

## 10.27.21 Direction to Line Drawers

### Assembly

VAD\_TEHENAPA\_1027

- Toledo
  - Add Lake County in - potentially adding more of Sonoma or Marin County (note from Tamina -- that may require crossing the Golden Gate Bridge -- C. Toledo does not want to do that)
- Kennedy
  - Add in Lake County or at least the wine portion of the County
- Anderson
  - Add all of Lake, all of Napa, and wine portions of Sonoma
  - To lose population, take Yolo out
  - would like to see Sonoma only cut into two districts rather than three
  - Put Yolo north with more agriculture counties
- C. Akutagawa and Taylor are open to crossing Golden Gate Bridge
- Fernandez
  - Bring in Santa Rosa, Rohnert Park, and Petaluma (ideally just want to keep these cities whole and together, can be put with NCoast visualization if fits better)
  - Take out American Canyon and Vallejo
  - New visualization of Rio Vista, Isleton up to Dixon, include Dixon and Winters, potentially going into Colusa, Williams and Arbuckle
- Toledo
  - Take out Vallejo
  - Leave American Canyon with Napa
  - Make a district with Wine Country and small farming communities
- Sinay
  - Agree to leave American Canyon with Napa
- Fernandez
  - Add lake with Napa, split Santa Rosa in two and not Three
- Andersen
  - Cut up Marin visualization: the 37 from the 101 across to Vallejo and up -- from Steel Point -- across 37 going into Vallejo, would catch Benicia, possibly up to Vacaville

- Akutagawa
  - Split lake, Add North coast or van n coast visualization that includes del Norte, Humboldt, parts of sonoma,
  - Go with C. Fernandez to Keep Santa Rosa whole and
- Kennedy
  - Want to see Coast district, wine district, and rural district east of that
- Fornaciari
  - Sonoma wine area: Valley of the Moon up to Santa Rosa, around Healdsburg, and across 101
- Toledo
  - Keep portion of Yolo - Winters - with Napa wine area
- Fernandez
  - Move Vallejo with VAD\_Solano\_1027

#### VA-DECA1027

- Fernandez
  - Placer and El Dorado not to go south, place with communities to the North

#### P. 39-42

- C. Fernandez-
  - Put West Sac and Yolo add Florin and remove Notomos
- C. Andersen
  - likes east and west wine country, agrees with C, Fernandez on not separating Lincoln and Rocklin
- C. Andersen-
  - Does not like El Dorado and Amador on HW 89
- C. Andersen -
  - Butte, sutter, Yuba can be with Yolo
- C. Andersen-
  - Butte goes North and Colusa goes West.
  - COI received to keep sutter, yuba together, add portion of Yuba with Portion of grass valley Yolo
- C. Andersen-
  - Nevada wants to be with El Dorado and Placer

- Add Glenn, Butte, Sierra, Nevada
  - Placer North and keep Sutter Yuba, Colusa with a small portion of Yolo.
- Toledo
  - Keep LGBT+ community in west Sac.
  - Johnson, business park, mansion, boulevard park, downtown sacramento, midtown, new town, there are quite a few neighborhoods. el hembra, triangle, curtis park, land park, tahoe park and portions of west tahoe park known as lavender heights. just making sure that we are keeping those together in our assembly seats.
- Turner
  - Keep west Fresno together,
  - Keep Clovis with North Fresno.
  - Place southwest fresno with west park, old fig garden, sunnyside
  - Find a way to not divide Fresno into 5 districts
- Akutagawa
  - Consider massive districts and accessibility to representative
- Fernandez
  - P. 42 SOUTH SAC SAN JOAQUIN. elk grove and florin, wilton and rancho muerietta. They feed into Sacramento, put rancho muerieta with folsom where they land and wilton can go with the elk grove piece of it.
  - P. 52 placer and el dorado, not moving -- if they have to move anywhere either go north or to the west.
- Turner
  - we are already into stanislaus and not go into contra costa way
- Andersen
  - Keep Three Rivers and Lemon Cove together
- Sadwani
  - Concerned if VRA obligations are being met
- Yee
  - North of Shaw and Clovis is a boundary
- Andersen
  - mountain range, that's 11,000, 14,000 feet of mountains and inyo, mono, and alpine specifically asked to be together and not with fresno, tulare,

they actually said we would rather drive up because that is where we crossover into down 89 or through mammoth lakes across that way.

- ridgecrest, which is just down in Kern, they specifically asked they want to be with Tulare and that whole area. so it may seem like these are far distances, but actually it's the easiest way for them to travel. and so it looks like oh why don't we put it nicely here together but they are separated. it's similar to del norte can't get across because they have to get up through the mountains
- take a portion fresno plus 2 percent and put that back into the merced, west fresno, whatever that one is, a little bit more there to alleviate the north clovis. if we can maybe do a little bit of subtraction out of it without dividing it entirely. if we might be able to rearrange a little bit.
- Kennedy
  - I don't know that we need to be quite as focussed on the distance to reach an assembly member's office because they clearly have the option of having more offices than just one. and so let's keep that in mind, and focus on making districts that make sense without focussing too much on the distance to an office.
- Sadwani
  - combine madera and mariposa north fresno clovis area
- Toledo
  - Pay more attention to VRA requirements and although COI is important, pay more attention to VRA
- Fornaciari
  - Inyo and Mono county together make up less than 7 percent of an assembly district. Fresno on the other hand has half a million people in that district. if we split and the surrounding areas are very, very sparsely populated. so if we split that district, the population has to come from somewhere. I just want to echo commissioner Toledo's comment, that vra is our number two, and communities of interest are number four. and so if we have to start breaking up communities of interest to ensure we are giving folks an opportunity, then you got to do it.
- Turner
  - Keep union city whole split fremont, which is whole. you can split castro

valley. yes. you have to split something else

- page 23, i think saratoga was, also, not included and coi testimony i think wanted them included with las gatos and cambrian park.
- move saratoga out and take parts of palo alto or if saratoga is with this area, then parts of san jose, downtown san jose over here
- Sinay
  - bayview excelsior should stay together, obviously, they would rather be with the city of san francisco versus with brisbane, with san mateo
  - sea cliff and presidio heights work better with that east
- Andersen
  - keep haight-asbury with the castro neighborhood. bernal heights and presidio with the bayview and shifted the yellow section a little bit to the north and brought protero heights into the bayview,
- Sadwani
  - uplift testimony that we received from the lgbtq community who i think this area had been a key area of concern for them for their communities of interest. curious to see what happens if you pull in west of twin peaks. the green side of this visualization was
- Yee
  - oakland and east bay-draw that line farther down 580 and go farther and that would probably balance it a little bit and going south on 585 and keller and go up from there and maybe that is still artificial but maybe better than what is there which goes through some intact neighborhoods
- Farniciari
  - vad alameda on page 20, i will just say i am not a fan.
  - make more sense if we just went down the peninsula both sides of the hill to make districts and continue down because we have got pacifica and those cities, half moon bay santa cruz county with palo alto, east palo alto, stanford, woodside, i mean, just doesn't make sense to me to draw the districts this way whereas the cities on the bay or near the bay have much more in common with each other and again santa cruz county would go kind of if it had to go it would go to the east to get population.
- Ahmad
  - Palo alto, stanford being looped in with aptos santa cruz area. we can do

to shift around the populations within vad palo red and san mateo to kind of put the northern part of that general area together in one district in the southern part

- Andersen
  - put the palo alto red actually going further south as commissioner fornaciari said along the coast getting palo alto. go further north south and cut instead of that -- i agree with commissioner fornaciari about that large alameda county one. that just doesn't make a whole lot of sense and I think it can be arranged better.
- Sinay
  - explore san mateo counties with san mateo kind of and the santa clara counties with santa clara always keeping in mind with the asian coi that we had with the north part of san mateo and san francisco and see if we could do something a little bit better in that area.
- Sadwani
  - if fremont is split to keep centerville together
- Toledo
  - large area and i don't see the community of interest there yet and not sure what to do either but it just seems a little strange to me, connecting those areas as well as the palo alto, east palo alto to the coast