion, I think you're a good fit for the role.

I'm also struck by Debra Gish's public comment about you. And just for the record Ms. Gish said that, you

know, Commissioner Kennedy really cares about everyone, especially marginalized members of society, women, minorities, differently abled, indigenous, LGBTQ. He wants everyone to enjoy fair access in a timely manner. He goes out of his way to ensure systems and procedures are in place to accomplish this.

You know, so just frankly, you know, this process has been really hard to follow sometimes, right. And I trust and acknowledge that you will support Commissioner Sadhwani and Commissioner Turner's slate. As far as I'm able to follow those haven't changed. It's a strong slate. And it addresses the lack of Latino participation, as well as a very diverse API population and community in the inclusion of Peter Toledo -- I mean Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa.

This slate is kind of far from yours, sir. So, it's a coalition slate, it really is. Your two minority women colleague slate will ensure that marginalized women and minorities, LGBTQ have access to a seat at the table.

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. LEE: This is backed by your public comment and the comments of the communities over the past three days. So, based on your background, I'm very hopeful that you will agree and I have to believe that you will demonstrate this with your vote. Thank you, Commissioner

Kennedy.

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

3 MR. LEE: Thank you to the rest of the 4 Commission.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Lee.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Eliot Enriquez. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. ENRIQUEZ: E-L-I-O-T E-N-R-I-Q-U-E-Z. Good morning Commissioners. Once again, my name is Eliot Enriquez and I am a board member for Los Cien, a Latinobased advocacy group that works to build bridges amongst all races in our community.

And I also would like to remind the Commission of its commitment to have four Latinos joined the Commission in this next round.

I also would like everyone -- remind everyone to know that Latinos do compromise 40 percent of California's population and it's growing. And if you only select four Latinos that is only 26 percent of the representation, which is clearly not enough for representation of the Latinx community that have been historically underrepresented and forgotten in California.

The Latino community is also very diverse in

terms of its politics, geography, language, immigration status and culture. And that's why I would like for you to please support Mr. Pedro Toledo, who is a nonpartisan Latino candidate. He used to be the president of the Hispanic Chamber of Commerce in Sonoma County. He continues to be one of the most prominent healthcare leaders in the entire State of California. And he's been working most of his entire professional life to give underserved communities a hand up and a fair shot at living the California dream.

I have known and worked with Pedro for the past ten years. It has been an honor. And I really hope that you will give him an opportunity and also to ensure that California has fair representation amongst the Commission. Thank you for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Enriquez.

Next in the queue, please.

2.2.

AT&T OPERATOR: Yes, Ahmad Nawabi. Please spell your name for the reporter. And your line is now open.

MR. NAWABI: Hello, my name is Ahmad Nawabi. The spelling of my name, A-H-M-A-D, the last name Nawabi, N-A-W-A-B-I.

I want to thank the Commission for taking my call. And I wanted to echo the sentiments of the other callers in making sure that we get Mr. Toledo into NPP

(indiscernible).

In addition to that, I'd also like to voice my concern that we're not getting enough votes for Victoria Tamoush who's the only Middle Eastern candidate standing before us. So, I would like to voice my support of her and Mr. Toledo. (Indiscernable) Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Nawabi.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Jacqueline Coto. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is now open.

MS. COTO: Hi, good morning. My name is

Jacqueline Coto, C-O-T-O, from the National Association of

Latino Elected and Appointed Official, NALEO Educational

Fund.

I would like to acknowledge that you have come a long way. And as Commissioners, you have obviously been facing a very difficult challenge balancing geographic diversity between two very different parts of the state.

We wanted to remind you how much you have accomplished and should be proud of. At many earlier steps of the CRC process, time and time again it fails to provide representation for the Latino community from the application process straight through to the bingo balls.

However, the eight of you have stood up together as a group to right that wrong consistently, including a

minimum of four Latinos on the Commission when selecting the slate to ensure that the Latino community is represented in each of the partisan pools.

We are confident that the remaining Latino candidates in each pool will all make an excellent Commissioner, not only for the 40 percent of the Californians who are Latino, but also for everyone who lives in the state.

So, you face tough tradeoffs in balancing geographic diversity and other considerations and we do not envy you for that work. However, in addressing those challenges we urge you not to trade one problem for another and sacrifice your hard work to date to bring needed racial and ethnic diversity to the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Coto.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Certainly. And just a reminder, for any late joiners it is pressing 1, followed by 0 to place yourself into queue.

Next we have the line of Nelly Nieblas. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. NIEBLAS: Nelly Nieblas, N-E-L-L-Y, Nieblas is N-I-E-B-L-A-S. And I am a local community organizer in the southeast area of Los Angeles and I am pushing for support for Linda Akutagawa, and for a slate that includes

Pedro Toledo. We need a diverse -- we need a diverse group to reflect the diversity that California itself entails.

And I appreciate your time and consideration when it comes to this decision. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Nieblas.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Ann Navarro. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. NAVARRO: Hi. A-N-N N-A-V-A-R-R-O. I'm calling to support the slate that includes three Latinos in the Republican and Democrat categories, two API in the Republican and no party preference. This would make a good coalition slate and I believe that at least six of you can get behind. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Navarro.

Next in the queue, please.

18 AT&T OPERATOR: The line of Christy Lubin.

Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. LUBIN: Yes, my name -- my spelling is C-H-R-I-S-T-Y L-U-B-I-N. And I'm Christy Lubin and I am the Executive Director of the Graton Day Labor Center located in Sonoma County.

Our organization organizes with day laborers and

domestic workers in the North Bay Region to build power and lift labor standards, as well as workplace safety standards. And we -- I, personally have had the honor of working in the community with Mr. Pedro Toledo for the past 15 years and I have seen him as he -- everywhere you go, you see Mr. Toledo, for years, when it comes -- anything that has to do with advocating for low wage workers, for the immigrant community, he is always at the table.

So, I want to say that I'm disappointed to see that instead of adding more Latinos onto the proposed slate, some are proposing fewer.

I'm reaching out to respectfully urge the eight of you to -- the eight of you to urge -- to select at least four Latinos onto the Commission and at least one Latino from each of the three political areas to ensure the Latino community is fairly represented on the Commission.

As you know, Latinos comprise 40 percent of California's population, as they do Sonoma County's population, and it is only growing. Latinos in my area and throughout the state have been excluded from full civic participation for years. And with the current political climate and with the COVID crisis that we are facing now, it is important to uplift Latino voices now more than ever.

The Latino community is very diverse in terms of politics, geography, language, immigration status and

culture.

So, please continue to support Mr. Pedro Toledo, the nonpartisan Latino candidate. He is a former chamber of commerce president, a healthcare leader --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. LUBIN: -- and he has worked with an vast groups in many forums to give underserved communities a hand up. I know him personally and know that he will give all of --

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. LUBIN: -- California fair representation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Lubin.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Tony
Winer. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line
is open.

MR. WINER: Hi, my name's Tony Winer, T-O-N-Y W-I-N-E-R. I've listened to the vast majority of the meetings over the past few days and I just wanted to bring something to your attention.

I've heard lots of discussion around representation culturally, geographically, socioeconomically, and lots of callers calling in to urge you to prioritize those representations, which is outstanding. But you've also seemed to self-impose a

secondary priority of adding someone to the committee with a law degree that I just can't quite wrap my head around.

And the main difference between the two slates proposed yesterday, as far as I understand them, is that part of you picks this person with a law degree that people are not calling in and asking for.

So, I'd just urge you to discuss amongst yourselves the real need for someone with a legal degree when you will be provided with legal staff to ask questions to. And you heard from the woman from the League of Woman Voters, who just talked about crafting this process. It was designed to increase representation, not to hire an attorney who would sit on the committee.

Specifically, Michael Gennaco, who's the

Democratic lawyer that seems to end up on a lot of slates,

as far as I can tell has not received a single public

comment for public support. I went through everything I

could find this morning and I wasn't able to find anything.

And so, that sort of brings me to my second point. I just wanted to echo some of the other public comments that you have received around Angela Vasquez. She brings more than youth to the committee that you guys have recognized. She also has years of experience engaging in California's communities, and engaging at a community level, and has direct experience working through legal

frameworks and understands how to apply the law to help every-day Californians.

So, I'd just ask if you go back and rearrange your slates --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. WINER: -- that you keep Angela Vazquez on the slate and really hear the support that she's received over the past few days. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Winer.

Next on the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Maricela Morales. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. MORALES: Hello, good morning. Maricela, M-A-R-I-C-E-L-A, last name Morales, M-O-R-A-L-E-S.

I'm cofounder and Executive Director of the

Central Coast Alliance United for a Sustainable Economy, or

CAUSE. We are based in Ventura and Santa Barbara Counties

and work with partners throughout the Central Coast area,

which we consider to be Santa Cruz in the north and Ventura

County in the south.

This go around we were not successful in having a Central Coast-based Commissioner, which even more important though that I'm calling to lift up is the absolute absence of Latinx representation, and that that should be the number one priority.

As it's been said, in listening to prior comments that California's 40 percent Latino. But as we all know, the state is not homogenous. And that 40 percent jumps up to 85 percent in a county like Imperial County. And in fact, in most counties of California that are under a million in population, the population of that county is 50 percent or more Latino.

So, in terms of the non-metro urban areas, the absence of Latino representation just further disenfranchises and underrepresents the smaller counties of the state.

I want to lift up Pedro Toledo to be included, along with three other Latinos. And I want to raise the alarm that --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. MORALES: -- should there not be -- should there not be adequate representation this Commission will begin under the cloud of political apartheid, discrimination, or at best implicit bias against Latinx residents of California.

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. MORALES: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Morales.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Marjorie

Helm. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. HELM: Good morning, this is Marjorie Helm.

M-A-R-J-O-R-I-E H-E-L-M. And I'm a clinical social worker

and co-chair of the Petaluma National Association of Social

Work unit. And I want to thank you for your incredibly

hard work to ensure representation throughout the state.

I'm calling to let you know that I'm also disappointed to see that instead of adding more Latinos onto the proposed slate you -- some are proposing fewer.

I'm reaching out today to respectfully urge that you select at least four Latinos to join the Commission and at least one Latino for each of the three political areas to ensure that the Latinx community is fairly represented on the Commission.

As you know and as people are saying very consistently, Latinos comprise 40 percent of California's population and the community is growing rapidly. If you only select four Latinos, it only provides 26 percent representation, which isn't enough. Latinos throughout California have been excluded for years from full civic participation. In the current political climate and with the COVID crisis, it's critical to lift and amplify Latinix voices.

The Latino community is very diverse in terms of

politics, geography, language, immigration status and culture. Please continue to support Mr. Pedro Toledo, the nonpartisan Latino candidate. He's a former chamber of commerce president, a healthcare leader, and has worked hard to give underserved communities a voice and a hand up.

I know Mr. Toledo personally --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. HELM: -- and he will ensure all Californians have fair representation. Thank you for your efforts.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Helm.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Walter

Atkin. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. ATKIN: I go by Walter Chip Atkin. It's W-A-L-T-E-R C-H-I-P A-T-K-I-N.

And I'm calling for support Pedro Toledo for the nonpartisan spot. I'm a retired social worker, retired chair of the SEIU Clinical Committee. I know Pedro because we live in the same town and he's the CEO of the health clinic. And he's somebody that's known for supporting underserved and underrepresented people, and being an advocate for them.

And I agree with the other commenters that since Latinos represents 40 percent of the population, they

should have at least four Latinos on the Commission. That would still be underrepresented for their proportion of the population, but it would be much improved.

And Pedro is the kind of advocate that you need to find a system that's going to be representative of all people. So, thank you for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for that comment.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We go to the line of Yolanda

Latham. Your line is open. Please spell your name for the

court reporter.

MS. LATHAM: Good morning, Commissioners. My name is Yolanda Latham. Yolanda, Y-O-L-A-N-D-A, Latham, L-A-T-H-A-M.

I am Native American, a member of the Hoopa

Valley Tribe and I live in Humboldt County. I live on a reservation with 3,000 other residents. I called yesterday and I'm following your deliberations. I want to once again give my enthusiastic support for Pedro Toledo. And I want to provide more explanation as to why I support him.

Communities of color across California have for too long been excluded and our voices have been silenced. This is especially true for Native Americans, black and Latino communities. And, unfortunately, I see this happening again with the Latino and Native American

communities. We need more people of color to serve in these positions from all political backgrounds.

You can't fix the absence of Native Americans on the Commission, but you can ensure that Latinos receive fair and adequate representation on the Commission to preserve the integrity of the redistricting process.

One thing you may not know about Mr. Toledo is that while he identifies as Mexican American, during his legal education he also studied tribal law and served as Vice President of the Native American Law Student Association. He also has had strong ties to the Native American community across the country that stemmed back to when he lived in the Native American dorm at Stanford University.

As a former CEO of the Native American Health Clinic, I wanted to share additional insights.

Approximately 40 percent of people in Mendocino, Humboldt, Del Norte, and other rural communities across Northern

California get their medical care at a Community Health
Clinic. In my community, everyone gets their care at the
tribal clinic. Over the years WIC has worked with the

22 Rural Roundtable --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. LATHAN: -- and Pedro has collaborated with rural clinic leadership from all over the state, and

particularly across the North Coast. These clinics serve some of the hardest to reach people, Native American, agricultural workers, the rural poor, and we work with him to design strategies --

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. LATHAM: -- to engage these populations and get them care. Pedro doesn't just have deep respect and appreciation for the people from different backgrounds, he has dedicated his career to serving people of different backgrounds. For all those reasons I raise my voice, my strongest support for Mr. Pedro Toledo to serve on the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Latham.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Fayaz Nawabi. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. NAWABI: Hello, my name is Fayaz Nawabi. The spelling of my name is F-A-Y-A-Z, the last name Nawabi, N-A-W-A-B-I.

I'm calling on behalf of DARE, Downtown American (indiscernible) relations, to raise my support for Pedro Toledo for the NPP pool. As many people said earlier, he does represent the Latino community. And seeing that's the population that accounts for 40 percent of the population

of California. It's the Latinix -- it's unacceptable that some folks are recommending that there are no Latinix representatives on the Commission.

I'm also proposing my support for Victoria

Tamoush. And Victoria, she is the founder of Interfaith

Witness, which is an organization in the Orange County

region that stood up for any anti -- any anti-Muslim

attacks for our community. And she's also the only Middle

Eastern candidate in the entire pool. So, I'm voicing my

support for both Pedro Toledo and Victoria Tamoush for the

NPP pool. Thank you very much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Nawabi.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Madam Chair, there are no further questions here in queue or comments.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Having completed the public comments portion just for the public, thank you very much for all your comments. We take them into consideration along with all of our other materials. We have received the ones that have been submitted, written as well, and we cannot comment on those at this time.

Moving along on the agenda, we will continue with Item 5, our discussion, deliberation and action on the slates for the six Commissioners.

At this time I'd like to have the group work

together and come up with some slates, have, say, a brief discussion and get some slates proposed and do some voting. I feel we are -- our conversations towards the end of yesterday got a bit less than fruitful. And we know we have a lot of difficult decisions, it's time to move forward.

With that in mind, I'm going to ask Commissioner Kennedy to lead with giving us a quick update on his status with fighting the Apple Fire around him. If you could please -- we've all been concerned, if you could give us an update, please.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Actually, Madam Chair, well, I'm busy here and not fighting the fire. I'm back. I asked Christian this morning to alert me if he sees flames leaping up in the background behind me because I'm focused on our work. I appreciate the support from all of my fellow Commissioners and I'll try to keep you posted. We're not sure how long we'll be away from the property. As of last night the fire was about eight miles away, but with winds gusting overnight between 45 and 50 miles an hour it's nerve wracking.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. We're all very concerned, 23 so thank you very much.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If I may, while I have the floor?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: As we start our discussion of slates, I just want to go on record again as saying that the only difference between my slate and the Sadhwani and Turner slates is that I include Victoria Tamoush rather than Linda Akutagawa. But I also go on record again as saying that I do not oppose their slate. So, I want to be clear with that. So, that's where I am right now. Thank

you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I wanted to address one of the comments from public comment because I think there needs to be a clarification. I had no side meetings with any Commissioners yesterday or at any other point in this process. And I think the three Commissioners that I referenced can affirm that. And that was Commissioner Sadhwani, Commissioner Ahmad, and Commissioner Turner.

What I was referencing is the conversation that I had with them in public view as a part of our discussion. So, I just thought that that was important to -- particularly if someone raises a question of integrity, which is one of my highest values, so I cannot let that go unaddressed.

And then, my second point of clarification will

be for you, Madam Chair. You may have felt that it was not fruitful. I didn't feel like the discussions at the end of the day were unfruitful. So, I take a little bit of exception with your judgment. It may be uncomfortable in this process for some of us, or all of us at different points along the way. But at no point did I feel like — and I appreciate Commissioner's Turner's comments at the end of the conversation, which I think was an indication, at least from her point of view, that while it's uncomfortable, it was productive. So, that's my response to that.

Finally, I'd like to say that I would like to propose another slate for consideration, if I can do so.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Unless, would any other -before we do that, would any other Commissioner like to
actually say anything before we move to proposing slates?

Go ahead, Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So, I'd like to propose the following slate, which would include Angela Vazquez for a Democrat, Patricia Sinay for a Democrat. For a Republican it would be Alicia Fernandez and Russell Yee. And for nonparty affiliate it would be Eddie Morgan and Pedro Toledo. And I want to call that the One Tribe slate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, what was the last

25 part you said?

2 One Tribe slate. 3 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. We need a moment for 4 counsel to write that down and then read that back. MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair? 5 6 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Counsel? 7 MS. SAXTON: As a technical matter, a legal 8 matter, Commissioner Le Mons will need to withdraw the 9 other slates as each Commissioner may only have one slate 10 for consideration at a time. 11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. 12 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: That's fine. 13 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Le Mons, Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'd like to name it the

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes. So, I guess there's the point of either amending the previous slate or withdrawing. Is that correct, Counsel?

MS. SAXTON: That is correct.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So, I think it will be easier because I no longer wish it to be called the Le Mons slate is to withdraw the previous slates and move forward with the one I just outlined.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner 23 Kennedy, you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I have a question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Question.

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

25

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Looking back at my notes I understood that the last slate that Commissioner Le Mons had proposed failed, and so he does not currently have a slate on the table. So, I'm wondering what it is that needs to be withdrawn. I thought that had already been defeated.

2.2.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: That was my thinking, too, Commissioner Kennedy but --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I have to agree, I also thought that it had -- since it had failed, it did not exist anymore. But Counsel is clarifying that for us. So, we can --

MS. SAXTON: A defeated slate can still be reproposed. So, to be perfectly clear and safe, I would advise withdrawing that slate for that reason.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: No problem. I withdraw the previous slate, the amended Le Mons slate and propose the new slate.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: You're welcome.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for the point of clarification.

Also, Commissioner Le Mons thank you for the point of clarification in process, the public comment. That was absolutely appropriate and I appreciate you

1 speaking to that comment, which was about process. And I 2 also was going to bring that up. I wanted to give you the 3 opportunity. You're absolutely correct and those 4 conversations occurred in public. That as far as we saw, 5 and have everyone verify that, that was absolutely 6 appropriate. Thank you very much. 7 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: You're welcome. 8 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go ahead, Counsel. 9 MS. SAXTON: Let the record reflect that 10 Commissioner Le Mons has proposed the One Tribe slate with 11 the following applicants: Angela Vasquez, Democrat; 12 Patricia Sinai, Democrat; Alicia Fernandez, Republican; 13 Russell Yee, Republican; Eddie Morgan, neither party; Pedro 14 Toledo, neither party. 15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I have a -- well, a slate has 16 been proposed. Is that a proposal for discussion or to 17 propose for a vote? 18 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Not for a vote. I just 19 want to put it forward for our discussion.

22 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair?

discussion on this slate?

20

21

23

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, I think this slate reveals what our impasse is, is where we like how our

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

slates come together up until we have the Morgan,
Akutagawa. So, that's what we have to address, which way
do we want to go, to be frank.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

I might have a little clarification on that, if I may read
this from our charge, which I think certainly the public
might not be aware of.

We are charged, and this comes from -- let's see,

I want to get exactly where this comes from. It is -- it's

in the Government Code. These are our -- as written, as

the California Code was modified by the Voters FIRST Act.

And it actually comes down to the six appointees, how we

must approve them.

And the six appointees shall be chosen to ensure the Commission reflects the state's diversity including, but not limited to, racial, ethnic, geographic, and gender diversity.

It also says: Applicants shall also be chosen based on relevant analytical skills and ability to be impartial.

These are not one and then the other. These are all equal in the way it is exactly written. And that is why we are having such a hard time with this. We have conflicting considerations and they're equally conflicting. They're equally of the same level of consideration. There

is no one order over the other order. This is a very difficult decision.

We have both the geographical criteria, the racial/ethnic/gender criteria, the analytical skills, and the ability to be impartial. These are all items which we have been weighing and considering that the public may not be aware of. We have all the information from the Applicant Panel Review Board, which we have gone over in great detail.

Our words that we've chosen do not in any way summarize the entire person when we are talking about a few items. That would not be fair to any of our candidates. That's just how we've had to use a few words in the point of being short in our discussions. That should not be taken as any kind of negative against any applicant. We are working at our best ability to work together as a group and these are very hard decisions.

We are indeed at a very difficult decision, coming down to the nonparty preference items. And we can't sort of quickly summarize what one person brings versus another person and these are hard issues.

So, any comment that we make, we do not want that to be misconstrued as it's against another. These are all highly qualified people. We've had the discussion that we would really like to add all three. Unfortunately, we

cannot.

So, that is what I have to say and I open the field to any other Commissioner who would like to say anything on this matter.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes, thank you. Good morning, Commissioners. This does get down, and I appreciate the slates that have been offered and the names. It really does boil down for me of a distinction between Morgan and Akutagawa, and for all of the reasons that we've discussed over the last few days. Listening at the public comment, I believe with the Voter's Right Act we are within our realm and the parameters, regardless of which candidate is selected, they both fill a niche, fill a need, and would represent. So, it comes down to what our, I guess, conviction is based on public comment, based on what we've heard, based on what we've read, viewed in their videos and what have you. Both, again, strong candidates. We keep saying that. That seems almost a moot point at this time.

For me, I'm still heavily weighing on Linda

Akutagawa for the breadth of representation that she has

for all of the population groups that was named. I think

that it provides a greater coverage of representation. Not

a better, a different than what would be Morgan. And to

that end, when it is time, I do want to lift the slate that I originally proposed the other day that actually hasn't been proposed before, but I lift it as the Turner slate, which I'm still wanting to keep the same names we are not struggling with, Sinay, Vazquez, Fernandez, Yee, but then it would be the Toledo and Akutagawa is where I'm at this morning.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Any other Commissioner?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioner

Turner. And I liked how you phrased that. When we look at those three in the nondenominational pool I start to think of whose breadth spans the furthest. And we know that we're not limited by what's said or listed on the paper. It is comforting to know that Candidate Toledo that we are getting calls from Humboldt and further north to explain

And again, as a person of color with the struggles that African Americans have had with voting, it will always be difficult to think that an area is not represented. Those regions were defined for a reason and I don't think they are to be overlooked.

his impact up north. So, that is under consideration.

But we are talking -- compromise is going to smart some. But I am starting to look at the breadth of

impact that each candidate would have and how we can reach our deficits.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair. So, first good morning, everyone, happy Friday. I think when we left the conversation yesterday I was in true conflict with the two slates that we ended up voting on. I recognize that each slate brings a vast variety of experience and a certain level of diversity.

So, coming in to today's call, I made sure that I made sure to set my expectations of I'm here to hear my colleagues. I'm here to hear public comment. And I'm here to absorb that information.

And what Commissioner Taylor mentioned about the calls we received regarding Candidate Toledo and his experience in Northern California also comforted me to a certain degree. Because I, too, am internally battling with the lack of representation from Northern California.

So, I just wanted to share that, that tidbit was very comforting to hear from public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I want to open by saying that I, too, feel conflicted, though that may not always

come through. But I struggle with the choices, as well.

The angle that I've taken and having listened to all of the public comment as well, and also being a member of a minority group and understand firsthand what all that means. First, I'd like to say by the Bingo ball, while this group is not representative of the State of California, it is diverse. That's just by accident. Because I feel like some of the suggestion has been that these eight people aren't diverse at all, and that's an unfair characterization as well.

So, I commend all of my fellow Commissioners who have really worked hard to listen carefully, and to absorb information that has been provided to us over the last several days, not just this week but even preceding in all of the things that we've read.

When I look at what is our charge, and I appreciate Madam Chair's rereading that for the public to hear as well, and where we have constraints and where we don't. And I think that for me the regional aspect is the one place that we don't have the same constraint. And the fact that we have a significant representation in the Southern Coastal Region, and it's very difficult for me to grapple with the idea that it can be suggested that because you're a small percentage, oh, well, we'll look out for you.

Because what happens a lot of times with the small percentage is you don't get looked out for. And what we're leaning toward is we've heard volumes, and volumes, and volumes of testimony from people in certain directions, and we're saying, okay, that gives me the validation that I need to stand behind.

But does that protect that small percentage voice, and I'm talking about the north, that doesn't have the volume, that doesn't have the numbers for us to say, okay, we see you now.

So, I think we have the opportunity to make sure that at this Commission table, because it's just a table, this is not going to reflect the entire state. This is not going to prevent us from hearing from the people in Orange County, if there isn't an Orange County representative on the Commission itself. Of course we're not going to ignore Orange County.

And I think that our charge as Commissioners are going to be to facilitate a process that ensures that the information that we need from the public is received to inform the maps. And so, if we're committed to that, I think we have more of a wraparound of the Orange County area from the amount of representation in the Southern Coastal.

You know, when I look at, you know, sort of the

balance of the most recent slate that I'm calling One
Tribe, I think it is certainly gender balanced. I think
it's racially balanced to the degree that we can with all
of the considerations around race and ethnicity. It's
regionally balanced. It considers all of the economic
categories that we have to consider as well. And it has, I
think, the opportunity for us to not have any part of the
state feel like they didn't matter enough, or they weren't
big enough, or there wasn't enough of them to say that we
thought they should have a seat at the table from a
regional perspective.

And I am partially comforted by Mr. Toledo's reach, so at the end of the day if the other Commissioners don't feel as strongly as I do about full regional representation, I think we will have an opportunity. So, that's not lost on me as well. I've heard that very clearly.

However, that's not his area. That's not his address. That's not the area he represents. And so, if you live in that area and you're looking at the table, you can say, yes, we have a friend in Mr. Toledo, but he's not ours. And we know how that works, too.

So, I just encourage us to not so quickly dismiss
-- let me take that part back because I don't -- that's not
a fair statement because I don't think that anyone is

dismissing anything.

I'd ask us to reconsider the one opportunity that we do have to have full regional representation. This is only the second Commission. The first one wasn't able, for whatever reasons, to be able to do that. We're the second one. The third one won't be for another three [sic] years. We're here to draw the maps for the entire State of California. Let's make sure that there's at least a representative from every region at that table, as we take on this task.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair. I'm getting really into this conversation, so I appreciate all of the points that are being brought up.

So, two things come to mind. Without going too much into a rabbit hole yesterday, I started looking at that map that has the regions divided. And I started to question like who created this map? Who divided up the regions this way and for what purpose?

And then, when I was looking at the map, I'm in Santa Clara County, which is Central Coastal, and that branches all the way down to Santa Barbara on that map. I do not represent Central Coastal by no means, shape or form.

I can go two cities over and feel like an outsider just because that's how diverse California is.

So, I hear you a hundred and ten percent that we could have full regional representation, but if that was such a critical aspect of the Commission why wasn't that written into the Voters FIRST Act as we need to have full regional representation above these other diversity criteria.

To me, because it's in the same category as race, ethnicity, gender, economic status as an aspect of diversity, to me that speaks that we need to look for a balance. And I think I've stated this before that is the most challenging part because we tend to think in boxes. We're trained in thinking in boxes. From K through 12 we're either this or we're that, or we're from here or we're from there. We're from Nor Cal or we're from So Cal. Like there's no diversity in thought that it's built into our system. And that shows with the whole application process from the point I put submit application I was not a hundred percent comfortable with the boxes that I was checking.

And to the point the list got sent to the California Legislature it was still not representative of California. And then, when the list came back to the Applicant Review Panel it was still not representative. So, we're still dealing with a list that is never going to

be representative of California. Our Commission will never be able to confidently stand up and say, hey, we represent all Californians. It's just not possible from a statistical stand point. Fourteen data points out of 50 million is never going to be enough. The sample size is just too small.

So, to me, what I think we need to be looking for, if I'm going to step back, is that do we have people who will stand up and fight for other people, regardless of what their perspectives are? Regardless of which region they come from? Which economic status? How they identify gender or race/ethnicity? Will we stand up and say, hey, that person's voice is not at the table and it is our job to ensure that we hand our power over to those people.

And to me, every combination that has been presented in terms of slates -- I haven't presented a slate for a very specific reason because every combination speaks that to me. That these people will stand up and fight for other people.

Where I am conflicted is how can we select a slate that will fight for as many people as possible. I'm not saying that a combination won't fight for people, but as many people as possible. And I think that's where I'm conflicted. That's where I keep falling on the Turner slate because I see that slate as having a broad outreach

across all the diversity criteria that we are charged under the Voters FIRST Act to follow. Those are my thoughts.

I've been holding it in for four days, so there we go.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

Any other comments at this time?

I do have an additional comment. The one thing I was looking at is we are -- we are a facilitator almost in this. We cannot by ourselves identify communities of interest, which is why we need to reach out to the entire state because we need their input before we can proceed with our task. Our task is to identify those communities of interest. Because, basically, only then do we have the information as a group to then proceed to get that into data in some sort of form, graphical, so that we can make maps.

And from that we can actually, again going back to the communities, say is this going to represent you? And it's really sort of a roadblock. This is not -- I see some of our constrictions is we need the community to want to come towards us. And we need to make the Commission as accessible as possible. And when it comes -- I actually went down to -- the criteria is all the same. The Voters Rights Act is very specific in that it does deal with the political side of this. Who, as a group has been sidelined, almost, as far as voting and can we identify

that as a group. That's the Voters Rights Act in a very, very simple form.

And the one thing that -- which is a part of our analysis. But we still have to get the communities interest to do the rest of our job. So, it's almost like those are very similar things, but not quite the same. And what we don't want to do, I feel, is put up a roadblock. And we're sort of used to the roadblocks being about getting into vote. You know, here, here are some questions, which it doesn't matter how you answer that question, depending on what your race is you're going to be able to vote or you're not going to be able to vote. You know, those are obvious, hard roadblocks.

I see this sort of geographical as a very potential roadblock. You know, I don't like the idea that we're putting incredibly competent Commissioners, possible Commissioners in the idea that we're trading one versus another. I really don't like that, but it's sort of where we are. And I see this as a little bit of physical access, almost, where what are the differences if we have one or don't have one?

And there's a lot to gain by having Orange County and someone as capable as Ms. Akutagawa, there's no doubt she would benefit the entire state.

But if we don't have her, do we still have access

to the people that she wants to represent versus if we don't have Mr. Morgan in the north and his connections through the entire area, again also through the state. If we don't have him on, the people who are in his area, what are the roadblocks to accessing them?

And I just thought physically of how do we even get there? And I, of course, being an engineer ran a few different numbers of estimating just straight mileage. You know, how do we -- you know, how can we cover an area, how can we -- and it's physically almost -- it became almost insurmountable. You know, I actually put numbers on, so it's 20 times harder, essentially, for people in the north to access the Commission. This is just physically, a distance, than it would be the people in Orange just because of physical distance.

And I thought that's an actual roadblock. And I was very troubled by putting an actual roadblock into accessing the Commission.

Now, I understand that given Zoom and a lot of this will be done remotely, but then I also know through the rural parts of the state, because we've had a bit of an issue with Commissioner Kennedy, Zoom access is not easy. And how are we actually, physically going to access people in the north part of the state. And I understand we have that issue regardless of who is on the Commission. But if

they already didn't make it -- they were -- they had, essentially, no representative from up here, you know, there's no one of us on that, you know, state group and they felt like that for years and it happens again, I feel will we have any credibility? And when we try to say, hey, please come and talk to us, you know, do I feel we've lost our credibility because we actually chose a roadblock. That's sort of where I came down with it.

2.2.

And that might be very harsh, but it could be seen in those terms. Now, there's a lot of backing off of that, obviously, but that is one way to look at it.

So, with that I see -- I think it's Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair. I really would like us to look at ourselves as not -- I mean we have to be broadly representative of the diversity of the State of California. But I don't want us to see ourselves as representatives of particular places or constituents. We are here to serve the people of California, not -- to me we're here more to serve than to represent. We need a body that is broadly representative in order to build and maintain the credibility of this enterprise called the Citizens Redistricting.

But we're here to serve and each of us is here to serve every single one of the citizens of California.

Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: My researcher side kind of just jumped up and started screaming internally. I would caution the presentation of numbers that you stated, Madam Chair, specifically that it's 20 times harder to reach the Commission without having all of that information that you took into consideration to get to that number. It just doesn't make sense to me to throw out a number without having presented the reasoning and the calculations behind how you got to that number. It just sparks question of what does reaching the Commission even mean? Is there a certain location that you use?

From my understanding, we need to go to communities. I would never expect us to be like, hey, everyone come to Sacramento so you can spend your whole workday getting here, listening to us, and then telling used what you need. If we need community we need to go to them.

And so, the digital divide exists everywhere.

I'm sure it's more disparate in rural areas, as research
has shown in California, but they exist in San Jose as
well. We have those conversations on the daily.

So, I would just be very cautious to introduce

generalizations about what barriers may or may not exist across the state without having tangible evidence of that. I just wanted to share that thought.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, five minutes to the first 90-minute break.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

2.2.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah, real quickly I'll just say that, I mean I agree with Commissioner Ahmad in terms of presenting data. However, I think in the spirit of what you're saying around access, I actually agree with you. We know that those areas of the state are harder to reach for any number of reasons.

And one of the things that I do observe about

Orange County is clearly, from everything we've heard

there's a machine already active there. And we'll be able

to get the information that we need from those communities.

They've identified themselves, they're well organized.

We've heard from organizations, we've heard from

individuals, we've heard from political figures. I mean

we've heard from a machine in Orange County.

So, what that says to me is that they're going to be watching this process very carefully. They're going to be involved in this process as they should be, and any

other group throughout the state as well.

So, when I think about what our task is, which it is to engage with community, I think that we -- Orange County has done beautifully, has presented themselves as an organized community that's ready to work with us.

And so, when I balance between what might be lost by not including the north versus Orange County, and again Orange County is not a region. Orange County is a subset of the Southern Coastal Region, which is very well represented, meaning the region. And I know some of you are like, well, why do you keep talking about the regions? I just keep going back to I'm a Californian, I live in Southern California, but I'm a Californian and this is the California State Redistricting Commission. And however this map got created it was the map that was given to us, just like everything else that was given to us as it relates to application, video, et cetera. All of this stuff was provided to us.

So, this is the framework, just like the three breakdowns of the political parties which, you know, I wish we had another seat, but that's what was given to us as well.

So, I have criticisms about the tools and the frames that were given to us, but within the confines of what was I still go back to eight -- one, two, three, four,

five, six seven regions were introduced to us in a map, and provided to us. We have the opportunity to make sure that there's at least representation from each of those regions on a Commission who's charged with serving an entire state. And we've heard from the community that a particular region, it sounds like there was only one, we had counsel check, was the Northern Region was left out in the first Commission, and here we are a decade later. We're not willing to right that wrong when part of what we're trying to do is right wrongs. So, I just hope that we don't keep that wrong in place. I think we have an opportunity to do something as historical in making sure that all the regions are represented. And I don't think that the ultimate maps are going to suffer because Orange County isn't represented directly. Yeah

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

We are basically at 11:00 o'clock. We need to take a 15-minute break, so --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: May I make one last comment before we start our break?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. Good morning to everybody. In this conversation about roadblocks what I'm concerned with here is that we are thinking about it purely geographically. And as Madam Chair pointed out it's

not just geography, there's also these other components that we need to be thinking about.

I'm concerned about the use of the term roadblocks, actually. Are we talking about roadblocks to joining this Commission or roadblocks to voting?

In addition to the geographic component, as we have all said and discussed, and that is mandated by law, race and ethnicity is a factor that we need to think about. Asian American and Pacific Islanders do face significant roadblocks to voting. And I think it would be helpful if, you know, we did have those trainings, they spoke generally about the communities of interest and the kinds of barriers that communities might face to voting that we will need to think about. And the kinds of considerations that the Voting Rights Act will require us to think about.

One of those is for language minorities, of which Asian Americans and Pacific Islanders most certainly are a part of. They speak many, many different languages, right.

Counties are charged with having information available to them in many different languages as well.

And so, I really would like to uplift that. I think what would be extraordinarily helpful is if we also spend a little bit of time and perhaps go back to some of the documentation from 2010 about the kinds of processes that they went into and/or seek additional information

about these supposed roadblocks that individuals have either to joining this Commission or to voting. Because ultimately, at the end of the day in terms of the communities of interest that we have to think about, one of the main considerations there is are these exact kinds of roadblocks that you're mentioning, right, including racial and language roadblocks.

So, whether it's -- you know, I know that we cannot shift our agenda, nor do I propose that we do. Perhaps someone like the professor who had spoken during the main trainings, Professor, I think it was Levitt from Loyola Marymount could give us additional information about the kinds of roadblocks that communities have been facing particularly over the last ten years. It seemed like the former Commissioner Ancheta had a lot of information about that.

I would welcome if -- I don't know if any of them are listening, but I would certainly welcome them to call in during public comment and to help provide some of that legal context for us.

Or, you know, to the extent if legal counsel can provide some of that to us that would be very helpful for me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We are up at our time frame where we need to take a break. So, we have to continue

that discussion after. It being 11:00, five after now, we will recess for 15 minutes and meet back at 11:20.

(Off the record at 11:03 a.m.)

(On the record at 11:19 a.m.)

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Christian. The time being 11:20 and I see we have a quorum, I will call the meeting out of recess and back to order.

We were in discussions about slate and an issue came up from Commissioner Sadhwani. I actually brought up about roadblocks and Commissioner Sadhwani advanced that, and I must say the roadblock I was talking about was access to our Commission. The idea of roadblocking for voting is beyond the scope of our task right now. That's something we could discuss with the full Commission.

As a Commission we need -- as a group of eight we need to ensure that the Commission is accessible by the state. That's one of our tasks. Because we need the community to be able to access us. That was what I was referring to. Any other items about the voting, I was trying to come up with an example. I was trying to come up with an example. I was trying to come up with an example and I was talking numbers. Those examples did not work and they caused misdirection which we don't need at this time. We need to focus at this time.

So, the point I was making is I'd rather make sure that we didn't artificially cause a hardship for some

of the state, people in our state in terms of just accessing this Commission. Not necessarily being on it, but actually accessing this Commission however that may be. That was my point in its entirety.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair. I just wanted to recognize all the Commissioners. This is a really healthy conversation we're having. Out of all of the days that we've been speaking to each other I feel the most comfortable today sharing my thoughts, and listening to opposing thoughts, and really taking all of the perspectives into consideration. So, I was just smiling during break because I was like, wow, this is a really healthy conversation we're having. So, I just wanted to appreciate everyone for allowing that space, especially for me to feel comfortable and share my thoughts. So, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

Do we have any additional comments from any of the Commissioners? Seeing none at this time do we want to -- would anyone like to propose a slate for a vote?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair, I would like to propose the Turner slate for a vote -- as is.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We have a proposal of the Turner slate for a vote. If the counsel could please read the

Turner slate.

MS. SAXTON: Turner first amended slate:

Patricia Sinai, Democrat; Angela Vasquez, Democrat; Alicia

Fernandez, Republican; Russell Yee, Republican; Linda

Akutagawa, neither party; Petro Toledo, neither party.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: The Turner slate has been read. It has been proposed for a vote. Do I have a second.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I'll second.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sadhwani has seconded this slate. Would we like to discuss? And we do need to require, before we actually go to a vote we must go to public comment.

So, Commissioner -- I'm sorry, Counsel, would you please read the instructions for public comment.

MS. SAXTON: If you would like to make a public comment regarding the vote of the first eight on the Turner first amended slate please call now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll be taking public comment and that number again is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number you will speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting with is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note that you

are not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make public comment the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator you will be placed in a listening room. In this room you will be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speakerphone and make sure to speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about an item on the agenda, press 1 0 and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment about that item. After joining the queue to make public comment you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue.

When it is your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist. Then, state your comment clearly and

concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you finish making your comment we will move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda item at a later time, please call back when we open up public comment for that item and repeat this process.

If you are disconnected for any reason please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0.

These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: We certainly do. And just a reminder, pressing 1 0 to place yourself into queue.

Our first question or comment comes from the line of Kimberley Coles. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. COLES: Thank you. My name is Kimberley, Dr. Kimberley Coles. K-I-M-B-E-R-L-E-Y C-O-L-E-S.

I called the other day and I'm going to talk about a few other things. I wanted to mention that I'm a

Professor of Clinical Anthropology, and like Commissioner Kennedy have worked overseas in international election work. And like Professor Sadhwani lecture and teach seminars on the Voting Rights Acts.

And what I'd like you to do is consider the particularity of local politics and what it looks like in different areas. Because we should be interested in disengagement and disenfranchisement. But there are different reasons for that disenfranchisement.

And so, the difference between an apathetic and a disengaged because they don't know or because they're angry, or they feel like they've been ignored for 20 years is important. And this is the difference between apathy and what could lead to boycotts or active disgruntlement and oppositional politics.

And so, this very particularity of the north I think is important. And I'd like to uplift, to use this new language, of the One Tribe slate that Commissioner Le Mons is bringing because it does address the anger that the north area has about feeling ignored, and being told in a rather patriarchal area, oh, we'll look after you.

I say this as a proud San Diegan that Southern California tends to dismiss the north and say, oh --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. COLES: -- it's not a big deal. But the

north doesn't feel that way and I think those are important. Because like Maricela had said, the cofounder of the Central Coast Alliance, she said, oh, we were disappointed to not be successful in having Central Coast person --

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. COLES: -- even though --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: AT&T Operator, that is up to our discretion to cut someone off.

MS. COLES: Thank you. I'm almost done.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, please continue.

MS. COLES: Maricela, the founder of the Central Coast Alliance is disappointed that they're not represented, but didn't suggest the anger that we know exists in the north with, in the extreme case, secessionist movements and Jeffersonian politics. And I think it's worth nothing among, or thinking more, reflecting more about the eight, about the different ways that disengagement happens.

Thank you for your time and for allowing me to go over.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Coles.

Next in the queue, please.

24 AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Eliot

25 Enriquez. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your

line is open.

MR. ENRIQUEZ: E-L-I-O-T E-N-R-I-Q-U-E-Z. Good afternoon, Commissioners. I'm very impressed with all the conversations and everything that you have said this morning. And I want to thank you all for your hard work and your efforts in making this very difficult decision.

I also want to thank you for once again supporting and backing Pedro Toledo for the Commission. I know that you've been making very difficult decisions all morning, but I'm very confident in your decision to bring him on to the Commission.

Pedro is a very smart young man who has a ton of experience not only in the business sector, but in the nonprofit sector, and has been a public servant basically his entire professional life.

So, once again thank you so much for all your hard work and efforts, and thank you for supporting him and voting him on for the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Enriquez.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We go to the line of Tammy Tran. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. TRAN: Hello. I'm calling for - (indiscernible)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ms. Tran, there's an echo.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. TRAN: (Indiscernible) -- as well as

Polynesian American. I really appreciate those

Commissioners, in particular, for recognizing the diversity of our communities demonstrated in your slate. It's about choices, so this is all very --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ms. Tran, could you take your phone off of speaker?

MS. TRAN: -- this slate has so much (indiscernible) -- in the Republican category -- Democratic category to (indiscernible) -- and the Republican and no party preference. (Indiscernible) -- I think at least six of you get up behind them. I have a comment about how we showed up and nothing would be (indiscernible) -- if they don't show up -- (indiscernible) -- if we didn't get a seat. I really take offense to that. I'm usually not a person that -reacts in anger but that one really upsets me. Because of (indiscernible) -- because we were asking to have Linda Akutagawa for Orange County representation, please don't give up the (indiscernible) -- I ask you to support this slate. (Indiscernible) -- it's easy to have unfair perceptions based on the comments that we have been making, but they have amazing backgrounds. I tell you to (indiscernible) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ms. Tran, could you please call

back?

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MS. TRAN: -- (indiscernible) -- showed up, but we're going to show up again. (Indiscernible) --

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, perhaps ask her to call back.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Ms. Tran, could you please call back we cannot understand what you're saying.

MS. TRAN: -- (indiscernible) -- all the people who have called in for Pedro Toledo (indiscernible) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Operator, could you break in, please?

AT&T OPERATOR: Ms. Tran, if you're able to hear us, please lift the handset or remove from speakerphone.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry. Ms. Tran, if you can hear this as you've been watching, please call back. Your phone call was completely garbled. And if you do call in, please take your phone off of speakerphone. We appreciate you calling. We could not understand virtually anything you were saying, so please call back. Thank you.

AT&T Operator, next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Tammy Kim.

Please spell your name. And your line is open.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MS. KIM: Yes, hello. Can you hear me?

25 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please go ahead.

MS. KIM: Yes. Thank you so much for having me here. Tammy Kim, T-A-M-M-Y K-I-M. I am the Managing Director of Korean American Center in Korean Community Services here in Orange County, representing the 130,000 Korean Americans here.

I am calling in support of Linda Akutagawa. She will bring the depth and breadth of impact, and the ability to reach a wide swath of diverse communities. Without her we're not going to be able to do this. If we don't have her, we will lose access to Asian Americans in this area. And I also feel that we're being penalized for having organized. We need to organize because if we don't, we realize we will not have a seat at the table. And we will have -- alluding to your other caller, we will have more oppositional organization. We need to ensure that Orange County is not squeezed out by San Diego, as well as Los Angeles.

And I also want to make a point about the issues of access around physical distancing. Here in Orange County, we have language access issues. In the City of Irvine, alone, there's 70 different languages being spoken. So, when we're talking about access we have to think about that. And the fact that I, as a Korean American, am coming in support of Linda Akutagawa, who is Japanese American, says a lot if you look at historical issues within, you

know, our various communities.

2.2.

And so, we really need to make sure that Linda Akutagawa is at the table. We have to ensure that our community has a seat at the table.

And it was alluded to yesterday --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. KIM: -- that she may still help our communities. And if she is not there, we will not be able to reach the diverse Asian American community that we have in Orange County.

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. KIM: We are the fastest growing community in California. Thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Kim.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next, we go to the line of Jacqueline Coto. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. COTO: Hi, my name is Jacqueline Coto, last name spelled C-O-T-O, from the National Association of Latino Elected and Appointed Officials, NALEO Educational Fund.

And I am briefly calling in strong support of the Turner slate. That includes the four strong candidates left in the pool, including Pedro Toledo and Linda

Akutagawa in the nonpartisan pool. Thank you so much.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Coto.

Next in the queue, please.

2.2.

AT&T OPERATOR: We go to the line of Rob Quan. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. QUAN: Okay. R-O-B Q-U-A-N. I want to call in in support of the slate. And, you know, some of the Commissioners have spoken about this before as far as, you know, what can you do to accommodate for regions or communities that feel as though they're left out of this slate. You know, I think increasing the number of meetings in the Central Coast area, if that's a concern as far as lack of representation. There are a number of other steps you can take.

Lastly, I just want to thank Commissioner Le Mons for the clarification and apologize for having not understood the context. Yesterday, you said that you had checked in directly with individuals. And having not seen the meetings or appreciated the context I was wrong on that. So, my apologies. And thank you all for all your time and consideration of these issues, and dealing with all the public comment. Have a good one.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Mr. Quon.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Vanessa Hernandez. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. HERNANDEZ: Hi, my name is Vanessa Hernandez. V-A-N-E-S-S-A H-E-R-N-A-N-D-E-Z. I'm representing myself and representing HOPE, Hispanas Organized for Political Equality.

I'd like to show strong support for the Turner slate, which is inclusive of four Latinos and three Latinas. We believe this is incredibly important in completing the mandate of this Commission. This slate also includes Patricia Sinay, who is a bilingual and has amazing leadership, Angela Vasquez, who is a smart policy advocate.

And we'd also like to show our support for Linda, who is well poised to support the API community. Thank you, Commissioner Turner for uplifting this slate and we hope to see this successful moving forward. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Hernandez.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Maria Morales. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. MORALES: Hi, this is Maria Morales, spelled M-A-R-I-A, Morales, M-O-R-A-L-E-S.

Thank you for your work and careful deliberation.

Your mandate is to select Commissioners who are diverse and adequately represent California. The Latino community represents 40 percent of the state in 2020 and it is also expected to grow significantly in the next ten years.

Specifically, I would like to uplift Patricia
Sinay, who I know has a deep community and deep
connections, and is open minded and would be a great
addition to the Commission. She is well poised to execute
the mandate of this Commission to truly represent the
diversity of California.

I'm calling on behalf of myself and also as a (indiscernible) -- political party HOPE. And would like to continue to express my support for the Turner slate. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Morales.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Alejandra Ponce Deleon. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. PONCE DELEON: Alejandra, A-L-E-J-A-N-D-R-A, last name Ponce Deleon, P-O-N-C-E space D-E-L-E-O-N, speaking on behalf of the Redistricting Alliance, and I'm with the Advancement Project of California.

Really appreciate Turner's slate that she's proposing for you to consider. And I'm calling also to

speak to the roadblocks that was discussed a moment ago. And wanted to uplift that across the board when we think about roadblocks, barriers to public participation, you know it's not just geographic roadblocks which are important, but it's also language access, barriers, economic barriers such as, you know, household incomes. Being able to get off of work and attend a meeting. Access to having internet, immigration status, being a mixed family. All of these barriers plus many, many more across the board have impacted the participation of Latinx, AAPI, Native Americans, black community, and other people of color. So, when it comes to voting, Census participation, engaging elected officials either writing emails, going to meetings, calling, sending letters, donating for political campaigns or even running for office these are the communities, and even now applying to be on this Redistricting Commission or getting selected they continue to be underrepresented.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And this is very critical when we think about California's population that the majority of California's population are people of color. But, yet, they continue to be underrepresented in all of the avenues that we have at hand to engage our democracy. And so, for these reasons we continue to urge you to place racial equity as a defining factor for redistricting in the redistricting process, but

more now today, especially the selection of the remaining six members of the Commission.

Appreciate for all your time and deliberation, and continue to urge you to think about the racial equity and these barriers of participation that are extremely important, and disempowering, and disenfranchising --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Ponce Deleon.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Esperanza Guevara. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. GUEVARA: Hi, good morning. My name is Esperanza, E-S-P-E-R-A-N-Z-A. I've been monitoring the meetings and, again, I'm calling on behalf of the Coalition for Humane Immigrant Rights, CHIRLA. I'm calling to express my support for the Turner slate, which includes both Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa.

And I also wanted to mention the roadblocks that the Commissioners have brought up. I have lost count of how many calls have come in for increased Latinx representation and also for diverse API representation.

25 The Commission -- some of the Commissioners continue to

mention the name of a candidate who has received far less support from members of that region. And we have been -- on the other hand, we have been calling you every day, people from the Latinix and API community to tell you that we want to make sure that our communities are included proportionally on this Commission.

Again, Latinx people represent 40 percent of California's population, as well as over one-fourth of registered no party preference Latinx voters in the state. The API community is diverse and also makes up 16 percent of our population.

We want to again uplift Pedro Toledo, who has strong ties to regions throughout Northern California, which already has been uplifted many times from people in those communities. A Latinx candidate who, again, represents a wide cross-section of the state's population.

And speaking of roadblocks, I also started looking at some of the numbers and counties in Northern California. I just wanted to lift a couple. In Colusa County, where 58 percent of the community is Latino, 90 percent of that population is limited English proficient, which is one of those roadblocks that we are discussing.

Again, in Northern California, in Lake County --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. GUEVARA: -- 19 percent of the Latino

community there, 92 percent of the limited English proficient population speaks Spanish. In Trinity County, 83 percent of the limited English proficient community speaks Spanish.

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. GUEVARA: And so on. So, I hope that you can support the Turner slate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Guevara.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next, we go to the line of Sky Allen. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. ALLEN: S-K-Y A-L-L-E-N. Can you all hear me okay?

15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please go ahead.

MS. ALLEN: Okay, perfect. Thank you. Good afternoon, my name is Sky Allen. I work for an organization called IE United, located here in the Inland Empire.

First, I want to thank you for all of your hard work over the past week. I know these are difficult choices and difficult conversations. And before I say anything else, I want to acknowledge you all for tacking them anyway, and dedicating your time to this work.

As someone who lives in the Inland Empire, I can

very much empathize with the concern regarding regional representation. However, as a black woman, I also want to uplift that two people can live in the same place and have a completely different perspective on what the issues and needs are depending on the racial and gender identification.

That being said, I want to uplift and acknowledge support for Commissioner Turner's slate. The perspective that Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa can offer as community experts and people of color are really imperative to ensuring this body can reflect the human diversity of the State of California.

Again, I fully empathize with the concern for geographic representation and equity, but this Commission can travel to see other places. You can only reflect the people of the state by approving slates that include them. Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Allen.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Vanessa Acosta. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. ACOSTA: Hello, my name is Vanessa Acosta, spelled V-A-N-E-S-S-A A-C-O-S-T-A.

Thank you for your work and careful

deliberations. Your mandate is to select Commissioners who are diverse and adequately representative of California.

Now, I represent myself and also Hispanas Organized for Political Equality.

The Latino community comprises 40 percent of the state in 2020 and it is expected to grow substantially in the next ten years.

Specifically, I would like to uplift Patricia
Sinay, who I know to have deep community connections, open
minded, and would be a great addition to the Commission.
She is well poised, a great leader, and will lead this
Commission with integrity.

The mandate of this Commission is to truly represent the diversity of this great state. And with this we need the representation of Latinas and Latinos. And also, with the support of API is also very important. And with that, I would like to show my full support for the Turner slate.

Thank you so much for your time and thank you to Commissioner Cooper [sic].

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Acosta.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We'll go to the line of Myron Quon. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. QUAN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Myron Quon, M-Y-R-O-N Q-U-O-N.

I did call in yesterday and I just wanted to add a few more points after having listened to a bit of commentary from Commissioners and the public yesterday and today.

One of the things I just think we should clarify, as you're probably starting to figure out, there are actives from throughout California calling for Linda Akutagawa. And that's because she is able to reach and engage throughout California.

I live in L.A. County. I've also lived in Santa Maria (phonetic), California, Central Coast, and I recognize that Linda is one of the people who will work very hard in her capacity to ensure that all of California is represented. That's a part of why people are strongly supporting here.

There's no reason to penalize her joining the Commission or weigh against her because people have come out in full support. African Americans, Latinos, and Asian Americans, you've seen everything.

My last point I just wanted to share is that I have circled two statewide Commissions in the last several decades, I think three decades at this point. One on tobacco control, one for the state bar commission, working

for all attorneys throughout California. It is very, very hard to get rural representation because of the sparsity of the areas and because of the low density.

The best we do is not necessarily find one person from Humboldt County, but rather find people from throughout California who will honor, value and do the best to reach out to throughout California.

And so, for that reason, again, I'm with everyone else supporting Turner's slate and recommending very strongly, without any reservation that Linda Akutagawa should join this Commission because she will help you do the best job you guys can possibly do. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Quon.

The next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Certainly. And just a reminder, it is pressing 1, followed by 0, to place yourself into queue.

Next, we have the line of James Woodson. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. WOODSON: So, it's James, J-A-M-E-S, Woodson, W-O-O-D-S-O-N. Again, calling on behalf of the Black

Census and Redistricting Hub to support the Turner slate and echo the comments of many of my colleagues that have been calling in.

You know, concern that the conversation has

devolved into a debate over geographic diversity to the detriment of race and ethnicity. And I would just really encourage the Commissioners to go and look at some of the analysis around hard-to-count communities particularly that the state has done, that Advancement Project and the Census Policy Advocacy Network has done. And really dig into why certain communities are hard to count. Largely because they're hard to reach. And that includes racial and ethnic minorities, largely because of historic government distrust, historic, you know, examples of where folks have been left out and not heard.

And people will ultimately bring that to the Commission in terms of their participation, in terms of how engaged they are. And so, again, you know, you all are now representing the state. And the question ultimately is, you know, you can say that we'll hear your voices. The question is will people believe you? Your actions will ultimately speak louder than your words.

And I think it's important to send the message tour Latinx and our AAPI communities that they are heard, that their engagement won't be disqualifying, and won't be ignored, and that it will be accepted and heard.

And so, I really urge folks to support the Turner slate. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Dyrell Foster. Please spell your name. Your line is open.

MR. FOSTER: Hello, my name is Dyrell Foster, D-Y-R-E-L-L, Foster is F-O-S-T-E-R.

I'm from the Bay Area. I've lived in Orange
County and now I've moved back to the Bay Area to serve as
the President at Las Positas College, in Livermore.

First, thank you for your service. I'm calling in today because I feel strongly that the work of this Commission will have a profound ripple effect for our state and for our community. And I take seriously the decisions you all must have to weigh in.

As someone in education, I know and I feel the impact of how institutions impact the lives of each student, each person that comes in our doors. Something I want to point out is the importance of keeping in mind why certain communities lack the resources and access to education, higher education and opportunities for upward mobility.

That said, I say that because I am black and

Korean. And I encourage you to think about the decisions

that are before you. Who we have in these positions

matter. Please take into consideration the engagement and

dialogue that have been presented to you over these past

days. Race does need to be a consideration.

Communities like the Latino, and Asian American communities, and African American communities need to be represented.

I urge the Commissioners to please support the Sadhwani and Turner slates which include Linda Akutagawa and Pedro Toledo. Thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Foster.

Next on the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Kimberly Fuentes, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. FUENTES: Yes, my name is Kimberly Fuentes, K-I-M-B-E-R-L-Y, Fuentes, F-U-E-N-T-E-S.

And I'm speaking on behalf of the California

League of United Latin American Citizens, the oldest Latino
civil rights organization, LULAC. It advances the economic
condition, educational attainment, political influence,
housing, health, and civil rights of all Latinos.

We are calling to urge the support of the Turner slate, which includes Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa. We need to ensure equity and diversity among the Commission to ensure that this process is aligning with our state's diversity and the mandate of which you are all to uphold.

Again, thank you for taking the time to hear our public comments.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Fuentes.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Julia Marks, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. MARKS: Thank you. My name is Julia Marks, J-U-L-I-A M-A-R-K-S. And I am a voting rights attorney with Asian Americans Advancing Justice, Asian law caucus. Our organization works to promote a fair and inclusive democracy in California. And I just wanted to share some reflections from our work that may help inform your deliberations.

First, I wanted to emphasize the many barriers to democratic participation that are faced by Asian Americans. This Commission is, as you know, an essential part of the democratic process in California, so having representation here is particularly important.

Asian Americans face language barriers that affect their access to the polls and their access to public meetings and public forums. More than half of Asian American likely voters immigrated here and are naturalized citizens. Many of them face language barriers.

I also wanted to highlight that both Asian

American and Latino communities have significantly lower voter participation rates than white communities. And this is relevant here because this Commission does have the

power to help reduce those disparities by including Commissioners who are representative of those communities, including across various political preferences to show that the Latinx and Asian American communities do have a voice in our democracy.

Second, I want to note the complexity of drawing districts in dense urban and suburban areas that have multiple racial and ethnic groups, such as those seen in Orange County and many other areas of the state.

Our organization has worked with communities to draw draft maps in local jurisdictions in the Bay Area, and I've had the privilege to be in the room while diverse groups discuss their communities, try to identify similarities and differences, and then apply these very complex concepts to the flat surface of the map. Based on these experiences, I strongly believe that having --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. MARKS: -- robust Latino representation,
Asian American representation, and Commissioners with
knowledge of Orange County's, and similarly diverse multiethnic areas will help this Commission draw lines that
respect communities of interest --

MS. PELLMAN: Time.

MS. MARKS: -- and also comply with the Voting Rights Act.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.

Next in the queue, please? And we only have five minutes for lunch, until we need to break. Please, next in the queue.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next, we have the line of Belinda Barragan. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. BARRAGAN: Hello, my name is Belinda

Barragan, B-E-L-I-N-D-A, Barragan, B-A-R-R-A-G-A-N. And

I'm calling on behalf of HOPE, but also as a Latina from
the San Fernando Valley, which is made up of over 80

percent Latinos.

And I just want to thank you all for the work that you're doing to ensure that the Commission is representative of the state. The Latino community represents 40 percent of the state and the Commission should be represented by having four plus Latinos on the Commission because they do understand our communities.

And I would like to uplift Patricia Sinay, who has strong community roots. She is bilingual, very intelligent, and open minded, and would be a great addition to the Commission. And I would just like to show my full support for the Turner slate and I hope that the Commissioners vote on that.

Thank you for your time and thank you for

allowing me to give this testimony.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Barragan.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Yvette Chappell-Ingram. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. CHAPPELL-INGRAM: Yes, my name is Yvette
Chappell-Ingram. Y-V-E-T-T-E, Chappell is C-H-A-P-P-E-L-Lhyphen I-N-G-R-A-M.

Thank you for allowing me. As the President and CEO of the African American Board Leadership Institute and former state Commissioner myself, I'd like to respectfully urge the support of the Sadhwani and Akutagawa/Turner bill.

What I am very surprised to hear in some comments that were made earlier that race may not matter. And while I understand the issues here, I'd like to say that it does matter. It matters a great deal. And take that from a lot of the -- much of the bills that have been passed in the past.

And so, I'd like to really urge you -- I am a big supporter of Linda Akutagawa. She is a woman of high integrity. And I would just like to respectfully urge you to consider this bill. And yes, yes race does matter.

And, you know, I continue to be disturbed about the engagement of a community being taken -- being taken as

1 unnecessary, but it is. In terms of race, it is. 2 So, again I thank you for your time. 3 Thank you, Ms. Chappell-Ingram. CHAIR ANDERSEN: 4 Next in the queue, please. 5 AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Jay Sander. 6 Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is 7 open. 8 MR. SANDERS: Hello, my name is Jaype Sanders, 9 J-A-Y-P-E. I'm from the Northern Region of California and 10 I'm calling in strong support for the Turner slate, 11 including the Latino candidate Pedro Toledo and Linda 12 Akutagawa. Sorry for the misspelling -- the spelling. 13 It's a nonpartisan pool who will equitably reflect the state's diversity in representation. And I've 14 15 greatly benefitted from the diversity in my business, so 16 I'd like to see some of that in the representation. 17 Thank you for your public support and service, 18 really appreciate what you all are doing. 19 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Sanders. 20 Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Timmy Lu. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LU: T-I-M-M-Y, the last name's L-U. Hi, everyone, my name is Timmy Lu. I'm the Executive Director

of AAPI, for pacific empowerment and we're an organization focused on building the political power of grassroots Asian American and Pacific Islander communities.

I just wanted to know, you know, earlier one of the Commissioners had mentioned correcting past wrongs, right. And when we think about correcting past wrongs, we really do need to consider that of race and ethnicity. And I'll speak specifically for Asian Americans. Asian Americans did not become -- was not allowed to become citizens in the United States until after the 1965 Civil Rights Act, right, and reforms in the U.S. immigration policy.

And so, if we're thinking about correcting past wrongs, we should really consider the way that the history of the United States has had an impact in reducing the participation of many groups within that, and I think that includes Asian Americans whose political participation did not start increasing until citizenship rates began increasing. And then, we are still in the process of sort of catching up and encouraging our immigrant monolingual-speaking community members to be a part of that process.

And also, just to note that it is extremely important that these commissions, and boards, and our elected officials reflect our community members so that we, ourselves see ourselves in the diversity of these

communities, and as a part of the political process.

So, thank you very much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Lu.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next we have the line of Lori Shellenberger. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. SHELLENBERGER: Hello, this Lori, L-O-R-I, Shellenberger, S-H-E-L-L-E-N-B-E-R-G-E-R. I'm the Redistricting Consultant for California Common Cause and I'm speaking on their behalf today.

And I want to thank you all for your thoughtful deliberations. And I know you're grappling with a really difficult decision and you've really narrowed it down. And I think I'd like to commend the Chair and others' commitment to facilitating participation in this process.

And I understand that's factoring into your decision here, as it should, and how best to eliminate those barriers.

And I support the comments of the prior speakers regarding the various and expansive barriers that lots of Californians face to participation. And representation on the committee certainly matters.

But in the interest of furthering your thinking on what appears to be a deadlock, I'd like to just point out another critical means of facilitating participation

that you may or may not be aware of, but that you may want to factor into the decision that you're grabbling with.

And that's the very generous budget that your Commission has for outreach and education to facilitate participation in this project -- this process.

The Legislature has allocated over \$1.9 million to you for outreach and that's -- and there are additional discretionary funds that you could also use for that purpose. And that gives you a variety of ways to reach those who, unfortunately, may not be represented regionally, or their racial or ethnic group may not be represented. And there are many remote corners of the state that are not currently in the pool, and other racial and ethnic groups that aren't as well. And I just wanted to make sure that you all understand you will have a lot of --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. SHELLENBERGER: -- a lot of resources at your disposal and a lot of community advice, I'm sure, about how to use those to reach those hard-to-reach communities.

Thank you all for your thoughtful deliberations, and your commitment, and the time you've already put into this process.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Shellenberger.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have the line of Helen Torres. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. TORRES: Yes, my name is Helen Torres, H-E-L-E-N T-O-R-R-E-S. I reside in San Bernardino County. I'm also a member of HOPE, Hispanas Organized for Political Equality.

I'm calling to say I'm strong support for the Turner slate. I think it reflects our state and it reflects the careful deliberation that all of the Commissioners have been partaking in, and which I'm very grateful for.

As you all know, the Latino community comprises of 40 percent of the state in 2020, yet we're expecting a more significant growth. I believe this slate not only ensures that Latinos are represented, but also takes into consideration that a good amount of women are highly represented in the Commission as well. And that cannot be an over -- we have to be very purposeful of ensuring that gender is a high priority when we're discussing representation.

I specifically would also like to uplift Patricia Sinay, who I personally know as someone who has been deeply rooted in community, is very connected and an open minded individual, and who has always been poised to include a

variety of voices in her decision making. And I'm glad to see that her name is being moved forward.

Thank you very much for your consideration.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Torres.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: We have Manju Kulkarni. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. KULKARNI: Hi, my name is Manju Kulkarni. It's spelled M-A-N-J-U, the last name is K-U-L-K-A-R-N-I. I'm the Executive Director of APCON, the Asian Pacific Policy and Planning Council. We're a coalition of over 40 community-based organizations that serve and represent the 1.5 million AAPIs in Southern California.

I'm calling to voice my support for the Turner slate. I think the Turner slate appropriately considers and ensures racial, economic equity, and ensures that individuals on that slate will adequately represent those in the community.

I want to point out two specific points, which is that it's very important, in addition to communities of color, that we have representation for Latino community individuals; we have representation of Asian American individuals, and those specifically to representing LEP populations, limited English proficient.

And so, for that reason I want to strongly

advocate for Linda Akutagawa, who has been representing LEP and Asian American community for a long time. And also, Pedro Toledo doing the same in terms of Latino and LEP communities.

So, thank you very much for the opportunity to share my thoughts with you today.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Kulkarni.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Madam Chair, there are no other callers in queue at this moment.

11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, AT&T Operator.

12 AT&T OPERATOR: Just as I say that, we have three 13 in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Let's have those three and then it's 10 after 12:00. Let's go ahead. Thank you. Next in the queue.

AT&T OPERATOR: Next, we have Mariko Kahn.

Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. KAHN: Hi, my name is Mariko Kahn, M-A-R-I-K-O, Kahn, K-A-H-N. And I am an Executive Director of a mental health agency here in Los Angeles.

I wanted to speak in favor of the Turner slate.

I think it is really a good slate that represents women,

Latinos, Latinx, and API. And I think it is absolutely

essential for this Redistricting Commission to have that representation.

I'm in favor, of course, of Linda Akutagawa because she is a colleague of mine. I've seen her integrity, her intelligence and her knowledge really lead the API community for many years. And you can't go wrong with a person who has that level of experience.

I also think it's important not to dismiss us when we're calling in, in support of a candidate because we didn't know that we could do this. And so, the process is new to us. And now that we're aware of it that is why I think you're hearing from so many of us. We didn't realize the impact that the public would have on your hearings. So, I hope you will hear our voices with clarity, compassion, and neutrally, and you will make the best decision possible for the state. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Kahn.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Armando De La Libertad. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. DE LA LIBERTAD: Hello, Armando De La Libertad. A-R-M-A-N-D-O, the last name D-E, space L-A, space L-I-B-E-R-T-A-D.

I first want to thank all of you for taking of your personal lives to contribute to this important process

for the State of California. I am a businessman in Southern California and a corporate social responsibility professional. But I also was a part of the Census Bureau's outreach team for the Census count in years' past, and so I really value what you all are contributing to the state here.

I want to comment to urge support for what I think seems to be a very balanced slate that is the Turner slate. I want to urge you to do that because although we heard a bit earlier a comment that Orange County is already organized and, therefore, nothing would be lost if ayes went elsewhere, you know, votes went elsewhere, I wanted to really address that point as a long time Orange County and Southern California resident.

And so, I think that something would be lost because although that reference was made to geography, you know, this particular slate doesn't just represent geography. I think there is a member of the slate that has a focus on leadership in their professional life, which is something that we, of course, need more of during these tumultuous times. There is also significant ethnic/racial -- ethnic and racial representation --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MR. DE LA LIBERTAD: -- as well as gender representation. And, really, more than just Orange County,

but also Southern California wide and statewide interests, and awareness on the slate.

So, I want to thank you for your time and I appreciate you taking my comments into consideration. Goodbye.

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. De La Libertad.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Tammy Tumbling, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. TUMBLING: Tammy, T-A-M-Y, Tumbling, T-U-M-B-L-I-N-G, Tumbling.

Thank you, Commissioners, for allowing me to speak to you this afternoon. I am a resident of the City of Cerritos and I've raised four kids in California. I have a philanthropy background, but also as an African American woman with a nonprofit and corporate experience, I've worked to have always been a champion for diverse and underserved communities.

I'm calling to urge you today to support the

Turner slate -- in support of the Turner slate. And this
is to focus on building bridges between our Latinx and

Asian/Pacific Islander communities. We need to build these
bridges. And I am doing my role in today's job to do it as
well. And I urge you to support four Latinos, and Linda

Akutagawa as one of the API voices in the community. I

know Linda and much has already been said about her. But I think it would be a big, big loss to this Commission if Linda Akutagawa was not included.

Thank you so much for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Tumbling.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Stephanie Ramirez, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. RAMIREZ: Good afternoon, everyone.

Stephanie Ramirez, S-T-E-P-H-A-N-I-E R-A-M-I-R-E-Z.

I am calling on behalf of Hispanas Organized for Political Equality, and also as myself as a Latina

Political Equality, and also as myself as a Latina

13 immigrant, urging to support the Turner slate.

14 Specifically, I'm calling to uplift the name of Patricia

Sinay. She's a qualified candidate with integrity and

|16| leadership and I know that she will be a strong voice for

17 Latinos across the state.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

18

19

20

Thank you for your time, for you deliberation, and for your transparency on this process.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Ramirez.

Next on the queue, please.

22 AT&T OPERATOR: Joseph Williams, please spell

23 your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good afternoon, this is Joseph

25 Williams, J-O-S-E-P-H W-I-L-L-I-A-M-S.

I live in the City of Rialto, in the Inland

Empire. I am also an elected member of the San Bernardino

Community College Board of Trustees. And I am calling to

support the Turner slate.

And I just want to say that the Inland Empire has traditionally been disproportionately impacted as it relates to receiving federal, state, and philanthropic dollars.

I've had the opportunity to work with Linda

Akutagawa on the -- on an energy panel and she was a starch advocate for diversity and supporting getting resources to the Inland Empire. And I appreciate that we have a member on there. I just want to say having an additional ally from outside the community that understands our area and understands the issues that we face I think is key to this process. And just urge and ask you to support the Turner slate, and also to support Linda. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Mr. Williams.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Tammy Tran, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. TRAN: Yes, it's Tammy, T-A-M-M-Y, first name, Tran, T-R-A-N.

And I'm sorry, I don't know what happened earlier, and then I pressed 10, and then I guess when you

press 10 again you get queued out.

So, I'm calling to support the Turner slate, it's a coalition slate. Four Latinas, two APIs, across the Republican, Democrat, and no party preference. Women, men, it's a bridge-building slate. It has geographic representation. It's not perfect. But it's also something I learned from the public comment today, it also represents language access. This slate will have bilingual Commissioners and I think that's something you all should take into serious consideration.

I'm sure there are a lot of people that could have called in, if they were able to do it in a language other than English.

Thank you, Commissioner Turner for being thoughtful in your reasoning behind your slate. Thank you for listening and informing us. You have been very consistent, thoughtful, informative, fact-based, culturally sensitive. I encourage you not to give up.

I know you're about to take a vote. I think at least five of you can get behind Turner's coalition, the bridge-building coalition slate. Commissioner Kennedy, I know you can get behind this. Please move from not opposing to yes.

We see a coalition building organically today and over the past three days. You will absolutely need this

when you start looking at Census data, communities of color, communities of interest. You will need to inspire coalitions that have been inspired by this slate between Asians, Pacific Islanders, and Latinos.

2.2.

Thank you. (Not speaking English). Muchas gracias to all the Californians who have called for Pedro Toledo. I am a supporter of Linda Akutagawa, but I have heard you and I move to support Pedro Toledo.

Commissioners, I urge you to find consensus in supporting the Turner coalition Slate.

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. TRAN: Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa, plus you have your geographic representation. Thank you very much and I hope you enjoy your lunch.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Tran.

Is there anyone else in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: We do have the line of Beatriz

Perez. Please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MS. PEREZ: Hi, good afternoon, my name is

Beatriz Perez. That's B-E-A-T-R-I-Z, last name Perez, P-E-R-E-Z.

I'd just like to say thank you for your work and careful deliberations. I'm calling on behalf of HOPE,
Hispanas Organized for Political Equality. Your mandate is

to select Commissioners who are diverse and adequately represent California. The Latino community comprises of 40 percent of the state in 2020 and is expected to grow significantly in the next ten years.

Specifically, I would like to uplift Patricia Sinay, who I know to have deep community connections, is open minded, and would be a great addition to the Commission. She is well poised to execute the mandate of this Commission to truly represent the diversity of California.

Thank you for your time and I fully support the Turner slate. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Ms. Perez.

Next in the queue?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

AT&T OPERATOR: Jonathan Paik, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

MR. PAIK: Sure. Jonathan, J-O-N-A-T-H-A-N. 18 last name is Paik, P-A-I-K.

I am here today as a lifelong resident of Fullerton, California, and Orange County, to be able to put forth my support for the Turner slate.

Specifically, I want to be able to uplift the names of Pedro Toledo and Linda Akutagawa. To be able to actually uplift, as many of us have said on this call today to be able to uplift what is a very organic API and Latinx

community that wanted to be able to work together to be able to draw the best districts for everyone.

As a person who has worked at the municipal level in the City of Fullerton, to be able to ensure that the Korean community can continue to be uplifted and, you know, I've seen what the impacts have been to be able to actually see Korean first generation immigrant communities to be able to enter into their city council, as well as to be able to meet their state legislators for the first time, and the impact on the district and being able to understand what it means for my family members to be truly represented. I wanted to be able to uplift the voices and the folks who then could lift and be able to champion our community needs.

And so, as a lifelong resident of Fullerton to be able to see that proof, I would love for folks to be able to actually uplift and support yes on the Turner slate. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Byrd Lochtie, please spell your name for the reporter. Your line is open.

23 MS. LOCHTIE: B-Y-R-D L-O-C-H-T-I-E, Byrd 24 Lochtie. I've spoken to you a couple of times before. Ι'm

25 sure you remember me.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I want to congratulate those people who talked about the feelings that are in the North Coast of being ignored, not being included in California. I want to say that Eddie Morgan not only would represent the North Coast and the north of California, he's in an area with very large Native American populations, and he's in the pool of people that earn under \$35,000. And I know you talked about trying to average out the economic part of your balancing.

I know it's a terrible balancing act. Every member who's in your pool has already been chosen for many good qualities of fairness, integrity, compassion, all of those things have been considered by the group that chose the 60 people, including all of you.

But people are calling in talking about specific people and the things that are good about them. There are good things about everyone. I urge you to go back and think again about ignoring Northern California. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Next in the queue, please.

AT&T OPERATOR: Madam Chair, there are no others in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

At this time, Commission, do we want to -- we can vote right now or we can break for lunch and come back.

Can I have a show of hands for the voting right now?

Great, it looks like one.

And who would like to --

4 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Madam Chair, I have a 5 question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, sorry, question from - COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, a process question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. No go ahead.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, Ahmad, a question.

Okay, thank you. I just wanted to clarify that if we break for lunch, upon returning from lunch do we go back to public comment or how does that work?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, since we were in the middle of an action, we need to sort of finish this action. So, I just didn't know kind of --

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, I'm sorry.

17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Counsel?

MS. SAXTON: I did not quite hear Commissioner Ahmad's question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. As a process of a question, so Commissioner Ahmad, would you please repeat your question?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes. And this question might be appropriate for counsel. If we break for lunch now, upon returning from lunch do we go back to public

comment or are we staying at this point where public comment was concluded, and then we go to the vote portion.

MS. SAXTON: Whether or not to conclude public comment at this time or continue after lunch is within the purview of the first eight. That would be a decision of the chair as to how to -- along with the other Commissioners.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, I'm putting the question to the other Commissioners. Oh, I'm sorry, we have another question from Commissioner Ahmad -- oh, I'm sorry, Commissioner Sadhwani.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, just I think given that we had informally agreed to do public -- I think we had said like first thing in the morning, after lunch, and then at these other key times. For me, that was why I had raised my hand to vote now, so that we can have a vote and move on however we need to and take that public comment when we return.

I'm actually comfortable either way. But I just felt like we had said that that's what we were going to do, so I felt like we should honor that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: We had indeed. So, there is a vote on -- there is a motion on the table, it has been seconded. Shall I call for the vote? Do you have any objection to that? No objections.

1 Seeing no objections, Secretary -- yeah, 2 Secretary, would you please call the vote. So, sorry, 3 since it's been a moment, do we want to repeat the slate 4 that we're actually voting on? 5 Commissioner Le Mons? 6 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I wanted to ask a 7 question. At the -- and this is a process question. 8 the point of once we finish the vote there will be a pause 9 and we'll have an opportunity to change our vote if we 10 like. This question is about depending on the vote, so let 11 me put it like this, if we end up with a unanimous vote is 12 it possible to change the name of the slate at that time? 13 MS. SAXTON: I'm afraid, Commissioner, it is not. 14 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay. 15 MS. SAXTON: It would need to be changed prior to 16 the vote being announced. 17 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Got it. Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: From a process, 19 standpoint. 20 CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, a few process questions. Go 21 ahead, from Commissioner Sadhwani. 22 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just to honor 23 Commissioner Le Mons' question or interest as I see it, is 24 it possible then to change the name of it now, before we

25

actually take that vote?

MS. SAXTON: Absolutely, if Commissioner Turner, since it's the Turner slate, wishes to change it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Ahmad. Sorry, do you want to do Commissioner Turner first or --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I guess this is just segueing into the process question. First, thank you, Madam Chair, for recognizing my time. In the spirit of just direct communication, before we change the name, is it okay to ask for intent of what folks are thinking about in terms of voting? Or is that too muddy into the actual voting process? And I can direct that question to counsel.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, that's direct to counsel.

And one minute, there's a current issue being discussed by counsel.

MS. SAXTON: One moment, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, one moment. I do know there is no -- under Robert's Rules there is no discussion of your vote and that would -- so, that is a very procedural question at this point because it needs to be considered.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, if Commissioner Turner were to move to amend her slate, we would have to again take public comment and she would have to remove her prior slate as each Commissioner can only have one slate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

1 MS. SAXTON: It triggers the same --2 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Process. 3 MS. SAXTON: -- cascade of activities and 4 process. 5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you, Counsel. And we have additional -- well, I don't want to 6 7 assume. Commissioner Ahmad. 8 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Related to that process 9 question, at this point we must complete the voting in 10 order to move forward to anything, right, anything else 11 regarding the --CHAIR ANDERSEN: We're still in discussion. 12 We 13 have not actually --14 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay, we're still in 15 discussion. So, hypothetically if, let's say a 16 Commissioner who has not proposed a slate proposed a unity 17 slate and then we voted on that, what would the process be 18 for that? Would we have to withdraw the motion to vote --19 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. 20 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- and then propose another 21 slate, and then go back to public -- second that motion to 22 vote, and then public comment, and then vote, correct? 23 Okay. 24 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I look to Counsel, but --25 MS. SAXTON: If you, a Commissioner, proposed a

new slate, then we would follow the same procedures that we have been following. There would be a motion to adopt the slate, there would be a second. You'd need public comment. That slate would need to be posted on the website. And if that Commissioner had a slate on the table currently that slate would, of course, need to be withdrawn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

23

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you for the clarification. I'll defer back to Commissioner Turner who submitted it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. I see how in the moments of trying to be efficient and, you know, there's some inference here, I know I would be willing to come back after lunch and take comment, if we wanted to sort of play around with a unified slate or a One Tribe 2 slate. I'm willing.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for that, Commissioner 18 Taylor.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, there is a motion on the floor right now to approve the Turner first amended slate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, we either need to vote, unless that slate is withdrawn. Commissioner Le Mons.

24 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Commissioner Turner, I'm 25 sure you've been following this conversation, I think

because it is your slate. Based upon what Commissioner Taylor just said and kind of what I alluded to, what's your thoughts about the possibility of, A, changing it, or would you -- yeah, what are your thoughts. I won't narrow your thoughts, I'll ask that broadly.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. I think I don't have any tie to the actual name. I think I was trying to think through the timing of the day and going back into public comment. And I guess what would really necessitate that and what's tied into the name. I don't have any, you know, ties to it by it being Turner, my name per se, but once we vote on the slate I'm wondering is there something we're locked into afterwards from a name perspective that actually warrants the additional time of going through all of the process. That's what I don't know.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Since I --

17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry, go ahead. Go
18 ahead.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I just want to say I think we -- I think that in our subtext of naming and our spirit of unity, and all of that, I think there will probably be other opportunities to do that. So, maybe we should move forward with the vote and then post the vote. We could have a discussion about what we call ourselves.

One of the things that I was really -- I'm

looking forward to is us really coming up with a name that is unified and not similar to the previous Commission that had whatever they were, the -- they had two different groups. And I really want, I would really love for us to have a unified name that we adopt and invite the final six into. So, that was really where my head was for the transparency on that.

So, I'd like to suggest that we move on with the vote, if everyone else is okay with that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm seeing nods, so let's call for the vote.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, the motion on the floor is to adopt the Turner first amended slate that contains the following applicants: Patricia Sinay, Democrat; Angela Vasquez, Democrat; Alicia Fernandez, Republican; Russell Yee, Republican; Linda Akutagawa, neither party; Pedro Toledo, neither party.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's -- Commissioner Turner are you confirming that that is the --

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's a yes. We have a confirmation. So, Secretary, would you please call for the vote?

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Ahmed?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

125

	125
1	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Andersen?
2	CHAIR ANDERSEN: No.
3	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Fornaciari?
4	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
5	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Kennedy?
6	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Aye.
7	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Le Mons?
8	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes.
9	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Sadhwani?
10	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
11	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Taylor?
12	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
13	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Turner?
14	VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes.
15	MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, we're going to take a
16	moment to tally the votes.
17	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just Commissioner Kennedy, I'm
18	sorry, I could not get your could we have a repeat of
19	your vote?
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I said aye.
21	CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, that is present?
22	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I can say yes, I can say
23	si, I can say oui.
24	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay.
25	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm voting in favor.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Got it. Then, I will change my vote to a yes.

MS. SAXTON: Let the record reflect that Commissioner Andersen is changing her vote on the Turner first amended slate from no to yes.

The motion passes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, we have a slate approved. I don't know at this point is there a procedural -- you know, is there anything to a rename, or does it go officially as the Turner slate? How do we work this or can we just have a new slate approved.

MS. SAXTON: The slate is approved. And if I may, at this point the six new Commissioners to join the first eight are Patricia Sinay, Angela Vasquez, Alicia Fernandez, Russell Yee, Linda Akutagawa, and Pedro Toledo.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

Well, we are -- congratulations we have a full Commissioner.

And with that, actually, before we move on to the next item -- oh, congratulations, by the way, to all the new Commissioners.

I believe we actually need to go to public comment, is that correct?

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, we are going to be required to take our next 90-minute break at 12:50.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Excellent.

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{MS.}}$  SAXTON: And lunch, potentially, at that time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect. So, then I propose that we adjourn for lunch now, come back --

MS. SAXTON: Recess.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry, recess for lunch now, come back and have our public comment period which will include -- Counsel, can we include our public counsel -- our public comment for, you know, general and after.

That's our Item 5. We can combine that, is that correct?

MS. SAXTON: The next item on the agenda is introduction to temporary staff.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

MS. SAXTON: There will need to be public comment either before, during.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. So, my question is can we combine our, as we've arranged, after-lunch-public-comment with that, you know, before we -- as we start into Item Number 6, we can combine all that, is that correct, for the public comments.

MS. SAXTON: Well, you must take public comment on the agenda item, which would be limited to that agenda item.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That would be after. After the

introduction. Sorry, we're having a discussion with counsel here, one minute.

Oh, do we have a question from Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Madam Chair, I think, and counsel can correct me, I think the process is we move to an agenda item, we discuss it, whatever needs to be discussed related to that item, whether there's a motion to vote on anything, and then take public comment prior to any motion, or public comment related to any agenda item after that agenda item has been discussed.

So, at this point, from my understanding, since we have concluded Agenda Item Number 5 we could move on to 6, address that agenda item and then take public comment related to that specific agenda item.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. My --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I think that's my understanding, just to make it -- my thought process and process clear and in hopes of making it clear for the public as well, when they can expect to call in for public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, actually, my -- since we've just completed Item Number 5, we would be asking for public comment on Item Number 5. In that you're correct, that is how we've been setting up procedure.

MS. SAXTON: No. Madam Chair, I'm sorry to interrupt. Item Number 5, you had public comment on Item Number 5. If it pleases the Commission we could take more public comment on Item Number 5.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, as we've --I'm sorry, one quick question. So, our public comment, since we've been having it as we continued through Item 5, and we did it before the vote, then we can say that we've actually finished the public comment on Item 5.

MS. SAXTON: Correct.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Got it. Okay, then -- I'm sorry, we have a question from Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes, I think that it seemed to me, Madam Chair, that you were trying to reconcile our commitment to post-lunch public comment, Item 6, and associated public comment associated with that. I think that's where you were going.

And so, I think we could honor that by letting the public know that when we come back from lunch we're going to do Item Number 6, which are introductions, and we'll be taking public comment right after that.

And if we have some sense, since it's introductions, I don't know if we can estimate time so that we nail it down. Because I know when Commissioner Sadhwani initially proposed this, it was really to get people

targeted so they don't have to sit and watch, you know, in an eternity.

2.2.

So, I don't know if counsel has any idea about whether that's a 45, an hour, the introductions? I can't imagine introductions would take an indefinite amount of time, but who knows? So, is there a way for us to estimate roughly how long introductions might be and then we can let people know, let the public know that when we come back from lunch we'll do introductions, and be taking public comment about 45 minutes to an hour after we come back from lunch.

Would that satisfy, fellow Commissioners, in terms of us being in integrity of our desire to let people know when they can give targeted comment?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That was -- thank you,

Commissioner Le Mons that was exactly what I was trying to

do. Do we need to have a public comment, do an item, and
then again? So, because we said we were going to have

public comment coming after lunch.

Can we jump into Item 6 with an idea of how long that will be, so we can tell people right now when to come back and discuss --

MS. SAXTON: I hear the question, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- and make public comment?

MS. SAXTON: If you could give me just a minute

to confer with my colleagues, I would appreciate it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sure. Thank you.

And I do apologize how -- we still are within our 90-minute break, so the good news is once we come back from lunch we should have another full 90 minutes, should we need that time. We'll stand down for a minute.

(Pause)

MS. SAXTON: We're just checking on one item,

Madam Chair. But I do remind the Commissioners that there
is, before adjournment of the meeting, an agendized general
comment from the public period that is there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

MS. SAXTON: But in terms of how long the speakers might continue, I do not believe that it would be more than an hour and likely less time than that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. We'll give counsel just a moment here. You need another minute?

MS. SAXTON: Yes, I do. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think it might be sort of safe to say probably around 3:00 o'clock to have people call in. We can pay attention around that time. That we should be probably done with Item Number 6, and be in Number 7, and those again might not be long items. And again, there is a public, final public comment at the end of today, so that might give people a bit of a time frame.

1 MS. SAXTON: Thank you very much for your 2 patience. Taking lunch, then public comment on Item Number 3 6, and then Item Number 6 is what would be required. 4 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So, I'm sorry, that means 5 before we talk about it or at the end of the item? 6 MS. SAXTON: Well, you mean Item Number 6? 7 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Under Item Number 6. 8 MS. SAXTON: It can be taken before or during. 9 And once Item Number 6 is complete, you do have that 10 general public comment at the end. 11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. I'm sorry, do we 12 still have Item Number 7, the location update? 13 MS. SAXTON: Yes, and that also will require 14 public comment. 15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. 16 MS. SAXTON: I do point out that the Commission 17 is, in running the meetings, authorized to limit the public 18 comment period as is reasonable. You're not required to take every caller, but that is a decision for --19 20 CHAIR ANDERSEN: For the Commission. 21 MS. SAXTON: -- the Commission. 22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. 23 Okay, at this time then I'm going to propose, 24 it's quarter to, ten minutes to --25 MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, I'm sorry. I just

received information that in combination, the two temporary staff members, 30 minutes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah, there we go.

So, then, since it is ten minutes to 1:00, I say we come back at ten minutes to 2:00, start up with public comment, do the Item Number 6. Then, can we do the Item Number 7, and then go to general? Yes, that would work.

So, we'll come back, do we can even say a smaller -- when we come back we could put an idea of our public comment time, jump into Item Number 6, do Item Number 7, and then conclude with public comments. That would cover public comments on both Items 6 and 7.

MS. SAXTON: Also, Madam Chair, just to add some additional information, whatever public comment is taken next is exclusively on the subject of introductions to the temporary 2020 staff.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. I say we break for lunch right now --

MS. SAXTON: Yes, you may.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- and let's talk about it when we come back. It's ten minutes to 1:00, I say let's come back at ten minutes to 2:00. The meeting's on recess.

(Off the record at 12:48 p.m.)

(On the record at 1:53 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Welcome back. I see everyone is

here. I will bring the meeting back to order. And at this time we can go -- as we discussed, we'd like to go into Item Number 6. And we have said that we'd do public comment at this time, after lunch. But there's a slight change in that we -- for all of the public who have been following, they hopefully will have seen on our agenda is Item 8 is public comment. And that, it says all items not on the agenda. That can be anything that is on the agenda, as well.

As we are going to move into Item Number 6, which is introduction of temporary 2020 Commission staff, at this time we're only taking public comment on that item. So, if you're thinking that you want to call in and congratulate us, or yell at us, please reserve that and call that in after we deal with Item Number 6 and Number 7. We'll take public comment on all of that at that time.

And we're estimating that that will take approximately an hour. So, if you could hold those congratulations, way to go, or we really don't like what you did until about an hour from now, so come, you know, look back in at about 3:00 o'clock, if you are not interested in following the rest of our items. Thank you.

So, at this time we'll proceed to Item Number 6. Oh, we have a question from Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair. I

don't mean to revisit this conversation, but just to clarify we can do public comment after the temporary staff are introduced and, therefore, the public can actually comment on something. I'm not sure, I'm just thinking if I was calling in what I would be able to comment on, if I hadn't actually seen the conversation related to Agenda Item 6. Just something for consideration for the group.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, the rule is before or during the agenda item.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yeah.

MS. SAXTON: That's correct.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes, I agree with that comment. I think we should go through the presentation and the introduction first, and then allow folks to comment on it because then they'll have seen what happened, if that pleases the Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. Thank you. The reason I gave the announcement about general comments are please reserve those for after Item 8, which will be approximately an hour. Because we'd put after lunch general public comments and we're making a bit of a change

for our time purposes. So, that would be approximately 3:00 to general. So, when we do break, after the presentation now, it will be public comment would then be only on that introduction of the temporary 2020 Commission staff.

So, with that clarification thank you very much Commissioners, we will move on and I believe counsel will introduce.

MS. SAXTON: Yes, it is with great pleasure that I introduce Marian Johnston, who will be part of your temporary 2020 Commission staff. She will serve as the 2020 Commission's temporary counsel and we're pleased to have you here today. Thank you.

MS. JOHNSTON: It's a pleasure to meet all of you. I'm what's called a retired annuitant, which if you haven't worked for the state before you don't know it's someone who's retired from the state, but you get them back. It's a good deal for everybody. They pay you your salary, but none of the benefits, and you would get me at whatever rate you'd normally pay for a state -- my salary, so it's a bargain.

And I worked for the 2010 Commission. I'd welcome any questions you have for me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just for the general public and for myself, could you actually spell your name for us?

MS. JOHNSTON: Marian, M-A-R-I-A-N, Johnston, J-O-H-N-S-T-O-N.

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. So, any comments or questions from the other Commissioners? Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Welcome, Ms. Johnston. I have a question regarding temporary counsel, exactly what does that mean? I mean how long do we have the privilege of working with you? Maybe give us a little overview of what we can expect from you in your role? Those, I'll start with those two questions.

MS. JOHNSTON: That is totally your discretion. The Commission is free of all civil service rules, so basically I work at your pleasure. I did work for the prior Commission for about eight years. I was not with them at the very beginning. I was not their chief counsel. And I'm not applying to be your chief counsel. I'm more of like a -- sort of like what Amanda Saxton did for you here on Bagley-Keene, those other meeting requirements, Public Records Act, good government, conflict of interest type questions that come up. And I can't work more than part time.

And it would be a decision of the full Commission, whenever you have your first meeting, about whether or not you want to continue with me or not.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Thus the term temporary?

MS. JOHNSTON: Thus the term temporary.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner -- let's see, we have two hands. We have Turner and also Sadhwani.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: No, I don't have a hand up, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you, Commissioner Turner. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I was wondering, Ms. Johnston -- first, welcome, thank you for serving in this capacity. You know, could you actually give us some guidance? It wasn't entirely clear to me what the process will be for setting that first meeting of the full Commission and I'm just curious how we'll go about identifying that date and time, and if you will be handling that process, if Ms. Saxton will be handling it? Or, if from here on out if Ms. Saxton is kind of --

MS. JOHNSTON: I will be handling with Raul Villanueva, who's your administrative person on a temporary basis, we could not schedule it until you had your full complement of Commissioners. As soon as we can do a survey, we'll figure out what time would work for all of you. It's a 14-day notice requirement for the full Commission, so the soonest this could be would be towards

mid or late August.

And we would be consulting with you all about what you wanted to have on the first agenda. There are some matters that need to be taken care of and then after that, whoever you choose for your chair and vice chair, we would work with them to schedule further meetings and further agendas.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'm just curious, does
that mean that Ms. Saxton is -- are we not hearing from her
anymore or does she get to say goodbye, or does she just
disappear.

13 CHAIR ANDERSEN: She's already left the building.
14 No.

(Laughter)

MS. SAXTON: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons.

The State Auditor's Office does continue to provide support in transition. At this time I don't know what, if any role I will play. But perhaps I might sneak over for a visit, COVID-19 willing.

MS. JOHNSTON: I'm certain there will be a lot of communication. Amanda Saxton and other people here I worked with previously, in my previous life with the Legislative Counsel's Office, so we're friends as well as coworkers.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, Ms. Johnston, could you tell 1 2 us, are you giving us the information, then, about the 3 actual logistics of where, and when, and this sort of 4 thing, or is that Mr. Villanueva --5 MS. JOHNSTON: Villanueva. 6 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Villanueva. 7 MS. JOHNSTON: It will be done cooperatively. 8 He'll probably take care of the logistical part of it. But 9 I've been working with him on a draft agenda. 10 CHAIR ANDERSEN: And in terms of, you know, 11 because where we are right now is in the State Auditor's 12 Office. 13 MS. JOHNSTON: I understand there have been 14 offices set up for you just a block away, at 721 M Street, 15 but I have not seen them yet. I'm going to see them next 16 week. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. 18 MS. JOHNSTON: Raul was going to be calling in. 19 You haven't heard from him? 20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes, he's there. 21 CHAIR ANDERSEN: 22 MR. VILLANUEVA: I am here, Marian, I'm just -- I 23 wanted you to have your opportunity. I'm here. 24 MS. JOHNSTON: Well, I don't know if anyone has

any questions about me. I also wanted to talk about the

25

litigation on the timing of the Census, but I can wait until Raul finishes the administration portion.

MS. SAXTON: Yes, Ms. Johnston, that is agendized as Number 7.

MS. JOHNSTON: Right.

MR. VILLANUEVA: Well, let me go ahead then.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please do. Thank you.

MR. VILLANUEVA: So, first, let me offer my congratulations to all of you as Commissioners of the 2020 Citizens --

11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

MR. VILLANUEVA: -- and taking it upon yourselves to accomplish a challenging task in really challenging times. I feel confident in your ability to meet those challenges and accomplish the work. Congratulations.

By the way, everyone can hear me? Very good. My name is Raul Villanueva and I've been working with the California State Auditor's Office, hired specifically to provide support to the Commission during this transition with staff and offices being fully functional required under Government Code.

Now, in 2010 I had the opportunity to provide support to the first panel. Afterwards, I worked with the 2020 Commission as their office manager. The State Auditor's Office wanted you to have the benefit of lessons

learned from the prior Commission. Since I was there from the beginning, I kind of have a pretty good idea of the issues that caused them the most grief. And I've been working really hard to spare you some of the challenges.

So, at this time what I'd like to do is give you a high level report of the work we've done to date to help you, and I'm also preparing a more detailed report for your first meeting as a full Commission, a full team. But as I give this report, please keep in mind that the primary intent throughout this process has been to give you options, be fully functional on day one as a full Commission, and that you can operate independently as intended by law.

First things first, we have secured office space for you. We worked with the Governor's Office to identify available office space and we have made space available for you at 721 Capitol Mall, Sacramento. Now, the office space will be fully furnished and equipped, pending a few deliveries more that I'm waiting on.

So, there is sufficient space for you and your staff to work and for you to hold meetings consistent with COVID-related experiences, okay. We've set up the offices with a wireless network, thus you and your staff will be able to work onsite or remotely. And you can begin work as soon as you're ready. You have laptops, printers, a copier

configured to your network and it's in place.

And now that you've identified the final six members of the Commission, we're going to be sending them materials similar to the ones that you received, laptops, cell phones, and those materials like Bagley-Keene Open Meeting Act.

Now, your offices are secure. There's onsite security within your offices that require card key access. You're also close to ample public parking and light rail, and to keep you in close proximity to any other state government offices, including the State Capitol.

Well, you were asking about the meeting and the agenda. Let's look at that. First, you're going to need certain services to ensure your meetings are public and accessible to all Californians, we're preparing some contracts for you to review and approve, if you so desire. These include services like videography, transcription, American Sign Language and English language interpreters. It's really similar to the same types of services that you've been receiving thus far.

As far as budgeting, accounting, HR, payroll, we've lined up the state resources you're going to need as a state commission to provide you support with your budget accounting, and HR payroll. And I have the interagency agreements for those services ready for you to review

during the first meeting as a full Commission.

Also, preparing a report about your appropriation budget and how to access your funds, and that will be available to you for that first meeting.

In regards to training, I've retained several really great speakers for you on topics related to the California demography, the Voting Rights Act, and laws related to redistricting, as well as some pieces on the nuances of working within state government structure, among some others.

I'm going to be -- I am preparing some training and discussion after hiring. So, similar to what the Secretary of State's Office did last time, we advertised three of the key positions you're really going to need at meetings. Those included counsel, communications director, and the executive director.

But as you've been discussing, you're already recognizing that the full Commission must hire an attorney with a certain type of skill level.

In regards to the communications director, it's really to give you that immediate help of the press inquiries you're going to be receiving from day one. Also, to support you in getting your message out via your website, and really to start thinking about your public information.

In regards to your executive director, I think you'll agree that hiring this position is imperative.

You're going to need someone to hire your other staff, develop on-tracks on your behalf, and all the other things an executive director does.

I'm also preparing a training and discussion for you in contracting and procurement. Now, as a part of this item there will be discussion about that line drawer. But I have to bring up you have heard several individuals and organizations say that you should regard these recruitments and the RFP post your own.

Now, as our goal in issuing these recruitments and RFP on your behalf is to give you a head start, and particularly in light of the fact that we're working in this COVID environment which, as you know, is impacting everyone. Still you, the full Commission you, can absolutely disregard these recruitments and the RFP and post your own. Your recruitments clearly state the full Commission may use them, reissue them, or disregard them. The RFP is nonbinding and any proposals received will be delivered to you as a full Commission unopened. There's no intention of making any decisions for you. And you may decide to look at them, interview bidders, revise timelines, or ultimately reissue an RFP.

However, before you make your full decision as a

full Commission there is an opportunity for you to review the documents as a body. To understand what the recruitments and the RFP documents actually accomplished and determine for yourselves what actions best support you and your goals as a Commission which is something I would urge for you to take the time to do.

2.2.

As far as your agenda, we're in an interesting situation right now in that during this interim period between selecting the first eight and the final six Commissioners no one has clear legal authority to sign work orders, contracts, or other similar documents.

The State Auditor's Office, then, has taken the setup of your operations really as far as possible. As such, many of the items on your agenda for the first full Commission meeting are going to be housekeeping items. Things like review and approval of service contracts for your meeting services, authorizations to obtain service, as well as the training and the other things that I mentioned.

But I am working with the interim legal counsel,
Marian Johnston. I'd rather call her interim because
there's nothing temporary about Marian. And using input
from the State Auditor's Redistricting team and made a
comprehensive agenda for a multi-day meeting. So, really,
we're hoping that that will begin before the end of August.
Well, obviously, the dates haven't been finalized, but I do

want to put this meeting on your radar to calendar.

Because again, keep in mind that once you're a full Commission your agendas must post for 14 days, not the 10 required by Bagley-Keene. So, for example, to hold meetings the week of August 24th, an agenda would have to be posted publicly as early as August 10th, Monday, and on August 24th.

Please consider the timing for your first meeting as a full Commission and Marian and I will be contacting you in the days ahead really to determine what schedule bests supports you all.

In closing, I'd like to thank the state staff across many state departments and agencies, who worked with me to help me accomplish these and other parts of the operation. Now, it's one thing to assist as a part of the normal, you know, normal affairs of government, but we all know that right now we're working in an unprecedented time. Many of these departments are working with a skeleton crew and operating from multiple locations. Nevertheless, these men and women were admirably diligent in the State Auditor's Office and I would like to thank them for their professionalism and their dedication.

Myself, I'd like to thank the State Auditor for enabling me to perform this work on your behalf. It was wonderful working for the 2010 and I'm (indiscernible) -- I

look forward to working with the full Commission during this transition and I hope to help you to become fully functional as quickly as you can so that you and your staff can have everything you need to conduct your important work. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Any comments or questions from the other

Commissioners? Seeing none at -- oh, we do have one from

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. Yes. I mean I would just say it's so nice to meet you both and thank you for all of the work that you're obviously doing behind the scenes that we didn't really have a clear sense of what all was happening. So, I greatly appreciate all of your efforts, especially during these difficult times. So, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

MR. VILLANUEVA: You all are very welcome.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I think that sort of sums up what everyone would like to say. Thank you. And thank you very much also for pointing out thank yous to the State Auditor's Office and the people involved here. As I've been seeing them on a day-to-day basis it is amazing. And they are working with a skeleton crew. So, I appreciate you bringing that up as well. And look forward to working

with both of you going forward. So, thank you.

That, I believe, brings us to the end of Item 6. So, at this time, before we go into the litigation update from Ms. Johnston, this would be a time when we would stop and take public comment.

So, Counsel, could you please read those instructions. And, yes, Mr. Villanueva's gone. Thank you.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

If you'd like to make public comment regarding Agenda Item 6, introduction of temporary 2020 Commission staff, please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment and, again, that number is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number you'll speak to an operator. You will be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note, you're not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks you for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make a public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to

enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously, and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator, you will be placed in a listening room. In this room you will be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speaker phone and make sure to speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about Agenda Item 8, introduction of temporary 2020 Commission staff, press 1 0 and you will be placed in the queue to make public comment about Agenda Item 8, introduction of temporary 2020 Commission staff.

After joining the queue to make a public comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue. When it is your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist, then state your comment clearly and concisely.

Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you finish making your comment, we will

move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda item at a later time, please call back when we open up public comment for that item and repeat this process.

If you are disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0. These instructions can also be found on our website.

I also comment that at this time public comment must be in regard to Agenda Item 6, introduction of temporary 2020 Commission staff.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line?

AT&T OPERATOR: Just a reminder, you can now press 1, then 0, if you'd like to queue up here for comment.

18 At this time, Madam Chair, there is no one in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

We will stand down for the usual 2 minutes to allow people to call in.

23 AT&T Operator, if someone does come on the line, 24 please let us know.

AT&T OPERATOR: We certainly will.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

(Pause)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: AT&T Operator, Do we have anyone on the line at this time?

 $$\operatorname{AT\&T}$  OPERATOR: Madam Chair, we have no one on the line for you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

Having no, hearing no public comment at this time, we shall move on to Item Number 7, which is a litigation update by our lovely, delightful lady we've just met, Ms. Marian Johnston.

MS. JOHNSTON: Thank you. Well, I hoped I could give you a definitive answer today about what your timeline would be. As you probably know, about three months ago the Census Bureau announced because of COVID it was going to delay the processing of the Census data, collecting the Census data, then processing it to give to the states. And would not be giving it to the Commission until approximately next August, August 2021, which would give you 15 days to do all your map drawing, which would obviously be unworkable.

The Legislature then decided to file a lawsuit against the Secretary of State's Office, which is the one responsible for collecting the data and providing it to the Commission, asking that the Supreme Court step in and

extend the time limits.

The day after the Legislature filed the lawsuit, we got a letter from the California Supreme Court asking what the opinion of the 2010 Commission was. The 2010 Commission met remotely and was very concerned that they not step on your toes in any way. They know that they cannot make any decisions for you and that anything they do is not binding on you. But they did want to preserve your opportunity to complete your job in the full, complete manner, and with the public input and outreach that is required by law.

So, with their instruction, I did file a brief in the Supreme Court agreeing with the need for delay. And about a month ago, now, the California Supreme Court did issue a decision, that I hope you all have seen by now, that set the -- gave us the extended time we'd requested for four and a half months to make up for the four and a half months that the Census was delaying.

But interestingly, they gave the decision in terms so that if the date were to change subsequent to their decision, then your timing would also change. If the federal government transmitted the Census data to the states later than August 1st, your time would be extended beyond that. So, it would no longer be what would be November, it would go back to whatever delayed time would

be added on to it.

Conversely, it also said that if the federal government transmits the Census data to the state before that time, the Commission should make every effort to expedite its process and release the preliminary and final maps in advance of the deadline set forth above.

So, that's the state of California law right now. What we don't know is what's going to happen at the federal level. You may have seen that earlier this week the Census Bureau announced that it was going to in fact speed up its timing and do it a month earlier than states -- than this law required.

There are still several bills pending, one that's tied into the HEROES Act, which is the current COVID bill before the House. And then there's a standalone bill, also, that would extend the Census data's time collecting it, so that you would be able to get a full and complete Census count which, of course, is essential to your job in doing the redistricting.

I have heard rumors there may be some litigation over whether the Census Bureau will be served suit on its new timing schedule or not. I have not seen the results of that litigation, yet. If anything has happened, I haven't heard about it and I think I would have.

So, we're waiting to find out exactly what your

timing will be. In the meantime we want to do everything, as Raul said to get you to the best position you can, so that you can begin doing the very important work that you so graciously volunteered to do.

A couple of other pieces of litigation that I just wanted to alert you to. There is a lawsuit pending about the government's decision to collect data on undocumented immigrants. And that has been set for expedited briefing. California Attorney General filed lawsuit against Trump and there are a number of other states that have done, as well. And I will, of course, keep you alerted to whatever happens in that lawsuit.

One other piece of litigation that happened after the 2010 Commission completed its work, but we did an Amicus brief in, was on the legitimacy of having an independent Commission, like yourselves, make a decision on the Census. The way the Constitution and the federal law reads, the State Legislature is to do redistricting.

In California, and in a number of other states, there is a Power of Initiative reserved to the people. And we argued successfully that because the people have that initiative power that in that sense the people enacted the Voters FIRST Act, and then the modification that added Congress, that was a legislative decision from the states, and that the Supreme Court agreed with that. And so, your

existence is protected at least for the time being.

So, I'd be happy to answer any questions you have of any of those lawsuits.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I do, just a quick for clarification.

MS. JOHNSTON: Sure.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just we understand that the dates are fluid right now. Could you give us the original dates and like what is our timing, the amount of time that was requested for extension?

MS. JOHNSTON: The Census Bureau had said it was going to be delaying its work by four and a half months. So, you were originally due to have your preliminary draft map done by July 1st and your final maps done by August 15th.

We asked that it be delayed equivalently to four and a half months, so it would be until November 1st, 2021 for the draft maps and December 15th for the final maps. And then again, that was to be adjusted depending on what happened with the Census Bureau.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, by adjustment you mean the four and a half months is the gap and that shifts according to however the feds decided.

MS. JOHNSTON: Exactly. But you don't have to wait until then. A lot of the work done by the prior

Commission, and I assume will be done by you as well, in your outreach, doesn't need to wait until you get the Census data from the Census Bureau. So, you can go ahead and solicit public opinion, have your public meetings, do whatever you want to do for your public outreach. And it actually gives you more time to do it which the former Commission felt they were very rushed about collecting public input. So, in a way that is a benefit to you.

Of course, if the Census Bureau hurries up its process and gives you less time, the Supreme Court, the California Supreme Court decision is not binding on you, but it does say it strongly urges you to make every effort to expedite your process to adjust to whatever the Census Bureau does.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. And just back on the Amicus brief, is there additional move towards changing that? I might have misinterpreted that. To change that on a federal constitutional level. I mean has this been --

MS. JOHNSTON: There are two bills pending I know of, currently in the house, that would extend the date. As you probably know there's substantial negotiations doing on with the HEROES Act at the present time. That proposed bill currently would give you an extension of time that's all negotiable.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And on that bill, actually, I

was talking about the validity of the Commission in terms of California that is okay. And I understand there are other issues with other states. Is there a -- was that brief only affecting our Supreme Court or was that part of a group going towards the federal.

MS. JOHNSTON: That case only had to do with California law which set your time limits and asked that those time limits be extended due to the delay of the Census.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, on that one I was talking about the existence of the Commission, in terms of the Legislature versus --

MS. JOHNSTON: Oh, it was actually brought by the Arizona Legislature, trying to dissolve the Arizona Redistricting Commission, which actually was formed after California's was. No one sued the California Commission, per se, but we got involved in the case in order to protect the legitimacy of the Commission. But that is now final.

The problem is that a lot of states don't have the initiative power and so that route of having the citizens decide that they want to have an independent redistricting commission only can happen in those states where there is an initiative power.

There is a movement among states either to have the Legislature commit, create an independent commission or

to have the people adopt one. And in fact, the past

Commission got a grant from Harvard to do some outreach

throughout the state -- throughout the United States, and I

know they've talked with a number of states that have been

working towards creating independent commissions, much like

you are.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Those are my questions.

Any additional questions from the Commissioners?

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Thank you so much, Ms. Johnston, for this overview. It was very helpful. I wish I had it two weeks ago when we first met. I feel like it would have helped kind of set the stage of where we are now. So, I want to say thank you for that overview.

17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. So, thank you very 18 much.

MS. JOHNSTON: I think there's one more question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, we do have another question.

Commissioner -- thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, thank you. I echo Commissioner Ahmad's thanks to you for this update, very helpful.

I was curious, and I'm not suggesting that we

need to do this, but I'm just curious. You had mentioned that the 2010 Commission had been asked by the California Supreme Court for their input. On that matter, at the state level it seems the bigger issue is actually at the federal level with whether or not the Secretary of State's Office is going to be delaying or speeding up the Census.

Obviously, in California we have many -- as we heard from many of the public comments, we have a lot of very difficult to reach communities that could greatly be impacted by the Census. Would it behoove us as a Commission to consider sending a letter of support to say, you know, we actually -- support the extension of the Census to ensure a full count? Is that something that you would recommend? Is it something that we should be thinking about? Or is better, you know, hey, look, we just stay out of it.

MS. JOHNSTON: I think if you want to put that on the agenda at one of your first meetings, it would be very appropriate for you to discuss whether or not that's an action you would like to take.

In fact, is there unanimous -- an interest from the Commission on us adding that to the agenda for the first meeting or so?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I would so move that I would like to add that to the agenda as an agenda item. Is there

a second for the full meeting?

MS. JOHNSTON: Well, you don't really need to vote on it. If there's an interest in it, we'll put it on the agenda for you to vote up or down at that time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Just to consider it.

Any other questions for Ms. Johnston?

MS. JOHNSTON: Well, thank you very much. And again, commend you for your dedication. And this will be the hardest job you ever love.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you very much. We really appreciate your time in coming, in introducing yourself and giving us that update.

So, at this time we will go to our general comment, as well as comments on this last litigation item. I think it's okay to wrap that all together, is that correct, Item Number 8 and public comment?

MS. SAXTON: We can take public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. So, we'll do public comments on -- so, thank you very much, Ms. Johnston, we really appreciate your time.

At this point we will go to public comment.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just for clarity is it okay to combine public comment on this agenda item and general comments? That's my understanding of what was just made, Madam Chair. I just wanted clarity from counsel that

that is --

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. JOHNSTON: That's fine.

MS. SAXTON: It's fine.

4 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's fine, okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, that was my question.

That was the response.

Okay, so at this time could counsel please read the instructions for public comments?

MS. SAXTON: I have a feeling this is the last time.

MS. JOHNSTON: You'll have to give me a copy of it.

MS. SAXTON: I'm going to frame it.

If you'd like to make public comment, please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment and that number again is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number you will speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information, the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note you are not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your

own.

When it's your turn to make a public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator, you'll be placed in a listening room. In this room you'll be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speakerphone and make sure to speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about an action item, or an item on the agenda, or general comment, please press 1 0 and you will be placed in the queue to make public comment.

After joining the queue to make a public comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue. When it's your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist. Then, state your comment clearly and concisely.

Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you finish making your comment, we will move on to the next caller. At that point, please hang up your phone.

If you're disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0.

These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

So, AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: Just a reminder, ladies and gentlemen, it is pressing 1, then 0.

At this time, Madam Chair, we have no one for public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. We'll wait our two minutes. We can stand down while we wait.

(Pause)

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: AT&T Operator, do we have anyone 23 on the line at this time?

24 AT&T OPERATOR: We have no one on line for public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, AT&T Operator.

Hearing no public comment at this time, I would like to thank the group, I'd like to thank the Commissioners for giving me the privilege of serving as your temporary chair, and all your honest and hard work, coming together as a group to get all this job done. I appreciate all the comments you've been making and the sharing of your time.

I really want to say a thank you to the staff here. To Ms. Saxton, to Mr. Porche. You don't know, but in the wings there's also been counsel, Christopher Dawson, who helped the ARP. And we have other staffing.

Additionally, our video has been run beautifully and extremely helpfully by Mr. Kristian Manoff. And I just really appreciate all the time and effort you've taken.

Thank you very much for the whole Commission.

And it's been a privilege and I want to say thank you and open this up to any other Commissioners who would like to say anything at this point.

Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: You all know I'd be remiss if I didn't say something. I just wanted to thank you,

Madam Chair, for stepping up and taking on this task, and doing a very good job. I appreciate it.

And I want to thank all of my fellow

Commissioners for the lively engagement. Actually, as arduous as this has felt at some times, and challenging, I've actually enjoyed myself and have enjoyed getting to know each of you a little bit, and look forward to getting to know you more, and working with you, and of course the other six Commissioners who aren't here with us today. I'm looking forward to that as well.

I know we have a tall task ahead of us. I do feel like we are definitely up to the challenge. I'm very proud of the final group that we put together.

And I also want to let the North know that we are here for you and we're going to make sure that we address the area and make sure we have presence there, and make sure that your voice is heard. I think that's important and I know that's shared by my fellow Commissioners as well.

So, with that, again, just thank you to all. Oh, and I wanted to make sure I extend my thank you to the staff there, as well. Ms. Saxton, I've gotten used to your voice. You have a very nice voice and I've gotten used to your voice. And the rest of the crew there, as well.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Any other Commissioners?

Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. I just wanted

to echo all of the thanks, I think that's on behalf of all of the Commissioners. Our thanks to Ms. Saxton for all that you've done over these last few weeks, month, month and a half. And to all of the staff at the Auditor's Office, all of the folks, Ms. Pellman, the videographer, everybody that's been helping out.

And I did want to just say, you know, I definitely have had my doubts about our democracy from time to time over the last few years. As a professor, as a clinical scientist I study democracy and I have had my worries at times that perhaps democracy in the United States generally is backsliding. And recently, when Congressman John Lewis had passed away, he had penned an op-ed before he passed that was run.

And in it he said this: Democracy is not a state. It is an act. And each generation must do its part.

And I feel like today we have, and over this week we have all done our part to uphold our commitment to an active democracy, to an active engagement with communities here in California.

And so, I just wanted to acknowledge all of that hard work. I think it has been hard and contentious at certain points in time. And I'm very pleased to welcome the new Commissioners. And I am certain that as a full

Commission of 14 members we will all be completely committed to ensuring all of the voices that desire to be heard in California have that chance and opportunity. And that our charge is to ensure that broad representation. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

And just taking off on Congressman Lewis's remarks and

Commissioner Sadhwani's remarks, I want to thank everyone

who did call in or write in. That's an important part of

the democratic process as well. And for this process to

remain credible, we need everyone to continue to feed into

us. We have a responsibility but you, as citizens have a

responsibility as well.

You know, one of the things that I loved most about President Obama was his emphasis on the role of citizen being a person's most important role. I'm a big believer in that. I've even had some t-shirts printed up. I've quoted him on some of these things.

But citizen participation is the heart of the matter. We have a responsibility, but the people of California have a responsibility, all their time and efforts. Apologize for the frustrations in getting through. You know, I have a notebook here. I've got

everyone's name. I've got the key points that they made.

We've gotten all the written comments. We have read those.

And just, please, keep it all coming. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Any other Commissioner want to speak in? Not at this time? Well --

VICE CHAIR TURNER: I'll be brief, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes, Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: I want to echo the sentiments of my other fellow Commissioners. I also wanted to again iterate my appreciation for you leading out as our temp chairperson. I think you did an admirable job. I appreciate you kind of stepping out into that territory and just wanted to express my appreciation for you and the rest of the Commissioners, for the staff, and for all of those that called in. And I look forward to the real hard work now.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I can step back for Commissioner Taylor.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you. You know, you can't contradict anything that was said. Thank you, guys. To staff, thank you, thank you, thank you. And

I'll say it again to staff, thank you, thank you, thank you.

I, myself, personally I enjoy the spirit of debate. Through that we learn, we're enlightened and we can move forward. Without it, we can't accomplish much. Iron sharpens iron, so I expect that sort of exchange.

I look forward to the work. I'm pleased we have 14 teams of the north and we have 14 teams of orange, so we'll put any of that fear aside. So, I look forward to the work.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

Commissioner Ahmad, did you finish? Did we get

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Just echoing everyone's comments. Expressing my deepest gratitude and thanks for everyone who had a part in this that we don't even realize had a part in this process.

Thank you, Madam Chair for leading the efforts and facilitating the group in some very, very tough discussions. Just reflecting on the past couple of days, I am so grateful for everything that I have learned, all of the different perspectives, and ideas that feed into the common goal that we have to ensure that California's diversity and Californians are represented in these maps.

So, it really gives me hope in democracy and it

gives me insights into the power that people actually have when they voice what they want.

So, thank you so much to everyone for an incredible four days and I look forward to the next ten vears.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, great. Commissioner Fornaciari. Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I just again want to echo everything that's been said much more eloquently than I could ever say it.

A couple things, though, I do just want to note I really appreciate the open and frank discussion that we've all had. I've learned a lot about you all and your perspective, and it's really -- you know, a big part of why I'm here is to learn and I'm learning a lot.

And I want to say to, you know, those listening and those who called in, you know, your comments and your participation is critical to this process. And we all really appreciated your feedback and your thoughts, and it really made an impact on the process and the outcome, as you can tell. As you can tell, we all listened deeply to what you had to say and considered that.

And I'm looking forward to continuing the process and actually, hopefully getting to meet a lot of you face to face, and hearing what you have to say, and taking in

your input and including it in the process and the final outcome. So, thank you for that.

And Commissioner Andersen thank you again for stepping up. And everyone there that has helped out, thank you so much.

Now, I look forward to getting going in a few weeks.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I have a question, Madam
Chair and a comment. The question is I know before we
broke for lunch we had told the public that to be prepared
to give any feedback at 3:00, and I know we got the
introductions and things, and got finished a little bit
earlier. So, I just wanted to raise that up to kind of
raise that up to see. I know we're kind of close to 3:00,
if we maybe could take a break and at least come back at
3:00 and see if there's any public comment, just because we
did make that very specific time spot. So, that's the
question.

And then, I'll need your help on this, Madam

Chair. I believe it was the public comment from Mr. Quon, if I remember correctly, the one that had commented about the meetings, and I addressed that. And I just wanted to acknowledge his getting back on the line and calling back

in, and acknowledging the misunderstanding. I really appreciate that. And I think that that really speaks, particularly in these kinds of dynamics with public comment when it's kind of one directional that that was effort on his part. And I really have a lot of respect for his willingness to take some additional time to call back in to acknowledge a misunderstanding.

So, I didn't want to let it go by without acknowledging that. So, thank you, Mr. Quon.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons.

Actually, that is a very good point, question. I know we don't need to actually ask counsel. We can certainly, at our prerogative take a break. We have not adjourned or anything like that. And we did tell the public to look about 3:00 o'clock.

And as we really do need the public's participation for us to proceed, I also feel that's a very good idea.

So, do I hear any objections to the idea of just breaking for a bit, coming back, right about 3:00 o'clock and listening for public comment?

I see no objections whatsoever. So, with that we'll just recess until 3:00, coming back for public comment. Thank you.

(Off the record at 2:52 p.m.)

(On the record at 3:00 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right, I see we have a quorum so I'll bring the meeting back to order for the public who may be tuning in now. As we said, a few more bits of business and check in about 3:00 o'clock.

We have concluded our items of business on the agenda, with the exception of public comment. And so, at this time we'll ask the staff, to counsel to please read the instructions for calling in.

MS. SAXTON: If you'd like to make public comment please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment and that number again is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number, you'll speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information, the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note that you are not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make a public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide

some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

22.

After speaking with the operator you will be placed in a listening room. In this room you will be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speakerphone and make sure to speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment, press 1 0, and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment. After joining the queue to make a public comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue.

When it is your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist, then state your comment clearly and concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains.

After you finish making your comment, we will move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda

item -- well, this is the end, right.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, you can't.

MS. SAXTON: If you're disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0.

These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

For all the public who hopefully are there, we actually have finished all our business and all the Commissioners said thank you to everybody. A lot of thank you's to the public.

As you know, now, we have a Commission of 14. Our task here of the eight to pick the next six has concluded and now our work begins.

This was not -- if you called in to participate in the Commission and said, oh, now it's done, no. That was all pre-work. Now, we need all of you to get in touch with us as we actually begin this entire process. So, please, I hope that you stay involved regardless of if you're happy or unhappy with this last decision. We need all of you.

So, AT&T Operator, do we happen to have anyone on the line.

AT&T OPERATOR: Well, again, if you wish to queue up, you may press 1, then 0 at this time.

Madam Chair, there are no other lines for public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

MS. JOHNSTON: If there is anyone who has already signed up with the State Auditor's Office to get notice of Commission meetings, that list will be transferred to the current Commission and you will still be on the list to receive all notices of future meetings.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Actually, a quick question on that. Do we have a website, if they want to -- right now they look at the Shape California. Is there a new website that they would look to follow?

MS. JOHNSTON: There is an old website that I think is going to be revised for this Commission. It was wedrawthelines.com. And I don't think that has been set up, yet, but it will be soon.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, for them to get in touch right now what is the procedure?

MS. JOHNSTON: Through the State Auditor's Office still.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, we have a question from Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'm hoping that the website from the 2010 Commission will be retained somewhere. I was trying to research some things about the 2010 Commission even last night and when I clicked on the links for the "meet the Commissioners" of the 2010 Commission it took me to a list of us, which wasn't helpful.

2.2.

MS. JOHNSTON: We will need to work with the Auditor's staff about that. My understanding was that they were going to archive the 2010 Commission, but keep the information available to the public. And we will do our best to make sure that that happens.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, do we have anyone on the line at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: At this time there are no public comments.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No public comments, okay.

Well, it's actually now seven minutes after 3:00. Do we feel we need to wait a few more minutes? I think the public has spoken.

I just wanted to additionally say, ask

Commissioner Kennedy what's the update on your fire
situation?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: My partner is back at home reporting that the winds keep shifting. So, one minute it's clear, one minute it's, you know, full of smoke again.

So, it's really difficult to say. It seems like -- I mean the official reports are that they've made progress on the southwestern edge of the fire, which is where the fire originated. But that because of the winds we continue to have concerns about the east and northeast edges of the fire, which is what would threaten us.

So, I thank all of you for your concern and I'll try to keep you posted. I know we'll miss each other the next couple weeks without this daily contact.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, please do keep us involved because I know I'll be -- I will be trying to watch that tonight on the news, or getting on the internet to find it. So, best of luck.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much to everybody. I really appreciate this. Unless there are additional comments, I think we might be able to all go home or in your case just end the meeting.

Any additional comments? Not at this time.

Thank you very much everyone. Our business is completed and I will call the meeting adjourned.

(Thereupon, the First Eight Commissioners' meeting adjourned at 3:08 p.m.)

## REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

transcribed into typewriting.

the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter

I do hereby certify that the testimony in

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of November, 2020.

TROY RAY CER-369

## TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 4th day of November, 2020.

Barbara Little Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET\*\*D-520