

10.29.21 Notes on Direction to Line Drawers

- Sadwani
 - Focus more on Central Valley maps
 - Stanislaus to Bakersfield is too vast. Look for VRA data
- Turner
 - put mountain house, tracy but also going down looking further at manteca area of adding in mountain house and tracy but also back on the assembly map when we are going to go back and look at that again.
- Andersen
 - include san benito county as an area of focus. San Benito and the portion of Monterey county.
- Fornaciari
 - focus on vra obligations
 - I like to understand better what the trade-offs might be in looking at fresno as kind of a south and east fresno or west fresno, as a starting point for vra district.
- Fernandez
 - I've given direction in the past that we need to keep yolo and solano together and i'm going to probably amend my direction. keep yolo and solano, if we have to increase we go to napa or colusa.
- Sinay
 - i still don't feel like we have all the tools we need to be really good at this and to be able to anchor it in vra -add county names
- Turner/andersen
 - the direction to change the architecture in fresno, /central valley(draw east west)
- Fernandez
 - keep yolo and solano together (ad district)
 - it's from rio vista north, not the other. there's two pieces of delta. so i'm referring to the one that is not as heavily populated as your pittsburg and all that other area
- Toledo
 - yolo potentially some colusa, potentially the rural parts of solano county, right, the dixon area, the around there potentially some of the delta area with some of the napa area makes sense to me.
- Kennedy
 - rather than focusing on shifting from north-south to east-west i prefer to think of it as let's see if we can raise our game a bit on compactness
 - have a bakersfield vra district that doesn't go beyond kern, kings and tulare and a fresno-based vra district that doesn't go beyond fresno, madera and merced.
- Sadhwani

- have bakersfield as an anchor
 - i would want to see what this cvap is looking like in the bakersfield area
- Sinay
 - Follow the six criteria, split if necessary

Congressional Visualizations

VCD EAST OF L.A

- Sadhwani
 - According to the pdfs this is a 60 percent latino cvap, so I'm really concerned here that we are overpacking this district.
 - taking out parts of alhambra, monterey park, the rosemead, the san gabriel, maybe leave in montebello, maybe take it out.
 - i think montebello could potentially connect with that pico rivera area a little bit better but i could also see it staying in
 - instead of anchoring east l.a., Boyle Heights, further into some of these northern areas like glassell park. I've got some notes here. possibly up to eagle rock.
 - echo park, eagle rock, glassell park, possibly even coming up into atwater village like that northern way
 - keeping koreatown with other aapi communities of interest.
 - envisioning going from the mirada area to diamond bar and also something along 605 corridor-ish. I'm thinking east of the 605 and ending around the Montebello, the 110 that's flowing there.
 - downey, paramount, south gate, these areas with montebello
- Akutagawa
 - use highland park, arroyo, cyprus park, east LA. Boyle Heights as your anchor, I would agree with what commissioner Sadhwani said about adding koreatown to this visualization.
 - look at adding echo park and echo park to this visualization as well as the portion, so if we add koreatown that means we would add west lake north, west lake south, boyle heights. add the historic cultural north
 - include the historic cultural neighborhood council as well as the historical cultural north neighborhood council
 - look at the lesion valley riverside.
 - the same visualization of this east l.a. one. so that means we need to do something with rosemead, monterey park, alhambra, san gabriel, south san gabriel and montebello.
 - On that note then i would like us to look at including San Merino, east san gabriel, temple city and arcadia and taking them away from the foothills cities. they're less foothill more aligned with the San Gabriel valley.
 - If an additional population is needed, add the harbor gateway cities like commerce and pico rivera, we could also look at including el monte and south el monte. possibly include south pasadena
- Vazquez

- east san gabriel valley portion that i do think roland heights potentially walnut could be included
- with respect to some of the additions with san merino, east san gabriel, temple city, arcadia, especially if population becomes an issue, i would maybe use, i guess mostly for arcadia, i would use the 210 as a border in arcadia for we're looking at population
- i would not include any portion of pasadena in those visualizations
- Sadhwani
 - My thought in removing alhambra, monterey park, san gabriel out of what is currently looking east of L.A.. is to tie them more specifically to the foothill cities.
 - having it dip down a little bit more, maintain some of those aapi cois that have been mentioned. In fact I think there was some collaboration in some of the groups that had been presenting to connect the west san gabriel valley, alhambra, arcadia, rosemead, san gabriel, temple city along that 210 corridor.
 - breaking up some of those neighborhood councils-maybe downtown los angeles connects with south central, but historic cultural and historic cultural north is connected more so into echo park and out all the way to korea town so to speak so keeping some of those cois together
- Akutagawa
 - disagree with c. sadhwani about what you said about combining those san gabriel valley communities with the foothills. I grew up in that area, and it is very different from the foothill communities.
- Vazquez
 - thinking of creating a west san gabriel visualization that excluded things to the west of east l.a. i wanted to keep alhambra, san gabriel, rosemead with the san gabriel valley in some iteration and that if necessary, in drawing this new visualization of the san gabriel valley, west san gabriel valley that if necessary we could include san merino, san gabriel, temple city and the southern portion of arcadia
- Andersen
 - pull azusa and put it with the 210 corridor group.
 - was there an asian cvap in this area and i recall quite a few coi testimony including walnut, diamond bar, rowland heights with hacienda heights, industry, would like to see special neighborhood district for asian communities.
- Taylor
 - Montebello, alhambra, pasadena, altadena, those communities tend to live, work and re-create throughout this region. - ideal to take away over glassell silver lake. We need to ideally take a small amount of the population away from there. so i think some of commissioner Sadhwani's ideas can be entertained to get more optimal numbers.
- Sinay

- WATTS- we could play around a little bit more up on those and allow Watts to be together with Compton which has come up often in that harbor gateway.
- Possible to connect LAX with Inglewood ?
- If there's a way to combine them moving Gardena into the south bay and then if we can go up to the top part of that purple one, crossing the 405 is pushing it for a coastal community in the west I.a. area
- GARDENA AND TORRANCE
- Akutagawa
 - at the harbor gateway put all of Watts in, adding in all of Lynwood be put together with Compton, Willow Brook, a portion of Gardena,
 - then moving up that shoreline towards the Malibu San Fernando Valley and then Antelope Valley, I think that in that Malibu visualization, it includes Bel Air, which is a little odd, because I think that also includes parts of the San Fernando Valley.
 - the south of Mulholland, that's the dividing line. I'm wondering, as we re-look at Bakersfield and some of the ripple effects maybe relooking at this Malibu San Fernando Valley portion may help,
 - the Antelope Valley. There's the addition of Porter Ranch and Granada Hills to the Antelope Valley visualization, down in that little corner, yes, right there. Again, I'm just wondering about some of the potential changes that you might be relooking at for the Bakersfield area if there's a way to bring Porter Ranch and Granada Hills back into the San Fernando Valley.
 - I think the way that population change would go would be maybe moving further into San Fernando Valley and again those were included for population and there had been COI testimony and also Commission direction to if needed for population have these areas with Santa Clarita Valley.
 - the Long Beach Harbor visualization-bring up that standard deviation, would Seal Beach have enough population to bring it up closer to zero
 - gateway cities- South Whittier, East Whittier, La Mirada, Norwalk, Artesia and Cerritos were removed possibly even West Whittier putting them together with potentially the San Gabriel Valley and if you added Vernon, Maywood and Bell to this visualization
- Andersen
 - the Bel Air Beverly area, could certainly go with, Hollywood
 - also the whole idea of Malibu and how we deal with the line between Los Angeles County and Ventura County,
- Sadhwani
 - southward toward 405 corridor central I.a. and harbor gateway-missing Black COI testimony
 - competing testimony between harbor gateway as well as keeping Compton, Watts, Inglewood, Gardena and other areas together. I think

there's a strong case for that harbor gateway that we've heard, but going back to that east of I.a. piece, i think as we are reconsidering that as that initial anchor in los angeles, if we were to remove some of these neighborhood councils, zapata king, south central empowerment congress, et cetera, i think that those could be built in with inglewood, lennox, hawthorne, lawndale and parts of torrance.

- The first comment I made was about having an anchor on east I.a. and having it go up into echo park, glassell park, possibly Capetown, et cetera. so that would be that trade-off.
- Sinay
 - that malibu, west lake, agoura hills, calabasitas and topanga and one of those is not in here. but they work closely with fires and they're part of the council of government and I know yesterday there was a conversation moving calabasitas out. it would maybe split the piru to oxnard
- Akutagawa
 - On the long beach harbor, i'd actually like to do something different, instead of adding seal beach i'd like to remove rossmore, los alamitos, and cyprus, and then to see kind of along the lines of the other, like the I.a. harbor gateway district that flows north, if we were to remove from the current visualization the long beach harbor one, remove rossmore, los alamitos and cyprus and then add paramount, south gate, bell gardens, bell, cudahy and maywood. depending on how far north you can go to achieve the population that you need. so it would be a longer district kind of right next to the harbor gateway visualization
- Vazquez
 - i'd like to see included in the riasb visualization i had requested this at some point in early iterations but there's a portion of redlands a big portion of redlands that's known locally as northside redlands it's literally the north side of train tracks that is very high latino residents,
- Akutagawa
 - Los Alamitos, Rossmore and Seal Beach want to be together for North OC Coast and potentially adding portion of Santa Ana
 - Remove Chino Hills and include Yorba Linda
 - Remove Rainbow, Fallbrook, and Bonsall
- Toledo
 - VCD_SANANAANA_1027: Add city of Stanton, potentially some Fullerton or some of Tustin area
- Sinay
 - Do not want to see Santa Ana split
- Akutagawa
 - Perhaps pulling Stanton in with Anaheim and Santa Ana and Orange and Villa Park would work better, less complicated. I just want to ask the line drawers then if -- let's say for example Garden Grove, Westminster, maybe Fountain Valley, if you connected it through Cyprus to Buena Park and Fullerton,

sharing some similar demographics and then connected Yorba Linda and perhaps Placentia with that inland and removing Chino Hills to Riverside

- Sinay
 - Open to crossing into Riverside, but want to keep Rainbow, Bonsall, all unincorporated areas with Escondido - that whole 15 corridor.
- Kennedy
 - Do not take Temecula out or split it
 - Fine with taking small sliver of yellow between highway 62 and the county line and shifting it to the blue district
 - check for disadvantaged, unincorporated communities in the sphere of influence of the city of Needles and make sure that those are included with Needles - we always need to be looking for those unincorporated communities that are formally part of the city's sphere of influence.
 - Priority is not to split any single reservation. If need to - divide between two reservations. Prefer not to do that but may be necessary in some places as between the Morongo Band and Agua Caliente - as long as the Morongo reservation is entirely on one side of the line and the Agua Caliente lands are complete on the other side of the line.
- Anderson
 - Want to keep all Tribal lands together and have the Colorado River Basin in that area, because this is a congressional district and those have congressional impacts.
 - Want C. Kennedy's direction of including the unincorporated areas with Needles to be a direction to all line drawers for all rural cities - there's a lot of population that are around little rural cities that are basically part of the rural city, but aren't officially in city limits.
 - Agree to not take out Temecula
- Vazquez
 - Endorse including the north side of Redlands with San Bernardino VRA district in that particularly Loma Linda may be better-suited with Redlands, Yucaipa, Oak Glen, et cetera.
- Kennedy
 - All for linking Grand Terrace with Loma Linda and most of Redlands but we have to understand that that means we're going to be coming through that northern strip of Riverside county
- Akutagawa
 - Pull Wrightwood in to VCD_BEAVICVAL_1027
 - Important to keep Native Reservations whole as much as possible and agree with C. Kennedy that if you have to split them, split two different tribal lands - better than splitting a reservation itself
- Sinay
 - Connect Imperial Beach, San Ysidro with National City and Bonita in the green - Jamul would move into green, split El Cajon from the Hills and the Hills move into the Blue
 - Rainbow, Bonsall, Fallbrook are better with Escondido and Vista and all the unincorporated area in that area

- VCD_SOCNSD_1027: If need to move further south, Instead of moving straight south, go from Del Mar inland on the 56 kind of that little triangle that's sticking up
- Jamul is a rural area but it feels like it's more associated with El Cajon and that area or Spring Valley
- Connect Vista with Oceanside - open to feedback
- Akutagawa
 - Move Carlsbad going south into VCD_SDCOAST_1027 then would be able to move Vista in with Oceanside
 - Received a lot of input from Hacienda Heights to be with Rowland Heights and Diamond Bar

Correction to Line Drawers:

- Fernandez
 - Yolo with all of Solano and if needed for numbers, include the northern delta areas, and to go into Napa and/or Colusa in terms of numbers needed
- Anderson
 - wine country of Sonoma, wine country of Lake, Napa and the little portion of the wine country of Yolo we were talking about. that remains the and that fits in with what commissioner fernández was saying about yolo solano and the delta. Vallejo may have to be cut out of Solano because of population for a senate district that could go up into the portion of Napa, Sonoma or out to Baypoint area
- Yee
 - in the tri-valley area, you mentioned going up to San Ramon - if at all possible, it would be good to go all the way up to Danville - that's usually considered part of the valley
- Turner (?)
 - Merced - Look at VRA districts, keeping them compact where possible. Did not necessarily mean you had to keep Merced whole just in terms of compactness
- Akutagawa
 - exclude the west side neighborhood council and if south robertson does include century city, would like to see that removed. i think it does not align with the remainder of that west of 110 visualization - better suited with the l.a. bay, Beverly hills.
- Anderson
 - have a consideration of the historically african-american districts in terms of making sure can there be opportunity districts in that area
- Vazquez
 - direct what is currently visualized as San Gabriel Valley east, picking up hacienda heights, or rowland heights, diamond bar, et cetera - we would like

that whole visualization moved, shifted east as what we consider the San Gabriel Valley

- Sinay
 - Look at keeping Long Beach whole
- Akutagawa
 - areas like san marino and arcadia are much more affluent than the areas like alhambra or monterey park or even san gabriel. and most definitely rosemead. a visualization for foothill districts, and i think pulling some of those not right at the foothills cities, maybe into this visualization may help.
 - cities that go east of the 605 as you get further north like irwindale, azusa, glendora, san dimas, are fairly different but i think as you look at having to expand, i would actually recommend that you look not as far as the 210 but perhaps up to the valley boulevard and then you look further south. because along that san gabriel valley district as you get into like la puente, as you get into valinda, west covina, those are also all areas that have a lot of alignment with that larger community so i don't know if -- it's kind of like more of a big rectangle i guess so you're kind of expanding up and down and also to the side so it looks like a big rectangle. but stopping at hacienda heights because again referring to the coi testimony i think that's where -- rowland heights and diamond bar.
- Sinay
 - when going north if capturing as much of the city of san diego and then grabbing other cities as needed but just trying to -- or balance the splits within the city of san diego i guess. so if it ends up being in two different congressional districts as much as possible have some city, same amount of city of san diego
- Vazquez
 - warming to the idea of the Antelope Valley and Victor Valley being paired together so am comfortable with moving forward with that visualization
- Sinay
 - want to see congressional visualizations which keep the Antelope Valley with Santa Clarita
 - Loom if there is another potential Latino VRA district in San Diego
- Akutagawa
 - think about grouping further into the san gabriel valley that includes alhambra, monterey park, rosemead, san gabriel and going northward, and combining it with some of the foothill communities, i thought i'd just say that out loud to you as well too. so if that gives you more flexibility in terms of creating the senate district and the congressional district.
- Toledo
 - Comments from Asian American community and from the Latino communities on VRA districts so going back to those taking a look and seeing if there's anything that might help us in crafting these things