STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

CRC Business Meeting

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 21, 2022 9:30 a.m.

Reported By:

Peter Petty, eScribers, LLC

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Trena Turner, Chair
Linda Akutagawa, Vice-Chair
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Kennedy, Commissioner
Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Taylor, Commissioner
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner
Angela Vázquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Alvaro E. Hernandez, Executive Director Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Wanda Sheffield, Administrative Assistant

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

3

INDEX

| | PAGE |
|--|------|
| Call to Order and Roll Call | 4 |
| Executive Director's Report | 7 |
| Chief Counsel Report | 20 |
| Public Comment | 22 |
| Lessons Learned Subcommittee Update | 23 |
| Long-Term Planning Subcommittee Update | 27 |
| Public Comment | 59 |
| Return from Closed Session | 61 |
| Public Comment | 63 |

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 9:30 a.m. September 21, 2022 CHAIR TURNER: Good morning, California. 3 4 welcome to our California Redistricting Commission --5 Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting for today, Wednesday, September 21st. I am your chair for this 6 7 session, Commissioner Turner. And the vice chair is 8 Commissioner Akutagawa. We will now open our meeting and 9 go into our roll call. 10 Ms. SHEFFIELD: Good morning, Commissioners. 11 Commissioner Vasquez? 12 Commissioner Yee? 13 COMMISSIONER YEE: Here. 14 MS. SHEFFLIED: Commissioner Ahmed? 15 COMMISSIONER AHMED: Here. 16 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Akutagawa? VICE-CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: 17 Here. 18 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Anderson? Commissioner Fernandez? 19 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente. MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fornaciari? 21 22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here. 2.3 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Kennedy? COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here. 24 25 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Le Mons?

1 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here. 2 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Sadhwani? COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here. 3 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Sinay? 4 5 Commissioner Taylor? COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm here. Sorry. I'm here. 6 7 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Taylor? Commissioner Toledo? 8 9 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here. MS. SHEFFIELD: And Commissioner Chair Turner. 10 11 CHAIR TURNER: And I am here. 12 MS. SHEFFIELD: Thank you. 13 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. And seeing as now we do 14 have a quorum; we'll move forward with our agenda. 15 run of show for today -- we will start with just 16 announcements, Commissioners. So if you get ready and prepared for that, we'll have announcements. Following 17 18 that, we will go into our director update from our 19 Executive Director Hernandez, chief counsel Updates from 2.0 Chief Counsel Pane. 21 We have only two subcommittees that will be 22 reporting today, and that'll be our Lessons Learned with 23 Commissioners Yee and Kennedy, and then also our Long-24 Term Planning and Legislative with Commissioners

Akutagawa and Fernandez. In the middle of that, when we

go to break at 11 o'clock, we will come back into closed session. We will follow closed session with an hour lunch. So the expectation is that we'll return about 1:15 to continue subcommittees and so that you'll just know how to govern yourselves.

So we'll do our announcements, our updates will start subcommittee, go to break and then go into closed session and lunch. And then when we return, we'll finish. So we will perhaps have an early day, but we'll just see and ensure that we take care of needs of the business as needed.

Okay. So with that, I'll ask now if there are any announcements from our commissioners. Woohoo. All right. Okay. Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I just wanted to comment on the public comment letter that we received from Kevin Elliott, and he brings up some really good points. And I appreciate and I believe I was up in the past as well. And I'm just recommending that if he is listening to forward that also to The State auditor's office. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Beautiful. Thank you. Thank you for that. And I also want to just acknowledge that we are in the middle of our Hispanic Heritage Month and ensure that we give that some attention and reverence also. If there

are no other announcements. Okay. We'll go ahead and move then, Executive Director Hernandez, to your update, please.

2.3

MR. HERNANDEZ: (In Spanish). Thank you very much and welcome, everyone. Let me go ahead and start by sharing some information of what we've been doing in regards to working with calHR. Our role is working with calHR to make sure that we leave some documentation about CRC, about our staffing and the Commissioners for the next commission in 2030.

So calHR has been very helpful in updating the Commissioner's per diem as per Government Code, also posted many of the recruitments that we've done, and issued class codes when we have needed them. So you may recall I have mentioned before that our biggest challenge that we face this go around was -- and in setting up our infrastructure, was explaining to people, other agencies, we are what we do.

So our goal with this documentation is to help calHR, you know, in eight years to have some type of documentation showing who we are, what we do. To make it easy, there'll probably be some turnover on their end and this documentation will be housed here within FTB as well as -- FTB -- CRC, sorry, not FTB, CRC. And it will also be housed with calHR so that they have that record.

We're planning on doing the same with other departments that we work with to make sure that the transition in 2030 is a lot smoother than it was this go around. And I'm hopeful that is the -- in 2030 is being the third cohort of the CRC, people will at least be more familiar with what the Commission does.

Moving on, I wanted to share a little bit of what we're doing with Karina, our SSM1 training. So she is continuing her onboarding and training these first two months or month and a half. We have focused on training her predominantly on accounting and the budget aspects of the commission. She's been meeting with Vanessa and going over fiscal and also meeting with Terri to go over the budget process, including the BCP process.

She is also working and training with Raul on the contracting process. Now we are doing a contract for the user interface as well, Snowflake's working with Raul on that aspect of it. We've asked her to join subcommittee meetings when possible so that she can get a better perspective on how we operate.

In addition, when possible, Raul has included her meetings with other agencies, including DGS and DOJ when possible. This is a process that is going to take Karina some time because she's essentially trying to learn six different jobs and programs in the next three and a half

months. So I just wanted to kind of give you that perspective. Our plan for Karina will continue through December, bringing her on board as -- at her pace, as well as making sure that things are getting completed.

Our goal is to make sure that she has a foundation context and the history to support the commission in the next eight years. And a lot of the training is being done one on one with specific staff. So she gets a better understanding of questions and it just makes it easier for the transition moving forward.

Moving on, I wanted to share some kudos that the Commission received from the Small Business Disabled Veteran Business Enterprise, SBDVBE advocate at DGS. Not only did we meet, but we exceeded the annual contracting participation goals for fiscal year '21/'22. The annual goal for small business participation is twenty-five percent, and for disabled veteran business enterprises, the participation is three percent.

The Commission had a 47.37 percent for small business participation and 47.17 DVBE participation. So kudos to the Commission for doing such a tremendous job here. And we are being recognized. So I really appreciate that from the Department of General Services office's advocate.

Moving on, I wanted to update you on the website.



We're continuing to work on the transition to the website, the CDT Web server. Martin is in the process of linking the files, including maps and files submitted into the COI database. When we move the data to the storage server, we will have to go through and update the length of the new server. So some additional work once we transition to where the data is going to be stored.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

The website, is to a certain extent, for our archive purposes to reflect the work of the Commission with a few pages that will be reflecting ongoing information such as our meetings, updates on handouts, things of that nature. So just trying to put things in perspective there. about us and the final maps are basically going to be static pages. CDT will provide maintenance on the website for lower cost than nation building. So those are the things that we considered as we move forward with the website. We're hopeful that -- probably in mid-October, early to mid-October, we'll have that transition take place. We'll provide some additional information before it actually happens. And we'll be working with the subcommittee to review that information to make sure that they're okay with it.

Regarding the database, the statement of work for the user interface was posted in the final date was last Friday. We're going through the process of moving



forward with that effort. We did reach out to the USDR and had a great discussion with them. They offered suggestions regarding the user interface, including continuing the path that we are on to find a vendor because they weren't able to provide solutions at that point.

We also followed up with a statewide database as was suggested by Commissioner Yee. So thank you,

Commissioner Yee. They can service the data storage much like a AWS but would not be able to do a lot of the data maintenance that we're looking for. Also, they would not be able to store the extensive video collection that we have of the Commission, which is very large files that we have to store somewhere. And we have them on -- the particular vendor that we're looking at for that storage, they've already confirmed that they are able to store that quantity of file size.

Paul Mitchell will be working with the vendors when they come on board to move the data and also the map files, the GIS files so those are moving forward. An update on the State Archives, Paul Mitchell again is working with State Archives to prepare the data, specifically the GIS files in formats that are easy to access for state archives to then deliver them according to professional standards. So that's what he's been

1 doing.

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Raul has been organizing, categorizing, and indexing the information we will be sending over to State Archives to help them as much as possible so that they don't have to do anything on their end. So we're trying to prepare it so that they basically take it and run with it as is. We're still waiting to hear back from them on how best to transfer the files. So that's where we are with them. Are there any questions so far?

9

10 CHAIR TURNER: You have two, actually.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. 11

> CHAIR TURNER: So we'll hear from Commissioner Sinay and then a question or comment from Commissioner Kennedy.

> COMMISSIONER SINAY: I wanted to go back to the recognition that the Commission received. And as we all know, yeah, the Commissioners were really intentional in this aspect and it was something that was brought up from the very, very beginning. I remember the first time we had a -- we heard that we were going to be working with a big media company and I was like, wait, can't we work with smaller businesses and such? And so this was an intentional value of the Commission.

> So I was hoping that we could share that recognition on our social media that it be out there just so that others know that it is -- that it's doable. And I also

1 would like at some point we probably need to talk about what is our vision for our continued social media 3 presence, because there are, you know, little things like 4 this can still inspire and engage folks, you know, more 5 than just saying we have a meeting if, you know, yeah -so I just -- I just wanted to that put that somewhere as 6 7 well. So think about what moving forward, how do we want 9 to continually raise awareness of independent 10 redistricting, the Redistricting Commission and/or the 11 Commissioners? You know, what is our what is our goal? 12 CHAIR TURNER: Yeah. Thank you for that, 13 Commissioner Sinay. I guess as we think through that, 14 we'll think about to the upkeep of it and who actually 15 would be responsible for doing that as we wind-down staff 16 and what have you. But yeah, good stuff. 17 Commissioner Kennedy? 18 Thank you, Chair. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 19 Director Hernandez, I just wanted to clear up 20 something from my notes from the last meeting. I wrote 21 down that you had mentioned that instructions on 22 accessing the archives holdings from the Citizens 23 Redistricting Commission had been posted on our website. 24 Is that correct, or is that something that is yet to 25 come? And if it is already there, I just -- I haven't

- been able to find it yet and would appreciate guidance on how to find it. Thank you.
- 4 | the last meeting. Let me take a look here. I'll have to

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. The information was posted at

- 5 circle back with Martin. It was posted, but I don't see
- 6 it there right now, so I'll make sure it gets posted in
- 7 | this meeting's handouts as well. So I apologize for
- 8 that. Oh, it is there. It's under 2A, How to Access CRC
- 9 2010 Archives, 8/31/22 at 9:20. I apologize. It is
- 10 | there in last meeting's handout.

- 11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. This, I quess, is
- 12 another of those examples that some of our community
- 13 partners have been complaining about, that things go into
- 14 | a meeting handout file and get lost or aren't easy to
- 15 | find. So this -- the instructions on how to find
- 16 | redistricting commission materials in The State Archives
- 17 need to be, you know, linked prominently from the home
- 18 page or you know, from somewhere better than a random
- 19 meeting handouts collection. Thank you.
- 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: Duly noted.
- 21 CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.
- 22 Commissioner Sinay?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thanks. Sorry. This is -- you
- 24 just reminded me of this, Commissioner Kennedy, when you
- 25 | were talking about the home page. Is there a reason why

we have on the home page the urgent energy conservation needed? And it comes up very, very -- at the very beginning. And do we still need it since the heat wave passed?

2.0

MR. HERNANDEZ: Very good question. We were asked by the higher ups to include this on our website along with all other state agencies. So we did. So I don't know if the -- it is still necessary. I'll circle back with those agencies to ask if that is still necessary at this point. But that was the purpose that we put it up there. We were asked, as all other departments were asked, to put that information out there.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for that response. Does that conclude your report -- Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I don't know. We want to be good citizens. I think we've all tried to be good citizens. We want the commission to be a good corporate citizen as a -- as a body. But we are an independent body, and the Commissioners are the ones who issue instructions rather than anyone else. So please just keep that in mind. Thank you.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Just for clarification, I'm not -- how -- are you suggesting that the commission needed to decide on whether or not to put this information out there? Just for clarification.



1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I mean, preferably, yes. it's an emergency, I guess, you know, that is that is 3 something that you could make a decision on, perhaps 4 consulting with the Website Subcommittee. But you know, 5 we -- we have said all along that we want to protect our independence. And I think we want to continue to protect 6 7 our independence. Um-hum. Um-hum. I think I am --CHAIR TURNER: 9 well, not think, I agree with that. I guess it's more of 10 anything than just kind of the overall our belief and 11 process and what we're trying to have. So it's not so 12 much about what it says and where it is. It's the 13 underlying statement about which got directive from the 14 higher ups and this is what we did. 15 I think for me, that's where the rub is, as opposed 16 to considering or consulting, if indeed the Commission is 17 in agreement or not with the sense of urgency. And in 18 this case, certainly we want to be, as Commissioner 19 Kennedy put it, definitely want to be responsive and good 20 citizens. But we don't want to have the feel that 21 whatever comes from the State, we then will fall, you 22 know, have to fall in line without having some discussion 2.3 is how I'm thinking. 24 Commissioner Le Mons?

2

25

I just wanted to echo -- I

COMMISSIONER LE MONS:

1 won't literally echo what you said, but echo what you 2 said. Thank you. CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez? 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just a brief comment. 4 5 agree with everything that everyone's saying. I went on to our website and that was the very first message. 6 7 for a second I thought I was on the wrong website because I was like, oh, this isn't part of us. So that's also a 8 9 consideration too. I don't know if we necessarily have 10 to have it where it's blasted, maybe somewhere else in 11 the page. But thank you for bringing that up. 12 CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Anderson? 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Just in the future, 14 anything like that should go through whatever website 15 and/or Chair before it just automatically happens. CHAIR TURNER: Thank you. 16 17 Executive Director, Does this conclude the report? 18 MR. HERNANDEZ: Not quite. 19 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. 2.0 M. HERNANDEZ: I have some more information to 21 share. 22 CHAIR TURNER: Yes. 2.3 MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you for that qualification. 24 appreciate it. Moving on in regards to our fund request, 25 I wanted to update you on that. Per the Commission's

recommendation at our last meeting, we did send out a follow up letter to Department of Finance and the Joint Legislative Budget Committee on September 7th requesting a response to our request to release funds for the litigation contract. The letter that we had sent on, I believe it was early May or actually July, not May, July.

2.3

We have sent three separate letters requesting the funds. This is now our fourth letter asking them to release the funds. And although Terri continues to reach out to our direct contacts with the Department of Finance to follow up with them. We have not heard back as of this morning. And just, you know, we're going to continue to reach out to them to discuss this and figure out what it is that they need from us.

In addition, we are going to be reaching out to them to discuss the BCP and putting that information together, including the numbers for the BCP that we will be meeting with the Finance and Admin Subcommittee in the coming week to go over to make sure that we're on the same page. We do plan to meet with Department of Finance at the end of this month, early next month, to make sure that we have what they need.

I think I mentioned to you all that we had a meeting with them I want to say about a month ago where we kind of brainstorm, how can we expedite the process to get our

funding released or to go over information in a moretimely manner versus them sending us an email, we send the response back, and then we don't hear from them.

So we've tried to open up those lines of communication and will continue to do so. And hopefully at this meeting that we're going to be scheduling, we'll be able to discuss that a little bit further to make sure that even through the BCP process, it is more streamlined than it has been.

CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum. Um-hum.

MR. HERNANDEZ: At any rate, that does conclude my information, my report today.

CHAIR TURNER: There is a question or comment.

Commissioner Fernandez?

2.0

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. Yes,
Executive Director Hernandez, if you can find out is this
like, as you mentioned, it is the fourth time we reached
out to finance and you've had various meetings regarding
the litigation funding. So I really think at this point
we need to consider where and who to elevate this to
because it has gone on for quite some time and we haven't
been able to finalize a contract because of it and pay
some expenses. So maybe we can circle back with the
Finance and Admin Subcommittee in terms of how to
proceed. Thank you.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez.

And Executive Director Hernandez, I just did want to commend you on the thoroughness of the letter that you did send in outlining all of the detail on what has been done and how we've been responsive to the needs. So I thought the letter was excellent and just wanted to say thank you for that and look forward to the next steps.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you.

2.3

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. We'll continue with our reports and we'll move to our Chief Counsel Pane for your report, please.

ATTY PANE: Thank you. Good morning, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners. Two updates. At the last commission meeting, I mentioned that we would be looking at a conflict-of-interest change. I have to delay that to the next commission meeting. Our attorney, Tim Treichelt, is unable to join us today. And he's the one that's been running a lot of the direct contact with the Fair Political Practices Commission, which is the -- and the Office of Administrative Law are the two bodies we need to make sure we run this conflict of interest through. So I have every expectation that we'll be able to present at the next meeting.

The second piece I wanted to bring up is I wanted to let you all know that various counties have reached out



1 and -- to deal with once the maps by you all are drawn and finalized, they then have to overlay a parcel map 3 with those lines. And there often times can be, though, 4 they minimize questions of intent when they overlay their 5 parcel map. And so I've worked closely with legislative staff as 6 7 well as Commissioner Yee, and we will be able to -- a future Commission, 2030 Commission will be able to 8 9 address these issues as they come up administratively 10 without at this point, any need to change the law or 11 address issues any further. 12 The next chief counsel will be able to work with any 13 of the staff to work with the counties on sort of one by 14 one as the cases come up, a few that we hope they are, 15 just to address any questions that counties may have. 16 And with that, I don't have any other topics. But I'm 17 happy to answer any questions the Commissioners may have. 18 Thank you. At this time, then we CHAIR TURNER: 19 will call for public comment on our agenda item number 2. 20 So calling for public comment at this time. 21 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I can help you with that, 22 Chair. 2.3 Thank you, Christian. CHAIR TURNER: 24 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. In order to 25 maximize transparency and public participation in our

1 process, the Commissioners will be taking in public 2 comment by phone. To call in, dial the telephone number 3 provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247. 4 When prompted, enter the meeting ID number provided on 5 the livestream feed. It is 82323202143 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID simply press 6 7 pound. Once you've dialed in, you'll be placed in a 8 To indicate you wish to comment, please press star 9. This will raise your hand for the moderator. 10 When it's your turn to speak, you'll hear a message that 11 says the host would like you to talk. Press star 6 to 12 speak. If you'd like to give your name, please state and 13 spell it for the record. You are not required to provide 14 your name to give public comment. Please make sure to 15 mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any 16 feedback or distortion during your call. Once you're 17 waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn 18 And again, please turn down the livestream to speak. 19 volume. And there are no callers in the queue at this 20 time, Chair. 21 Okay. We'll give it the customary CHAIR TURNER: 22 time. Let me know when the instructions are complete. 2.3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And those instructions 24 are complete on the livestream, Chair.

CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you so much. So at this

cribers

time, we'll move to our agenda item number 3, which is our subcommittee updates. And we will begin with Lessons Learned, which is Commissioners Kennedy and -- Commissioners Kennedy and Yee.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. First of

2.0

all, I want to thank all colleagues and staff who have participated in this Lessons Learned process really throughout our tenure. This is something that I had put on the table as a -- an objective for us from the very beginning. I think we've all embraced the concept of learning lessons from this process and leaving things as best we can for the 2030 Commission.

I really wholeheartedly -- and it shows. I shared with Commissioner Yee last week a compiled list of inputs from our March Lessons Learned events from input we've had from community groups, inputs that the Lessons Learned Subcommittee has collected along the way over the last two years, really.

And that file comprised nearly 1,400 discrete inputs from all sources or almost all sources, I should say, because I realized when I, you know, sent that to him that I had not reviewed our Lessons Learned exercise from August of last year, the interim lessons learned exercise before the mapping, to make sure that I had picked up everything from there.

We will also be scouring staff notes from the lessons learned exercise to make sure that we didn't miss anything that staff picked up. But it's a lot of input that Commissioner Yee and I will now be diving up and putting together a draft for your consideration. But I did want to take this opportunity to make that report and to thank all of you -- all of the public, all the community groups who have provided input into this Lessons Learned process from the very beginning.

Commissioner Yee?

2.0

COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Yes. Commissioner Kennedy has been magnificent in

leaving no stone unturned and trying to capture

everything that has passed our way that could possibly be

useful in this report. And of course, now the challenge

will be to prioritize all of it and package it and make

it accessible and easy to digest for anyone -- for the

next commission to make it useful for them.

I've, in the meanwhile, been working on some of the reference material that we've mentioned and have in handouts today the draft for a rundown on all our committees and subcommittees. And I need your input on this because as I went through them, I realize I just could not remember what they all did or what the best description of their duties were in many cases.

So please take a look. I compiled this by simply looking through all our agendas and noting the first mention of each committee or subcommittee and which commissioners were on it. But I, you know, that is not a complete, you know, completely accurate record.

So please do take a look. And where the description or the personnel are not quite right, please get those edits to staff and they will get them to me. Thanks. I was really surprised. It was 38 subcommittees -- 38 committees and subcommittees we served on. That's a lot.

CHAIR TURNER: Thank you for the extraordinary work. We are certainly appreciative of the work that you're doing and continue to do.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Thanks for giving clarification on how to get changes to that one document. It is an impressive document. On the report, I am -- I'm feeling like at one point we talked about making sure that we create a comprehensive report that's really helpful in that the 2030 can get whatever information they need.

And on the other hand, we had also talked about a concise report that can be used because a lot of people won't read details. And I'm just -- I'm wondering how we're balancing those that tension about, you know,



1 because it has already been over six months since we -it's been over eight months since we submitted maps. People are curious, you know, they are writing analysis 3 4 about our work, you know, how are we going to tell our 5 story before others tell our story? So I just wanted to put that out there on how we're dealing with that 6 7 tension. CHAIR TURNER: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. 8 Commissioner Kennedy? 9 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And you know, we can ask 11 Commissioner Yee as well. My response on that is 12 Commissioner Yee and I have been discussing the need for 13 a very robust executive summary that is short enough that 14 people will read, but comprehensive enough that it does 15 give a clear picture of where we see changes needed in 16 the various processes and timelines going forward. 17 Commissioner Yee? 18 Right. So the executive summary COMMISSIONER YEE: 19 at the front and then each section will probably have a 20 header with bullet points. I know, Commissioner Sinay, 21 you offered the very useful suggestion of maybe starting 22 each section where key questions or picking our key 23 points. So I need to include those, you know, 1400 24 inputs, you know, they're not going to all make the cut,

obviously. So you know, that will be up to Commissioner

1 Kennedy and I to exercise judgement on those and a lot of them can probably be consolidated and so forth. So yeah, 3 really trying to make this -- and thinking what if I, you 4 know, what if we had been given such a document? would be the most useful format and content to use? 5 Thank you, both. And as we're 6 CHAIR TURNER: 7 receiving the information Commissioners, as we have any thoughts about what's too much, what's missing, we can 8 9 continue to give that feedback as well. But I really 10 appreciate how it's shaping up and the information that 11 we're receiving and the directions that it's headed. 12 Any other Commissioners? Okay. So we have almost a 13 full hour to move to our Long-term Planning Subcommittee. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I'll get 15 started. And then I'm sure Commissioner Akutagawa will 16 There's two documents that were posted. continue on. 17 One of the documents that's titled Proposed Legislative 18 Changes Moving Forward, dated 9/21/22. That is just a 19 one-page document. And it just shows those items that 2.0 the Commission has voted to move forward. 21 CHAIR TURNER: Um-hum. 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yellow. And that's for the 23 AB 1848 regarding the State incarcerated population. 24 the governor still has not signed that bill and we will

let you know as soon as that happens and hopefully it

1 does happen soon. So we won't be going over that one. We kind of went over it last time. The other document 3 I'd like to go over would be the six-page document. And 4 actually we're not going to go over all of it because 5 we've already gone over quite a bit of the information. If you go to page five -- so we kept saying we're 6 7 going to go below the green, right, Commissioner Akutagawa? Those are the remaining areas that we haven't 8 9 discussed as a Commission. And so what we're doing is 10 we're trying to put all of the items that we initially --11 that were initially brought forward, trying to put them 12 in two different boxes so that it's more concise and we 13 can be more intentional in our future conversations. 14 And so for the first one is hopefully everybody's 15 there. And that one is C-1, it's allowed no party 16 preference to be considered a party for purposes of 17 considering Commissioner membership categories. We have 18 discussed this in the past. 19 It's kind of gone back and forth in terms of --20 first of all, the no party preference is the second 21 largest -- or it's close to being the second largest 22 party in California. Whereas right now it's -- as we 2.3 know, it's Democrats are five positions, Republicans are 24 five positions, and then all others are four.

So this would just be wanting to have no party

1 preference be considered as a party and just -- and that's just for consideration of Commissioner distribution. And there's information there in terms of 3 what the conversation has been in the past. 4 5 I believe, and I'd have to ask our chief counsel, I believe this would also -- it's a statutory or 6 7 Constitutional change that would actually have to go to the ballot. But I'll defer to our chief counsel for that 8 9 information. But if there are -- oh, I see there are 10 comments. 11 There are. And let me ask you, CHAIR TURNER: 12 Commissioner Fernandez, Commissioner Akutagawa, do you 13 want me to continue to try and recognize the hands or do 14 you want to take it from here? Because you have a couple 15 right now and typically there's a lot of interaction 16 here. What works best for you? 17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think either a --18 Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have a preference? 19 VICE-CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I would just suggest, 20 Commissioner Fernandez, that you just moderate and it'll 21 probably make it more efficient. Um-hum. Okay. All 22 right. So we will -- yeah, Commissioner Anderson? 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you very much for the both the subcommittees. Great work and love the way 24 25 you're doing it. Before we delve into the actual

1 discussion of this one item, though, could you please just give us a little bit of -- a little explanation on 3 your C-1 areas moving forward or resolve -- you have 4 multiple different colors here, which I know are for a 5 very specific reason and I believe it makes sense. if you just spend just a couple minutes giving us --6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- and more for the public's 9 sake and also for our sake --10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- just exactly kind of what 11 12 those mean. 13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And will delve into 15 everything further. Thank you. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Sure. And we did --17 thank you for that, Commissioner Andersen, we did change 18 the color scheme at the last meeting. We kind of went 19 over it. But it's a great refresher. So the first items 20 that -- the first item that's kind of like an orange 21 color that's just showing what's currently in Assembly 22 Bill 1848 regarding the seating incarcerated population. 2.3 And the next -- the following five that are in the 24 pink, the hot pink colors because we're making it sexy, I 25 guess, I'm not sure or maybe we're done with that.

anyway, those are the five other items that are on that one-page document that the Commission has already voted to move forward.

2.3

And then the following -- on page two of the following two items that are in blue. So those are two items that have been referred to a subcommittee to try to address those two areas. And if you look at the last column, it'll show like the first one regarding defining fully functional that one. Chair Toledo, at the time, established a Continuity Subcommittee to address that.

And then the second one, excuse me, regarding the strikes by the legislature, that one was assigned to the Government Affairs Subcommittee to address. So those would be addressed by separate subcommittees and then at some point may be brought forward. And then after that, we have some that are, I will call it a salmon color.

I'm really not good with my colors. And I apologize if others aren't good with colors also. So the following items are those that we will not continue to move forward with. There's general consensus to leave those areas as they are. And then, sorry. And then we will move to page 4.

So page 4, the items that are not highlighted.

Those are items -- there's four items that we will

continue to discuss. But what we wanted to do is discuss

the remaining four that we still need to go through. And then in the future we can go back to those that are not highlighted and hopefully move forward on those -- on a decision on which way to go. And then the last one in a in a purple lavender color, that one is one that we moved to a lower priority. So again, we would come back to that eventually.

So hopefully that gives everyone a background of what our thinking was and maybe we'll do a little legend next time at the end. That's probably -- right. There you go, Commissioner Le Mons. And Commissioner Akutagawa and I know perfectly well what was in our heads and what we were thinking, but I guess it would be good to let everybody else know.

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: That was going to be my first comment is, can we just have a legend? Because I know we keep doing it and it should be in our minds, but it doesn't always stay. The other thing is that I feel kind of that the clarifying -- the comments that are put here aren't accurate on what the conversation was in the past, especially because I know I had mentioned Republicans are switching to no party preference, but I never said that that would be a possibility there would be more Republicans. It was just a comment.

I think what was more important in the conversations we had in the past and it's not it's definitely not like it here, is that no party preference is not a party, it's a party affiliation. And so I think we need to be really careful in how we use language. And we had to ask for the Committee to look up what is the appropriate language for no party preference. And I know it's not a party.

2.0

2.3

And I've looked at different times and I come up to party affiliations. So I would like us to be consistent, to be careful on that and how we're using it because it -- no party preference includes the Green Party, and the Independent Party includes all sorts of different groups. And so we cannot use the word party to define that coalition of voters.

And so that's just part of -- I would like to take that second bullet out and I would like to include a bullet that says that we have asked the Committee to please find what is the best term for us to use in this conversation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. I do know that no party preference does not include the Green Party because that is a specific party. But we will look into that. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. But in -- okay. Thank
you for that clarity. But I do know that when they're

1 looking at that third group for the Commission, it
2 includes that much --

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Um-hum.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- larger coalition. And so that opens -- brings up another can of worms is if what you all are saying. Because my understanding of why we were looking at no party preference was more of that bigger picture of we want to make sure that that last group, you know, that we are reflecting the voters of California when we're creating the Commission.

And what I'm hearing is, oh, wait, if you're not a Repub -- if you're not one of these three main buckets, you're not even going to be considered. So Green Party wouldn't even be considered. While right now, Green Party is considered within no party preference when selecting people for the Commission.

And so I would have a real problem if we end up just saying no, because we don't know where the future of our parties are going. And I want us to be -- feel that people think this Commission is about belonging and being able to be reflected in it.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And that was not the intent that the other parties would not -- it would be the top two parties would be the five

Commissioners each and then everyone else -- all the

others would be before. So thank you for that.

Commissioner Fornaciari?

2.0

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I think we've lost something here. I mean, so this figure (indiscernible) support -- just put it up front. But I thought we had something that was along the lines of making the third group also had five. And we've lost that. And I mean, to me, that was the direction we wanted to head, not to make no party.

I mean, I thought that was more of the consensus of the group not to make no party preference, a party in this context, but to make the third group have five people to have, you know, more representation.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And I know we did discuss that, so I'm looking for it right now. I'm not sure if we discussed it and it did not make it to the list, but I'll review that. Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Just to clarify,
appreciating these comments. And clarify the language
Commissioner Sinay referring to no party preference. The
actual language for the third category is neither of the
first two, which is a different category because that
includes both no party preference and all of the other

parties. There's no reason the Green cannot be the first or second party to just add enough registered voters.

You know, it could be either in five categories -- five person categories.

So just to keep that clear, that at least as written here in the item and see why no party preference that is specifically people without registered with any party does not include any of the minor parties. And we were discussing that as a possibility to be considered as a party, but not the group as a whole. Neither of the first two parties, that would be a different set of people.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons?

2.3

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So yeah, thanks for the clarifications because I was becoming a little bit confused as well as to how this has kind of morphed. I was under the understanding that our goal was to increase the third group to match Republicans and Democrats. What occurred to me as we were -- as I was listening to the other comments, is I'm not aware of why there wasn't parity in the first place.

So if anyone understands that as to why it was a smaller number for the other group, I think that would be important for us to understand how we're thinking about

1 this. I know we talked in this conversation about certain groups growing and all of that, but I think understanding the context of how this formula, for lack 3 4 of a better term, came about would maybe help inform our 5 discussion around it. I wouldn't support this idea of characterizing our 6 7 group as a party either. I think that's kind of a moot 8 point. I don't think that's what we're really trying to 9 do anyway. So I won't spend a lot of time really talking 10 about that, but I do support the idea of there being 11 parity across the three groups. Thank you. 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you for that. 13 Commissioner Kennedy? Commissioner Kennedy? 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. I didn't hear you. 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, sorry. Am I mumbling 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just in response to 17 Commissioner Le Mons, my understanding -- my sense of 18 this is that if we look at the voter registration 19 statistics from say 2008, the period when the First 20 VOTERS -- Voters FIRST Act was passed by the voters of 21 California, and compare those voter registration 22 statistics to current voter registration statistics, we 23 find that the proportion of at least individuals 24 registered as something other than Democrat and 25 Republican has really ballooned in the state.

```
1
    particularly since 1978, but since 2008, the other
    category has gone from almost a million and a half fewer
    than the Republican Party to about a million and a
 3
 4
    quarter more than the Republican Party. And you know
 5
    that is my sense of why we're looking at this.
 6
    you.
 7
                                       Yes, you're correct.
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
                                  Yes.
         Commissioner Le Mons? Oh, Commissioner Yee?
 9
         COMMISSIONER LE MONS:
                                I'm sorry. I was --
10
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, you are? I'm sorry.
11
    I'm sorry.
12
         COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I unmuted myself.
13
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
                                  Okay.
14
         COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So first, thank you,
15
    Commissioner Kennedy, for that clarification. That makes
16
    absolute sense to me. The other thing that I guess it
17
    raised for me is, are these new voters. And you may or
18
    may not know that -- new registered voters, because I
19
    think one of the criteria, as well as either you have
20
    consistently been registered as a particular party or
21
    have not changed it for a certain period of time, I can't
22
    remember.
2.3
         It seems like a lifetime ago that we went through
24
    the process. But I do remember something to that effect.
25
    So let's say, for example, if, you know, a half a million
```

people have left either party, either dominant party -the Republican or Democratic Party and switched to one of
the other parties or nonparty preference, then they would
be in that category.

But for purposes of applying to the Commission, if the rules reflect how they were -- how they were when we applied, those individuals may or may not be reflective in that pool. So anyway, I'm just kind of throwing it -- it's more questions than I have answers, quite frankly. But again, thanks, Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

12 Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. At one point, I did ask
Kathay Feng of Common Cause when they were drafting the
proposal for Proposition 8y14. And her recollection was
simply that they were trying to work out a number that
would enable the supermajority requirement for each of
the three categories.

And so you know, three out of five, three out of five. But I don't recall her saying exactly why the third category had four rather than five. I'm going to guess that it was probably, as Commissioner Kennedy suggested, simply a reflection of proportions that were existing then.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.



Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I've said this before, and I'd like this to be added to the list of conversations we would like to have with some of the folks who actually created the initial just so that we can actually have them answer the question directly. Because I was in on the conversation that that commissioner is discussing and he's accurate. But one of the things we heard was that they had considered 13.

But there was one person in the drafting of this stuff that was -- didn't believe in 13 because it was an unlucky number, you know. You know, and so there's all this folklore around this as well. And just, instead of it just being Commissioner Yee and I have talked or someone else has talked, there are questions we have that may -- some of their thinking may inform. It doesn't have to lead.

You know, it's been years since this all came.

We've had two Commissions and now we can make some changes. But again, I'd like us to have a list of questions and maybe at the next meeting it's time to invite some of -- some folks in so that we can ask these questions and have these conversations in public with others.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. So it appears

- 1 | that we still require further conversation on this item.
- 2 | And in terms of having the panels, Commissioner
- 3 Akutagawa, and I we are working on establishing a panel
- 4 so we can discuss some of these issues. This would --
- 5 this could be one of them. The other one was the three-
- 6 day agenda that we also wanted to discuss.
- 7 So we're working on that hopefully for the October
- 8 | meeting, but it's still in progress. So this one,
- 9 regarding no party preference, we will leave it on there
- 10 as continuing to discuss at this point. And we'll move
- 11 on to the next one unless there are any other comments.
- 12 The next one is Commissioner compensation salary as
- 13 exempt. So I believe the prior conversation had to do
- 14 | with trying to us incentivize -- is that how you say it?
- 15 English second language again. So to have more
- 16 applicants apply for this. So in terms of me personally,
- 17 | I'm fine with how they have it now, but of course there's
- 18 other opinions.
- 19 Commissioner Kennedy?
- 20 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner
- 21 | Fernandez. I think -- I don't know, I -- maybe the one
- 22 that has been most vocal about this for the longest, you
- 23 know, in in my mind the sheer inability of anyone who
- 24 wants to serve on this commission to know upfront, how
- 25 much they're going to get on a regular basis is a huge

1 disincentive.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

I mean, if you are -- if you have a job and you are, you know, living from paycheck to paycheck, you know, are you going to step off the edge and not know how much money you're going to get, if you're going to get it in a in a certain month or not? You know, the I may have been the one that brought this up.

The salaried approach that the Michigan Commission has in place at least gives individuals certainty about how much they're going to receive and when they're going to receive it. Thanks.

- COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
- 13 Commissioner Le Mons?
- 14 CHAIR TURNER: You're on mute.
- 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, you're on mute.
- 16 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I certainly understand

Commissioner Kennedy's points on that issue. And my

19 that's very valid. I wonder, one of the things that we

comments are to the side of those points, because I think

20 talked about and even came up today with regard to the

posting on our web site and the foundation of some of the 21

22 reactions from some of the Commissioners and I didn't

2.3 comment earlier, but I reacted when I saw that energy

24 thing.

25

Also, while I absolutely support it, I thought, why



1 is this on the front page of our website and how did it end up there? And the comment that our executive 3 director made, I think, was a little chilling for me when 4 he said the higher ups that threw me and all of that is 5 in reaction to this idea of us being an independent body. So the moment we become an employer, does that change 6 7 anything? So I -- I'm going to stop there. 8 COMMISSIONER FERNANADEZ: That's a good point. Commissioner Fornaciari? 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Wow, Antonio, great point. So you know, I'm just going to pull the --11 12 Commissioner Kennedy's -- the thread a little bit further 13 on what he said. I mean -- okay. I mean, you know, and 14 I mean, I understand the point and I kind of resonate 15 with it, you know, that, you know, that we would expand 16 the pool if they -- if folks knew how much they were 17 going to make. 18 But then the question is, is salary going to be 19 enough? I mean, does there need to be health care 20 coverage? You know, benefits, too? And so you know, I 21 mean, you know, and this kind of goes to the letter too 22 that we got -- the feedback letter we got today. You 23 know, I mean, it's -- you know, there are folks that 24 probably just can't do this because they can't afford it.

So you know, I don't know where I'm at, but I just want

1 to bring up that point about benefits. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Chief Counsel Pane? 3 ATTY. PANE: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner. 4 5 Just for the Commissioner's discussion on this, I know you all are discussing sort of the policy pieces of it, 6 7 but just as a frame of reference, the Government Code Section 8253.5 is the statute that would need to be 8 9 changed. 10 Currently, it talked -- refers to a compensation of 11 \$300 and then they have a couple of add ons. 12 would be the statute that would need to be changed to 13 more closely reflect to what you all are discussing. 14 just wanted to point that out. 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. 16 Oh, Commissioner Le Mons? 17 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah. I just wanted to say, 18 so I think I'm -- I wanted to clarify something. 19 coming from -- more from a conceptual standpoint because 2.0 I think we could -- there could be an argument for 21 increase in the compensation when you say, you know what, 22 the compensation should be higher. It should be X number 2.3 versus this number, which is a little bit different than 24 I think there's more salaried possible benefits like

25

changing the relationship.

1 Now it's seen as I don't know if we use the word stipend, but some kind of stipend, honorarium or what 3 have you. Right? And I do think that that goes a long 4 way from a perception standpoint of independence. 5 think we could all piggybacking on Commissioner Kennedy's point. And when we look at effort versus compensation 6 7 and compare it across almost in the industry, clearly we're not here for the money. Right? 8 9 You know, I think that could be a hard case to be 10 made that that's why we're here. And I think it is 11 because of the structure. I think that we would have to 12 look very carefully at what it is that we're actually 13 trying to accomplish, because I think we also understand 14 that once you start to affect compensation or value or 15 prioritize compensation in our society in particular, in 16 a certain way, there's things that come along with that. And so I just want us to be thinking about that. Money 17 18 changes the game. 19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Those are good 20 points. I'm trying to catch up to your comments. 21 Commissioner Sinay? 22 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. I mean, money -- oh, it

23

24

people, and it was -- I was representing us and there was someone representing the County Redistricting Commission, and they don't get paid. And they were trying to make a big deal about the fact that we even got paid at the state level. And so and it's not nor here nor there, but it is because we always talk about perception. Right? And so what is the perception? If we're an employee of the state, what is the perception? I changed the conversation around and said I felt that everybody needed to be compensated for their time fairly so that it could be equitable and all could participate. And that kind of shut down that conversation. They kept asking that same question. So there is this group out there that's annoyed by it, but that -- I just want to bring it up. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Great points that were brought up. Obviously, we need more conversation. But it also appears that maybe we need to look at more research in terms of that is a salary and all of that and. I think that's beyond our subcommittee unless Commissioner Akutagawa doesn't agree with that. don't think it's part of Finance and Admin. you tell I'm on that one too? But I do think it's great comments, great conversations. There is, as I mentioned, there is more research that should probably be done on this one.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Commissioner Sinay, did you have something else, or were you just taking your hand?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I was just going to say -- and you know, Commissioner Fornaciari may not agree, but is this part of the Transition and Continuity conversation? Not that I want to take this on. But you know, we haven't really defined what our role is, so instead of creating another committee. That just sounds like a great idea to me. Although, Commissioner Fornaciari's on the other committees as well.

Commissioner Vazquez?

2.3

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah, thank you. Sorry for jumping in late, but just wanted to express my support for Commissioner Kennedy's perspective about a salary potentially being a more equitable way to compensate folks who otherwise might not be able to afford to take on this position.

I know if this position had been a salaried position, I would have been much more likely to take a leave of absence or resign from my current full-time gig because of that consistency. And I think a salary would also, I think, signal to folks who are applying to be part of this Commission that this is going to be a really a full-time commitment from the jump.

I think I knew that sort of as we were drawing the



maps, that that was going to be a significant time 1 commitment and that I may need to, you know, step back 3 from my position. But I don't think I was anticipating 4 how much time at the front end in building the 5 infrastructure that this this gig was going to require. And I also think, again, younger folks really are 6 7 often not in the position in their career to do contract-8 based work. I think as you advance in your career, you 9 get a lot more flexibility in what you can take on and 10 how you can negotiate your role in time commitment. 11 As someone who is much more of a mid-career 12 professional, that just was not afforded to me. And I do 13 think a salaried position, again, would open up to a 14 potentially broader pool of folks who could commit to 15 something like this. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. 17 And Chair Turner, did you -- I saw your hand go up 18 and came down. 19 CHAIR TURNER: It did because I also wanted to 20 advocate for the older people. Yes. In total agreement 21 with what Commissioner Vazquez said. But also for older 22 individuals that did not take advantage of, you know, a

leave of absence or what have you. I just think that the

demands of this particular position, trying to ensure

that you're continuing to do the job that you're doing,

2.3

24

can be one that is extremely stressful and could impact,
you know, physical, mental health, et cetera.

2.3

And so from that perspective, I think that we really should look into this a little bit more to see what all the options are so that people will be able to participate. And freely it's not just the hours that we're in the public eye, it's all of the reading outside and the following up in the subcommittees.

And I think for me, for sure, I would have loved to participate on even more subcommittees. But the demand of time that is required when you're still working full time, it gets to be a lot. And so -- and I just -- I want everyone to have the opportunity and not feel that they have to, you know, do without in order to participate on this Commission. Thanks.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. So I think with that, Chai Turner, I'll kind of turn it over to you on this one. I do feel that there is more research to be done with this specific item, and I don't think it falls under our realm. So if we either want to create another subcommittee or move it to an existing subcommittee.

And I do have -- Commissioner Kennedy, you have a comment?

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. Yes. I mean, I'm

1 happy to reach out to the Michigan Commission and, you know, some of the other Commissions that I've been in 3 touch with when we invited them to take part in our 4 Lessons Learned discussion to find out. You know, I can 5 formulate a discreet question to send out to all of them, 6 compile the responses and share that back out with the 7 Commission. So that would be my recommendation on how we 8 proceed. Thank you. 9 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sounds like you have a 11 committee. 12 CHAIR TURNER: Right. Right. Commissioner Kennedy, 13 are you wanting to follow -- thank you for that. And 14 thank you for agreeing to do that for -- on behalf of the 15 Commission. Are you interested in doing that with a 16 partner or is there someone or you just want to do that 17 and feedback out to the entire commission? What was your 18 desire in stating that? 19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I mean, I'm happy to hear, 20 Commissioner Yee's thoughts on this, but I feel like it's 21 part of the outline of, you know, Lessons Learned from 22 other states. That's part of the whole Lessons Learned

behalf of the Lessons Learned subcommittee. Again, happy

exercise. So you know, I'm willing to undertake it on

23

24

| 1 | COMMISSIONER YEE: That's fine. All good. |
|----|---|
| 2 | CHAIR TURNER: All good? Okay. Sounds good. |
| 3 | Commissioner Fernandez, we're back to you. |
| 4 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So we will move that |
| 5 | onto the Lessons Learned then to do further research. |
| 6 | Thank you. Commissioner Fornaciari, you dodged this one. |
| 7 | We'll move on to C-15, which is on page 6, the first item |
| 8 | on page 6. We haven't really had discussions on this |
| 9 | one, but it was the comment regarding further restrict |
| 10 | amendments to Government Code statutes, not within one |
| 11 | year of certification of maps. |
| 12 | I believe I want to, Commissioner Kennedy, I think |
| 13 | you might have brought this one up. I can't remember. |
| 14 | Oh, you did. Okay. And so that would mean that for all |
| 15 | intents and purposes, for this if this were if we |
| 16 | were to go forward with this, we would not move forward |
| 17 | any legislation in 2022, right, for this year? Okay. So |
| 18 | in other word |
| 19 | CHAIR TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez and/or |
| 20 | Kennedy, I'm sorry, restate C-15. It's not tracking at |
| 21 | all for me. I don't recall the numbers. |
| 22 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So on this one, it |
| 23 | would restrict us moving forward with any legislative |
| 24 | changes a year following our final maps. So our maps |
| 25 | were approved, now I forgot the date, December 26th. The |

1 26th, I believe. So for a year we wouldn't move anything So if that were the case, then this year we would not be moving forward our state incarcerated 3 4 population bill in which we were able to get in. 5 So anyway, so just comment on that and just -- my only comment regarding that is I feel that the first year 6 7 after we draw the maps, everyone's aware of redistricting. So I kind of feel that that's a good time to move something forward. If there's something that we 10 really feel strong -- or a Commission feel strongly 11 about, and you might have an easier time or a chance of 12 getting an author. But that's my only comment. 13 Commissioner Fornaciari? 14 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I was going to ask 15 Commissioner Kennedy why -- and I think he's -- why he 16 brought it forward. So I think he's going to answer that 17 question. 18 Go ahead. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So two things. One is the 20

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So two things. One is the current legal framework says no changes to that portion of the Government Code dealing with the Citizens Redistricting Commission in years ending in 9, 0, or 1. So you know that didn't foresee a scenario in which the maps would come out at the very end of a year, ending in one.

21

22

23

24

And in fact, we were advocating at a certain point, or at least considering at a certain point requesting that our deadline be moved into January of 2022, in which case we could have had a situation where changes could have been moved to our legal framework before the maps were even final.

2.3

So this is -- this is partly an effort to ensure that in no situation, in no context, could changes be made to the relevant section of the Government Code before the final maps were completed? Second, you all may remember there was a letter, I believe, from Helen Hutchison recently saying You've got time, don't rush anything carefully, consider, you know, how best to move forward. So this was an effort to address both of those. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Are there any other comments? Oh, Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE-CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I just want to note a few things. I know that this was an exceptionally, I guess, period. But even if for 2030 they follow the normal period, their maps will be submitted in 2021.

Just generally speaking, I think there's a couple concerns that I have that I want to just raise.

One is the issue of staffing and also budget. If we wait more than a year, there is going to be no money and

no staff to help move along any work on the legislative kind of changes. For example, I think some of the ones that we have a desire to move along has required quite a bit of time for us on Anthony's part, and it has been extremely helpful to have him.

I think if Commissioner Fernandez and I were to try to do all of the drafting of the language or any other kind of work, it would just take much longer. I think we're moving things along. These changes are at least ones that I think as a Commission we've all agreed, are good changes that help make the Commission more effective.

I think if we waited a year and started this conversation next year, I think we would not be able to get nearly as many moving forward or at least the possibility of moving forward. The other thing, too, and this is something that Commissioner Fernandez also mentioned at the beginning too, I think what -- what's right now happening is that we're still writing, you know, the completion of the maps.

There's still at least some attention that's being paid to us right now. And I think that's enabled us to get the sponsor, at least on maybe 1848, the State incarcerated people's bill, that hopefully will be signed. I think if we had waited a year, I think, you

know, we -- out of sight, out of mind. And a year is a very, very long time in this kind of time, you know, kind of context.

And you know, it could be that then, you know, we could have all these -- well, not we, but at least in terms of the next Commission, they could have all these desires of things that could be done, but they will have no traction. I sincerely believe that.

I think our intents would have been made more difficult if we had to wait over a year, you know, to even start the conversation, much less trying to move along any legislation and get a legislator, at least someone from the legislature, to help be willing to carry the bill forward on our behalf. And so I just wanted to state that just for all of our consideration. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.

Commissioner Kennedy?

2.3

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez. This isn't about when the conversation starts. This is about when the legislation goes on the books. I am certainly sensitive to the issue of having adequate support -- staffing support, and I agree that that weighs heavily in any consideration of this.

You know, one month is not a magic number. It could be six months. It could be three months. You know, if I

1 go back to the first point that I made about this being, you know, largely about ensuring that under no 3 circumstances could the legal framework be changed before the maps are done, you know, it could be three months. 4 5 It could be one month. It could be it could be a week. You know, the year is a starting point. It can 6 7 certainly be shortened from there. Whatever folks think 8 is prudent. The main goal is to ensure that under no 9 circumstances could the legislative -- could the legal 10 framework be changed before the maps are final. 11 And on that, I'm wondering if we also want to look 12 in a little more depth at whether that limitation, 13 whether it remains the same or expands slightly or 14 expands more than slightly, should apply to more than 15 just this chapter of the Government Code. Because we've 16 seen that there are elements, particularly in the 17 electoral code, that relate directly to the work of the 18 commission. 19 And do we want to propose that, you know, any 20 element of the legal framework that is directly related to the work of the Commission could not be changed within 21 22 a certain window or are we willing to leave the electoral 2.3 code and other elements of California code as potential

Thank you.

back doors where the legal framework could be changed

without the concurrence of the Commission?

24

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Are there any more comments on this? I'm kind of like at an impasse right now. So I might work with -- Subcommittee may work with chief counsel maybe on a different -- a couple of different approaches. As Commissioner Kennedy brought up, one could be maybe some language regarding no changes prior to the final maps within certain years or timeframes. And then also maybe, looking at the other code sections that aren't specifically redistricting -citizen redistricting to see if we would want to add that under our purview in terms of we would have to approve it if it's going to impact our mandate. So we'll do a little bit more research on that on the subcommittee level.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

Anything else on that one? We will move on to C-17.

And C-17 has to do with changes to the size or

composition of the applicant review panel. So this would

be from The State Auditor side, where right now it was

the panel, three on the panel, one Republican, one

Democrat, and one that's neither one of those. And after

reviewing information of the process they go through; it

is a random selection for that.

So are there any comments on that? And I also don't know. What the coordination would have to be with The



State Auditor if it's something that we would want to
move forward. So if we have any comments, we have
discussed this in the past. So if there's any other
comments with this specific one -- pros or cons.

Personally, I thought the process they went through with the random selection -- and yes, it did end up having I believe were three panel members were all white, but I believe my opinion that it was a pretty good process that they had. It would appear to be impartial. And I'll just leave it at that for now.

Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Commissioner Kennedy and I did discuss this with the auditor staff, and they pointed out, you know, if you want to increase racial diversity, you have to increase racial diversity in the pool. You know, the State Auditor staff just increasing the panel size may just make it a bigger, whiter, bigger white that'll, you know.

And so and that's all, you know outside our purview. So we can certainly advocate for that and support that in general. But I'm not seeing how it's -- there's anything we can do, you know, specifically to, to achieve that goal. I thought the process was very thorough -- extremely thorough -- extraordinarily actually. And I'm not seeing that we can that there's a need to propose any

changes.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Any other comments on this one? Okay. So there being none, I'm going to move this is as just keep it as it is. And I think that's all we have for the subcommittee. Thank you. CHAIR TURNER: Okay. So you're not trying to touch on the purple? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, but that would --CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Okay. Perfect. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. CHAIR TURNER: All right. Well, we are about five minutes from break, and we know when we come back from break we're going to go into closed session. And this would be closed session under pending litigation and personnel matters exceptions. So let me -- but we also

need to go public comment. Let's check. We'll see. Do we have any public comment? Anyone waiting for public comment on agenda item number 2, Kristian?

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Let's read the short instructions. Just a second. The Commission will now take public comment on agenda item number 2. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and enter meeting ID

23 number 82323202143. Once you've dialed in, please press

24 star 9 to enter the comment queue.

The full call-in instructions are read at the



1 beginning of the meeting and are provided on the live stream landing page. And this is public comment for agenda item 3, not 2. Thank you, Commissioners, for 3 4 reminding me that. This is for agenda item 3, not 2. 5 and we do not have anybody in the queue at this time, Chair. 6 7 Okay. So as we allow that to kind of CHAIR TURNER: play out in the next couple of minutes, we will be going 8 then to break. Break will run until 11:15 and we will 10 end our live session here and adjourn this portion of it. 11 And we will come back into closed session when we return 12 from break at 11:15. So 11:15 back into closed session. 13 Anyone join for comment? 14 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: There is no public 15 comment at this time. 16 CHAIR TURNER: Okay. All right. So at this time, 17 we will go to -- Commissioner Fernandez? 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Can I just ask a guick 19 question? It seems like we've gone through our agenda 20 quickly, so we'll do (audio interference), lunch and then 21 we would still come back for a little bit. I just want 22 to confirm. 2.3 CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, we will still come back for a 24 little bit because we will then do a general comment,

public comment, and then we will be adjourned. Okay?

1 All right. Break at this time. Thank you. We'll see you all in closed session. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was held) CHAIR TURNER: Welcome back to our California 4 5 Redistricting Commission meeting. Coming back after lunch. I hope you all had a great lunch. It was 6 7 fruitful. Mine was filled with grapes and strawberries and grandbabies. So wonderful. And we also are coming 8 9 back from closed session. And just wanted to mention 10 that we -- under closed session, there were no -- there 11 was no action taken under personal matters or pending 12 litigation. 13 And so at this point, let's see, I think there is an 14 announcement from Commissioner Yee before we go to our 15 public comment, general public comment to conclude our 16 day. Commissioner Yee? 17 18 Thank you, Chair. COMMISSIONER YEE: 19 Commissioner Sadhwani has mentioned that her upcoming 20 turn in the chair rotation upcoming this spring doesn't 21 work out for her, so we'll either need to replace her, 22 preferably with another Democrat, preferably another 2.3 woman. Or is this time to -- is this a good time or do 24 people want us to revisit the rotation? 25 Perhaps some have differing thoughts now about

1 participating going forward or have other timing considerations that we need to consider. If so we can 3 reopen the whole rotation and rethink where we go from 4 here. But not everyone is here, so I'm not quite sure 5 how to proceed. But would there be interest in 6 revisiting the rotation? 7 CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, I was thinking we can gather 8 some thoughts. 9 Commissioner Kennedy? 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. My one 11 thought at this point is if we are going to quarterly 12 meetings as of next year, that quarterly rotation might 13 be faster than is necessary. So maybe have Chair and 14 Vice-Chair in place for two quarterly meetings, that's 15 just what's on top of my mind. 16 COMMISSIONER YEE: We're actually in the quarterly 17 rotation already in fact. Yeah. But yeah, could stretch 18 it out. 19 CHAIR TURNER: Any other thoughts or references from 20 the Commissioners? Okay. And just everyone else here 21 willing to serve as the current rotation is listed 22 outside of needing to swap out Commissioner Sadhwani. 2.3 we have volunteers for women Democrats that would want to 24 step in for -- and replace? That was the suggestion from

Commissioner Yee. Any volunteers? She puts her hands

| 1 | way down. Okay. So we'll have to look like do some |
|----|---|
| 2 | more work on it and perhaps come up with a different |
| 3 | rotation or move forward on the rotation that we |
| 4 | currently have. |
| 5 | I do like the idea of going extending it for next |
| 6 | year a little bit longer than just the quarterly. We |
| 7 | have our next rotation that's going to take place as this |
| 8 | meeting concludes, where the Vice-Chair Akutagawa will be |
| 9 | our next rotational chair. And your vice is who? |
| 10 | VICE-CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think it's Commissioner |
| 11 | Taylor. |
| 12 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Yes. |
| 13 | CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Great. Any other |
| 14 | announcements, comments want to slide in before we go to |
| 15 | general public comment? Okay at this time, then Kristian |
| 16 | will take public comment, general public comment to |
| 17 | conclude our meeting, please. |
| 18 | PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good, Chair. |
| 19 | The Commission will now take general public comment. |
| 20 | To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and enter |
| 21 | meeting ID number 82323202143. Once you've dialed in, |
| 22 | please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. |
| 23 | The full call-in instructions are read at the |
| 24 | beginning of the meeting and are provided on the live |
| 25 | stream landing page. And there is no one in the queue at |

this time, Chair. CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Well, with that, I'd like to thank you all for this wonderful opportunity to be chair for our first quarter long -- I think our second quarter long rotation. And are we concluded on our live stream? PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The instructions are complete on the live stream and there is no one in the queue, Chair. CHAIR TURNER: All right. Well, then with that, I'd like to wish Peter a wonderful session. Everyone else, a great week in time away until we meet again. meeting is now adjourned. Thank you, all. (Whereupon, the CRC Business Meeting adjourned at 4:30 p.m.)

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 6th day of October, 2022.

PETER PETTY, CER-493

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

JENNIFER BARTON,

CDLT-247

October 6, 2022

DATE