

11.02.21 Notes on Direction to Line Drawers

- Sinay
 - Focus on Central Valley and VRA data, go from Central Valley and head to L.A
 - VAD-WFRESNO1102 Make sure AAPI AMEMSA community in west fresno, when we are splitting up fresno that we are looking at punjabi, sikh, west of 99 and the arabic-speaking communities
- Kennedy
 - I just checked the Fresno city sphere of influence, and it may be necessary to adjust that northern boundary out of the purple into the green just so that we keep all of Fresno's designated sphere of influence with the city rather than leaving a small part of it outside.
- Andersen
 - Make sure to focus on COI testimony for Border On Shaw
 - Make sure to keep Hmong communities together
- Sinay
 - Is there a way to better represent Stanislaus by looking at East Stockton put together
- Turner
 - I'd like to have you look at this particular Stanislaus visualization including Riverbank, leaving Manteca and Lathrop in San Joaquin. Ripon is okay. Riverbank. and maybe can be alone if needed to replace that population.
- Fernandez
 - Keep Elk Grove Vineyard, Florin and Rosemont associated with Sacramento. there's communities of interest, both religion, transportation as well as culture that needs to stay together.
 - P. 52 MY RECOMMENDATION, one would be to keep those other counties separated from Mono, Inyo, Tuolumne, Mariposa. and maybe consider going into some of the Fresno Tulare to grab some of the population.
- Sinay
 - Inyo is a Potential VRA and might have to separate from northern counties. Inyo potentially with Tulare
- Andersen
 - Inyo itself is not a VRA district
 - THE SAC STANISLAUS, i agree with commissioner Fernández about how we have to rearrange that one to include it with Sacramento, and i understand population's an issue, but how about if we take out and it's not a lot of population but Solano is the negative five and that whole terminus area close to before you hit lodi through Walnut Grove, that is all the delta area that we were talking about, and i would propose pulling that section out of the stanislaus sacramento stanislaus, even a little bit more like if galt, i would have to ask commissioner Fernández her understanding of that area, but putting that into that sort of delta and Solano areas, the Solano area which might then help including sacramento

into the in terms of shifting our population. I just thought I'd bring that suggestion up. so commissioner fernández might be able to essentially work with that.

- Le Mons
 - Give specific directions moving forward
- Fernandez
 - 37, 38, AND 39. The Sacramento area. i already talked about ELK GROVE, VINEYARD AND ROSEMONT to keep that. I'd like to keep Wilton there as well but if not that's okay, that is more rural and they have more commonalities with the agricultural farming communities they're in. i'm just responding to commissioner andersen regarding galt and i forget what was down below. I would leave that in that district.
 - in terms of trying to keep ELK GROVE in there with Vineyard and Sacramento, because like i mentioned earlier, we're breaking up some communities, and this is not a vra area. so we can look at other issues as transportation or cultural or education with some of the commonality.
 - I think it's important to keep Sacramento together as much as possible in all those communities. oak park i know one of their -- i'm glad they're together but they also have commonality with the Vineyard and Elk Grove as well as Florin specifically.
- Kennedy
 - i just wanted to ask if it would be possible to -- or unless there's a reason for splitting Visalia, if we could find a way to make Visalia whole by compensating for that in the area around tulare city, Lindsay and Porterville, finding a way to get the population you need for that, for the Kings Tulare district by expanding a little bit around Tulare city, Lindsay and Porterville so that Visalia could be whole. unless there's a reason -- particular reason that visalia is split.
- Sinay
 - Stanislaus and San joaquin. and if you look at the -- how this area was drafted by maldef's report, their Assembly district 23 on page 177, that gives a good idea of how to create a representative, a vra representative district in this area. Obviously that will move some of the other things around, but I think it's important that we get this right.
- Akutagawa
 - Split the county of Stanislaus once
- Fornaciari
 - As a commission we need to come to some agreement on what deviation we're comfortable with.
- Sadhwani
 - Sacramento-i would agree with you, commissioner Fernández, in thinking about what are our options here. I'm wondering if this pink piece, this Sacramento district is ultimately two districts or if there's a different way, Kennedy, that you can think about reconfiguring them to include so we're being responsive to a lot of different coi testimony. i don't know where we're at with -- where that would

put us in terms of population but i wanted to uplift all those various and to some extent conflicting coi

- Sinay
 - East Fresno is at negative 17.8. and -- or 17,000 people. I did it differently than you all. it was easier for me to put the numbers. and then tulare is over 10,000 plus. so i was wondering if you look at the vra maps that we were given, there's kind of a little -- i'll use commissioner Sadhwani's term, doll-up, but it's a different one, it's a Tulare dollop. and i was trying to figure out if that was silver lake -- or Silver city. So I just wanted to see if looking at east Fresno and Tulare, that border up there, if we can make the changes needed so that the deviations get a little closer to zero.
- Turner, Toledo
 - Deviation should be considered
- Toledo
 - The Merced map, 110, the 45, the cvap, i actually would like to see the cvap a little bit lower, if, and it's an if because it is a vra district, if we can get it, if we can increase the latino cvap a little bit more, 50.13 seems a little low to me so if there's a way to increase the cvap by reducing the deviation
- Anderson
 - In the Assembly and senate, I have no trouble whatsoever with deviations plus or minus five. I'd like it to be like 47, 48 possibly to give it a comfort zone for when we slice and dice things. I want us to keep in mind if that's high, this is low, that will work. I believe, is that Ceres right there in the Stanislaus, if cerec comes out and we grab more population possibly a little more to increase the latino cvap, i don't know if that's a possibility but that comes out then going just a little bit further north, in the Stanislaus, that would take population out of that, and going to Manteca, i completely agree with commissioner Turner, and i'd like to take like Manteca out of the San Joaquin. If we take Manteca out of that vad Stanislaus, and then add cCres from the Merced district into the Stanislaus district, would that maybe be a trade, which might help population-wise, and it might be able to clear up all three things.
- Kennedy
 - elk grove, florin and vineyard amount to more than 272,000 people. that's 55 percent of target population for an assembly district. don't see that as realistic.
- Fornaciari
 - with regard to deviation, safe harbor we've been told is plus minus five percent and i'm fine with that. in general. but i think we need to also look at it in totality. because if we have five adjacent districts that are five percent over, that's 25 percent of a district that we're shorting the people of those -- of that area. and so, you know, i think initially these big swings are fine. but i think we really need to understand the impact of it, and acknowledge and recognize what the impact of it may be. we've got this whole line of districts from stanislaus up through sacramento that are all over. and so i think i'd begin to be uncomfortable if we

had a bunch of districts altogether that were all over, because we'll be underrepresenting those books. let's make sure we take a look at it as we go.

- Anderson
 - in terms of the sacramento area, and was i liked that commissioner sadhwani brought up the fact of natomas, which is up at the north part of that sacramento area, that could go into a district with what is east of it, the north highland, the rio linda, that section. so essentially grab the area from florin, vineyard, elk grove, looking at the downtown area of sacramento and then is one district and then the natomas area north and going east into that blue as another district in terms of within this area, rearranging where those district lines are a little bit which might actually help alleviate the communities of interest issue and also might help alleviate some of the shifting population issue. so i just wanted to uplift that, agree with commissioner sadhwani on that one
- Sinay
 - would rather see it be smaller than plus/minus 5% for the deviation in the north
- Fernandez
 - referring to the norcal and central, i like how it's looking. as you move into the more remote areas, if you try to get closer to zero, you're going to really group some communities that are physically far apart from each other. in the far, far north, tehama, humboldt, plumas north, you may have a higher negative deviation, because it's really difficult to try to grab some communities that really do have some sort of similarities.
- Anderson
 - appreciate architecture on far north. More direction to come for lake, sonoma, yolo
- Sinay
 - if we say it's all right in the rural areas to have negative deviation and the urban areas to have positive, then we're saying that the urban areas are underrepresented and the rural areas are overrepresented. if you have a negative somewhere you're going to have a positive somewhere else. have to be a little bit more consistent - can't use different values, especially when you think about who the populations are in urban areas. and that a lot of our vra communities are in urban areas. i would really like us to -- we need equal representation and fair representation.

BENSAL:

- Fornaciari
 - include the rest of the salinas valley down to king city - want to know latino cvap and what tradeoffs would be
- Toledo
 - Reduce deviation closer to zero. Try to get more farming communities and raise Latino CVAP
- Sinay

- explore ways to get the cvap a little higher on those, you know, looking at the farming communities
- Akutagawa
 - Remove Morgan Hill, add to GATOSBANK - does this increase Latino CVAP
 - take in a part of Merced into Bensal (farming communities) - would that bring in some additional population and also would it increase the latino cvap?
- Anderson
 - look into unincorporated area - if any of that is part of the greater Watsonville area to add into BENSAL
 - Do the same for Las Lomas area

Broad Direction

- Sadhwani
 - create a visualization that has all of Pajaro Valley, Lompoc Valley, and Santa Clara Valley

TEHENAPA

- Fernandez
 - Put all of Yolo with Solano
 - Take Vallejo and Benicia out
- Toledo
 - Support Yolo and Solano together and not having Vallejo and Benicia
 - If there is population need, pull from agricultural aspects of Solano to the north, from San Alima and other entities that would like to be part of that area. - there would be potential need for population for what's left of Lake, Napa, Santa Rosa area and potentially pulling from Santa Rosa
- Sadhwani
 - Take West Sacramento out
- Fernandez
 - Prefer to keep West Sacramento with Yolo, but warming to the idea of of having it with Sacramento and having the rural parts of West Sacramento with Yolo

SonoMarin

- Anderson
 - Splitting SonoMarin: Put Novato down with North Coast. Put Santa Rosa, other part of SonomaMarin with TEHENAPA
 - Put Lake County with CANTRALNORTH
- Kennedy
 - Lake County is not like Colusa County - do not see adding Lake with Colusa as a way to go
 - could see a case being made to take the southern part of Lake county, which is more heavily agricultural and wine based and grouping it with Napa

Ventura

- Akutagawa

- Remove Moorpark
- Consider splitting Camarillo
- Put all of Somis into Ventura County
- Turner
 - Keep Ventura/Santa Barbara islands within their respective counties
- Anderson
 - Take Moorpark out
 - Put all of Camarillo in, prefer not to split

Fremont

- Turner
 - Why is Union City split where it is?

EastBay

- Turner
 - Don't want to split Oakland from Emeryville
- Yee
 - Okay with Emeryville placement
 - come down 580 more to the east and then go up, maybe 35th Avenue or even farther south, Keller or Golf Links - go farther east than it is right now.

RODUBLIN

- Sinay
 - Bring Tri-Valley together

HARBGATEWAY

- Kennedy
 - Move Rossmoor and Los Alamitos into NOCCC
 - Take population from northern Long Beach from 710 gateway visualization to the Harbor Gateway visualization

EASTof405

- Turner
 - Keep Culver City whole
- Vazquez
 - Things shift once you crossover 405 - may want to leave for live line drawing
- Akutagawa
 - Remove Culver City
 - Cut Gardena and move portion of Gardena into East of 405 visualization

WESTSIDE

- Akutagawa
 - Add Beverly Hills Neighborhood Council
 - Move the Westchester and Playa Neighborhood council to the South Bay

- Move all of Gardena and possibly even that unincorporated city that is between Gardena and Lawndale, perhaps we can move that to all of the south L.A. visualization
- Vazquez
 - Take out Palms Neighborhood Council and add to East of 405
 - To add population go north to Palisades and Bel Air

HLLYWD

- Sinay
 - Add Studio City
 - Pull out Beverly Hills
 - Curious if Los Feliz makes sense with Hollywood and Silver Lake, West Hollywood

NLA and LA

- Sinay
 - Two visualizations in Los Angeles: the North LA and LA. -and South San Gabriel Valley- there is dense latino population here. Explore if there are ways to spread the Latinos among the districts around them

SSGV

- Akutagawa
 - Recognize COI of Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, and Diamond Bar

EASTSGV

- Anderson
 - Move La Puente into East SGV
 - Move Azusa into the forest - gateway city for many minorities and minority programs in Los Angeles forest
- Vazquez
 - Strongly disagree with C. Andersen's direction to move Azusa into forest gateway visualization.

SCV/San Fernando Valley

- Sadhwani
 - San Fernando is currently dipping into Chatsworth and Porter Ranch. we received testimony that Santa Clarita is more connected with the Sylmar area but that hits into the VRA consideration in the San Fernando - worth looking at and exploring options