11/3/21 Notes on Direction to Line Drawers

Assembly Direction
Los Angeles

Kennedy: Long Beach: for a congressional visualization, use 710 gateway assembly visualization. Take population from the northern end of Long Beach and put it into that sp710 visualization to compensate for moving most of the rest of Long Beach into the Long Beach north.

Kennedy: If we're able to use the exact same portion of Long Beach, then it's going to be easier for voter education and so forth. People in that small section of Long Beach will know that they're not with the rest of Long Beach on both assembly and congressional.

Sadhwani: NELA visualization: What other options are possible? Already over population deviation; potentially overpacking Latino population. What are our options if we were to bring in those regions of Echo Park through Eagle Rock including Highland Park into that VRA district?

Sadhwani: 1 option: cut off Downtown LA, Pico-Union northward to Rampart. Trying to keep Koreatown whole while at the same time maintaining a VRA district.

Sadhwani: Could Boyle Heights and East LA be cut off with this VRA district and pair them with something further south-Vernon, Montebello, Commerce--Bell, Bell Gardens possibly.

Sadhwani: Keep in mind testimony received from Highland Park, Eagle Rock and Echo Park not wanting to be with places like Burbank and Glendale.

Akutagawa: Remove la 32, lincoln heights, put it together with echo park, the Elysian valley neighborhood council, Cypress park, arroyo, glassell

park, historic highland park into a district that would be of appropriate size and one that would also honor this district?

Akutagawa: Keep Boyle Heights and East L.A. together with the historic downtown L.A. core. Extend into Chinatown to keep Koreatown whole or extend into Koreatown and keep Koreatown whole- extending into the Greater Wilshire neighborhood council too instead of splitting them. La Brea/Fairfax neighborhood shifts.

Sadhwani: Burbank/North L.A. visualization: if we're splitting this up, Los Feliz, Silver Lake LGBTQ+ communities would be affected-would require rethinking Glendale, maybe go into la cañada/crescenta areas. South Pasadena might be able to go into that east 210 corridor.

Akutagawa: Glendale and Burbank asked to be together. May split up i-210 corridor into two separate districts instead of one very long district.

Vazquez: Keep Echo Park, Highland Park together. Adding Glassell Park would be great. This may mean Mount Washington is included- it's high-income, not like surrounding communities. Keep an eye on Latino CVAP in area. Don't want to dilute power of communities by grouping them with areas in flux.

Toledo: Shift CVAPs to other VRA districts that may not be as high-especially those in 70s/60s. trying to reduce deviations-get balanced across VRA districts.

Southern California

Sinay: For all visualizations on South San Diego side, keep National City, Imperial, Imperial Beach, Bonita, Barrio Logan, Logan Heights, Chula Vista and San Ysidro as one COI. Latino/Asian working-class. We're connecting this with San Bernardino/South Bay area-doesn't make sense.

Sinay: Visualization on pg. 12 is close; ideally it would include Barrio Logan and Logan Heights.

Sinay: Coastal visualization: COI said LGBTQ+ neighborhoods are North Park, Mission Hills, Hillcrest, South Park, Normal Heights, University Heights Kensington, parts of downtown, if possible Golden Hill. Move these neighborhoods to the coast. Include Coronado with downtown.

Sinay: Have Camp Pendleton, Oceanside and Vista together. Keep Carlsbad as whole as possible.

Fernandez: Coronado, San Diego visualization already at a negative 2- maybe move Coronado so that Carlsbad can be whole.

Sinay: West San Diego City visualization (pg. 99): For El Cajon, it should be split. There seems to be a line with Hamish. West of Hamish Ave. is lower income and should be connected with Lemon Grove, City Heights, Spring Valley and Southeastern San Diego.

Fernandez: District below is negative- reunite Stanton in either district.

Sadhwani: disagree w Costa Mesa addition. Costa Mesa wanted to be with Irvine, Tustin, Newport Beach. Liked last week's visualization that included Cypress. North OC visualization: regarding South LA /north OC border, cleaning up hacienda heights/ diamond bar situation will help us with this

Akutagawa: Remove Costa Mesa from Garden Grove visualization. Los Alamitos, Rossmore, Cypress-flexible areas. Put Los Alamitos and Rossmore in with the Orange County coastal, which is Seal Beach, Huntington Beach, Newport Beach. Make some adjustments to the Irvine visualization with the addition of Costa Mesa.

Akutagawa: Likes where north OC visualization is heading. CNF includes odd combination of cities- includes riverside county, rowland heights,

diamond bar, walnut. Diamond Bar and Walnut want to be together, Brea also closely aligned with these communities.

Sadhwani: No to this visualization-for south LA County area-received a lot of COIs here- don't see these areas as connected to Norco, other parts of Riverside

Akutagawa: Have Walnut, Diamond Bar, Rowland Heights, Hacienda Heights, La Habra Heights, La Habra, Phillips Ranch portion of Pomona (between Walnut and Diamond Bar) together. Does it make sense to bring Brea in?

Akutagawa: For Chino Hills-look at COIs.

Sadhwani: Chino Hills- flexible about where it goes. Is there a natural border? Some COI said keep Chino/Chino Hills together, other referenced Chino being more working class than Chino Hills.

Vazquez: Chino Hills more culturally aligned with Orange County, but could go with L.A. County. Less inclined to add Chino Hills with Chino.

Andersen: Add Chino Hills with Yorba Linda.

LA OSV (pg. 106): Akutagawa: Previously mentioned adding Costa Mesa back to Irvine. If we need to do that, take out Lake Forest from this and add to LA OSV visualization .

Sadhwani: If we do need to cut into Irvine, cut into eastern parts of Irvine. East of the 5 freeway somewhat different from western parts. Use the border between where UC Irvine is and where there's a lot of renters versus homeowners.

Akutagawa: Most people want Irvine kept whole.

4

Akutagawa: Move north Tustin out, add to CNF or LA OSB visualization if that brings the numbers down for Irvine and up for the CNF or LA OSB one.

Akutagawa: OSV visualization is still short some people even with addition of Lake Forest and North Tustin. Add Ladera Ranch and Rancho Mission Viejo. Then you could extend North Orange County coastline down coast to include San Clemente because it's currently under deviation now.

Kennedy: Remove portion above interstate 40-maybe attach with Inyo County or divide and put part of it with northeastern Kern County/Inyo County.

Vasquez: include Highland in SBCHR visualization.

Fernandez: Move Big Bear City to MBCV visualization.

Akutagawa: Incorporate in Wrightwood, Pinon Hills, Phelan, Big Bear, Running Springs.

Vazquez: Keep Running Springs, Lake Arrowhead, Big bear City, Lytle Creek together- bring into the East 210.

Sinay: East 210 visualization at negative deviation-was one of the flexible areas-have to start crossing counties.

Vazquez: Lose population in southern portions of east 210 corridor in order to keep Big Bear and Lake Arrowhead together.

Akutagawa: Open to breaking up East 210-this may make idea of bringing more mountainous areas of Inland empire more feasible-like the idea of having a district that would include all mountainous/recreation/ski/fire hazard areas.

Senate Direction
Central Valley

5

Sadhwani: Keep in mind Islamic communities who wanted to be kept together in south of shaw region. Already overpopulated.

Akutagawa: Fresno/Kern/Merced/Madera visualization: i think madera is partially split already. If we added more of Madera County- then take out all of Yosemite Lakes, Oakhurst, and put them into the eastern visualization. Would this throw off the Latino CVAP for the Merced Fresno visualization?

Akutagawa: Fresno County including Auberry and Big Creek. There's a mountainous area along that area. if we were to include that in the eastern california or the eca visualization, that would also close that gap in terms of the being under the standard deviation-and then bringing in a larger portion. i don't know if i want to say a larger portion of that fresno area. you may be it's just taking away a little bit more of that topmost portion from that kern county visualization. Would it throw off the VRA numbers?

Akutagawa: Keep in mind Hmong and Punjabi communities in Fresno. Want to make sure not disenfranchising communities.

Fernandez: Keep west Sacramento with Yolo County.
Galt can go either way-like it being with Harold and Clay and southern agricultural communities.

Fernandez: ECA El Dorado is negative- try to get population from that area

Yee: Fresno around Shaw: experiment with Old Fig- going north with North Fresno, Old Big Gardens. Regarding northern California, the far north visualization: you had mentioned including del norte with the eastern senate visualization- received mixed COI about that and maybe one way to honor that is to have it go eastward for the senate and southward for assembly and congress.

Akutagawa: trying to keep senate and congressional districts similar in size and shape. Look at congressional visualization as a foundation for the Sacramento area-specifically Placer/Sacramento.

Toledo: Concerned about lower Contra Costa part of the Delta being with the district. From Colusa County, there's farm worker communities up there, the williams andar buckle, grimes communities-could be part of this Yolo County Napa Solano district. Make it more of an agricultural district.

Toledo: Also would support adding the city of Sonoma and some of the wine-producing areas- Sonoma, Kenwood area, maybe to Vernon Park to try to bring in some population if needed.

Andersen: Madera/Kern: north of i-40, if we wanted to put that into that Madera/Kern area and then take the mountainous area east of Madera out and put it with the Sierra area

Akutagawa: Del Norte: add to Siskiyou/Nor Cal visualization.

Akutagawa: Nor Cal one, with the deviation under 4 percent, that's quite a bit. Just need to start going south on this one. Look at placing portion of Placer and El Dorado with Nor Cal area.

North Coast

Fernandez: 12 and 15 Yolo/Napa area -- Pull out NSAC West Sacramento from visualization. Moving Benicia and Vallejo into Napa Byron and moving Bethel Island, Oakley, and Antioch into Coco visualization.

Yee: Keep Benicia with Coco visualization.

Andersen: Adjust South San Francisco visualization so it's more evenly distributed.

7

Anderesen: Visualization on pg 9 -- Take Lake out of Napa Byron and add more of the wine country: Sonoma, Kenwood, Glen Ellen, Hot Springs.

Andersen: Agree with Yee to add Vallejo and put Antioch, Bethel Island, and Oakley with Coco visualization.

Akutagawa: Add Benicia and Vallejo with Napa Byron visualization.

Akutagawa: Remove West Sacramento placeholder with North Sacramento and smaller Delta towns.

Toledo: African American community and their COI in Vallejo wanting to be with Contra Costa or Richmond area.

Toledo: If there's a way to balance two visualizations and bring in population from wine country as Andersen mentioned, potentially going into Vernon Park. Would like to keep wine country whole if possible.

Akutagawa: Clarification on smaller Delta towns: Bethel Island, Oakley, Knightsen, Brentwood, Discovery Bay, Byron.

Andersen: Agree with Toledo -- take Crockett, Martinez, Bay Point, and Pittsburgh, that area of Antioch and Bethel Island. Meant to take out Napa Byron, did not mean put it with Coco. If we go across Highway 4, might need to come down to grab Concord/Clayton area. Might be able to grab Sunol and move population around. Idea being if we can capture across Highway 4 for the industries.

Los Angeles

Fernandez: Pgs 34 and 36 -- Agree with Fornaciari to keep San Fernando Valley together and keep Santa Clarita with Eastern Ventura County.

Akutagawa: SDNS visualization -- move Arcadia into this district and then relook at SD East 210 to fit numbers that are needed.

8

Sadhwani: North LA/NILA District -- options to keep some of the communities together such as LGBTQ COI. Taking out Hollywood pieces as well as pulling Los Feliz from Glendale/Burbank area, we're going to have to make it somewhere -- end up having ripple effects.
Sadhwani: Helpful to compare and contrast Koreatown neighborhood council to COI testimony we received in live line drawing.

Andersen: East Ventura County and San Fernando Valley -- take Camarillo out and putting it with South Coast.

Andersen: If two blue areas -- Reseda could be switched for Foothill Trails/Sunland if population moves into 210 corridor.

Vasquez: SDNS is not working for me because of north-south orientation.

Vasquez: SDNELA is also not working for me. Bell, Cudahy, and Bell Gardens and potentially Maywood, Vernon, and Commerce feel like they go together. Agree with Sadhwani -- pull south or maybe east.

Sinay: SPCC visualization -- if we can get the difference from Lynnwood and might raise Latino and Black CVAP. Clarification of Part of Gardena with Hawthorne, part of with Torrance.

Akutagawa: Take a look at the assembly directions and use those as a basis

Fernandez: Add all of Watts to reach population -- received COI that Watt is larger than neighborhood council area.

Akutagawa: Look into Gardena and adding to the LA/Bay Area map. Want to be conscious about requests to not go south of Mulholland.

Southern CA

9

Sinay: Visualization on pg 47 -- District is huge and there's a lot to bridge here.

Sinay: SEC 1102 district to move more north and east than continue to move into San Diego.

Akutagawa: MCV visualization pg 57 -- Look at National City, Chula Vista, and Imperial Beach and into Riverside and San Bernardino Counties to maintain VRA district.

Sinay: Move South Bay communities into Southeastern visualization -- split in El Cajon and Rancho San Diego.

Toledo: Flag comment from Pala Band Mission Indians that just came through -- there's advice/guidance.

Akutagawa: Visualizations from page 53 and 54 -- Remove Los Alamitos and rossmore from this visualization. Garden Grove Westminster, Midway, Fountain Valley need to be in this visualization with Santa Ana, Orange and Anaheim. Stanton and Anaheim is home to Little Arabia. Those need to stay together with Little Saigon in this same visualization with Anaheim, Santa Ana, and Orange.

Akutagawa: IOC visualization pg 55 -- direction to look more at an east-west orientation.

Akutagawa: Combine South Orange County and North San Diego County including Camp Pendleton.

Sadhwani: Direction to take a look at Orange County Table OSEP report and how it can be incorporated into these maps.

Sinay: Direction to take a look at LGBTQ community and how they're split specifically in the neighborhoods of Normal Heights, Hillcrest, Mission Hills, South Park, North Park, University Heights, and Kensington.

Akutagawa: OIC map -- Yorba linda and Placentia, if it gives more flexibility to include those with those communities that are currently in the green and perhaps dip more into Rancho Mission Viejo -- a little bit further south to create a different kind of district if that gives more flexibility.