

STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION

In the matter of:  
PUBLIC LINE DRAWING MEETING

Southern California

WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2021

9:30 a.m.

Reported by:  
Peter Petty



APPEARANCESCOMMISSIONERS

Trena Turner, Chair  
Derric Taylor, Vice-Chair  
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner  
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner  
Jane Andersen, Commissioner  
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner  
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner  
J. Kennedy, Commissioner  
Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner  
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner  
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner  
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner  
Angela Vázquez, Commissioner  
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Alvaro Hernandez, Executive Director  
Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant  
Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel  
Fredy Ceja, Communications Director  
Marcy Kaplan, Director of Outreach  
Sulma Hernandez, Outreach Coordinator  
Kimberly Briggs, Field Lead - Southern California, L.A.  
Ashleigh Howick, Field Lead - Northern California  
Jose Eduardo Chavez, Field Lead - Central California

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

LINE DRAWING TEAM

Kennedy Wilson, Q2 Data & Research, LLC  
Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC  
Jaime Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC  
Tamina Ramos Alon, Q2 Data & Research, LLC  
Sivan Tratt, HaystaqDNA  
Andrew Drechsler, Haystaq DNA

VRA COUNSEL Strumwasser & Woocher

David Becker  
Dale Larson  
Fredric Woocher

**Also Present**PUBLIC COMMENT

Kala Asanto

Betty Wilson, The Business Council

Chris Rowe

Sandy

Blaine

Mike Ai, Equality California

Susan Chang

David

John Kinsey

Gilberto Esquivel

Anna [Unintelligible to reporter]

Cha Vang

John Wong

Samuel Sukaton, CLCV Education Fund

Joshua

Daniel Avalon

Sam Garrett-Pate, Equality California

Jeremy Payne, Equality California

Drew

Jimmy

Maria

Unidentified Speakers

INDEX

	<u>PAGE</u>
Call to Order and Roll Call	5
Senate Line Drawing	7
Board of Equalization Maps Discussion	164
Public Comment	201
Motion	257

P R O C E E D I N G S

Wednesday, November 10, 2021

9:30 a.m.

CHAIR TURNER: Well, good morning, California, and welcome to our California Redistricting Committee meeting today. This is Wednesday, November 10th, and I'd like to go directly -- I am Commissioner Trena Turner. Tried to decide, full name? One name? And we're going to go straight to roll call this morning.

Ravi?

MR. SINGH: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Commissioner Vazquez.

Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa.

Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons.



1 Commissioner Sadhwani.

2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here.

3 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay.

4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.

5 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor.

6 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Presente.

7 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo.

8 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here.

9 MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Turner.

10 CHAIR TURNER: I am here.

11 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Commissioner Vazquez --

12 MR. SINGH: You have a quorum, Madame Chair.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Ravi.

14 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Commissioner Vazquez is also  
15 here. Sorry about that.

16 MR. SINGH: Thank you, Commissioner Vazquez.

17 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: As am I. This is

18 Commissioner Akutagawa.

19 MR. SINGH: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Me, too.

21 MR. SINGH: Great. Thanks.

22 CHAIR TURNER: All right. The gang's all here.

23 Wonderful. Thank you. And just, today being Wednesday,

24 November 10th, tomorrow, we will not be meeting.

25 Tomorrow is Veteran's Day, so I'd just like to begin by

1 acknowledging and honoring all of our military personnel,  
2 past and present, wanting to say how much we appreciate  
3 your service to our country. We salute you, so happy  
4 Veteran's Day tom -- for tomorrow, to all.

5 We're going to, today, move straight to our agenda  
6 item number 6. We've talked about -- yesterday, we were  
7 in our process of drawing lines for the Senate. We were  
8 able to move through our VRA Districts, and we're going  
9 to pick up today, we're going to start at the top and go  
10 all the way down through our Senate Districts. The  
11 desire is for us to complete those, and then move into  
12 our board of equalization. So we are moving and excited  
13 about the process.

14 Thank you, all, for monitoring. Thank you, all, for  
15 calling in, writing in, contacting us, utilizing the  
16 visualization forms that are on our website. We are  
17 viewing it all. We are seeing a lot come up real time,  
18 and we will have an opportunity to continue reading your  
19 comments, and thoughts, and feelings on how we're moving  
20 in this process.

21 So with that, Tamina, let's start in the North.  
22 Thank you.

23 MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. Good morning, Chair. We  
24 are -- and good morning, Commission.

25 We are going to start with our 1107 Senate

1 visualizations handout, and we're going to be starting on  
2 page 9 with NCOAST. Welcome to Senate.

3 So NCOAST, as you'll recall, is the Northern coast  
4 visualization district. We used to have Trinity in it.  
5 The direction was to take out Trinity from this  
6 visualization, and so we went from a 2.96 deviation to a  
7 negative 3.88. That was the only requested change for  
8 this particular district.

9 We'll now be going to page 12, NAPABYRON. The  
10 direction for this visualization was to add Yolo with  
11 Solano. Yolo is whole with Solano in this visualization,  
12 as well as with the Sacramento Delta area. Also whole is  
13 Napa, and then at certain parts into Rohnert Park that  
14 were requested from Some -- Sonoma County. I was asked  
15 to take out Vallejo and Benicia for this, so Va --  
16 take -- sorry -- Vallejo and Benicia out. They used to  
17 be in with -- down here with Contra Costa. I was asked  
18 to take them out in exchange for West Sacramento, which I  
19 lost over here. So when West Sacramento was taken out,  
20 it was part of the trade for these two. So now Solano is  
21 whole in this visualization.

22 Moving to page 15. This is COCO.

23 I am sorry. And before I completely leave it, this  
24 is a COCO NAPABYRON, but you'll see that for NAPABYRON,  
25 we do come down from into the COCO area, and have Bethel,



1 Oakley, Knightsen, and Brentwood as part of this Senate  
2 area. So that was page 12. We're going to be doing kind  
3 of 12 and 15 together right now.

4 Page 15 is COCO, and you'll see that area I just  
5 mentioned, which was taken out of Contra Costa County.  
6 The rest of Contra Costa County South and central are  
7 together all the way along the four corridor through  
8 Antioch. You'll remember that visualization last time  
9 actually used to include Lathrop and Manteca. This went  
10 all the way out this way, took Lathrop and Manteca, and  
11 added it to the COCO District, and I was directed to cut  
12 at the county line, so that is what's happening here.  
13 Another direction was to keep Pittsburg and Bay Point  
14 together, and they are together in this visualization.  
15 This also adds Vallejo and Benicia, as I said, took them  
16 out of this area, brings them back into Solano, and it  
17 also allows for a in -- completely intact Tri-Valley  
18 from -- even Sunol, with Pleasanton, Dublin, Livermore,  
19 all the way up through San Ramon, and then up the entire  
20 680 corridor.

21 Next we'll be going to page 13, which is Alameda.  
22 Alameda is our Oakland-based District, which keeps  
23 Oakland whole in this visualization, goes up the 80 --  
24 let me zoom out a little bit -- all the way up through  
25 Rodeo, so takes the Alameda County North of Oakland all

1 the way up the 880 through Contra Costa County to Rodeo.  
2 This also preserves the Oakland Hills line, which I was  
3 directed to preserve.

4 We're going to go to page 16, which is EDENTECH.  
5 EDENTECH keeps all of Eden together. We are able to take  
6 Pleasanton out. You'll recall it was part of this in the  
7 previous visualization, so we were able to take that out.  
8 And we traded -- the trade for that was the Berryessa  
9 neighborhood down here in San Jose. So we have the Eden  
10 area complete with San Leandro, Hayward, Castro Valley,  
11 and all the unincorporated areas together. Fremont is  
12 whole, with Newark, but the trade was -- for Pleasanton,  
13 was to come down and take Milpitas and Berryessa -- the  
14 Berryessa area over here, and several San Jose --  
15 Northern San Jose COIs. This area here of Northern San  
16 Jose was also taken out and added to this next district  
17 we're going to talk about, but there -- before, in the  
18 previous visualization, this section of San Jose was with  
19 EDENTECH, so this was part of the trade as well.

20 We're going to be going to 18, which is SCRUZ. So  
21 named because this used to be in Santa Cruz, and if  
22 you'll recall, we used to have the neighboring district  
23 of SCLARA, which came out to Modesto and Turlock area,  
24 and so in order to switch that out, we -- I -- I was  
25 asked to preserve the county line here, so this is the

1 top of Santa Clara County with SCRUZ. I was asked to  
2 stop at the county line, so this is really looking at all  
3 of Southern San Jose, that's what all of this purple area  
4 is here, with the exception of a few neighborhoods West,  
5 near Cambrian Park and a tiny little bit around Burbank  
6 over here. And then, of course, the areas that I just  
7 mentioned in the previous visualization.

8 We also have East Foothills and Alum Rock in this  
9 area. They are split for the COIs that were state --  
10 that were given, as I believe I've mentioned before, the  
11 Alum Rock neighborhood COI looks a little bit different  
12 from this Alum Rock census-designated place, and so  
13 that's what was followed, and that's why this line is the  
14 way that it is. But the North San -- North San Jose,  
15 Berryessa COI, Latino Alum Rock COI, Punjabi Sikh COI,  
16 Japantown COI, and they're all -- they are all whole in  
17 this visualization, as well as the Sunnyvale, Cupertino,  
18 Santa Clara COI.

19 And we'll move to page 14, which is SANFRAN.  
20 SANFRAN is San Francisco whole, and this is actually  
21 untouched. This is what we did before with the San  
22 Francisco split. It's 35 East to Kings, goes down Geller  
23 to Noroyo (ph.), then goes to the 82 to Westborough, and  
24 so finally, ends up on Memorial over here. This is --  
25 like I said, this is untouched from what we had last

1 time.

2       So we'll go to page 19, which is PENINSULA. With  
3 PENINSULA, I was asked to stop at the county line, and to  
4 keep Santa Cruz separate from San Mateo County. And so  
5 this visualization trades Santa Cruz County for the  
6 Saratoga/Campbell area down here in San -- in Santa Clara  
7 County, as well as the parts of San Jose that are right  
8 around it. So I can zoom in on those areas. So I  
9 mentioned this little purple area around Burbank and  
10 Fruitdale is also San Jose City, and so that has been  
11 included in this visualization as well with Saratoga, and  
12 those were the trades for Santa Cruz County. Aside from  
13 that, we have the rest of San Mateo County, and the El  
14 Camino, 101 corridor coming down through San Mateo into  
15 Santa Clara County, all the way up to the Sunnyvale  
16 border. So we take Mountain View, Los Altos, and Loyola,  
17 and then the line stops there.

18       Next, we'll be moving on to SCLARA, page 17. And  
19 I'll zoom out a bit so you can see the whole thing, and  
20 then we will -- we'll go in a little bit. The bottom  
21 architecture is very similar to what you've seen before.  
22 What is different here is the addition of Santa Cruz and  
23 Los Gatos, which have been added to the Central Coastal  
24 District. What happened is, we traded San Benito when  
25 this was requested to -- the direction was to move San

1 Benito and the 101 corridor of Monterey out into a  
2 possible VRA experimentation. What happened was, up  
3 here, we were able to gain Santa Cruz, as the whole Santa  
4 Cruz County intact, as well as the Highway 17 corridor up  
5 to Los Gatos. We also lost Salinas out of here. Like I  
6 said, this 101 corridor, Salinas used to be a part of the  
7 Monterey District, so this was paired with San Benito.

8       And then moving South through Monterey County, we  
9 get to San Luis Obispo, where we come down to Arroyo  
10 Grande. Arroyo Grande was requested to be kept North  
11 with San Luis Obispo County instead of moving South with  
12 a Santa Barbara-based county. And so that is  
13 accomplished in this visualization as well.

14       And then we move to page 20, which is SCOAST.  
15 SCOAST is our Santa Barbara and Ventura-based District,  
16 which includes the Southern half of San Luis Obispo  
17 County. Southern Santa Barbara coast here is whole with  
18 Santa Barbara. You'll recall that before there was a  
19 carve out in Santa Barbara coastal area, so the direction  
20 was to bring them back with Santa Barbara County, and  
21 that was accomplished. All of the islands here are  
22 together, since Ventura and Sant Barbara are together,  
23 then all of the islands are together in this particular  
24 visualization. And the Ventura split is still the same.  
25 We have Camarillo joining the Port Hueneme to Piru COI in

1 this visualization.

2 And aside from -- I believe the only city split in  
3 my areas is the one in South San Francisco that we were  
4 looking at. The rest of the cities are whole. And that  
5 concludes my area.

6 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Tamina. I appreciate,  
7 always, the work that you all are doing. And at this  
8 time, Commissioners, I'll open before we move to comments  
9 for this area.

10 Commissioner Kennedy?

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Just two  
12 issues that I want to check with mappers on. First of  
13 all, would it make sense to go ahead and move Discovery  
14 Bay and Byron to the Contra Costa area? The population  
15 looks to be less than 20,000, and they just, to me, feel  
16 a little bit isolated out there. So I'd move them --  
17 move them to the NAPABYRON. Yes.

18 MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. I can take a look at that  
19 right now.

20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm certainly interested in  
21 community input on this as well.

22 MS. RAMOS ALON: So this changes 16,935 people. The  
23 resulting deviation for NAPABYRON will be 4.73 percent,  
24 and the redu -- resulting deviation for COCO will be 2.17  
25 percent.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I would propose to go ahead  
2 and make that change.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Kennedy, you  
4 mentioned, also, unless there was any type of COI  
5 input -- Marcy, I don't have my email quick enough.  
6 Who's -- who's on today?

7 MS. KAPLAN: Kimberly is on.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Kimberly, can you just quickly see if  
9 there's anything for Discovery, Byron that had a  
10 preference, and if not, we certainly can make the change.

11 MS. BRIGGS: Let me check.

12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In the meantime, Chair,  
13 the -- the other area that I'd like the mappers to take a  
14 look at is, I'd like to get what the population split is  
15 between the Ventura County segment and the San Fernando  
16 Valley segment of -- of that area --

17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I -- I'm sorry. Who --

18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- where the two are joined.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Before we leave this area,  
20 could -- could I wonder why we're not going -- why the  
21 curl back under Byron? You know, normally, the -- the --  
22 it -- it sort of follows that -- that angle straight  
23 do -- you know, why that little section in -- right in  
24 there?

25 MS. RAMOS ALON: Thi -- this is a census track

1 shape. I can change that, if you like, and go to census  
2 doc.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I -- I'm just, I'm --  
4 yeah. I'm just sort of wondering. I don't know that --  
5 that area very well. If anyone does -- yeah. It struck  
6 me as -- I haven't seen it ever drawn like that. It's  
7 more, kind of, following the line of Franklin/Byron on  
8 down. Thank you.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Kimberly, has anything come up?  
10 Commissioner Sinay, you have something?  
11 Commissioner Sinay?

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Doing a quick look at this,  
13 that -- the input we've gotten for Discovery Bay and  
14 Byron, they are considered part of the Delta and -- and  
15 these groups, so most of it has said to try to keep them  
16 together with the -- with the groups that they were just  
17 put with.

18 CHAIR TURNER: The -- the pending change that we  
19 have not yet made? They want -- is that supportive of  
20 that?

21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I believe so. Can I see it one  
22 more time? I'm sorry. It's moving Byron and Discovery  
23 Bay North, right?

24 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.



1 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So when you -- Tamina, when  
2 you finish the census change, we will accept the other  
3 change so that, Commissioner Kennedy, we'll move to your  
4 next point as well.

5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

6 MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm sorry, Commissioner Kennedy.  
7 Your second change?

8 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I -- I wanted to know the  
9 breakdown in population between the Ventura County  
10 portion and the San Fernando Valley portion of that area  
11 where they're linked. Thank you.

12 MS. RAMOS ALON: One moment, please.

13 (Pause)

14 MS. RAMOS ALON: So this population is 522,251  
15 people. That is the population of Ventura County versus  
16 the Simi Valley -- sorry -- versus --

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. Let me -- let me  
18 rephrase. So what I'm -- what I'm looking at is that  
19 area that combines Simi Valley and those other portions  
20 of Ventura and portions of the San Fernando Valley, and  
21 I'd like to get the population breakdown between the  
22 Ventura County portion of that area and the San Fernando  
23 Valley portion of that area. We've been -- we've been  
24 asked multiple times to, if we're not able to keep the  
25 San Fernando Valley whole, at least ensure that the San

1 Fernando Valley population is not swamped by population  
2 from outside the San Fernando Valley, so that's what I'm  
3 looking at. Thank you.

4 MS. RAMOS ALON: So this area in the red, this  
5 selection currently, is 323,139 people.

6 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And then the San Fernando  
7 Valley portion would be something around 700,000, then?

8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6,070.

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 6,070.

10 MS. RAMOS ALON: 6,070 people.

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: For a Senate District?

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: 670,000.

13 MS. RAMOS ALON: 670,000. My apologies.

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. Very good. Thank you  
15 so much.

16 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.  
17 Commissioner Yee?

18 COMMISSIONER YEE: I just want to move back to San  
19 Francisco. Does Commissioner Akutagawa want to stay in  
20 this area?

21 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. I -- I just wanted to  
22 ask a question. I guess, either of Commissioner Kennedy,  
23 or I guess, everybody. I do know that we heard -- and  
24 I -- I read some of the testimo -- COI testimony as much  
25 as I could, the desire number 1 was to try to keep the

1 San Fernando Valley as -- as contained in -- in -- in  
2 their own districts as much as possible. My question  
3 would be also, the SCSFW, the -- that map that put Santa  
4 Clarita with parts of the San Fernando Valley, you know,  
5 there's been, also, COI testimony. It's been mixed.  
6 Some from Simi Valley do not want to be with Santa  
7 Clarita and vice versa, and then there's also a number  
8 that want Simi Valley and Santa Clarita Valleys to be put  
9 together. Would that make sense, both from a numbers  
10 point of view and also from a COI perspective, as well,  
11 too? I just wanted to ask, given the desires of the San  
12 Fernando Valley to -- to remain contained within a -- a  
13 district as they can. Thank you.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

15 MS. CLARK: Hello. This is Jaime, for the court  
16 reporter.

17 So this question -- I'm just going to zoom out a  
18 little bit -- and the question is keeping Santa Clarita  
19 not with San Fernando Valley; is that correct?

20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. That was just -- that  
21 was just the question I guess I was asking because we did  
22 get COI testimony that desired to put Simi Valley and the  
23 Santa Clarita Valley together, not -- it was very mixed.  
24 There was also quite a few that did not want it that way.  
25 And then, in addition, there was COI testimony to try and

1 keep the San Fernando Valley as, you know, contained in a  
2 district as much as possible. However, looking at the  
3 two halves of the San Fernando Valley communities that we  
4 have, would they be better off together in one commu --  
5 in -- in one district, sharing enough commonalities and  
6 communities of interest that it makes more sense, or is  
7 it -- or based on -- on -- I guess this is really just a  
8 question, but also a question for the Commissioners, too,  
9 based on their interpretations, what they've read about  
10 the -- the COI testimony, because I don't know enough in  
11 detail about these communities in the San Fernando  
12 Valley. I -- I -- I -- I've read through it, but I'm  
13 still trying to -- to really understand it. Thank you.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Jaime?

15 MS. CLARK: Just from a population, numbers  
16 perspective, the Santa Clarita Valley is just over  
17 300,000 people, and we just heard that the -- this area,  
18 which is currently in East Ventura County is similar  
19 population, so together, they would make about two-  
20 thirds-ish of a Senate District, meaning that they would  
21 need to pick up, you know, 300,000 and change people  
22 somewhere else to create a -- to be together in a Senate  
23 District.

24 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Yee?

1 COMMISSIONER YEE: If we're done here, I'd like to  
2 go back up to San Francisco.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER YEE: So I'd like to try the same  
5 change that we did yesterday to the Assembly. So for  
6 Daly City, adding the neighborhoods of Westborough and  
7 Buri Buri, respecting COI testimony to keep the Filipino  
8 American community united there.

9 MS. RAMOS ALON: Sure. Just a moment, please.

10 CHAIR TURNER: While she's working on -- while she's  
11 working on that, Commissioner Sinay?

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think -- I -- I support  
13 this -- I believe that that would put more of South San  
14 Francisco together, but I'm not sure. But South San  
15 Francisco is a really tight working -- working-class  
16 community. And I'm -- and they -- the more, you know, we  
17 can unite South San Francisco, the -- the better it'll be  
18 for -- for them, obviously. But I just wanted to put  
19 that out there as well.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

21 Commissioner Sadhwani?

22 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: My comment's about a  
23 different district.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Coming your way.

25 (Pause)

1 MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. So the first part of this  
2 change is 27,497 people. This is taking the two  
3 neighborhoods that were mentioned and adding them to the  
4 SANFRAN District. This creates a deviation of 6.19  
5 percent, but knowing that we're moving over to Brisbane  
6 next, and resulting to PENINSULA is negative 0.58  
7 percent.

8 COMMISSIONER YEE: You read my mind. So can we next  
9 move Brisbane to the PENINSULA, respecting COI testimony  
10 for Brisbane to be part of the peninsula?

11 MS. RAMOS ALON: Yes. One moment, please.

12 CHAIR TURNER: While she's doing that, Commissioner  
13 Andersen, a different area or the same?

14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Same area. Actually, and  
15 it's a small thing, but it's -- it means a lot to people  
16 in San Francisco. When you -- you know you're going to  
17 rename a few of these areas so they really reflect the  
18 area -- what the areas are called? No one in San  
19 Francisco uses "San Fran". It's -- so if you could just  
20 switch to "SF" or something like that, but not "San  
21 Fran". Thank you.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Duly noted. Thank you.

23 MS. RAMOS ALON: The addition of Brisbane to  
24 PENINSULA is 4,858 people. Resulting deviation of  
25 PENINSULA is negative 0.09 percent. Resulting deviation

1 of soon to be called SF will be 5.7 percent.

2 COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. So we need a little bit  
3 more population. What are some suggestions?

4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The unincorporated area,  
5 that's San Bruno Mountain?

6 COMMISSIONER YEE: Perhaps we could pick that up  
7 along with Colma?

8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Or just -- yeah -- just try  
9 the one first.

10 MS. RAMOS ALON: Shall I accept Brisbane first?

11 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, please.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay?

13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: How about including -- oh,  
14 wait. I think I'm wrong. Are there are any split cities  
15 down -- South San Francisco's split. Is there anything  
16 else that's split?

17 MS. RAMOS ALON: San Bruno is split, according to  
18 that -- that neighborhood that we just included.

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think before moving inland  
20 and trying to grab Colma, maybe we could add more --

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The unincorporated area.  
22 The -- the white section.

23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. As I was saying, before  
24 we do -- I think I would try to make South San Francisco  
25 whole.

1 MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. For population reasons, I  
2 won't be able to make it whole. However, this is the  
3 line we used for the Assembly, which created more of an  
4 even split. Did you want me to try to start in this area  
5 and see how far I can get?

6 COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure.

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: That sounds wonderful.

8 MS. RAMOS ALON: Okay. One moment, please.

9 CHAIR TURNER: And for the record, I don't know if  
10 you picked up, Commissioner Yee said "Sure" as well.

11 (Pause)

12 MS. RAMOS ALON: This changes 15,537 people.

13 PENINSULA is now at 1.49 percent, and SF is at 4.13  
14 percent. Would you like me to keep going?

15 COMMISSIONER YEE: Let's try to match the Assembly  
16 line. Okay. I -- I like that.

17 Any other reactions?

18 CHAIR TURNER: We'll accept, please.

19 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner -- Commissioner Ahmad,  
21 on the same area?

22 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

23 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

24 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Just a quick question on that  
25 little tail that pops out. Is that unpopulated area, or?



1 MS. RAMOS ALON: There are 15 people in this  
2 selected area. The census block looks like that.

3 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Thank you.

4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's San Bruno Mountain.

5 MS. RAMOS ALON: This -- this -- the little tail  
6 area is part of this unincorporated area here.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Are we good where we --  
8 where they are? Okay.

9 Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

10 Commissioner Sadhwani?

11 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. Thank you. I -- I --  
12 I actually wanted to go back to the district that  
13 Commissioner Akutagawa was looking at, the Simi Valley,  
14 San Fernando Valley. And I know -- I think Commissioner  
15 Akutagawa asked some questions. I -- I -- I think that  
16 she's right. You know, we have conflicting testimony in  
17 this area around Simi Valley and Santa Clarita. Where we  
18 have less conflicting testimony, however, is in -- in the  
19 San Fernando Valley.

20 And this is both a direction and -- and a process  
21 question for all of us, really, is, you know, we've been  
22 taking this approach for the Senate Districts of looking  
23 at them individually, but Senate Districts can, and not  
24 in all areas, be nested, and I know I brought this up  
25 last night, but I think it, from a process standpoint,

1 it -- it could help us answer some of these questions. I  
2 understand that you can't simply nest two VRA Assembly  
3 Districts, but when I look at a map like this, I'm  
4 thinking, yeah, well, we could certainly take a look at a  
5 San Fernando District. We have two pretty good -- I  
6 think we're in pretty good shape on the Assembly  
7 Districts.

8 This is an area where, from a process standpoint, I  
9 feel like we're -- we're missing the boat, and we have an  
10 opportunity to make our lives a little bit easier. As we  
11 continue to refine the Assembly map, it can help us at --  
12 at least serve as a guide to where we might be drawing  
13 some of these Senate Districts because to me, if we're  
14 already (audio interference) those Assembly Districts  
15 that we're building out in the San Fernando Valley, it  
16 can help us answer some of those questions about whether  
17 we're going to put Simi and Santa Clarita together, or  
18 where the breaks could be.

19 So you know, for me, I would still want to see the  
20 Assembly Districts underneath this. This is an area I  
21 definitely would be curious about using the Assembly  
22 Districts as a guide for nesting. I have no sense, at  
23 this stage, when we're about to approve a map this --  
24 this afternoon or evening, where to begin approaching  
25 that without a total redraw, so I'm certainly open for

1 suggestions, because I don't -- I don't think Simi Valley  
2 with San Fernando is the answer. I think we've heard  
3 loud and clear that they are not the answer. They do not  
4 go together.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad?

6 So with that statement, and just a process question,  
7 Commissioner Sadhwani, how do -- do you want to take the  
8 lead on this?

9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I -- I -- well, this is --  
10 this is a process piece, right? I mean --

11 CHAIR TURNER: Sure.

12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- I -- I think on the one  
13 hand, we could approve what we have. You know, making  
14 some minor refinements and -- and come back in December  
15 and say, hey, let's -- let's take a different approach to  
16 this Senate map, or we can revisit numerous areas here.  
17 I don't -- I -- I mean, we can center ourselves here in  
18 the San Fernando Valley, but that's going to have  
19 reverberations through -- through the rest of the L.A.  
20 County area, so you know, to -- I'm happy to go in either  
21 direction because I don't think that what we're -- we  
22 have in front of us is the -- is going to be our final  
23 maps.

24 And -- and for me, I -- I'm really approaching this  
25 of if, at least in my mind, is if we can sort out some of

1 the communities of interest testimony at the Assembly  
2 level, it's going to be a guide to the Senate. It's not  
3 going to be a perfect nesting, but -- but it will be a  
4 guide for -- for how we pull things together. So we  
5 can -- we can look at this, I mean, we could anchor L.A.  
6 County and San Fernando Valley and the VRA District that  
7 we had look -- a coup -- there's a couple of VRA  
8 Districts in L.A. County, and work from there, but I  
9 think that would ultimately be a major architectural  
10 reconstruction of this area, and I'm -- I don't -- I'm  
11 also pragmatic, and I think we need to keep it moving for  
12 today.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I mean,  
15 when I -- when I first saw the Senate visualizations here  
16 this morning, I immediately recognized some of the  
17 underlying Assembly Districts. No, they're not perfectly  
18 nested, but they were close enough that I could recognize  
19 what had been set out on the Assembly visualizations, you  
20 know, as underlying some of this Senate architecture.

21 You know, I would like to take us back to the point  
22 that has been made on a number of occasions. Yes, we  
23 could combine most of the San Fernando Valley in a single  
24 Senate District, but then we're going to have a small  
25 remainder that then gets joined with something else,

1 versus dividing it essentially into two or two and a bit,  
2 but the -- the -- the two would be predominantly San  
3 Fernando Valley Districts. And my understanding of the  
4 community of interest input from the Valley has been  
5 that, you know, given -- given the options, they might  
6 prefer two majority districts to one, you know, exclusive  
7 district and one where the San Fernando Valley portion is  
8 a minority. So that's -- that's my thinking on this.  
9 Thank you.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that.

11 Commissioner Andersen?

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: This is a -- again, sort of  
13 a process issue, and it's for next time. It's not right  
14 now. But as we think, if we are considering ne --  
15 nesting for the Senate, we should consider and work on  
16 our Assembly Districts, and ensure that none of them are  
17 greater than either -- are within plus or minus 2.5. If  
18 you keep it less than that, you could always add to them,  
19 and you'd be less -- you'll be within -- for the Senate,  
20 you'll be within plus or minus 5. So as we consider and  
21 work on Assembly Districts with that in mind, and then we  
22 want to pivot and grab them, we will be able to do that,  
23 because if you look here, just looking around, you know,  
24 you've got the negative -- negative .633. You know,  
25 okay, you can have those. See? I mean, I'm not

1 proposing this, but when you look a little further over,  
2 not in this particular picture, but you know, 4, and 4.3,  
3 and then 2.2 next to each other. Oh, can't do that. So  
4 if we -- if we think about, in that direction, as we work  
5 through this for later, that will help us give us that  
6 option.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Very good. Thank you.

8 Commissioner -- okay.

9 With that in mind, it looks like we're going to  
10 accept these current changes that we have, and it sounds  
11 like we're going to come back and have more discussion  
12 once we have the broader and deeper conversation in  
13 regards to our Assembly Districts.

14 Mappers, we're ready to move.

15 MS. RAMOS ALON: Where would you like to move to?

16 CHAIR TURNER: Los Angeles. Oh, oh, no, no. Wait.  
17 Where are we? That's right. I'm stuck on yesterday. So  
18 we're going to continue.

19 So Tamina, you were finished?

20 MS. RAMOS ALON: I'm finished, Chair, yes.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Any of the Commissioners would like  
22 to see any other parts of this area? Okay.

23 Jaime, you took the helm and took me to L.A., I'm  
24 like, oh, it must be Los Angeles next.

25 Okay. So I think it's Kennedy.

1 Commissioner Akutagawa?

2 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. You know, I -- I --  
3 I can't -- I'm sorry if I'm just going out of order here.  
4 I just wanted to bring up -- I know that we've heard from  
5 quite a few callers about Fresno, Kern, (indiscernible).  
6 You know, it's a Senate District and it's extremely, you  
7 know -- we know the Senate Districts are going to  
8 extremely large. I -- however, I just wanted to at least  
9 acknowledge that we did hear them, and -- and at least  
10 ask, is -- is -- is there any other possibilities that  
11 take into account some of the other changes that were  
12 made to the Assembly District yesterday that could maybe  
13 make the district a little bit more compact? I think we,  
14 at least, you know, owe it to the callers who called to  
15 address this question. And -- and maybe there isn't.  
16 It's just going to -- it's just, as -- as we've been  
17 told, it's a million people and, you know, when you're in  
18 areas where there's not a lot, it just means more area,  
19 so wanted to at least just bring it up. Thank you.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner  
21 Akutagawa, and we may come back and have you restate that  
22 in a bit.

23 Kennedy, let's have you give us an -- tell us  
24 what -- what you have so far, and then we'll come back to  
25 this.

1 MS. WILSON: So far, we went over the two VRA  
2 consideration visualization districts, and we went and  
3 saw Kings and Kern and the San Benito/Merced/Fresno  
4 District, and that is where we left off, from yesterday.

5 CHAIR TURNER: So what I'd like for you to do now  
6 for this area is to take us through the Senate Districts,  
7 starting at the top from where you are, and all the way  
8 through.

9 MS. WILSON: Okay. So I will -- one second while I  
10 get those page numbers.

11 So moving to page 30, would be that Fresno/Kern  
12 District. And I'll zoom in so that we can see what is  
13 here in Kern. What is not in the City of Bakersfield in  
14 the VRA consideration visualization is what is in the  
15 Fresno/Kern. We have -- we have Oildale, Rosedale  
16 together, and then we have Old Stockdale taken out as  
17 well. And then zooming out, we have the Bear Valley  
18 Springs, Tehachapi area, Rosemont, Edwards Air Force  
19 Base, up to California City here in the southeastern  
20 corner. And then, moving North in Bakersfield, we have  
21 Ridgecrest, and Inyo/Kern, as well as the Lake Isabella  
22 area in Kern as well. And then moving up, we have what  
23 is left in Tulare, and this does --

24 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, excuse me one moment. Can  
25 you put the county lines on? Are they there? They are



1 there.

2 MS. WILSON: Yes, they are on, but I can make them  
3 thicker. They are the red line, and I'll make it thicker  
4 right now. How does that look? Would you like it  
5 thicker?

6 CHAIR TURNER: No, that's really good. Thank you.

7 MS. WILSON: Okay. Good. So again, I'll point that  
8 out for anyone else who might be able to see. My hand is  
9 following the county line here of Tulare. And then we  
10 have Visalia and Three Rivers kept together. There are  
11 no splits in Visalia. From I -- and then we have  
12 Ivanhoe, Woodlake, Lemon Cove, Lindcove, to Three Rivers.  
13 And then moving North, we were able to, as I mentioned  
14 yesterday, split Fresno, the city, once, and so we have  
15 the City of Clovis, and then northeastern Fresno, it  
16 stretches out the 99 border, right above Shaw, and that  
17 is in this district as well, for Fresno/Kern. And again,  
18 page 30.

19 Now we are going to move North in the Central Valley  
20 to page 28. This has parts of South Sacramento County,  
21 which include -- let me move this one towards the  
22 middle -- Vineyard, above Elk Grove, Waldon, Galt,  
23 Herald, Clay, and then, we have San Joaquin County kept  
24 whole. And then it also dips in to include some of  
25 Stanislaus County, to -- the Western part of Stanislaus

1 County, and Riverbank to Salid -- Salida are kept in  
2 here, and we have Patterson and Diablo Grande and Newman  
3 as well.

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, what page was that  
5 again? I missed it. Sorry.

6 MS. WILSON: This was page 28.

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

8 MS. WILSON: You're welcome.

9 Now, we will continue moving North, and next, we'll  
10 be moving to page 27, titled SOUTHSAC. Stanis -- I made  
11 a mistake. My apologies. That's why you're lost,  
12 because page 28 was not that one. That was page 27.  
13 Page 28 moves out here. That's -- that's my bad. Okay.

14 So let's go to actual page 28 now. That is the San  
15 Joaquin/Stanislaus, and so here, we have Merced, which  
16 was split into two, we have the City of Merced, Atwater,  
17 up to the Delhi County line here, of Merced. It's split  
18 in the middle. And then we have Modesto, Turlock,  
19 Oakdale, to Knights Ferry going East, which is something  
20 similar to what we did in other visualizations as we were  
21 working. And then we have the rest of the foothills side  
22 of Madera, Yosemite Lakes, to Oakhurst, Mariposa,  
23 Tuolumne, Calaveras, and Amador kept together. And then  
24 Alpine, Mono, and Inyo kept together in this as well.  
25 And there are parts of El Dorado taken out, as well, for

1 population. As you can see, it's still under, negative  
2 2.07, but we have Placerville, Diamond Springs, Cameron  
3 Park, and Shingle Springs, as well, brought down into  
4 this visualization for population. And that was page 28.

5 And now, page 27 is what I accidentally explained  
6 before, but let's just take a look again. And so here in  
7 Stanislaus, again, we have the Western side of it,  
8 including Diablo Grande, Newman, Patterson, and then we  
9 have, in the Northern border, Salida, Del Rio, Riverbank,  
10 as well, going North into San Joaquin, which is kept  
11 whole, which therefore keeps together Mountain House and  
12 Tracy with Stockton, Lathrop, and Manteca. However, Lodi  
13 is in this as well, with Stockton, but also, the Eastern  
14 farming cities are together within San Joaquin as well.

15 And now, moving on to page 24 is Sacramento. Here,  
16 we have Elk Grove going North with Florin, Parkway, Lemon  
17 Hill, Fruitridge, Greenhaven-Pocket area, South --  
18 Southeastern Sacramento, and the City of Sacramento is  
19 kept whole. And then we have cities North, including Rio  
20 Linda, Elverta, Antelope, McClellan Park, North Highlands  
21 as well. And also Rosemont and La Riviera.

22 Now, moving to page 22. ECA, this Eastern  
23 California, which again, turned out when we were going  
24 through Congress and making some of those changes, looks  
25 similar to what we have here, so we have the Lake Tahoe

1 area kept together, Kings Beach to the North, South Lake  
2 Tahoe to the South. We also have Grizzly Flats, a part  
3 of this visualization that doesn't go South, it continues  
4 to go North. And then, within Sacramento, we have Arden-  
5 Arcade, Carmichael, Rancho Cordova, Mather, Fair Oaks,  
6 Folsom, Citrus Heights, Orangevale, are the cities in  
7 Sacramento going up North and East. And then we have  
8 Placer kept whole.

9 And lastly, we will move to page 8. This is  
10 Northern California. We'll zoom out so we can see it in  
11 its entirety. We have Nevada and Sierra kept together,  
12 Butte, Sutter, Yuba kept together as well, and we  
13 moved -- we brought in Del Norte -- Del Norte -- Del  
14 Norte and Trinity, and then we also have the part cut out  
15 of Humboldt that intrudes the Karuk tribe lands. And as  
16 you can see, this one has a negative 4.88 deviation, and  
17 Eastern California has a 4.84, so these two could balance  
18 each other, but it would probably mean splitting Placer  
19 further. And those are the Senate visualizations in my  
20 area.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Kennedy.

22 Commissioner Akutagawa?

23 Kennedy, I'd like to go back just to take a quick  
24 peek at Merced where the split occurred to see what the  
25 numbers and where that split is, and if we can put

1 Merced -- make Merced City, I think you said. Was it the  
2 City of Merced or the County?

3 MS. WILSON: The county is split. Merced -- the  
4 City of Merced is kept whole.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And so where Merced County is,  
6 let me -- let's see where that -- where the split is  
7 occurring, and if you'd tell me about --

8 MS. WILSON: So the split is right here, right in  
9 the middle of the county. The county line takes a dip  
10 and right at that dip, it goes down, so Dos Palos, Los  
11 Banos, Wilton, Santa Nella, Gustine are all on one side  
12 to the West, and then to the East is where we have  
13 Stevenson, Alito (ph.), Merced, Atwater, Winton,  
14 Livingston, to Delhi. Those are all on the other side.

15 CHAIR TURNER: What's the population of the Gustine,  
16 Los Banos, Dos Palos side?

17 MS. WILSON: I will take a look at that. One  
18 moment. That has a population of 69,714 people.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you for checking. No  
20 changes.

21 Commissioner Fernandez?

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.

23 Kennedy, can you go to NORCA, and I -- if you can go  
24 down to, like, the northernmost part next to -- I'm  
25 looking at my -- kind of the ECA -- yeah. Like right

1 there. That's perfect.

2 So Placer, you said, is whole, correct?

3 MS. WILSON: Correct.

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom in just a  
5 little bit more? Kind of, like, in that area, like where  
6 Sheridan is and --

7 MS. WILSON: Oh, yes.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry.

9 MS. WILSON: So Sheridan is to the left of the  
10 screen --

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

12 MS. WILSON: -- at the moment where I'm circling.

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And that is -- hmm.  
14 Okay. So what I was -- if you can zoom out just a little  
15 bit? What I was going to try to do was move some of that  
16 ECA up to NORCA, because as you did mention earlier,  
17 they're almost the same -- one's negative, one's  
18 positive. So I was thinking of -- and then, ultimately,  
19 if you can zoom out just one -- just one.

20 MS. WILSON: One zoom.

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. One zoom. I think  
22 one zoom. It might be -- okay. That's fine.

23 What I was trying to do is next to Elk Grove, we  
24 have Vineyard, and I don't think in any of the visual --  
25 visualizations we've been able to keep those two

1 together. Again, that's part of the Elk Grove, Florin,  
2 Greenhaven, Lemon Hill community of interest, so I was  
3 kind of hoping to try to do that, so I was going to try  
4 to shift some of the population around. So in ECA, could  
5 you grab -- yes. Yeah. There you go. Could you grab  
6 those two communities? What is that, George --  
7 Georgetown and -- yeah.

8 MS. WILSON: Did you say --

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wait, wait. No. I'm  
10 sorry. Not Georgetown. All the way up to the -- yes. I  
11 was -- that's the county line.

12 MS. WILSON: Yeah. Okay. So grab Meadow Vista,  
13 Colfax, Alta?

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm -- I'm not sure  
15 if that's going to be enough to be able to shift it. But  
16 somebody else had a question or -- did somebody else --

17 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay and then  
18 Commissioner Andersen.

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So we received a lot of  
20 testimony to keep Sierra and Nevada together, but we've  
21 also received some testimony -- I was just looking it  
22 up -- that Truckee -- you know, there's the Tahoe/Truckee  
23 area that they engage more, so I just wanted us to think  
24 before we move things down and -- on the -- in the  
25 Valley, if it made sense to look at bringing Truckee in

1 with Tahoe.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Andersen?

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I was actually kind of going  
4 the other way. I -- I was thinking similar to  
5 Commissioner Fernandez, in that, taking just a little bit  
6 of population out of Placer, about 16,000 --

7 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- putting it into NORCAL,  
9 so we could put Trinity back into the North -- into the  
10 North coast and I'm trying to align the Assembly areas  
11 because I hate when, you know, a county's in one Assembly  
12 area, but then separated from its Assembly area in the  
13 Senate. So that's what I was trying to do. And I was  
14 just thinking, if we can find about 16,000 in Placer to  
15 add to NORCA, then you can pull Trinity to, I think, to  
16 North coast or that one.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So let's hold that and let's  
18 finish the direction, then, Commissioner Fernandez was  
19 going, and then we'll add in those pieces and see where  
20 we are.

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How much is the Sheridan,  
22 Kennedy? If you go --

23 MS. WILSON: I will check that right now.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: If you go that way, I  
25 guess.



1 MS. WILSON: Yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

3 MS. WILSON: So right now, adding Sheridan, I can  
4 keep clicking some blocks, but we have a population of  
5 about 19,000. This brings the NORCA visualization to a  
6 negative 2.92 and the ECA to a 2.88.

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. But it's only  
8 getting us down to 2.9.

9 MS. WILSON: I can -- well --

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can you keep -- I  
11 don't want to take Tahoe out, that's for sure. I like  
12 where it is. Although, I guess we could go opposite of  
13 what Commissioner Sinay said, move Tahoe up to be with  
14 Truckee.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Think you're going to get  
16 there, Commissioner Fernandez? Want to still keep --  
17 we're there or we're going to try the other  
18 visualization.

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The only --

20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Exploration.

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. It'll look kind of  
22 odd. Can you grab Foresthill?

23 MS. WILSON: I will try that.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Will Foresthill help us  
25 out? No, it's not going to be that much.

1           COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry. We do have a lot of  
2 testimony, just saying, to keep the East side of Donner  
3 Summit together. So that would be Truckee and all of  
4 Tahoe, and just to think differently than what we've been  
5 doing.

6           COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you grab Auburn, right  
7 there?

8           MS. WILSON: Yes. One moment.

9           COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Those are Auburn,  
10 Penryn, Newcastle.

11          MS. WILSON: Grab all of them?

12          COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, just go down?

13          MS. WILSON: Or just --

14          COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

15          MS. WILSON: -- start with Auburn?

16          COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think --

17          MS. WILSON: And -- so North Auburn is above and  
18 Auburn is not contiguous, so there's a part that's above.

19          COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. You know what, I'm  
20 going to scratch it for now, but I'm still going to look  
21 at it because I really do want Vineyard and Elk Grove,  
22 because they are -- you can't really tell the difference  
23 between where Vineyard starts and Elk Grove ends. And  
24 plus, it's -- we've received, I forget how many  
25 communities of interest for that area to include, also,

1 South Sacramento. Thank you. And just out of curiosity;  
2 when you back out of this, can you see what the  
3 population is for Tahoe; the entire part?

4 MS. WILSON: Oh, yes. So take -- take this out of  
5 the equation.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you.

7 MS. WILSON: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you for entertaining  
9 me.

10 MS. WILSON: And then all of Tahoe.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: To include Meyers. Well,  
12 let's do Tahoe first, and then see what Meyers would be.  
13 Thank you.

14 MS. WILSON: So actually, Meyers got caught in  
15 there, so let me take it out first.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wow.

17 MS. WILSON: So population without Meyers for this  
18 selection --

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

20 MS. WILSON: -- is 39,000 people; 39,723. And  
21 adding Meyers --

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

23 MS. WILSON: -- it jumps to 41,888.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And if you connect  
25 it to the -- yeah. Thank you. Just clean that up. If

1 you can connect it --

2 MS. WILSON: Oh, yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- all the way through the  
4 district line because there's probably a little bit of  
5 population there? Okay.

6 MS. WILSON: That goes to 43,405.

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I will  
8 think about that. So I just wanted -- what was it,  
9 43,000? Thank you.

10 MS. WILSON: I also would like to point out, that  
11 brings the deviations to a negative 0.49 and a 0.45.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right, which is --

13 MS. WILSON: It would be --

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- really good.

15 MS. WILSON: -- would be connecting Truckee to --

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

17 MS. WILSON: -- the old Tahoe area.

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

19 CHAIR TURNER: And this looks good.

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think that looks good,  
21 but I -- yeah. I don't know, Commissioner Sinay, I think  
22 you're the one that brought up that area.

23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm basing it on the 100 and  
24 something COI that I'm reading really quickly from that  
25 area. It feels good. It feels right --

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- to join communities,  
3 especially, in areas like this that are --

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Remote.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- can be --

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- really remote and isolated.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And you know, any time you're  
10 in Tahoe, you see Truckee signs. I mean, they are  
11 completely connected.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum. They are.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

14 Commissioner --

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, one's on 50, one's  
16 80.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen, we're wanting  
18 to accept this. Were you going to have more for this  
19 area?

20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, if we've added all  
21 that to NORCA, then I can certainly ask to have Trinity  
22 taken out and put with the North Coast.

23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Which might conflict with  
24 my wanting to do that for the Vineyard.

25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's only 16,000 people, so.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, how many?

2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: 16,000, so it won't --

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I know. I'm trying to  
4 think of how big Vineyard is. I don't know. Okay.

5 MS. WILSON: I think Vineyard is about 44,000.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I think -- yeah.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, let's accept this change.

8 MS. WILSON: Okay.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez, your hand's  
10 up.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I still have more, but  
12 I think Commissioner Andersen --

13 CHAIR TURNER: Yep. And she's next.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- and I -- okay.

15 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

16 Commissioner Andersen.

17 MS. WILSON: Can --

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, did you want to put it  
19 down and let her go first, and then I'll -- because we  
20 kind of have conflicting places. She's going to go --

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- she's going to go  
23 Trinity, and I'm going to go --

24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- South.

1 CHAIR TURNER: I'm trying keep a county that's in  
2 the Assembly district that, then, it's separated from its  
3 Assembly district to be put in with another whole  
4 grouping for a Senate.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Let's --

6 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's why I'd like to --  
7 and we've certainly heard community testimony that it's  
8 the entire Klamath watershed, Trinity does connect with  
9 Humboldt and we've had it that way in the Assembly and  
10 also the Congressional. So I'd like to put Trinity --  
11 I'd like to go from North Coast and add Trinity from  
12 NORCAL (sic).

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. I'm sorry. I  
14 thought you were -- yeah.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Please.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That should be -- that  
17 should be about right, negative.

18 MS. WILSON: That brings North Coast and North  
19 California both to deviations of negative 2. North Coast  
20 is negative 2.25 percent, and Northern California is  
21 negative 2.06 percent.

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. Yes. Yeah, if  
23 we could --

24 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll accept it.

25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.

1 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

2 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just thinking. I'm  
3 just looking at the coastal region and Del Norte. So I  
4 mean, we have -- I think this -- adding Trinity makes  
5 sense to me because they've always been together, but --  
6 or have been for -- and they do quite a bit together, in  
7 those communities, and we have COIs. But Del Norte and  
8 Humboldt have drawn connection as well. So I'm just  
9 thinking about choices, but at this point, I -- looking  
10 at -- the deviations look good. Since we just added  
11 Tahoe, I'm just wondering -- to the other region, I'm  
12 thinking it might be a good opportunity to add Del Norte  
13 to the coast for population purposes.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Shall we see what that looks like?

15 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let's take a look at what it  
16 looks like. If it's too much population, I would support  
17 leaving it, but we do have Del Norte with all of our  
18 other visualizations.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, that is -- that takes our  
20 deviations to negative 4.61 in NORCA?

21 MS. WILSON: Yes. Negative 4.61 and then North  
22 Coast balances to 0.29.

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But North Coast would be  
24 almost where it's supposed to be, right? But the NORCA  
25 would have a negative 61 --



1 CHAIR TURNER: And can I get Commissioner Yee --

2 COMMISSIONER YEE: Of course.

3 CHAIR TURNER: -- to weigh in, please?

4 COMMISSIONER YEE: I'd like to support moving Del  
5 Norte into North Coast because of significant COI input  
6 from the Yurok tribe, whose ancestral lands and current  
7 reservation lands both expand Del Norte and Humboldt, so.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Thank --

9 COMMISSIONER YEE: I support that.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

11 Commissioner Fernandez.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I support that, as well.

13 And NORCA will be under, and I think I have some  
14 suggestions for that too.

15 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

16 Commissioner Toledo, do you accept -- you're --

17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Sure, I'd like to --

18 CHAIR TURNER: -- are you good?

19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. I'd like to --

20 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

21 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- get that added.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, we'll accept this, please.

23 And then Commissioner Fernandez, while she's doing  
24 that, you want to get started on your next -- you had an  
25 idea to reduce the deviation for --

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: North -- yeah.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So if we can go, Kennedy,  
4 back to where we were at? We were at the Tahoe area.  
5 And I'm thinking what -- where am I; there we go. I was  
6 thinking of maybe bringing that line more towards the  
7 West, so that the more remote areas of Placer and El  
8 Dorado are together, versus -- you know, once you get  
9 to -- once you get to Lincoln and -- what is that -- El  
10 Dorado, it's more of city. So I was just trying to keep  
11 the smaller towns together.

12 MS. WILSON: So could that line potentially be at  
13 the Meadow Vista?

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There you go. Um-hum.

15 MS. WILSON: Okay.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's not going to be -- the  
17 numbers aren't going to be huge, but at least the smaller  
18 towns will be together and you won't have the --  
19 hopefully, you don't have as many conflicting priorities.

20 MS. WILSON: This would bring the Northern  
21 California deviation to a negative 2.18 and the Eastern  
22 California reservation to a negative 2.04. And it would  
23 include the cities of Alta, Colfax, Meadow Vista, and  
24 Foresthill, and comes right to the border of North  
25 Auburn.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. That looks good. I  
2 was just wondering if we could -- no, that's fine.  
3 That's fine. That way we're not splitting too much.

4 MS. WILSON: Shall I commit this change, Chair?

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can I just get the terrain  
6 layer on?

7 MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's all mountains.

9 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: It's all mountains, I  
10 understand.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's all mountains. Yeah.

12 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And it does connect around  
13 the --

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The county lines.

15 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: The county lines --

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- and the major highway,  
18 so --

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.

20 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- that makes sense to me.  
21 Thank you.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then makes sense; we're  
23 accepting.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: All right. Okay. And then  
25 if we can --

1 MS. WILSON: There was just a little noncontiguous  
2 block hanging out there. Now, there we go.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Beautiful.

4 MS. WILSON: Zoom out just a little bit, please. So  
5 I'm trying to --

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And then if we can  
7 go to -- yes, the -- yeah. We're going to be -- and then  
8 go in -- wait a minute. What happened here? What  
9 happened here? We don't have what I thought we would  
10 have, unfortunately. Move that up.

11 CHAIR TURNER: Are you thinking, Commissioner  
12 Fernandez?

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I am. Sorry.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kennedy, run this through  
16 again, in terms of what we did, because for some reason I  
17 thought we would have -- we would be heavily under in  
18 the --

19 MS. WILSON: You want a bigger --

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- ECA.

21 MS. WILSON: -- negative deviation here.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. I thought that  
23 was --

24 MS. WILSON: So we didn't -- we just took the Tahoe  
25 area and this area out, but we were more so balancing

1 these two together. Instead of making this one more  
2 under, we were balancing these two. So we didn't take  
3 enough population out of here, we were just doing enough  
4 to balance Northern California and ECA. But to move  
5 Vineyard in, then it's -- you want to move some of these  
6 cities up, so then you'd have to take more of Placer out  
7 and continue moving it up into Northern California.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. That's not what I  
9 was hoping for.

10 CHAIR TURNER: You want to keep looking at while we  
11 move and come back, or --

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I do because I'm going to  
13 be bad and I might reverse part of it. So thanks.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We like what you've done so  
15 far.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I just had one  
17 question though, quickly. What was the Truckee  
18 population, again?

19 MS. WILSON: I would need to check one more time.  
20 One moment. Oh, just Truckee, or --

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just Truckee. Yeah.

22 MS. WILSON: Okay. Truckee itself. Truckee has a  
23 population of 16,740.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

1           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I see where  
2 Commissioner Fernandez is trying to go. I just want to  
3 make sure that we realize that COI testimony has  
4 extensively through this area -- keep Sierra and Nevada  
5 together and keep Placer and El Dorado together and we  
6 are definitely not doing that here. So I just want to,  
7 you know, bring that forth because we've certainly gotten  
8 lots of community input testimony about keeping these  
9 counties together, so I just want to mention that. I  
10 understand Commissioner Fernandez is rethinking  
11 everything and we'll see what ends up coming out of it,  
12 but I just want to mention that, please.

13           CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

14           Commissioner Toledo.

15           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Just in thinking about  
16 that, I'm just wondering if the line drawers could maybe  
17 provide some suggestions on how we could connect Vineyard  
18 to -- or make Commissioner Fernandez's vision a reality.  
19 Is there a way to -- so what would be the easiest way to  
20 do this, is I guess, the question for the line drawers,  
21 and keep the deviations within acceptable ranges?

22           MS. WILSON: Yeah. So what I would do would just be  
23 to bring Vineyard in to see what the deviation is and  
24 just keep riffling population North.

25           COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And my thinking, also,

1 Kennedy, was everything that I did with the Tahoe and  
2 Placer, I would reverse that -- I would reverse  
3 everything out to keep Commissioner Andersen's  
4 recommendation and Commissioner Toledo's recommendation.  
5 I would keep both of those. And then possibly move  
6 Truckee in, though the opposite way, so we're not -- so I  
7 don't know. That's my thinking at this point because it  
8 would keep Placer whole if we did it that way, which is  
9 what Commissioner Andersen brought up.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Here's an idea,  
12 though, because to take, is it Fruitvale or no, it's  
13 Vineyard. Aren't you trying to add Vineyard to  
14 Sacramento? You need to then find population for SACSTAN  
15 and I would grab that from NAPABYRON because see you're  
16 taking -- SACSTAN would go more negative, grab it from  
17 NAPABYRON, which is right next door, would be a much  
18 easier way to do that.

19 MS. WILSON: Also, we have --

20 CHAIR TURNER: Oh.

21 MS. WILSON: I was just going to say, we have the  
22 pending changes window, so we don't have to -- we can see  
23 what it looks like before making that change if you want  
24 to.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

1 Commissioner Sinay.

2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Two things: one, are these  
3 changes based on communities of interest and requests  
4 that we've gotten, versus, you know, that's its gut? And  
5 then second, on this whole point that, you know, we've  
6 heard this about big counties, we also, you know, people  
7 start big and then go small, and that's going to happen  
8 very regularly. And so I could easily see people say,  
9 keep Placer, El Dorado -- you know, it's easy for some  
10 people to say keep Nevada and Sierra together, but then  
11 when the time comes, a community who says, hey, keep our  
12 valley together, Truckee with Tahoe because that's how we  
13 work. And so things aren't going to be clean cut and we  
14 kind of have to take the different communities of  
15 interest that are coming in, and not just get stuck on  
16 the ones we heard first or the ones that make it easier,  
17 but kind of see how do we balance all that. But I would  
18 like us, when we're making these changes, just to really  
19 think through -- you know, go back to the database and  
20 look at those type of things because, you know, we are  
21 getting a lot of different inputs and some stuff is  
22 conflicting, and we've done a lot of changes down here in  
23 the Sacramento and in that area. While I don't feel like  
24 we've listened to a lot of the people that are closer to  
25 the other border, you know, up on the mountainside where



1 they keep saying, it doesn't always make sense to go  
2 East/West, sometimes we need to go North/South.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

4 Commissioner Akutagawa, then Fornaciari; then we're  
5 going back to Fernandez.

6 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So to Commissioner  
7 Sinay's point; I do want to -- I am happy that  
8 Commissioner Fernandez is trying to pull Vineyard in. I  
9 did read about that particular community. There is a  
10 particular community of interest amongst the Filipino  
11 community there, and they have noted that Vineyard and  
12 Elk Grove have very close ties. That's one of the  
13 reasons that they have close ties, and so I do believe  
14 that it would be good in keeping a COI together, as well  
15 too, that that would be something that, if it can be  
16 achieved, I believe that the direction in which we're  
17 going with trying to incorporate them in would be one in  
18 which would keep a community of interest together. Thank  
19 you.

20 CHAIR TURNER: You're welcome. Thank you.

21 Commissioner Fornaciari.

22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Thanks. Can you  
23 pull the map up, please? I'm sorry. The other up.

24 Okay. That's probably good. So it looks like Oakley,  
25 Brentwood, Byron are in NAPABYRON, SACSTAN is low. They

1 want to be with San Joaquin, so maybe there could be a  
2 trade there taking Vineyard out and putting it into  
3 Sacramento, and then moving some population from there  
4 into SACSTAN and make that trade.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, let's -- Commissioner  
6 Fornaciari, give that as direction to Kennedy so we can  
7 see what it looks like.

8 MS. WILSON: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Grab that whole part of  
10 Contra Costa and move it into SACSTAN's beat. Or just  
11 grab it and let's see how many people.

12 MS. WILSON: So this has a total of 130,985 people.  
13 It would bring SAC Stanislaus to a 10.61 deviation, and  
14 the NAPABYRON to negative 8.53.

15 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And Vineyard is only  
16 40,000?

17 MS. WILSON: Yes. I would need to check. I think  
18 it's about 44,000.

19 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And WESTSAC is already  
20 in --

21 MS. WILSON: Yolo. Yes, WESTSAC is connected with  
22 Yolo.

23 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. But we can't -- we  
24 certainly couldn't move them all.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Well, with that, Commissioner

1 Fornaciari, do we want to move -- never mind; they're  
2 already up there. Okay. Lodhi.

3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, we'd have to move  
4 Lodhi to NAPABYRON, which -- well --

5 CHAIR TURNER: Nope.

6 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. I guess that's not  
7 going to work.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

9 Commissioner Fernandez.

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Kennedy, let's do  
11 your suggestion. Let's move Vineyard in, and then see  
12 what the affect is. Thank you. And thank you fellow  
13 Commissioners for going on this journey with me.

14 CHAIR TURNER: We're at break in two minutes.

15 MS. WILSON: Okay. Before break, you can see that  
16 there's 44,071 people. This would bring Sacramento to a  
17 4.15 deviation and SAC Stanislaus to a negative 7.11.  
18 Sacramento would still be in an acceptable deviation of  
19 plus or minus five. Oh, sorry, to the reporter. I'm  
20 speaking fast for break. And SAC Stanislaus would be a  
21 negative 7.11 percent.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So then SAC Stanislaus,  
23 we'd have to find a couple of percentage?

24 MS. WILSON: Correct. Possibly, the tail of  
25 Sacramento. However, that does connect to NAPABYRON.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It does. Yeah. Can you go  
2 down a little? Can you go South, just so I can see  
3 what's on the other side of SACSTAN? Thank you. And  
4 right now, San Joaquin is whole, correct?

5 MS. WILSON: San Joaquin is whole.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Can we --

8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just a quick clarification  
9 because the lines are the same size and I'm getting  
10 confused. The black lines are our district lines and the  
11 red are the county and they're -- okay. So the tail of  
12 Sacramento is part of NAPABYRON; is that correct?

13 MS. WILSON: Correct.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So why don't we add the tail  
16 to SACSTAN to make up that population difference?

17 MS. WILSON: That's because in Contra Costa we do  
18 have Bethel Island --

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh.

20 MS. WILSON: -- down to Byron --

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I see.

22 MS. WILSON: -- connects it together.

23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. You've added that  
24 together, right? Okay. Thank you.

25 MS. WILSON: But if you wouldn't mind --

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: If you go down a -- you go  
2 down a little bit, Kennedy. I know, I'm getting confused  
3 by the district lines versus county lines.

4 MS. WILSON: Would you like me to make one of them  
5 thicker than the other?

6 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Or could you make the red  
7 one, the county line, just a little thinner?

8 MS. WILSON: Okay.

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So is Oakdale in --  
10 which one is Oakdale in? In SAC Stanislaus or is it  
11 in --

12 MS. WILSON: Oakdale is in Stanislaus. It goes  
13 from -- this was a previous name, but it -- it's from  
14 Stanislaus to Merced, and then goes out to Mariposa,  
15 Tuolumne, and Calaveras Amador.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you zoom into that area  
17 right there with the riverbank and -- Chair, Salida is  
18 probably connected to Modesto, I'm thinking. Is that a  
19 community of interest?

20 CHAIR TURNER: Salida is connected to Modesto.

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And Del Rio and  
22 Riverbank, and Home Valley (sic) as well? Pardon?

23 CHAIR TURNER: All of those connected to Modesto.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

25 CHAIR TURNER: (Audio interference) -- actually,

1 Ripon is too, but.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: What about the -- that's  
3 not going to get you -- Home -- Valley Home?

4 CHAIR TURNER: Never heard of it.

5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can you see what that  
6 population is, please?

7 CHAIR TURNER: Sorry. Invitations. I'll come  
8 visit.

9 MS. WILSON: I can. One moment.

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's got to be --

11 MS. WILSON: Valley Home has a population of --

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 285?

13 MS. WILSON: Yeah.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you keep going  
15 down a little bit, so the -- okay. So my --

16 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And now we're passed break. I  
17 was so on top of it and we're three minutes in. So we  
18 are going to go break now.

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I'll keep looking.

20 CHAIR TURNER: And we'll be back at 11:18. Thank  
21 you.

22 (Off the record 11:03 a.m.)

23 (On the record 11:23 a.m.)

24 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, and welcome back. Before  
25 we get started, I'd like to ask, Kim, I'm looking for

1 some research information, so if you'll check the chat  
2 and get back to me I'd appreciate it. So we were in the  
3 process of having you check some things for us, and so  
4 yeah, please, Kennedy.

5 MS. WILSON: I think before we move forward, I would  
6 like to note that Modesto is not kept whole. It's  
7 noncontiguous, so there's a part of it here and a little  
8 part up there. So maybe before moving forward, I should  
9 reunite that before making more changes. Obviously, your  
10 decision, but I thought I would point that out. And as  
11 far as deviations go, it doesn't change too much at all.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I thought I was still waiting for  
13 you. Are you waiting for me?

14 MS. WILSON: I was waiting to see if you want me to  
15 do that.

16 CHAIR TURNER: Oh, yes. Yes.

17 MS. WILSON: Okay. I didn't -- I figured. I  
18 just --

19 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's like an impasse.

20 CHAIR TURNER: I'm like, um-hum.

21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

22 CHAIR TURNER: Right. Thank you.

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Do we want some (audio  
24 interference)?

25 CHAIR TURNER: That's fine. Thank you.

1 MS. WILSON: Okay. So reuniting it brings us from a  
2 negative 2.07 to a negative 2.01, and that's from a  
3 negative 2.65 to a negative 2.71.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez.

5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Let's accept  
6 that.

7 MS. WILSON: Okay.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So can you go up --  
9 oh, no, right where you're at. Can you (audio  
10 interference) to the left of Modesto, like, the left of  
11 all that -- yes. Thank you. Maybe all the way down to  
12 the population of 400 or what was that -- yeah.

13 (Pause)

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And maybe capture, like,  
15 also, Monterey Park Tract, like, the Cowan, like, that  
16 area right there, please? Thank you.

17 (Pause)

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then whatever that --  
19 is it Monterey Park to the left?

20 MS. WILSON: It's actually, a really tiny little --  
21 I'm not sure -- city, CDP, but it's right there, captured  
22 in there.

23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then what -- and then  
24 it says Modesto there, but that's not Modesto, right?

25 MS. WILSON: That -- the City of Modesto is --



1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is it split?

2 MS. WILSON: It's not contiguous.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, really? Oh.

4 MS. WILSON: Yeah.

5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Interesting.

6 MS. WILSON: So there's a part of Modesto --

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

8 MS. WILSON: -- that goes down here.

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you see what  
10 that does to our --

11 MS. WILSON: The -- the selection I have here?

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes, but then we had --  
13 did we already do the -- I wanted to see how it was going  
14 to impact when we moved the Vineyard.

15 MS. WILSON: Oh. Yeah, I -- so I can only do one at  
16 a time --

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh.

18 MS. WILSON: -- and we didn't commit the changes to  
19 moving Vineyard, and we just took a look at its pending  
20 changes. So I can do it -- I can still accept this, and  
21 then move Vineyard and we can still see that way as well.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that'd be great. Thank  
23 you.

24 CHAIR TURNER: And Kennedy, before you do that;  
25 remind me, this change is -- we're putting them, in, out?

1 Tell me, what are we doing with this?

2 MS. WILSON: We're -- oh.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So we were going to put  
4 that into the SSACS thing.

5 CHAIR TURNER: STANIS?

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because we were trying to  
7 move Vineyard in with Sacramento to keep it whole -- or  
8 to keep it with Elk Grove for the communities of interest  
9 up there. Because, again, I don't think that community's  
10 interest was kept whole in any of the other -- in  
11 Congress or in the Assembly. I think it's always been  
12 split out. I was trying to give them at least one  
13 district that they're connected.

14 MS. WILSON: And Monterey Park Tract is a CDP that  
15 has 165 people.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Let's see what this looks like so you  
18 can move to Vineyard, and I'll try and bring up some COI  
19 for this area.

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

21 CHAIR TURNER: See what we're doing to these folks.  
22 Thanks.

23 MS. WILSON: And I would like to note that this does  
24 not touch -- it touches the city border, but it doesn't  
25 take in any of Modesto, West Modesto, or Riverdale Park.

1 So I will commit that change now.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Did you say Riverdale?  
3 Riverbank? What did you just say, Kennedy?

4 MS. WILSON: I said this does not take -- this just  
5 touches the city boundary. It doesn't take the City of  
6 Modesto, West Modesto, or Riverdale Park. None of it is  
7 split or taken out, it just touches the border. So now  
8 should I move up and make that change to Vineyard?

9 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

10 MS. WILSON: Okay.

11 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I don't think it's going to  
13 stay in there, but.

14 MS. WILSON: So moving Vineyard in again brings  
15 Sacramento to a positive 4.15, and then the SAC  
16 Stanislaus to a negative 6.54.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

18 MS. WILSON: Would you like me to make that change?

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Give me just one quick --  
20 can you move down again, real quick? Comanche Village,  
21 that's in a different -- is that a different county?

22 MS. WILSON: Yes. Comanche Village is in Amador  
23 County.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Can you keep moving  
25 down a little bit? Thank you. Just a little bit more.

1 Okay. Hold on. Where's the end of this? Just one more  
2 down, I think. I just want to see where the bottom of  
3 this district is.

4 MS. WILSON: It goes to the end of the Merced County  
5 line.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, it's on -- wait. I'm  
7 getting confused. No, the SSACSTANIS.

8 MS. WILSON: Oh.

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was looking for that one.  
10 Thank you.

11 MS. WILSON: Yeah. Sorry. That's here at Newman,  
12 at the Merced County line, also, but to the West side of  
13 the county.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, I see. Oh, I didn't  
15 realize that. And Gustine is a different county, right?

16 MS. WILSON: Correct. That's in Merced County.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Show me where Merced  
18 County is. Oh, so Merced County's already split?

19 MS. WILSON: Yes, it's split once. Down the middle  
20 where this black line is, that my --

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I don't want to  
22 split it again. Okay. I am going to, for now, abandon  
23 this. I will definitely come back to it in December.

24 MS. WILSON: So shall I undo the change I made?

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, please.

1 MS. WILSON: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And then, I  
3 want to go back up to the Placer and undo some of that  
4 stuff since it's not working out and I want to try to  
5 keep Placer whole.

6 MS. WILSON: So shall I -- you want me to undo those  
7 changes entirely, or would you like to make it a little  
8 different than before?

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The changes I want undone  
10 are the ones -- not the Trinity of Del Norte; I want to  
11 keep those.

12 MS. WILSON: Okay.

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But then the other changes,  
14 and then I want to try to move Truckee --

15 MS. WILSON: In?

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.

17 MS. WILSON: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

19 (Pause)

20 MS. WILSON: So committing this change, brings the  
21 Eastern California district to a positive 4.84 percent.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

23 MS. WILSON: But then brings the Northern California  
24 district down to a negative 9.04.

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

1 MS. WILSON: 9.06 percent.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yes.

3 MS. WILSON: Okay. Is that okay, Chair?

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes, please. I'm  
5 sorry, Chair.

6 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So this is another step to  
7 process, right?

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Then, yes, please. While  
10 we're doing that, Jane?

11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.  
14 Just while we're in this area, I just wanted to mention  
15 the -- Alpine and Sierra, they're counties, but Alpine is  
16 1,200 people, Sierra has 3,200 people. So you know, and  
17 we're often talking about, well, it's a county, it's a  
18 county, but if we could kind of consider those, since  
19 they are the size they are -- they're about the size of a  
20 small town, so when they say, you know, our economy  
21 depends on such and such, it's like saying, this town is  
22 part of another county. So I would like us to keep, you  
23 know, Alpine wants to be with Mono; Sierra would like to  
24 be with Nevada. So if we could kind of consider that  
25 it's not just two counties that want to be together,

1 it's -- you don't want to isolate those from, you know,  
2 like you don't want to isolate just a small town. So I  
3 just kind of want us to keep that in mind and when we  
4 work through this area. Thank you.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you. I have me in the  
6 queue, but I'm going to go back to a different area. So  
7 Commissioner Fernandez.

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I hear you,  
9 Commissioner Andersen, but there's also quite a bit of  
10 COI testimony. My other option, though, is Placerville.  
11 Is Placerville currently out of that?

12 MS. WILSON: Yes, Placerville is out.

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, but it's not helping my  
14 NORCA.

15 MS. WILSON: Yeah. And one change we made there was  
16 bringing Del Norte back to the coast.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

18 MS. WILSON: And that -- we brought Del Norte and  
19 Trinity back to the coast, so that's no longer in this  
20 Northern visualization, which is why it's lower than when  
21 we started.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So NORCA, we have to  
23 bring down. So that we have to bring down. That's due  
24 to the Trinity and the Del Norte, correct? Yeah.

25 MS. WILSON: Correct.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Okay. Let's look at  
2 Truckee, please. And those are, Truckee and the Tahoe  
3 area, they do have a community of interest. Tourism,  
4 skiing, summer, all of it. One's on the 80. See, now  
5 I'm doing the -- one's on Interstate 80 and one's on  
6 Interstate 50.

7 MS. WILSON: So Truckee has a population of 16,740.  
8 Bringing it into the Eastern California visualization  
9 would bring the deviation to a 6.53 --

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that's right. It's  
11 going to make it worse.

12 MS. WILSON: -- percent.

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

14 MS. WILSON: Yeah. And then Northern California  
15 further down to a negative 10.75 percent.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's too short, so I'd have  
17 to -- I'd have to go the other way; that'd have to be  
18 Tahoe. Yeah. Can we try the Tahoe?

19 MS. WILSON: To try moving Tahoe North?

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Up, yeah.

21 MS. WILSON: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I went the  
23 wrong way. Those that had ridden with me, know that I go  
24 the wrong way a lot, so. Okay. I think that -- yeah.  
25 Thank you for cleaning that up. You see, I'm comfortable



1 with that. Okay. That's all I have. I would recommend,  
2 so that we do keep those tourist snow communities  
3 together.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. It looks like we're going to  
5 accept those changes when you get there.

6 MS. WILSON: Okay. So I was just cleaning up around  
7 the edges.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

9 MS. WILSON: Moving this Tahoe down to Meyers North  
10 into Northern California, would bring the Northern  
11 California deviation to a negative 4.61 and the Eastern  
12 California to a 0.4 percent.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. So we are accepting.  
14 Commissioner Fernandez.

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

16 Just out of curiosity, what's the population of  
17 Placerville? Or I could probably look it up in my  
18 handy-dandy paper here. 10,000?

19 MS. WILSON: Yes. Placerville has a population of  
20 10,783 people.

21 CHAIR TURNER: (Audio interference) --

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: They're with Diamond's --  
23 they're Diamond's -- okay. Nope. I'm just going to --

24 MS. WILSON: This also --

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- leave it. I'm just

1 going to leave it. Thank you.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Kennedy, if you would please go back  
3 down to the SACSTAN area and we were there for a bit.  
4 I'd like to take a look at Ripon and see if we cannot  
5 bring it into the same Senate district as the VSD/San  
6 Joaquin, so that we have Modesto, Oakdale, and Ripon  
7 together.

8 MS. WILSON: Okay. I will try that now.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, while we're waiting  
10 for that could I?

11 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

13 Commissioner Fernandez, I know you're trying to get  
14 Vineyard in. West Sacramento is 54,000, Vineyard's 44.  
15 So if you want to put West Sac back with Yolo County --

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: West Sac is with Yolo  
17 County in this visualization.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Okay.

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. But thank you,  
20 though. I appreciate that. And that keeps Yolo County  
21 whole.

22 (Pause)

23 MS. WILSON: So here, for contiguity, moving it  
24 North, I added Salida as well.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

1 MS. WILSON: Which I -- I don't remember if you said  
2 to it or not, but that would bring San Joaquin,  
3 Stanislaus, the one with -- bringing it into Modesto,  
4 would bring that to a deviation of 1.11 percent. And  
5 then North, the South Sac Stanislaus would be a negative  
6 5.83 percent.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's lock that in for now,  
8 and let me see if I can pull in --

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can I try to help,  
10 Chair?

11 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Prior, we were bringing --  
13 oh, but she's -- oh. Maybe I can't help.

14 MS. WILSON: Sorry. I just -- there's a census  
15 block. I'm assuming a river of some sort that --

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

17 MS. WILSON: -- I had to add it, or else it would  
18 split this at the county line. So I'm just adding a few  
19 more to even that out.

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: On the prior, when I was  
21 trying to get more, we went to the -- is it Modesto --  
22 went to the Modesto city lines and that might be enough  
23 population to move. That's just a suggestion.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, ma'am.

25 MS. WILSON: So I just delete it? So would you like

1 me to commit this change or try --

2 CHAIR TURNER: Let's make this change, and then  
3 let's see what the other -- because we still need more  
4 population in SACSTANIS. We can take a look, as well  
5 as -- because we, ultimately, did not make Modesto whole,  
6 right? Is that where we were at? I think that was too  
7 many.

8 MS. WILSON: Yeah. I'm just checking here.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Wait for the map to --

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. Did we undo the  
11 Modesto whole?

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, Modesto's whole.

13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Modesto is whole.

14 CHAIR TURNER: I mean, there were some things around  
15 there, though, that we --

16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, the area.

17 MS. WILSON: Okay. So is this a change you would  
18 like to make, Chair?

19 CHAIR TURNER: This is a change I'd like to make.

20 MS. WILSON: Okay.

21 CHAIR TURNER: And then I'd like to see SACSTANIS,  
22 the lines where they are to see where I can pull in  
23 population for SACSTANIS area. Just in.

24 MS. WILSON: So moving this line closer to Modesto?

25 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

1 MS. WILSON: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kind of what we did --

3 MS. WILSON: Okay.

4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- a little bit ago. Thank  
5 you.

6 (Pause)

7 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

8 (Pause)

9 CHAIR TURNER: Wow. I'm thinking this is a whole  
10 lot.

11 MS. WILSON: So this section here gets it to a  
12 negative 4.92 percent.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Let's do that and we'll see if  
14 these communities can give us a response to this area.  
15 Um-hum. And then, Kennedy, let's go, please, to -- I'd  
16 like to look back at the Merced area again. There is --  
17 and thank you, Kim, for researching it. There's a  
18 substantial amount of COI testimony requesting that  
19 Merced, which was split in the last Senate district, to  
20 be kept whole. And I think you told me there were 60  
21 some thousand people on the one side. 60 some thousand  
22 people on the Santa Nella/Los Banos/Dos Palos, and then  
23 we're at a -- let's see what it does to make them whole  
24 on one side or the other. And (audio interference) can  
25 check the VRA numbers, as well.

1 MS. WILSON: So this would put the San  
2 Joaquin/Stanislaus over at 7.26 percent. And then bring  
3 the San Benito/Fresno to a negative 4.6 percent, which it  
4 says in deviation and the Hispanic CVAP -- the Latino  
5 CVAP is at 55.31 percent. And I would like to mention  
6 that North, in this district, there were the -- that's  
7 where Placerville and Diamond Springs are put in. So if  
8 you're thinking about things out, there's stuff North in  
9 this, as well, that wouldn't split counties more than  
10 they're already split.

11 CHAIR TURNER: What was the previous CVAP? Latino  
12 CVAP?

13 MS. WILSON: One moment.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 55.19, I believe.

15 MS. WILSON: Yes. So it actually brings it up from  
16 55.19 to 55.31 percent.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Mine was not this particular  
19 area. It's just I want to see the greater Sacramento  
20 area.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

22 Commissioner Toledo.

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. Are there any  
24 disadvantages of moving towards the West in this, from  
25 the line drawer perspective, in terms of other districts

1 that might be impacted?

2 MS. WILSON: Well, if we take a bigger look at the  
3 district it's going in to, I think there's potential to  
4 balance in the North.

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So you're saying it's a  
6 positive? That it allows -- give us flexibilities in the  
7 North?

8 MS. WILSON: I wouldn't -- I wouldn't say one or the  
9 other.

10 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, but just from putting the  
11 deviations together, not so much --

12 MS. WILSON: Well, so it -- I mean, it brings this  
13 over, but you do have flexibility in the North because  
14 this is already at a negative, and this one is at 0.4  
15 percent. So balancing a 7 percent with 0.4 would mean a  
16 3 and a 4 percent, which are both within deviation.

17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And does Counsel have any  
18 advice here in terms of VRA, because it is a VRA area?

19 CHAIR TURNER: But it increases our CVAP and we also  
20 have, at least, a couple of comments requesting that we  
21 increase CVAP in this area.

22 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Um-hum.

23 CHAIR TURNER: But I'm sorry, I'll now let Counsel  
24 answer.

25 MR. BECKER: Yeah. I -- I don't see that this --

1 that this change implicates voting rights and concerns.

2 CHAIR TURNER: So let's explore further, Kennedy.  
3 So let's accept this, and then see how this does impact  
4 the North.

5 MS. WILSON: So the Northern border of this is at --  
6 taking in from El Dorado County, takes in Placerville,  
7 Diamond Springs, Shingle Springs, and Cameron Park.

8 CHAIR TURNER: And we can, now, remove some of that,  
9 right, to reduce. Okay. So we're back up in the area  
10 that you were working with before, Commissioner  
11 Fernandez.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we wanted to --  
13 okay. Okay. Let's go with Placerville.

14 MS. WILSON: Okay. We will start there.

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Please? I apologize for  
16 not saying, please, Kennedy. I love your work. You're  
17 doing great.

18 MS. WILSON: Thank you.

19 (Pause)

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And actually, maybe Rancho  
21 Murieta down at that corner. Does that help? Is that  
22 the same district?

23 MS. WILSON: It's in the --

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or no?

25 MS. WILSON: It's in the one below it --



1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, never mind.

2 MS. WILSON: -- and that's one's at a negative 4.9.

3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Never mind. Wrong  
4 district.

5 MS. WILSON: Okay.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Actually, you know  
7 what, can we move from El Dorado Hills East? I'm  
8 thinking that -- and try to pick up that; does that make  
9 sense, Kennedy?

10 MS. WILSON: Yes. And I would also like to note the  
11 deviation with just Placerville alone for you.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

13 MS. WILSON: That would bring the Eastern California  
14 to a 1.68.

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

16 MS. WILSON: And then the San Joaquin/Stanislaus --

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

18 MS. WILSON: -- to a 5.98 percent.

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

20 MS. WILSON: So -- so --

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So if you could do,  
22 like --

23 MS. WILSON: -- pick up Diamond Springs as well.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or I was thinking of, like,  
25 Shingle Springs, and then move towards Placerville. Does

1 that make sense? You know, go ahead and do Diamond  
2 Springs. I don't think Diamond Springs is going to give  
3 you a lot of -- so I'm thinking if we can actually pick  
4 up Shingle Springs and Diamond Springs and move it up,  
5 that would be great.

6 MS. WILSON: Okay. So I will start with, also,  
7 clicking --

8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sure.

9 MS. WILSON: -- Diamond Springs and see where that  
10 gets us.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

12 MS. WILSON: Um-hum. So with Shingle Springs and  
13 Diamond Springs, then we're at a positive 4.35 down in  
14 the San Joaquin/Stanislaus and a 3.33 for Eastern  
15 California.

16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Could you just -- yeah --

17 MS. WILSON: Yeah.

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- click on that one too,  
19 just to see what it looks like? Oh, it brings it over.  
20 Okay.

21 MS. WILSON: It -- it does bring it slightly over,  
22 and I'll zoom out.

23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

24 MS. WILSON: One suggestion you spoke about before  
25 was pushing Sheridan North.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.

2 MS. WILSON: I don't know how many people are there  
3 or -- exactly, but if this was slightly over populated,  
4 that was a direction you had given earlier, but it would  
5 split Placer County once putting in Sheridan North.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Can we try that,  
7 please?

8 MS. WILSON: Yes. So I will start with this one,  
9 and even that out. Should I add the rest of the county  
10 up there?

11 CHAIR TURNER: I would like it.

12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: You'd like that? Okay.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum.

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, it fixes the San  
15 Joaquin --

16 CHAIR TURNER: Right.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- for sure.

18 MS. WILSON: So I was -- there's no more cities or  
19 CDPs in this area, so with your permission, I would add  
20 the whole county back in.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. That'd be great.  
23 Then we got to fix the other one though.

24 MS. WILSON: And then I will move up to Sheridan and  
25 Placer.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And show me where the --  
2 those counties are kept whole, though, right?

3 MS. WILSON: Well, Placer and El Dorado are split to  
4 bring the Tahoe area --

5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, that's right.

6 MS. WILSON: -- North.

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's right. Okay.

8 MS. WILSON: Would you like me to check the  
9 population of Sheridan?

10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. But that would split  
11 Placer in three. No, but if we took part of Sheridan  
12 out, that would -- oh, go ahead. I'm not sure what  
13 Commissioner Andersen was saying. I couldn't hear you,  
14 sorry.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. There are both  
16 sections of Placer going up to NORCA.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, okay.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just different areas --

19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: You're right. I'm sorry.  
20 Yes. Thank you. I'm glad I phoned a friend. Because we  
21 could look at, maybe, Sheridan, if that's not in the  
22 Meadow Vista and Colfax and Alta. Connect it. That  
23 looks like it's at the deviation, Chair.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, it does, actually.

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yay, we did it.

1 (Pause)

2 MS. WILSON: The blocks are a little jagged over  
3 here, so I'm just trying to straighten that out. So  
4 bringing in Sheridan, Meadow Vista, Colfax, and Alta  
5 would bring ECA to a positive 4.51 percent, and then  
6 Northern California to a negative 2.62 percent.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please accept that.

8 MS. WILSON: I'm wondering, shall I connect this  
9 part as well? Just, there's the -- just at the county  
10 line, this is taking at the county line; shall I take  
11 more of the county line to keep it together, as in, like,  
12 right under here, keep clicking the blocks under here or  
13 to not do that?

14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Kind of even it out. Is  
15 that what you're doing?

16 MS. WILSON: Yes. Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't even know what's up  
18 there, actually, to be honest with you.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could you show us where  
20 Interstate 80 is on this, please?

21 MS. WILSON: Yes, one moment. Well, the label is a  
22 little small. Let me try to make that a little bit  
23 bigger. I'll turn this up. So the 80 runs through here.

24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or you could probably then  
25 continue it on --

1 MS. WILSON: Okay.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- until you get to Truckee  
3 because Truckee is on Interstate 80. Is that where you  
4 were going, Commissioner Andersen?

5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, yeah.

6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because then Interstate 80  
7 will go down to Auburn and --

8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Into -- yes, that's correct.

9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, I mean, if we're doing  
11 this, I would go ahead and take the 80 border, yes. That  
12 we're doing this, I am wondering, you know, I'm just a  
13 little concerned. This looks like to manipulate the  
14 large cities, the whole outer-lying area is being kind of  
15 sacrificed and cut up. That's why I'm just -- I'm  
16 concerned about that.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And my hand's up just to  
19 talk about something else.

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I guess I'll respond  
21 because Chair is busy right now, so. I guess on -- the  
22 flipside of it is, I see some positive in keeping some of  
23 the smaller communities in the same district because this  
24 area that's shaded red right now, they're obviously the  
25 smaller communities of Placer County. And plus I don't

1 know -- I have to do some research in terms of which  
2 other areas we can pull from to try to get the ECA  
3 numbers down.

4 CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner Fernandez, do we want  
5 to stand on this and wait a bit, or where are we at?

6 And while you're thinking about it, Commissioner  
7 Toledo, are you weighing in on this?

8 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I was going to weigh  
9 on -- if we're going to move in this direction, I would  
10 even it out a little bit through the highway. So I would  
11 add that, and then at this point, if we're considering  
12 it, I would just approve it because then it helps our  
13 deviations. Our deviations are in acceptable ranges for  
14 both of those districts at that point. Thank you.

15 MS. WILSON: Okay. There it is evened out. Would  
16 you like me to make this change, Chair?

17 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

18 MS. WILSON: Okeydoke.

19 CHAIR TURNER: And now we'll move to Commissioner  
20 Andersen.

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair.

22 What I'd like to do is just back out a little bit  
23 and have a look at the whole San Francisco area again,  
24 please. Okay. Oh, not quite that far. If you could  
25 zoom in a little bit on the Sacramento. Okay. There

1 were some areas that I couldn't quite see on the smaller  
2 map and I was just trying to have a look and see what's  
3 going on there, but. Okay. Thank you very much.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Then with that, Kennedy, I'll ask you  
5 to go, please, one more time, let me look at the  
6 outskirts of Fresno, and then I think we're done. I'm  
7 done, anyway, with this part. Thank you.

8 Commissioner Andersen.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I'm just saying,  
10 before we leave the whole central valley area, which I  
11 think we're about to do, I just did want to mention  
12 about, is it -- I believe, yes. Could we back out just a  
13 little bit, please, Kennedy? I just want to make sure  
14 I'm talking about the right area. I guess it's the  
15 Fresno/Kern. You know, we've been getting a lot of  
16 testimony from this area, how, you know, they don't like  
17 certain things and we are hearing you, and I just want to  
18 say, unfortunately, you need a million people to get  
19 together on this and there's a VRA district, which is  
20 required to be drawn, and then there are mountains. And  
21 it's literally stuck between a rock and a hard place  
22 because a mountain is a hard place, and there are  
23 different areas and there are different interests, but  
24 the one thing that you -- in terms of going from  
25 Bakersfield to Fresno, it's a long way going over the



1 mountains, which would be kind of the only area to grab  
2 population for. That's even longer, particularly in the  
3 winter, so. And if you don't have broadband, you really  
4 can't get there. So it's unfortunate because of the --  
5 we just have very, very large Senate districts in the  
6 State of California, and so we appreciate all your input  
7 and we expect to hear a lot more from everybody, all over  
8 California and we are considering it very, very  
9 carefully, so. Thank you.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that, Commissioner  
11 Andersen. And there is substantial COI testimony that  
12 has a greater concern for Assembly, more so than the  
13 Senate. So when we have the continued conversation  
14 around Assembly, I will want to lift this again and look  
15 at how we are separating Fresno from Kern in the Assembly  
16 district as well. So thank you for adding that in.

17 Commissioner Toledo, please.

18 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: If we could just take one last  
19 look at San Benito and Monterey because we've given the  
20 feedback and the changes we made to the VRA district.  
21 Just to look at it one last time before we go to the next  
22 portion of the state.

23 MS. WILSON: Also, Chair? I just wanted to bring  
24 up; in Assembly, Fresno is not going to Kern.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Fresno County/Kern County is

1 separate?

2 MS. WILSON: In Assembly, they're not together.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Oh. And that's what they wanted.

4 Beautiful. Thank you.

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we did the best job we  
6 could, given the VRA considerations and keeping as much  
7 of San Benito and Monterey whole. So with that, I'm okay  
8 to move forward to the next map. Thank you.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Beautiful. Thank you,  
10 Kennedy.

11 And I think this is it for this area. But before we  
12 go forward, we need to go backwards just a quick second.

13 Commissioner Yee.

14 COMMISSIONER YEE: I wanted to propose a small  
15 change to San Fernando Valley. I apologize for not  
16 catching this earlier. So this is at the border of Van  
17 Nuys and Sherman Oaks, along the 405, right where it dips  
18 down there. So it's a small change, but we've received,  
19 literally, hundreds of COI testimony about this, as well  
20 as calls. I thought we should fix it now so that folks  
21 will not need to continue calling. So zooming in more,  
22 let's see.

23 MS. CLARK: This is Oxnard.

24 COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

25 MS. CLARK: Is the -- is this the boundary you're

1 looking at?

2 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Oh, my goodness. It looks  
3 like it's already been straightened. So Oxnard to  
4 Hazelton.

5 MS. CLARK: So yes, in the Senate. So there have  
6 been a couple different versions of this COI sent in.  
7 Originally, members of the public said it went to Kalifa  
8 Street, which the census blocks don't line up with  
9 exactly. The Commission more recently has been getting  
10 testimony saying that this area goes up to Oxnard, which  
11 is the straight line here.

12 COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

13 MS. CLARK: So in Senate right now, it's up to  
14 Oxnard and the other versions, I think, is up following  
15 Kalifa as best as possible.

16 COMMISSIONER YEE: That's right.

17 MS. CLARK: So that -- so that's the difference in  
18 the boundary.

19 COMMISSIONER YEE: I see. And I did confirm that it  
20 should be on Oxnard, that is the official neighborhood  
21 council boundary now. It was changed in 2009. You know,  
22 I should have caught this yesterday, but if that has not  
23 been changed for the Assembly, also, we'll want to go  
24 back to that, but this may not be the time for that, I  
25 guess. Okay. Okay. This is all good, then. Thank you.

1 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

2 CHAIR TURNER: All right, Jamie. All right. So we  
3 are ready, Jamie, for you to talk to us about your Senate  
4 maps.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay. Of course. Thank you. A little  
6 tired here. One moment, please, we're just pulling up  
7 the page number.

8 Okay. Could we please start on page 34? This  
9 visualization includes Santa Clarita Valley and parts of  
10 San Fernando Valley, it's neighborhood council areas of  
11 Sylmar, Pacoima, Mission Hills, the City of San Fernando,  
12 Arleta, much of Sun Valley, North Hollywood areas, Van  
13 Nuys split at Oxnard, Lake Balboa, and Reseda, and this  
14 is a percent deviation of 1.67 percent.

15 Next, page 35. In East Ventura County, this  
16 visualization includes Simi Valley, Moorpark, Somis,  
17 Thousand Oaks, Oak Park, and Bell Canyon. In the San  
18 Fernando Valley, it includes Granada Hills, Porter Ranch,  
19 Northridge, Chatsworth, West Hills, Woodland Hills,  
20 Tarzana, Encino, Sherman Oaks, part of Sherman Oaks  
21 neighborhood, Studio City, and Valley Village. This is a  
22 percent deviation of .63 percent.

23 Moving on to page 36. This includes the Western  
24 most part of Los Angeles County, in the Malibu area,  
25 including Westlake Village, Agoura Hills, Calabasas, and

1 Topanga. Additionally, includes Palisades, Bel Air, West  
2 Hollywood, Beverly Hills, Westside Neighborhood Council,  
3 Westwood, Santa Monica, Venus, Marina del Rey, and this  
4 very Northern area of Westchester. And additionally,  
5 includes just the shore, here, near LAX. Including South  
6 of LAX, El Segundo Beach, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach,  
7 all of Torrance, Gardena, South of Rosecrans, Lomita,  
8 Rolling Hills, Palos Verdes. This is a percent deviation  
9 of 1.4.

10 Next, moving to page 37, please. This visualization  
11 is a deviation of .6. And again, this is page 37.  
12 Includes LAX, Del Rey, Mar Vista, Palms, Culver City,  
13 Inglewood, South Robertson, Pico, Mid-City, West Adams,  
14 Jefferson Park areas, also, including South Central  
15 Neighborhood Council, areas of Westlake. And the border  
16 here is at the Southern boundary of Historic  
17 Filipinotown, this does not include the Historic  
18 Filipinotown, COI.

19 Please join me at page 38. Zooming out to see the  
20 whole thing. This is a percent deviation of negative  
21 1.54. It includes San Pedro, Wilmington, Carson, West  
22 Carson, Compton, Harbor Gateway South and Harbor Gateway  
23 North Neighborhoods -- Neighborhood Council areas.  
24 Includes West Rancho Dominguez, East Rancho Dominguez,  
25 Compton, Willowbrook, the Watts COI, Westmont, West

1 Athens, Hawthorne, Alondra Park, Lawndale, Hawthorne,  
2 Lennox, Del Aire, and the area of Gardena North of  
3 Rosecrans.

4 We discussed some of these yesterday. So we  
5 discussed on the one called 710 to water yesterday,  
6 that's on page 139. So page 40, please, the SDNELA  
7 visualization. This includes Greater Wilshire,  
8 Koreatown, East Hollywood, Silver Lake, Echo Park,  
9 Historic Filipinotown, Glassell Park, Highland Park, the  
10 LA-32 Neighborhood Council area, Lincoln Heights, East  
11 Los Angeles, Boyle Heights, downtown LA, Vernon,  
12 Commerce, Maywood, and Bell. It's a percent deviation of  
13 negative 2.04 percent.

14 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Do you have the page number  
15 for that?

16 MS. CLARK: That was on page 40.

17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.

18 MS. CLARK: We discussed page 41 yesterday. So we  
19 will look next at page 42, please. This visualization  
20 includes Claremont, La Verne, San Dimas, Glendora,  
21 Duarte, Monrovia, Northern parts of Arcadia, Pasadena,  
22 South Pasadena, Altadena, La Canada Flintridge, La  
23 Crescenta, Glendale, Burbank, Eagle Rock, Atwater  
24 Village, Hollywood Hills, NoHo Neighborhood Council,  
25 Foothills Trail, and Sunland-Tujunga, and areas of

1 Angeles National Forest.

2 We already looked at the visualization on page 43,  
3 and so next, we're going to look at page 44, please.

4 Zooming out because this is geographically large. This  
5 visualization includes Antelope Valley, with Victor  
6 Valley, and areas of Northern San Bernardino County.

7 Additionally, includes areas in Northern Upland and  
8 Rancho Cucamonga in San Bernardino County. And those are  
9 the current Senate visualizations in Los Angeles County.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Jamie.

11 Commissioner Ahmad.

12 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you.

13 I was curious to know in SD210, what is the  
14 population of, I believe it's Hollywood Hills, below  
15 Glendale?

16 MS. CLARK: One moment, please. Just -- just  
17 verifying this is the area you're looking at?

18 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

19 MS. CLARK: Okay. Thank you. So this isn't the  
20 exact boundary of the neighborhood council area, but  
21 pretty close, and this is 17,967 people. So just shy of  
22 18,000.

23 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Thank you. That's all.

24 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

2 My understanding from all of the input from Equality  
3 California is that the preference would be to move West  
4 Hollywood into the SDNELA area to reunite it with the  
5 rest of Hollywood. So I would propose that we undertake  
6 they do that.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Absolutely. Yep. I agree with that.  
8 Commissioner Sinay.

9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: This was an area that has a  
10 CVAP for Latinos at 49.21. So one of the thoughts we had  
11 had was actually moving Hollywood up to SD210, and then  
12 bringing Eagle Rock in to SDNELA because we've gotten  
13 feedback that Eagle Rock wants to be -- that Latino  
14 community wants to be together. So I just wanted to  
15 check in if it mattered which way we were looking at  
16 unifying Hollywood and West Hollywood.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. We'll explore some of  
18 these.

19 Commissioner Vasquez.

20 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yes. I was going to say I'd  
21 like to explore moving Eagle Rock into SDNELA. And I'm  
22 also wondering -- I've given it a little thought, but I'm  
23 wondering when Commissioner Akutagawa gets back if maybe  
24 we will be in a place to think a little bit more about  
25 SDNELA. I know we cut short a conversation; I still



1 think this is a good place to start, but I did want to  
2 give Commissioner Akutagawa an opportunity that she  
3 wanted to study this more.

4 So yeah, I think we should -- I don't know that I've  
5 seen a preference as to whether West Hollywood and East  
6 Hollywood -- I know we have heard from Equality  
7 California that they go together, to not split the LGBTQ  
8 community, but I am curious about potentially moving them  
9 into SD210.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

11 Commissioner Toledo. Nope. Okay.

12 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll go back. Sorry about  
13 that. So I am in support of --

14 CHAIR TURNER: Your hand's up and down. What are we  
15 doing?

16 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I am in support of unifying  
17 the two. I'm also in support of adding Eagle Rock. The  
18 question in my mind is which to do first, so that we are  
19 careful with the deviations and try to get to a balancing  
20 of these because there's significant population that  
21 we're shifting.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. And as we're having this  
23 conversation, for the line drawers, for Jamie, I'll just  
24 mention, so we're also getting testimony comments in  
25 regards to our Californians that may have a challenge

1 with color blindness or what have you. So as far as  
2 being able to differentiate the lines, and so I'll put  
3 that out there and I'm not sure what suggestions to make  
4 about it. However, I'll name it to see if there's  
5 something that can be done. Jamie --

6 MS. CLARK: Could I ask a clarifying question of  
7 which lines are difficult to differentiate according to  
8 the comments?

9 CHAIR TURNER: Well, I hope they continue to write  
10 in and answer that. It's just that the testimony is that  
11 it's difficult when trying to discern which lines go  
12 where in redistricting with color blindness.

13 MS. CLARK: I'm going to make the district  
14 boundaries larger, and I hope that this helps and if  
15 anyone finds comments with more details.

16 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

17 Commissioner Sadhwani.

18 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So where to begin. Can we  
19 pan out just a little bit so I can see more of the entire  
20 area? So please correct me if I'm wrong. Currently, the  
21 Northern parts of the City of Los Angeles, including, Los  
22 Feliz, North Hollywood are paired with the 210, including  
23 Sunland-Tujunga?

24 I'm trying to figure out this piece that Equality  
25 California is calling in about. And I've mentioned this

1 before, I live in this sort of area. Sunland-Tujunga is  
2 a fairly urban/rural sort of location. I mean, you  
3 definitely have folks up there that are having horses and  
4 much more open space. There's that connection to the  
5 mountains for sure.

6 I'm concerned that many of these cities in the  
7 Northern parts of Los Angeles would be better served not  
8 in that district. I'm not sure how we get there. So I  
9 definitely -- I'm okay for now with this West Hollywood  
10 switch to that area to support that COI. But I'm also  
11 wondering if there's a larger readjustment to these maps  
12 that better keeps the City of Los Angeles whole, and  
13 maybe would split some, like, Burbank, Glendale, Pasadena  
14 as needed, only if needed, for population purposes, and  
15 orienting West Hollywood, Los Feliz, and other parts of  
16 that COI with the beach areas.

17 And in particular, they look further down in the  
18 beach areas. You know, I see Gardena and Northern  
19 Torrance as a part of this district. Gardena and  
20 Northern Torrance, we've had quite a bit of testimony, I  
21 don't know if it's specific to the Senate maps, but in  
22 general, about historic African American communities that  
23 have cultural centers throughout that area, as well as  
24 some of the testimony from AAPI groups that wanted to  
25 see, I believe, it was Gardena and Northern Torrance; and

1 I would need to go back to my notes.

2 I'm wondering if there's swaps that can be made  
3 here, and this is a very sort of classic West L.A.  
4 district -- West L.A. coastal, sort of district that  
5 we're forming here and to that end, I think that perhaps  
6 there's larger structural changes. But in general, to  
7 get us started, I would support the switch from West  
8 Hollywood for now, but I think in the long run there  
9 might be broader changes to be made.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner  
11 Sadhwani.

12 Commissioner Taylor.

13 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, real quick. I know you  
14 asked, where shall we start. I was going to suggest that  
15 we start with the Eagle Rock switch and I am in support  
16 of what Commissioner Sadhwani just said. I wonder if  
17 that Los Feliz community is best served in the NELA  
18 district. Thank you.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Taylor, you want to walk  
20 through the directions for Jamie?

21 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. To begin, much as  
22 Commissioner Vasquez suggested to put the Eagle Rock out  
23 of SD210 into SDNELA.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

25 And while --

1 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: You got it.

2 CHAIR TURNER: -- she's clicking on that,  
3 Commissioner Vasquez.

4 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: I will wait, I think -- I  
5 will wait because my next is, I think -- well, actually,  
6 I think this opens up opportunity -- I'm, in some ways,  
7 trying to stall for Commissioner Akutagawa. This does  
8 open up opportunities to explore maybe reworking some of  
9 this, like, Vernon/Commerce piece.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: What in previous maps was the  
12 Gateway cities -- some of the Gateway cities. So just  
13 wanted to flag that, but I think this addition of Eagle  
14 Rock -- can we, actually -- can you widen this data box  
15 so that we can see the -- we don't have another readily  
16 accessible ethnic community indicator, so I'm just sort  
17 of using the Hispanic CVAP as a proxy for the Hispanic  
18 community. Okay. I think we can accept this change.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

20 Commissioner Taylor.

21 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: That was a lingering hand, but  
22 I want to go ahead, Regis, and accept the change as well.

23 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

24 Commissioner Kennedy. Still on mute, sir.

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thought I had unmuted it and

1 was waiting on unmuted, but maybe somebody muted me. The  
2 issue with West Hollywood is that the LGBT community is  
3 not just West Hollywood and Hollywood. It goes beyond  
4 that to Silver Lake and other areas as well. So to me,  
5 it doesn't make sense to push Hollywood out of SDNELA.  
6 It makes more sense to bring West Hollywood in. So  
7 that's where I'm coming from on this. Thank you.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

9 So let's accept the Eagle Rock change. And then  
10 let's look at, Commissioner Kennedy, adding in West  
11 Hollywood and you want us to go from there?

12 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure. Thank you.

13 MS. CLARK: Adding West Hollywood into the --

14 CHAIR TURNER: SDNELA.

15 MS. CLARK: Yep. That would make the percent  
16 deviation of the NELA district, 4.66 percent, and the  
17 larger coastal district, negative 2.22 percent.

18 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

19 Commissioner Sadhwani.

20 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I completely agree  
21 with Commissioner Kennedy on that one. And I mean,  
22 there's two options if we want to go here now. One, put  
23 the LGBT community in the SDNELA, which I could see. Or  
24 moving them to that further West L.A. region. And I  
25 think the answer will come with, you know, as

1 Commissioner Fernandez began to point out, what we do  
2 with those lower portions of SDNELA. Jamie, could you  
3 please remind us of where the VRA district was in this  
4 area -- or districts?

5 MS. CLARK: There are VRA considerations in these  
6 areas.

7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Those three are VRA?

8 MS. CLARK: Did you want it shaded in, or?

9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If you could, yes. That  
10 would be really helpful for me; I don't know about for  
11 others.

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Audio interference) --

13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And again, I don't have all  
14 the populations in front of me, but some of these VRA  
15 districts could potentially be reoriented so that they  
16 can work better. I mean, I think we're hearing from a  
17 lot of different communities that, like, the San Gabriel  
18 Valley one is some odd combinations, having Pico, Rivera,  
19 Montebello with San Gabriel, Rosemead, Alhambra, Arcadia,  
20 et cetera.

21 East L.A. Boyle Heights, as we've discussed in  
22 previous weeks, could be a part of a NELA district. It  
23 could also, potentially, be a part of a more Southern  
24 district. Like, that could potentially be a boundary  
25 that, you know, pairs with Vernon, Commerce and further

1 down into the Gateway cities. So I think there's  
2 potentially different options that could be explored and  
3 I'd be curious to hear what other Commissioners think  
4 about any of that.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We do have one proposed change  
6 that we'll either accept or stand down from. Let's hear  
7 from Commissioner Vasquez and Toledo.

8 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yeah. I think I'd like to  
9 accept this change of moving West Hollywood. It actually  
10 doesn't change the deviation. It doesn't push us wildly  
11 out of bounds, even with both of these changes. So that  
12 feels like a better landing place than I think I was  
13 afraid it would be. So first, I'd like to accept this  
14 change as long as there are no objections.

15 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

16 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm fine with that as well.  
17 And then I had a question about Eagle Rock for  
18 Commissioner Vasquez, maybe Taylor as well.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

20 So let's accept this change.

21 And Commissioner Vasquez, you wanted to move a  
22 different area? Do you want to hear the question that  
23 Commissioner Toledo has?

24 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: I can do the question.

25 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just curious; in terms of



1 the Eagle Rock area, is there a differential? You know,  
2 are there sections of Eagle Rock that are more working  
3 class? Because if I remember correctly, I think we  
4 talked about this earlier and that there was some kind of  
5 a divide in that community, and I'm wondering if, for  
6 deviations purposes, if we can, you know -- so just  
7 curious about that. Thank you.

8 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Vasquez, I can work  
9 on that answer to that, too, if you don't want to.

10 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: Yes.

11 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So I mean, Eagle Rock is an  
12 interesting community in that it's the gateway to  
13 Pasadena, it's a college community around Occidental, but  
14 it's the Northern end of Glassell Park and people also  
15 use it as a transportation route to Highland Park. So it  
16 has a lot of characteristics. It's an older historic  
17 neighborhood. So you know, like a lot of communities in  
18 California, it bears a lot of attributes.

19 So yes, you can see some of the culture, some of  
20 that Latino culture, while you still have some of the  
21 culture that comes along with Occidental being in the  
22 center of the city. And you have the outskirts of  
23 Pasadena that are on the Northern -- I guess, you have  
24 the Northern border, and there's a bridge that leads --  
25 and then there's a mountain range to the North. So it's

1 kind of a bottleneck to Pasadena.

2 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: If I can add -- thank you for  
3 that, Commissioner Taylor. If I can also add, I think at  
4 this point, for deviation, I'm less worried about that.  
5 What is concerning me a little bit is, again, this is not  
6 a VRA district, but we don't have an easily accessible  
7 proxy for Latino communities of interest and again, we've  
8 talked about how much many of these communities are being  
9 impacted by gentrification and changing demographics.

10 And so my concern is that we've added, now, two  
11 communities, West Hollywood, and Eagle Rock, that have  
12 lowered the Hispanic CVAP, which, again, even if it's not  
13 in play for voting rights, tells me that there may be  
14 more we can do to strengthen and keep together Latino  
15 communities of interest in, I think, this really  
16 important, but shifting, district.

17 I don't know what those are yet, but there's a lot  
18 of Latino communities, as you head South, really, across  
19 this whole district. So maybe the next step is to turn  
20 on the Latino CVAP in this area and maybe we can make  
21 some adjustments around based on that heat map.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

23 Commissioner Sinay.

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So can I just ask? The  
25 Hollywood United Neighborhood Council, is that not part

1 of Hollywood as well? I mean, that's why I was looking  
2 at moving pieces up North into that district. You know,  
3 there's Hollywood Hills, Hollywood United North  
4 Neighborhood Council, and so I'm just trying to figure  
5 out, you know, we can make the move that we did and then  
6 I was going to still hear that we've broken up the LWT  
7 community -- the heart of the LWT community. And the  
8 reason I'm asking that is I know -- is there a division  
9 between the Hollywood United Neighborhood Council and Los  
10 Feliz Neighborhood Council, or are they all just kind of  
11 the same boundaries?

12 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: They are not the same  
13 boundaries, but they're very distinct communities, but  
14 they're similar-ish. But they very much take pride in  
15 their own individual communities, I would say.

16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So it's just -- and does  
17 anybody know, is the Hollywood United Neighborhood  
18 Council different? I mean, should that also be part of  
19 the other Hollywood's? I mean, that's where I'm  
20 getting -- there's four different Hollywood's on this  
21 map, in this general area, and that's why my thought had  
22 been to move it all into 210, because two were already  
23 there. And so I'm just trying to figure out exactly  
24 what, you know --

25 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Sinay, those are

1 distinct communities. So there's not, you know, like,  
2 pieces of, like, this block considers themselves part of  
3 this neighborhood council and also part of that  
4 neighborhood council. They are distinct unto themselves.

5 COMMISSIONER VASQUEZ: And I think this Hollywood  
6 United piece, I think that's the Bowl. I think that's,  
7 like, Hills and the Hollywood Bowl and -- yeah. So we  
8 might not -- I mean, I guess we could -- I think probably  
9 the bigger question mark in terms of the LGBTQ community  
10 is maybe this Hollywood Hills section because that's  
11 residential. But I don't have the Equality California  
12 maps in front of me, but that would be, again,  
13 potentially, where we might still be breaking  
14 populations.

15 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: There's Universal City,  
16 too.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

18 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, I think, Commissioner  
19 Akutagawa, you were before me. Did you want to go first?  
20 No?

21 CHAIR TURNER: She doesn't have her hand --

22 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, sorry. Yeah, I mean,  
23 I -- so I guess, my question is, are we good like this?  
24 Right? Because I think what I'm hearing is there's a  
25 desire to keep together the LGBTQ community, as well as a

1 desire to keep together Latino communities of interest.  
2 Not necessarily from a VRA perspective, and I think that  
3 those are the -- I agree with that. If we leave it as  
4 it, we're within our legal bounds here, because we're at  
5 4.66 percent. If we want to try bringing in these other  
6 parts of L.A. City, we're probably going to be going  
7 over, and so then I think we would have to make some  
8 decisions about, is this a district more centered around  
9 the LGBTQ community or is this a district more centered  
10 around Latinos.

11 I mean, we can see that East L.A. Boyle Heights, you  
12 know, with the Latino CVAP on is where the bigger  
13 concentrations are. I would be curious to hear more from  
14 folks about what they wanted to try and achieve here as  
15 we try to make decisions because that's going to  
16 ultimately have effects as we move further down and out  
17 on this map.

18 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.  
19 Commissioner Akutagawa.

20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I apologize that I  
21 had to step away. I am curious if you've had a further  
22 conversation about the West San Gabriel Valley because I  
23 think what happens in NELA also impacts the West San  
24 Gabriel Valley. And as I said last night, I mean, there  
25 is a significant COI in that San Gabriel Valley and you

1 know, at the same time, I think we need to figure out how  
2 do we honor the VRA districts. And one thought, I'll  
3 just throw out there, and I don't know if you discussed  
4 this, but similar to -- I think there was a conversation  
5 about, I believe it was the -- maybe it was the San  
6 Fernando Valley earlier, about is it better to have two  
7 strong VRA districts versus three semi-strong VRA  
8 districts in this area. So I'll just stop here.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

10 Commissioner Toledo.

11 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Given the conversation earlier  
12 about potentially shifting our VRA districts a little bit  
13 later on, during the next phase, I would recommend  
14 accepting West Hollywood in this district, keeping it as  
15 is for now, and waiting to hear from community input to  
16 help us guide any future changes that we might make. And  
17 it sounds like that's something that the Commission is  
18 interested in exploring in the future.

19 CHAIR TURNER: So Jamie, are we still sitting  
20 with -- we did not approve that last West Hollywood?

21 MS. CLARK: We did. It's currently in the NELA  
22 district.

23 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Okay. At this  
24 point, we're going to go to lunch and we'll be gone to  
25 lunch for forty-five minutes, and we'll pick this back up

1 at the end of lunch. Thank you.

2 (Off the record 12:50 p.m.)

3 (On the record 1:40 p.m.)

4 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much, and welcome back  
5 from lunch. Would like to kind of set expectations for  
6 us for the balance of our time today. We are going to  
7 continue with our agenda item number 6, which is the live  
8 line-drawing for the completion of our Senate maps. I do  
9 want to let you know, at this time, we are going to go  
10 ahead and post what we have for reaction. We are going  
11 to post our Assembly maps and the Congressional maps at  
12 this time.

13 From there, we will continue working to complete our  
14 Senate map, at which time we will require just a short  
15 break in between so that we can set up in preparation for  
16 the discussion for our Board of Equalization. We will  
17 complete those today and see if there are motions to  
18 accept, at which time, we'll go to public comments. And  
19 at the conclusion of public comment, we will, hopefully  
20 at that point, be able to take a vote on our maps.

21 So that is what we're going to try to and work  
22 through, a very aggressive schedule, but it's what is  
23 before us is what we're going to make happen. All right.  
24 So at this time, we will go -- Commissioner Akutagawa.

25 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Are we -- I -- that

1 break just really did me in. So are we ready to go this  
2 VRA district, Chair?

3 CHAIR TURNER: We -- yes. We are in now the Los  
4 Angeles area on all of our Senate maps at this time.

5 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So -- but the one  
6 that is next, I think we started this discussion around  
7 these VRA districts. Is that -- no?

8 CHAIR TURNER: No.

9 MS. CLARK: I apologize. I didn't know the  
10 direction was towards me, but we had sort of looked at  
11 all of the visualizations in Los Angeles County, and  
12 we're talking about the SDNELA visualization.

13 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We -- yes, but I want to  
14 ask about the ones that are next to it because I did  
15 express concerns about the -- particularly just -- I  
16 guess I -- when we left off, I asked the question, is it  
17 better to have two strong VRA districts or three semi-  
18 strong VRA districts? Because I think we did leave off  
19 with that question.

20 And I'm also asking because the -- you know, we have  
21 been receiving and we have received quite a bit of  
22 testimony from -- about an Asian COI in two of these VRA  
23 districts, and I know that the dilemma that we left off  
24 with yesterday was how do we honor other VRA districts  
25 and also still, as we try to deal with other COIs, honor



1 this COI too? So --

2 CHAIR TURNER: The question, Commissioner Akutagawa,  
3 that you're asking about the preference -- I see Mr.  
4 Becker has come on.

5 Mr. Becker.

6 MR. BECKER: Yes. Thanks. I'll just make a couple  
7 of quick points. We shouldn't be talking about strong  
8 and weak VRA districts. The point of a VRA district is  
9 to provide an opportunity for the minority communities  
10 that have met all of the preconditions in the totality of  
11 the circumstances to continue to have the opportunity to  
12 elect candidates of choice, first of all.

13 Second of all, I'd just point out, we're talking  
14 about the Senate maps right now. It is perfectly  
15 appropriate to consider a variety of different COIs;  
16 however the Asian communities nowhere in California  
17 were -- were numerous and geographically compact enough  
18 to form a majority in the largest of these -- of the  
19 three maps, which is the Senate districts outside of the  
20 Board of Equalization, obviously.

21 So VRA concerns did not protect Asian voters in  
22 Senate districts only and in Congressional maps as well  
23 because of the numbers because the first Gingles  
24 precondition was not met. It did not require a  
25 particular district to be drawn because they could not

1 meet the first Gingles precondition.

2           COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I understand that, but as a  
3 COI, is there a way to be able to -- I -- I'm just asking  
4 these questions because I just want to make sure I  
5 understand, but also those who are listening who are  
6 interested can understand and also give us testimony or  
7 inputs as to what they may see may be a better way  
8 forward given what we're presented with, and so we did  
9 see yesterday during the Assembly maps that there's some  
10 pretty large CVAPs in certain areas. We've also talked  
11 about some communities being more affiliated with other  
12 communities.

13           Do you believe, David, that there could be a  
14 different look to these maps?

15           MR. BECKER: So I'm not a line drawer. What I can  
16 tell you is there's no one -- there's no one boundary  
17 that defines that is the on boundary that will work for  
18 VRA considerations. What I can tell you is, and perhaps  
19 the line drawers can put the CVAPs on the three yellow  
20 districts right now. I'm sorry. Not the block bubble.  
21 I just needed the percentages. Thank you.

22           MS. CLARK: So what's up on the map, the label right  
23 now is the name of the visualization, the percent  
24 deviation, percent Latino CVAP, percent black CVAP,  
25 percent Asian CVAP, and percent white CVAP.

1 MR. BECKER: So Latino populations in this area  
2 satisfy all three Gingles preconditions, and -- which  
3 means the Voting Rights Act requires that we attempt to  
4 draw districts that will enable them to have the ability  
5 to elect candidates of their choice. We have seen  
6 rationally polarized voting here.

7 These percentages are probably slightly on the lower  
8 end. The -- the one where I think -- the one where I  
9 think the percentages might be in the range that we  
10 should certainly solicit additional community testimony  
11 about and determine whether or not it's sufficient is  
12 probably SD10WE, the Northern-most district here, given  
13 the other percentages that we're seeing there, but we  
14 have seen adequate evidence and data to suggest that the  
15 Latino community is large enough and geographically  
16 compact enough and given racially polarized voting that  
17 there likely should be three Senate districts where they  
18 can continue to have the ability to elect candidates of  
19 their choice. The boundaries are entirely up to you as  
20 long as they afford Latinos that opportunity.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Mr. Becker.

22 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

23 CHAIR TURNER: You're welcome.

24 Jaime, did you have -- were you complete with Los  
25 Angeles? Okay. We'll move to Southern California,

1 please.

2 So at this time, we're just waiting as the mappers  
3 make a shift and get set up.

4 MS. TRATT: All right. Thank you so much for your  
5 patience, Chair, Commissioners.

6 So I believe last night, we left off covering the  
7 visualizations that potentially have VRA considerations.  
8 Is there a preference as to going back or just starting  
9 with the rest of the visualizations?

10 CHAIR TURNER: Take us through the rest of the  
11 visualizations, please.

12 MS. TRATT: Okay. Perfect. One moment while I find  
13 the page number. This is Sivan Tratt. Is -- is my  
14 camera on? One second.

15 Okay. So the last visualization -- let's see. So  
16 we will be on page 50 starting with the visualization  
17 SES/DCEC. So this visualization was -- we added Bonita  
18 to it following Commissioner feedback, captured as much  
19 of the Southern portion of the City of San Diego,  
20 including keeping intact many of the LGBTQ COI  
21 neighborhoods that we identified in testimony. It goes  
22 up to including Santee, Eucalyptus Hills, and then cuts  
23 between Harbison Canyon and Crest, goes around rancho San  
24 Diego to Spring Valley and La Presa.

25 The -- one moment, please. Sorry about that. All

1 right. And then let me just turn on the layer for tribal  
2 lands. The other change that was made -- so the other  
3 change that was made is this is the Sycuan, I hope I'm  
4 pronouncing that correctly, Indian Reservation, and  
5 again, this was from the feedback, the directions to  
6 consider the letter from the Pala Band of Mission  
7 Indians, but it was talking about considering associating  
8 tribal lands with the place where the people there are  
9 most likely to get their services.

10 So considering we've had a lot of conversations  
11 about this, so obviously I haven't made changes to the  
12 Senate, I haven't gotten direction yet, but that's just  
13 the current standing of this visualization.

14 Next would be on page 51, SDC, and this is the  
15 visualization that includes the rest of the City of San  
16 Diego. It goes as far as Coronado. It does not include  
17 Imperial Beach, National City, or Chula Vista, but it  
18 does connect Coronado to the downtown area of San Diego  
19 City. It goes as far North as Escondido, and it keeps  
20 the City of Escondido intact as well as the entirety of  
21 the City of Poway.

22 On page 52 is SOCN/SDC, and again, some slight  
23 changes were to incorporate Pala and the Pala Indian  
24 reservation. It also removed the Pachanga Reservation,  
25 and paired it with Temecula just kind of making an

1 educated guess as to what the closest urban center would  
2 be for those folks living there, and then it goes far  
3 North as this Southern cities in Orange County. It  
4 includes the coastal cities of Dana Pointe and San  
5 Clemente, and it goes as far North as Rancho Santa  
6 Margarita and includes Rancho Mission Viejo as well.

7 Should I continue, or are their comments so far?

8 CHAIR TURNER: No comments. Yep. One comment.

9 Commissioner Sinay.

10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Thanks for all your  
11 hard thinking on this and where things can go. This map  
12 is eliciting a lot of attention. I think the main  
13 concern that the community has is going from the coast  
14 all the way up and around, you know, from Orange County  
15 Coast, San Diego Coast, and then -- Coast, and then up and  
16 around Camp Pendleton. That -- is there a way, instead  
17 of going up and around, just to go down the -- keep all  
18 the coast together, the San Diego Coast and the Orange  
19 County, just keep traveling South, and then take the --  
20 the in -- inside part? I guess you need my direction.

21 MS. TRATT: Yeah. So you would be talking about  
22 potentially removing these more inland cities from  
23 visualization?

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I think -- exactly. So  
25 Valley Center, Pala, Rainbow, Fallbrook, kind of

1 everything East of Vista and putting it in the group with  
2 Escondido --

3 MS. TRATT: Yeah. Commissioner --

4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- or --

5 MS. TRATT: -- if you'd like to give me direction, I  
6 would be happy to try that change.

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So my -- is it -- it's -- is it  
8 better to take things out or add things in?

9 MS. TRATT: It's the same either way, whichever way  
10 you want to --

11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So let's --

12 MS. TRATT: -- try it.

13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we go from Del Mar, go  
14 ahead and add the Torrey Pines and La Jolla.

15 MS. TRATT: Sorry, Commissioner. If you could refer  
16 to the name of the district you're wanting me to add --

17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, sorry. So --

18 MS. TRATT: --and take from, that would be helpful.  
19 Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: We are -- so SOC/NSDC. It just  
21 happened to be the longest one. I guess they're all  
22 long. Sorry. So go to the South part of that district,  
23 southwest, and just continue to go down the coast.

24 MS. TRATT: Okay. So this would be adding to this?

25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Adding to that, yes. That tat

1 adding to the coastal areas of the City of San Diego into  
2 this South Orange County/North San Diego --

3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

4 MS. TRATT: -- County? Okay.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And making sure, you know,  
6 around a lot of the beaches, there's waterways, there's  
7 lagoons, so we need to make sure the lagoons are staying  
8 with the cities that they are part of, and I'm only  
9 bringing that up because I've gotten several letters  
10 saying that we cut a lagoon that I don't think we did,  
11 but --

12 MS. TRATT: Commissioner, sorry. I know that I said  
13 that it would be the same either way, but it might  
14 actually, now that I'm thinking about this --

15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Better to go the other --

16 MS. TRATT: -- make more sense to start by removing  
17 the cities so that we can --

18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect.

19 MS. TRATT: -- judge how much population to add from  
20 San Diego.

21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: That makes absolute sense. So  
22 from the East boundary, you know, Valley Center -- you  
23 know, Valley Center the tribal lands, Pala, Rainbow,  
24 Fallbrook, and Bonsall.

25 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to add Hidden Meadows



1 and San Marcos as well? And let me bring over the --

2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: No.

3 MS. TRATT: -- (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)  
4 , too.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: You can keep San Marcos there.  
6 That will be fine.

7 MS. TRATT: Okay. So --

8 COMMISSIONER SINAY: But Hidden Meadows, yes.

9 MS. TRATT: Okay. And obviously the unincorporated  
10 areas.

11 MS. TRATT: Yes.

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And then if you can put them  
13 into -- SEC is the VRA district, right?

14 MS. TRATT: Yes, that's correct. So I was going to  
15 put these cities temporarily in the --

16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: At SDC, yes.

17 MS. TRATT: SDC as that's where we'll be bringing  
18 population in from.

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

20 MS. TRATT: One moment while I clean this up a  
21 little bit.

22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Of course. Remind me that --

23 CHAIR TURNER: I think you should also look at those  
24 unincorporated areas as well around Vista.

25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Actually, those are really

1 connect -- well, we'll see. Yeah.

2 MS. TRATT: Okay. So acknowledging that this could  
3 potentially use some further refining, is this generally  
4 what you were thinking of adding?

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

6 MS. TRATT: Or rather taking out of this coastal  
7 visualization?

8 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

9 MS. TRATT: Okay. So in that case, that would turn  
10 the deviation of this South Orange County/North San Diego  
11 County visualization to a negative 10.48 deviation, and  
12 the SDC would be overpopulated by 8.52 percent. Would  
13 you like me to commit this change?

14 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

15 MS. TRATT: All right. So next we can go down. Let  
16 me just clean this one straggler up. One moment.

17 All right. So at your direction, I'll start  
18 grabbing from this coastal areas. I'm assuming these  
19 water areas are the lagoons that you're talking about?

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I (audio interference).

21 MR. MANOFF: Microphone, please. Microphone,  
22 please.

23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm so sorry about that. So  
24 yeah. If you can just go, kind of follow the 5, but  
25 the -- so Del Mar, there's a lagoon right outside of Del

1 Mar where it says, "Del Mar," to the right, and yes. So  
2 all those waterways should be also with the coast.

3 Okay. And if we -- is it possible not to go into  
4 the 805 triangle?

5 MS. TRATT: I don't know.

6 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Can we zoom in a little  
7 bit? Is it possible? Just to be able to see.

8 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Let's see.

9 MS. TRATT: Which part would you like to zoom in on,  
10 Commissioner?

11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm just -- so I was just  
12 trying to figure out where -- so you just grabbed La  
13 Jolla, right; do you know?

14 MS. TRATT: Yeah. So again, we don't have the  
15 official neighborhoods, but I believe La Jolla is  
16 generally here. So I have not grabbed the entirety of La  
17 Jolla, no.

18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Again --

19 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to continue adding --

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think you have --

21 MS. TRATT: -- population?

22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- grabbed -- well, could you  
23 go up at the -- where we started and can you fill in kind  
24 of from -- you see where Fairbanks Ranch kind of goes?  
25 I'm just trying to figure out exactly where we are. Can

1 you put the Google --

2 MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment.

3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry.

4 MS. TRATT: No. No worries.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Yeah. So if you  
6 can kind of maybe follow --

7 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to fill in from  
8 this --

9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Up to the --

10 MS. TRATT: -- like 56 --

11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- 56, yeah.

12 MS. TRATT: -- triangle? Okay. One minute.

13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Where are we at on that now?  
14 Oh.

15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Wow. I fixed it. You can keep  
16 the Carmel Valley going West.

17 MS. TRATT: Okay. Sorry. So Maptitude is not  
18 cooperating. So I'm going to --

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: All right.

20 MS. TRATT: -- commit this change, and then remove  
21 this area. Sorry about that. One moment.

22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't think you need to  
23 remove that.

24 MS. MACDONALD: I'm just going to clean up the line  
25 a little bit. There are a couple --

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure.

2 MS. MACDONALD: -- noncontiguous blocks. Thanks for  
3 your patience.

4 MS. TRATT: Commissioner, how does this look?

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's looking good. And I would  
6 say to accept that, but I'm going to turn to the Chair  
7 because that's her job.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Before we accept, Commissioner  
9 Toledo.

10 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: We did receive community of  
11 interest testimony about keeping El Cajon separate from  
12 Santee, and it's a little bit lower down, so I'm not --  
13 and --

14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can --

15 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- can --

16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- we wait? We're not done  
17 with this one yet.

18 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But just in terms of  
19 population and as we're shifting population, it might  
20 make sense to consider it.

21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And we've already received  
22 significant --

23 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay.

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Let me let Commissioner Toledo

1 finish.

2 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. So we did receive  
3 community of interest from the African-American  
4 community, the black hub specifically, to keep El Cajon  
5 with La Mesa and Spring Valley and not with Santee.  
6 Santee is such -- it's such a large population center  
7 that we -- it would have ripple effects on these areas  
8 too. So just something to consider, but there was drawn  
9 concern about keeping Santee with El Cajon. Thank you.

10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: There's -- there -- yours was  
11 that -- to separate them, but we've also received a lot  
12 of requests from the East County, the rural communities  
13 to keep them together. So that's why we've been doing  
14 the half and half, but I can go either way, wherever it's  
15 needed.

16 So if we can -- with the -- going up to -- I just  
17 wanted to capture Carmel Valley because they're in the  
18 same school district as Del Mar. So I didn't know if you  
19 had got -- oh, so wait. Now, I'm confused. We accepted  
20 the first change, or did we not, where we took it out?

21 MS. TRATT: Yes. So both changes have been  
22 accepted. So now the deviation of this SOC and SDC --

23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.

24 MS. TRATT: -- district is negative .59, and the SDC  
25 is negative 1.36.

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Gotcha. Okay. So it doesn't  
2 make sense to add any more to this right now for the --  
3 just make it go negative.

4 MS. TRATT: Unless you have another area that you  
5 wanted to remove, but now the districts are balanced, so  
6 everything will have to have an equal on the other side.

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. So I would take what  
8 Commissioner Akutagawa recommended and take the  
9 unincorporated area outside of Vista and add it to the  
10 SDCC -- I mean, SDC.

11 MS. TRATT: All right. One moment while I do that.

12 MS. MACDONALD: We're getting there.

13 MS. TRATT: All right. So with those proposed  
14 changes, the SDC visualization would be .59 over  
15 deviation, and the SOC/NSDC would be negative 2.54  
16 percent under deviation. Would you like me to commit  
17 this change?

18 CHAIR TURNER: Yes, commit the change, and while  
19 we're there, can you let me see the communities that we  
20 have? Go ahead and accept it first.

21 MS. TRATT: Yes. So that change is now accepted,  
22 Chair.

23 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And can you review the  
24 cities that's in the areas that's there?

25 MS. TRATT: Yes. So now the SDC visualization goes

1 South from Coronado up through the City of San Diego,  
2 still includes all of Poway, still includes all of  
3 Escondido, Valley Center, up to Pala, Rainbow, Fallbrook,  
4 Bonsall, and now the SOC/NSDC visualization includes the  
5 coastal -- the northernmost coastal area of the City of  
6 San Diego, and it goes as far inland as San Marcos and  
7 Vista.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

9 Commissioner Sinay.

10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Can we go down  
11 towards downtown?

12 And guess, before we do that, let's do what -- look  
13 at what Commissioner Toledo had brought up with the El  
14 Cajon and Santee and make sure -- I think with the Senate  
15 district, it makes sense to keep El Cajon whole, and we  
16 can --

17 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to move Santee out of  
18 the SES/DCEC visualization?

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: If we did that, it would be  
20 going into?

21 MS. TRATT: SDC since SEC is a CVAP, is -- has a --

22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. Okay.

23 MS. TRATT: VRA consideration.

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just wanted to make sure it  
25 wasn't going into the VRA district. Yeah. So I would



1 say Santee, Eucalyptus Hill, Winter Gardens, and  
2 Lakeside.

3 MS. TRATT: One moment, please.

4 No. That's the VRA one.

5 All right. So moving Santee Eucalyptus Hills,  
6 Winter Hills, and Lakeside into the SDC visualization  
7 would make that 11.78 percent over deviation, and  
8 SES/DCEC would become negative 13.1 under deviation.

9 Would you like me to commit this change?

10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes, if the Chair says so.

11 MS. TRATT: So now looking at areas that you would  
12 like to move into this visualization from SDC, where  
13 should I begin?

14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry about that. My thought  
15 would be to move in the -- okay. I'm trying to -- so  
16 going -- let's see. It's going up there. I would move  
17 in probably -- if you take the Pacific Beach, Ocean  
18 Beach, Point Loma -- where is Coronado now? Is it in  
19 this one, too? Would it be all by itself?

20 MS. TRATT: Yes. Coronado --

21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. I'm sorry.

22 MS. TRATT: -- would be -- yeah.

23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was like, I don't have to --

24 MS. TRATT: You have to take Coronado from --

25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. That's what I --

1 MS. TRATT: -- for it to not become -- yeah.

2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- was thinking. So if we take  
3 all of that and put it in with SES/DCEC.

4 MS. TRATT: One moment while I try that.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Sinay, we're still  
6 working on your vision, but Commissioner Toledo and  
7 Commissioner Sadhwani, are you in the same area?

8 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. Now, that we're back in  
9 the downtown area abouts, Equality California did send us  
10 shapefiles. I just want to make sure that -- because I  
11 do think it's an opportunity to unify the LGBT community.  
12 I'm not sure if we captured it correctly last --  
13 yesterday because we were working off of, you know, the  
14 information they had sent us via PDF, but I believe we  
15 have shapefiles now. Just want to make sure that we are  
16 able to, especially since we need population, keep those  
17 two -- that community unified. Thank you.

18 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yep. Mine was going to be  
20 along similar lines. I -- if I -- my notes are correct,  
21 I think those LGBT COIs ran between the 5 Freeway running  
22 North/South and the 8 Freeway running East/West, that  
23 area below them. I think we should continue this  
24 exploration.

25 I do remember receiving COI testimony about keeping

1 Coronado out of some districts. So I myth think it might  
2 be worth -- it might be valuable just double-checking  
3 that as we explore the opportunities here. It could also  
4 be reasonable to potentially cut along the 5 Freeway, and  
5 again, I would defer to Commissioner Sinay on this  
6 because, you know, I'm just looking at physical barriers  
7 here, but potentially along the 5 all the way up to the  
8 52, that would keep Kearny Mesa, where we've also had COI  
9 testimony, along with those LGBT COIs together and  
10 possibly also keep out Coronado, if that -- if SOC/NSDC  
11 linked all the way down, but I'd need to see the full  
12 picture of that. I -- I'm not sure where exactly that  
13 goes all the way up to.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Do we have staff that could  
16 check the Coronado --

17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Coronado, they don't -- the  
18 Barrios don't want to be with Coronado.

19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Uh-huh.

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And why -- what my vision is  
21 here is if we can put the Barrios in with the C -- with  
22 SEC in the -- I mean, in the VRA -- in the VRA community  
23 and pull out some of the other groups, the other cities  
24 that are more East County and put them in with the East  
25 County groups. That would allow National -- because

1 right now, they're not -- that would allow Bonita and the  
2 Barrio -- because the Barrio is not in -- Barrio Logan  
3 and Logan Heights, Sherman Heights, are they in the VRA?  
4 Okay. They're already in there, and so we are keeping  
5 Coronado away from the Barrios.

6 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Great. Thank you. I  
7 just wanted to check on that.

8 MS. TRATT: So unadding this area to the SES/DCEC  
9 visualization, that visualization's deviation will become  
10 2.95 percent, and SDC will be negative 4.19 percent.  
11 Would you like me to commit this change?

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh, yes. What I am --

13 MS. TRATT: All right. So now just zooming out to  
14 give a picture of the greater county area, I will await  
15 your next direction.

16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would like to see the -- I  
17 can't tell here if -- I feel like we're missing a piece  
18 right now of the Asian COI, the Asian business COI, and I  
19 think that was Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa, and I don't know  
20 if we can add everything in, so I completely understand  
21 that, Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa, and Linda Vista.

22 MS. MACDONALD: There we go.

23 MS. TRATT: So it looks like Kearny Mesa might  
24 currently be split. Would you mind naming those  
25 neighborhoods one more time?

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure. Kearny Mesa, Mira Mesa  
2 would be up above it on the 15 right there, and then  
3 Linda Vista, which would be by the 8 and the 163.

4 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: This was what I was talking  
5 about earlier, Commissioner Sinay. I'm sorry I'm  
6 jumping --

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh.

8 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- in here, if that's okay,  
9 was, you know, bringing that further out to hit the  
10 Kearny Mesa and Linda Vista. I believe we have --

11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Perfect.

12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- testimony about a  
13 business district in that area.

14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. That's what I was  
15 talk -- I was looking at the --

16 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. So moving that, I would  
18 say to the 15.

19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right.

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. That -- so that that --

21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Or to the 5 or to the 15?

22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Wait. Which one? I'm looking  
23 at -- which one are you looking at?

24 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I don't know if we're going  
25 to get Mira Mesa in this. That's why I thought perhaps

1 we could start by keeping Kearny Mesa whole and trying to  
2 include Linda Vista, maybe starting there. If we go all  
3 the way up to Mira Mesa, it might throw our deviations  
4 off.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, so you're -- okay. So  
6 you're pulling that -- going that -- okay. That sounds  
7 good. Sorry. I was looking at it a different --

8 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So at least as a starting  
9 point.

10 MS. TRATT: So could I recommend keeping them whole  
11 within the SDC visualization rather than the SES -- DCEC  
12 since this already needs population?

13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, that's fine.

14 MS. TRATT: So we can bring this down to capture the  
15 rest of Kearny Mesa. Linda Vista is mostly in it as is  
16 Mira Mesa, and we could maybe bring it down to the 8 and  
17 to the 805.

18 Does that sound like a direction that you would like  
19 to give?

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: That makes sense to me. Does  
21 that meet what you were looking at, Commissioner  
22 Sadhwani?

23 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think so, but let me  
24 double-check my notes. Let's move forward and try it,  
25 and I'll go back to some of my notes.

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Because then it hits all of  
2 them except for Linda Vista.

3 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think actually this would  
4 keep Linda Vista, right, if it's in SDC?

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, yeah, it does. Sorry.

6 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.

7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: All the freeways confuse me  
8 sometimes.

9 CHAIR TURNER: While she's clicking there,  
10 Commissioner Ahmad.

11 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, thank you. I do see COI  
12 testimony asking to keep Serra Mesa together with Linda  
13 Vista, Kearny Mesa, Claremont, and not -- and separated  
14 from Escondido, Poway, Santee, and Lakeside. So I wonder  
15 what the population would look like if we, yeah, pushed  
16 the border all the way to 15, and then, what is that, 8,  
17 Highway 8?

18 CHAIR TURNER: 8. 15 and 8.

19 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: .8, Sorry? So Cal?

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.

21 MS. TRATT: So bring that all the way down to the 8  
22 would make the deviation of SDC .59 percent and SES/DCEC  
23 negative 1.83 percent, and that would put them in the  
24 same visualization district as Linda Vista. It would  
25 reunite Kearny Mesa, Serra Mesa, Mission Valley, and it

1 would also maintain Mira Mesa in a single district.

2 Would you like me to commit this change?

3 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

4 Commissioner Sinay.

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So I just -- let me --

6 CHAIR TURNER: While she's checking that out,

7 Commissioner Fornaciari.

8 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm just wondering  
9 if we wanted to check that border where that little red  
10 dot is to make sure that border isn't a place that makes  
11 sense, and then I was also wondering about UC-San Diego.  
12 Did we split it in half, or did we catch it all?

13 MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, Commissioner  
14 Fornaciari. Let me just zoom up. So the University of  
15 San Diego -- or sorry. Pardon me. The University of  
16 California-San Diego campus is right here. Would you  
17 like me to move the line to go around the rest --

18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I --

19 MS. TRATT: -- of the campus?

20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: That would --

21 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I wouldn't presume. I  
22 don't know anything about it. I just saw it sticking out  
23 and asked the question.

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

25 MS. TRATT: Okay. One moment, please. All right.



1 So I grabbed the rest of the campus blocks, and this is  
2 now an overview of what the changes look like.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Sivan.

4 Commissioner Toledo.

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I just want to verify  
6 that we didn't split the LGBT community. Were we able to  
7 get the shapefiles? I know they were sent to voters  
8 first emails, but I don't know if we -- if they made it  
9 to the line drawers.

10 MS. TRATT: I do not have them up, but if someone  
11 would email them to me, I could potentially pull them up  
12 right now if you're willing to wait a few moments.

13 CHAIR TURNER: We are willing to wait for this.

14 MS. TRATT: Marcy, do you have those?

15 MS. KAPLAN: Let me look where there's the  
16 shapefiles. I also know we sent the PDF files to her  
17 yesterday. So I'll connect with Tony on the shapefiles  
18 because those should be in there (audio interference).

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: While we're --

20 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Andrew?

21 MR. DRECHSLER: Yes. Just based on the PDFs that we  
22 were looking at, it looks like we do have the LGBTQ  
23 community together in the City of San Diego.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

25 Commissioner Sinay.

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is it possible to swap Jamul  
2 for Bonita in the VRA district?

3 MS. TRATT: I believe we're trying to get the  
4 LGBTQ --

5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh, other -- sorry.

6 MS. TRATT: -- those up first, and then I will take  
7 a look at that. Sorry. I have to two-step verification  
8 my mail to get it, so one -- thank you for your patience,  
9 everyone.

10 Okay. So while we look for that, we will return to  
11 the LGBTQ COIs, and first let -- we can look at swapping  
12 Jamul and Bonita. One moment.

13 Okay. So adding Jamul to SES/DCEC would make the  
14 deviation negative 1.2 percent, and for SEC, which is our  
15 VRA consideration district, it would be negative 2.62  
16 percent, and it change the Latino CVAP to 57.12 percent.

17 Would you like me to commit this change?

18 CHAIR TURNER: What was the CVAP before?

19 MS. TRATT: One moment. It was at 56.8 percent.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

21 Commissioner Fernandez.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. I probably  
23 missed it. Commissioner Sinay, that was -- the swap was  
24 for community of interest? I --

25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. Bonita has asked to be

1 part of South Bay, and Jamul has written asking to be  
2 part of El Cajon and Spring Valley, I believe.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

4 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Chair.

5 I do see the COI testimony, Commissioner Sinay, that  
6 you're referring to, but I also see COI testimony asking  
7 to keep Jamul, Ramona, El Cajon --

8 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Uh-huh.

9 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- Santee, Poway together. So  
10 I think it's fine for now; however, this is an area I  
11 definitely need to study further to see what we can do to  
12 try to accommodate as many COIs as possible.

13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I agree. I agree. I  
14 was just -- I think I was more concerned about Bonita  
15 because it's one of the few South Bay communities that's  
16 not in with South Bay.

17 MS. TRATT: Would you like me to commit this change  
18 and continue removing Bonita from this visualization?

19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Let me ask my Commissioner --  
20 my Commissioners, my colleagues.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll commit the change.  
22 Sure. And can you zoom in so that some can see Bonita?

23 MS. TRATT: Yes, absolutely. So Bonita is this area  
24 in pink right here, and I'm going to add it to the SEC  
25 visualization. One moment, please.

1           So it looks like that would put the Latino CVAP back  
2 where it was initially at 56.87, so just slightly higher  
3 than it was. Would you like me to commit this change?

4           CHAIR TURNER: Yes, please.

5           MS. TRATT: Chair, where would you like me to move  
6 next?

7           CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, are we finished with  
8 this area?

9           Commissioner Toledo.

10          COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think we're just waiting for  
11 the shapefiles, but I'm not sure if we were able to  
12 locate them. We did? We located them?

13          MS. TRATT: We can come back once we get those, but  
14 it might make sense to move on to Orange County, if  
15 that's all right with you, Chair.

16          CHAIR TURNER: It does make sense.

17          MS. TRATT: Okay.

18          CHAIR TURNER: Because I was going to say we're  
19 done, so yes, we need to -- we need Orange County.

20          MS. MACDONALD: We reviewed a number of those  
21 visualizations yesterday.

22          MS. TRATT: So the next visualization you can  
23 reference in your PDF is INC, and that's on page 53.  
24 This encompasses most of the coastal areas of Orange  
25 County going as far as North as Seal Beach and the Orange

1 County/LA County line. Also includes both Los Alamitos  
2 and Rossmoor, all of Huntington Beach, all of Costa Mesa,  
3 all of Tustin and North Tustin, and all of the City of  
4 Irvine, so lots of COIs being kept intact there. It  
5 includes Aliso Viejo and as far as South as Laguna Miguel  
6 and Laguna beach, and this is currently at a 1.39 percent  
7 deviation.

8 The next one would be SAA on page 54.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Give me one minute, please. Thank  
10 you so much. Just real quick. I just want to say for  
11 those that's following the process with us that the  
12 proposed draft maps are updated for Congressional  
13 district draft maps and Assembly draft maps. They're  
14 under the 11/7 -- excuse me, November 7th through the  
15 9th, 2021, and it's under 11/10/21, proposed draft maps.

16 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Chair Turner?

17 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Yes.

18 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Can I ask if the shapefiles are  
19 also up or just the PDF.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Just the PDF.

21 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And I'm guessing we do plan to  
22 eventually upload the shapefiles as well?

23 CHAIR TURNER: When they're -- yes. As soon as  
24 they're --

25 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah.

1 CHAIR TURNER: -- ready, yes.

2 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. Awesome. Awesome sauce.  
3 Thanks.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Sivan. Thank you. Excuse me.

5 MS. TRATT: Yes. Thank you so much. The next  
6 visualization is SAA on page 54. This keep intact the  
7 City of Santa Ana. It does cut into the -- this kind of  
8 westernmost part of Anaheim, includes Buena Park as well  
9 as La Palma and Cypress, Garden Grove, Westminster,  
10 Midway City, and that Little Saigon COI in those cities  
11 right here, and it also picks up Fountain Valley as well.  
12 This is as a negative .53 percent deviation currently.

13 Following that would be visualization IOC, and you  
14 can find this on page 55. The changes from last time are  
15 that it follows Commissioner direction to move Rowland  
16 Heights, Walnut, Diamond Bar, La Habra Heights, and  
17 Hacienda Heights into this L.A. County district right  
18 here, and it also removes some of the -- these Southern  
19 OC cities right here, and with that was to accommodate  
20 swapping around the Little Saigon cities in the SAA  
21 visualization as that's a change that was made from last  
22 time as well, to reunite those cities in a single  
23 visualization.

24 So IOC is at a deviation of negative .26. It  
25 includes all of the City of Orange, the majority of

1 Anaheim, including Anaheim Hills, Fullerton, Brea, all of  
2 Chino Hills, and it goes South to capture the mountainous  
3 area and the inland Orange County and also picks up Lake  
4 Forest, Mission Viejo, Laguna Hills, and Mission Viejo as  
5 well.

6 Should I continue or pause here, Chair?

7 CHAIR TURNER: One moment, please.

8 Commissioner Kennedy.

9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

10 I'm just wondering -- I mean, that looks like a  
11 fairly random diagonal through Anaheim, and I'm just  
12 wondering what the basis of that was. Thank you.

13 MS. TRATT: Let me turn on the streets layer. I  
14 believe it actually follows the 5 Freeway.

15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you.

16 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani.

17 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I'm -- I'm also  
18 concerned about the way we're cutting through Anaheim.  
19 It is certain we -- I think this isn't the best way to do  
20 this. Based on COI testimony, I'd actually like us to  
21 rethink our approach in the middle of Orange County there  
22 keeping together some of those key communities of  
23 interest. We had a lot of testimony from the Santa Ana  
24 area about multiple different communities that are --  
25 that exist in that region, many of whom are essential

1 workers, some tied to the entertainment industries as  
2 workers working -- you know, working-class folks.

3 So I want us to be a little bit more cautious in the  
4 approach here and would like to see a reorientation of  
5 these Senate districts. I don't know if the best -- if  
6 we want to dive -- are we diving in?

7 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

8 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: All right. Then I would  
9 need to go back and look of some of the COI testimony.  
10 Do we still have the Assembly maps up in this area?

11 MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment while I pull up those  
12 boundaries.

13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thanks. Maybe if Mr. Becker  
14 could also remind us about whether or not we have VRA  
15 considerations in this area as well.

16 MR. BECKER: One moment. I'm just going to be  
17 looking, reminding me -- myself of some of the data. And  
18 can we get the -- can we get the Latino CVAP up for SAA,  
19 please?

20 MS. TRATT: Yes. One moment, please. The Latino  
21 CVAP for SAA is 36.4 percent.

22 MR. BECKER: Can you scroll down so we -- so I can  
23 see -- okay.

24 MS. TRATT: The blue lines that are filled in are  
25 the Assembly visualizations.



1 MR. BECKER: Okay. So there were -- I'm still  
2 calling up some of the data. There -- there were Voting  
3 Rights Act's concerns here. And some racially polarized  
4 voting. I'm just trying to get the most recent data up  
5 for myself.

6 Why don't you -- you guys continue, once you -- why  
7 don't I run -- and you discuss it. I've got to find a  
8 file real quick.

9 MS. SADHWANI: Yeah. No problem. So if I may.  
10 Just to kind of conclude my thoughts before we move into  
11 making any changes. I think we're -- what this -- these  
12 districts looks like is, we're kind of plowing into some  
13 key community of interest testimony through this region.  
14 And I -- I definitely want us to take a -- a more precise  
15 approach as -- as -- so that we're in a better shape  
16 to -- to make those further adjustments come December.  
17 Thank you.

18 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.  
19 I'm wanting to just say for Commissioner Toledo, I'm  
20 understanding that the -- we have not received -- we did  
21 not receive Shape files from Equality California, just  
22 the PDF files is what I'm being told.

23 MS. TRATT: Chair, if I may, we did -- we did  
24 reference the PDF images of where those areas of interest  
25 were. And to the best of my knowledge, obviously, having

1 the Shape file on top would be the most exact way, but  
2 from my knowledge and my observation, it does appear that  
3 we keep the majority intact.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. Just wanting to close the  
5 loop on that. I appreciate that, Sivan. Thank you so  
6 much. Commission -- oh, Mr. Becker, you ready?

7 MR. BECKER: Yeah, thank you. Thank you, Chair. So  
8 in reviewing the data that we have, there were some  
9 Assembly racially-polarized voting as used here. But for  
10 the Senate district, it appears that we did not see  
11 consistent -- constantly a third Gingles precondition  
12 here, meaning that non-Latino communities were voting  
13 cohesively against Latino candidates of choice.

14 So for Senate, this is probably a -- a lower  
15 priority for Voting Rights Act's concerns.

16 MS. SADHWANI: If I can just respond to that. I --  
17 I think that's really helpful. So thank you for that,  
18 Mr. Becker. I -- I think regardless of that, that we  
19 have received a lot of testimony, or in addition to that,  
20 we have received a lot of -- of testimony from that  
21 region, as well as from community groups throughout that  
22 area. And I'd -- I'd really like us -- to see us move  
23 closer in that direction.

24 What I'm seeing here is keeping, not all of Anaheim,  
25 but much of Anaheim in a -- in a greater compact region.

1 Possibly even going up towards La Habra and East  
2 Whittier, as well as keeping much of Santa Ana and  
3 Orange. Possibly, even including Stanton.

4 So I think, you know, we -- we've receive a whole  
5 bunch of testimony throughout this summer from those  
6 regions. I'd really like to see us attempt to move  
7 towards keeping them together.

8 MS. TRATT: I'm ready to take your direction.  
9 Whichever way you would like to start trying to do that  
10 first.

11 MS. SADHWANI: Sure. Do you want me to go ahead or  
12 do you want to get -- I see other hands also --

13 CHAIR TURNER: You probably can go ahead, but before  
14 you do, I want to hear from Commissioner Akutagawa.

15 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I -- I -- again,  
16 I -- I do want to say I think there is testimony, COI  
17 testimony we've received from community groups that takes  
18 a more, you know, that takes one perspective of -- of --  
19 of certain communities. And then there's other  
20 communities testimony that speaks to similarities in  
21 terms of essential workers. But also other communities  
22 that tend to be a little bit more working class and low  
23 income. Particularly in areas, like, that South  
24 Fullerton area. So I just want to lift that up.

25 There was also, I've seen some testimony about

1 Placentia and parts of Placentia also having some of  
2 those same working class, you know, lower-income  
3 immigrant. Also communities in those areas. The 57  
4 Freeway being the dividing line for Anaheim, what they --  
5 I guess I've seen some say the flatland versus the valley  
6 area.

7 And then, same with Orange. Although for Orange,  
8 the 55 Freeway seems to be the dividing line. And so  
9 I -- I wanted to just lift those up as maybe  
10 considerations to look at for what Mr. Becker was talking  
11 about that, you know, similarities in profiles of  
12 communities that may share similar interests that may  
13 benefit from, you know, having a more cohesive voice in  
14 the -- in the region. Thank you.

15 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.  
16 Commissioner Kennedy.

17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Looking at  
18 SSA and INC, you know, I'm -- I'm understanding INC as an  
19 effort to have a coastal district. And I'm thinking that  
20 given the -- the current variances it might make sense to  
21 move Los Alamitos and Rossmoor into SAA. It wouldn't  
22 necessarily satisfy all of the community of interest  
23 testimony. But it seems like it would be headed in that  
24 direction. And they're not coastal communities in and of  
25 themselves. So thank you.

1 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner  
2 Sadhwani.

3 MS. SADHWANI: Yeah. I just want to say -- sorry  
4 about that.

5 I -- I agree with everything Commissioner Akutagawa  
6 had suggested. So I mean, can we start by -- by -- I  
7 don't know how from a practical perspective you want to  
8 start doing this, but I think the SSA districts right now  
9 is kind of focused on the -- the Little Saigon area,  
10 which we've also heard a lot of testimony -- I think --  
11 my sense is if we move -- move the -- start reorient --  
12 start reorienting the SSA further East, we can preserve  
13 Little Saigon to the West and kind of come up through  
14 Buena Park.

15 Alternatively, potentially, we could -- the IOC, I  
16 feel is of course, going to need to be revisited as we  
17 make these changes. So I guess I'm looking to the  
18 mappers on how to best start achieving this. I mean, I  
19 think you've heard we want -- we want to focus Anaheim,  
20 Santa Ana, Orange, parts of Stanton, La Habra, parts of  
21 South Fullerton, Parts of Placentia, potentially. That's  
22 where all of that COI testimony had -- had come from.  
23 You all can tell -- tell us also what's the easiest way  
24 to -- to start moving things around, because I think this  
25 is a -- this is a fairly larger construction --

1 reconstruction.

2 MS. TRATT: So if I'm understanding some of these,  
3 like, larger goals correctly, it would be moving Anaheim  
4 Valley into SAA as well a portion of the City of Orange,  
5 correct?

6 So I would probably, I think if I'm remembering  
7 correctly from COI testimony as well as where we've split  
8 in other visualizations, using the 56 as the dividing  
9 line -- or sorry, pardon me -- the 57, we could also take  
10 the 91 and then go down, using the 55 to capture the  
11 Western portion of the City of Orange. Or if you wanted  
12 to just start with Anaheim and see where there would put  
13 us, we could start with that.

14 MS. SADHWANI: I'm -- I'm definitely thinking the 91  
15 and -- and to the -- potentially the 55. Commissioner  
16 Akutagawa, would you be okay with that as a starting  
17 point?

18 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Yes. I would agree.

19 MS. SADHWANI: Thanks.

20 (Pause)

21 MS. TRATT: So adding that portion of Anaheim in the  
22 City of Orange would turn SAA to 37.91 percent over  
23 deviation. And IOC would be at 21 -- oh, I'm sorry,  
24 that's the Latino CVAP, would be at 22.81 percent  
25 deviation. And IOC would be underpopulated by almost the

1 equal amount of 23.61 percent.

2 Would you like me to start by making this change and  
3 then look at areas to remove?

4 MS. SADHWANI: I would feel comfortable with this  
5 change, yes.

6 MS. TRATT: All right. One moment while that  
7 processes. Where would you like to start looking at  
8 removing population from SAA? Or would you like to  
9 continue adding population first?

10 MS. SADHWANI: I would be open to continuing to add.  
11 I know Commissioner Akutagawa had mentioned South  
12 Fullerton and potentially components of Placentia. That  
13 would make sense to me too if she in agreement -- if  
14 everyone's in agreement.

15 MS. TRATT: So do you have an idea of where you  
16 would like to draw that line in South Fullerton?

17 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Akutagawa.

18 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm sorry -- sorry, are you  
19 asking me a question or -- I was just going to make a  
20 comment, too.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Nope your -- just your hand.

22 COMMISSIONER: AKUTAGAWA: Oh, sorry, I didn't  
23 realize I still had it up. I was just going to mention,  
24 on one of the COI testimonies that I saw, for South  
25 Fullerton, I saw what looked like to be Malvern, which

1 turns into Orangethorpe as the dividing line for that  
2 South Fullerton section that we were asked to keep in  
3 mind as a -- as a specific COI that separates the more  
4 affluent parts of Fullerton from the more, like the  
5 apartments the -- the -- the working-class folks and  
6 others -- yes, thank you.

7 MS. TRATT: Should I begin by adding census blocks  
8 up to this Chapman Ave.- Malvern Ave.? All right one  
9 moment please.

10 (Pause)

11 MS. TRATT: Commissioner Sadhwani, how is this  
12 looking to you?

13 MS. SADHWANI: It's looking good to me.  
14 Commissioner Akutagawa, did you -- did you have a sense  
15 of the -- the components of Placentia that you would want  
16 to add in here from the testimony?

17 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I was trying to check on  
18 that right now. I'm still looking for it. I -- I saw  
19 it.

20 MS. SADHWANI: It almost seems like it's -- I don't  
21 know if that street continues all the way over into  
22 Placentia and just taking that small piece that's  
23 centered around the 57 Freeway there. Just kind of  
24 extending this line outward.

25 MS. TRATT: So it does look like Chapman Ave.



1 continues into Placentia. Would you like me to continue  
2 grabbing blocks from here?

3 MS. SADHWANI: I -- I think so. I think that that  
4 would seem to be a reasonable cutoff point and including  
5 that component of Placentia.

6 MS. TRATT: Perfect.

7 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, it's a good starting  
8 point.

9 MS. TRATT: One moment please.

10 (Pause)

11 MS. TRATT: All right. That portion of Southern  
12 Placentia is now added to this selection. Would you like  
13 me to commit this change?

14 MS. SADHWANI: I would -- this looks beautiful to  
15 me.

16 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Thank you.

17 MS. TRATT: All right. One moment. One moment  
18 while I just clean up a few straggler blocks.

19 (Pause)

20 MS. TRATT: All right. So now SAA is overpopulated  
21 by 30.29 percent and IOC is underpopulated by 31.09  
22 percent.

23 MS. SADHWANI: Commissioner Akutagawa, I'm -- I'm  
24 curious, your thoughts, I mean, it looks like as we're  
25 panned out here that right now we have the borders of

1 the -- the -- the districts from up above ending at La  
2 Habra. I think in the future, it -- it might make sense  
3 to rethink those areas. But as we're already done with  
4 Los Angeles, I -- I'm - I'm cautious not to go breaking  
5 into them at this point in time, though perhaps as we  
6 come back to this, and as we continue to collect  
7 additional testimony. So I might -- I might -- I would  
8 love to get your thoughts -- and I think keeping this and  
9 now trying to balance out within in Orange County.

10 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would agree with  
11 you. Do you want to keep -- now -- we need to remove,  
12 right?

13 MS. SADHWANI: Correct. And the -- my sense -- my  
14 thought on this is we can bring this -- this, you know,  
15 the Little Saigon areas out of this district and  
16 potentially, you know, help keep them whole. I know  
17 we've -- we've had a whole lot of testimony from that  
18 area as well.

19 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes. And bring into the  
20 INC.

21 MS. SADHWANI: Yes, exactly. Which is already  
22 overpopulated. So we're going to have to some  
23 additional -- additional tinkering here. And I'm open  
24 to --

25 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I have some ideas on that

1 tinkering, too. Then could we bring in, then,  
2 Westminster, Midway City, and Fountain Valley? And I  
3 think that would keep Little Saigon, the core of  
4 Little -- oh, and Garden Grove, sorry, I forgot about  
5 them -- keep the core of -- of Little Saigon together if  
6 we move those out.

7 MS. TRATT: All right. So that would put SAA's  
8 deviation back in the range of legal at negative 3.04.  
9 And INC would be overpopulated by 34.73 percent.

10 Would you like me to commit this change?

11 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

12 MS. SADHWANI: Yes.

13 MS. TRATT: All right, Commissioner, I'm ready for  
14 your next direction.

15 MS. SADHWANI: And from here out, we would need to  
16 balance between INC and IOC.

17 MS. TRATT: That's correct.

18 MS. SADHWANI: Yeah.

19 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: One thought I have is to  
20 move -- perhaps we can start with North Tustin and  
21 Tustin. I am reluctant and concerned about removing  
22 Irvine or -- or maybe let me take this back. I am  
23 concerned about splitting Irvine. I know that there are  
24 several COIs there. We've gotten several testimonies to  
25 keep it whole. We've also received testimony that they

1 should be split at the 5 or the 405, too. So I do want  
2 to acknowledge that, too. And it is mixed. But if we  
3 could keep them whole, I think that'd be nice.

4 MS. SADHWANI: And -- and I'll just note. I think  
5 as we -- this is major reconstruction that we're doing at  
6 this point. But I think when we come back to this in the  
7 future, when we receive additional testimony on our  
8 drafts, you know, the LAOC border is not sacred to me.  
9 We're keeping it whole at this point, I think for time's  
10 sake. But I think -- I think that crossing that border  
11 would -- would make sense in many of these areas.

12 MS. TRATT: I would just, Commissioner, I would  
13 just --

14 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I concur.

15 MS. TRATT: -- point out that these are VRA  
16 considerations that kind of box in all of these Orange  
17 County visualizations. So that will just be something  
18 just to consider as you give those directions in the  
19 future.

20 MS. SADHWANI: Absolutely. Thank you so much.

21 MS. TRATT: Yes. So would like to have me start by  
22 moving out North Tustin and Tustin into IOC?

23 MS. SADHWANI: Yes.

24 MS. TRATT: All right. One moment, please.

25 (Pause)

1 MS. TRATT: All right. So it looks like that is not  
2 enough population yet. Still at negative 20.31 percent  
3 and 23.95 percent deviation. Would you like me to start  
4 pulling in blocks North of the 5 and Irvine or look  
5 somewhere else?

6 MS. SADHWANI: Yes, I think that's right.

7 COMMISSIONER: All right. I will start doing that  
8 now.

9 (Pause)

10 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

11 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, thank you. And while  
12 they're working on this, I just wanted to reiterate what  
13 Commissioner Akutagawa said about Irvine. We do have  
14 conflicting testimony. There's pieces that say keep it  
15 together. Other pieces that say separate. So that's  
16 definitely a discussion we'll have to have in the future.

17 MS. TRATT: So I've now added all of Irvine North of  
18 the 5 and that is still not quite enough population.  
19 Would you like me to continue adding in census tracks?

20 MS. SADHWANI: I think for today we will have to,  
21 yeah. And definitely, this should be an area that we're  
22 going to -- we will need to revisit next -- I have a  
23 feeling we'll probably going to get some testimony in the  
24 coming weeks.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sadhwani, I'm -- I'm

1 looking at a lot of COI testimony as well that wants  
2 Costa Mesa with Irvine and Tustin.

3 MS. SADHWANI: I -- I think that's definitely right.  
4 I -- I believe as we re -- reconstructed the Assembly  
5 maps that we did keep Costa Mesa whole with -- with  
6 Irvine and Tustin. We're not doing that here today, but  
7 I -- I think in the future, it's certainly something we  
8 can come back and revisit as we continue to work.

9 MS. TRATT: So this splits Irvine at the 405 and  
10 includes everything in the City North of the 405 and that  
11 would be added to the IOC. If this selected area in red  
12 is added from INC to IOC, the deviation of IOC would be  
13 2.36. And of INC, 1.27 percent. Would you like me to  
14 commit this change?

15 MS. SADHWANI: How are folks feeling about this?

16 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Either way, we'll get  
17 comments. But if you feel better about it.

18 I think this is good because I think North Tustin  
19 and Irvine -- I've seen some comments also saying that  
20 they have a lot in common with, like, the Yorba Linda,  
21 Anaheim Hills, that Villa Park area, and Orange.

22 CHAIR TURNER: I -- I agree. I see enough COI  
23 testimony that supports the 405 as the dividing factor.  
24 So why don't we accept it for now.

25 MS. TRATT: Very good.

1 CHAIR TURNER: And we have a -- coming up on a break  
2 in three minutes.

3 MS. TRATT: Are there further comments on  
4 visualizations in Orange County?

5 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: What -- what were the  
6 deviations again? Sorry, you kind -- I -- I didn't  
7 see -- look at it fast enough.

8 MS. TRATT: Yeah, so INC is at a 1.27 percent  
9 deviation and IOC is at a 2.36 percent deviation.

10 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: So zoom in one more time on  
11 that South Orange County. There's that weird, like, hand  
12 that sticks up there. Thank you.

13 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much. Commissioner  
14 Fernandez.

15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sorry about that. No, I  
16 was going to ask the same thing as --

17 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- Commissioner Akutagawa.  
19 I wanted to look at that other district. So thank you.

20 CHAIR TURNER: And all hands are down. Sivan --

21 MS. TRATT: Yes.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Is that all your areas?

23 MS. TRATT: So no. There are two more  
24 visualizations that we should take a look at. That is  
25 the SWRC and MCV. Would you like me to start or do you

1 want to go to break?

2 CHAIR TURNER: We have two minutes, let's start.

3 MS. TRATT: Okay. So I'll give a very speedy  
4 overview. SWRC is on page 56 of your PDF. It goes South  
5 to the San Diego County border with Riverside, including  
6 Temecula, French Valley, Menifee. It also includes the  
7 City of Riverside -- or, pardon me, the Southern part of  
8 the City of Riverside, it does split Riverside, including  
9 Eastvale, Corona, as well as Temescal Valley. And this  
10 is at a negative .91 percent deviation.

11 And then the last visualization would be on page 57.  
12 And that is MCV, which is currently at a negative 1.25  
13 percent deviation. This goes South to the San Diego  
14 border, again here to pick up the cities of Anza and  
15 Sage. It goes North to Redlands and Highland, wraps  
16 around Lake Arrowhead and Running Springs, Lucerne  
17 Valley. Includes the Morongo Basin, Morongo Valley  
18 cities right here. And goes out to that border that we  
19 have with the SEC visualization near Needles, as well as  
20 the Northern part of Coachella Valley.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Outstanding. Okay. Thank you so  
22 much for that. We will go to break. And we'll be back  
23 at 3:25. Thank you.

24 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 3:10 p.m. to  
25 3:28 p.m.)



1 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you and welcome back. We are  
2 in the process of going through our Senate maps on  
3 completing our Southern California area. Thank you Sivan  
4 for just taking us through those maps for the Senate.

5 Commissioners, if there are no comments or questions  
6 in regards to this area, I think this will complete our  
7 Senate maps. Pause for a moment. Okay. And we're done.

8 All right. So process from here -- wonderful.  
9 Okay. Thank you so much. And so next on our list today  
10 is to go through our Board of Equalization maps. And it  
11 will require a -- a pause a time to be able to set up for  
12 those. So it is currently 3:30. I know we just got back  
13 from break, but it is required that we have time to set  
14 up the maps to be able to move into Board of  
15 Equalization. So we will be back. We're going to go  
16 into another pause or break. We will be back at 4:15.  
17 Thank you.

18 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 3:30 p.m.  
19 until 4:15 p.m.)

20 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Kristian. And welcome  
21 back everyone. Thank you to our line drawers that has  
22 been working furiously for this particular point on our  
23 agenda. I would love to be able just kind of set  
24 expectations as far as what's going to happen at this  
25 point.

1 Right now we're going to move into our Board of  
2 Equalization maps in hopes of a combined motion on all  
3 four drafts. After which time, we will take public  
4 comments. The queue is open now for public comment for  
5 those wishing to get into the queue. We are anticipating  
6 a high call volume this evening. And to ensure that we  
7 get to hear from every one of you, as many as possible,  
8 we're going to limit public comment to one minute and  
9 thirty seconds. And wanting to assure you that the line  
10 will remain open until we complete our discussion on the  
11 Board of Equalization.

12 So Board of Equalization discussion now. We're  
13 hoping for a combined motion on all four of our draft  
14 maps. The queue is open right now for public comment.  
15 We invite you to get in to the queue if you so desire to  
16 comment. Please note so that we will ensure to hear, or  
17 we are sure of hearing most of you, or as many as we can,  
18 the public comment time is being limited today to one  
19 minutes and thirty seconds. And we will keep that line  
20 open. That will give you an opportunity to comment  
21 throughout our discussion on the Board of Equalization.

22 So with that, Commissioners, I think we are at  
23 appoint now where we're ready to move into our Board of  
24 Equalization.

25 MR. MANOFF: Chair, before we go to that

1 conversation. Would you like our comment moderator to  
2 read the instructions for public comment?

3 CHAIR TURNER: We're just letting them get in the  
4 queue for right now. So we can read it a little bit  
5 later.

6 Thank you, Kristian.

7 MR. MANOFF: Sounds Good.

8 CHAIR TURNER: You -- you know, you can go ahead and  
9 read the instructions so they'll know how -- I'm sorry.  
10 Thank you. I'm following you now.

11 MR. MANOFF: Very good. Go ahead, Katy.

12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, Chair.

13 In order to maximize transparency and public  
14 participation in our process, the Commissioners will be  
15 taking general public comments and public comments on the  
16 votes for the upcoming maps -- draft maps. To call in,  
17 dial the telephone number provided on the livestream  
18 feed. It is 877-853-5247. When prompted, enter the  
19 meeting ID number provided on the livestream feed. It is  
20 875 2728 4951 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a  
21 participant ID, simply press the pound key.

22 Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in the  
23 queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press  
24 star nine. This will raise your hand for the moderator.  
25 When it is your turn to speak, you will a message that

1 says, the host would like you to talk and to press star  
2 six to speak. If you would like to give your name,  
3 please state and spell it for the record. You are not  
4 required to provide your name to give public comments.

5 Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream  
6 audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your  
7 call. Once you are in the queue be alert for when it is  
8 your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the  
9 livestream volume for all future public comments.

10 Thank you, Chair.

11 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Katy. Okay. At this  
12 point we are going to start our discussion on the Board  
13 of Equalization. And so we are in the hands of our line  
14 drawers.

15 Is that Jaime?

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Thank you so much, Chair Turner.

17 Jaime is going to share the map in one second. And  
18 as you know, of course, there's four -- four districts  
19 that need to be drawn and what we will have on the map  
20 and what's ready to go is the Senate districts that you  
21 just completed. And then you can move from them if you  
22 wish to, for example -- nest, that could be done. So  
23 just one moment, please, and your maps should be coming  
24 your way in one second. Thank you.

25 MS. CLARK: So Commissioners, what is on the screen

1 now is, of course, State of California. And as Karin  
2 noted, the Senate districts that you just were working  
3 on. And there each filled in a different color.

4 We also have the current Board of Equalization  
5 boundaries, if you wish to reference those throughout  
6 this process, and whatever you would like to do, please  
7 just let us know. And we can go from there.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Jamie. At this point, we  
9 will entertain comments from Commissioners. If there is  
10 a desire of where we'd like to start. I see hands.

11 Commissioner Sinay.

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Before we start, I was hoping  
13 we could take a step back and really think about the  
14 Board of Equalization, its role, and -- and with that,  
15 what -- what -- what make sense for what -- the work that  
16 they're doing. And I know it sounds kind of funny,  
17 because we've been thinking about this for so long. But  
18 I just think it's a good place to shift from the  
19 political stuff we were talking about to taxes. If --  
20 and someone had said to me that a good person, maybe our  
21 director -- our executive director, Alvaro, might be able  
22 to explain to us the Board of Equalization's roles and  
23 some of the things we should be thinking about as we are  
24 creating these districts.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

1 Director Alvaro, I'll punt over to you.

2 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Wonderful. Let me  
3 see if I can -- I -- I've never worked for Board of  
4 Equalization, but I did interact with them on a regular  
5 basis with a lot of the outreach that I did. As it  
6 stands, my understanding is that the Board of  
7 Equalization is responsible for property taxes --  
8 administer that part of the tax law.

9 And so essentially, you have the different  
10 districts, you have the different representatives, and --

11 CHAIR TURNER: Director Alvaro, can I have you turn  
12 your camera on?

13 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Oh, forgive me.  
14 There we go. Okay.

15 Again, and I haven't been as -- not as familiar with  
16 the actual roles of the board members, what they do on a  
17 regular basis, other than administer the property taxes.  
18 So I -- I apologize. I wish I had more information for  
19 you, but I do not at this point.

20 MS. SINAY: I -- I didn't mean to set you up to  
21 fail. I think you were helpful. No, no, someone else  
22 had said we should ask Alvaro. So apologize.

23 I think what you gave us is really critical.

24 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

25 Commissioner Fernandez and then Commissioner

1 Andersen.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Wow, okay. I was --  
3 actually just pulled up their, real quick, the website --  
4 establish -- let's see, in the constitution, to regulate  
5 county assessment practices, equalize county assessment  
6 ratios, and assess property taxes for inner-county  
7 California -- railroads.

8 So anyway, that's really not why I raised my hand,  
9 but I think there's a couple of ways we could do it. One  
10 would be, you know, go East and West, either from North  
11 to South. Or I mean, that's -- you either go East to  
12 West, cut up California in half. You have Northern  
13 California, then maybe central, and then you're going to  
14 have LA, and then you're going to have the Southern. Or  
15 you go North to South.

16 And if it's talking about property taxes, maybe that  
17 kind of makes sense to try to keep the -- maybe some of  
18 the counties together, too. So I'm really not helping.  
19 I'm just kind of throwing things out there in terms of  
20 how we can do that.

21 CHAIR TURNER: No worries. It's absolutely time to  
22 discuss it. We've not spend much -- spent much time at  
23 all talking about the Board of Equalization, so this is  
24 the right forum.

25 Commissioner Andersen.

1           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. It's basically, yeah,  
2 the BOE does -- there are all sorts of -- it's not just  
3 property tax. It's alcohol tax, it's insurance tax.  
4 But -- and it's both county and individual taxes. And so  
5 it does make sense, because it is -- a huge portion is  
6 indeed collecting property taxes, which is county-based,  
7 to look at -- basically to group our, you know, our four  
8 groups, picking ten Assembly district -- I'm -- I'm  
9 sorry, Senate districts, nest them. And it does make  
10 sense to do it regionally. Because they're, you know,  
11 you try to get a county, a county, this makes, you know,  
12 it makes a lot of sense to group it that way.

13           Also, in pre -- that's how it's been done,  
14 typically. So the groups are a little more acquainted  
15 with their areas. And I would -- just, I would recommend  
16 the way that we start would be actually -- because going  
17 on numbers, I would start in the very southeast corner,  
18 San Diego, getting that county, you know, basically,  
19 picking ten Senate districts --

20           CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

21           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- putting together -- but  
22 then they do have to have the plus or minus five percent.  
23 So when we add them, if it's over, we have to drop one  
24 Senate district or grab another. And try and keep them  
25 as close as possible. Then say LA. And -- and then say



1 up the coast, going inland from -- from the Bay Area  
2 until we have those. And then the entire North through  
3 the central valley.

4 CHAIR TURNER: I like that as a suggestion. We'll  
5 take a look at it. But let's step back since  
6 Commissioner Sinay introduced this for us. Let's just go  
7 through a fact sheet and make sure that we're all on the  
8 same page with the Board of Equalization.

9 "The State Board of Equalization, BOE, was created  
10 in 1879 by Constitutional amendment and charged with the  
11 responsibility for ensuring that county property tax  
12 assessment practices were equal and uniform throughout  
13 the state. Through the years, legislative changes  
14 expanded the BOE's role to administer the additional  
15 taxes and fees. Effective July, 2017, the BOE returned  
16 to its constitutional responsibilities. The BOE is  
17 responsible for property tax programs, alcoholic beverage  
18 tax, tax on insurers, and private railroad car tax".

19 Thank you very much -- fact sheet. You can Google  
20 anything. All right.

21 Commissioner Andersen, you do have a proposal, we're  
22 going to take a couple of other hands and then we're  
23 going to let you start with your proposal. Commissioner  
24 Yee and Commissioner Toledo.

25 COMMISSIONER YEE: I was going to start looking at

1 the map. But if Commissioner Andersen has a plan in  
2 mind, then she should go first.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo.

4 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I also was going to suggest  
5 starting off by looking at the existing map and -- and  
6 as -- and then as needed, depending on -- and to adjust  
7 that map as needed to reflect the changes that we have  
8 made with the State Senate districts.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Can you flash for us the  
10 current Board of Equalization and then we'll go to  
11 Commissioner Andersen.

12 MS. CLARK: Yes. One moment, please. I'm going to  
13 take off the Senate districts you just worked on, just so  
14 there's little more clarity as to what's on the map. And  
15 I just put on the map the current Board of Equalization  
16 districts with their numbers. They are outlined in blue.  
17 So one of them, district 4 is mostly Southern California,  
18 not including San Bernadino County. There is some San  
19 Bernadino County included in this district.

20 District 3 includes Ventura County and Southern Los  
21 Angeles County with some little -- a little corner of the  
22 southwest San Bernadino County.

23 District 2 is Santa Barbara County, moving North,  
24 including some of the more inland counties.

25 And then district 1 is northeastern California and

1 areas in the Central Valley and along the Sierras.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for sharing that.

3 Commissioner Taylor.

4 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, thank you. So -- so just  
5 to be certain -- to be clear, we're concerned with  
6 population and a desire for nesting. Would you say that  
7 that's our overall goal?

8 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Commissioner Andersen.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I believe they  
10 actually have to be ten Senate districts -- we nest them  
11 ten -- so we can't adjust the Senate district. We have  
12 to change the Senate district to have them plus or minus  
13 five percent.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen, they don't  
15 have to be ten Senate districts.

16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I -- I'd like to get  
17 official read on that, please.

18 CHAIR TURNER: Sure. Line drawers.

19 MS. CLARK: Karin.

20 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah. Thank you so much, Chair  
21 Turner.

22 So one way to look at this is, you could use the  
23 Senate districts and then just start nesting and see what  
24 it looks like. And then when you can't nest or you feel  
25 like the Senate districts are not very helpful anymore,

1 then you can, of course, take portions of the Senate  
2 district -- or you can go back to just any kind of  
3 geography and just start mapping and -- and working them  
4 out that way.

5 So using the Senate districts to map is basically  
6 just a starting point. If it works out, then you can  
7 just use the Senate districts, that's fine. But if not,  
8 then you can just start with that, perhaps, just get to  
9 your ten million -- roughly ten million threshold and  
10 then, you know, make adjustments based on that. Does  
11 that make sense.

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It does. I just want to  
13 make sure -- that was not my understanding of the -- of  
14 the actual, specific rules of it. Is it -- so it really  
15 is just plus or minus five percent of -- what, 900 and --

16 MS. MAC DONALD: Yeah --

17 CHAIR TURNER: Nine million --

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- yeah, nine million --

19 CHAIR TURNER: 880,859.

20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- no, no, 9 -- yeah, 90  
21 million -- 90 million, 8 -- 98 million --

22 CHAIR TURNER: It's nine million

23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, I'm sorry. 9 million,  
24 880. Okay. I'd still recommend we try it -- we try it  
25 with the Senate's because that's an easier way to do it

1 then adjust from there.

2 CHAIR TURNER: You have the floor, Commissioner  
3 Andersen.

4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Well, thank you  
5 very much. But -- so with that in mind, I was hope --  
6 can we see the Senate districts. And I was hoping that  
7 they'd have the deviations on them.

8 MS. Clark: Yup. One moment, please.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great, thank you.

10 MS. CLARK: I can add the name and deviation. And  
11 just a note that because all of the Senate districts that  
12 you're working with are within plus or minute five  
13 percent deviation, you should be able to nest any ten of  
14 them and they would fall within plus or minus five  
15 percent deviation.

16 CHAIR TURNER: While Commissioner Andersen -- while  
17 you're thinking about that, we have Commissioner Taylor,  
18 Fernandez, and Yee, and Sinay, and Toledo. Commissioner  
19 Taylor. Commissioner Taylor.

20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. So slow hand. Thank  
21 you.

22 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez.

23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just ready to draw.

24 CHAIR TURNER: All right. Commissioner Yee.

25 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Just back to the nesting

1 question. I believe it's the same relationship as the  
2 Senate -- the Assembly to the Senate districts. Nesting  
3 is the sixth criterion. So we've generally, you know,  
4 thought about having two Assembly districts per Senate  
5 district, but there's no requirement that they  
6 actually -- that they actually nest. It's just where  
7 possible. So ten Senate districts into one BOE district  
8 where possible, but that -- that's the lowest criterion.  
9 That's my understanding.

10 MR. LARSON: That -- that -- this is Dale Larson.  
11 That is accurate. The main difference is that because  
12 the populations are so big we -- we don't any VRA  
13 considerations at this level. And -- and you've received  
14 far less community of interest input as to Board of  
15 Equalization. And so it just -- it's easy to get to that  
16 criterion and use it.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Great. Commissioner Sinay.

18 MS. SINAY: On the topic of community of interest  
19 input. We did receive some but not a lot. So I just  
20 wanted to -- I was just about to -- I did check and we  
21 have received some. It's interesting what we have  
22 received. But yes, you are right.

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: In the interest of fair maps,  
24 I think starting in what -- deciding on where to start  
25 the mapping process would make sense. Whether it's the

1 Central Valley or North California, Southern California,  
2 so just picking a place, getting to the ten million and  
3 then identifying others would be my suggestion. Thank  
4 you.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Commission Andersen.

6 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. If --if we could sort  
7 of blow up the little map, please so we can -- yeah. And  
8 then just -- I can start, you know, say, how about this  
9 one -- pick ten and we can get a number. Or anyone  
10 can --

11 MS. CLARK: Okay and would like to start, please?

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: In the southeast corner,  
13 please. So let's start with SECA.

14 MS. CLARK: Okay. One moment. I'm making these  
15 first selections -- the first selection were making with  
16 this map, it might just take a minute for the program to  
17 catch up with this.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Just --

19 MS. CLARK: It's up because -- I'm just ready to go.  
20 And I can help if I need --

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would suggest -- I would  
22 suggest starting -- grab all of L.A. and see what that  
23 is.

24 I -- I think, because I don't want to get anybody  
25 left out. So there's one -- now grab Core -- Core Cojon.

1 Go -- go -- go right up the -- the coast, please.

2 MS. CLARK: One moment please. We're not going to  
3 miss any -- it's going to be contiguous.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Ahmad.

5 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Andersen. I think  
6 what -- maybe I can try -- I think what folks are trying  
7 to say is, if we start where the population is more  
8 dense, we can lock one BOE district in and then work  
9 outward.

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But, I mean, I -- now how  
11 many do we have, we have three, correct? We have one,  
12 two, three. Now, add the --

13 MS. CLARK: Is this -- so if I could just pause for  
14 one second, please. And this is just for a technical  
15 purpose. I apologize for interrupting. Could I just  
16 make this change. It's just a huge selection. There's  
17 so much data associated that we might lose it if I don't  
18 just say let's assign this to -- maybe we can name them  
19 A, B, C, and D for now as a draft?

20 CHAIR TURNER: Sure.

21 MS. CLARK: This could be A, if that's -- unless  
22 anyone has a strong preference otherwise.

23 CHAIR TURNER: X, Y, Z -- no, this is fine Jaime,  
24 yes.

25 MS. CLARK: So I've committed this change and just



1 one moment, please while the program works.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay.

3 MS. SINAY: Sorry. Mine's just, again,  
4 philosophical or big-picture type question. Because  
5 we've heard so much of the difference between rural and  
6 urban communities, does it make sense at all when we're  
7 looking at the Board of Equalizations to think about  
8 coastal versus inland, because when comes to taxes and  
9 all that, there's very different philosophies. So I just  
10 wanted to put that out there.

11 CHAIR TURNER: And I think that might be what  
12 Commissioner Andersen is going for.

13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Because it's also  
14 county-based. Because, you know, you do -- you -- the  
15 county collects property taxes. So I'm trying to get the  
16 ten, including, like, I'm -- we have Imperial County with  
17 San Diego County, county at -- Riverside County. We'll  
18 probably get Orange. And we might, depending on if --  
19 I'm trying -- I'm trying to grab the closest adjacent  
20 ones. And then that's that. Then we do LA.

21 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez.

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just waiting for the  
23 baton to be passed. Again, I really think we should grab  
24 LA.

25 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We -- we're -- we're --  
2 we've done three. Can we just -- you know, it's a --

3 CHAIR TURNER: So Commissioner, Andersen, I -- I  
4 just hear dissention.

5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. All right, stop.  
6 Hold -- fold, throw it out. Do it again. Someone else.  
7 Okay.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez --

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I know I was chomping at the  
10 bit here, but -

11 CHAIR TURNER: -- and then Sadhwani. Commissioner  
12 Fernandez and then -- Jaime, we're going to scrap this  
13 for now.

14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, you can keep that as an  
15 A and we can start another one, right Jaime?

16 CHAIR TURNER: But it only has three counties in it.  
17 I mean we only have it --

18 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Andersen -- okay, we're  
19 going to work through the Chair for this meeting and  
20 not -- and not have the crosstalk.

21 We have three counties in it. You said we can save  
22 that as a -- so save that as A for now.

23 MS. CLARK: Thank you. I -- I committed the change.  
24 You can see the progress bar at the bottom. It's going  
25 very slowly. It's like our personal jeopardy song, kind

1 of. So I -- I already committed this change. I can't  
2 undo it until it's already done, so.

3 CHAIR TURNER: Until it's done.

4 MS. CLARK: Thank you all for your patience.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We're learning the process.  
6 Commissioner Fernandez?

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, I -- I can go now?  
8 Jaime, I can go? Oh, LA, please. Can you -- no. It's  
9 still loading, right -- yeah, that's what I thought. I  
10 was waiting.

11 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So we'll go to the other  
12 comments. Commissioner Toledo.

13 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So yeah. So maybe while we're  
14 waiting for the system to load. And I'm anticipating  
15 it'll take some time, every time we do a district, just  
16 because of how many thousands of people we're talking  
17 about. Maybe we can start thinking about which districts  
18 we want to include that'll get us to ten million. So --  
19 and -- so just so that we can move this along faster,  
20 right, so we can just lump the districts.

21 I'm also thinking that Los Angeles County and the  
22 areas around there can -- we'll get to the ten million  
23 pretty quickly if we -- if we grab those. And then, you  
24 know, here in this area it's probably Orange with what we  
25 have here. And going up San Bernadino and into the

1 Central Valley to get the -- it's going to probably high  
2 up into Central Valley in order to get the ten million.  
3 But -- but that'll be the second.

4 And then, of course, the Bay Area district that goes  
5 up, probably, or -- or into the central coast. But if we  
6 can go through the exact county -- well, exact State  
7 Senate district is what we're looking at, at this point,  
8 and just kind of get them -- just organize them so that  
9 we have the four districts ready for them to be populated  
10 into a map.

11 And I don't have them, the districts in front of me,  
12 but if -- maybe we can just pull those up while we're --  
13 and start listing them.

14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, could I just -- could  
15 I list the ten that was going to put in -- in this one?  
16 Just list them.

17 CHAIR TURNER: Just list them, okay. List your  
18 name -- yes, please list yours.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So we already have  
20 SECA. And then the Core Cajon. The SO -- the NDS. Then  
21 I was going to grab the MCV, the CBRC, SWRC, the POF --  
22 that -- that's seven. And then was -- I believe they're  
23 two -- there's the two in Orange County. One is SA -- I  
24 think -- well, there are three in there and I can't -- I  
25 don't know -- can't read the names of them. But those --

1 those. The Orange County ones. So it's SSA and --

2 CHAIR TURNER: SAA and what else?

3 COMMISSIONER: COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: There's --  
4 they're not all named, I can't -- you'd have to blow it  
5 up a little bit. But that would be -- those would be the  
6 ones that would make the ten in this corner.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Great. Commissioner Toledo,  
8 did you have some that you're naming? Go ahead.

9 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So here we go. So we'll start  
10 with -- sorry about that. Yeah, so let's just go with --  
11 I'm just going to name the page number of the map if --  
12 if that -- if that'll work? Or maybe -- well, here, I'll  
13 do the actual map. SCSFV, and then VSDEVN TSSV, which  
14 is --

15 CHAIR TURNER: Okay -- okay. Is that all one? No?

16 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: There's two maps. So the  
17 first one is --

18 CHAIR TURNER: SCSFV.

19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO. Yes. SCSFV. Second would be  
20 EVENTSFV -- V as in Victor. Third would be L.A. Bay  
21 Area. Fourth would be West of 110. Fifth would SPCC.  
22 Next would be 7102 Water. The next one would be SD NELA  
23 followed by SD60X805. And then SD210. And lastly, would  
24 have SD10WE. And -- and I believe that will get us to  
25 population. But if we need another one we can add -- or

1 partial amount, we can add a partial amount of population  
2 from ANTVICAL, which -- because of the deviations are  
3 slightly different, need a little bit additional  
4 population.

5 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Mappers are questioned on the  
6 process for the time that it takes. So we have a couple  
7 of different maps that are named. And what works best at  
8 this point?

9 MS. CLARK: I think just letting us know which  
10 Senate districts you would like to be in each -- each  
11 Board of Equalization district, then we can add them and  
12 go from there. Perhaps we could finish this one and then  
13 move on to the next one. Finish this district, which is  
14 right now negative sixty percent deviation.

15 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

16 MS. CLARK: Would that work for you?

17 CHAIR TURNER: I'm just looking here to see if any  
18 of them cross, and I don't think so.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So should I -- should I  
20 leave --

21 CHAIR TURNER: So -- yes.

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- leave that list?

23 CHAIR TURNER: Continue -- continue --

24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

25 CHAIR TURNER: -- with your list.

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So the MCV, please. And --

2 MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, I can see better now.

4 Thank you.

5 MS. CLARK: So -- so it currently has four assigned  
6 to it. It's picking up this MCV.

7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So this is five?

8 MS. CLARK: This would be the fifth. Yes.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Jamie, name the communities that are  
11 included, please.

12 MS. CLARK: The Coachella Valley is here.

13 CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. Just your -- your tags  
14 that there. SECA --

15 MS. CLARK: So it's currently SECA, COR-CAHON, SD-  
16 POW-ESC, SOC-NSD, and this is MCV.

17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. That's -- was that  
18 five?

19 MS. CLARK: This is with this addition. There  
20 are -- there would be five Senate districts --

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great.

22 MS. CLARK: -- in here.

23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could we then add SWRC?

24 MS. CLARK: Are there any others you have in mind  
25 right now that I could select all at once?

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. You said SD --

2 CHAIR TURNER: SBRC? PO -- POF? Then IOC.

3 MS. CLARK: Thank you. I'm going to add all of  
4 those in due time. I just clicked on IOC as well, and  
5 then I will commit this change once IOC is included this  
6 selection.

7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.

8 CHAIR TURNER: One moment before you click, because  
9 then we'll need to wait.

10 Commissioner Andersen was there anything else you  
11 wanted in this?

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. We -- we needed to get  
13 ten. So we have --

14 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- one -- two -- three --  
16 four --

17 CHAIR TURNER: Jamie, can we add them all in before  
18 you commit?

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- five -- six -- seven --  
20 eight -- nine -- so I would go for the -- the -- I --  
21 I -- I don't know what's -- it's not na -- the ones  
22 that's at the coast.

23 MS. CLARK: This one?

24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. And then here's a  
25 question. Do we -- would we rather add SAA and delete



1 M -- essentially the -- the one that goes up into -- in  
2 San Bernadino? Will that be more compact? So right now,  
3 we have a small SAA. We can switch the small SAA for the  
4 large m -- MCV since that's more rural. And then we --  
5 that way we would have all of Orange County, all of  
6 Imperial San Diego, and -- well, parts of Riverside. Oh,  
7 we wo -- we'd only have a tiny bit of -- of San  
8 Bernadino.

9 CHAIR TURNER: Well, we can select what you desire,  
10 and we'll commit it and see what it looks like and keep  
11 going.

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay, but -- it -- it --  
13 which -- actually, in terms of compactness, would it make  
14 a difference if we go -- or our population -- what  
15 population we have right now? We're minus --

16 MS. CLARK: These all together would be a percent  
17 deviation of negative .52 percent.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Per -- so wo -- that --  
19 it's -- it's that or switch the MCV for the SAA so all of  
20 Orange County is in it.

21 Any preference from anybody?

22 CHAIR TURNER: I'd like to select this.

23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Then that'll be the A  
24 grouping.

25 MS. CLARK: I committed this change in just leading

1 through this change to be enacted.

2 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

3 And Commissioner Toledo, I -- I think I captured  
4 yours. You have the ones you gave ((indiscernible,  
5 simultaneous speech) -- .

6 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. And --

7 CHAIR TURNER: She's going to wait until it -- it  
8 accepts though. So don't --

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Could I -- could I just say  
10 if you do capture it, could you please get SAA so it's  
11 not lost?

12 (Pause)

13 CHAIR TURNER: So it's a pretty long wait time. So  
14 in the meantime, we can maybe consider what will be next  
15 after we have grabbed the SVSFB, EBENSF, L.A. Bay. We  
16 got your whole list going there. And so do you have a  
17 third list for us? Okay. Let's hear it.

18 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, I do. Let's go through  
19 it.

20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Let me --

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Where is it?

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Right here. So NCOAST. So  
24 starting off in the North, then followed by SD80  
25 corridor, EDEN TEC, San Jose, MIDCOAST.

1 CHAIR TURNER: There's one called MIDCOAST?

2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh.

3 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's correct. SCOAST. Some  
4 of the names are a little bit different if you look at  
5 the map that was posted to the public.

6 CHAIR TURNER: Oh. I'm looking at the list. That's  
7 right. Okay. NCOAST and start again then.

8 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So MIDCOAST, then SCOAST.  
9 Then we have SCSFV and West -- which we start getting  
10 into Los Angeles. So we're -- we're -- it depends on --  
11 on where Commissioner --

12 CHAIR TURNER: Andersen.

13 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- Andersen's map finishes.

14 CHAIR TURNER: Do you have another list,  
15 Commissioner Andersen? You have a --

16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. And this will be going  
17 to LA. Will be SSA, then it would be --

18 MS. CLARK: (Indiscernible, simultaneous speech) --

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. We would -- we didn't  
20 put -- yes. I'm doing LA, right. So it's SSA did not  
21 get into the -- into quote or A-1 -- so it's B -- B or  
22 whatever -- SSA, and it was the seven -- sorry -- 710 --  
23 no -- 710 dewater I think it's called. And then the  
24 SPCC, and then the L.A. Bay area. Then it would be  
25 SD60X605. Then West of 110. I think that's one --

1 two -- three -- four -- five -- six --

2 CHAIR TURNER: Question for you. Are you using the  
3 new labels?

4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I don't know. Where --  
5 where -- where would one find the new labels?

6 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: (Audio interference) posted  
7 for the public so I have the new labels on them. So  
8 we're using those maps.

9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Let me -- let me --  
10 and so that is under our --

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Handout.

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Handout. And it has a  
13 list of -- that got posted as well? No?

14 CHAIR TURNER: So if you go onto -- under today's  
15 meeting --

16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.

17 CHAIR TURNER: -- under handouts, and then scroll  
18 all the way to the bottom, it'll have the Senate.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Ah, the Senate. Oh, I see.  
20 Okay. Well --

21 CHAIR TURNER: Okay.

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- even I can't -- how do  
23 you -- let me see. Okay.

24 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I do suggest that on the -- on  
25 the Southern California district we've just drew that we

1 add SSA given that that's in Orange County and -- and not  
2 part of Los Angeles.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Ri -- so -- so I -- 'cause I  
4 was asking that. So do you want to take out MCV?

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes, 'cause that'll be part of  
6 Los Angeles.

7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. I'm sorry. MCV is not  
8 part of Los Angeles. MCV is -- is -- is part of half of  
9 Riverside and half of San Bernadino.

10 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But the -- yes, I understand.  
11 And that would make more sense with Los Angeles, I  
12 believe, rather than --

13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. That would go with,  
14 like, ANTVIC valley, or -- and then ECA going up.

15 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. So that would make sense  
16 with the Central Valley district is what I meant. Sorry.  
17 Lots of districts here.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. Okay. So -- I'm  
19 sorry. I -- I can't -- I can't read that. It's to  
20 read -- to -- how -- how are you able to -- are -- where  
21 did you have a list of the names of them? Where are you  
22 getting the proper names?

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: You can -- if you pull it off  
24 of the handouts --

25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.

1 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- we have a map, and the map  
2 has all of the district panes.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I -- I can't get them  
4 big enough.

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And then you can -- you can  
6 expand it and magnify it so that it's large enough that  
7 you can read it.

8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I expand it, it goes  
9 off the -- off the table -- I mean, off the -- off -- I  
10 can't get it over there.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And we did go off the list  
12 from the -- this week's. So if you go back to this  
13 week's, it'll have the -- most of the names stayed.  
14 There's just some changes.

15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I'm -- I'm trying to  
16 read inside LA.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Think we -- I think we  
18 already gave them the L.A. ones.

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, okay. I --

20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.

21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I thought that's --

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. We gave them ten  
23 of -- from the LA. And so we were -- now we're working  
24 on the other ones.

25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So did you include

1 SSA in that?

2 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: No, we didn't, because SSA  
3 should be with Southern California.

4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. I was asking about  
5 that when I added the SSA or MCW and no one said  
6 anything. So --

7 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So if we can add SSA to  
8 Southern California -- we -- we can just make the change  
9 really quickly. It's not going to be a problem.

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. We -- we'll have to  
11 change that one.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Jamie?

13 MS. CLARK: Thank you, Madam Chair. We are  
14 switching to four different mapping computers so we could  
15 just get a list --

16 CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

17 MS. CLARK: -- for each potential Board of  
18 Equalization district. And we'll all -- we'll watch the  
19 spinning circle going on our individual computers instead  
20 of everybody as a group together. So please let us know  
21 which Senate districts you would like to be nested. If  
22 we could get maybe a list in writing to share amongst  
23 ourselves, that would be helpful. And then we will come  
24 back to you once we've merged all of those layers  
25 together on one computer.

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. We'll --

2 CHAIR TURNER: You could read it off.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We'll need to read it off.

4 I don't want to give it in writing. Okay.

5 CHAIR TURNER: We can do both. We can do both  
6 perhaps, but I definitely want it make sure that we're  
7 saying it out loud. Okay.

8 Okay. They got the pens ready. We're ready.

9 Okay. Commissioner Toledo.

10 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So should we start from the  
11 stop?

12 CHAIR TURNER: Start from -- yes.

13 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So let's start with the  
14 NCOAST, then SD80 corridor. And I know you're writing,  
15 so I'll be slow. EDEN TECH, San Jose, MIDCOAST, SCOAST,  
16 SCSFV, West of 1-1-0, so that's West of 110 --

17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Wait -- wait -- wait --  
18 wait --

19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- and right here where we're  
20 starting to get into Los Angeles. So I'm trying to avoid  
21 that.

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: West of 1-1-0 was LA.

23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah.

24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. So that gives you the  
25 bulk of them.



1           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  So that's -- the Northern  
2 ca -- the coastal districts.  In addition to that, we did  
3 the Napa district as well.  That is --

4           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:  Where's that?

5           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  Right here.  So it's the Napa-  
6 Yolo district.

7           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:  What did you do -- what did  
8 you do with NORCA, N-O-R-C-A?

9           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  So that'll be in the next set.  
10 So the next set -- so that's the first set, coastal.  
11 Second set would include NORCAL, Sacramento, ECA,  
12 Esbenito Fresno Kings Kern.  Then the district from  
13 Fresno to Kern County, as well as ANTVICAL.

14           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:  I think -- and then we got  
15 to figure out the rest because it's too --

16           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  And because the deviations  
17 will have to -- will have to work through the Los Angeles  
18 and --

19           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:  Oh.  She's saying MCV also.  
20 MCV.  MCV?  Okay.

21           COMMISSIONER TOLEDO:  So we'll include MS -- MCV in  
22 that if the population allows, whereas population allows,  
23 then the rest of the Los Angeles counties would be lumped  
24 together, and we can go through those -- those --

25           COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:  And disperse.

1 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah, and --

2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.

3 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- disperse. And we can go  
4 through those one by one just so that the public is aware  
5 what they are.

6 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Before you do that, I'm  
7 showing the MCV as part of that first visualization that  
8 we already have. So it's not left hanging right now.  
9 Part of the A that was offered up.

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.

11 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: But we may need some of that  
12 population to get to the (audio interference).

13 CHAIR TURNER: Right. I was just responding to  
14 the -- it hanging.

15 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. And I'll list out the  
16 Los Angeles counties so that we have those as well and  
17 that the public is aware which ones we're considering for  
18 the Los Angeles region, and that's SCSFV --

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Hold on. Uh-huh.

20 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- event SFV, L.A. Bay area,  
21 West of 1-1 -- or West of 110 rather, SPCC, 710-2 water,  
22 SDNELA, SD60X605, SD210, SD10WE.

23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh. Yeah.

24 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And that's the ten for -- for  
25 Los Angeles.

1 MS. WILSON: I was just going to say from writing my  
2 notes in B from what I wrote down, you also said West of  
3 110 in B. And so maybe I heard that wrong.

4 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's -- if -- if population  
5 allows, we'll use that. That way can -- I think we'll  
6 have to take some population and include it in -- we'll  
7 have to split that potentially if we need to. If we  
8 don't, it'll go with Southern California -- or rather Los  
9 Angeles. Does that make sense? So if -- if we need to,  
10 we can split that -- that district.

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then we -- we might've  
12 missed one or -- one of the districts because they are --  
13 it's hard to drill down to it. So -- but that would  
14 probably be in the L.A. area. Thanks.

15 MS. CLARK: Madam Chair, could -- would it be okay  
16 if Kennedy read back the districts just so that we are  
17 selecting the correct ones and adding them?

18 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Please.

19 MS. CLARK: No overlaps? Okay. Thank you.

20 MS. WILSON: So I'll start with the North Coast. Is  
21 that -- so we have North coast, SD80 corridor, EDEN TECH,  
22 San Jose, MIDCOAST, SCOAST, South Coast, SCSVV, SF --

23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: S --

24 MS. WILSON: SC --

25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: SCSFV.

1 MS. WILSON: SCSFV.

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you.

3 MS. WILSON: -- Napa, and West of 110 possibly or  
4 some of it.

5 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's correct.

6 MS. WILSON: And we also have the transcript. So  
7 that is okay.

8 CHAIR TURNER: Is there another one you want to read  
9 back?

10 MS. WILSON: It was okay because we had it in the  
11 transcript. So that's okay. Thank you.

12 (Pause)

13 MS. CLARK: Could we please ask a clarifying  
14 question? Which visualization should the shoreline go  
15 in? This is, like, the Malibu, Santa Monica, Torrance  
16 area. I don't think that we have that captured anywhere.

17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I -- that's Los Angeles if we  
18 need the population. Otherwise, the North coast --

19 MS. CLARK: Thank you.

20 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: -- or the -- the coastal.

21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Actually, Kennedy? Did we  
22 give you ten for each one? 'Cause I couldn't read -- we  
23 can't read down to all of them. So I was thinking that  
24 we might be short.

25 Is that the San Jose one, Commissioner Andersen? Is

1 there one -- oh, there's an Oakland one in there? Right.  
2 Yeah. Yeah. I think we missed some because we can't see  
3 the layers. Some of them -- yeah.

4 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioners, so let's see. We're  
5 going to go to a quick break to allow the maps to  
6 continue to load. And we'll be back in fifteen minutes.

7 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 5:26 p.m.  
8 until 5:45 p.m.)

9 CHAIR TURNER: Well, good evening, and welcome back  
10 into session, Commissioners. And thank you so much for  
11 waiting. I can't even imagine the patience that it  
12 requires. I appreciate each and every one of you. We  
13 needed to wait until the visualizations, the map was up.  
14 And just technology sometimes does not work the way you  
15 think it wants to. It takes a little bit longer, but we  
16 are indeed working with forty almost million people. So  
17 that is quite a number of people that we needed to wait  
18 on.

19 So thank you. We do have it. By the way, wanting  
20 to let you know those that have not yet found it, we do  
21 have all three images that are up on our website now,  
22 PNG. So you're able to see the Senate maps,  
23 Congressional maps, Assembly maps. They're in PNG  
24 format. So if you download those, you'll be right with  
25 us, as we're able to see what we're looking at.

1 And at this point, we are ready for you to show us.

2 MS. CLARK: Thank you, so much. I'm just going to  
3 zoom in to the different Board of Equalization districts  
4 that are shown on the map. Just a broad overview is that  
5 district A, which includes a lot of Southern California,  
6 is negative .7 percent. B, which includes a lot of Los  
7 Angeles County, is negative .21 percent. C, which is  
8 all of these coastal areas here, is 1.72 percent. And D,  
9 which is a lot of northeastern California and the Central  
10 Valley, is negative .81 percent. So all of those, of  
11 course, are within the plus or minus five percent  
12 deviation.

13 And then I'm just going to zoom in to each of the  
14 districts that I just described with the Senate  
15 districts. Also shown on the map so that the Commission  
16 can just look at which Senate districts are in each Board  
17 of Equalization district. These are the districts that  
18 were requested by the Commission to be included in each  
19 district.

20 So district A, which is here in blue, includes SECA,  
21 COR-CAHON, SD-POWESC, SOCNSD, SWRC, SBRC, POF, IOC,  
22 NOCCOAST, and SAA.

23 Moving on to district B, this district includes  
24 SCSFV, East Ventura, San Fernando Valley, SD shoreline,  
25 West of 110, SD-NELA, SD-210, SD-10WE, SD60 by 605, 710

1 to water, SPCC.

2 Moving on to district C, this includes SCOAST,  
3 MIDCOAST, San Jose, Peninsula, SF, EDENTECH, COCO, SD80  
4 Corridor, the North Coast, NAPABYRON, and that is it.  
5 I'm just going to zoom out so we can all see this  
6 district C in its entirety. It's going to have to get  
7 zoomed out a long way. It's big geographically.

8 And next, moving to district D, this is the final  
9 district in this plan, Board of Equalization plan. It  
10 includes the North California Senate district. Zooming  
11 in, it includes Placer-Ed, Placer, El Dorado; Sacramento;  
12 SSACSTANIS; ECA; FRESNO-KERN; SBENFRESNO; KINGS-KERN;  
13 BFRESNO-KERN, Antelope Valley, Victor Valley  
14 visualization, and MCV.

15 Going to zoom out one more time just to get a look  
16 at the whole Board of Equalization plan in its entirety.  
17 I will take off the Senate districts just to get a good  
18 look at everything.

19 CHAIR TURNER: Woohoo. Good job. All right. Okay.  
20 I would love -- I'm looking around. I'd like to lock it  
21 in.

22 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think, looking at this, it  
23 reflects the direction that was given by both myself and  
24 Commissioner Andersen, and I'd like to lock it in.

25 And with that, I also want to motion to approve the

1 draft maps for the state -- I'm not waiting because I  
2 believe all of these maps fairly reflect the -- would  
3 create fair maps that would allow us to get a reflection  
4 from the State of California. So I am motioning to  
5 approve the draft maps for the State Senate, state  
6 Assembly, the U.S. House of Representatives, and Board of  
7 Equalization.

8 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I second. This is Isra on the  
9 phone.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Who was the second from?

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Isra.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Isra. Yes. Commissioner Ahmad.

13 Yes. Okay. One moment, please.

14 So at this point, we have a motion and a second.  
15 However, we are going to go to public comment before we  
16 vote and get this up and posted.

17 MR. MANOFF: Sounds good. So if we could please --  
18 you can please stop the share at this time, and we will  
19 prepare to begin to receive public comment.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much for waiting. We  
21 have a proposal, and we have a motion for our maps and a  
22 second, so we are getting those up and posted while we  
23 prepare to take public comment so that we can move  
24 forward on a vote on our maps.

25 And so at this time, Kristian, it will be in your



1 hands to open the lines.

2 MR. MANOFF: All right, Chair. And those lines have  
3 been opened as they were opened earlier, and we do have  
4 some callers.

5 And Katy (ph.), are you available?

6 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I am. Can you not hear  
7 me?

8 MR. MANOFF: I can hear you.

9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay.

10 MR. MANOFF: And go ahead.

11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. Well, we will  
12 go ahead and start with caller -- real quick, I would  
13 like to announce there, most people have raised their  
14 hands. For those that have called in, if you do wish to  
15 give comments on the motion on the floor, or general  
16 public comments this evening, please, press star nine.  
17 This will raise your hand.

18 MR. MANOFF: I had one more --

19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: So those --

20 MR. MANOFF: -- I had one before we get started.

21 There was direction from the chair that the time tonight  
22 for public comment will be a minute and thirty seconds,  
23 so we'll be giving you a warning when you have thirty  
24 seconds remaining and when you have fifteen seconds  
25 remaining.

1 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Perfect. Thank you.

2 All right. Now, we will start with caller 2232.

3 And up next after that will be caller 6058.

4 Caller 2232, if you will please follow the prompts  
5 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

6 MS. ASANTO: Hello. My name is Kayla Asanto. I am  
7 an organizer with Orange County Environmental Justice.  
8 And I just wanted to say, thank you for all of your hard  
9 work in drafting all these maps and everything.

10 Admittedly, a lot of my public comments were back  
11 when there were visualizations. And the website has  
12 given me a lot of difficulty with viewing the draft map  
13 proposals. So just wanted to say that I would very much  
14 like us to keep Santa Ana, Anaheim whole and West Anaheim  
15 and South Fullerton whole, South Fullerton being South of  
16 Chapman instead of South of Melbourne in Orange County.

17 I don't recall -- I think it's 1105, but I don't  
18 know if that's relevant to the graph maps and everything.  
19 I think that the Assembly maps are more or less okay, but  
20 there are some things that really could be changed for  
21 our communities of interests, for our Environmental  
22 Justice communities between South Fullerton and West  
23 Anaheim.

24 And for the State Senate maps, I don't know where to  
25 begin. There are a lot of things that are -- that are

1 just weird on the visualizations. But again, I haven't  
2 been able to view the draft map proposals very well.  
3 But, yeah. Bottom line is keep South Fullerton and West  
4 Anaheim whole. And for State Senate and Congress, keep  
5 them together with Santa Ana so that we can have Voting  
6 Rights Act districts in Orange County for the  
7 Congressional and State Senate level.

8 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

9 And right now, we will have caller 0658. And up  
10 next after that will be caller 8499.

11 Caller 6058, if you will please follow the prompts  
12 to unmute by pressing star six. One more time, caller  
13 with the last four digits, 6058, if you'll please follow  
14 the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. I do  
15 apologize caller 6058, I do know you have been waiting on  
16 hold for quite some time. I will come back to you.  
17 There may be a connectivity issue at this time.

18 Right now, we will go to caller 8499. If you will  
19 please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.  
20 The floor is yours.

21 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I was listening to the  
22 meeting this morning, and I would like to thank the  
23 Commission, specifically Commissioner Akutagawa and  
24 Commissioner Andersen for hearing my community and  
25 current concern about being combined.

1 I appreciate you all taking our input and want you  
2 to encourage to find a solution that makes both counties  
3 happy. Thank you so much.

4 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

5 And right now, we will have caller 2668. And up  
6 next after that will be caller 3700.

7 Caller 2668, if you will please follow the prompts  
8 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi Commission. Thank you so  
10 much for hearing me today. So I've been listening in,  
11 and I appreciate that you guys are kind of taking our  
12 concerns in because I'm from Kern County, and our  
13 concerns about being combined with Fresno.

14 Honestly, my concerns are that the district is just  
15 too large. It spans a huge amount of landmass that would  
16 take forever to travel to for any -- anybody, really.  
17 The counties are really unique, and I think they deserve  
18 their own representation.

19 Obviously, someone from Fresno wouldn't be able to  
20 represent Kern County all that well. And same, someone  
21 from Kern County couldn't represent Fresno that well. So  
22 I think it's really, really important that they get their  
23 own representation, and honestly, knows the needs of  
24 their community there.

25 So I urge the Commission to continue taking our

1 concerns into consideration and, like, find the solution  
2 for us. Thank you.

3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

4 Right now, we have caller 3700. And up next after  
5 that will be caller 7693.

6 Caller 3700, if you will please follow the prompts  
7 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

8 MS. WILSON: Hi. My name is Betty Wilson. I'm the  
9 executive director for the business counsel. I'm calling  
10 in today regarding zone F. I just want to thank each  
11 Commissioner for you hard work and for those  
12 Commissioners that have heard the voices of our diverse  
13 community.

14 We've been very happy with the proposed map on the  
15 Congressional draft map. We just want to make sure that  
16 you reference our submitted map, 10062021112. But so  
17 far, it looks very promising, and we're real excited  
18 about that.

19 Just wanted to share early on, our community has  
20 been very engaged in this process. And I know you have  
21 seen the numerous emails regarding the Congressional  
22 Senate and Assembly districts, so thank you.

23 The Assembly districts are also moving towards our  
24 submitted map, 10072021124. Again, designed with our  
25 large Latino population and other communities of interest

1 in mind, while balancing the success of collaborations  
2 over the last ten years. We encourage the use of our  
3 proposed Assembly districts, 10072021124, as the  
4 architecture for our Senate district. That map submitted  
5 was 10062021123. This would allow for nesting and meet  
6 the Commissions criteria.

7 Thank you so very much for hearing the voice of the  
8 people. Thank you.

9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. Right  
10 now we will have caller 7693. And up next after that  
11 will be caller 1898.

12 Caller 7693, if you will please follow the prompts  
13 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

14 MS. ROWE: Hello. This is Chris Rowe. I submitted  
15 testimony online. I'm calling for the VFD events, SFV  
16 1107 (ph.). Please do not divide the San Fernando Valley  
17 into Ventura County. There's a population of over  
18 10,047,926 people in L.A. County. This would mean that  
19 you would have a whole Board of Equalization district in  
20 L.A. County alone greater than your population requires,  
21 and we should have this district event, SFV 1107,  
22 completely within the San Fernando Valley.

23 And I've submitted similar testimony regarding the  
24 VFD L.A. Bay Area, 1107, how it should be designed. It  
25 should stop on Sunset before Santa Monica and move to the

1 North and take the area that you have West of the 405  
2 Freeway and put it in with the Eastern portion. And if  
3 you read my written testimony, it will explain it more,  
4 clearly.

5 And I just want to say, thank you. I've been  
6 spending the hours with you this week. Eleven hours, I  
7 believe, on Monday and ten on Tuesday and since noon  
8 today, so I know the time that you guys are putting in,  
9 and I great --

10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

11 Right now, we have caller 1898 --

12 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Oh -- Thank you so much.

14 Right now we have caller 1898. And up next after  
15 that will be caller 4984.

16 Caller 1898, if you will please follow the prompts  
17 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I know it's been  
19 kind of crazy for you guys this evening, so I'll get  
20 right to the point. My name is Sandy. I'm a resident of  
21 Fresno. I want to thank all of you, the Commission, for  
22 acknowledging my concerns on today's meeting. I  
23 appreciate that you're thinking of us while you're making  
24 these decisions, and I just want to make sure that you  
25 know we're grateful for your consideration.

1           That being said, I want to ask you again that you  
2 not link Fresno with Bakersfield. I understand the  
3 considerations that you're having to balance, and I do  
4 not envy your task, but, please, find a way to separate  
5 my community with Bakersfield on the Congressional and  
6 Senate maps. We're different communities. We have  
7 different needs, and they're very different.

8           So thank you for your time and, again, for  
9 recognizing our concerns. Have a good night, and thank  
10 you from my heart.

11           PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

12           Right now, we will have caller 4984. And up next  
13 after that will be caller 0053.

14           Caller 4984, if you will please follow the prompts  
15 to unmute. The floor is yours.

16           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I just wanted to start  
17 by thanking the Commissioners who mentioned my concerns  
18 while talking about the central valley today. Thank you  
19 for -- sorry. Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa,  
20 Commissioner Andersen, and Chair Turner. It means so  
21 much to me that you are with me in my concerns. I know  
22 it's hard to find a place for Kern County, but I  
23 appreciate that you all are trying.

24           One possible way to give us somewhat accurate  
25 representation would be to keep Rosedale and Stockdale



1 together in all the districts you're drawing. I know on  
2 the map it might look like we are two different  
3 communities, but if you were to visit Bakersfield you'd  
4 see that we are really one cohesive community.

5 The way you've drawn the district now is you've put  
6 Rosedale together with Oildale, which I think is correct.  
7 But what's incorrect is disconnecting Stockdale and the  
8 rest of southwest Bakersfield by putting them in with  
9 downtown East Bakersfield and some of the smaller farming  
10 communities like Arvin and Delano.

11 It makes much more sense to treat Rosedale,  
12 Stockdale, Southwest, and Oildale as one community and  
13 put the rest of Bakersfield in the smaller -- in with the  
14 smaller farming communities. I'm asking that you do this  
15 and continue to hear my community as we speak what we  
16 have to say.

17 Thank you, for hearing me, and thank you, again for  
18 all the work that you're doing. And have a good night  
19 tonight.

20 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

21 Right now, we will have caller 0053. And up next  
22 after that will be caller 6836.

23 Caller 0053, if you will please follow the prompts  
24 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

25 MR. HAYDUKE: All righty. So my name is Blaine

1 Hayduke (ph.), and I'm actually from Bakersfield. I was  
2 just actually calling -- I understand you guys have a  
3 huge issue -- like, huge problem with putting Kern County  
4 in a specific area. Really, the big problem that I have  
5 with it is you guys are dividing Bakersville, Haggin  
6 Oaks, Seven Oaks, Gosford, and the Stockdale area from  
7 the rest of the communities -- from Rosedale and even  
8 Oildale.

9 I live in Haggin Oaks, on the southwest side of  
10 town. My parents live in Rosedale, cousin lives in  
11 Rosedale, and some of my best friends live in Oildale.  
12 Really, everyone I know lives, like, in these areas.

13 Now, the problem with it is, it's true that a lot of  
14 people who live, live kind of in our separate  
15 communities, but we are just one big community, and I  
16 believe that splitting Rosedale and Oildale from the rest  
17 of Kern County would be a huge mistake.

18 Again, I just want to say thank you so much. I  
19 don't envy you guys for having to make the decisions, but  
20 I really would want you guys to take into consideration.

21 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

22 Right now, we will have caller 6836. And up next  
23 after that will be caller 0073.

24 Caller 6836, if you will please follow the prompts  
25 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

1 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good afternoon,  
2 Commissioners. I'm an elementary school teacher here in  
3 the Rosedale Union school district. I've taught second  
4 graders for seven years now, and I'm calling to object to  
5 the way that you've divided the districts in Bakersfield.

6 I've got to know a lot of faculty members and fellow  
7 educators over the years, and one thing I've noticed is  
8 many of them who live here in southwest Bakersfield work  
9 in northwestern Bakersfield. There are a lot of issues  
10 that a legislator or representative has to understand  
11 relating to public education in our community, and we  
12 need to be able to choose a representative that's one  
13 community who really understands the needs of educators.

14 If you draw this artificial separation between our  
15 communities, you're going to split the vote of educators  
16 like me who need to be able to elect a representative  
17 that understands our needs. So please, take this into  
18 consideration. Thank you.

19 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

20 Right now, we will have caller 0073. And Up Next  
21 after that will be caller 6207.

22 Caller 0073, if you will please follow the prompts  
23 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

24 MR. AI: Hi, Commissioners. My name is Mike Ai with  
25 Equality California, and I'm calling to ask again that

1 the LGBTQ plus community of interests in the Coachella  
2 Valley be kept together.

3 As a reminder, for the Coachella Valley  
4 Congressional and Assembly visualizations, we ask that  
5 you unite Coachella Valley's LGBTQ plus community on both  
6 sides of the 10 freeway as a single Assembly and  
7 Congressional district, as was done in the Senate  
8 visualization, rather than putting communities North of  
9 the 10 freeway like North Palm Springs, Cathedral City,  
10 Desert Hot Springs into a district with Imperial County.

11 For the Coachella Valley Senate visualizations, we  
12 greatly appreciate how you've united the LGBTQ plus  
13 community. We think the San Bernardino communities like  
14 Big Bear Lake and Yucaipa would belong better in San  
15 Bernardino district rather than with the Desert Coachella  
16 Valley district.

17 We respectfully ask that the Commissioners not vote  
18 to accept the draft maps and instead fix these errors  
19 first. Thank you so much.

20 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

21 And right now, we will have caller 6207. And up  
22 next after that will be caller 5056.

23 Caller 6207, if you will please follow the prompts  
24 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

25 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. And thanks for

1 letting me -- thanks for taking my call.

2 I'm voicing my strong concerns over combining Fresno  
3 and Bakersfield. These are totally different communities  
4 that cannot be adequately represented by the same  
5 representative. Combining us will be diminishing the  
6 voice of the citizens in both Fresno and Bakersfield and  
7 will weaken our ability to elect candidates that will  
8 take the tough issues facing both of our communities.

9 Thank you for mentioning our concerns during today's  
10 session. That did not go unnoticed. We're simply asking  
11 for you to find a way to make sure both of our voices are  
12 heard on the Congressional and Senate side. Thank you.

13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

14 And right now, we will have caller 5056. And up  
15 next after that will be caller 7625.

16 Caller 5056, if you will please follow the prompt to  
17 unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

18 MS. CHANG: Good afternoon. My name is Susan Chang,  
19 and I'm the organizing director at (indiscernible) for  
20 Justice, a nonprofit organization focused on youth  
21 organizing, civic engagements, and providing immigrant  
22 legal services.

23 Before anything else, I would like to thank you all  
24 for your commitment to this crucial process. We  
25 understand that planning, executing plans, collecting

1 data, and organizing data, and making sense of all of it  
2 takes a lot of work, communication, time, and  
3 dedications.

4 Today, I wanted to comment on the communities of  
5 interest in South Fullerton and West Anaheim, low-income  
6 immigrant communities in South Fullerton, South of  
7 Chapman Avenue, and West Anaheim share common challenges  
8 like environmental justice and access to affordable  
9 housing. And these parts of Orange County should be  
10 drawn together.

11 For the Assembly map I wanted to thank the  
12 Commission for drawing South Fullerton with parts of West  
13 Anaheim. There are some minor line changes that I think  
14 would better reflect our COI, which will be provided in  
15 an email.

16 For the Senate drafts maps, thank you for moving  
17 towards creating a VRA district. And then lastly, for  
18 the Congressional maps, thank you for drawing parts of  
19 South Fullerton in (indiscernible) VRA district. Thank  
20 you so much for your time, and thank you for your  
21 commitment.

22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

23 Right now, we will have caller 7625. And up next  
24 after that will be caller 5944.

25 Caller 7625, if you will please follow the prompts

1 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hey there. My name is David,  
3 and I'm calling with the (indiscernible). I want to echo  
4 all of the people saying thank you so much for all of  
5 your work. Just sitting through trying to get on public  
6 comment has been harrowing. So all the work that y'all  
7 are doing is really quite amazing.

8 And I'm calling with (indiscernible), as I said.  
9 And, you know, last night we called in to talk about the  
10 areas of Hayward and Ashland on an unincorporated areas  
11 of Southern Alameda County.

12 And I'm pretty sure Commissioner Andersen referenced  
13 it, and then, you know, y'all went and talked about  
14 Vallejo for a while last night, which we also very much  
15 support the work that y'all were trying to do to connect  
16 Vallejo to those areas as well, but we just want to make  
17 sure that the areas of Hayward and Ashland, all those  
18 areas are not forgotten.

19 We -- those areas currently are put in with the Tri-  
20 Valley area East of the hills, which is a much richer,  
21 much whiter part of the district that belongs with areas  
22 that are closer over to there.

23 There is a really amazing map that was submitted by  
24 AAFRC (ph.) that has Assembly maps, and it includes a  
25 district that has San Leandro, San Lorenzo, Hayward,

1 Union City, and Newark, and is a lot closer to the areas  
2 that reflect this district. Again, it's AASRC.

3 Thank you so much for all of the work that y'all are  
4 doing.

5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

6 So right now, we will have caller 5944. And up next  
7 after that will be caller 3033.

8 Caller 5944, if you will please follow the prompts  
9 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening. Thank you.

11 Hey, I just wanted to draw the Commissioner's  
12 attention to the submission that the Delores Huerta  
13 Foundation has sent to the Commission about the central  
14 valley VRA maps, and specifically their legal analysis on  
15 the Latino CVAPs being -- for the Congressional maps,  
16 being inadequate -- just be on the low side.

17 In alignment with what a lot of folks have said, the  
18 Kings County VRA district that's connected, they --  
19 that -- really, that Stockdale Estates really can come  
20 out of there to increase Latino CVAP for that district,  
21 as well as the Seven Oaks Country Club, Sunnydale Country  
22 Club. There's just a lot of extremely white, very  
23 affluent areas that are currently included in that  
24 district with Delano, Arvin. It really just doesn't  
25 match up, and I think you guys will find significant



1 increases to the Latino CVAP if you guys separate that  
2 along the 99 in Bakersville.

3 Thank you, very much.

4 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

5 Right now we will have caller 3033. And up next  
6 after that will be caller 0313.

7 Caller 3033, if you will please follow the prompts  
8 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is --

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you hear me?

10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, we can. The floor  
11 is yours.

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: My name is Ralph Sudenfeld  
13 (ph.), I live in Santa Cruz, and I just wanted to comment  
14 on the proposed Assembly district for my region. You  
15 know, I understand that, you know, these are hard to  
16 draw. And for years and years, we have been associated  
17 with the, you know, we're up in Northern end of the  
18 Monterey Bay, and we've been associated with communities  
19 around the Bay. I understand if it's not possible to  
20 continue that, but I have a particular concern with the  
21 way that the line has separated the City of Santa Cruz  
22 from parts of unincorporated Santa Cruz County in the  
23 Northern part of the county including Live Oak and  
24 Soquel. And partially the reason for this is, you know,  
25 these are -- this is a line that would actually separate

1 people who are in the same high school. You know, kids  
2 who live across the street from each other would be in  
3 separate Assembly districts even though they're going to  
4 the same high school, they have the same -- every other  
5 thing that their families vote for would be the same on  
6 the ballot. So I hope that their -- I don't understand  
7 why, you know, the unincorporated portion of Santa Cruz  
8 County, including Pleasure Point, Soquel, Aptos, and --  
9 as well as the City of Capital has been separated from  
10 the rest of --

11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

12 Right now, we will have caller 0313. And up next  
13 after that will be caller 5701.

14 Caller 0313, if you will please follow the prompts  
15 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Howdy, Commissioners. I'm  
17 calling out of Salinas, California today, and I wanted to  
18 comment on the State Senate maps.

19 I know I saw -- I was watching last night. I know  
20 you guys tried to separate my county from Fresno County,  
21 but I hope you guys will try harder and make it happen.  
22 I know the draft maps that were published on 10/27, they  
23 included a separate district for my county and San Benito  
24 County. And then it let all those Fresno County people  
25 have their own district with Madera, Merced County, and

1 those districts where there's already Congressional maps  
2 that go up from Fresno, Madera, Merced. There's an  
3 Assembly map this cycle that you guys put out -- that  
4 has, you know, Madera, Merced with Fresno. Just doesn't  
5 make much sense for my County -- and just my part of my  
6 County, to be with -- with Fresno. It's way too far.  
7 Our representation doesn't, you know -- a Fresno  
8 representative is not going to look out for our  
9 interests. Those mountains are hard to travel across,  
10 and we need our own cultural County out here.

11 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

12 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: So I hope you guys take a  
13 hard look at that one more time. It just doesn't make  
14 any sense to have us with Fresno County. Our communities  
15 are very, very different. So I urge you guys to take a  
16 very hard look before approving the Senate maps.

17 MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: They're not a good look.  
19 It's not a good look to have our counties continue to be  
20 represented by Fresno. And those electives out there  
21 don't care about what's happening in the cote. They --  
22 they -- they have all their people over there, and they  
23 all vote for their people --

24 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

25 And right now we will have caller 5701, and up next

1 after that will be caller 5363.

2 Caller 5701, if you will please follow the prompts  
3 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

4 MR. KINSEY: Good evening, Commissioners. My name  
5 is John Kinsey with Wanger Jones Helsley, on behalf of  
6 the Fresno Chamber of Commerce. Earlier today,  
7 Commission staff acknowledged the expense of public  
8 comment for Fresno and Bakersfield residents regarding  
9 the State Senate map. I think you're continuing to hear  
10 those comments this evening. Specifically, that Fresno  
11 and Bakersfield should not be combined. I think this was  
12 followed earlier today by a comment from the chair that  
13 the Central Valley Residents got what they wanted, so the  
14 Commission didn't need to discuss the issue further.

15 I wanted to set the record straight. That's not  
16 accurate. Those statements appear to be based on the  
17 Assembly map, not the State Senate map. In the current  
18 visualizations we continue to have Fresno and current --  
19 combined as the Senate district. And the commenters did  
20 not get what they wanted.

21 We have significant concerns regarding this proposed  
22 district. And I urge the Commission to review my legal  
23 comment submitted yesterday. Fresno's the fifth largest  
24 city in the state. It should have local representation  
25 in the Senate. Despite this, the city's split up with

1 other larger --

2 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

3 MR. KINSEY: -- far-flung communities, such as  
4 Bakersfield and -- and Salinas. This is a significant  
5 danger, that the map will result in Fresno having no  
6 local representation. The map violates Prop 11. And I  
7 strongly suggest that the chamber consider moving Fresno  
8 to the North, consistent with our comments.

9 Thank you, very much.

10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

11 And right now we will have caller 5363, and up next  
12 after that will be caller 1701. Caller 5363, if you will  
13 please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.  
14 The floor is yours.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Well, good evening,  
16 everybody. I just want to say thank you for giving me  
17 the chance to voice a few concerns, and I wanted to,  
18 sincerely and honestly, thank you guys for doing what all  
19 of you guys are doing. I know it's difficult, but it's  
20 so incredibly important.

21 I live in the Southwest area of Bakersfield, and I  
22 just want to let you know that Northwest Bakersfield  
23 really belongs with us. And for that matter, we really  
24 belong to them, you know. Streets connect to one and  
25 each other, all that. I can bike from one part to the

1 other in, like, ten minutes. Easily. We go to the same  
2 shops, same grocery stores. We go to the same Starbucks.  
3 We see the same movies at the marketplace. It's really  
4 just one community, and I really believe we belong in the  
5 same district, so that we can choose candidates to run  
6 and represent our whole community. Not just half of it.  
7 Please consider my comments as you're making your  
8 important and difficult decisions. And have a good  
9 night.

10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

11 And now we will have caller 1701, and up next after  
12 that will be caller 3171.

13 Caller 1701, if you will please follow the prompts  
14 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

15 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, dear  
16 Commissioners. First, I would like to thank you for all  
17 your time and letting me speak. But I have to express my  
18 opinions, and I'm very disappointed, because what I have  
19 heard and seen the past few weeks. One of the  
20 Commissioners, who represent Orange County, is getting  
21 really popular in our leader's type of Committee, these  
22 days. Most of Saigon-Americans, have heard about your  
23 name by now, because of what you are trying to do by  
24 splitting our Little Saigon community apart. Because of  
25 your suggestions on the draft map, our communities will

1 not have a true representation in the next decade.

2 Because of you our accomplishments, and what we have  
3 built as a whole community, in more than forty years,  
4 will now be destroyed.

5 So I hope that you put your personal interests or  
6 agenda aside, and truly do what's best for the public. I  
7 ask --

8 MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

9 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- for all the Commissioners  
10 to please relook and consider our proposal for Little  
11 Saigon community. It's important that we keep Little  
12 Saigon whole by --

13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

14 And right now we'll have caller 3171, and up next  
15 after that will be caller 3321.

16 Caller 3171, if you will please follow the prompts  
17 to unmute, the floor is yours.

18 MR. ESQUIVEL: Thank you. I'm calling you from the  
19 County of Riverside, actually. The City of Riverside.  
20 My name is Gilberto Esquivel. I'm with LULAC of  
21 Riverside. And we have been working on these districts  
22 since a decade ago. But you have done with the -- with  
23 the State Senate district is unbelievable. You have  
24 joined it -- us into the San Bernardino County.  
25 Riverside and San Bernardino counties are both County

1 seats, and they both have unique problems. And we have  
2 to individually look into our problems as we have them.  
3 As we have in the past ten years. All our representation  
4 is in the Riverside County. We don't have any  
5 communities of interest in San Bernardino County  
6 whatsoever. We need to work individually with the  
7 Riverside area. We need to have the Assembly district,  
8 and the State Senate district, to show Riverside County  
9 only. We cannot go across into another County seat.  
10 That would be very --

11 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

12 MR. ESQUIVEL: -- difficult for them, as well as for  
13 us. Thank you, very much.

14 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, very much.

15 Right now we will have callers 3321, and up next  
16 after that will be caller 1043.

17 Caller 3321, if you will please follow the prompts  
18 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

19 MS. CHALCO: Hello. My name is Anna Chalco (ph.),  
20 and I am a resident of Santa Ana. I also work in Santa  
21 Ana, a program of (indiscernible) Latino Health Access.  
22 Latino Health Access (indiscernible, simultaneous speech)  
23 with community residents for over twenty-seven years to  
24 improve the social (Indiscernible) of health our city.

25 I appreciate all of your long hours, and line



1 drawers have put into balancing so many diverse  
2 communities of interest throughout the state. I first  
3 want to mention that Santa Ana is really composed of low-  
4 income and mixed immigration and mixed families. Meaning  
5 that their families include undocumented U.S. residents  
6 and citizens family members.

7       They are extremely hard working families. When many  
8 are employed in essential jobs are often overlooked and  
9 underpaid. These cities include numerous communities of  
10 interest, such as immigrants, low-income families,  
11 families without medical insurance, and mixed-immigration  
12 status families. These communities of interest are  
13 Sherwood (indiscernible) of West Anaheim, and Southern --  
14 and South Burlington. Therefore I want to acknowledge  
15 and thank you for drawing a VRA district around Latinx  
16 communities in Santa Ana, both in the Assembly and  
17 Congressional draft.

18       MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

19       MS. CHALCO: And for exploring a VRA district in  
20 the -- in the Senate draft map. Once again, thank you  
21 for your time and your commitment to acknowledging  
22 everyone's input.

23       PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

24       Right now we will have caller 1043, and up next  
25 after that will be caller 2087.

1           Caller 1043, if you will please follow the prompts  
2 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

3 Caller 1043 -- the floor is yours.

4           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Yes. Howdy. How you doing?  
5 I just want to, you know, indicate that, you know, why I  
6 appreciate comments to make sure that Little Saigon stay  
7 as a whole. We need a representation that will  
8 understand our unique culture, community, (indiscernible)  
9 Congressional district.

10           Santa Ana (indiscernible) has no community of  
11 interest with us. So I hope you don't listen to just one  
12 Commissioner who is just supposed to be an expert for  
13 (indiscernible). But someone who stays (indiscernible).  
14 And show multiple (indiscernible) boys and girls clubs of  
15 (indiscernible) --

16           MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

17           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- (indiscernible). Please  
18 don't separate us. Huntington Beach does not belong to  
19 Riverside.

20           MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

21           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We continue to fight to see  
22 that true representation. Thank you for allowing me to  
23 speak (indiscernible). Thank you.

24           PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

25           Right now we will have caller 2087, and up next

1 after that will be caller 6812.

2 Caller 2087, if you will please follow the prompts  
3 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

4 MS. VANG: Good evening, Commission. My name is Cha  
5 Vang. I am with the API (indiscernible) Education Fund.  
6 I'm a long-time Fresno resident, and a part of the Hmung-  
7 American, Asian-American, immigrant, refugee, and under-  
8 invested communities in Sacramento. Therefore, today, I  
9 will share something back on the Sacramento area as a --  
10 and as a resident of the Bayard community, to which I  
11 hope will be valuable to you. Again, thank you for all  
12 the work that you all put into the Sacramento  
13 Congressional line. While not perfect, it looks much  
14 better and closer to what communities want to see.

15 However, we are still very concerned about the  
16 Assembly and Senate maps, and wish -- I wish you had  
17 worked harder in the Sacramento area before releasing the  
18 draft map -- the drafts. The Vineyard area is cut out of  
19 both Assembly and state maps, and put into a district  
20 with San Joaquin, which has very little in common, and  
21 has a large Asian-American -- communities of interest.  
22 And the current maps will split the Asian-American  
23 communities from Vineyard --

24 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

25 MS. VANG: -- in Vineyard from South Sacramento and

1 Elk Grove, which is deeply connected to each other;  
2 whether it's for school district, family, college, work,  
3 business, or other purposes. The Senate map is also  
4 cutting out the vineyard, so we hope that you can --

5 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

6 MS. VANG: -- figure out a way to put back Vineyard  
7 into the Assembly and State maps to keep the API  
8 community's of interest together.

9 Hopefully, you all can refer back to the maps that  
10 are submitted by the Asian-American (indiscernible)  
11 Justice, that actually reflect the API --

12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

13 Right now we will have caller 6812, and up next  
14 after that will be caller 5178.

15 Caller 6812, if you will please follow the prompts  
16 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

17 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I am a Yorba Linda  
18 resident, and I have spoken to the Commission before  
19 about how North Orange County faces the same fires --  
20 wild fire issues, and how important it is to keep our  
21 North Orange County communities together.

22 After weeks of testimony that stress importance of  
23 keeping North Orange County districts together, I was  
24 really confused last night when this area somehow ended  
25 up in three Congressional districts. These areas are

1 faced with fire fires (sic), housing affordability  
2 issues, and infrastructure issues, yet the Commission  
3 drew arbitrary lines to meet population tolls instead of  
4 focusing on the community of interest.

5 I hope you reconsider and keep North Orange County  
6 cities like Yorba Linda, Brea, Placentia, Anaheim Hills,  
7 and Orange all together. Thank you.

8 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

9 And right now we will have caller 5178, and up next  
10 after that will be caller 1013.

11 Caller 5178, if you will please follow the prompts  
12 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

13 MR. WONG: Thank you, all, Commissioners. My name  
14 is John Wong. I am, like, I'm born and raised in Santa  
15 Luco. I called in yesterday. Just wanted to express my  
16 disappointment today, when looking at the Senate maps,  
17 and I see that some of my hopes to keep Northern San  
18 Diego -- City of San Diego communities together was kind  
19 of -- seemed to be frugally dismissed. When,  
20 unfortunately, Commissioner Sinay allowed Sadhwani to  
21 separate Pamela Valley as well as allowed the SOC edit  
22 the district to cut in and take a portion of  
23 (indiscernible).

24 I just wanted to, again, note that North City of San  
25 Diego communities, like (indiscernible), Come Along

1 Ranch, and (indiscernible) are communities of interest  
2 because they are in the (indiscernible) unified school  
3 district, North of (indiscernible) creek.

4 So again, if you could please --

5 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

6 MR. WONG: -- make sure that, you know, in future  
7 maps when you're, like, tinkering and editing for later  
8 drafts, to make sure that the North City of San Diego  
9 communities are kept whole. Like, as in --

10 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

11 MR. WONG: --(Indiscernible), to make sure that that  
12 is whole within the community of (indiscernible) Valley.  
13 Also, thank you Commissioner Sadhwani for continuing to  
14 advocate for the LGBT community. Thank you.

15 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

16 Right now we will have caller 1013, and up next  
17 after all that will be caller 0597.

18 Caller 1013, if you will please follow the prompts  
19 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.  
21 I'm calling in regards to the Assembly seat in -- located  
22 in Inglewood. The Congressional map and the Senate map  
23 have the Cities of Hawthorn, Lawndale, and Inglewood  
24 together, but the Assembly seat separates Lawndale,  
25 Hawthorn, and Inglewood. And I was just curious as to

1 why that happened in the Assembly district. Lawndale  
2 unified school district serves the children of the Cities  
3 of Hawthorn and Lenox.

4 And I would like to see -- ask respectfully if one  
5 of the Commissioners would be willing to ask the draw-  
6 liners to put the Cities of Lawndale, Hawthorn, and  
7 Inglewood together in the Assembly map. It's already  
8 done in the Congressional one and the Senate one. And  
9 I'm just concerned about separating Lawndale from the  
10 City of Inglewood in the Assembly maps. So if I would --  
11 if someone can ask why that is, I appreciate that very  
12 much. Thank you.

13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much. And  
14 right now we will have caller 0597, and up next after  
15 that we will retry caller 6058.

16 Caller 0597, if you will please follow the prompts  
17 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. I was looking at the  
19 visualizations that the Commission has online, and I just  
20 had a couple of concerns for Bakersfield. I think you  
21 guys were on the right track on Tuesday, when you put  
22 Softfield Country Club in with the Kern-Telare district.  
23 Which I think just makes a lot more sense to throw that  
24 whole area of Bakersfield in with the community that's in  
25 that Assembly district, since that whole region is really

1 more similar to those regions than Arvin, Delano,  
2 Shafter, even downtown Bakersfield. So I hope that you  
3 guys will consider my considers. And I hope that helps  
4 and encourage you guys to take a closer look at my  
5 community.

6 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

7 And right now we will be retrying caller 6058, and  
8 up next after that will be caller 6855.

9 Caller 6058, if you will please follow the prompts  
10 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

11 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello. Yes. Hello?

12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, caller 6058. We can  
13 hear you.

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: This is -- this is -- yes.  
15 This is regarding Senate visualization on page 53 of  
16 VSD\_1107. I live in the City of Cypress, and I'm  
17 speaking on behalf of many residents of Cypress. We're  
18 happy with the visualizations page 53.

19 The City of Cypress was in VSD\_INC our sister  
20 cities, Los Alamitos and Rossmore, along with Huntington  
21 Beach and Seal Beach. We share many common interests as  
22 we shop at -- shop at each other's cities, attend each  
23 other's festivals, and churches. Plus Alamito School  
24 District cross-over with Cypress, where many of Cypress  
25 kids go to Los Alamito's school.



1 VSD\_INC is the Cypress community of interest. On  
2 the latest visualization, Cypress was moved to CSDSAA,  
3 with Santa Ana, Anaheim, Buena Park. We have nothing in  
4 common with these cities. We are two worlds apart.

5 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: It's not right that the City  
7 of Garden Grove, West Minister, gets added to VSE Inc, at  
8 the expense of Cypress, only because they scream louder.  
9 I implore you to please move the City to -- of Cypress  
10 back into VSD\_INC. Thank you, very much.

11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

12 And right now we will have caller 6855, and up next  
13 after that will be caller 1619.

14 Caller 6855, if you will please follow the prompts  
15 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

16 MR. SUKATON: Commissioners, good evening again.  
17 Samuel Sukaton from Californian Environmental Voters  
18 Educational Fund. I want to congratulate you on coming  
19 up to draft maps. I know that you've got a considerable  
20 load to bear, and a minute and a half will not kind of  
21 capture most of my comments. I will direct you back to  
22 the map presentation I made three weeks ago, but I do  
23 have some comments first.

24 I'd love to see the shape files, as soon as you can  
25 put them up, so we can do some numbers crunching. Some

1 things that we testified about got in. The San  
2 Bernardino, Inyo hardline where the Sierra begins. Two  
3 Congressional seats at the border, one in Imperial,  
4 actually got four in. My compliments. Combining  
5 Pasadena, the 2-10 corridor, and EPI West San Diego  
6 valley in the Congressional maps. The North coast  
7 architecture that we argued for in 2011 remaining  
8 generally intact, and getting seen -- creating a hardline  
9 between L.A. and Ventura at the Congressional level.  
10 Definitely appreciate those.

11 A couple things to, like, to look at. I don't know  
12 if you saw the Karok letter about wanting to be drawn --  
13 the Karok and Yurok tribes want to be drawn in a North  
14 coast Congressional district. And so we'd ask you, and I  
15 think --

16 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

17 MR. SUKATON: -- the native project would agree.  
18 Take in Siskiyou. I see that Modesto dip in the Senate  
19 level at the Sierra -- in the Sierras. We did ask you to  
20 dip once for population. Do it in Truckee or El Dorado  
21 Hills, communities that are more affiliated with kind of  
22 the Sierra and the community -- and that -- the  
23 environment economic needs there.

24 Fallbrook out of the --

25 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

1 MR. SUKATON: -- CDS -- OCNSP. And I'll have more  
2 later, but thank you, so much.

3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

4 And right now we will have caller 1619, and up next  
5 after that will be caller 0613.

6 Caller 1619, if you will please follow the prompts  
7 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

8 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hi. My name is Joshua.  
9 Thank you for taking the time to listen to our  
10 testimonies. I'd like to make a public comment on one of  
11 the communities of interest. King's County communities  
12 are separated from larger cities, and they instead rely  
13 on Hanford as a center for shopping and meeting for  
14 household needs. King's County is a former section 5  
15 jurisdiction, and should be kept whole out of  
16 consideration for that former status. Thank you.

17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

18 And right now we will have caller 0613, and up next  
19 after that will be caller 9399.

20 Caller 0613, if you will please follow the prompts  
21 to unmute by pressing star six.

22 And one more time, caller 0613, if you will please  
23 follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six.

24 I do apologize caller 0613, appears to be some  
25 connectivity issues at this time. I will try back in a

1 few.

2 Right now we will have caller 9399, and up next  
3 after that will be caller 2223.

4 Caller 9399, if you will please follow the prompts  
5 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

6 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Thank you. I'm calling to  
7 remind you all, regarding the Congressional and the  
8 Assembly districts here in the high desert, AD33, and  
9 CD8. We've been striving to try to exclude the mountain-  
10 region from the desert communities. The equivalent of  
11 maintaining that same district, as you've pointed out --  
12 as you've shown, is the equivalent of adding -- having  
13 Beverly Hills added with East LA. Two very, very  
14 different communities.

15 Those -- the desert community is basically a blue-  
16 collar community. The region -- the mountain region of  
17 Big Berry, Lake Arrowhead, you're talking about  
18 millionaires that live there. Multi-millionaires. And  
19 they have no -- nothing in common with the desert  
20 community. We've made every effort to try to submit maps  
21 to you from the Black-Brown Alliance that have --

22 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: -- thrived and worked hard to  
24 create the map that would be more conducive to having our  
25 communities whole. I would ask you to reconsider. Thank

1 you.

2 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank, so much.

3 And right now we have caller 2223, and up next after  
4 that will be caller 0011.

5 Caller 2223, if you will please follow the prompts  
6 to unmute by pressing star six.

7 And one more time, caller 2223, if you would please  
8 follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star six. The  
9 floor is yours.

10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hi. Thank you. I'm a  
11 resident of Brea, and I want to thank the Commission for  
12 all your work. I've been following the hearings, and  
13 heard weeks of testimony about keeping North Orange  
14 County together. And I was disappointed in the latest  
15 Congressional visualizations that split up North Orange  
16 County. North Orange County faces similar issues and  
17 share common interests. Like we tend to be one  
18 community. We all, like, shop, eat, and work in the  
19 area, regardless of city-lines. So I hope we can find a  
20 way to keep Brea, Yorba Linda, Anaheim Hills, and Orange  
21 all in this North Orange County together. And that we  
22 can have one Congressional representative to represent  
23 our concerns. Thank you, very much.

24 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

25 And right now we have caller 0011, and up next after

1 that will be caller 3770.

2 Caller 0011, if you'll please follow the prompts to  
3 unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

4 MR. AVALON: Good evening, Commissioners. My name  
5 is Daniel Avalon and I live in Northeast Los Angeles.  
6 Many thanks for the work that you've done these last few  
7 days. Particularly the 7th through the 9th. We want to  
8 thank you for the work you've done this far on the  
9 Congressional district and on the Senate district.

10 I'd like to bring your attention to the  
11 visualizations of -- two Assembly districts that have  
12 historically represented our Latino communities.  
13 Communities that have been a part of -- of these  
14 districts for decades. Which have -- which they have  
15 fought for. We are asking you to please look at the  
16 guidelines and the criteria used for the Congressional  
17 district that represents these communities from Eagle  
18 Rock to White -- right South of downtown Los Angeles.  
19 From Pico Union of Westlake to the eastside and  
20 unincorporated --

21 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

22 MR. AVALON: -- East Los Angeles. These -- this --  
23 these neighborhoods deserve -- these two Assembly  
24 districts, no matter how this process to decimate what  
25 we've worked so hard for --

1 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

2 MR. AVALON: -- these past decades. Thank you for  
3 your time. And I appreciate the attention to these  
4 districts of Los Angeles.

5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, so much.

6 And right now we will have caller 3770, and up next  
7 after that will be caller 7175.

8 Caller 3770, if you will please follow the prompts  
9 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

10 MR. GARRETT-PATE: Good evening, Commissioners. My  
11 name is Sam Garret-Pate, and I'm calling on behalf of the  
12 Equality California. I'd like to thank Commissioners for  
13 working to unite our L.A. LGBTQ+ community in one Senate  
14 district, today. And in particular, we want to thank  
15 Commissioners Kennedy, Sadhwani, and Toledo for their  
16 recognition that the LGBTQ+ community is not only in West  
17 Hollywood and Hollywood, but also extends to East  
18 Hollywood, Los Phillies, and Silverlake.

19 Unfortunately, the draft Assembly maps divides  
20 Hollywood and West Hollywood and our community in half,  
21 putting Hollywood with Glendale, and West Hollywood with  
22 Santa Monica. Hollywood and West Hollywood share an  
23 LGBTQ+ community, housing concerns, business interests,  
24 the entertainment industry, and more. They are  
25 inseparable and should not be divided and lumped in with

1 major cities like Glendale and Santa Monica, which have  
2 far less in common. Respectfully, we ask that you not  
3 vote to accept the draft Assembly maps, and fix this  
4 division to unite Hollywood and West Hollywood first. In  
5 San Francisco, we ask that you relook at the draft  
6 Assembly map as well, which currently divides the  
7 LGBTQ+ --

8 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds

9 MR. GARRETT-PATE: -- community by separating Bernal  
10 Heights and parts of Twin Peaks, in West SF, from the  
11 rest of the LGBTQ+ community, which is currently united  
12 in East SF. There has also been COI testimony that these  
13 maps divide the Latino, black --

14 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen.

15 MR. GARRETT-PATE: -- and API communities. Again,  
16 we respectfully ask that you unite our community before  
17 voting to accept the draft maps. And finally, I just  
18 want to reiterate our deep appreciation for Commissioners  
19 and the staff for all of your hard work and for your  
20 focus over these days --

21 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

22 And right now, we will have caller 7175. And up  
23 next after that will be caller 5046.

24 Caller 7175, if you will please follow the prompts  
25 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.



1 MR. PAYNE: Thank you so much.

2 This is Jeremy Payne calling, with Equality  
3 California. Thank you to the Commissioners and staff for  
4 having us. I'm just calling to express my deep  
5 appreciation for the work you're doing to unify our  
6 LGBTQ+ community in San Diego. It was a lot of work  
7 today, and I just want to highlight that I really  
8 appreciate the effort made to locate and view the shape  
9 files we submitted yesterday. These shape files are  
10 extremely useful and should be used before voting on a  
11 draft Senate map because they will allow you to see our  
12 LGBTQ+ community's geographic boundary with San Diego  
13 County, which will be helpful. And it provides a helpful  
14 visual of why our community would be better served in an  
15 SD POW ESCO Senate District, rather than an East County  
16 core Cajon Senate District. I'm referring to the draft  
17 Senate maps now. Moving our community into the SD POW  
18 ESCO District will solidify our LGBTQ+ community in the  
19 Senate District that not only unites us but empowers to  
20 support candidates of choice that are representative of  
21 our community. To negate the population added --

22 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

23 MR. PAYNE: -- we can move the City of Santee, an  
24 East County neighborhood of Lakeside -- of Santee and the  
25 neighborhoods of Lakeside and Winter Gardens into the

1 core Cajon District. And that will help strengthen our  
2 LGBTQ2+ community and keep East county communities --

3 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds.

4 MR. PAYNE: -- in San Diego together. Thank you so  
5 much. We hope that you are able to make these changes  
6 before we move forward with the draft Senate maps.

7 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

8 Right now, we will have caller 5046. And up next  
9 after that I will be retrying caller 0613.

10 And at that time, we will not have any more raised  
11 hands for those who have not spoke this evening. So if  
12 you wish to give comment, I'd like to give you one more  
13 opportunity to please press star nine to raise your hand,  
14 indicating you wish to give comment.

15 As for those who have not shared their comments this  
16 evening, please press star nine to raise your hand,  
17 indicating you wish to give comment. Right now, we will  
18 have caller 5046. And then we will retry caller 0613.  
19 And I did see some of those hands come up, so we will be  
20 coming to you after that.

21 Caller 5046, if you'll please follow the prompts to  
22 unmute by pressing star six.

23 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Okay. Thank you very  
24 much. My name is Drew (ph.) I live in Culver City. A  
25 bunch of folks have provided community of interest that

1 ties us to Marina del Rey and Venice. And originally,  
2 there were a number of districts that had that  
3 possibility, and for some reason, despite -- I know at  
4 least one Commissioner had made the request to unite all  
5 of Culver City -- and at least for the Assembly  
6 District -- find a way of tying it into the West side  
7 where it shares being in the West side council of  
8 government, shares the Ballona Creek, shares common  
9 shopping. It's still part of this district that's called  
10 East of the 110. It's very important to preserve -- make  
11 sure we've got African-American representation, but  
12 Culver City only has a seven percent African-American  
13 CVAP. So what you've done by putting all of them into  
14 one district like this is basically ensure that it's  
15 going to be --

16 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

17 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- incredibly difficult  
18 for Culver City to have anyone ever run for office  
19 because the demographics don't match the rest of the  
20 district, and can make it very difficult. I think that  
21 you can certainly -- and it should be a goal to preserve  
22 and making sure that you got us that seat that can  
23 represent African-American, but --

24 MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

25 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- you can do that by

1 picking up the Mid-City Neighborhood Council area or  
2 Westchester, which has similar demographics and --

3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

4 And right now, we will retry caller 0613. And up  
5 next after that will be caller 0203.

6 Caller 0613, if you will please follow the prompts  
7 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

8 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: Hello. And first off, I  
9 want to say thank you to the host and all the  
10 Commissioners for giving me a second opportunity --  
11 totally missed it last time. I'm calling to -- my name  
12 is Jimmy (ph.). I'm calling today out of Eastern  
13 California and I wanted to comment on the State Senate  
14 map for Fresno County. We've had a number of local  
15 residents, both online on the forum and calling in,  
16 express how we would not like to be linked to San Benito  
17 County and Monterey County. So I just wanted to call and  
18 encourage the Commissioners to take one more strong, hard  
19 look on having a Fresno County-centric -- without the  
20 coastal community states in a district. I know that  
21 another person earlier mentioned State Senate map that  
22 were released on October 27th or around that date, where  
23 it had a Fresno-based district that went up to Madera and  
24 Merced, and a little bit even higher than Merced. And  
25 that was a little bit more reflective of how the

1 Congressional and the Assembly map looks for Fresno  
2 County. And I think there's a way we can draw a VRA  
3 district based around Fresno County with Merced,  
4 Madera --

5 MR. MANOFF: Ten seconds.

6 UNIDENTIFIED MALE SPEAKER: -- and creating two VRA  
7 districts within the Central Valley. So thank you so  
8 much, and I hope you guys take one more look at trying to  
9 make a Fresno-based State Senate district.

10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

11 And right now, we will have caller 0203. And up  
12 next after that will be caller 0983.

13 Caller 0203, if you will please follow the prompts  
14 to unmute by pressing star six. The floor is yours.

15 MS. SELA VALENCIA: Good evening, Commissioners. My  
16 name is Maria Sela Valencia (ph.) I am a resident of  
17 Orange County. And I'm a community organizer with Orange  
18 County Congregations Community Organization. We  
19 appreciate all the long hours and the line drawers that  
20 you guys have -- the time and dedication you've put into  
21 balancing so many diverse communities of interest  
22 throughout the state. I just want to highlight the  
23 importance of South Fullerton and West Anaheim to be kept  
24 together along with Santa Ana, because of the  
25 similarities in communities of interest, such as they all

1 have a Latinx low income, immigrant mixed status  
2 community. They are not similar, for example, to other  
3 neighboring communities, such as Yorba Linda and Anaheim  
4 Hills. Again, thank you so much for the time and  
5 dedication. I also want to uplift for the Assembly. We  
6 will be providing minor line changes between two  
7 districts --

8 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

9 MS. SELA VALENCIA: -- that better capture our  
10 community of interest. Same for the Senate and Congress.  
11 Again, thank you so much for your time and dedication in  
12 this.

13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

14 Right now, we will have caller 0983. And I'd like  
15 to reach out to caller 8224, if you did not mean to lower  
16 your hand, please press star nine again.

17 Caller 0983, if you will please follow the prompts  
18 to unmute at this time by pressing star six. The floor  
19 is yours.

20 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Good evening, Commissioners.  
21 Your visualization for Assembly by northwest Orange  
22 County are completely wrong. I am Vietnamese-American  
23 and I have lived in (indiscernible) Little Saigon, and we  
24 deserve to have our community protected and under one  
25 Assembly District. Please respect the community of

1 interest -- that is Greater Little Saigon -- by keeping  
2 Garden Grove, Westminster, Rossmore, Seal Beach, Los  
3 Alamitos, Huntington Beach, and Fountain Valley together.  
4 This is how it's now, so please don't make any change.  
5 Thank you for your time. Have a great night. Bye-bye.

6 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.

7 And at this time, we have reached the end of our  
8 raised hands. For those that have not spoken, there'll  
9 be one last opportunity before I hand it back over to the  
10 Chair.

11 And we do have one more raised hand. Caller 7311,  
12 if you will please follow the prompts to unmute by  
13 pressing star six. The floor is yours.

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Hello, esteemed  
15 Commissioners. Thank you so much for your time and your  
16 important work. I just wanted to reach out, because when  
17 I'm not working I'm spending most of my time surfing in  
18 Santa Monica and Venice and the other beach communities.  
19 I've been surfing that area for about thirteen years.  
20 And I understand life moves in ways we have to adapt, but  
21 I caught a bit of an ankle-biter the other day that  
22 knocked the wind out of me, and I heard you were thinking  
23 about including Santa Monica with the San Fernando  
24 Valley. I mean, I have never caught a wave in the San  
25 Fernando Valley. And one thing I know is, the clear

1 geographic line is Mulholland Drive. I've probably been  
2 to Encino all of three times in my life, and I still  
3 couldn't point it out on a map. So let's keep the beach  
4 communities with the beach communities, and let's keep  
5 the Valley with the Valley. Full-tilt boogie.  
6 Appreciate it all. Thank you so much.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you so much.

8 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so -- 8224 does  
9 have their hand raised.

10 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. This'll be our last, Katy.  
11 Thank you.

12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay. The floor is  
13 yours, 8224. Please follow the prompts to unmute by  
14 pressing star six. The floor is yours.

15 MS. NGUYEN: Good evening. My name's Bethany Nguyen  
16 (ph.). Orange County and of Little Saigon.

17 (Indiscernible) and education (indiscernible) between  
18 Garden Grove, Westminster, Midway City, Fountain Valley,  
19 Huntington Beach, Rossmore, Los Alamitos, and Seal Beach.  
20 Huntington Beach, Union Heights School District,  
21 (indiscernible), Fountain Valley High School and  
22 Westminster High School, Ocean View School District of  
23 Huntington Beach, (indiscernible) Middle School in  
24 Fountain Valley, Star View Elementary in Midway City, and  
25 Westminster Elementary in Westminster. Then,



1 (indiscernible) Unified School District, (indiscernible)  
2 Marshall and Anthony Elementary School. McGarvin and La  
3 Quinta High School in Westminster and (indiscernible)  
4 Monroe in (indiscernible) Elementary School and Los  
5 Alamitos High School in Fountain Valley. Fountain Valley  
6 School District (indiscernible), William Newland  
7 Elementary and Isojiro Oka Elementary.

8 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.

9 MS. NGUYEN: Seal Beach (indiscernible). Hello?

10 MR. MANOFF: Hello. Twenty seconds remaining.

11 MS. NGUYEN: Yes. Al Westminster, Midway City and  
12 Rossmoor belong together. Don't split our school  
13 district into different Assembly, Senate and  
14 Congressional District. Allow our children to be able to  
15 benefit from representatives that will give them the full  
16 attention they need instead of someone who represents one  
17 or two schools. Thank you.

18 CHAIR TURNER: And thank you.

19 And thank you, Katy.

20 At this point, we are going to -- we have a motion  
21 on the floor and a second. I'd like to thank all of you  
22 for all of your comments and input into the process thus  
23 far.

24 We do have a proposal to approve the display of our  
25 first preliminary statewide maps for the Congressional,

1 State, Senatorial Assembly, and the State Board of  
2 Equalization Districts. We do know that we will come  
3 back and continue to refine based on information that's  
4 been received, but at this point, we are going to go to a  
5 roll call.

6 Commissioner Andersen?

7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The way it's written, could  
8 we also say State Assembly, please?

9 CHAIR TURNER: Yes.

10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

11 CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful.

12 Commissioner Kennedy?

13 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. And it's  
14 not that I feel like engaging very deeply right now, and  
15 I appreciate everyone's patience as I've taken a bit of a  
16 personal health day -- but the community has had the  
17 chance to comment. I feel like the Commissioners also  
18 deserve the chance to comment before the vote. Thank  
19 you.

20 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Kennedy?

21 Oh, that's it. Commissioners?

22 Commissioner Ahmad? I'm sorry.

23 I didn't see Commissioner Sadhwani first. Are you  
24 good?

25 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. Thank you, Chair.

1 I have about eighteen minutes for these comments, so  
2 hopefully, they're lightning round. I am so honored to  
3 work alongside and learn alongside all of you. Although  
4 these are not our final maps, these are draft maps, I  
5 look forward to continuing to making them better to  
6 represent the people of California. Thank you.

7 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.  
8 Commissioner Sadhwani?

9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you so much. I wanted  
10 to echo Commissioner Ahmad's comments and definitely  
11 lightning round before you have to make a trip home, but  
12 I wanted to thank you, Chair Turner, for your leadership  
13 and guidance through this process. I think we achieved a  
14 lot and we still have a whole lot of work in front of us.  
15 I have a laundry list of areas that I think are going to  
16 need a whole lot of refinement. I know we didn't touch  
17 much of L.A. County in our Assembly and Congressional  
18 districts, and I think we'll be taking a fine-tooth comb  
19 through that area in the future. And I very much look  
20 forward to hearing from the communities on the ground  
21 about how we can continue to bring improvements to these  
22 maps as we head towards our final. Thank you so much.

23 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Toledo?

24 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: While these maps are not  
25 perfect by any means, as Commissioner Sadhwani

1 referenced, they do meet the compliance requirements for  
2 all the legal requirements that we've reviewed. We've  
3 taken into consideration the tens of thousands of  
4 community input that we've received, and at this point I  
5 would urge my fellow Commissioners to support these draft  
6 maps. It'll give the public the most amount of time to  
7 comment over the next fourteen days prior to the holiday.  
8 So really, by approving these maps moving forward, it  
9 gives us fourteen days to get community input from  
10 communities before we hit the holidays, and I think  
11 that's really critical, to me, at least. Thank you.

12 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner  
13 Fernandez. Commissioner Vazquez, Commissioner Yee --

14 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And Commissioner Turner.

15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you so much, Chair, and  
16 fellow Commissioners. I am a civic engagement junkie,  
17 and I'm kind of in awe by this whole process. I always  
18 say that it's an honor to serve the State of California,  
19 alongside the fourteen most diverse group of people I've  
20 ever worked with, and feel so honored to work with. But  
21 I'm also in awe by the public and just the diversity of  
22 people who have called in and just -- being someone who  
23 had immigrant parent -- someone who's an immigrant and to  
24 call our grandparents was a big deal. Just being able to  
25 listen to people calling from all over California -- all

1 parts of California, and submitting public comments is  
2 just really inspiring. We're up to almost 15,000  
3 comments, and that's not including -- we're still missing  
4 a lot of them in our database, but that is really  
5 impressive. So thank you, fellow Commissioners, as well  
6 as the State of California.

7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. We are lightening  
8 round. Thank you, California, for all of your feedback.  
9 We are continuing to refine these maps.

10 At the beginning of the week, I wasn't sure if I  
11 could -- I was comfortable with them, but we've done a  
12 great job to get us this far. Again, they are draft  
13 maps. We are wanting your feedback. And just remember,  
14 please tell us what you don't want in and what you do  
15 want in. There is a number that we're looking for in  
16 terms of equal population, so please keep that in mind  
17 when you provide your feedback. If you don't want  
18 something in your district, we have to see what we have  
19 to then take out and bring in.

20 And then I just wanted one -- again, thank the  
21 Californians. Looking forward to more comments. Thank  
22 you, my fellow Commissioners, staff, our awesome line  
23 drawers, and our VRA experts. So thank you, all, and  
24 please remember we do have competing communities of  
25 interest, and we're listening. Thank you.

1           COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I'll keep it short and sweet.  
2 Thank you to our Chair for encouraging us to put our best  
3 foot forward for these draft maps. There were long  
4 nights, and I couldn't hang with some of you all late  
5 into the night, but it's because I trusted you all and I  
6 trusted California to get this iteration of draft maps in  
7 a place where we can get real meaningful feedback. So  
8 thank you again, and look forward to approving these  
9 draft maps, and your feedback.

10           COMMISSIONER YEE: I want to thank the Commission  
11 for deciding to approve the release of these maps five  
12 days before they were legally required to be completed --  
13 these draft maps -- and to honor the time going into the  
14 holidays. And so I hope the public will make a good use  
15 of that time, and I'm glad we were able to make that  
16 early deadline that we set for ourselves. This is not  
17 the most efficient way to draw maps by far, but it's the  
18 fairest way, and I really admire what we've been able to  
19 do together and appreciate the trust of California to --  
20 forty million Californians to let us do this on their  
21 behalf, and I hope our work will serve you well.

22           MR. PANE: Vice Chair Taylor, if you could continue,  
23 that would be helpful. Thank you.

24           VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. You guys know me. I  
25 like to be short and concise. So again, thank you,

1 Californians, thank you, Commissioners. It's nice being  
2 a witness in the room to the process. I think that's a  
3 blessing and a commitment that I'm extremely proud of.  
4 The maps were drawn with all of Californians in mind. I  
5 think we're trying to make room for the most engagement  
6 and opportunity, so I appreciate if the public would keep  
7 the input coming, and I think this will be a great  
8 springboard to some good final maps. Thank you.

9 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: All right. First off, I  
10 just want to acknowledge that, yes, this process as has  
11 been said by some of my fellow Commissioners, it's messy;  
12 it is very slow, but it do believe that it is a process  
13 that has enabled as many people who seek to be engaged in  
14 this process to be engaged. And I just want to say, we  
15 hope that we will hear from even more in the coming  
16 weeks.

17 I want to also acknowledge the hard work that has  
18 been done by the staff, the line drawers, our counsel and  
19 the Commissioners and while I believe that our maps are  
20 not yet perfect, I believe that we have a lot more work  
21 to it, and we've heard that from some of the comments  
22 that we heard just tonight. But we are working to ensure  
23 that we are going to come to a place -- when we turn in  
24 these final maps -- that will best reflect everybody. I  
25 just want to encourage everybody who's listening, and for

1 those of you who are also encouraging others to call  
2 in -- to please ask them to send in their comments on the  
3 maps -- but I also ask you to be helpful. Tell us what  
4 you like. If you don't like something, tell us why.  
5 What would you change? What would you swap? Because  
6 there is requirements that we have to meet. Specifically  
7 and first off, the numbers. An Assembly district has  
8 nearly 500,000 people in it. A Senate district has  
9 nearly a million people -- 988,086, to be exact.  
10 Congressional, 760,066. What are the hard choices that  
11 you would make? And then also think about what those  
12 ripple effects would be to the other parts of California?  
13 That's what we're grappling with, but you help us get the  
14 nuance by telling us what you think would work. So to  
15 the forty million Californians that have entrusted us, we  
16 are each one voice, but we do this work on your behalf.  
17 So just want to say thank you for the privilege.

18 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Commissioner Ahmad has a  
19 plane to catch, so I'll keep it short. Ditto to  
20 everything that's been said. Thanks to the Chair, and we  
21 just remind the public that we have public input  
22 meetings, give you a little time to review the maps --  
23 seventeenth, eighteenth, nineteenth, and twentieth, so we  
24 look forward to hearing your feedback then. Thank you.

25 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Absolutely. Commissioner Le



1 Mons?

2           COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Good evening, everyone. I  
3 just want to echo, I know our fellow Commissioner has to  
4 be on the road, so I wanted to say thank you to everyone.  
5 And I'm very proud to have been a part of this process  
6 with my fellow Commissioners. This has been quite a  
7 journey in the heart of a pandemic, and I think we should  
8 all feel very good. Despite the fact that everything  
9 isn't finished yet, we're well on our way. And I think  
10 we've given the public the optimal amount of time to  
11 really help us do this final refinement, and we look  
12 forward to doing that. And thank you to the Chair and  
13 the Vice Chair for shepherding this portion of the  
14 experience.

15           VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And with that, we  
16 have a motion that's been properly moved and seconded.  
17 We've had word from the public and from the  
18 Commissioners, so we now will move to a vote. And my  
19 understanding is that it is a majority vote, no special  
20 majority.

21           EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.  
22 I'll begin. Commissioner Toledo?

23           UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Isra. Do Isra.

24           EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Ahmad?

25           COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you. All  
2 right. Commissioner Toledo?

3 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.

4 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner?  
5 Commissioner Vazquez?

6 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.

7 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Yee?

8 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

9 CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. Yes. I couldn't get off  
10 of mute. Yes.

11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you,  
12 Commissioner Turner. Commissioner Yee, once again?

13 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you.  
15 Commissioner Akutagawa?

16 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

17 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner  
18 Andersen?

19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

20 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner  
21 Fernandez?

22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Si. Yes.

23 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner  
24 Fornaciari?

25 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

1 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?

2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

3 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

4 Commissioner Le Mons?

5 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Si. Yes.

6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner

7 Sadhwani?

8 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.

9 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay?

10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

11 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor?

12 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Since I stand in the path of  
13 unanimous, yes.

14 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Thank you. The  
15 motion has passed.

16 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Indiscernible) his  
17 microphone on.

18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We should have those little  
19 cups.

20 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: All right, ladies and gentlemen,  
21 Commissioners. So I believe that is all of our business  
22 for this evening. I feel somewhat cheap in that I feel  
23 Trena should have been able to usher in that final vote,  
24 but she did an outstanding job. We appreciate her  
25 kindness and understanding in the room she gave us all to

1 debate and go over that very difficult process. We all  
2 can take a deep breath. There'll be no -- more heavy  
3 lifting is coming. So let's enjoy our evening, let's  
4 enjoy our success. Let's take the respite, and let's  
5 adjourn this --

6 CHAIR TURNER: I have one thing, Commissioner --

7 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: -- meeting. Excuse me --

8 CHAIR TURNER: I'm sorry. I just have --

9 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Go ahead, Trena --

10 CHAIR TURNER: -- one quick thing --

11 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: -- Commissioner.

12 CHAIR TURNER: -- before we -- so we are currently  
13 agendized for a meeting on the 13th and the 15th, and my  
14 fellow Commissioners, and if Vice Chair you'd agree, I'd  
15 like to recommend that we just take our 15th meeting. I  
16 don't think we have any business that can't wait until  
17 Monday, the 15th, as opposed to the 13th. But we do need  
18 to determine that, and then just as -- I'll see if I can  
19 get off and go back on mute -- as a reminder to all of us  
20 that's utilized the facility, to ensure from a  
21 housekeeping perspective, that all items are cleaned up  
22 and put away and taken away appropriately. I've been  
23 asked to announce for us, and that's all I have. Thank  
24 you.

25 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So then, if I'm hearing

1 correctly, we are not going to meet again until the 17th?

2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The 15th.

3 COMMISSIONER YEE: The 15th.

4 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: The 15th. Okay, so we will meet  
5 again on the 15th for a regular business meeting?

6 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR HERNANDEZ: Yes, Chair.

7 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. Given that we're all  
8 in agreement? All right. So then, we --

9 COMMISSIONER YEE: Mr. Chair, can you confirm that  
10 you will be chairing that meeting on the 15th?

11 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Let me see what day that is.  
12 Monday? What time would that meeting commence?

13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 9:30.

14 COMMISSIONER YEE: 9:30 to 4:30.

15 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: So I would need one more day  
16 before I could take over as Chair.

17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We'll figure it out.

18 COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. We'll work it out, then.

19 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: But we can figure it out?

20 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.

21 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other business pending? So  
22 we get to take a deep breath for a couple days longer,  
23 and again, congratulations, Commission, and this meeting  
24 is adjourned at 7:17. Thank you.

25 (Recessed at 7:18 p.m.)

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 30th day of November, 2021.



---

PETER PETTY, CER-493  
Certified Court Reporter

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.



November 30, 2021

SUSAN PATTERSON, CDLT-174