

11/4/21 Notes on Direction to Line Drawers

Congressional Districts

Central Valley

P. 38

- Fornaciari: Fresno County
 - If we split Bakersfield and Fresno, we would be taking a huge chunk of the eastern part of the state to fill out the population.
- Fornaciari: Central Valley
 - Makes sense to anchor our vra districts, in the very southern part of the state and central part of the state.
- Sinay: Central Valley
 - I would like to see us get more solid on the vra districts
- Fernandez: Central Valley
 - Agree with the vra to use as anchors.
- Fernandez: Madera County
 - Madera Kern district has a negative deviation.
 - we don't want to put Inyo with Tulare, it would be a smaller district.
- Fernandez: Merced/Fresno County
 - Merced/Fresno If we can further reflect vra considerations.
- Andersen: Central Valley
 - Look at all vra areas and pin those down within a percent at this point.
- Andersen: Inyo County
 - Inyo was with San Bernardino before which we might end up doing. I want us to keep that in mind as we're trying to go east-west.
- Vazquez: Central Valley
 - Congressional districts need to maintain this north-south perspective.
 - To make districts more compact we'd have to start breaking up some of the bigger cities within the central valley.
- Fornaciari: San Benito County
 - Look at a San Benito/Merced combo might work.
- Akutagawa: Kern County
 - COI testimony, "while combining Bakersfield and Fresno are not ideal, it's preferable to taking Bakersfield down into San Bernardino, Riverside or going across into the mountainous areas" Perhaps this is an additional consideration.
- Akutagawa: Fresno County
 - Fresno Tulare visualization, reduce deviation.
- Akutagawa: Fresno County
 - Create a coastal district to go westward and eastward.
- Sadhwani: Central Valley
 - Solidify vra/deviation.
- Yee: Fresno County
 - The deviation in Fresno Tulare is too large, get closer to zero

- Sinay: San Benito County
 - Add San Benito to the Central Valley.
- Sinay: Merced/Fresno County County
 - Create a third visualization that meets obligations and responsibility for the Merced/Fresno area.
 - I am concerned about splitting up Fresno three times.
- Andersen: Central Valley
 - work on the congressional districts to be trimmed, to be really close percentage-wise and deviation-wise.
- Toledo: North Coast (Minute 42:00)
 - Solidify VRA consideration districts and get deviation closer to 0
- Turner: Stanislaus County
 - Split Stanislaus and include the cities on the left towards the west, the Crows Landing, Diablo Grande, perhaps not Patterson
 - Removing the French Camp and Lathrop.
- Akutagawa: Merced/Fresno
 - Look at going into San Benito, northern part Hollister and Ridgemark.
- Andersen: San Benito County
 - Grab the population from Kings, Tulare and Fresno first before you cross over the mountain into San Benito.
 - Grab more population from the Madera Kern section if needed.
- Sinay: Stanislaus/San Benito County
 - Let's get closer to zero deviation and make sure we're keeping the latino cvap.
 - Agreed on Southwest Stanislaus county, first and then into San Benito.
 - Move the north boundary of Madera Kern, south if possible just to keep making that one a little more compact if need be.

P. 32

- Turner: Stanislaus County
 - We need to get rid of the population here.
 - Escalon, Farmington, Peters, even Linden, down with Salida, Ripon, Riverbank can go in the blue section/ Stanislaus to reduce population.
- Sinay: Stanislaus County
 - I agree with commissioner Turner and add Escalon, Ripon and Oakdale.
- Fernandez: Galt
 - I think the further south pushes up, we start getting closer to the Galt and above to which I already voiced my wishes in the different assembly and senate visualizations and it's pretty much the same for CD.
- Turner: San Joaquin County
 - Keep Mountain House and Tracy with Stockton per coi input.

P. 31

- Fernandez: Sacramento County
 - Keep Elk Grove, Vineyard, Florin, Lemon Hill, all those areas together as we move up.

- Continue bringing Carmichael, Arden Arcade, Fair Oaks into the Greater Sacramento
- Akutagawa: Sacramento County
 - Per COI requests - keep together with Elk Grove.
 - Per COI's request- keep Rancho Cordova with other communities of interest.
 - Combine GreenHaven and Elk Grove together, and then possibly move Rancho Cordova into the Placer Sacramento.
 - Move Folsom down into the Sac San Joaquin visualization.
- Fernandez: Sacramento/San Joaquin
 - Against Folsom with the Sac San Joaquin Keep it with El Dorado and Granite Bay area.
- Andersen: Sacramento County
 - I would like to see the Placer Sac grabbing part of the Natomas area which is to the west in the Sacramento area.
 - As the Placer Sacramento line area moves south, that extra population I would like to see move into the eastern California, ECA area.
- Sadhwani: Stanislaus County
 - My direction is exploratory in nature. Swaps that might start happening between San Joaquin and the Stanislaus border down below with Tracy and the Mountain House.
- Akutagawa: Sacramento County
 - Keep Rosemont, La Rivera and Arden Arcade together.
 - Keep Vineyard with Elk Grove together with that GreenHaven area.
 - Also on Rancho Cordova I also noted that Mather and Rancho Cordova want to also stay together as a potential coi.

P.30

- Fernandez: Sacramento
 - Try to loop in Folsom, El Dorado Hills and Granite Bay into this area-Map drawers can apply from the assembly and the senate so I'm not so repetitive.
 - Keep the whole Lincoln Roseville El Dorado Hills piece together. but if it has to go east I think it has more commonality with that.
- Sinay: Sacramento
 - If it needs to go east, Per coi requests-Folsom to stay with El Dorado
 - We received conflicting opinions on should Folsom stay with El Dorado or move towards Sacramento.
- Fornaciari: Deviation Direction
 - I'd deviation like to be closer to 1%
- Andersen: Deviation Direction
 - One percent deviation and then we can do the tweaks in the live session next week.
- Ahmad, Turner, Le Mons: Deviation Direction
 - Keep it as close to zero as possible.

North Coast

P. 9, 10, 12,13

- Fernandez: North Coast
 - Do not put Contra Costa in that same district with Yolo and Solano.
 - Try to keep Solano as whole as possible
- Fernandez: Marin County
 - Place Marin back with the coastal and move Del Norte which shifts everything down
- Toledo: Marin County
 - Add Marin back to the northern coast map.
 - Leave Lake county with Napa in this version in the congressional version.
 - Leave Del Norte in this map as well if we can to make it a coastal district
- Akutagawa: Marin County
 - Move Marin into the North Coast and splitting Sonoma
 - The big block on the eastern side. This particular visualization is almost four and a half percent over the deviation, bring down deviation
 - Take a portion of Plumas county and add it to that eca visualization to bring the deviations more closer to zero percent for both NORCA AND ECA
- Sadhwani: Marin County
 - Put Marin back with the coast
- Fornaciari: Solano County
 - Like to see if we could rotate this yellow blue purple thing around a little bit, put as much of Solano in with Yolo and then make the purple go down or split the purple up to go some north and some south
- Kennedy: Contra Costa County
 - I see the rationale for a delta-based, delta and agriculture-based district to the east and north of that perhaps going over into some delta areas in San Joaquin county, certainly the tail of Sacramento county part of Solano Yolo county.
 - Split Marin
 - What if we moved Vallejo from north Contra and tried to do something that made a little more sense as far as central Contra Costa?
- Turner: Marin County
 - I support splitting Marin.
- Turner: San Joaquin County
 - If we go east into San Joaquin because we do recognize for congressional we're going to have to go into some of these counties and splits. Looking at what's currently there the Isleton, Walnut Grove that we would be looking at some of those smaller unincorporated areas
 - Maybe scoop into Woodbridge Coulterville, somewhere in there, we know we couldn't go all the way through and cut it off. Let's make sure that those cities would be those that are matched with the Rio Vista Isleton and all those places on both sides of the water there.
- Turner: Tracy

- I want to be clear that I'm not requesting as we move east that you look at any configuration that would move Mountain House and Tracy back into that area.

P.15

- Akutagawa: San Francisco
 - We need to remove some more population from this visualization and then move it south at least for right now the way using it where we are right now.
 - Instead of going north-south on this, go east-west.
 - Can we just go across the bay, combining it with some portion of going up a little higher, Hayward or part of Alameda.
 - Then has ripple effects as you go down into Santa Clara
 - I do want to just note that Gilroy being in this current Cupertino district, maybe even going up to San Martin, again, doesn't feel right.
- Sadhwani: San Francisco
 - Per AAPI and immigrant COI, I wanted to find out if you were able to kind of play around with the Chinatown piece and see if it's able to connect that into Daly city, the greater Sa district?
 - Then also thinking about the West Twin Peaks neighborhood - keep those two communities of interest intact to the greatest extent possible.
 - What are our options for doing so, while at the same time reducing this deviation?
 - Linking the opposite side through outer Sunset, Golden Gate park and up that way.
- Yee: San Francisco
 - San Francisco, we're going to need to push the population down, right down the peninsula and eventually getting into the Central Valley where we have some negative deviations that we need to fill in.
 - Whatever you do with San Francisco - down to Santa Cruz.
 - Do not cross the bay
 - If Santa Cruz itself gets moved down into the mid-coast, I would not feel strongly about keeping the highway 17 communities together with Los Gatos.
 - Looking at Santa Cruz keeping it with Monterey bay all together and pushing population to the south and east.
- Sinay: Santa Cruz
 - Made sense to move Excelsior, Bayview and Visitacion valley in either the senate or assembly over to Daly city
 - West Marin and that whole Bay area since they're very different from San Francisco, but if crossing the bridge helps us with the negative, get to those numbers that we need for congressional districts, then I think we need to be okay with that.

P. 20,21

- Akutagawa: Central Coast

- One thought is perhaps splitting Malibu as you have to push down south, split Malibu, maybe right before the city in Malibu, if that gives you enough population to capture for this as a ripple effect goes south.
- Sadhwani: Correction
 - Chinatown and Japantown. - I misspoke, the piece around Chinatown I think was for the assembly districts. And the congressional side I do think if we cut right where overpopulation is right now, so if we go back and include that west Twin peaks and start cutting from some of the pieces on the lower end, some of those neighborhoods on the lower end to get closer to the zero deviation.
 - My sense is actually if we can get this piece down to that correct deviation and then we have the vra stuff in the central valley including Merced and San Benito rippling upward, it's going to help us figure out, and those become two anchor points throughout this region.
- Akutagawa: Ventura - Direction
 - San Buenaventura is separated from Fillmore and Piru and that is a concern

Southern CA

pg 49

- Kennedy: Los Angeles
 - Keep most of Long Beach whole
 - The 710 district would need some of northern Long Beach to reach up to the rest of its population -preferably exactly the same portion of northern Long Beach that is not part of the main Long Beach district at the assembly level.
- Fernandez: Los Angeles
 - Include as much of Long Beach as possible but still maintain the VRA aspect of it.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - Keep the majority of Long Beach whole if possible.
 - Move Lomita with the harbor gateway cities
 - Move Lynwood out of this visualization and into the south L.A. Visualization
 - Move northward some portion of that south L.A. I think it's Canndu where it says Florence Graham, -that little block that's either Zapata King or Canndu neighborhood council. -move that up
- Yee: Los Angeles
 - Try to keep Long Beach together
- Toledo: Los Angeles
 - Unify Long Beach
 - Protect the COI's in the Compton and Inglewood area.
 - Have flexibility with the Orange County line
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles

- West Rancho Dominguez -move that into the sp710.
- Gateway cities and Long Beach don't mix, and they're cutting off communities that they have more of a affinity with in terms of Montebello, Pico Rivera and Santa Fe Springs and Norwalk and some of those areas
- Turner: Los Angeles
 - Look at combining Seal Beach with Long Beach and portions as needed in the Huntington Beach area.
- Sadhwani: Los Angeles
 - Northern cities, Vernon, Commerce, Bell Gardens, Downey, et cetera. are stemming out of east L.A. and can go back with the 710 if need be
 - Keep Long Beach as tied together as possible.
 - Have that central portion of Long Beach connect down into Seal Beach and, if needed, down into parts of Huntington Beach

pg 50

- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - The communities of Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, Walnut are very different from the communities of Pico Rivera, Montebello, Santa Fe Springs, Norwalk in particular, including parts of Whittier
 - COI testimony for Downey, Norwalk, Santa Fe Springs, Pico Rivera -remove that portion and see how we can re-look at that.

pg 52

- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - San Dimas and Laverne are different from the West Covina, Covina, Baldwin Park, La Puente area communities and very different from El Monte and South El Monte --re-look at this.
 - Potentially Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Walnut, Diamond Bar if we're not going to cross into Orange county or into San Bernardino, they may be better combined with San Dimas and Laverne versus the current mix that exists right now.
- Vazquez: Los Angeles
 - Remove all of Laverne, all of San Dimas, and potentially even Charter Oak, potentially Citrus, depending on population.
 - See if we could get Rosemead, Alhambra and Monterey Park in this visualization. not San Gabriel, not South Pass, not San Marino.
- Vazquez: Los Angeles
 - I'd like to see the deviation get as close to zero.
 - Remove population from the east. My hope is that we would add much more from the west side of this visualization where it's currently green
 - Alhambra, Rosemead, Monterey Park have more in common with El Monte, South El Monte, and even Covina than San Dimas, La Verne and

Glendora. All those places I asked to be removed make more sense to be added to the green.

- Direction is a net shifting based on populations. The lines as currently drawn do not group all of the COI's in this area as well as they could be.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - Also add San Gabriel to that mix. We could leave out San Marino and Arcadia. I think Alhambra, Monterey Park, Rosemead, Temple City, and San Gabriel all share fairly similar profiles.
 - Another option on this one is to also dip in and grab Montebello too.
 - Also suggest removing Azusa and possibly even portions of Duarte and putting them into more of a foothill community district or visualization.
- Sadhwani: Los Angeles
 - Disagree with massive changes
 - As we go down into the Rowland Heights area, pulling down possibly into Orange county could make sense. Pulling in the city of Industry, could we splice to parts of Pomona to calibrate population deviations as we continue to move forward?
- Vazquez: Los Angeles
 - Alhambra, San Gabriel make more sense from a community affiliation point of view than Laverne, San Dimas
 - La Verne and San Dimas, community-wise, are very different from Alhambra, Monterey Park, et cetera.
 - There is a path to maintain cvap numbers, while keeping together communities of interest in this visualization.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - San Gabriel Valley, particularly San Gabriel, Alhambra, Temple City, and Rosemead have a very diverse population base of different folks.
 - If need be, move into Orange county and Pomona in L.A. county.
 - Whittier, South Whittier, Pico Rivera, Downey, Norwalk have shared communities of interest
 - El Monte and Laverne do not share similar profiles.
- Vazquez: Los Angeles
 - For the San Gabriel Valley and the mountains, an east-west orientation makes more sense because the communities of interest follow three freeways, 210, 10, 60, which run east-west.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - Agree on the east-west orientation. The 10 freeway to the north and the 60 freeway to the south define the transportation corridors of the San Gabriel valley.
- Sadhwani: Los Angeles
 - I don't see significant changes to this area that need to be made beyond population deviation changes.
 - Priority being put is keeping Long Beach further intact as possible
- Vazquez: Los Angeles

- Communities of Monterey Park, Alhambra, Temple City have different interests from the higher income foothill communities they're districted in with: Pasadena, Glendora, Claremont, parts of Upland even
- I would like to see something that is more comprehensive of what I view as the San Gabriel valley.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - Some of the Foothill communities like south Pasadena, Monrovia, Arcadia, those could be together.
 - If it goes east-west and goes a little bit south, we can create a district that achieves zero deviation and honors the different COI's that we do have in these districts.
 - Hacienda Heights, Rowland Heights, Diamond Bar, and Walnut stay with Pico Rivera and there is COI testimony for Downey and Norwalk.
 - Maybe all of Long Beach is not gonna be together too.

pg 43

- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - LGBTQ+ COI spoke about Santa Monica, Topanga and Malibu being intertwined together. -Look at splitting Santa Monica -either splitting or perhaps moving all of Santa Monica into this visualization.
 - During line drawing we can make little adjustments to the valley section of this particular visualization so that more of the valley can go to the valley.

pg 45

- Akutagawa: Los Angeles
 - Beverly Hills with this particular coi does not fit.
 - If cdwsgv is created as a more foothill community visualization, perhaps La Cañada Flintridge would be moved out of the glen visualization that may help increase the numbers for the cdwsgv.
 - Perhaps pulling in a little bit of the Malibu, San Fernando Valley visualization. If you move all of Santa Monica into it, maybe North Hollywood, Studio City, greater Toluca Lake would be pulled in to make a 0 percent deviation and whether it makes sense to move Beverly Hills to the shoreline so they would be with similar communities.
- Sadhwani: Los Angeles
 - Swap Granada Hills, Porter Ranch, and Stevenson Ranch for Sylmar and Pacoima--that could possibly go into Sunland-Tujunga.
 - West Hollywood, Beverly Hills is more urban, split from Sunland-Tujunga area
 - Sunland-Tujunga could go into other areas like La Cañada, -whether that is in a Foothill or toward Santa Clarita possibly.
 - I like where we're going with the Antelope Valley in general.
 - Swap Porter Ranch for Sylmar, Pacoima

- Sinay: Los Angeles County
 - Bel Air can go with the west end of Los Angeles.
 - On glen2ba, Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena have mentioned being together and other cois mentioned Pasadena and Altadena, if you need to move that direction.
- Akutagawa: Los Angeles County
 - COI testimony about Toluca Lake and Studio City to be with the Hollywood communities. -if that provides flexibility
 - San Fernando Valley folks want to keep that part together. -look at different options.

pg 47

- Sinay: Los Angeles
 - Try to get to zero with the 10 corridor and NELA, keeping in mind COI's that have been submitted.

pg 62

- Sinay: San Diego
 - Keep COI's listed below together as much as possible:
 - Neighborhoods for the LGBTQ community: on the north side will be the 8, on the west side the 5, south the 94, and it's from 94 go to the 805 going north until you hit Adams Boulevard, and then go Adams to Fairmont and then Fairmont back to the 8.
 - COI: South Bay: Barrio Logan, Logan Heights, Sherman Heights in San Diego
 - COI: National City, Chula Vista, Bonita, Imperial Beach, San Ysidro.
 - East county community: Alpine, Jamul, Lakeside, Santee, Poway, Ramona and Borrego Springs
 - COI: Vista, Carlsbad, and Camp Pendleton.

pg 66

- Sadhwani: Orange
 - Costa Mesa: link with Irvine and Tustin, not with Little Saigon
 - Need to reconfigure Newport Beach and probably parts of Huntington Beach
 - Prioritize putting Costa Mesa back with Irvine and Tustin.
 - Two big architectural pieces: the piece coming down of Long Beach and Costa Mesa back into Irvine, Tustin
- Kennedy: San Bernardino
 - Move Chino Hills into ocsbla
- Akutagawa: Orange
 - Westminster, Fountain Valley, Garden Grove need to be pulled out of the coastal communities.

- Move Westminster, Garden Grove, Fountain Valley, Midway City together at the very least with Cyprus, Buena Park, and with Fullerton.
- Possibility of eliminating ocala.
- Move Brea and maybe Placentia with Fullerton
- Move Brea to the north and move Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, Villa Park and that western part of Orange in with the OC inland.
- Sadhwani: Orange
 - Testimony - parts of Huntington Beach is aligned with Little Saigon
 - Use parts of the more inland components of Huntington beach to support the Little Saigon areas, could cut around or between Westminster, Fountain Valley -that's only if needed for population.
- Akutagawa: Orange
 - Huntington Beach is not part of Little Saigon, neither is Los Alamitos and Rossmoor. -Be careful about pulling that immediately and perhaps look to other places first.
 - Seal Beach may make sense to put together with Long Beach.

pg 67

- Akutagawa: Orange
 - COI testimony around the border between Fullerton and Anaheim -Pulling in portions of that southern Fullerton area may help balance Santa Ana

pg 70

- Vazquez: San Bernardino
 - Less concerned about putting in Wrightwood at this point
 - To balance the lavender (referring to vcd_beavicval), if you pull some population from the north side of Redlands, you may be able to solve both of those issues.
- Akutagawa: San Bernardino
 - Pinon Hills and Phelan were noted in several COI testimonies as having a community of interest with Wrightwood. Would it make more sense to pull them out and pull them into the cdwsgv?
 - COI input from Rancho Cucamonga asking to be in a mountain district with San Antonio Heights. -May give additional flexibility as that cdwsgb is restructured