STATE OF CALIFORNIA

CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

1

In the matter of:

LINE DRAWING MEETING

WEDNESDAY, SEPTEMBER 15, 2021

9:30 a.m.

Transcription By:

eScribers, LLC



APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS Neal Fornaciari, Chair Sara Sadhwani, Vice Chair Isra Ahmad, Commissioner Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner Jane Andersen, Commissioner Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner J. Kennedy, Commissioner Antonio Le Mons, Commissioner Patricia Sinay, Commissioner Pedro Toledo, Commissioner Trena Turner, Commissioner Angela Vázquez, Commissioner Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Kimberly Briggs, Field Lead - Southern California, L.A. Fredy Ceja, Communications Director Megan Gill, Blackwell Consulting Alvaro E. Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director Marcy Kaplan, Director of Outreach Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator Katy Manoff, Comment Moderator

LINE DRAWING TEAM Karin MacDonald, Q2 Data & Research, LLC Jaime Clark, Q2 Data & Research, LLC

<u>VRA COUNSEL Strumwasser & Woocher</u> David Becker Dale Larson

Also Present

<u>Public Comment</u> Sam Kumar Renee Westa-Lusk Peter Cannon Helen Hutchinson, League of Women Voters



	3
INDEX	
	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	4
Introductions	7
Public Comment	8
Line Drawing Update	16
Public Comment	182
Director Reports	185
Public Comment	216
Subcommittee Updates	220
Public Comment	236
Closing	238

1	<u>PROCEEDINGS</u>
2	9:30 a.m.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Good morning, California. I'm
4	Neal Fornaciari and along with my co-chair, Sara
5	Sadhwani, we'll be hosting these meetings of the
6	California Citizens Redistricting Commission. Sorry, a
7	little rough start this morning. I apologize.
8	This is a pretty exciting time for us where we're
9	just beginning to get into the real meat of the work that
10	we were hired to do and begin to do some line drawing.
11	So Commissioner Sadhwani will lay the groundwork for that
12	a little bit later. But before we get started, I will
13	hand it over to Ravi for roll call.
14	MR. SINGH: Thank you, Chair.
15	Commissioner Kennedy?
16	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.
17	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons.
18	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.
19	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani?
20	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Here.
21	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay?
22	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.
23	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor?
24	Commissioner Toledo?
25	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here.

1	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Turner?
2	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Here.
3	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez?
4	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here.
5	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Yee?
6	COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.
7	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahmad?
8	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.
9	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa?
10	COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Here.
11	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen?
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.
13	MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez?
14	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente.
15	MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Fornaciari?
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I am here.
17	MR. SINGH: You have a quorum, Chair.
18	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thanks, Ravi. So let's see.
19	Today, Friday and Saturday, we will be beginning to give
20	some line drawing direction. I put together a schedule
21	that's posted with the agenda items that goes over
22	roughly what we'll be doing each day.
23	So we'll be reviewing each of the zones and
24	providing direction to the line drawers for each of those
25	zones. We'll start today with L.A. and tomorrow we'll do
	escribers

1	zones A, C, and E. And then on not tomorrow, Friday.
2	And then Saturday, the balance of the zones. Intermixed
3	with that we'll be having a business meeting. I'm sorry
4	I didn't really have the details of when we might be
5	getting to each of the agenda items for the business
6	meeting, because I really have no idea how long the
7	direction will take.
8	So I've put a lot of little bit of extra time at the
9	end of the meetings on Friday and Saturday in case we
10	need it. Then we also have a on the 17th in the
11	morning, we'll have a presentation on California
12	geography. So that should be interesting and helpful.
13	Before we get any further, we want to turn it over to my
14	colleague, Ray Kennedy, for a brief statement. You're
15	muted.
16	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I want to
17	take the opportunity to wish everyone happy International
18	Day of Democracy. Today is an opportunity for all of us
19	to remind ourselves that democracy does not survive on
20	its own.
21	It requires each of us to be involved and engaged
22	every day, even if only in a small way to ensure its
23	survival, whether that be through informing yourself as a
24	citizen, discussing public affairs with your family,
25	friends, neighbors, and colleagues, helping register
	escribers

1	voters and helping them understand the electoral process,
2	communicating with your elected officials, working as a
3	poll worker on Election Day, serving as a citizen
4	observer, running for office yourself, or many other
5	actions that help ensure that our democracy thrives.
6	I'd like to thank my colleagues, our staff, those
7	who have participated in our redistricting process so
8	far, and those who will participate as we move forward,
9	as well as those millions of Californians who voted in
10	yesterday's election for being an integral part of this
11	process we call democracy. And I look forward to working
12	with all of you to continue to both broaden and deepen
13	our democracy. Thank you, Chair.
14	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Well, thanks, Ray. That was
15	really, really nice. Well said. On behalf of the rest
16	of the Commission, thank you for that. I think it's
17	important to remind ourselves of that, especially in
18	these times, it can be hyper-partisan. So thank you for
19	that.
20	At this point, I will turn it over to Katy for to go
21	to public comment. Before we do that, though, and I just
22	want to remind I'm not sure how much public input and
23	public comment we're going to have today. So I just want
24	to remind callers that we do have a two-minute time limit
25	for public comment that we'll be enforcing throughout
	escribers

1	these three days just to ensure that we get everyone
2	gets a chance to provide input. So Katy?
3	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Good morning, Chair. In
4	order to maximize transparency and public participation
5	in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public
6	comment by phone. To call in, dial the telephone number
7	provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247.
8	When prompted to enter the meeting ID number
9	provided on the livestream feed, it is 88286102051 for
10	this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID
11	simply press the pound key. Once you have dialed in, you
12	will be placed in a queue to indicate you wish to
13	comment, please press star 9. This will raise your hand
14	for the moderator.
15	When it is your turn to speak, you will hear a
16	message that says the host would like you to talk. Press
17	star 6 to speak. If you would like to give your name,
18	please state and spell it for the record. You are not
19	required to provide your name to get public comment.
20	Please make sure to mute your computer or livestream
21	audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your
22	call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for
23	when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn
24	down the livestream volume. At this time we do have a
25	couple of callers.

1	Caller with the last four 9907, if you will please
2	follow the prompts to unmute at this time. Once again
3	caller with the last four 9907, if you please press star
4	6 to unmute at this time. Caller 9907, the floor is
5	yours. Can you hear me? You might want to make sure
6	that the mute is not pressed on your phone. It happens
7	sometimes. Can you hear me? Caller 9907, you are
8	unmuted on our end. Can you hear us?
9	MR. KUMAR: Can you yeah, I can hear you. Can
10	you hear me?
11	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We can now. The floor is
12	yours.
13	MR. KUMAR: Thank you. Good morning to all. My
14	name is Sam Kumar. I wanted to thank the Commissioners
15	and the staff for their dedication to making this process
16	so transparent to the public such that the public can
17	analyze the data information presented.
18	In the spirit of more preciseness, I see at least a
19	dozen data entries and emails that were posted making the
20	request to maintain the communities of interest within
21	the boundaries of Congressional District 17. Hello? Can
22	you hear me?
23	MR. MANOFF: Yes, we can. Go ahead, please.
24	MR. KUMAR: Yeah. Thank you. There are five main
25	cities that make up the Congressional District 17, which
	escribers

1 are Cupertino, Sunnyvale, Santa Clara, Milpitas, and a 2 large section of Fremont. The detailed inputs are given from these several 3 4 cities, including the city mayors and also city council 5 members. The City of Sunnyvale city council letter acknowledged its unanimous decision to have their city 6 7 stay in Congressional District 17. City of Santa Clara did the same thing, and there are seven councilmember 8 9 voted in support of keeping Santa Clara within the 10 Congressional District 17. 11 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds remaining. 12 MR. KUMAR: In addition to the Fremont mayor, vice 13 mayor, and the council members also did the same thing. 14 But unfortunately in the September 11th meeting --15 MR. MANOFF: Fifteen seconds. 16 MR. KUMAR: -- I think the current map shows that 17 all the San Jose to be part of part of the Congressional 18 District 17. San Jose has a 1 million population. The 19 current district has had 750,000 population. That makes 20 it a 1.7 million --21 MR. MANOFF: Time. 22 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you for that feedback. And 23 if you'd like to provide more detailed input to us, you 24 can go to our website and send us an email or give us a 25 call or go to the online tool, drawmycacommunity.org.

10

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

cribers

1 Thank you. Next caller, Katy.

2	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Next caller is caller
3	2829. And I invite caller 2448, if you do wish to give
4	comment during this public comment session to please
5	press star 9 on your telephone keypad. Caller 28 oh,
6	I see that hand.
7	Caller 2829, if you will please follow the prompts
8	to unmute. The floor is yours.
9	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Good morning, Commissioners. This
10	is Renee Westa-Lusk. I just wanted to let you know, I
11	finally was able to email a bunch of corrections for the
12	pin map on the database, website or tab. And I'm I
13	would like some acknowledgment that sometime maybe today
14	if your staff can please let me know they received the
15	email and can distribute it to the Commissioners.
16	It's fairly lengthy and I found some more
17	corrections that I wasn't able to email to you. Yeah,
18	it's kind of time consuming to give the detailed
19	information of what needs to be corrected. So I wanted
20	you to know that I have emailed the corrections. And if
21	you can please look them over and have them corrected in
22	the database pin map. Thank you.
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, Ms. Westa-Lusk,
24	thank you again for your participation and your
25	involvement in the process. We appreciate that. And
	escribers
	wow escribers net 800-257-0885

	12
1	yeah, we'll have staff take a look at that and ensure
2	that the Commissioners get your input and that they make
3	those corrections.
4	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Thank you very much.
5	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you. So Ms. West oh.
6	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: She hung up.
7	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. If you're still listening,
8	please, Ms. Westa-Lusk, please send your email to
9	VotersFirstAct@crc.ca.gov.
10	Is that correct, AlvaroDirector Hernandez?
11	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes.
12	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Thank you. Next caller.
13	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And we do have one more
14	caller this time. Caller 2448, if you'll please follow
15	the prompts to unmute by pressing star 6. And caller
16	2448, the floor is yours.
17	MR. CANNON: Good morning. My name is Peter Cannon.
18	First, I want to thank you for organizing these first
19	phase preliminary direction meetings by region and not by
20	plan. It is easier for the public. If I want to hear
21	about the lines in my area, I only have to listen in on
22	one day.
23	Second, I would also strongly suggest you organize
24	your second phase visualizations by region as well.
25	Besides being easier for the public, what you see in the
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 visualizations for one plan may trigger discussion 2 relevant to the other plans in the same area. Third, with each region -- excuse me -- third within 3 4 each region, as described in the draft mapping playbook, 5 it may make sense to have a standardized order for which plans to look at first. So I would recommend that when 6 7 looking at Los Angeles, for example, you would review the Assembly, then Senate, and so on. And then when you look 8 9 at the Bay Area, it would go in the same order. 10 Fourth, once you reach the phase for statewide 11 plans, it may make sense to focus on one plan a day. Βv 12 then, you will be able to see how decisions in one region 13 affect another, how everything is interconnected. 14 Fifth, for the -- excuse me -- fifth, for the order 15 of the regions while I am from Northern California and 16 would selfishly love to always have my area go first. Ιt 17 may make more sense to start with the most complicated 18 areas and then work from there. 19 And finally, I am glad to acknowledge that Kennedy 20 Wilson will be working on the districts where I live. 21 Her presentation last week was great. I've been 22 consistently impressed with the Q2 --2.3 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds. 24 MR. CANNON: -- team and I'm glad someone with her 25 talents will be drawing our seats. Thank you and best of cribers

13

1	luck	today.
---	------	--------

2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Well, thank you, Mr. Cannon, for
3	your ongoing participation in and deeply, deeply
4	thoughtful input. We appreciate it. With that, I am
5	going to turn it over to Commissioner Sadhwani and
6	Andersen to kick off the morning session. Thank you.
7	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Great. Thank you. Well, I
8	think I know Commissioner Andersen's going to lead us
9	through the L.A. portion, so I'll just say a few words to
10	get us started. As we all know, just as a way of
11	recapping, we have been on quite a journey already for
12	the past year, starting off with our community outreach
13	and education phase, followed by a phase of collecting
14	communities of interest testimony, which has taken up the
15	entirety of the summer.
16	And I think we've been very thorough in our approach
17	across the state to gather as much information as
18	possible, not only in public sessions, but also through
19	the use of the COI tool that drawmycacommunity.com or dot
20	org, I believe, mapping tool as well as in receipt of
21	numerous letters and emails and phone calls from
22	individuals across the state.
23	As Commissioner Fornaciari's Chair Fornaciari
24	excuse me, laid out for us on Saturday, we are now
25	actively transitioning to the line drawing phase. And
	escribers

1 today we begin that process by giving feedback and 2 direction to our line drawing team on all of the communities of interest testimony that we've heard. 3 4 We, of course, don't have the official redistricting 5 database just yet. So we're giving this direction solely based or predominantly in any case, based on the 6 7 testimony that we've received. We have with us today our line drawing team of 8 9 HaystaqDNA and Q2. And so I want to welcome them as well 10 as several representatives from the Strumwasser/Woocher 11 team, along with our VRA expert David Becker. So welcome 12 to all of them. I see Megan Gall is -- our PV analyst is 13 here with us as well. So welcome to you all. 14 I'm going to -- we're going to start off this 15 morning with some general comments on how to give line 16 drawing direction. As you all recall, we had a 17 conversation on Saturday reviewing a document that was 18 prepared in terms of what the next steps of this line 19 drawing these will really look like. 20 So I'm going to turn first to Karin MacDonald and 21 her team at Q2 to lead us through that. After that, 22 we'll turn to David Becker for a reminder and a little 23 short training on the line drawn criteria that we need to 24 be following. 25 Karin?

1	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you so much, Commissioner,
2	Sadhwani. And good morning, Commissioners. Very excited
3	to be here. As Commissioner Sadhwani said, we will be
4	referring to the document that was shared with you last
5	Saturday and I'm going to summarize some of that right
6	now.
7	I just wanted to start off by saying how excited we
8	are to have reached this phase in the process where
9	you're actually able to look at the input that you've
10	received, along really with the other redistricting
11	criteria, and you can begin to give preliminary direction
12	that will allow your line drawing team to put some lines
13	on a map for you for consideration.
14	This is really a great moment, I think for all of
15	us. It's a new phase and it's just great. So before we
16	go into a little bit more detail, I just want to point
17	out that while Jaime and I were part of this specific
18	process ten years ago, this is a new experience for us as
19	it is for you, because we're not only doing this
20	virtually, which of course, didn't happen ten years ago,
21	but you're a new Commission.
22	We're all still learning to work collaboratively,
23	and I think the process will evolve, just like the input
24	process evolved as we all learned what your priorities
25	are and how you wanted information to be presented. And
	escribers

1 so this is really exciting and I can't wait to see what 2 comes next. So today, as I mentioned and as Commissioner 3 4 Sadhwani mentioned, is the first opportunity for you to 5 provide directions based on what you have heard and seen so far prior to seeing any potential boundaries. We will 6 7 be focusing on the L.A. region today and then the other regions on the 17th and the 18th of this week. 8 9 The directions you give today and during the other meetings will be turned into what we call visualizations. 10 11 And so that is to distinguish from really district maps 12 or plans, as we call them later. And we will be able to 13 show you those during the meetings on October 4, 5, and 14 6. 15 Of course, in between today and October, the 16 official redistricting database for The State will be 17 released. And that means that the line drawing team can 18 use the population figures that will be released and then 19 implement the direction that you're giving today in 20 context of that. 21 Really quickly to talk about what preliminary 22 direction really means. Really the purpose of these 23 visualizations is really to map some preliminary -- just 24 some preliminary direction, and it's to show you some 25 potential options. These are not going to be statewide cribers

1	plans. Again, these are regional plans. And they can
2	be there can be visualizations from multiple more
3	mutually exclusive scenarios. Preliminary direction
4	falls into like two broad roughly categories.
5	One is more general direction. So basically what is
6	on the statewide level even. What should the line draws
7	do? Which criteria how should they follow the
8	criteria when there is not specific direction from you.
9	This will this will happen inevitably that we will run
10	into a particular section and you haven't said anything.
11	And then in that case, what should we do? Should we
12	just stop the process end the process or should we go
13	on? And what is the general direction, for example,
14	should the line draws give way to submissions when
15	they're not in conflict with other direction? That will
16	be an example for general direction.
17	And the second is the regional feedback. And that's
18	of course, again, what we're going to be starting to
19	focus on today. And your entire team, including the VRA
20	attorneys, are here today to collaborate with you on that
21	piece. I think it's likely that we'll have three general
22	categories for regional direction, and those are, in a
23	nutshell, whenever possible, do X in this region, for
24	example, there is a specific city that should be kept
25	whole in a district with the caveat that as long as it
	ecribers
	AND THE REPORT OF THE

1 does not conflict with higher ranked criteria, then a
2 second broad bracket would be -- explore the possibility
3 and this is about your preferences.

And those could fall into a wide range of 4 5 categories. For example, you may want to see whether a certain group of cities that people have mentioned to you 6 7 could be kept together in certain plans. And so the line jurors could map that for you and then bring you back a 8 9 visualization that shows whether or not that is possible. 10 And that will then give you, again, an opportunity 11 to say, okay, we're seeing that this is not possible, and 12 then you can make a decision about how you want to deal 13 with that particular area. And then there's multiple 14 options which will come into play if the Commission has 15 heard conflicting testimony and of course, you have heard 16 that already about some sections where people are just 17 not quite in agreement.

18 And perhaps you would like a line drawing team to 19 explore what those options -- what that conflict would 20 look like mapped. So for example, some people in 21 Community A say we really want to be with Community B and 22 then some other people from Community B say, well, we 23 really want to be with Community C. So you may want to 24 direct us to give you both options in a visualization so 25 you can take a look at what that looks like on a map.



1	And finally, flexibility is a really good thing for
2	all of you and for us also. So if you don't have a
3	strong preference, you could say, you know, we don't
4	really have a strong preference, so please just figure
5	out what version would work best and then come back and
6	tell us what you find. And then we can walk you through
7	that with these visualizations and also moving forward.
8	Again, just to remind all of us, that direction
9	today is very preliminary. It's exploratory and it does
10	not represent final directions. So you know, we're
11	getting started. You don't need to reach consensus
12	today. This is, again, very preliminary. I'll keep that
13	in mind and it's just visualization. So these are not
14	draft maps or anything that goes toward final.
15	This is a first step, figuring out what have we
16	heard and what does that look like on a map when we put
17	things together. And you also don't need to necessarily
18	identify all the possibilities. There is literally
19	billions of possibilities and options to put a map
20	together for The State of California.
21	And so let's just start someplace and just give us
22	some direction that allows us to come back in October
23	with a reasonable number of visualizations that you can
24	then start working off of. As we start to go further
25	toward our goal to get a draft map out by whatever date,
	ecribers
	a second s

1 we will have that draft map out.

2	So with that, I'm going to stop here and hand things
3	over to Mr. Becker, if that's okay. And I will be back
4	in a second. Thank you so much.
5	MR. BECKER: Thanks, Karin. Good to see you all.
6	Good to be with you again. And thanks. Also wanted to
7	say for being flexible with everyone's schedules. I know
8	getting everyone together for these important meetings
9	has been difficult and there are holidays and time
10	differences. And I'm just very, very appreciative of the
11	efforts everyone has made on that front.
12	I just want to reiterate what candidate Karin just
13	said. No one has ever drawn a perfect map the first time
14	at the very first moment. In fact, I don't know that
15	anyone's ever drawn a perfect map that pleased anyone
16	everyone ever. So this is going to be an iterative
17	process. We've probably heard that for me quite a bit.
18	We're going to go through many, many steps, trial
19	and error, working through this process, which is a lot
20	more like art than science and trying to balance out the
21	variety of criteria that the Constitution requires you
22	all to balance out.
23	So with that, what I'm going to do if I can, I'm
24	going to share my screen and show hopefully this will
25	come up show a slide. This will be old news to all of
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885

1	you. I just want to remind you all what the criteria are
2	as defined by the Constitution. And also get a little
3	bit into kind of how those criteria are prioritized,
4	because I know that's going to be really important to
5	you, is really the at the center of all of your work.
6	So this is by the way, this slide, I we've
7	shown I know there have been several slides and
8	sometimes we paraphrase these. I've actually tried to
9	use the exact language from the Constitution in these
10	in this slide so everyone can see it. There some
11	language that I've edited out just for clarity.
12	The Constitution states, the Commission itself, to
13	abolish single member districts using the following
14	criteria as set forth in the following order of priority.
15	So the first priority, if I can get it there you go.
16	Districts shall comply with the U.S. Constitution.
17	Congressional Districts shall chief population
18	equality one hold on as nearly as is practicable
19	and Senatorial Assembly and State Board of Equalization
20	Districts shall have reasonably equal population with
21	other districts of the same office, except where
22	deviation is required to comply with the Federal Voting
23	Rights Act or allowable by law.
24	Now, we've gone into some detail on that before.
25	This is the first criteria and meaning it takes that
	eribers

1 top priority population equality, getting specific means 2 in this case, what the law has said in the past is that 3 congressional districts should be as close to true 4 population equality as is reasonably practicable. 5 And then Legislative Districts like the Senate Assembly, and State Board of Equalization, can contain a 6 7 reasonable amount of deviation to achieve other goals 8 that are required under the law. And generally, that 9 deviation has meant plus or minus five percent in total 10 population. That has usually been a safe harbor. 11 The second priority is districts shall comply with 12 the Federal Voting Rights Act. We've discussed this at 13 length. I know, and I won't go into too much detail 14 about this. But specifically, are there areas in which 15 minority voters are large enough to form a majority of a 16 district and are cohesive enough in their voting patterns 17 to prefer particular candidates? 18 And those candidates might be defeated by other 19 voters who also vote cohesively. That's the kind of 20 thing we're looking at and as well as some issues -- some 21 items related to the totality of the circumstances 22 related to history of discrimination, things of that 2.3 sort. 24 Third is the District shall be geographically 25 contiguous. The fourth priority, and this is obviously a cribers

very important one and one we're going to be focused on quite a bit today is the geographic integrity of any city, county, city and county, local neighborhood or local community of interest shall be respected in a manner that minimizes their division to the extent possible without violating the requirements of any of the preceding subdivisions.

So this is fourth. This comes after the necessity 8 9 of equal population compliance of the Federal Voting 10 Rights Act and contiguity. And it's really important to note that these cities, counties -- cities and counties, 11 12 neighborhoods, and local communities of interest, there 13 is no priority given by the Constitution among these 14 things. And this is why your work is going to be so 15 important.

16 It really is going to require you all, in your 17 judgment, as the Commissioners here, to balance all of 18 There is no set priority among these. these out. This 19 is going to be up to your judgment as you consider all of 20 the facts and data and evidence before you. Fifth is, to 21 the extent practicable, where this does not conflict with 22 the criteria above, districts shall be drawn to encourage 23 geographical compactness. Compactness comes after the 24 previous four in terms of a priority.



1 the extent practicable, where this does not conflict with 2 the criteria above, each Senate District shall be 3 comprised of two or more complete and adjacent Assembly 4 Districts, and each Board of Equalization District shall 5 be comprised of ten or more complete and adjacent Senate Districts. Whether this is possible or not, given that 6 7 the priority of the other five factors is something that 8 we'll be looking at as we look at the data and the input. 9 I will say -- let me just stop sharing my screen 10 really quickly. I will say very briefly, one of the 11 things -- just because there's a priority list like this 12 doesn't mean you start with number 1, complete that, and 13 then go to number 2, complete that, and then go to number 14 That's not how this works. It can't possibly work 3. 15 this way. 16 Your job is hard and we're going to try to help you 17 as much as we possibly can, because you're going to be 18 constantly balancing all of these factors. So as we're 19 looking through some of these visualizations, what you'll 20 be doing is having to juggle all six of these balls in 21 various ways. 22 And even within, for instance, the fourth criteria,

22 And even within, for instance, the fourth criteria, 23 there might be areas where cities, counties, communities 24 of interest might -- there might be tension among them. 25 And you may need to make some judgments with regard to

cribers

1	that, where the Constitution doesn't tell you, for
2	instance, that a county takes precedence over a city,
3	takes precedence over a community of interest.
4	I want to be clear, that is not what the
5	Constitution says. It allows you to consider how all of
6	those things interact, particularly when you're also
7	considering the three factors above that that factor
8	four, and then also compactness, which is immediately
9	below it, but is below it in terms of consideration.
10	So I think the main thing I'd want you to take away,
11	because I know you know these criteria very well, is
12	don't force yourselves into a box too early, allow the
13	flexibility that the criteria give you to consider a
14	variety of visualizations and you're going to get a
15	variety of input.
16	I know you're already really familiar with the
17	community of input community of interest input you've
18	had. We're going to be getting very, very shortly, some
19	of the actual population data, which is also going to
20	enter into the considerations.
21	So take some of the pressure off to allow yourselves
22	to really consider all of this data and then also allow
23	yourself to balance out the various criteria as they are.
24	We'll get into a lot more specifics about the Voting
25	Rights Act factor, particularly on a lot of these issues
	ecribers

1 and how that interrelates with communities of interest
2 and also population issues.

3 But this is going to be an iterative process. Don't 4 put too much pressure on yourself to get it right the 5 first time. With that, I think I'm ready to hand it over to Jaime if you're ready to start actually looking at 6 7 some input and data and maps, correct? VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: It looks like before we do 8 9 that, it looks like there's a -- Commissioner Sinay, at a 10 minimum, has a question or if there's any other questions 11 or comments from Commissioners.

12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner 13 Sadhwani. And yes, of course, I've got a question. Is 14 this the opportunity also to just highlight areas that we 15 heard could potentially be VRA analyzed? However, the 16 right wording is about VRA because there were times that 17 we thought communities called us or they mentioned that 18 they might have not known the wording, that they were 19 basically talking about VRA criteria. Does this one --20 we should just highlight that piece as well? 21 MR. BECKER: I think we're going to get into -- and 22 Karin and Jaime, feel free to chime in here. I think 23 we're going to get into looking at specific areas of

24 California and where those -- and where we've had input

25 also that that might relate to that issue, Commissioner

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	Sinay. And so let's I think we want to I think we'll
2	do that first and then that will enable you to
3	specifically point out areas that you're familiar with or
4	you want you might need some advice on with regard to
5	the Voting Rights Act criteria in particular.
6	And I also want to be just to let you know kind
7	of where we are at the Voting Rights Act data and
8	criteria. We have citizen voting age population, which
9	is incredibly important for voting rights, that criteria.
10	It largely governs what is called the first Gingles
11	precondition, which is a particular distinct minority
12	group, large enough and geographically compact enough to
13	form a majority in a district.
14	We have a pretty good sense of some of that. We're
15	going to see some data that relates to that, not
16	necessarily to say this definitely complies with Gingles
17	precondition 1, or it definitely doesn't, but there are
18	going to be areas that certainly we're going to want to
19	pay attention to and we can start flagging as potential
20	areas.
21	And then the second two relate to preconditions
22	of in the Thornburg vs. Gingles are relate to
23	racially polarized voting. Does the racial minority vote
24	cohesively? Do others vote cohesively in opposition to
25	the candidates of preference of the racial minority? And

1	we're even going to have some very, very preliminary kind
2	of indications of how we'll look at that, but not any
3	conclusions, if that makes sense.
4	Megan, does that just not if I didn't I
5	hopefully, again, didn't write any checks with my mouth
6	that you can't cash. So I think that's how we're going
7	to start going through it and it would be extremely
8	helpful to get direction from you all as to particular
9	areas that you have received input or have thoughts about
10	with regard to the Voting Rights Act, but also any of the
11	other criteria. That's really the whole purpose of this.
12	So feel free to bring that up. But I think the
13	point to do it is when we're starting to look at maps
14	that might touch on those areas. Is that most helpful to
15	you, Karin and Jaime? Okay.
16	MS. MACDONALD: Yes. Thank you.
17	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Great. I think that was a
18	really helpful response. Any other final questions
19	before we get started? All right. So I believe before
20	Jaime and Karin, that you jump in, I think Commissioner
21	Andersen and Commissioner Ahmad, we're going to share a
22	little bit about some of the testimony that they have
23	received and reviewed from Los Angeles.
24	MS. MACDONALD: I think we were going oh, I'm
25	sorry, Commissioner Sadhwani.
	ecribers

1	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: No, go ahead, please.
2	MS. MACDONALD: I know there have been a few little
3	agendas different agendas floating around. So I
4	apologize. I think what we we're hoping to do is to
5	have Jaime put up actually, which lends itself perfectly
6	to Commissioner Sinay's question, to have Jaime put up a
7	map with citizen voting age population for the region.
8	And then David can provide some feedback and some detail
9	about that.
10	So this is all about getting us all onto the same
11	onto the same level of communication. And then Megan can
12	talk about the work that she has been able to do so far.
13	And then that would pretty much conclude our "Little
14	training phase this morning". And then we can talk about
15	the actual input and so forth. So if that's all right.
16	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Absolutely. Perfect. Thank
17	you.
18	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you. Thank you so much.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, that was I think
20	that is the plan for this morning. So thank you very
21	much, Karin.
22	MR. BECKER: Yeah. And the whole point of this
23	portion, I think, is just to provide some framing for how
24	we're going to be discussing this and not to lock you
25	into anything, but to kind of hopefully provide some
	escribers

1	direction so we can approach this in an organized way
2	that hopefully works for all of you.
3	Jaime, if you want, I think you could probably start
4	sharing. What you're going to see is I think we're going
5	to we're going to just for purposes of framing and
6	discussion, we're going to zoom in on some areas that
7	get to show you kind of how this might be approached
8	and then allow you to kind of direct us.
9	So first of all, what you're looking at as you can
10	probably tell this is a very well, nicely labeled map.
11	This is and Jaime, correct me if I if I get any of
12	this wrong, I hope this is primarily a map of Los Angeles
13	County and some of the surrounding counties, and the
14	shaded areas and boundaries are of local cities within
15	each of these within each of these counties.
16	Just to give you an idea where there's so many
17	possible ways to overlay data on these maps, we're trying
18	to give you some clean maps just to start with. And then
19	as we zoom in, we're probably get more granular with the
20	data. You'll be able to see a little bit more.
21	But of course, once we get to the actual line
22	drawing, Karin and Jaime, correct me if I'm wrong, we're
23	going to we're going to be able to be responsive the
24	line drawers will be able to be responsive to you with
25	regard to the mapping. And if you have specific
	escribers

	JZ JZ
1	questions, these are just some these are just some
2	ways of looking at the maps right now.
3	Jaime, did you have anything else you wanted to add
4	about this map?
5	MS. CLARK: No, nothing else to add about this map.
6	And thank you, David. So again, this the dark red
7	lines that I'm sort of showing with the hand are the
8	county boundaries. The smaller areas that are shaded in
9	different colors are cities in Los Angeles County.
10	And then the like the empty looking places are
11	unincorporated areas. Some of these little layers where
12	there might be green, et cetera are like are what's
13	called landmark areas, and they might be like a specific
14	delineation of a desert or forest or an open space, et
15	cetera.
16	And I'm going to go through and show of I can start
17	with this view and just sort of show county-wide, the
18	visualization or I should say a way to visualize the
19	citizen voting age population data on the census block
20	level. And then we can zoom into perhaps more
21	concentrated areas based on the populations that we're
22	looking at. And I can sort of show like, okay, if it
23	if it's just sort of show the differences between how
24	it's shaded and what that means in terms of the data
25	itself.

1	And throughout this process will be using the same,
2	color scheme for the different populations. So that will
3	be true, like whether I'm showing it or whether Kennedy
4	is showing it, anybody on our team. It will always be
5	the same it'll always be the same data represented
6	with the same color scheme just to avoid any confusion.
7	And also a quick note before I turn them on is that
8	through this program, our mapping software, we can show
9	one population group at a time. Unfortunately, we can't
10	show here's the red one, which is Latino and here's
11	the blue one, which is Asian at the same time. So just
12	noting that we can show one at a time and not multiples
13	at a time with the same in the same player. So
14	with oh, I see a question from Commissioner Sinay.
15	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry. I was curious. How are
16	we looking at mixed race? And I know with the new
17	census, we can actually go more into detail and know what
18	the mixed race is. Is it black and other, is it Latino
19	and other? How are we taking that into account when
20	we're looking at VRA and the voters?
21	MS. CLARK: I will let David answer that question.
22	MR. BECKER: Okay. So first of all, I want to say a
23	couple of things. You'll hear a lot a lot of times
24	we'll use the term CVAP. I think I described it once
25	before, CVAP means citizen voting age population. That
	ecribers

1	
1	number comes from a five-year average of estimates ending
2	I think in 2019 was the most recent; is that right?
3	Okay. So and so and that goes down to the block
4	level, which is the smallest level of census geography.
5	So it gives you a really robust granular level data on
6	people, on the demographics of citizens who are 18 and
7	over, if that makes sense. So we will get race data,
8	black, white, Asian.
9	We will also get Hispanic or not Hispanic, which is
10	ethnic data, and we can use that data to determine
11	that will come in particularly handy on the Voting Rights
12	Act analysis, but also could be relevant to things like
13	communities of interest. So I wanted to say that.
14	Now, since 2000, the census has allowed people to
15	indicate multiple races on their census forms, so someone
16	can indicate that they were both black and Asian, for
17	instance. And the way the Justice Department guidance
18	indicates that data should be used is that they are if
19	someone is marked down as a minority group and white, if
20	they have self-selected as, for instance, let's say black
21	and white, they are counted once as a member of the
22	minority group only.
23	Again, I'm hoping Karin and Jaime or check me if I
24	get any of this stuff wrong. If someone marks themselves
25	down as multiple minority groups, perhaps. So for
	escribers

1	instance, black and Asian, they would be marked down
2	under both. They would be considered both black and
3	Asian for purposes of this. So if we looked at Asian
4	data, we would they would be reflected. If we're
5	looking at black data, they would also be reflected.
6	And then finally, since Hispanic is a totally
7	separate category that's either Hispanic or Non-Hispanic.
8	So someone could be even multiple races and Hispanic, and
9	so someone could be black, Asian, and Hispanic, and in
10	which case they would be marked down as each of those
11	things for purposes of the census estimates.
12	Does anyone have any correction or added anything to
13	add to that? Do I have that right? I believe I do.
14	Okay. So does that answer the question?
15	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. In case you can't see my
16	head nod. Sorry.
17	MR. BECKER: Okay. I couldn't hear.
18	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just realized that the screen
19	is small, so. Yes. Thank you so much.
20	MR. BECKER: Yeah. And I'll also add in the past,
21	the percentages of people who had multiple race answers
22	has not been particularly large. I've seen indication
23	this is probably the largest group of multiple race
24	respondents that we've ever seen. It's something we
25	should be attentive to. It's one of I'll just say, as
	escribers

1	someone who's litigated these cases in the past, it's
2	where people might self-identify in the census as more
3	than one race.
4	They are often they often have an identity that
5	predominates. But we, of course, don't have that data.
6	That's what we're going to have to rely upon the census
7	data for that. So but the but that's how the Justice
8	Department looks at it. That's how we advise that you
9	look at it as well because that's how the census the
10	data is going to be available.
11	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: That's great. Thank you so
12	much. I know that's been a question that's arisen in the
13	past as well.
14	I think, Jaime, should we
15	MS. CLARK: Yeah. So with that, I am going to turn
16	on the census block layer. These are the 2020 census
17	blocks. And the color fill that's on here right now
18	represents Latino citizen voting age population. I'm
19	going to turn off the city weigher so that we can just
20	get a better idea because there's even just with these
21	two layers, there's a lot of data on the map right now.
22	So turning off these turning off these layers,
23	this is what the citizen voting age population
24	distribution looks like in Los Angeles County. I'm going
25	to turn back on the city and county layer and then zoom
	escribers

1	into one area so we can see better understand the
2	difference between what the different color fill means on
3	the census box. So one moment, please.
4	And I will turn off the neighborhood council layer.
5	I'm going to turn back on the city layer. So this is the
6	city the boundaries of The City of Los Angeles. This
7	is Florence, says Florence Graham on the map. Lots of
8	members of the public have reported this as Florence-
9	Firestone.
10	Here where they are I guess it's a magnifying
11	glass, not a hand right now is waving is Inglewood,
12	Southgate, Huntington Park, Vernon, City of East Los
13	Angeles. And I'm going to again turn off the city layer
14	so we can really see the difference between the color
15	fill and on the census block layer.
16	So the whitest color here is zero to thirty percent
17	Latino citizen voting age population. This might be zero
18	population block or it might be a block where there is
19	just very where the percentage of citizen voting age
20	population is between zero and thirty percent.
21	And then this sort of cream buttercream yellow
22	color here is let's see is thirty to forty percent
23	Latino citizen voting age population. And I'm going to
24	Zoom in a little bit more. You can see how itty bitty
25	these census blocks are. The lightest orange color just
	escribers

right here, this represents forty to fifty percent Latino
 citizen voting age population.

The darker orange color is forty -- or excuse me, 3 4 fifty to eighty percent Latino citizen voting age 5 population. And the darkest red color is eighty to 100 percent Latino citizen voting age population. So you can 6 7 see here we have the citizen voting age population broken down to the census block level. And we can get that 8 9 granular level of detail on each and every census block 10 throughout The State of California. I'm going to turn off the --11

MR. BECKER: Jaime, can I chime in for just a -real quick and keep it on this map? So I want to mention real quick, because I think we've talked about this before. So these are percentages of Latino population, but block size -- the number of people in each block is not standardized.

18 It is all over the place. It goes from a very 19 small, maybe a handful of people in areas that are not 20 very populated to a couple of hundred people in a place 21 where there's a lot of concentrated population. So all, 22 for instance, of the darkest orange/red, that doesn't 23 mean the same number of people or the same number of 24 people who identify as Hispanic live in those blocks, 25 especially, this will come in once we're looking at less populated areas, particularly more on the eastern part of the state, you'll see that these blocks look very large because they're trying to get a few people compared to some of these blocks in Los Angeles, might be literally a block or smaller in the city. And that's something to keep in mind.

7 I also want to address one point because I've got a 8 couple of questions on it. People have asked me if 9 people who are -- who classify themselves as multiple 10 race are counted twice in effect. And I want to do my 11 best to try to explain this. They're not actually 12 counted twice for population purposes, they're only 13 counted as a single person.

14 So if we're equal population, a person who 15 identifies as black and Asian, they will only be counted 16 once for equal population purposes. But when we're 17 considering, for instance, citizen voting age population 18 for purposes of Voting Rights Act compliance, we might 19 look at overall population.

And if there is a significant percentage of people who identify as both black and Asian and that number of people when added to the people as identified as black alone, could comprise a district that would be a majority black district, they would be counted as black for that purpose, if that makes sense.

cribers

1	They're not actually counted twice, but their
2	identity as either black or Asian or whatever multiple
3	races they choose would be considered in the Voting
4	Rights Act analysis for each race. And I hope that makes
5	sense. I know it's not as straightforward as we'd like
6	it to be. And it's a fairly recent this has literally
7	only been something we've needed to deal with for 20
8	years. So it's only been around that 20 years that the
9	census has allowed individuals to self-identify as
10	multiple races.
11	MS. CLARK: Thank you for those clarifications. I
12	am going to again turn off the block layer because
13	it's there's so many blocks that will really slow down
14	the map. I'll turn off the block layer, zoom back out to
15	the full county view, and then display the Asian CVAP.
16	So one moment, please, while I move the map.
17	And I'm going to turn on the cities and towns one
18	more time so that we can have a little bit more
19	information about what we're looking at. When I turn the
20	census block layer on, it's going to have with the
21	citizen voting age population have to do some stuff in
22	the background. Some boxes will probably pop up on the
23	screen. So thank you all for your patience as I do that?
24	All right. And I'm turning on the percent Asian
25	citizen voting age population color fill. More boxes.
	ecribers

Okay. And I'm going to -- now the percent Asian citizen voting age population on the census block level is displayed on the map. I'm going to again turn off the city layer so we can get a better sense of where these populations are. And I will zoom into an area on the map so we can take a closer look at what the differences are in the color fill. One moment.

8 Okay. I'm going to turn on the city layer one more 9 time. This is around Pasadena, South Pasadena, Arcadia, 10 San Marino, East San Gabriel, Gabriel, Alhambra, Temple 11 City, and Rosemead. And one more time I'm going to turn 12 off the city layer so that we can really understand 13 exactly what the different -- what the different colors 14 mean on the map. So one moment.

15 And again, it kind of follows this -- it follows the 16 same percent break down. So the very lightest color is 17 going to be zero to thirty percent. The next lightest, 18 which I think would be right here. It's a little -- I'm 19 not sure how easy it is for you to see on how the 20 projection turned out over zoom. My apologies for that. 21 I can change the opacity on this to make it a little bit 22 more clear if needed. Please let me know. And that 23 would be thirty to forty percent.

The next lightest color, which I'm going to zoom in a little closer, would be this one, is forty to fifty

cribers

1	percent. The next darkest one would be this color. It's
2	fifty to eighty percent and the darkest is 80 to 100
3	percent. And again, this is percent Asian citizen voting
4	age population. So I'll zoom out.
5	MR. BECKER: Yeah, I was cam you just zoom in a
6	bit because I want to like, not too much. Just a
7	little bit. So I just want to note, I'm not we're not
8	talking about any conclusions or anything right now.
9	This is all just very preliminary. But if you look at
10	this, this is a really nice actually, can you take the
11	city layers off again? Thank you.
12	This is a really nice area that would we would
13	want to look at more closely. Right. We don't know what
14	the total population is in this area. That's not
15	overlaid here. But we have is clearly, Asian citizens
16	who are concentrated within an area we know this is also
17	I think many of you know, this area. This area is
18	there's concentrated population generally. This is this
19	is a city area.
20	So this is this is an area we'd want to look
21	really closely at and say, you know, ah, what is the
22	overall population of the area generally? What is the
23	overall population of this community? And then this
24	would be an area and we have not done this yet, but
25	we're going to be able to do this, if we decide that this
	ecribers

1	is an area that likely might satisfy the first Gingle's
2	precondition and by the way, I want you to also I
3	want to be clear, I'm not saying that yet.
4	We don't know for sure. But if it does, then we'd
5	want to look at voting patterns and see if the second and
6	third Gingles preconditions are met. But this one is a
7	particularly nice area to visualize. And I'm glad Jaime
8	showed it to you because it's just so easy to see where
9	the concentration of population is and in the surrounding
10	areas how it peters out in the surrounding areas where
11	you don't have concentrations of the Asian population in
12	the surrounding area.
13	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Mr. Becker, I'm seeing a
14	number of hands raised with questions. Are you
15	comfortable to take those at this time?
16	MR. BECKER: Sure.
17	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Great.
18	MR. BECKER: That's why I'm here.
19	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Let's start with Commissioner
20	Ackerman.
21	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. Just a quick
22	question about the data being presented between the Asian
23	population in the first map with the Latino population.
24	Did I hear it correctly that the scales are different?
25	So the first for the Latino population, it was the
	escribers

1	lightest color on the map was zero to twenty percent.
2	And then for the Asians, zero to eighteen percent. Or am
3	I did I mishear something?
4	MS. CLARK: So for all of them, the lightest color
5	will be zero to thirty percent.
6	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Got it. Thank you.
7	MS. CLARK: Yeah. And so the break down just one
8	more time is zero to thirty percent, thirty to forty
9	percent, forty to fifty percent, fifty to eighty percent.
10	And then eighty to 100 percent. I'm happy to repeat that
11	again as many times as is helpful.
12	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you. That clarified it.
13	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Commissioner Turner?
14	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. Thank you. I'm JUST
15	wondering, curious, are you able to display for any of
16	the various categories, are you able to display if we
17	wanted to see Asian citizens forty percent and below,
18	could you just show that on the map as opposed to all of
19	the categories? Is that an option? If we wanted to just
20	see
21	MS. CLARK: Yes, absolutely. I can customize the
22	breakdown to show yeah, the answer is yes.
23	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay.
24	MS. CLARK: And that's something I would definitely
25	need a little bit of time to be able to ask the software
	escribers
	and an example to the second

1	to do. And it's certainly possible. Yes.
2	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you.
3	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Sorry. Commissioner Sinay.
4	COMMISSIONER SIMAY: Sorry for all the questions,
5	but where are Middle Eastern communities coming up?
6	Because we brought it I don't have how do we now
7	have enough information so that we they have their own
8	color blocks?
9	And then, second, if we wanted to see the nuances
10	among the Asian communities, because not all Asian
11	saying Asian is just so broad. So if we wanted to see
12	just the Southeast Asians or the Chinese community, is
13	that possible or are we supposed to be looking at all of
14	this as one block?
15	MS. MACDONALD: I'm happy to answer that, Jaime, if
16	that's yeah. So the citizen voting age population
17	comes from the American Community Survey, and it's rather
18	limited in what it allows us to do. We will send out
19	we can send out the variables that are in that are
20	part of the citizen voting age population.
21	But just as a shortcut, there was a lot of
22	discussion over the last ten years in at census about
23	the Middle Eastern category that you're talking about.
24	And they decided not to actually offer that as a as an
25	option. So hopefully that will be available next time.
	escribers

1 And there's a whole story behind that.

2	I know you've talked about having Ditas back. She
3	can probably talk about that because she was actually on
4	that advisory board that made that decision. And I think
5	they did recommend it for inclusion, but were turned down
6	by the Census Bureau. So I will send out the variables
7	that are available.
8	In a short answer, the subgroups are not available
9	as part of the citizen voting age population. So we have
10	to bring those in separately. And of course, that's also
11	why you've been constructing an additional dataset, even
12	though not everybody may be thinking of it as an
13	additional dataset, which is you've been talking to The
14	State of California.
15	So we're filling in these blanks and these gaps, and
16	we're relying on everybody to help us with that, because
17	the census and the American Community Survey are really
18	limited in some respects. So thank you for that
19	question, Commissioner Sinay.
20	MR. BECKER: Yeah. Commissioner Sinay, I'd just add
21	really quickly also for Voting Rights Act issues, it's
22	really good that you're considering that because this
23	this goes directly towards cohesion of a minority group.
24	And it's quite right that particularly within Asian
25	communities, it would we should make assumptions about

1	all Asian communities being monolithic and choosing the
2	same candidates and preferring the same candidates.
3	That might be true in a lot of cases. It might also
4	not be true in other cases. And so we will look at
5	the in addition to the census data, we'll be looking
6	at the voting patterns in these communities to see if we
7	see the kind of levels of cohesion across Asian
8	populations, regardless of the subdivisions.
9	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: All right. And I think I know
10	someone who might have some data on that.
11	Commissioner Yee?
12	COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. I have a VRA
13	question. So for Gingles 1 and 2, you're looking at a
14	minority population and let's say you're looking for an
15	assembly a potential VRA Assembly District. And you
16	have an actual number in mind for what constitutes the
17	majority fifty, maybe fifty, fifty-five percent of a
18	district size population compact population. So
19	that's a pretty clear target.
20	For Gingles 3, what's the geography for the majority
21	opposing since that would not be the majority of an
22	actual VRA district
23	MR. BECKER: Yeah.
24	COMMISSIONER YEE: if it's drawn right.
25	MR. BECKER: Yeah, this is a really good question
	ecribers

1	and gets into the mechanism of how we look at these
2	things. So for establishing what Justin calls
3	liability what Justin Levitt calls liability under
4	section 2, the Gingles preconditions govern. And that
5	really says is there do you have sufficient citizen
6	voting age population to form majority in a district?
7	So for instance, we're looking at this area right
8	now and we're talking about the assembly and the assembly
9	districts are going to be, if memory serves, somewhere
10	between 450 and 500,000 people each. Do we have citizen
11	voting age population to be roughly half of that or a
12	little more? And we'll have to answer that question.
13	And then when we're looking at the second and third
14	Gingles preconditions, we are that is data that we're
15	looking at retrospectively because we only have data from
16	past elections, of course. And so retrospectively, that
17	data is going to be limited to the political geography
18	that existed at the time. We're going to show you this
19	in a second.
20	But so for instance, if we're drawing an assembly
21	district, we might want to look at the Assembly Districts
22	in this area. And we're only going to have data from
23	those districts in this area. We're going to but we're
24	going to get down to the precinct level and identify
25	precincts that have population that have different
	ecribers

populations and precincts that, for instance, are heavily
Asian, might perform differently in this area than
precincts that are heavily white.
And so we're going to look at that. It's really
going to be limited to the preexisting political
geography. But then that's the liability phase. Then we
get to the point where we're what Justin Levitt calls the
remedy phase, which is what kind of district are we
redrawing.
And then we're no longer limited to this fifth
artificial fifty percent number. It might be that forty
percent is sufficient to draw a district. It might even
be that forty over forty percent might be considered
packing because we're actually limiting minority voting
influence in some ways.
So that's when you're no longer limited to the
existing lines. You can draw the lines as the data
suggests. So if it turns out that as we look at the
racially polarized voting patterns, that there is
racially polarized voting, that Asians, for instance, in
this area, just as an example, are cohesive, that non-
Asians tend to vote against the Asian candidate of
choice. But there's enough crossover voting amongst
those non-Asians that they the Asian candidate of
choice can generally get ten to fifteen percent of the
evcribers

1	non-Asian vote, it may be that's roughly forty percent of
2	a district would be sufficient to enable them to elect
3	the candidates of their preference.
4	So those are the and those are very fact
5	intensive inquiries that we're going to once we get
6	more data, we're going to be able to help advise you on.
7	And I hope that answers your question, Commissioner Yee,
8	because I think it's it does get tricky because we're
9	talking about using census geography from for both total
10	population and CVAP that come from different Census
11	reports.
12	We then talk about using election data that uses
13	existing political geography. In other words, what
14	Assembly Districts were drawn here and how does how did
15	those elections play out? And then ultimately, when
16	you're drawing the districts, that will just advise you
17	on how to draw the lines. And you have a lot more
18	flexibility in how to draw the lines at that point based
19	on what you're seeing from the existing data.
20	COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you.
21	MS. CLARK: I'm going to remove the color fill on
22	this, zoom out to county wide view, and then display the
23	percent black citizen voting age population. One moment,
24	please. And again adding the city layer. Okay. So now
25	the percent black citizen voting age population color
	And

1 fill is on the census block layer.

2	I'm going to turn off the city and town layer so
3	that we can get a sense of sort of a county wide
4	distribution. And I'm going to zoom in again to an area
5	so we can get a better sense of what the like, what
6	the breakdown is, what the different what the
7	different percent black citizen voting age population
8	breakdowns look like on the census block.
9	Okay. So again, the lightest color is zero to
10	thirty percent. Oh, and I'm going to actually really
11	quick, I apologize. I'm going to turn on the city and
12	town layer so we can see where we're looking at. And
13	then again, do the show the different breakdowns.
14	So this here is City of Los Angeles. This is the
15	ten freeway. And where I'm running the magnifying glass
16	now is the 110. City of Los Angeles, this is View Park,
17	and I'll move the map down a little bit just to give us a
18	little bit more reference. Here is the northern part of
19	Inglewood. I'm going to take the city and town layer off
20	one more time.
21	So the very lightest color is zero to thirty percent
22	black citizen voting age population. And then the next
23	lightest green or next darkest green, please excuse
24	me, is let me see if I can. So it's probably really
25	hard to see. I'm waving the hand right here. I'm not
	ecribers

1 sure how well that's coming through on zoom.

2	And again, I can change the opacity if it's very
3	difficult to see. That would be thirty to forty percent
4	black citizen voting age population. I'm going to zoom
5	in a little bit here. The middle most green color is
6	forty to fifty percent black citizen voting age
7	population. Second to darkest green is fifty to eighty
8	percent black citizen voting age population, and the
9	darkest green color is eighty to 100 percent citizen
10	black citizen voting age population.
11	And I'm going to turn on the city layer one more
12	time so we can get another look at that. So this is a
13	citizen again, sort of an overview of the level of
14	detail that we can get into with the citizen voting age
15	population on the block level. And we can display that
16	throughout The State of California.
17	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Jaime, it looks like
18	Commissioner Turner has a question.
19	COMMISSIONER TURNER: I do. Thank you. And thank
20	you, Jaime. I love seeing this. This is exciting. I
21	wanted to just name, though, for all of the different
22	categories, that thirty to forty percent, that is
23	difficult to see on zoom.
24	MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you for that feedback. And
25	we can adjust the opacity to make it more clear.
	escribers

1	Additionally, if there is feedback on what you would like
2	the what you would like to be represented in the
3	different fills, what the percent breakdown would be, we
4	can absolutely accommodate that and adjust the percentage
5	breakdowns. I would request that there's one breakdown
6	at fifty percent just for when we're mapping in the
7	future. That would be fantastic for us.
8	MS. MACDONALD: So I think with that, I think this
9	conversation goes back to maybe David, but then Megan, if
10	that's possible.
11	MR. BECKER: Yeah, I think I think we were going to
12	Zoom in on Jaime, are we ready to zoom in on the
13	Assembly District we discussed?
14	MS. CLARK: Yes. One moment, please. And I'm going
15	to keep this color fill on. Again, it's the percent
16	black citizen voting age population. And I'm going to
17	turn on the current Assembly District lines.
18	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: And while you do that, you may
19	just want to offer a little reminder that we do have a
20	mandatory break at 11 a.m.
21	MS. CLARK: I'm looking at the current Assembly
22	District 64. The Assembly District lines are the blue
23	dotted lines. And what's included in this District is
24	Carson it follows, I believe that this where I'm
25	running the hand right now is Vermont Vermont Ave.
	ecribers

1	But it's a little bit west of the 110. It includes
2	Willowbrook, Compton, West Rancho Dominguez, City of
3	Carson, a little bit of Long Beach right here. And I'll
4	turn on the neighborhood council layer as well. North
5	here is Watts area. This is Watts.
6	MR. BECKER: Maybe just to clean it up, Jaime, could
7	you turn off the city layer just for a second? Oh,
8	that's yeah, hopefully that's helpful to everyone. We
9	can turn that back on any time anyone wants to see. We
10	were all talking about this. We thought this is a really
11	good district to look at for illustration right now.
12	This is one of the districts with the largest black
13	percentage under the current map. And you can see why.
14	There's high concentrations of black citizens in
15	particularly the northern half of this District. And
16	obviously, this is an area where that we'd probably
17	advise we take a closer look out for Voting Rights Act
18	considerations.
19	Again, not reaching any conclusions yet but with
20	that in mind, one of the other things we wanted to do is
21	illustrate for you just very preliminarily how we will be
22	able to provide you some guidance on whether we're seeing
23	racially polarized voting patterns consistent with the
24	Gingles, pre-conditions.
25	And Megan, we're very lucky to have Megan we're
	escribers

1	very lucky to have Megan Gall with us today as well.
2	She's been working with us very closely since we brought
3	her on board. And Megan, are you can you can you
4	maybe just give a very, very brief indication of what we
5	might be able to look at in a district like this?
6	MS. GALL: Sure. So we have looked at some
7	preliminary analyzes. And again, what the RPV is getting
8	at is Gingles 2 and 3, which is is the minority group
9	politically cohesive and does the majority vote as a
10	block that can defeat them that usually defeat them?
11	Those are the two things that we're really thinking
12	about.
13	For this particular Assembly District, 2020 had two
14	Democrats on the ticket. One was Mike Gipson. He was
15	the incumbent, and he beat his challenger by about twenty
16	points. Gipson is an African-American. He was
17	originally elected to his seat in 2014. His 2020
18	contender was Fatima Iqbal-Zubair. She is also a
19	Democrat.
20	Actually, the only Democrat that's run in this
21	District in the last ten years was Theresa Stanford in
22	'16 and '18. So it's heavily Democratic candidates.
23	Iqbal-Zubair is an immigrant from the United Arab
24	Emirates. She did better in the general than in the
25	primary. And I have done a preliminary look at this
	evcribers

1	election. It looks so far like we do have some clear
2	preferences among the African American voters for
3	candidate Gipson who, like I said, was the incumbent.
4	Latino voters also appeared to have a preference for
5	Gipson. But they were not quite as cohesive as black
6	voters. And again, this is very preliminary. And just
7	sort of a quick look at what this District might be
8	telling us.
9	And as we move back in time in Assembly District 64,
10	as I mentioned, we have one Republican candidate. And
11	then when we go back to 2014, 2012, it is a full slate of
12	minority and Democratic candidates. So that is what we
13	will be looking at for the RPV analyses for this
14	particular Assembly District.
15	MR. BECKER: Yeah, that's great. So that's just an
16	indication we'll be able to go into much more depth,
17	obviously, as we get direction from you as to areas where
18	we want to dive deeper into Voting Rights Act analysis.
19	I'll also say one of the things I think I've said
20	this before, I just want to note, it isn't always the
21	case that minority candidates are the preferred candidate
22	of the minority voters who are selecting them. The
23	Voting Rights Act focuses on the voters, not the
24	candidates. So it is possible for a someone who is
25	not a member of a particular minority group to be a
	eribers

1	preferred candidate. But the election results will show
2	us this. And I should also say that that's also not
3	common.
4	It is most common for minority voters to select
5	candidates of the same minority group. But it's not it's
6	not exclusively so. So the election results that Megan
7	reviews will give us an indication of that.
8	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Okay. I see a question from
9	Commissioner Kennedy.
10	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner
11	Sadhwani.
12	Megan, is it part of what you're doing or are you
13	able to back out, as it were, the effects of incumbency?
14	I mean, we have as political scientists, we have a
15	general sense of incumbency having a certain impact on
16	races. And I'm thinking that for me to really see as
17	much value as I'd like to see in the analysis, I'd really
18	like to see some effort to remove the effect of
19	incumbency from the equation. Thank you.
20	MS. CLARK: Incumbency is not something that we can
21	remove from the effects of the EI and RPV analyses. I
22	think the it's a qualitative thing to think about.
23	One of the things that we can also look at if we have
24	results that are not clear, we can go back to when the
25	incumbent was first on the ticket. For Gipson, what did
	escribers

1 I say, it was 2016 or '14?

2

MR. BECKER: I think it was '14.

3 MS. CLARK: And we can look at how he how performed 4 at that point. But the incumbent flag is a really 5 critical flag to understanding RPV analyses. We can't pull it out quantitatively. We have to think about it 6 7 qualitatively. MR. BECKER: But we will be able to show you, as 8 9 Megan said, that flag is going to be there and will -there will be -- there'll be a set of elections that 10 11 we'll be able to look at again at your direction and 12 advise you about. And we'll give you some advice as to 13 qualitatively which -- especially if the if the data is 14 not extremely clear cut, which data might be more 15 relevant than other data. 16 Megan, is that fair to say? 17 MS. GALL: That is fair to say. And I appreciate

18 your point that every time we look at an election, you
19 will know who the incumbent is, that will be on the table
20 and known.

21 MR. BECKER: And party as well, especially with the 22 top two primary we'll have -- there will be -- there will 23 be a time we're looking at winners coming out of a 24 primary that belong to the same political party. 25 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Commissioner Andersen? And

58

cribers

1	then we do have a break in about five minutes.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. Thank you. Just a
3	just a quick actually, David or Megan, if you could
4	kind of just maybe sort of we've looked at Assembly
5	District 64 and here's just a question. Assembly
6	District like 54, I think it is, and 59 in those it looks
7	like and several interests have been saying, keep our
8	group together.
9	And clearly, between these two districts, it looks
10	like a large area of the black population has been
11	divided into two different districts. Does that have to
12	do with I mean, there are many neat reasons for doing
13	this, but is that also sometimes an aspect of the Voting
14	Rights Act to make sure that it's not packing?
15	MR. BECKER: That absolutely could be that way. I
16	want to first say that Megan and I are both blessed with
17	complete ignorance as to why these lines were drawn at
18	all. So I can't tell you why these lines were drawn. We
19	don't have we don't have that information.
20	But it could be that that when you take this entire
21	concentrated African-American community that we can see
22	going from District 54, southeast, all the way through
23	Assembly District 64, that it that is so sufficient
24	that there's so many people within that and they're so
25	cohesive and they are relatively compact as we can see
	ecribers

1	that to put them all in a single district would have
2	would have resulted in a packing of that population and
3	an unnecessary limitation and concentration of their
4	political power.
5	This is a really again, I can't stress this
6	enough. It's such a fact intensive inquiry. We're going
7	to really look closer at the total population data. We
8	don't know, although this is a fairly tightly populated
9	area, so I would presume that this represents a fairly
10	large number of people. We don't have that data overlaid
11	here yet so we can't really assess that. But we'll be
12	able to advise you on that, absolutely.
13	And it could be that this is a population that if
14	they were packed within one single district, it would
15	be it could potentially be a violation of the VRA.
16	But it's also possible that if they were cracked
17	unnecessarily so that they could not elect a candidate of
18	their choice, that that would be a violation of the VRA
19	as well.
20	We've talked before about packing and cracking. So
21	finding that sweet spot where a population that satisfies
22	the Gingles preconditions is placed into a district that
23	gives them that power that political power that
24	complies the Voting Rights Act. That's what we'll advise
25	you on as we get more data.
	escribers

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

2 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: We are three minutes away from a break. I think Commissioner Fornaciari, do you think 3 4 your question can fit in that time frame? 5 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, I will be brief. So Mr. Becker, you just mentioned you don't have the 6 7 reasons behind why these districts were drawn this way. We have that. If you want it, we can get it to you. 8 If 9 you don't want it, then we won't. Let us know. 10 Just a comment for Jaime. I seem to recall maybe I'm wrong, but in the past when you've been -- the team 11 12 has been showing districts, the Assembly Districts have 13 been kind of a purple color and the Senate Districts have 14 been kind of blue. So I got a little confused when you 15 showed the assembly districts in blue. So just a 16 comment. 17 MS. CLARK: Yeah, for this map, these are the 18 Assembly Districts. And for this map, I believe that I 19 just copied over the same color theme. I'll verify. And 20 I will absolutely make sure that everybody's using the same lines for the same district --21 22 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. 2.3 MS. CLARK: -- level. 24 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, that's all. I just 25 wanted -criber

	62
1	MS. CLARK: Yeah.
2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: to ensure that it's
3	consistent. That's all.
4	MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you. And thank you for
5	that feedback.
6	MR. BECKER: And I'll just add very quickly,
7	Commissioner Fornaciari, that we wanted we want to be
8	as helpful and advise you with whatever you need to do
9	your really important job. So if there are conditions
10	that applied during the last round of redistricting that
11	we think might still apply, whether they're whether
12	they relate to community input or communities of interest
13	or whatever.
14	Absolutely. I think we would we would be interested
15	in having that information to be able to provide you with
16	good advice on this. It could also be that some of the
17	conditions that applied during the last round of
18	registering don't apply anymore due to population shifts
19	or something like that.
20	And so obviously we're not relitigating the last
21	round of redistricting. We're really just trying to help
22	you draw the right districts for this round. So if
23	there's some conditions that apply that we should be
24	aware of, we absolutely want to it'd be helpful to
25	have that information probably in this process right now
	escribers

1 as you're providing us with direction.

2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Perfect. And so with that, I'm
3	going to recommend that we take a pause here and take our
4	fifteen-minute break and come back at 11:15 and pick up
5	this conversation where we left off.
6	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
7	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Well, welcome back, California.
8	Thank you for joining us. At this point, we will
9	continue on. And I'll turn it back over to Jaime.
10	MS. CLARK: Thank you so much. So at this point I
11	will summarize the COI input that was submitted through
12	the COI tool from members of the public that we have
13	previously that we had previously reviewed in a
14	presentation for the Commission. And then I will display
15	the new COIs that have come in from the public since that
16	last presentation.
17	And the COIs I'm going to be displaying are the COIs
18	that have come in that are that are not the same as COIs
19	that we reviewed last time. So again, as the Commission,
20	I'm sure you're aware, as you've been reviewing all of
21	the input in Airtable, that there is a lot of overlap in
22	some of the public input. So if there if is overlap or
23	identical testimony, et cetera, then I won't be reviewing
24	those COIs.
25	And with that, I'm just going to jump right into the

e

cribers

1	summary of what was presented last time. So one moment,
2	please, while I move the map. So during our last COI
3	review and I'll turn on the neighborhood council lines
4	here, we sort of started in north east L.A City of
5	L.A. and in this area I'll zoom out a little bit.
6	In this general area we heard a lot about residents
7	who live here having shared social, political, and
8	economic backgrounds, ties to the Chicano movement of the
9	60's and 70's, an importance of family values for
10	residents who live here, and importance in
11	multiculturalism, and also heard from people again who
12	submitted COIs through the COI tool that there are a lot
13	of there are a lot of people who immigrated to Los
14	Angeles from Central America, and that there are a lot of
15	Spanish speakers in this area.
16	Megan, I'm not going to show the COIs that we showed
17	last time on the map. Again, to give a summary of what
18	we heard about last time and then in. In this general
19	area where I'm waving my hand, which is like Atwater
20	area, greater Hollywood, Hollywood, West Hollywood.
21	We also heard that there were a lot of migrants in
22	this area, that there was a mix of working and middle
23	class communities in these areas again, and importance of
24	multiculturalism and having a multicultural community.
25	People who live in these areas submitted COIs around
	escribers

1	having access to a lot of murals and street art, that
2	there are environmental concerns for people who submitted
3	COIs in this area.
4	And that there's a blend of housing types and that
5	there are LGBTQ populations that live in this area.
6	There's a majority of renters and also that public
7	transportation and being able to use public
8	transportation was important for people who live in the
9	greater Hollywood, Hollywood, Franklin Village, Atwater
10	Village area.
11	Then we looked at some COIs that were coming in from
12	the west side of The City of Los Angeles. And those
13	COIs, the people who submitted those COIs, provided a
14	narrative that maintaining access to community services
15	was really important to them, that there were
16	environmental concerns for people living on the West Side
17	and that also ensuring support for houseless people who
18	live on the West Side was important to these communities
19	as well.
20	Then we looked in downtown L.A. in Skid Row area.
21	And in this area, we heard testimony that there was an
22	interest and a need for small business recovery as we are
23	moving through the COVID-19 pandemic. The gentrification
24	and access to affordable housing was a big issue and that
25	there are deep ties to black history and to music and the
	escribers

1	arts. Again, this is a downtown Skid Row area.
2	And in South Central L.A I'm going to zoom out a
3	little bit here. In South Central L.A City of Los
4	Angeles, we there are a number of COIs submitted in
5	this area and over the overarching themes and COIs
6	that we touched on during that presentation, talked about
7	issues of gentrification, access to resources such as
8	food, health care, education opportunities.
9	Some people who submitted COI's expressed concerns
10	with public safety and affordable housing. A few
11	submissions specifically mentioned reparations and black
12	homeownership programs and also in general heard that
13	there was that there were multiple black communities and
14	Latino communities living in South Central L.A City
15	of Los Angeles.
16	And then we talked about some COIs that were
17	submitted through the COI tool that are located in the
18	coastal regions of Los Angeles and of Los Angeles County.
19	And in particular, we heard that there were shared
20	interests in recreation, in sharing the same
21	transportation corridors, that there also were
22	environmental concerns in these areas, that services for
23	unhoused people was also really important for communities
24	that we heard from in these areas, which again is sort of
25	coastal Los Angeles County.
	of entities of

1 I'm going to move down to South Bay. So where's 2 sort of ranging from Malibu, Santa Monica, El Segundo, Manhattan Beach, Redondo Beach, all the way down to Palos 3 4 Verdes. We heard that there is a lot of shared access to 5 education opportunities, the same medical systems were being used, same hospitals being used. The communities 6 7 that live in these areas said that they use the same 8 water and sewage system and have access to the same local 9 news sources. 10 In the Los Angeles Harbor area, which I'm circling 11 with the hand now. The Los Angeles Harbor area community 12 members provided information that these areas are 13 racially and ethnically diverse and that many residents 14 work in oil or shipping or the recycling industries. And 15 there are some shared economic and environmental concerns 16 for people who live in these areas. 17 Sort of in the greater Long Beach area we reviewed 18 some testimony that had been submitted that they're 19 again, a very diverse area. And people who submitted 20 COIs through the point was specifically named that there 21 were Cambodian, Latinx, blacks, and LGBTQ communities

23 strong sense of unity in this area and that people who
24 live here have the same access to transportation systems

living in close proximity to each other, that there's a

25 and education systems and institutions.



1	And I'm going to move up to the Gateway Cities. And
2	sort of circling this general area with the hand now. So
3	
	these are areas such as Downey, Whittier, Norwalk,
4	Cerritos, Artesia, et cetera. And again, I'm running my
5	hand over the boundary of Los Angeles County.
6	So in the Gateway Cities, people who submitted COIs
7	through the COI tool talked about connections through
8	transportation corridors and medical access in
9	workplaces, having access again to the same education
10	systems. And family, a lot of families here and
11	commitment to equity. And again, many communities living
12	in close proximity to each other. Many different race
13	and ethnic, racial and ethnic communities living in close
14	proximity to each other.
15	Then a little further north, I'm getting to San
16	Gabriel Valley area sort of Rowland Heights, that
17	Hacienda Heights area, that I'm circling with the hand
18	right now and this is all kind of yeah, circling with
19	the hand right now. People who submitted COIs through
20	the COI tool in this area talked about protecting open
21	space, having communities where there are a lot of
22	different languages spoken, and specifically named
23	Spanish and various different Asian languages.
24	Members of the public discussed rapid growth and
25	demographic flux in this area. Additionally having
	eribers

1	shared transportation routes, sharing the same watershed,
2	and education institutions. And some people who
3	submitted COIs through the COI tool noted that air
4	pollution was an issue in this area. And again, that
5	this area shares access to the same local news sources.
6	We also looked at some COIs that were submitted
7	through the COI tool that focused on the Inland Empire.
8	And there were a couple of different definitions of the
9	Inland Empire geographically that we saw and generally
10	they tended to focus on Pomona, Montclair, and Rancho
11	Cucamonga. Some of them went all the way out to Fontana
12	or Rialto. Some included Chino and Chino Hills. But
13	sort of this main corridor along the 10 was what the
14	geography of that was like.
15	And people who submitted COIs from the Inland Empire
16	talked about traffic and having long commute times, that
17	there were large networks of senior care centers and care
18	providers, that there needs to be more investment in
19	infrastructure and in education in this area. People in
20	the Inland Empire reported to be sharing utility agencies
21	and also mentioned that there's large Latinx communities
22	in the Inland Empire and growing Vietnamese community.
23	Sort of moving a little bit west to East San Gabriel
24	Valley. From these areas, we heard that a lot of Asian
25	and Latinx communities living in close proximity to each



1	other, and that there's a lot of families with young
2	children and a shared interest that they have this shared
3	access to the same education systems.
4	From people who live here, mostly focusing along the
5	210 corridor, which is where I am moving the hand right
6	now, people who submitted COIs in this area that we
7	looked at last week discussed these cities along 210
8	corridor being fire hazard areas.
9	They described themselves as independent small towns
10	with a commitment to preserving open space and a
11	commitment to environmental conservation. And a number
12	of people also noted that they recreate in the foothills
13	of Angeles National Forest. Again, here's the 210
14	corridor.
15	Okay. Moving sort of into the Burbank, Glendale,
16	Pasadena area. We reviewed some COI submissions
17	specifically around transportation corridors. Members of
18	the public talked about working together to rebuild
19	biodiversity in the foothills and noted that they have
20	the same fire dispatch system that this area is, that
21	this area has a lot of economic and racial and ethnic
22	diversity, and also that they share sort of an economic
23	tie to the close proximity to downtown Los Angeles.
24	And then moving to the San Fernando Valley, which
25	generally speaking is this area here, large area. And
	escribers

I'm running the hand here. We've heard a lot about this 1 2 in the input meetings about Mulholland Drive. And where 3 I'm running my hand now represents the Mulholland Drive. So we heard about a lot of smaller COIs in the San 4 5 Fernando Valley. And particularly in this area sort of east of 405, we heard about working class neighborhoods, 6 7 concerns around pollution from some industry that's located in the San Fernando Valley and concerns around 8 9 public safety. We heard that there are -- there's many 10 small businesses and support for small businesses. 11 There's desire for more open spaces and more public 12 transportation options. We also heard about Sikh 13 communities in San Fernando Valley. 14 And in West San Fernando Valley, we heard it was a 15 little bit more middle class. People there working for 16 again, support for houseless residents who live in this 17 area and also working together to mitigate fire risks. 18 And then moving north to Santa Clarita. And again, 19 here, where I'm running the hand right now is the county 20 boundary between Los Angeles County and Ventura County. 21 And then this is The City of Santa Clarita. And Santa 22 Clarita Valley, we've had a couple of different 2.3 definitions of exactly where Santa Clarita Valley is. 24 And in this general area, members of the public provided 25 information that there is shared school systems, that the



Santa Clarita and Santa Clarita Valley is a safe place to
 raise kids.

3 They share an economic development strategy, and 4 protecting open spaces is important to members of the 5 public who submitted COIs through the COI tool. And also heard that Santa Clarita Valley and Simi Valley share a 6 7 river basin. Some people submitted input that they wanted Santa Clarita and Antelope Valley to be together 8 9 as their COI. And we noted that there was a love and 10 enjoyment of the outdoors and that they share a chaparral 11 ecosystem and transportation corridors.

I'm going to zoom the map out a bit. We heard a couple of different definitions of Antelope Valley. And again, running my hand along the Los Angeles County line to the east, Los Angeles County is bordered by San Bernardino County to the north, Kern County and to the west, Ventura County.

18 And we did review a couple different -- a couple of 19 different versions of what Antelope Valley is depending 20 on who submitted the COI. So some people kind of defined 21 it as really concentrated around cities of Lancaster and 22 Palmdale. Some people talked about it going up into Kern 23 County. And in Antelope Valley, we heard that there was 24 a military -- a lot of people were employed in the 25 military and aerospace industries.



1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Excuse me, Jaime, could you
2 possibly turn on the terrain as we're looking at the
3 valley while you're saying this one? Thank you very
4 much.

5 MS. CLARK: Okay. So we heard from Antelope Valley 6 that there's a lot of military and aerospace employment, 7 that there are large Native American populations and 8 concern for tribal issues that people who live in 9 Antelope Valley share unique and challenging ecosystems 10 and heard about, additionally, like criminal justice 11 reform in Antelope Valley in general.

12 And then finally -- one moment, please. Finally, we 13 heard about some COIs in the high desert, which was 14 described as sort of being the general area I'm running 15 by hand, Antelope Valley areas north of the Kern County, 16 Los Angeles County line, and including Victor Valley. 17 And people who submitted those COIs said that there is a 18 shared ecosystem and shared in specific climate or 19 environmental concerns.

And now I'm going to turn the terrain layer off and review the new COIs. One moment. Okay. So now I'm going to review the new COIs that were provided by members of the public through the COI tool. And again, these are COIs that have been submitted since our last review session up until the 6th. That's what we have 1 access to right now.

2	And these again, are just COIs that are new. So not
3	essentially duplicates or extremely similar in geography
4	and in testimony to the COIs that we reviewed during our
5	last session. So one moment, please. We're going to
6	kind of take them in the same order that we just did the
7	review.
8	So we're going to start in like north eastern City
9	of Los Angeles, move to south central Los Angeles, back
10	to the sort of coastal areas and then through the eastern
11	part of L.A. County, up to Antelope Valley. Those are
12	where the new COIs are. And there are about eight of
13	them.
14	So one moment, please. I'm going to zoom in to the
15	area of our first COI. And here it is on the map. And
16	the person who submitted this COI identified it as El
17	Sereno. And it generally follows the 10 freeway or
18	excuse me, the 110 freeway and the boundary of The City
19	of South Pasadena.
20	And this person said that they are brought together
21	by the same sense of history, community, working class
22	values, creative energy and food. And this has
23	definitely has a lot of overlap with what we saw in COIs
24	in the same area during our last review session.
25	However, this is a new shape. We haven't seen this
	escribers

1	geography yet. And again, this is identified by the
2	person who submitted it as El Sereno.
3	And now moving into South Central L.A. and the
4	person who created and submitted this community of
5	interest is named it South Central L.A. So it's this
6	big green area on the map, generally following the 10 to
7	the north, near the 110 to the east northern part of
8	Inglewood. This right here is 405 and includes all of
9	View Park and is bordered by Ladera Heights.
10	So the person who submitted this COI said that there
11	are a lot of different groups of black and brown people
12	living in living together in under-served South
13	Central L.A. And that was their comment.
14	And moving on, one moment. I'm now moving to
15	Westlake Village. And this, again, is the L.A. and
16	Ventura County line. This COI was named Oak Forest
17	Mobile Home Estates. It's a secure, gated community in
18	Westlake Village. There's a mixed demographic of
19	affluent home owners.
20	There's beautiful custom-built homes in this area
21	surrounded by great restaurants and retail shops, and the
22	area is filled with beautiful hills and mountains and
23	hiking trails. And the person who submitted this COI
24	specifically said that they wish to be with a Ventura
25	County based district.
	excribers

1	Now, I'm going to zoom out and move to the eastern
2	side of Los Angeles County. One moment. There we go.
3	So this COI there are areas of this COI that are in
4	Orange County, which includes Bueno Park, Cypress, and La
5	Palma. And then also areas in La Habra excuse me and
6	also areas in Los Angeles County like Lakewood, Artesia,
7	Cerritos, La Mirada, East Whittier, La Habra Heights,
8	Whittier.
9	And the person who submitted this COI said that this
10	is a COI and that they share all of the issues that come
11	with being a cohesive community and sharing a county
12	border separated along a small river. They noted that
13	it's mostly a mix of Asian and white communities and
14	again, that there it is a cohesive community, although
15	it's part of two different counties.
16	And now I'm going to move to Northern Los Angeles
17	County. One moment, please. And this next COI was
18	specifically talking about Quartz Hill, which is right
19	here. It's surrounded by Lancaster and by Palmdale. I'm
20	going to turn on this COI. So this this COI represents
21	most of The City of Quartz Hill and then also some
22	surrounding areas in Lancaster and in Palmdale.
23	The person who submitted this COI said that Portable
24	has long been a rural community with many neighborhoods
25	and dirt roads and big lots and horses and other
	escribers

1 livestock in the backyards of people -- of where people 2 live. Over the last ten years, it's become a little bit more suburban with a lot of new housing developments. 3 4 And they also said that they wanted their community 5 to be in a Congressional District in Los Angeles County. I'm going to turn on the Congressional District layer, 6 7 which is the green dotted line right here. Quartz Hill is currently part of Congressional 8 9 District 23, which -- I'm just going to Zoom out a little 10 bit, which the rest of sort of this Antelope Valley area 11 is in Congressional District 25 and then the boundary 12 sort of comes down and encompasses part of all of Quartz 13 Hill and part of Lancaster. 14 So they're saying they want Quartz Hill to all be in 15 a district with Los Angeles County and that this area is 16 bound by festivals and parades that bring the community 17 closer and identify themselves as being part of the 18 Antelope Valley and that they most often go to Santa 19 Clarita or Los Angeles for shopping, dining, and 20 entertainment. So again, here's the Quartz Hill COI that 21 I just spoke on. I'm going to turn off the Congressional 22 District layer and turn off the Quartz Hill COI. 2.3 And then the next three COIs are similar in shape. 24 They're about the Mojave River Valley or Mojave High 25 Desert. And I'm just going to show them one at a time

cribers

1	really quick. One moment, please. So here in green,
2	Mojave High Desert, it's Antelope Valley, parts of Kern
3	County, including Edwards Air Force Base, Rosamond,
4	Mojave, California City, and then just like Barstow and
5	Victor Valley area.
6	And then I'm just going to show they're very, very
7	similar in shape. And this one in Mojave River Valley
8	does not include the parts of Kern County. It doesn't
9	include any of Kern county. So just Antelope Valley and
10	Victor Valley areas and other areas in the high desert in
11	San Bernardino County.
12	And the people who submitted these COIs said they
13	were brought together by a desire to be away from big
14	cities but still have access to resources as required,
15	noted the unique and sometimes unique and sometimes
16	difficult climate and challenges that come with this
17	specific climate and that they share similar
18	socioeconomic makeup.
19	Multiple people mentioned that's a great place to
20	raise families. There's multigenerational families
21	living in the same area, wanting what's best for their
22	kids and for their grandkids, and also an interest in
23	preserving open space.
24	That is my summary of the new COIs that have come in
25	through the COI tool from members of the public since our
	escribers

1 last COI review session. And with that, I am going to 2 hand it back over to Commissioners.

3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you very much, 4 Jaime. I really appreciate this. I'm going to step in 5 right now. And I'm going to come as we're sort of like 6 the -- we come back to an overview because that's what 7 I'm going to do a little bit.

8 I'm going to overview just sort of the public input 9 what we've seen. It's also from commissioners and the 10 public and where you can find it and then how we're 11 proceeding. Basically there are three different types of 12 input or forms of input that we've been getting.

The first is obviously public input COI meetings and that's you can go on to our website and see the videos of all of those under the meeting tab. You can look up each day and you can watch the entire video. And then that information, however, is also then been added into our -what's called our public input database, which is under the data tab of our website.

Then we've also been hearing from our line drawers what's been coming in through the COI tool, which is again on our website, we can go to a directory and they've been reviewing that information for us and these have been presented to the public. But now they're also on our website from the COI tool that comes in directly

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

cribers

and is on our again on our data tab. It's on the public data public input database. The third part is all the information coming in directly to our office, which consists of emails, letters, phone calls, also through our website. Now that information is indeed also all on our website. The letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called public input. There's also a public comment tab. Those are general things such as the for how the meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map input. Those are other comments. And then they're being put into the our, again, under the data tab public input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added a second all the way to the right, there's an additional column for sorting this information by county I think this there's been a request for that and	Ď
The third part is all the information coming in directly to our office, which consists of emails, letters, phone calls, also through our website. Now that information is indeed also all on our website. The letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called public input. There's also a public comment tab. Those are general things such as the for how the meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map input. Those are other comments. And then they're being put into the our, again, under the data tab public input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added a second all the way to the right, there's an additional column for sorting this information by county I think this there's been a request for that and	Ď
directly to our office, which consists of emails, letters, phone calls, also through our website. Now that information is indeed also all on our website. The letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called public input. There's also a public comment tab. Those are general things such as the for how the meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map input. Those are other comments. And then they're being put into the our, again, under the data tab public input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added a second all the way to the right, there's an additional column for sorting this information by county I think this there's been a request for that and	Ď
5 letters, phone calls, also through our website. Now that information is indeed also all on our website. The letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called public input. There's also a public comment tab. Those are general things such as the for how the meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map input. Those are other comments. And then they're being put into the our, again, under the data tab public input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added a second all the way to the right, there's an additional column for sorting this information by county I think this there's been a request for that and	Ď
6 information is indeed also all on our website. The 7 letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called 8 public input. There's also a public comment tab. 9 Those are general things such as the for how the 10 meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map 11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're beind 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	Ď
7 letters, emails, you can see on the tab, that's called 8 public input. There's also a public comment tab. 9 Those are general things such as the for how the 10 meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly may 11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're being 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
8 public input. There's also a public comment tab. 9 Those are general things such as the for how the 10 meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map 11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're being 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
9 Those are general things such as the for how the 10 meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map 11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're being 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
10 meetings are being run. Those aren't to say directly map 11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're beind 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
<pre>11 input. Those are other comments. And then they're beind 12 put into the our, again, under the data tab public 13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and</pre>	
put into the our, again, under the data tab public input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added a second all the way to the right, there's an additional column for sorting this information by county I think this there's been a request for that and	1
13 input database. If you'll notice, and if the public or 14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
<pre>14 visitors go to the data tab, you will notice we've added 15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and</pre>	
<pre>15 a second all the way to the right, there's an 16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and</pre>	
<pre>16 additional column for sorting this information by county 17 I think this there's been a request for that and</pre>	
17 I think this there's been a request for that and	
	•
18 it's actually been added. Also as of today, the database	5
19 is through August 31st and that will be updated as we ge	
20 to it. What we're going to do and the commissioners are	
21 going to be doing here is although it's all on the	
22 website and it's all open for the public, we're actually	
23 going to do a quick overview review of the information	
24 that's come in through the office and the what we call	Ĺ
25 them, our zone liaisons, are going to be doing that for	
escribers	

1 us. 2 And so at this point -- and that's how each of these areas are going to go. We're doing Los Angeles today. 3 4 We're doing the Coastal California and San Francisco bay 5 area on Friday. We're doing North Inland Central California, also the rest of the Southern California on 6 7 Saturday. And these will start with a review of what we've 8 9 seen on the COI, what additional COI input has come in 10 and the liaisons will go through a quick summary just for 11 everyone's understanding of the information that came in 12 to the office. 13 So at this point, I'm going to turn this over to 14 Commissioners Ahmad and Taylor. I'm not sure if 15 Commissioner Taylor was able to join us. He switched 16 jobs, and that doesn't have quite the flexibility this 17 morning. 18 But I'm going to hand this over to Commissioner 19 Ahmad. And if Jaime might be able to hopefully kind of 20 follow her a little bit as she gives us a guick summary 21 of the information that's come in about -- from the 22 COIs -- about COIs about Los Angeles County. 2.3 Commissioner Ahmad? 24 Thank you, Commissioner COMMISSIONER AHMAD: 25 Anderson. And my review is not as thorough as Jaime's cribers

1	review, so I'll just preface this next portion with that.
2	But before I jump in, I do want to remind first of all,
3	myself and everyone else that is listening that we do not
4	h5ave the census data at this point.
5	So what we're doing here is just reviewing the COIs
6	that have come in. We are not determining any lines or
7	making any determinations in that respect at this time.
8	And then also that everyone should still review all of
9	the COIs that are on the database themselves.
10	Just hearing the summary from Jaime, which was very
11	helpful, I have a few questions about certain areas, so
12	that would require myself to go in and do that due
13	diligence myself. And the COIs are being continuously
14	updated in our database.
15	When I checked this morning final preparations for
16	this conversation, there were 327 COIs that came into our
17	office and I just refreshed page right now and now
18	there's 384. So these COIs are continuously being
19	updated on the database and it does require us as
20	commissioners to be on top of that and continuously check
21	for new updated COIs.
22	And then the last thing I'll mention, which Mr.
23	Barker did a very good overview of, is the VRA
24	consideration. So as we talk through the COIs that came
25	into our office, I'm not applying that lens to just the
	ecribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	high-level summary at this time. That's why we have our
2	guidance from our legal team to provide that when the
3	time is appropriate to do so.
4	So with that, I will just jump in to a high-level
5	summary and then highlight some COIs that we've received
6	through our office. So what does it mean when we have
7	received them through the office? As Commissioner
8	Anderson has highlighted, that would constitute letters,
9	emails, phone calls through the CRC website contact us
10	page or labeled as to be determined within the database.
11	There's also that separate tab, the public input
12	tab, that does house some COI information, and the idea
13	is to bring that over into the database. But I'll just
14	give a high-level overview of some of the COIs that I saw
15	within that have related to the general L.A. County area.
16	So jumping in, most of the COIs that were submitted
17	through our office are pretty straightforward. There are
18	a variety of reasons that folks have listed out to
19	support the inclusion and sometimes the exclusion of
20	certain communities of interest that ranged from
21	socioeconomic status, culture, education, safety,
22	immigration, homelessness, housing cost, economic
23	development, food insecurity, transportation, and VRA
24	compliance to name a few.
25	Most used to define the parameters of their

1 communities are geographically present and they use the 2 transportation systems such as freeways, to identify 3 where their communities lie. Commissioner Taylor and I 4 saw in our review Simi Valley is a point of concern for 5 numerous communities. There is input to have Simi Valley 6 both a part of and excluded from respective districts. 7 So at a certain time we will probably have a conversation about that. 8

9 San Gabriel Valley is a desired district along the 10 210 corridor, so north of the 210 freeway from Altadena 11 to Rancho Cucamonga. We heard that. We heard Boyle 12 Heights wants to remain intact -- as an intact community 13 with other similar communities. The greater Wilshire 14 area would like to remain intact with the District 15 boundaries further east not splitting the area.

16 And then let me jump into a breakdown. So now I'll 17 break it down by type of submission. So emails, as of 18 this morning -- now, these numbers are old since we have 19 new updated numbers. There are 201 records returned that 20 were identified as emails. And upon using the search 21 term Los Angeles, I had sixty-five entries returned. 22 These numbers are now updated. So please excuse the --2.3 four-hour delayed numbers. But we heard that Santa 24 Clarita Valley would like to stay together as a region. 25 They collaborate as a region on issues like

1 transportation planning, homelessness, public safety, 2 emergency preparedness and response, water quality and 3 supply.

They also had -- this submission also had submitted a COI through the COI tool. And it was great to see that our team was able to connect that COI submission through the COI with the email that had come into the office. So that shows up as one line in our database.

9 We also heard again the greater Wilshire community 10 of interest together. They also submitted a COI that was 11 connected. We heard Toluca Lake belongs in the same area 12 as Studio City. This email was provided and it included 13 for Boundaries and COI was digitized and input into the 14 database along with that email.

Jumping over to letters, this morning when I Confirmed the numbers, we returned 110 records that were labeled or tagged as letters. And with the search term Los Angeles, the return of fifteen records was presented. We got letters from The City of Long Beach to keep Long Beach together.

We also got a letter from the Long Beach Unified School District asking for the same to keep The City together. And that letter also, interestingly, invited us to hold an in-person meeting in the Long Beach area when it is appropriate to do so. We also heard in a



	00
1	letter from the part of Sherman Oaks, which is a
2	community organization that represents a population of
3	about 6,900 residents.
4	They want to be kept with Greater Sherman Oaks in
5	CD, Congressional District 30, as they are currently in
6	Congressional District 29. They talked about the
7	geographical proximity, similar landmarks, lack of
8	similarity to areas north of Khalifa and Tiara
9	educational community. They talked about property values
10	in that letter and then also the Sherman Oaks Homeowners
11	Association and what ties them that community
12	together.
13	When reviewing the tab CRC website contact us so
14	that is the Contact US tab through our website. There
15	were sixteen submissions as of this morning from that
16	avenue to our office and with the search term Los
17	Angeles, I returned one submission within that category.
18	The submission talked about keeping the Olympic Park
19	neighborhood in the same legislative district for state
20	and congressional, and they submitted a geographical COI
21	that is now connected to the entry in our public input
22	database.
23	As of this morning, in our public input database
24	there were no COI submissions that were tagged as to be
25	determined or phone calls, and that is as of this
	escribers

1	morning. So right now it may look different. So those
2	were the inputs received to directly to our office.
3	So anything outside of the community of interest tool
4	and/or our live public input meetings.
5	Moving to the public input tab, upon reviewing the
6	list of attachments that currently lives under the public
7	input tab, there were quite a number of letters I
8	shouldn't use the word letters. There were quite a
9	number of inputs on that page that talked about keeping
10	Long Beach together, and we've heard that many times
11	around.
12	There was also a letter or a statement on the public
13	input tab that talked about keeping downtown Los Angeles
14	together. And this area is bound by the 10 freeway on
15	the south and the 110 on the west and the 101 to the
16	north and the L.A. River on the east.
17	So this input talked about how this region is very
18	diverse. They really wanted to keep downtown Los Angeles
19	together and whole because of the unique challenges and
20	opportunities, talking specifically about transit,
21	homelessness, and economic diversity.
22	So again, this was just a high-level overview of the
23	inputs we've received directly to our office outside of
24	the COI tool and/or live public meetings that we've held
25	all summer. I encourage my fellow Commissioners and the
	ecribers

1	public to continue to review the public input database.
2	As evidenced today, it is continuously updating and we
3	have a lot of homework to do before our final exam.
4	Back to you, Commissioner Andersen.
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you very much,
6	Commissioner Ahmad. Now we sort of have our full
7	overview of what we have in the public should be well
8	aware of if they want to find any information and where
9	to find it. And so at this point, I'd like to turn it
10	over to Karin and Jaime to give us some ideas of how we
11	are supposed to move forward.
12	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you so much. Thank you,
13	Commissioner Ahmad. And thank you so much, Commissioner
14	Andersen, for facilitating this particular piece of the
15	presentation. We really appreciate it. And at this
16	point, we are ready for you to give us some preliminary
17	directions. Very exciting.
18	I would like to get you started perhaps by giving a
19	few examples if you think that that might be helpful
20	about what you may want to ask us, what you may want to
21	ask to see mapped. So for example, you may be
22	contemplating you just heard something about these areas,
23	whether Simi Valley should be with Ventura, for example,
24	or perhaps with Santa Clarita. And then you may wonder
25	what the population would be for either scenario.
	escribers

1 So this might be a visualization or a set of 2 visualizations that you could ask for. So you would just 3 say, Jaime, please, we would like to see this particular 4 visualization in October, because remember, we will have 5 the census data available by then so we can take a look at that at the equal population criterion. And we can 6 7 generally see what the population breakdown of these various scenarios might be. 8

9 And also, I'd like to remind you that, of course, 10 communities of interest and public input are not your 11 only criteria. So the mapping team will always endeavor to keep the other criteria in mind, obviously. And then 12 also we have Mr. Becker and the Strumwasser/Woocher team 13 14 here today, and hopefully they can help us a little bit 15 quide this conversation to get you started on giving some 16 directions.

17 So another suggestion or potential suggestion you 18 may have and you may want to ask for is if you heard from 19 a number of cities that have told you that they'd really 20 like to stay together in a particular district, then that 21 might be another visualization you want to -- you want to 22 ask for example, something in the northern part of this 23 region, for example, the Antelope Valley. How does the 24 population break, break out? And what could be kept 25 together and what could not be?



And then you might also ask, well, mappers, if you do this visualization, can you tell us what the impact would be on the surrounding areas? Because as you've heard, there are communities of interest and there is input and other considerations surrounding this area. So nothing really is mapped in isolation. So you may want to ask for that also.

Just another really quick reminder, this is 8 9 preliminary. So this is not -- we're not going to a 10 draft map quite yet. This is the time to explore, give 11 some general directions of things that you would like us 12 to follow. You could tell us to follow some specific 13 direction whenever possible, if you have some strong 14 preferences about something, and you could ask us to 15 explore possibilities based on preferences that you may 16 have.

17 And then also, please feel free to ask for multiple 18 options if you've heard conflicting testimony. Like, for 19 example, in some areas we have heard people define the 20 same area in different ways. So perhaps there was a 21 little area attached on -- in one COI, but then somebody 22 else came in and attached something on the other side of 23 that COI and said, this is who we are. So you have a lot 24 of options.

25

And with that, I would like to turn it over to the

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

cnper

1	Commission and see if we could get started someplace.
2	And please just tell Jaime in what area of Los Angeles
3	you'd like to get started or Jaime, if you have a
4	suggestion of then please also weigh in. Thank you so
5	much.
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I might just jump in here.
7	And again, it's just again, just it's a start. Just
8	for I thought if we start the north and kind of go south,
9	it might be faster. And I'm just going to go ahead and
10	say a couple of visualizations, sort of to give us an
11	example. And then if we could have Chair Fornaciari
12	raise facilitate raising hands and moving forward on
13	this.
14	I believe we need several different scenarios with
15	considering a population of do we keep Santa Clarita with
16	the rest of the Lancaster Palmdale area? So that's sort
17	of one area. Do we keep Simi Valley in can we? So
18	that's sort of another I think this is an area where we
19	have conflicts. So I believe we need at least two,
20	possibly three visualizations in this area.
21	Another one could possibly be considering the
22	Lancaster/Palmdale with portions of going up to the
23	high desert. So that would be essentially if you went up
24	into Kern County with part of that one, that area going
25	over into the Victorville area too.
	escribers
	and a second and the second

1	Just sort of and then the other portion then
2	going back west if it's the Santa Clarita and that's
3	then this gets a bit more into the Ventura County. But
4	with Simi Valley, because we've heard a lot from Simi, is
5	add Moorpark the rest of it. So that's kind of that's an
6	area. And I'd like to see population on that in terms of
7	what we could do.
8	Then going south, the San Fernando Valley,
9	population wise, how many assembly districts? Would it
10	be broken into this because we've heard let's keep the
11	entire valley together. Clearly that's way too many
12	people to be in one Assembly District.
13	MS. MACDONALD: Pardon me, Commissioner Andersen.
14	I'm sorry to interrupt. Could we just make sure that
15	we're very clear on the direction? I should have said
16	and I apologize, I should have said that staff are trying
17	to take notes of the direction that you are providing,
18	and they're going to read that direction back to all of
19	you later. So that that we're very clear that we're
20	understanding exactly what you would like us to map.
21	And perhaps also, Jaime, if you I think if you
22	hear something that's not completely clear, if we maybe
23	could just pause between visualizations, that's just to
24	make sure that Jaime hears it correctly. And if she has
25	a follow up or clarifying question, if she could ask
	escribers

1	that. Thank you so much. And sorry to interrupt again.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, this is why I went
3	first, because we haven't done this before. And so I
4	thought I would be the guinea pig. And so I just thought
5	I'd lay things out. And I don't know how fast, how slow
6	we want to do this. So
7	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you. This is perfect.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So Jaime, what should I have
9	said, not said?
10	MS. CLARK: Thank you. I'm just going to zoom out
11	on the map a little bit. And I do see that Commissioner
12	Fornaciari has a hand up. I think that those were
13	those were good directions. They were quick, which not a
14	problem. And I would maybe suggest and we can always
15	revisit different sort of general areas, but maybe a
16	suggestion would be to look at one area for a while, hear
17	ideas about visualizations, and then move on to a
18	different area.
19	And I guess one specific question I had about the
20	discussion around keeping Antelope Valley with areas in
21	Kern County is that some people have talked about
22	Tehachapi and that area being included and some people
23	have not. So just clarifying like exactly what you
24	mean I guess exactly what you mean by Antelope Valley.
25	And then also what areas to include in visualizations.
	ecribers

1	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. So perfect. So
2	let's just look at the north first. And again, I just
3	threw this out. We have heard everything from the
4	Lancaster, Palmdale, West Tehachapi up into the I
5	can't remember the Air Force base that that entire
6	area up there. So that that is one. Similar we've seen
7	in the Palmdale area do not have us with Kern County and
8	have us with Santa Clarita.
9	Then there's also the entire Lancaster/Palmdale with
10	the Victorville area. And that being especially Hesperia
11	up. And then depending on population that is
12	increased that is added, the area up into in Kern
13	County as well.
14	Then going west, just the Lancaster/ Palmdale, Santa
15	Clarita at Simi Valley. It's one possibility. And then
16	I'm going to stop there and ask for other people to jump
17	in and say, we'll do the north area and then move on from
18	there.
19	MS. MACDOANLD: Jaime, was that clear? I just want
20	to make sure that that was clear for Jaime. And
21	MS. CLARK: I accidentally clicked video off instead
22	of unmute. But yeah, I understand those directions and
23	thank you for clarifying.
24	MS. MACDONALD: Okay. And then also, if Mr. Becker
25	has any input on any of these areas, which may be coming
	ecribers

1	up, then he may also weigh in, just foreshadowing that.
2	MR. BECKER: Yeah, I don't have anything yet.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So I'll call on myself since I
4	had my hand raised. So yeah, just to add a little more
5	specificity, what I would like to see regarding the
6	this area is if you would start in the north east corner
7	of L.A. County and go down south west gathering
8	keeping Lancaster whole, Palmdale, all that area in the
9	Santa Clarita for a Congressional District and wrap all
10	those cities north and what does that look like?
11	Is it a full Congressional District? Do we need a
12	little more? Do we need less people? Just to give us an
13	idea of how we're doing there I think would provide a lot
14	of clarity or help provide clarity on the Simi Valley
15	question for us. But specifically for Congressional
16	District. That's my main thought for this area.
17	Any others? I like the raise the hand button.
18	Really worked out well.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That actually, Commissioner
20	Fornaciari, I might just jump in, that's a really good
21	point in terms of if you think I'd like this area for a
22	Congressional District versus I'd like this area for a
23	State Assembly District. That would be a really good
24	point. And actually, so to go back to what I was saying,
25	the Lancaster up into Kern County, that would possibly be
	ecribers

1 a Congressional District.

2	MR. BECKER: I'd just quickly advise one of the
3	one of the things to consider again, and one of the
4	reasons we used Assembly Districts as the illustrative
5	tool here, is because they're the smallest districts,
6	they're the ones where there are most likely to be
7	tensions between the criteria.
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. Thank you. So
9	who's next?
10	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: I think, Ms. MacDonald is
11	still talking.
12	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Mr. Becker, you muted yourself.
13	MR. BECKER: Yeah, I accidentally muted myself. I
14	don't know how far I got. So we use the Assembly
15	Districts because they are the ones where there's most
16	likely going to be tension between the districts because
17	they're the smallest.
18	So I might advise starting focusing a little more on
19	the Assembly Districts, although advice and direction
20	with regard to Congressional and Senate are also useful,
21	Jaime, you think you seem to maybe disagree, which is
22	fine.
23	MS. CLARK: I think that we don't necessarily need
24	to get down to levels of specificity around district
25	types. And we could just come away with general
	escribers

1	direction of what you would like to see. And we can
2	explore we can explore questions around like
3	population, et cetera once we actually have the data and
4	then can prepare visualizations that would reflect again
5	the population and what you wish to see together.
6	MR. BECKER: Perfect. Okay.
7	MS. CLARK: So I think in general, just kind of
8	giving general direction and then we can make it so once
9	we have the data.
10	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So I just want to clarify who is
11	facilitating right now. Is it me or is it Jane, are
12	you going to facilitate?
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Whichever. I don't see any
14	hands raised, so I was kind of leading.
15	Commissioner Sadhwani, do you
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: You can facilitate, that's fine.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. All right.
18	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: One issue I might bring up,
20	I was didn't see anyone's hands in this area, and I
21	don't have the COI just right off my head, but there
22	were and it's a group of it said, I really don't
23	want the Air Force base in this area, but I want to
24	include Lancaster, Palmdale, and then the areas and I
25	don't have the city names up above in Kern County in
	escribers

1	one district. So that would be another possible
2	visualization on that one. I see Jaime nodding your
3	head. I think she understands the COI I'm talking about.
4	MS. MACDONALD: Yes. And I think that this is
5	I'm so sorry. I think this is a good this is a good
6	start for this particular area. We also don't want to
7	overwhelm you with visualizations, obviously.
8	And I think just to go back to that point about
9	whether general direction right now versus specific
10	direction, I think in some cases you may want to give
11	some a little bit more plan what I call plan
12	specific direction, i.e., you've heard a lot about people
13	talking about a particular congressional district.
14	So I think that's fine to map that right now as a
15	visualization, but generally I think you want to just
16	keep things broad and then just see how the populations
17	work out because you may have to weigh later, okay, we
18	would really like to keep these areas together, but
19	that's just not going to be possible in one or the other
20	plan, i.e. assembly.
21	When I say plan, I mean Assembly, Senate,
22	Congressional or Board of Equalization. So keeping that
23	conversation a little bit more broad, unless you have
24	very specific ideas about something, I think that's all
25	fine. So thank you very much for bringing these
	ecribers

1	bringing all of these points up.
2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.
3	Commissioner Sadhwani?
4	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Yeah, I think just to add to
5	that conversation, I mean, what I'm hearing and correct
6	me if I'm wrong, from Commissioner Fornaciari and
7	Commissioner Andersen is seeing the trade-offs
8	potentially between maintaining a district that includes
9	Simi Valley, in other words, cutting into Ventura County
10	versus an option that would include the entirety of
11	Lancaster and moving up.
12	And I believe that and correct me if I'm wrong,
13	or we can zoom in Mojave, Rossmoor, I believe, and then
14	options with and without Edwards Air Force Base. I think
15	we've heard actually testimony on both sides of whether
16	or not that should or should not be included. So I think
17	once we have that population data, I think we'd better
18	have a sense of what's doable in those areas. But to me
19	it would be those two trade-offs between Simi Valley or
20	moving further into Kern.
21	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So I don't see anyone else.
22	Hence we move then further south tackling the San
23	Fernando Valley.
24	Oh, Commissioner Akutagawa?
25	COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. One other testimony
	eribers

that I did hear, and I guess I'd be curious as to where it would be included, because it's that big mass of white, which I believe is the -- I think those are the Angeles Mountains or something like that. Yeah, I do recall hearing some testimony that also spoke about including those mountains with the Antelope Valley and no one else really mentioned it.

8 I guess the question would be, where does it get 9 included? Does it get included with the Antelope Valley 10 or does it get included with the -- I guess, in this 11 case, maybe the San Fernando Valley? And I don't know 12 whether or not it makes sense -- I mean, I know that 13 there's probably some small pockets of people.

14 It'd be interesting to see whether or not it makes a 15 difference in terms of combining it with the Antelope 16 Valley and Lancaster, along with those that -- versus the 17 other way around where it gets attached to the San 18 Fernando Valley. And along that that kind of like those 19 foothills that that run along. I guess it's kind of goes 20 from the San Fernando Valley all the way into like 21 Pasadena and into the San Gabriel Mountains, too, so. 22 And then, Commissioner Sadhwani, when you were 23 talking about combining the Antelope Valley, Lancaster --24 those with Edwards Air Force Base, I think, did -- were 25 your thoughts to go up as far as Ridgecrest, which has

cribers

1 the Naval Air Station as well, too? Because I think 2 there were different thoughts about that. 3 I remember some of the people from around that area did not want to be combined with L.A. County. 4 They 5 wanted to stay within Kern. But then we also heard from others that did speak about wanting to stay within like 6 7 the Lancaster/Palmdale area because of the aerospace corridor that they have, which is very much in terms of 8 9 the military contracts and other things like that. 10 So I was just curious as to how far north were you 11 thinking in terms of one of the options? 12 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Sure. May I respond real 13 quick? To me, I think at this stage it would make sense 14 just to maintain this smaller area, just at the -- at 15 that southern portion of Kern County until we have a 16 better sense of population. I agree. I mean, I think we 17 heard varying forms of testimony there. And as we move 18 into thinking about the Central Valley on Friday or 19 Saturday, I think, we'll -- we can we can address some of 20 those other kinds of testimony as well. But for right 21 now, my sense was these areas that are just -- I'm moving 22 my mouse on my end. But clearly you can't see it. Just 23 some of those key areas right at the at the tip of that 24 southern portion. Yeah, that's exactly right, Jaime. 25 Thanks.

1	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy?
2	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thanks. My sense as far as
3	the Angeles National Forest and that big white area is I
4	certainly heard plenty from people saying attach that to
5	the cities along the southern edge, particularly those
6	north of the 210, all the way from at least Altadena to
7	Claremont. Yeah. So you know that that is what I would
8	prefer to see.
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari?
10	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I was going to say the
11	same things, Commissioner Kennedy, that it's a
12	visualization I want to see is that, you know, the
13	foothill towns north of the 210 with the mountains
14	included.
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. And again, I believe
16	the population through there will and also just train
17	level will really help us with that. So then there's
18	another visualization. Any word about the San Fernando
19	Valley?
20	I personally would really like to see a population
21	number on it because there's so many different areas of
22	this and I don't know how many Assembly Districts is
23	that? I mean, I'm guessing four at least. But I do know
24	that they all pretty much said cut it at Mulholland
25	Drive. So if we want to see how that would fit, and then
	ecribers

1	there are many different groups in that that had
2	different breakdowns.
3	Commissioner Sinay?
4	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can you zoom out just a little
5	bit to the kind of towards the coast? Because one of
6	the things that's interesting about this area is that
7	they said cut it off at Mulholland. And then those who
8	talked about coastal Los Angeles, usually the South Bay
9	usually ends way before Santa Monica, Marina del Rey.
10	But I think it's they've expanded it.
11	But the reason I asked the pull out was because of
12	Malibu. Where are we where does Malibu kind of fall
13	into? A lot of this is just going to be a question we
14	need to think through as we're thinking of the San
15	Fernando Valley and such, because very few people, if
16	anyone, mentioned Malibu.
17	So I guess that might not be helpful for
18	visualization, but I just wanted to put that out there
19	that that was one thing that occurred to me while we're
20	looking at this.
21	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
22	Commissioner Sadhwani?
23	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Yeah, I think we're popping
24	around here a little bit. But with the mention of
25	Malibu, I mean, as we get to thinking about Ventura and
	escribers

	TO T
1	Central Coast, I would be really curious to see Malibu,
2	Topanga, Calabasas, Agoura Hills, Westlake Village,
3	Hidden Hills, that area, kind of what that would look
4	like with portions of Ventura County, depending on where
5	that goes.
6	I mean, I think we heard a lot of testimony from
7	Thousand Oaks, for example, to stay together, Simi
8	Valley, Moorpark, that connection there. So I think
9	we'll have a lot to unpack.
10	And just in response to Commissioner Sinay, to me, I
11	could certainly see it going both ways where Malibu could
12	potentially stay with coastal Los Angeles or possibly be
13	grouped also with Ventura County.
14	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Those are two
15	visualizations that we could certainly have because we
16	did hear from Calabasas, many people talked about along
17	the 101 freeway and that area being part of Ventura and
18	the Hidden Hills.
19	And then Westlake, again this morning, Commissioner
20	Ahmad said they clearly wanted to be with Ventura, so I
21	think that might be the Calabasas/Hidden Hills area,
22	because Bill Canyon some of them said they wanted to
23	be with L.A. and not with Ventura. So I think that area
24	in there will be interesting. When you put population on
25	it, I think that will give us a bit more of a heads up
	ejcribers

1	for visualizations.
2	I see Commissioner Sinay also. No, no.
3	Commissioner Kennedy?
4	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. I've heard
5	certainly the voices saying keep coastal communities
6	together all the way from Santa Monica to Palos Verdes.
7	In my experience, yes, they're all coastal communities.
8	But to me, the airport is kind of a barrier right there
9	in the in the middle.
10	And people from Marina and Santa Monica are less
11	likely to go down to Redondo Beach and places like that,
12	whereas if you're in El Segundo, kind of that whole area
13	from El Segundo to RPV and so forth, yeah, that that to
14	me seems like a very coherent area.
15	MR. BECKER: Can I interject here with a very quick
16	general statement? I think one of the things I notice
17	a lot of the comment right now is really related to
18	communities of interest in particular, which is really
19	important and good. That's excellent. That's one of the
20	key directions that's needed. I do want to stress,
21	obviously, a lot of this is going to be subject to the
22	total population and voting rights considerations that we
23	have. So these are all going to be subject that is a
24	lower criteria than those considerations.
25	And I also want to make sure that you're aware of
	escribers

1 the fact that you will have to -- that there's going to 2 be a balance of some of these communities of interest 3 considerations with the political geography 4 considerations and other considerations that are in the 5 factors. So obviously, community, I know you're all aware, 6 7 communities of interest can't predominate over all of the other factors. That's not anyone's intention and they're 8 9 absolutely appropriate to be considered in this way. I'm 10 just trying to make sure as well, Karin and Jaime, you are getting direction you need to be able to balance out 11 the all of the factors that include the communities of 12 13 interest, but also includes several other things. 14 MS. CLARK: Thank you. 15 MS. MACDONALD: Yeah, I think for us. Oh, Jaime, 16 you go first. Go ahead. 17 MS. CLARK: Thank you. Yeah, I think for us, sort 18 of as we move through Los Angeles County, if there are --19 if there are questions, for example, it just came up like 20 what to do with Malibu? I'm wondering if we -- if the 21 direction would be, again, preliminary direction, just 22 for visualizations to follow COIs or input, if there's 23 not necessarily specific direction about a specific city 24 or a specific group of cities or a specific neighborhood, 25 for example, if the general direction would be just

cribers

1	follow the input that has been received by the Commission
2	so far. And this would just be in the absence of more
3	specific input.
4	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fernandez, is
5	your question is yours about that?
6	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is mine what? I'm sorry,
7	Jane
8	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm sorry.
9	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen.
10	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Is yours about that due to
11	the general directions? Or is that something different?
12	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, I guess this is very
13	different than I envisioned us doing the initial
14	directions. I felt it was going to be more after the
15	census data. And we look at the VRA and the racially
16	polarized. It just seems kind of backwards to me how
17	we're doing it. And maybe I just misunderstood from our
18	prior meetings how are we going to do this?
19	So I'm just I don't want them confused. I think
20	my approach would be different than the approach we're
21	going through. So I'm trying to sit back and understand
22	the advantage of doing it this way instead of waiting
23	until we have the census data.
24	MS. MACDONALD: Would you like me to
25	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Please.
	ecribers

MS. MACDONALD: -- to respond to that? Yeah. So thank you for that question, Commissioner Fernandez. This is an opportunity to sort out some of the things that you have heard, that has been submitted to you, and to kind of talk about what resonates for you, and how you would like to explore that.

7 Between now and the census data release we -- or rather between now and the visualization release, we will 8 9 have access to the census data. So we will be taking the 10 census data into consideration, putting all of these 11 things together and exploring them along with the census 12 data and looking at total population along with the 13 communities of interest as a first step can be a little 14 bit overwhelming.

And so again, this is very preliminary, just to sketch out some visualizations to see what might even fit together and also for you to perhaps start talking about how you might want to deal with general direction. Again, the visualization will have total population in there.

And then also we have VRA counsel here. And I was actually hoping that before Mr. Becker hops off, we might be able to talk about some of the more central areas of Los Angeles to get some preliminary direction with the benefit of having him weigh in on this so that we can

cribers

1 work some of these things out.

2	As you know, Commissioner Fernandez, we don't know
3	what our timeline yet is. It could be anything. And we
4	have to get from here to a draft map, no matter when that
5	draft map has to be out. This is just the best flow. We
6	had a constraint, obviously, because the census data came
7	out late and this is just the way that the process is
8	unfolding.
9	Otherwise, we would have had to wait, you know,
10	until probably late next week to start doing all of this.
11	And so we're trying to just get something done so that
12	you have something to look at and then we can become more
13	specific from there. And I hope that makes sense.
14	MR. BECKER: And to address what Karin just said,
15	I so I have to be clear, I don't have a ton of advice
16	to give right now because we don't have the population
17	numbers, it's very hard to give exact advice.
18	But as we saw from the from the maps that Jaime
19	showed us earlier, there are areas of certainly black
20	population and Latino population and also likely of Asian
21	population that are that whether it's that area that
22	we looked at around Assembly Districts 54 and 64 for
23	African-American populations, whether it's much of much
24	of East L.A. for Latino populations or the San Gabriel
25	Valley for Asian populations in the L.A. area, we're
	escribers

1 going to want to flag those areas.

2	We're going to want to take a close look at the
3	total population numbers once they're available to us.
4	And I would suspect that all of them are going to be
5	areas in which we're going to think there is a
6	possibility, at minimum, if not a probability, that the
7	first Gingles precondition is met.
8	We'll want to then guide Megan the and the RPV
9	analysis, racially polarized voting analysis to assess
10	whether other Gingles preconditions are met, if it will,
11	because the Voting Rights Act compliance is the second
12	ranked priority. That will likely inform some of the
13	efforts.
14	But it's also very good to get your thoughts on
15	which communities of interest to the degree that
16	communities of interest and cities in particular, when
17	we're talking about the L.A. area. When you're balancing
18	those out and to the degree you want to know that
19	communities of interest might predominate over cities in
20	your in your mind or vice versa, or there's other
21	geography that you think is really important here.
22	In L.A., obviously, things like freeways are very
23	important. There's other geography that is important.
24	So getting that kind of guidance can be helpful and using
25	this time is important. I think this is consistent with
	ecribers

what Karin just said because we don't have the final
population data uploaded yet. So getting this kind of
guidance before that will enable us to hit the ground
running once that is all entirely uploaded.
COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I do see we're also we're
close to we should probably breaking here for our
mandatory break. But given that we have Mr. Becker here
with us, should we actually just turn off the you have
the cities and then the voting rights the racial
voting rights areas and just sort of address that right
now? Or it says that we see the cities that we can kind
of go, right? There are community centers in that area.
We put these groups together for consideration because
clearly I've been sort of not talking about that because
I don't quite know what kind of Voting Rights Act
information we need to give or don't give. But would
that be helpful?
MR. BECKER: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner
Anderson.
Jaime, do you want to we've got about seven or eight
minutes here before the break is supposed to happen. But
if you want to turn off the cities and maybe go back
to why don't we start with maybe Latino CVAP?
MS. CLARK: One moment, please.
MR. BECKER: And maybe zoom out.
eribers

111

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, actually, I would like 2 if you could put that and add the cities, because then we 3 could do a little bit of community of interest over that. 4 MS. MACDONALD: Commissioners, if I may, and may 5 weigh in also. While Mr. Becker is still here, perhaps you might discuss with him whether it would be possible 6 7 to just give some general direction about, if you feel comfortable with that, if there is a particular 8 9 population concentration overall, because we're clearly 10 not going to be able to go through everything today. 11 This is a very large region that is very 12 complicated. So if there is a particular density that 13 might meet Gingles one, and I will let Mr. Becker, of 14 course, discuss that. If you could give general 15 direction to us to perhaps keep certain things -- try to 16 keep certain things together in light of other testimony, 17 that might be something you want to discuss with Mr. 18 Becker. 19 MR. BECKER: Yeah, I'll just -- I'll make these --20 these are very general comments for me right now, and 21 they're just pieces of advice. And I don't have the 22 benefit of the actual total population figures to go 23 with. But as I eyeball this map and I'm glad you zoomed 24 out, Jaime, one of the things I'm looking at is the --25 and I'm doing the same thing you were doing, Commissioner cribers

1	Sadhwani, is I'm pointing to my screen, as if anyone can
2	really see what the heck I'm doing.
3	The northern part of the county the extreme
4	northern part, which is kind of that that that that's
5	Antelope Valley, basically, right, that edge of that
6	southwestern portion of Antelope Valley, that's something
7	I'd want to take a closer look at. And if memory serves
8	it also some of the population bleeds into Kern
9	County, which is we see some pockets there that might
10	also be joined.
11	There's something to keep an eye on, particularly.
12	We can see them right in that just north of the border
13	there. Exactly. Thank you, Jaime. And then scrolling
14	down, look, we know there are significant concentrations.
15	This map is not a surprise to any of you who live in L.A.
16	Throughout the kind of eastern L.A. portion you can
17	probably, yeah.
18	I personally call this the heat map and you can see
19	that the significant concentrations that is also a
20	heavily that's a heavily concentrated population
21	overall. So it's highly likely numbers are going to be
22	sufficient there to satisfy Gingles 1 for perhaps
23	multiple districts. And we're going to want to take a
24	close look at that, look at the total population numbers,
25	and then get good advice and analysis on the racially
	ecribers

113

1 polarized voting to see if the second and third Gingles 2 preconditions are met. But there's obviously within Los Angeles County, 3 4 several areas of where Latino concentrations are large 5 enough that we'll then want to take a much closer look. We're looking at the African-American population, and 6 7 maybe you want to just go to that, Jaime, because that is a -- that's a narrower geographical area that we're 8 9 looking at. 10 In the past, what we have seen, I think, Jaime, 11 correct me if I'm wrong, I don't have the spreadsheet 12 open, but I think the heaviest -- the highest population 13 district in the last map, the highest black CVAP was in 14 the high thirties approaching forty percent if memory is 15 serving. And it was right in this area. Right. So you 16 can see this from Culver City at the Northwestern point 17 down to down into Compton and Carson. Those are areas 18 that we're going to want to take a much closer look at. 19 We're going to want to look at the total 20 populations, look at the racially polarized voting 21 analysis to see if it's if the ability to elect exists. 22 And I suspect that it probably does. We have a current 23 African-American candidate in that region. It appears 24 that from very initial analysis that it might be a 25 candidate of choice for the African-American population

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	there. So that's what we're going to be looking at in
2	that area.
3	And then if you want to call it the Asian population
4	as well, Jaime, which I think you just started with when
5	we first began this meeting. That's great. Yeah. So
6	I'm looking in that area, the San Gabriel Valley. That's
7	going to be the numbers appear like they might be
8	sufficient enough to indicate that we want to look
9	deeper.
10	I mean, whether there's whether the second or
11	third Gingles preconditions are met, we can't tell you
12	right now. Whether there is adequate cohesion amongst
13	the minority group, I can't tell you. The concentration
14	of Asians here does appear, though, to be somewhere where
15	there's a lot of there'll be a need to go deeper and
16	see if we can satisfy the first Gingles precondition.
17	And I think given the concentration of population overall
18	in that area, I think it is likely the first Gingles
19	precondition will be met.
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. We have a lot of
21	questions here before you take off.
22	Commissioner Turner?
23	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. Just wanted to go
24	back to Commissioner Fernandez. It's kind of my thought.
25	I'm a little bit different. I'm challenged a little bit
	ecribers

115

1 to think about how to have you work towards kind of 2 mapping that we'd like to see without the population 3 numbers.

And I know -- and I appreciate, Karin, your explanation. That helps. It still leaves the almost challenge there for me because, of course, we want what's legal and in the order. And yes, we want to be able to satisfy all of the COIs. So then to say what I prefer without the numbers, I'm still not certain how to go about doing that.

We have the court testimony, we have the public input that's there. And what the differentiating factor for me would be based on what the numbers -- what the population would actually tell us we can do. And everything else, I just feel like we would be sending you off to draw things that may not even be necessary.

17 So I know we're trying to see what's best for this 18 time period right now, but I'm thinking about it still 19 differently that we do need to know the population 20 numbers in the VRA. And what's really been helpful for me is to continue to think about the districts and Mr. 21 22 Becker, how you're talking about who the incumbent was. 2.3 And this is the area and this is the heavily concentrated 24 populations that's presenting a clearer picture of what 25 we will deal with.

cnber

1	But to start asking for the potential drawing of
2	areas. And I know it's all draft, I know it's all
3	potential, but I'm not sure what we're going about in
4	saying I would like to see. Because I want to see what
5	they've told us they want to see. And of course, that's
6	hinged upon what the numbers are.
7	MR. BECKER: I think general and others might
8	have some thoughts on this. General direction right now
9	is all I think the line drawers really are looking for.
10	COMMISSIOENR TURNER: Um-hum.
11	MR. BECKER: The things like, yes, we where we see
12	large concentrations of minority populations do your best
13	not to split those.
14	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.
15	MR. BECKER: Or if you split them, split them
16	because they're sufficient to form majorities in two
17	districts, those kinds of things.
18	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
19	MR. BECKER: Right.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
21	MR. BECKER: They might be large enough. I mean
22	right. Right now I think those are the kinds of
23	directions. And Karin and Jaime might have some other
24	getting that kind of thing on the record is really
25	helpful for the line drivers to take their next step and

1	advise you. Again, this is just the very first
2	preliminary step. It's just trying to make best use of
3	our time before the total population numbers come out and
4	are available.
5	Karin and Jaime, do you have other things to add
6	there?
7	COMMISSIOENR TURNER: And before they even respond
8	to that, yes. And I think Karin started out with that
9	when she gave us that, whenever possible, do this,
10	explore the possibility. Even down to the window
11	preference, they can figure out the best options. That
12	all sounds really good and clear for me in her initial
13	direction. And so yeah, I got that part.
14	Go ahead, Karin.
15	MS. MACDONALD: Yeah. Commissioner Turner, I hear
16	you. And thank you for those questions. I think if you
17	had in mind that you wanted to see, let's say, five or
18	six different cities together, we can either do that
19	offline and then we come back and we show you the
20	population or we can wait two weeks and then we can do it
21	online and then it takes longer.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
23	MS. MACDONALD: So this basically is just a way of
24	getting ahead and just doing some of these ideas that
25	are that you would like to see anyway and just doing
	escribers

1	those as soon as we have the data again so we can hit the
2	ground running and then we have something to look at and
3	some of these things are just not going to work out.
4	You're completely right. But some of them, they may work
5	out and they may look good to you and then we can work
6	off of them.
7	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
8	MS. MACDONALD: Maybe all of it is going to be
9	great. Maybe none of it is going to be great, but at
10	least we will have looked at some options and that is
11	super important in this process.
12	COMMISSIOENR TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you.
13	MR. BECKER: And there'll be other opportunities to
14	give more direction and much more specific direction.
15	And you know, for instance, what we I don't think what
16	the line drawers need right now is try to draw a line
17	here.
18	COMMISSIONR TURNER: Um-hum.
19	MR. BECKER: That's not where we are by any means.
20	But we've got L.A. is actually, the maps that Jaime
21	produced of citizen voting age population I think
22	actually are incredibly helpful for visualizing where the
23	Voting Rights Act considerations are likely to play a
24	significant role.
25	And just making clear that look at if for
	ecribers

Voting Rights Act purposes and getting on the record. If
 we're Voting Rights Act purposes, you have to split a
 community of interest or split to a piece of political
 geography that you otherwise might like to keep. But for
 the Voting Rights Act, just to give that clear
 instruction, which is certainly consistent with the
 Constitution.

MS. MACDONALD: Yeah, if I may just weigh in one more time really quickly to Commissioner Turner's point. This is really also about making sure that the Commission will give the preliminary direction, because if you look at other processes, other line drawing processes, it's like, the line drawers come in and they're like, here are some options.

We don't want to do that, obviously, because you need to tell us what you want to see. So even if it's preliminary, if it's this broad stroke direction and exactly along the lines of the way you were just talking about it.

For example, keep certain groups together if you can, you know, take a look. Take all of this into consideration, the kinds of points that Mr. Becker just made. This is all really important. It's coming from you. We don't want this to come from us. So if we could maybe get some of these things together and then we can

1	just get started, we would really appreciate that.
2	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Yeah, everyone, I hate to
3	say this. And yes, there we can go into a bit more of
4	why we're doing it this way, but we're way past break.
5	And unfortunately, I think we're going to lose Mr. Becker
6	here.
7	But as we say, looking at these, we have ideas where
8	the districts will probably go. And without the numbers,
9	we can't actually formalize anything. But it is the
10	direction of yes, we indeed do want you to have a look at
11	these areas. That's the that is the level of direction
12	we're getting in right now.
13	So I think we need to take a break and then we'll
14	come back with all our questions. Unless anyone has
15	something they really want to put out there right now, in
16	which case, go ahead.
17	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. I mean, we're really hard
18	on a break here, guys. Mr. Becker will be back tomorrow,
19	so we can try back in
20	MR. BECKER: Actually, Friday. Tomorrow we're off.
21	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, Friday. So we can circle
22	back with him. So let's break at this point and we'll be
23	back. You can all fire me as Chair if you want. But
24	I've set half hour lunches so we can get this stuff done.
25	So we'll be back at 1:20. Thank you.
	eribers

1 (Whereupon, a recess was held) 2 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Welcome back to the California Citizens Redistricting Commission meeting today. I hope 3 4 everyone had a nice lunch. At this point, I see we have 5 a number of hands raised. And I'll turn it back to Commissioner Andersen to facilitate -- continue to 6 7 facilitate the discussion. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Chair. 8

9 Before I start calling on everyone, I just want to say 10 that in an ideal world, yes, we would have had -- we'd be 11 looking at the numbers already and this -- our directions 12 would be much more obvious. Like we could easily say, 13 oh, well, we can't do that.

14 Unfortunately don't have that time. And we are 15 still trying to get the idea that there is a possibility 16 out there our draft maps might be due November 1st. So 17 that is why we're kind of moving this forward in a manner 18 which does seem a little odd. And what I'd kind of like 19 us to do, I'm going to take all the questions, I just 20 was -- is jump into with the layer of the different 21 racial population groups on -- voting age populations on 22 is look at our communities of interest in those areas. 2.3 And the reason why is the line drawers and our VRA 24 consultants, they are our consultants. We are supposed 25 to be driving this process, the Commission. And so while

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	we've heard all these things, and others from the public,
2	Commission has not given these ideas a voice, which is
3	what we are doing now.
4	And it's as simple as we heard people say they would
5	like to see this and this and this line drawers, let's go
6	ahead and could you consider that in your visualizations?
7	What we did not want to have is visualizations show up
8	and the commission has never said anything. It's just on
9	what the line drawers or the VRA consultants have
10	considered from what they saw. And that's the purpose of
11	this.
12	And I believe if we direct ourselves more to the
13	areas where we certainly know because the Voting Rights
14	Act is number 2, and those are areas where we actually
15	have to say, yes, indeed, we want to follow the Voting
16	Rights Act. We would like you to consider the areas
17	where you're going to have Gingles preconditions, as
18	we've we haven't actually as a Commission said that.
19	So that's kind of the purpose of what we're doing.
20	I mean, obviously, that's what we're all indicating.
21	Yes. And that's what our training has all been. But
22	again, we've been taking direction in, and in, and in,
23	and we haven't been voicing it. So now's our time to
24	voice.
25	And with that, I'm going to call on, first,

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 Commissioner Akutagawa.

2	COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Well, just really, I
3	just wanted to I think this is what I heard. I don't
4	have a problem that we're don't have all the numbers
5	just yet. What I think I did hear is the suggestion that
6	we look at some potential visualizations using, I think,
7	some of the COI input or all of the COI input.
8	Honestly, I would be curious to see what that would
9	look like. Part of the reason why is I think it at least
10	gives us a picture of based on the inputs that we've
11	gotten from those who have written and called and
12	submitted testimony via the tool.
13	It it's a way to just see, just based on testimony,
14	what the potential districts could look like. And then
15	to then refine districts based on the six criteria that
16	we need to use, and in particular the VRA and the
17	population numbers.
18	I also want to just, I guess, if I heard that
19	correctly, I also, in affirming that, I think it'll also
20	give those who are following along with us for them to
21	also see what that would all look like when all put
22	together and also to understand then some of the
23	difficult choices that I think we're going to need to
24	make as we move along on really directing and giving
25	guidance as to what we want to see from a line drawing
	escribers

t
d,
go
,
he
ts

1 visualizations on those rather than going for each one of 2 them.

So I'm just thinking maybe we may want to consider giving the global direction around the VRA type issues. So those are my two things. One is just a clarification on whether we're now focusing on the VRA populations and VRA like populations, because I would probably include the LGBTQ community.

9 We've received quite a bit of feedback on that as 10 well. And other communities that are language minority 11 populations. And then whether global direction might be 12 the type of feedback that is needed or if it really truly 13 is specific to communities and within a specific 14 geographic area.

15 COMMISSIONER ANSDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner 16 Toledo. I'm going to ask Commissioner -- I mean, not 17 Commissioner -- Ms. Karin MacDonald, to jump in on that 18 one, please.

MS. MACDONALD: Thank you so much for those points, Commissioner Toledo. I think that that's exactly correct. There was some specific request -- so there was some specific direction, which is fine, to perhaps, get some visualizations about various communities of interest that -- and input that you've received that may have been conflicting.

1	So we will be able to map that as soon as we have
2	the total population data. And then at least you have
3	that point already covered, you know whether or not those
4	specific areas can be kept together. We would really,
5	really appreciate getting some general directions also.
6	So perhaps in that area where we first started, the
7	more voting rights direction was maybe not as pertinent
8	as it may be in other areas of Los Angeles. It's just
9	that that's where we started.
10	So in general, if we could get some global direction
11	about how to deal with population concentrations, and I
12	believe that Mr. Larson from Strumwasser/Woocher is here,
13	even though I don't see him right now in this in the
14	way I have my screen set up. Perhaps he can also weigh
15	in on this. That will be really important for us to hear
16	and very important direction.
17	And then also perhaps some general direction about
18	things like do you in absence of specific direction,
19	would you like us to follow the public input that was
20	received for a particular area? For example, would you
21	like us to take the other criteria into consideration as
22	much as we have information about the other criteria,
23	particularly considering that we've mostly been talking
24	about criterion 4 of course, right?
25	And then come back to you with specific questions,

1 if we don't have a general or a specific direction about 2 it. Like for example, if we have a community of interest 3 that splits a county boundary, could we just map that and 4 then come back to you and say, here is the community of 5 interest.

6 If we were to map that, it would go across the 7 county boundary. So what is more important? But at this 8 point, if you have not weighed in on that yet and given 9 that you don't have the information about the way that --10 none of us have the information, the way about the way 11 that the population is flowing because we just don't have 12 the data yet.

In absence of that, can you give us some general idea of how you would like us to deal with that? That would be super important, and I think we will be able to provide you more feedback and more visualizations that will help you then give more specific direction in October when we come back, if that made sense.

MR. LARSON: Yeah. I'll just piggyback on that. Although, Karin, I think you said pretty much everything. But I think one thing that -- it helps to keep in mind the perspective of the line drawers here. So you have already given some specific requests based on the information you've received from the public, and that's extremely helpful. And they're going to go back and make

1 visualizations specifically on those requests.

But as you can probably tell from the time of day and the fact that we're doing the whole state in three days, we're just simply not going to get to every area in the L.A. area today or every area of the state during these sessions.

7 And so the line drawers need not only specific direction, but also sort of what I think of as general 8 9 principles, that when they're looking at an area for 10 which they've not yet received any specific direction 11 from you all, what are the general principles informing 12 the visualizations they're going to put together? 13 And that might apply to the whole state. Something 14 like when in doubt always follow the COI data we've 15 gotten or when in doubt, always keep cities together. 16 When in doubt, try and keep counties separate, whatever 17 it is. But it could also be unique to an area. 18 So when we're in these heavily populated areas in

eastern south L.A., the main priority for the line draws is to consider VRA compliance first and foremost. For example, to look for Section 2 where districts where the Gingles first preconditions are met and to provide visualizations only that are that are compliant with those considerations first and then bring it back and you can consider the COI input you received secondarily after

	130
1	that. And Karin, does that summarize what would be most
2	helpful for you all?
3	MS. MACDONALD: Yes. Yes. Thank you so much, Dale.
4	I really appreciate this. And we could also you could
5	also still give some more specific direction. Like, for
6	example, we heard some we heard some feedback or some
7	direction about what to do with Ventura that you wanted
8	to see some visualizations there.
9	So aside from the general direction about keep in
10	mind that there are population concentrations. And when
11	you are implementing the more specific direction that
12	we're giving you, please just note these things and then
13	bring them back to us and let us know. I think this
14	is this will be super helpful.
15	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Can I just ask a follow up on
16	that on my question, Chair?
17	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Go ahead.
18	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So because I heard a lot of
19	comments from the Commission. I would think that as we
20	would if because we can only act as one, so are
21	these are we going to be and this is a question.
22	Are we going to be taking action on the direction,
23	meaning are we going to if are we doing a statewide, a
24	regional, and are we going to once we have the direction
25	actually approving it as a Commission? Because at this
	escribers

	131
1	point, we're giving a lot of comments and feedback as
2	individual Commissioners. And so I so that's my
3	question
4	MR. LARSON: I mean, there's no need
5	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: for the Chair and to
6	MR. LARSON: at this stage to say here is the one
7	visualization we want for this specific area. So one
8	commissioner might request one type of one visualization
9	and another commissioner or another. And the lenders can
10	come back with both visualizations for that area, and
11	that would be discussed in the next phase.
12	So you don't need a consensus right now. You're not
13	drawing final boundaries right now. You're simply
14	providing direction of the types of things you would like
15	to see visualized for the Commission to take a closer
16	look at in the next stage and for VRA counsel to look
17	more closely and see where there might be potential
18	compliance related issues.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I do need to jump in
20	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you.
21	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: just a minute here.
22	Originally, because several of these ideas are in what we
23	were just talking about are line drawing guidelines or
24	general the general, hey, we want to do we want
25	counties above communities of interest wanted to cross
	eribers

1 over.

2	We, basically due to our consultant's time frames,
3	which we are trying to work everyone together. We are
4	trying to get some specific information well not
5	specific, but more direct information about L.A. County
6	right now. And then we're going to go. So it's a little
7	backwards in that it would have been nice to say, hey, we
8	want to keep city lines together. We want to keep county
9	lines together.
10	As a commission, we actually haven't had that full
11	discussion. And so that's why it's a little bit funny.
12	But so and that's why we're kind of looking at, yes,
13	basically, we do want to look at our Voting Rights Act
14	areas. And it's not that we're switching from what we
15	had just been doing, talking about what we in terms of
16	visualization. Sure. Let's see this and this and this.
17	And at this point, it is different ideas. Like if I
18	say one thing, you might go, great, and then,
19	Commissioner Kennedy might say, yeah, I would like see
20	visualization of one part of that and with another area.
21	And that it helps the line drawers to come up with the
22	different possibilities as a Commission will actually go
23	I like oh that makes more sense.
24	So at this point it's getting possibilities out
25	there. And the only reason I sort of talked more about

1	of let's move into, because of time frame, move into the
2	areas, you know, we sort of started up north in the
3	county where the Racial Voting Rights Act is it's
4	equally important, but it isn't quite as predominant.
5	And sort of move into areas a little bit more
6	direction. Like I'm just going to give as an example
7	looking here, we have on Jaime's map, we have the Asian
8	population and say the areas we've heard from Walnut and
9	Diamond Bar who said we'd like to be together.
10	And we've also heard similarly and that's clearly in
11	an area where you'd want to consider the Gingles
12	preconditions. But Walnut Diamond Bar are together. And
13	we've heard several people say, I want Walnut, Diamond
14	Bar, and Chino Hills, where other people have said, I
15	want Diamond Bar, Walnut, and West Covina.
16	So that is a kind of direction depending on
17	population and the Voting Rights Act are those where
18	we're kind of working on that just to give the line draws
19	a couple of overlays. You start with population, you do
20	your VRA, but given one choice versus another, we'd like
21	to have communities of interest. That's just in some of
22	those areas. So I was only moving us and wasn't changing
23	what we were doing. It's just let's kind of focus a
24	little bit more on these areas now as we have our Voting
25	Rights Act consultants with us. So that was the
	ecribers
	mine and a state of manager and a state of the

1 hopefully that clears up that a little bit.

2 Anyway, Commissioner Kennedy?

3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. This does seem to 4 be a bit confusing and not where I thought we were going. 5 First of all, I mean, it sounded like a few minutes ago, we were being asked to come out and state our feelings 6 7 about the six preconditions and the order of them. Ι 8 mean, we've sworn an oath to uphold the Constitution. 9 They're in there. They're in a particular order. We 10 don't have flexibility on that. Let's acknowledge that 11 and move on. 12 Second of all, the other day, my understanding was 13 that we were being asked to, quote unquote, put our 14 thinking caps on and come up with these general 15 principles. And so yeah, I've got my list of general 16 principles or things kind of close to general principles

17 sitting here beside me waiting for the opportunity to 18 share them. And we go right into let's visualize before 19 we even enunciate these general principles. So yeah, 20 it's been a bit confusing. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Commissioner Sinay?
COMMISSIONER SINAY: I hear where everybody is, and
I think, I get in a way we're playing in the game we're
playing right now. It's kind of what would look good,
what -- based on everything you heard, without knowing

1	the numbers, what are some of the things you want to see?
2	And if we go back to the painting analogy, this is the
3	broad we got the sketches from the community.
4	Now we're taking those sketches and trying to do
5	broad paint brushes before we go into the specific dark
6	lines. Right. And there's going to be different levels
7	and we're going to get draw a race and paint over the
8	whole thing and all that. But what I do think is
9	difficult is and this is why I kept being very
10	annoying okay, I'm going to quit saying I'm annoying.
11	The reason I was being so is I believe that
12	having the discussions that Commissioner Kennedy was
13	discussing and some of what Commissioner Toledo was
14	saying, we haven't said how do we want to play together?
15	And that's what the playbook was about. And it was and
16	we wanted to kind of put some guardrails up for
17	ourselves.
18	And right now, what you have given us and maybe it's
19	okay, but we haven't been told this is hey, we're just
20	giving you full, empty painting empty piece of paper
21	and we're going to a canvas. That's the word. Yeah,
22	you've given us an empty canvas and now you're allowing
23	us to paint. Well, we kind of wanted to talk about
24	where how do we draw? Are we going to draw within the
25	lines or outside the lines? Are we going to do it in
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	blue or there were there were little pieces we wanted
2	a new ball, have given us an empty canvas.
3	And I think just being able to tell us, okay, we're
4	give you an empty canvas and we want you to kind of throw
5	up there what you've heard from the community so that we
6	can start putting the small pieces into a bigger pieces
7	and you still will. Let's not lose the fact that we are
8	going to have this conversations about principles and
9	playbooks and all that.
10	And I think half of us are ready to jump in and
11	throw things up on the canvas. And the other half of us
12	are like, wait, but how are we going to play together?
13	What are some of the rules? What are some of the
14	parameters? And so that's what I'm hearing is just kind
15	of a confusion on the two ways.
16	And I think that you all explained visualization
17	really well in your document, and we kind of got it. But
18	even last meeting I said, well, wait, when are we talking
19	about the playbooks? When are we talking about, as
20	Commissioner Kennedy said, the principles, how are we
21	going to talk about how we're going to play together?
22	And it feels like, I understand we have a lot of
23	consultants and we have to work on their time. But I'm a
24	consultant. We need to figure out what process is going
25	to work for us, the Commissioners, and then they've got
	escribers
	and a south and 1 000 057 0005

1 to figure out how it's going to work into their time, 2 because this is a little messy right now. 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you for that summary, 4 Commissioner Sinay. It was actually very, very accurate. 5 And it really is -- and remember, we've heard everyone say be flexible. Be flexible. And we don't want to be 6 7 too specific in a playbook and lock ourselves in. We do need to have this ambiguity. But you're right, we want 8 9 to have a little bit more not quite as ambiguous. And so 10 I would go to Commissioner Yee. 11 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. Just to check in on 12 the playbook. So it's still being drafted, revised, 13 discussed and so forth. The thinking is that it would 14 not actually come into play at this stage, but rather 15 after this stage. So on the line drawing phases, after 16 the visual -- after the preliminary direction, after the 17 visualizations, and then the playbook comes into play as begin the public plans. 18 19 So we're not even planning to try to discuss and 20 finalize the playbook until probably the end of this 21 The meetings the 28th to 29th. So that's the month. 22 thinking. Now, of course, that's a bit awkward because 23 some of the direction that we're seeking to give right 24 now for visualizations overlaps with the kind of 25 considerations that would be, obviously.

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 But it's not committing ourselves to the kind of 2 playbook rules that we hope to settle eventually. Right now, it doesn't have to follow a visualization, doesn't 3 have to follow all those rules at this point. And we're 4 5 just putting ideas up for discussion. COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: 6 Thank you. 7 Ms. MacDonald? Thank you so much for calling on me. 8 MS. MACDONALD: 9 I feel like I should say that I have been available to 10 all of you twenty-four hours a day for the last I don't 11 know how many months, so I just wanted to make that 12 clear. If anybody thinks that I or their Q2 team or 13 anybody on this team has not been available, please let 14 me know because that is honestly news to me. 15 But going back to this specific topic here, I 16 understand and I appreciate all of the feedback and I 17 understand that this is -- it may feel like we're putting 18 the cart before the horse. But a lot of the playbook is 19 just very specific about really giving specific 20 directions. This is really very general just to be able 21 to get started because some of these -- some of these 22 decisions we could either wait until we have a playbook 23 and until we have the data, and then you give essentially 24 the same general direction. 25 You will have the population data already available,

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

cnper

1 but then we have to still go to that process of mapping 2 everything because just because you have the total 3 population data available doesn't necessarily mean that 4 these maps are -- or these visualizations are magically 5 appearing. Somebody still has to sit down, has to map it. 6 Ιt 7 takes some time to put this together so that you can then take a look at it and you can decide whether or not this 8 9 makes sense. You have received some conflicting 10 directions or instruction -- feedback. Sorry. Some 11 feedback in areas where people are just not in agreement. 12 I think it is worthwhile to perhaps explore that 13 again so that as soon as we get the data, we can show you 14 exactly what you want to see, which is whether or not 15 this actually works out in terms of some of the other 16 criteria. So this is really just to get started. 17 If the Supreme Court tells you that you have to have 18 a draft available on November 1, it would be very tight 19 if we were to delay this conversation. So we're really 20 just trying to just collaborate with you and do what we 21 can right now with the information that we have available 22 and to perhaps just have you talk about some of these 23 things. Like how do you how do you give direction, for 24 example. It doesn't seem like it's necessary to really 25 vote today from my perspective.

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	We're very happy just to get your opinions and just
2	hear from you what you're hearing. And if you can weigh
3	in with some general direction for us, like, for example,
4	follow the Constitution, follow the ranked criteria in
5	absence of more specific direction, that is just
6	enormously helpful and that may be a foregone conclusion
7	that we would still like to hear it from you, because we
8	basically are implementing what you would like us to do.
9	So I hope that makes sense. I apologize that I
10	couldn't magically make the data available earlier, but
11	we are just in a very strange in strange times right
12	now, so. Thanks.
13	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Thank you. That's very well
14	said.
15	Commissioner Sinay?
16	COMMISSIOENR SINAY: Am I next or was there are a
17	lot of other people in front of me?
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I just seen
19	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm ready to visualize. I
20	mean, I get what we're doing and that it's a blank and
21	so why don't you call on me after we finish this
22	conversation? Because getting that this is a blank
23	canvas, we've heard different things and it's been kind
24	of rattling in our brains. This is a time to kind of
25	say, hey, here's something I heard. Does it work? Does
	ecribers

1	it not work?
2	And so I've got some I've been listing some. And
3	I think the only piece we haven't said out loud, and I
4	don't know if we need to say to the public, is any
5	conflicts of interest we may have. And as we're drawing
6	the map, like, I'll be a first and say, hey, you know, my
7	family lives in South Bay. I grew up in South Bay, Los
8	Angeles.
9	So I've got my preconceived notions of it. And so I
10	don't know that's we need to put that out there or
11	not, but that's why I kind of keep going back to some of
12	that. But I'm ready to visualize whenever you're ready
13	to have me.
14	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Karin, do you
15	want to say something again? Oh, okay.
16	Commissioner Fernandez?
17	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. So I mean, I
18	understand the whole purpose of visualizations, and I
19	still think it's premature. But without the data, my
20	general direction would be to follow the public input
21	that we've received and not that we would have 2,500
22	different visualizations, but it'd be we had quite a
23	few that were similar, and if you stacked them together,
24	they didn't conflict with each other.
25	So that would be my I guess general direction would

1	be let's go with what the public input was and if there's
2	some conflicting information, then let's do another
3	visualization of that. And I realize that's very, very
4	general.
5	But at this point, I can't get more specific right
6	now without the data and I just want to make sure I got
7	all of my yeah, that's it. So if no specific
8	direction then follow the public input received. That
9	would be my recommendation or what I would like to see at
10	this point.
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner
12	Fernandez. That is exactly the type of thing that people
13	can say right now. Thank you.
14	Commissioner Kennedy?
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. Just thinking
16	about the scene in general. I mean, there are states
17	that started mapping, maybe even finished initial maps
18	before hard current data were out. And I just wanted to
19	ask, are there proxies? Are we in a situation where, no,
20	we don't have the final database, but we do have the
21	actual census results before the prisoner reallocations.
22	And we know that prisoner reallocation is going to
23	affect communities where the institutions are more than
24	the communities where people are being reallocated to. I
25	mean, is there a way to start this with the data that we
	ecribers
	and the second

1 already do have? Thank you.

2	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I don't have anything to say
3	on that one, unless, Karin, do you oh, Commissioner
4	Sadhwani, might just jump in about that.
5	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I mean, I will. I think
6	there are ways to start this now if we really wanted to
7	go out and explore that avenue. But that hasn't been the
8	process that we have been developing, right.
9	I mean, we could have chosen and this was
10	actually a suggestion that I had made about a year ago
11	was why don't we have some computer-generated maps based
12	on algorithms that identify the top six criteria and spit
13	them out there?
14	We could spit out, you know, a million maps that
15	way, but we have consistently said that this is a process
16	that is more like an art form that does contemplate both
17	the qualitative and the quantitative. And I think that
18	that's the process that we have the path that we have
19	gone down. And so I think that if we want to completely
20	change courses at this point in time, that that would
21	be could actually create greater challenges for us to
22	meet our deadline.
23	Given that, I think we've all kind of come to this
24	place of we've talked about visualizations October,
25	many weeks over and over, you know, since July and
	escribers

1	August. And so I if there's a desire to completely
2	change courses, I think that would be problematic and
3	would be challenging for us to actually meet our
4	deadline.
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari?
6	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I would like to defer to
7	Commissioners Sinay because I have some other
8	visualization suggestions too.
9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Commissioner Sinay,
10	do you want to jump in or are you going to jump in
11	with
12	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I didn't want to jump
13	into visualizations yet. I wanted to I'm trying to
14	help, but I'm trying to use my consultant hat in trying
15	to help us get all on the same page. We as a group,
16	we're moving into a new phase and it feels uncomfortable
17	and that's okay. And it's okay for us to keep reminding
18	ourselves, my favorite quote from Commissioner Turner was
19	when someone said, this is the first time I ever did
20	this. And she said, Yeah, it's our first time too, and
21	it is our first time. So we are supposed to feel
22	uncomfortable. And that's why they wanted a citizen
23	redistricting commission. If you're feeling too
24	comfortable, then you need to take a step back and kind
25	of figure out what you're not what you might be
	escribers

1 missing because this is uncomfortable.

2	The piece I want us to look at this a little
3	differently. Where I come from in the world of
4	philanthropy, the biggest concern that people always say
5	is they're always worried about expectations. What
6	expectations are we putting out there for the community?
7	How clear can we be for the community?
8	And what I like about this visualization piece,
9	let's take off our hats as Commissioners and line drawers
10	and put on our hats as ambassadors for the community.
11	And what I think we're really being asked to do is you
12	heard from the community, we have 2,000, 3,000 inputs
13	from the community, from what you've heard.
14	And we're not and I get a little nervous because
15	I'm like, yeah, I've heard it, but I don't know what
16	exactly. So when you say there's conflicting, I can't
17	remember exactly what the conflicts are, but what I'm
18	hearing is take as an ambassador to the community,
19	we've heard different things.
20	We want to help the community be able to go from
21	where they've given us a little bit of input for their
22	communities of interest, we don't want to jump right into
23	districts because that's going to be jarring. Let's go
24	into putting it into a map, having some visualization for
25	them to see, and then we put the data to that and say,
	escribers

1 oh, it's not doable. So now let's change it. 2 So not only are we taking baby steps moving forward, but we're also helping those who we're serving and those 3 4 who have shared their ideas and their passion about their 5 communities, helping walk them through the process so they can say that we have heard them and that we're 6 7 moving -- we're trying to visualize it. 8 And then when the data comes, they can say, okay, 9 they did listen to me. They did try. It doesn't work. 10 Okay. So it's okay to feel uncomfortable. And it's okay 11 that this isn't perfect. And it's okay not -- let this 12 be your free time to draw it -- I'm going to use the 13 drawing thing again -- and not worry about the data. 14 We're going to be really stuck on data later. But allow 15 yourself to translate what the community said to us. 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. And it's time to jump in guys. 17 I'm 18 sorry. I'm thinking, okay, map, map, map. That's 19 drawing. We're getting into it. I didn't do enough 20 preliminary discussion. Preliminary about it's time to 21 put ideas up there. It's time to voice those ideas. 22 And I think thank you all, the Commissioners for voicing that now. And we don't have hard and fast rules 23 24 yet. We're trying to see what we'd like. And 25 Commissioner Kennedy said, let's -- we want to follow the cribers

1	criteria in the Constitution. That's a direction. We
2	want to follow VRA the rules by the Constitution.
3	That's a direction. So let's jump right in and start.
4	Commissioner Fornaciari?
5	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. So before I get started, I
6	want to thank Commissioner Sinay. And I think that was
7	really, really helpful. I mean, I'm feeling
8	uncomfortable, but I'm ready to jump in so I will. And I
9	think this will be really helpful. So the direction I
10	like to give is just to reiterate, I mean, the areas that
11	appear to be that they may be VRA areas.
12	I'd like the team to explore those a little more
13	deeply and come back with identifying those areas that
14	might meet the criteria and give us an outline and give
15	us an idea of where the where those areas would be for
16	us to consider.
17	Because I think having I think taking this
18	initial step before we actually have the data to kind of
19	roughly begin have them roughly take some time to
20	roughly begin to look at those areas will really pay
21	dividends later when we have to really get down makes
22	decisions on those topics.
23	So I mean that's the direction I'd like you to give.
24	I think we heard you know maybe we'd like to some
25	people would like to see Long Beach stay together. So
	ecribers

1	maybe you can tell us what that looks like. I had one
2	more thing. Well, we are we already talked about 210,
3	north of the 210 in the mountains. So that was already
4	something we talked about. Yeah, I'll stop there.
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. Great job,
6	Commissioner Fornaciari.
7	Commissioner Sadhwani?
8	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you. And I probably
9	have lots of directions that I would love to discuss in
10	different areas. I wanted to also just chime in and
11	reiterate Commissioner Fornaciari's perspective. Thank
12	you, Commissioner Sinay. I thought that that was right
13	on.
14	And this is our first time having a business
15	meeting, nonbusiness meeting, right, where we are
16	engaging in what we've heard and beginning to engage in
17	line drawing. So it is uncomfortable. It's new. The
18	path forward is new for all of us. None of us have done
19	redistricting before. And I really appreciate those
20	comments. Thank you.
21	The piece I wanted to bring up was county lines. So
22	I think that in a lot of places, it makes sense to cross
23	county lines when we're talking about Los Angeles, even
24	though part of our criteria is, of course, being
25	cognizant of county lines. But I think we've heard
	escribers

1	testimony in some instances in which there's perhaps an
2	expectation to cross county lines, right.
3	And I'm thinking about the Pomona Valley area, also
4	sometimes considered the Inland Empire, those areas that
5	included, I believe, some parts of San Bernardino County
6	like Montclair, Ontario, Upland, Claremont, possibly
7	parts of Pomona. I think there had been other testimony
8	that had suggested Rancho Cucamonga all the way over to
9	Altadena or Pasadena that would also be crossing county
10	lines.
11	I think Los Angeles is such a highly populated
12	county that I would be hard pressed to give direction to
13	only stay within county boundaries for Los Angeles,
14	particularly given a lot of the COI testimony that we've
15	received, with the one exception, I think we've heard
16	actually that Orange County boundary feels sacred to
17	communities.
18	I think we've heard a lot of people in Orange County
19	saying don't put us with Long Beach. Similarly along, I
20	feel like we had received somewhat differing testimony
21	about some of the areas like Cerritos, Whittier, et
22	cetera, that have mixed populations. I feel like I heard
23	both. And yet at the same time some people saying we
24	really don't have anything to do with Orange County.
25	So that's for me, I think it's I'm just thinking

1	out loud here, that's one of the county line
2	considerations for me is I definitely heard crossing that
3	boundary between L.A. and Ventura, between L.A. and Kern,
4	between L.A. and San Bernardino, all seemed reasonable to
5	communities on the ground.
6	The Orange County one seemed a little bit more a
7	little less reasonable, I suppose. So in terms of
8	direction, I would say okay to go across county borders,
9	but definitely trying to see some visualizations on the
10	Orange County boundary that would keep L.A. and Orange
11	separated.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner
13	Sadhwani.
14	Commissioner Sinay?
15	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Okay. So I did
16	hear Ventura say we don't want to be part of L.A. So
17	that was another one of those county lines that and it
18	may be that that's the last one who's spoken. So that's
19	picking my mind. So I think we should when we're
20	looking at Ventura, Los Angeles, that area, the Simi
21	Valley piece is this is one of those that having a
22	visualization to understand which way Simi Valley could
23	go might be helpful. I do think that it would be
24	interesting to and maybe this is we haven't heard
25	enough from San Pedro to really understand their
	ecribers

150

1	relationship with the Los Angeles airport. Long Beach
2	port did send us their perspective and that they want to
3	be with Long Beach. And ports do give grants and work
4	closely with the communities that they're working with.
5	I know that from my work with Holland America line. But
6	San Pedro is a really diverse community that does
7	consider themselves coastal but is also does consider
8	themselves port and gateway. So I guess that's more of
9	an outreach question and it would be interesting to hear
10	more from San Pedro on what we've got a few inputs, but
11	just kind of where they see themselves.
12	For visualization, I think it would be helpful to
13	see Palos Verdes all the way up to El Segundo. Well,
14	Palos Verdes all the way up to Santa Monica, as folks
15	have requested. But I would also like to encourage us to
16	look at Palos Verdes all the way to El Segundo, including
17	all of Torrance, because the very first call we got way
18	back in September, and the only reason I remember is
19	because I'm a South Bay girl in raising, was someone from
20	Torrance saying, keep us together, you split us up.
21	And so I would like to see if we keep Torrance all
22	together because it is a community they've got the
23	small I always forget it has that small coastal piece,

- 24 but South Bay is really -- the whole City of Torrance is 25 a big part of the South Bay area. So those would be two
 - ecribers

visualizations for that coastal area. And then just the
 outreach piece for San Pedro.

3	And I think we brought them up and maybe it just
4	falls under the what Neal was saying sorry,
5	Commissioner Fornaciari was saying about the gray areas.
6	I did write down a whole list of L.A. VRA areas that came
7	up from communities of interest. I don't know if it's
8	helpful just to submit that to kind of the line drawers
9	or whomever versus me saying them verbally.
10	But there were different communities that
11	mentioned mentioned that. And the reason I'm saying
12	it might be a little different than what Commissioner
13	Fornaciari was saying is one, is what do we see with the
14	data, right, with the number data? But another is what
15	are potential VRA communities based on what we hear from
16	the community of interest data?
17	And so it would be interesting to kind of marry
18	those two so that the community can see that we heard
19	them as well as this is what the numbers say. So just
20	give me direction on how to share the list that I kind of
21	pulled up and it might not be accurate.
22	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Okay. Actually, thank you,
23	Commissioner Sinay, because I want to put you on pause
24	there for a minute. And make sure that we're now that
25	we're started rolling, we might be going a little too
	escribers

1	fast for the line drawings and line drawing. And also
2	our staff are taking notes. So I just want to clarify
3	from, jumping back to Commissioner Sadhwani, do we have
4	everything Commissioner Sadhwani said?
5	MS. CLARK: I have a really quick clarifying
6	question, Commissioner Sinay. So I guess a question that
7	I have based on your last bit about the VRA, the
8	potential VRA districts or VRA considerations pieces was
9	that could the general direction from that to be like
10	look at the VRA and also how COI inputs complement what
11	we're seeing in the data.
12	COMMISSIONER SINAY: No. To me that that's
13	different. What I'm saying is kind of look at them,
14	separate the data. Every time you look at the data,
15	that's going to numbers anytime you'll get because
16	both are data.
17	As Karin reminded us, they're both data sets. And
18	so my question is looking at those two data sets
19	separately, where are kind of the overlaps and not the
20	overlaps so that we can show that to the greater
21	community who's taken a lot of time to tell us, hey, this
22	is where we sometimes they would say, we think this is
23	a VRA or we know this is a VRA.
24	You guys need to look at VRA, but other times they
25	just said, we're an Asian community. We're fifty percent
	ecribers

	154
1	Asian and forty percent Latino. And so that's what I'm
2	saying is. I would like us to have a visualization
3	separating the two and then bringing the two together.
4	Maybe that's too much work, but that that's kind of
5	where I was at.
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Does that make sense? Do
7	you need more clarification or?
8	MS. CALRK: I think that we can figure out how to do
9	that. Yeah. Thank you.
10	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.
11	MS. CLARK: Thank you for your clarification,
12	Commissioner Sinay.
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And also, do you need any
14	clarification from Commissioner Sadhwani? No. Okay.
15	All right. Yeah, Commissioner Sinay, would you please,
16	though, if you're not too long, just go ahead and read
17	all those areas so the Commissioners have an idea of what
18	your areas you're talking about. You don't have to go
19	into them, but.
20	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sure. And this was just
21	pulling it out from my notes. So my notes weren't always
22	accurate because people spoke really fast sometimes. But
23	anyway, El Serrano, Boyle Heights and the El Serrano
24	came up as a Latino community, Boyle Heights and its
25	surrounding neighborhood as a Latino community, Inland
	escribers

1 South Bay as an Asian community.

2	And then these they asked to be together the
3	gateway they called it the Gateway Cities: Santa Fe
4	Springs, Downey, Norwalk, Cerritos, Artesia, Bellflower,
5	South Los Angeles, again, Cerritos is there and Artesia
6	as an Asian community, Southgate, Huntington, Bell, Bell
7	Gardens, Watts, Florence and other Latino communities in
8	that area.
9	Bell, Walnut Park, Vernon, Lynwood, Maywood, Bell,
10	this is the one area that I did feel that the Orange
11	County boundary you could cross was the Diamond Bar,
12	Roland, Walnut. I don't think they're all Orange County.
13	I think parts of those that's L.A. but I could be wrong
14	in my geography.
15	Baldwin Hills, Mid-City, Jefferson City, La Cienega,
16	Montebello with Pico Rivera, Whittier is Armenian.
17	That's why I was asking about the Middle East. And so
18	how are we going to pull that out? But that one was
19	Armenian. I think that was it.
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.
21	Karin?
22	MS. MACDONALD: I was wondering if I may please ask
23	for clarification from Commissioner Sadhwani and actually
24	Commissioner Sinay. So now you just actually talked
25	about that area that I wanted to clarify whether the
	escribers

156 1 Orange County boundary. So that would not be a hard line 2 that you wouldn't want us to cross. I just wanted to 3 verify. 4 Because if we may have to cross that line for 5 population purposes or so forth, then we would be able to do that. And would the direction be to cross, preferably 6 7 in those areas that Commissioner Sinay and I just 8 outlined? Does that sound right? 9 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Yeah, I think so. Um-hum. 10 MS. MACDONALD: That's great. And thank you so much 11 to both of you. And then the other note that I had was 12 on the Ventura County line. If we need to cross that 13 county line, is there -- are there some preferences about 14 where we may be able to do that or some areas where we 15 may not -- where we should not cross it. Any kind of 16 suggestions that you have are helpful here. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari, 18 where you going to answer that one or? Yes. So 19 Commissioner Fornaciari? 20 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. I mean, I think we had 21 some testimony. And I think just today, Westlake Village 22 wanted to be with Ventura County. I mean, the testimony 23 about Agoura Hills in Calabasas came really early, and I don't remember what they said. But this little Bell 24 25 Canyon thing, the only way to get in there is from L.A. cribers

1	I mean, you can't get to Ventura County from Bell
2	Canyon. So it makes sense to keep that with the San
3	Fernando Valley, I believe. I mean, I think we only
4	heard one person provide that testimony, but it's
5	surrounded by an old test site that's impassable. And so
6	I think it would make sense to keep that with the San
7	Fernando Valley.
8	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you so much. And would it be
9	okay for us to just refer to the testimony on those areas
10	and then just follow that testimony and then let you know
11	what we follow, if we're specifying or clarifying on
12	that, is that okay?
13	CHAIR FORNACIARI: That would make sense to me.
14	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, that's exactly what I
15	would think, if I was going to give a direction. I would
16	say follow the input that we've received in the areas
17	that because several reasons there are very good
18	reasons to cross county lines or cross city lines.
19	I would think the input and I would appreciate if
20	they're pointed out then in the visualizations to say,
21	here we cross the county line because this, we across the
22	city line because of that. But essentially they're all
23	the same criteria. So I'd appreciate if we could, when
24	in doubt, use the community of input and show us that.
25	Oh, okay. Commissioner Sinay?

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	Oh, I'm sorry. Go ahead, Commissioner Fornaciari.
2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I just have one more comment
3	about that area. We'll talk about that. So that's my
4	zone and I'm getting the information together. We'll
5	talk about that in more detail. And I think this is
6	partly where leads to.
7	So the step up for me is we're just talking about
8	L.A. County when there's a lot of overlapping things
9	going on outside of there, how we give them direction
10	just in this one place when we it is all affected, it
11	all affects each other.
12	But it all specifically brings back the Simi Valley,
13	Moorpark discussions and talk in detail about that and
14	what each of those individual communities is looking for.
15	And so I think that'll help inform to overlapping and
16	crossing and the Ventura County line.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you very much.
18	Actually, I did also want to bring up one point. John,
19	the mapper, said there's a lot more information about,
20	the Orange County, L.A. line. That's an area that really
21	deserves more investigation because there's a lot of
22	communities of interest across that. And so I would
23	appreciate a little bit more information about that and
24	whether that be the visualizations where in terms of we
25	end up doing this, this, this for these reasons and bring
	escribers

1	that back to us. I think that would be extremely
2	helpful.
3	MS. MACDONALD: Certainly. Commissioner Anderson,
4	thank you so much for that direction also. And that, of
5	course, is going to be the famous hand over piece that we
6	all have to have to negotiate at some point, because at
7	this point we're still the expertise lies within the
8	regional mappers and they all have to then work together
9	at some point to put one entire map together. And we
10	will focus on that and we will bring you some
11	visualizations about that area. Thank you.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.
13	Commissioner Sinay?
14	COMMISSIONER SINAY: We've heard often Please don't
15	split cities. And it feels like there was a lot of
16	cities that were split in 2011. So if there are cities
17	that are split like Torrance and Calabasas, well,
18	Calabasas is Ventura County. Sorry. But just when
19	possible, I think it's better to split counties than it
20	is to split cities. Even though we did get call in
21	asking for my city to be split in half. But on the
22	whole, let's just try not to split cities.
23	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
24	Commissioner Sadhwani?
25	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Sure. Thank you. And I would
	escribers
	of a construction of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second state of the second

1	agree with that. I mean, as a guiding principle, at
2	least where possible, to keep a city together, even if it
3	goes across county lines. I think we heard that loud and
4	clear from the people of Lancaster, for example, right,
5	who had half of the city broken in half. Though we have
6	heard in several places, I think, not just your city,
7	Commissioner Sinay, we don't want to be kept together.
8	And that's fair, too. But as a guiding principle.
9	I'd really love just to hear a little bit more from
10	other Commissioners about VRA considerations, and I know
11	we don't have that analysis at this point in time, but I
12	think one of the things and Commissioner Yee mentioned
13	this earlier in one of his questions to Mr. Becker, where
14	we're looking in broad strokes, here's the Asian
15	community, here's the Latino community, here's the black
16	community.
17	But when you know L.A., you know that those
18	communities are living together, right? And we haven't
19	really examined that overlay. And so I think the
20	direction that I want to give and I'm assuming that
21	the that our RPV analysts would do this anyway, but is
22	to pay really careful attention to how communities are
23	voting together. For example, it was just mentioned I
24	think Commissioner Siany mentioned, Cerritos is
25	somewhat is considered a Asian community.

1	And it's true, right, in the Cerritos, Norwalk area,
2	Artesia, Little India is there. There's also a very
3	large Latino community as well. And so I'm really
4	curious to get that analysis of how and when do we
5	maximize one community over another, perhaps? I don't
6	think that's necessarily the right terminology.
7	But where one community is more likely to elect a
8	candidate of their choice, I think not only in that area,
9	but also certainly when it comes to South L.A. I want to
10	see a number of options. I think we've heard loud and
11	clear from communities to please protect the voting power
12	of the black community.
13	One of the key pieces about South L.A., however, is
14	that it's, of course, changing rapidly. And so I think I
15	really want to make sure that we see what our options are
16	there to maintain the voting power for the black
17	community in that region across all of those districts.
18	And exactly how that plays out without packing into one
19	where it could possibly be two districts.
20	I know that's not very specific, but I want to be
21	clear that I do want to see varying options that are
22	available to us. Similarly, we have had a few calls, not
23	a lot, but a few about Koreatown and the fact that it has
24	been split numerous time, I believe, over multiple
25	districts from 2010 and really having I don't know
	ecribers
	and the second

1	whether or not an analysis was done of Koreatown by The
2	State Commission ten years ago, but I certainly think
3	it's worth our while this time around.
4	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
5	Karin?
6	MS. MACDONALD: Yeah. I was just wondering,
7	Commissioner Sadhwani, if I may clarify that direction
8	about the black areas and so forth in that in that region
9	there. Would it be okay to for us to follow the VRA
10	attorney's input that we may have that we may have
11	access to when we're looking at these areas with
12	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Absolutely.
13	MS. MACDONALD: Would that be acceptable?
14	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Yes.
15	MS. MACDONALD: Okay.
16	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: I think that that should be
17	the expectation.
18	MS. MACDONALD: Thank you.
19	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: I think you want to just pay
20	really careful attention. And I'd be curious to hear
21	from other Commissioners also on this issue, just about
22	ensuring that we aren't overly packing those districts
23	when we develop them.
24	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm going to also, it's
25	time for all Commissioners to say a little something or
	escribers
	na na na visi n

	163
1	other. So if you don't start raising your hands, I'm
2	going to call on you. So I know you've heard something
3	or other, so speak up.
4	And it could be that you'd like to see the different
5	racial voting maps over an area or something like that.
6	But it's time to get a few ideas out here. So who's
7	going be the first guinea pig? I don't see any hands.
8	So I'm just looking through it.
9	Commissioner Yee, you happen to be the first one I
10	see. Wait, wait. I'm sorry. I take that back. I see
11	hands.
12	COMMISSIONER YEE: I think Commissioner Kennedy was
13	first.
14	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy?
15	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. I have spent over
16	the years a fair amount of time in both Chinatown and
17	Little Tokyo, and I was persuaded by the testimony the
18	other day that it makes sense to group Chinatown, Little
19	Tokyo, and as much of the core of downtown as can be
20	joined with those two.
21	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
22	Commissioner Yee?
23	COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. So we had quite a bit of
24	testimony about the foothill communities and the San
25	Gabriel Mountains and quite a few people wanting to stay
	eribers
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 connected with the parks in the mountains. So that is 2 indeed the various cities in the San Gabriel Valley, the 3 large Asian populations there. So how do those two 4 concerns relate to each other -- the Asian communities' 5 populations vis-à-vis the foothill communities and the 6 parks. 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you. 8 MS. CLARK: If I may? I'm so sorry to interject. 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Go right ahead. 10 MS. CLARK: Thank you. Thank you to all the 11 Commissioners who are giving preliminary direction for 12 line drawing. Commissioner Yee, could you please -- is 13 there any specific direction that you have about this 14 area of the San Gabriel Valley and the foothills area? 15 Or I should rephrase part of me -- not necessarily 16 specific direction, but I'm wondering if you could turn 17 the observation you made into a direction, please. 18 COMMISSIONER YEE: Could we see two different -- I 19 don't know. I'm trying to figure out -- they overlap 20 geographically in what they address. So I'm wondering --21 I don't know. Would one visualization show how one would 22 emphasize one versus the other? Would that be the kind 2.3 of direction that would help? 24 MS. CLARK: Absolutely. Thank you so much. 25 COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. Thank you. cribers

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I had Commissioner Vazquez 2 up there. 3 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Pass. I'm still formulating 4 my thought. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani? 6 7 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Sure. I was just going to offer as a possibility for Commissioner Yee. I had the 8 9 same concern and consideration, right. And certainly I 10 understand the desire of the community to keep together a foothill district. And I heard that loud and clear given 11 12 the potential VRA considerations, however, for the Asian-13 American community. 14 I'm also wondering what it might look like to have 15 communities kind of coming northward from out of the San 16 Gabriel Valley and all the way northward. I do also --17 I'm curious to hear what others thoughts are. In hearing 18 some of the testimony, this is not direction. This is 19 just thoughts. 20 And I'd be curious to hear some general feedback from commissioners. And I'm sure from the public, while 21 22 I understand the environmental concerns and we certainly 23 heard these are recreational areas that people use, I 24 think given that, my sense is that, you know, whether 25 this ends up being one full district from Altadena to cribers

1	Rancho Cucamonga or two districts or three districts,
2	right, my sense is that so long as it's not broken up too
3	much, that you would get representation that is
4	responsive to the needs of the mountain communities.
5	I mean, the same could also be true for the coastal
6	communities that perhaps if we're not able to keep those
7	cities that lie along a major natural resource such as
8	mountains or ocean, that doesn't mean that we have to
9	break them into 1,000 different districts.
10	It could just be one, two, or three in some of these
11	instances I wanted to offer that from a direction
12	standpoint. I think definitely seeing I agree with
13	Commissioner Yee that seeing the VRA component and how
14	that might impact the formation of perhaps two to three
15	districts along the mountain range.
16	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
17	And Commissioner Taylor?
18	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. Maybe I can add a
19	little bit to that conversation. I think as it relates
20	to those foothill communities and that 210 corridor,
21	everything has been visualized as east to west. And I
22	guess if we're looking at possible iterations, is there a
23	way to respect those communities and maybe think of that
24	as north to south as well, going slightly south of the
25	210 freeway.

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	Because you still hit some of those communities that
2	have these VRA considerations and AAPI and you still can
3	keep that community intact. It just might create
4	multiple districts, if you think of it from north to
5	south as well.
6	So I think I would like to be able to see or sense
7	what would happen if we had it considered both east to
8	west and north to south. But it's been described mostly
9	to us as east to west and north of the 210. And those
10	cities, Arcadia, Temple City, it goes into Monterey Park,
11	that is a Monrovia that is a northeast, south
12	direction.
13	And those are still the same people that use the
14	recreation of those foothill communities when you think
15	of it East or West, if that helps any and maybe sort of
16	addresses what Russell and Sara were speaking to. Thank
17	you.
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Commissioner
19	Taylor.
20	Commissioner Sadhwani, were you also saying
21	something? No? Okay.
22	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: I'm just nodding in agreement
23	with Commissioner Taylor.
24	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Vazquez?
25	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah. I think I'm on the
	escribers

1 same page about wanting to see a north to south versus an 2 east to west visualization. I'll just say with what's on 3 my mind -- so this isn't direction, but a consideration 4 I'm looking at is foothill communities are -- tend to be 5 very different from sort of the valley communities, 6 particularly in terms of income.

7 And just want it -- particularly when you overlay 8 sort of income and then racial voting interests thinking 9 about just how we may be with different tradeoffs, like 10 maybe privileging higher income communities with 11 particular districts depending on those populations.

So I think having the contrast of like the north to south, east to west, my consideration will sort of be just thinking about how similar is a community that is structured from north to south. How similar is that community really? So yeah, just again, that's thoughts, not direction.

18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Those count too.
19 Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I did forget. I did miss some of the subgroups in my list. And when I looked back we did hear that in Pasadena there, the Lincoln Corridor in Pasadena is a strong Latino community. And then the other one was the 210 corridor was a strong Asian community.

cnber

1	But I don't have exactly where but it struck me
2	when we're talking about the 210 and the foothill the
3	foothill community the community of interest that
4	we've heard, we've heard a lot from the foothill
5	communities. But then also, what does it mean the 210
6	corridor or how deep do the corridors go?
7	And so I think that was kind of addressed by what
8	Commissioner Taylor and Commissioner Vazquez brought up
9	because there will be complex and I still don't know
10	how much we're supposed to going back to what
11	Commissioner Vazquez brought up is our personal biases.
12	How do we put them on the table so we know what our
13	biases are and how do we and the communities of
14	interest that have called us?
15	There has been some biases that if you go a little
16	deeper, you can maybe understand what the biases and one
17	of the so those conversations I feel like we still
18	need to have as well so that we know how to look at all
19	of this data that we have.
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner
21	Sinay.
22	One thing that we which I forgot to mention, we
23	are going to have we're taking these directions, we're
24	writing them down our staff is also helping write this
25	down. But we might do a quick read back just to make

1 sure that what is being written down is what we intend to 2 be said. So just some clarification because I don't want us 3 4 to run out, oh, here we are at the break again. So if we 5 could -- if we could kind of do that from either the staff or from the line drawers, and then we could 6 7 continue on with areas because I know there are a lot of 8 areas through Los Angeles that we could continue on with. 9 Is that okay from -- I don't know who wants to go first if the line drawers or the staff would like to. 10 MS. MACDONALD: I think that would be a staff. 11 12 Yeah. Marcy's already on. 13 MS. KAPLAN: So let me just close with I think we 14 start -- everyone started to get the hang of this a 15 little bit more after the break. And so it might be 16 easier for Kim to help with the recap right now on that. 17 We do have the notes from earlier, but we're just going 18 back to pull from some of the closed captioning to make 19 sure we're getting from before the break a little bit 20 more accurately. 21 So Kim, if you can help with since we came back from 22 break think we've been working together on this a little 2.3 bit more to do a bit more of that recap since we came 24 back from break. 25 COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you very much. cribers

170

	171
1	And again, we we're sort of evolving through this. We
2	get a little easier and better. I appreciate everyone's
3	indulgence on this.
4	MS. KAPLAN: Okay.
5	MS. BRIGGS: Do I have to appear on camera? Let me
6	just set up real quick.
7	MS. KAPLAN: It's okay. You don't need your camera.
8	Go ahead. Unless you want it. Go ahead.
9	MS. BRIGGS: Okay. From after break, one brought up
10	from Commissioner Toledo, three requests excuse me,
11	Andersen. We've heard several people say Diamond Bar and
12	Walnut together. That's one. Some have said Diamond
13	Bar, Walnut, and Chino Hills together. The third one,
14	some said Diamond Bar, Walnut, and West Covina together.
15	I have from Commissioner Sinay for South Bay, are
16	there any conflicts of interest? From Commissioner
17	Fornaciari, I have three visualizations. One, explore a
18	little more deeply and come back by identifying VRA areas
19	for L.A. County. Two, keeping Long Beach together.
20	Three, identifying the area north of mountains, the 210
21	corridor.
22	From Commissioner Sadhwani, I have keeping Pomona
23	Valley, the Inland Empire, some parts of San Bernardino,
24	Upland, Rancho Cucamonga together for the first one. The
25	second one for Orange County, not including with Long
	ecribers

1	Beach. The third, Cerritos, Whittier, and mixed
2	populations not wanting to be with Orange County. The
3	fourth, if you have to cross borders, it makes sense for
4	L.A. and Kern and L.A. and San Bernardino. But for L.A.
5	County and O.C., don't cross borders.
6	Commissioner Sinay, I have Ventura County do not put
7	with L.A. The next visualization from Sinay, Palos Verde
8	to Santa Monica. The next visualization, Palos Verdes to
9	El Segundo, including Torrance. The next one, L.A. VRA
10	areas including the standard definition. And then what
11	comes what came from COI submissions in areas like
12	Sereno, Boyle Heights, and surrounding areas, Santa Fe
13	Springs, Bellflower she listed a few. I'm sorry. I
14	need to go back into those.
15	From Commissioner Fornaciari, visualization request
16	for Ventura County Line. You can't get to Ventura from
17	Bell Canyon, so it makes sense to keep Bell Canyon with
18	San Fernando Valley.
19	Commissioner Sinay, try not to split cities.
20	Commissioner Sadhwani, follow Voting Rights Act
21	attorney input that members may have access to when
22	looking at these areas, make sure not to overly pack
23	these districts when you develop.
24	From Commissioner Kennedy, group Chinatown, Little
25	Tokyo, and as much of the core of downtown as can be

1 joined with these two.

Commissioner Yee, for the mountains and the foothill
communities with the Asian community try to create
boundaries that keep Asian communities together but
respecting the foothill boundaries. Commissioner Yee
clarified needing to draw two to three districts along
the 10 mountain range.
Commissioner Taylor for the 210 corridor and the San
Gabriel Valley is considered east to west and also north
to south.
Commissioner Sinay was speaking on the 210 corridor
noting the strong Asian community there and just wanting
clarification on how deep the definition of the 210
corridor.
That's what I have. Please let me know if I missed
some.
MS. KAPLAN: And just let me add, there was a
couple thank you so much, Kim. There were there were
a couple of the general direction also. So Commissioner
Fernandez provided when there's no specific direction to
follow the public input that we've received.
And then, Commissioner Andersen had noted there are
good reasons to cross county lines or cross city lines.
And just to point that out in the visualizations here, we
cross the county line because of this or because of that.
escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 When in doubt, use the community of input and show us 2 that. And then also Commissioner Andersen highlighted 3 4 getting more information about when John, the mapper, had 5 noted information about the Orange County, L.A. line to highlight that in visualizations as well. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you. And there 8 were some others from before. But I'm going to call on 9 Commissioner Kennedy right now. 10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. Just to say that 11 my recollection is that we would need to add Rowland 12 Height and Hacienda Heights to the Diamond Bar and Walnut 13 visualization. Thank you. 14 MS. CLARK: Thank you so much. And if I may, 15 Commissioner Andersen, just summarize from before the 16 break --17 COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Thank you. 18 MS. CLARK: -- the initial -- thank you. So before 19 the break, we also heard about -- and which was primarily 20 and almost exclusively about northern L.A. County and 21 surrounding areas, keeping Antelope Valley together, 22 trying to -- sort of exploring Antelope Valley plus areas 23 north in Kern County. Antelope Valley, plus the Victor 24 Valley area, also starting in northeast corner of L.A. 25 County, moving sort of southwest to include all of

cribers

	175
1	Antelope Valley and Santa Clarita Valley and then trying
2	options with Simi Valley and without Simi Valley.
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great.
4	Commissioner Sadhwani?
5	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. This is just thank
6	you for that recap, Jaime. I mean, this is a question
7	for Commissioners. I know we're often thinking about
8	that area of the Antelope Valley with Santa Clarita,
9	maybe Simi Valley, maybe parts of Kern.
10	And I'm just trying to recall, I feel like we did
11	have minimal amounts, but some testimony which considered
12	connecting the Antelope Valley to parts of San Bernardino
13	and the high desert areas. I mean, is that something
14	others think that we should potentially explore as a as
15	an option?
16	I don't have a strong sense on that, but maybe I
17	know, Commissioner Kennedy, I know is one of the outreach
18	leads for this in Bernardino area. I don't know if that
19	was a larger consideration.
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy, please
21	go right ahead.
22	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thanks. Yeah, I mean, I've
23	driven between the Antelope Valley and the Victor Valley.
24	It's pretty desolate there in between but the physical
25	geography is very similar. And I think some of the
	ecribers
	이 이 가지 않는 것은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있다.

175

1	some of the environmental concerns are going to be
2	similar. So yeah, I would certainly be interested in
3	seeing a visualization that did combine the Antelope
4	Valley with Victor Valley. Thanks.
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I was going to say
6	the same. But Commissioner Vazquez?
7	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yeah, I agree. And it just
8	was my experience that both of those communities, they
9	have a similar sort of orientation to the rest of their
10	respective county. Is this sort of like, oh, Antelope
11	Valley is very much we are not like those people on
12	the other side of the hill like we are the Antelope
13	Valley.
14	And I think somewhat similarly sort of folks in the
15	Victor Valley don't they're high desert community, a
16	bit more rural, much more spread out, suburban, et
17	cetera. And we are not like the suburbs in the hills or
18	in the valley down in San Bernardino. So I would like to
19	see what that might look like if we combine these two
20	communities.
21	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
22	Commissioner Fornaciari?
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Ms. Clark, can you turn on the
24	geography again and kind of zoom in that area that you
25	have with those two valleys together?
	escribers

176

1 MS. CLARK: One moment, please.

2 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Please.

3	MS. CLARK: I'm going to zoom in first because the
4	terrain can slow down the map a lot, so. So there's
5	double city layers because the terrain layer has the name
6	of the city as well as, of course, the city layer we use
7	in Maptitude. But this general area is the Antelope
8	Valley. This is the L.A. County line, and this general
9	area is Victor Valley. And I'll zoom out a little bit.
10	So again, this area, Antelope Valley, Victor Valley.
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you very much.
12	The terrain layer really helps. I have Commissioner
13	Vazquez?
14	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Sorry. No.
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.
16	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: I forgot to put my hand down.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm sorry.
18	Commissioner Taylor?
19	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah. So I agree with
20	Commissioner Kennedy, Commissioner Vazquez. I think we
21	have to explore those options. Although the geography on
22	both the far north, when we think of the high desert and
23	the end of Palmdale, Lancaster, Antelope Valley is much
24	similar to how it is on the south with Simi Valley and
25	Santa Clarita.

1	There's similarities between those community
2	members, although there's geography that separates those
3	two. So where do you add or subtract in? Do you add or
4	subtract in the bottom? Which communities have more
5	similarities to each other? Which one are we hearing
6	input that they should be included with as opposed to
7	excluded with?
8	So I think we have to look at both ways because we
9	have to see whether it's more appropriate to fit it in in
10	the far north, in the high desert or fit it in down
11	south, further down south in Santa Clarita or Simi
12	Valley.
13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner
14	Taylor. That's exactly what visualizations are all
15	about.
16	Jaime?
17	MS. CLARK: Yeah. Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.
18	And we have noted that all of the options will be
19	visualizations for your consideration.
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
21	Commissioner Sadhwani?
22	VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Yeah. This is just another
23	conversation piece for us, really, not necessarily
24	direction. But I think one as I'm looking at these
25	regions and just what I generally know about them,
	escribers

there's also, I think, some socioeconomic differences
 between them.

5 with a real c 6 to places lik	h from Victor Valley and the Antelope Valley oncern about services that they have to go e Santa Clarita to access services that ble necessarily in their own communities. ink that that there's multiple ways to think
6 to places lik	e Santa Clarita to access services that ble necessarily in their own communities.
	ble necessarily in their own communities.
7 aren't availa	ink that that there a multiple wave to think
8 And I th	The chat that there s multiple ways to think
9 about that, r	ight. And that's not a direction in terms
10 of line drawi	ng, but in terms of decision making that
11 we'll have to	make at a future point in time is do you
12 can therefore	connect those places that are concerned
13 about the lac	k of services with where those services are?
14 Or if bo	th of these areas are lacking services, do
15 we keep them	together so that they can maximize their
16 representatio	n and hopefully drive more services to them?
17 So again, I t	hink I absolutely agree with Commissioner
18 Taylor. We no	eed to see multiple options here. We've had
19 a ton of call	s with varying kinds of testimony for this
20 region. And	I think I'm excited to see what the
21 possibilities	will be.
22 COMMISSI	ONER ANDERSEN: Absolutely. Thank you,
23 Commissioner	Sadhwani.
24 Commissi	oner Taylor, do you have another comment?
25 Okay. I'm no	t hearing anything.

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

179

1	Then, Commissioner Sinay?
2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: We're up against a break here.
3	So Commissioner Sinay, do you want to go now or do you
4	want to wait?
5	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think mine's quick, but I can
6	wait as well. So Chair, you tell me.
7	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Go ahead and we'll break
8	afterward.
9	COMMISSIONER SINAY: So I had an aha moment when one
10	of the people called in from the Imperial Valley that
11	sometimes people will call in and say, hey, let's keep
12	looking at the Los Angeles the Los Angeles forest, for
13	instance. People will say, keep that all together. But
14	they won't say what type of district what type of
15	district.
16	And I think what's important is, is that a state
17	park or is that a federal park or is that a county park?
18	And so there'll be different times when something someone
19	said is pertinent base for a Congressional District, but
20	not for an Assembly District. That was just because the
21	comment in Imperial County was I hear people saying we're
22	a border region, but the border is federally is
23	important federally. So to look at it for federally.
24	But when it comes to the state.
25	Yeah. So I just bring that up and I don't know how

1	to share that as, as help. But I think as we are
2	drafting the different map, if you can visualize the ones
3	that are pertinent based on is it a state or is it a
4	federal issue?
5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
6	Chair, could I just before we do break, I just want
7	to see if the line drawing team do you have enough for
8	about this area? Are you planning on coming back with us
9	or are we saying goodbye to you until tomorrow?
10	MS. MACDONALD: From our perspective, we certainly
11	have enough. And I just wanted to say thank you to all
12	of you for working with us on this. I know it may have
13	felt painful and maybe it was too painful, but this was
14	enormously helpful. And we really appreciate it. And we
15	can't wait to bring you some visualizations back. So
16	from our perspective, I think we will be fine. Thank
17	you.
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. Well, thank you very
19	much. And we'll say goodbye to our line drawing team and
20	I pass it back to you, Commissioner Fornaciari, to take
21	us to break finally.
22	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. I just want to reiterate,
23	thanks to the line drawing team, the staff for taking
24	notes. And yeah, everybody, the VRA attorneys, everybody
25	for help with this. And we're looking forward to picking
	eribers

	102
1	it back up tomorrow. Let's see, we'll go to break right
2	now and be back at 3:10.
3	(Whereupon, a recess was held)
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Well, thank you. Welcome back,
5	California. So we are going to transition from our
6	discussion of visualizations to our business meeting this
7	week. Is Anthony there at this point? Okay. I will
8	make an executive decision that we are Katy, we're
9	going to get a public comment and on the visualization
10	discussions that we just had.
11	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. In order to
12	maximize transparency and public participation in our
13	process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment
14	by phone on the visual visualization and mapping
15	exercises of this morning. No? Sorry. To call in, dial
16	the telephone number provided on the livestream feed. It
17	is 877-853-5247.
18	When prompted, enter the meeting ID number provided
19	on the livestream feed. It is 88286102051 for this
20	meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID simply
21	press the pound key. Once you have dialed in, you'll be
22	placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment,
23	please press star 9. This will raise your hand for the
24	moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you will hear
25	a message that says the host would like you to talk to
	escribers

1 press star 6 to speak.

2	If you would like to give your name, please state
3	and spell it for the record. You're not required to
4	provide your name to give public comment. Please make
5	sure to mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent
6	any feedback or distortion during your call.
7	Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when
8	it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down
9	the livestream volume. And we don't have anyone in the
10	queue at this time. And we will let you know when the
11	instructions are complete.
12	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you, Katy.
13	MR. MANOFF: And those instructions are complete on
14	the stream, Chair.
15	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thanks, Kristian. Wait for
16	Another half a minute or so just to make sure anybody's
17	trying to call in now. There's chance. So just to let
18	folks know where we're headed, we're going to go into
19	item number 3 now. Looks like we have a caller.
20	So Katie?
21	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
22	Caller 2829, if you will please follow the prompts
23	to unmute by pressing star 6 at this time. And the floor
24	is yours.
25	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Hello, this is Renee Westa-Lusk. I
	ejcribers

1	have a question regarding the pin map. What are actually
2	the purpose of the pin map? Is it to show where public
3	input came from in that geographical area, or is there
4	other purposes of the pin maps.
5	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So nominally, yes. It is to show
6	the geographic so the pin is centered on the
7	geographical centroid of the area where the of the
8	community of interest. Okay? So it's not necessarily
9	where the input came from. It's pinned where the center
10	of the community of interest input is the center of
11	the map. Does that make sense?
12	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay.
13	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So if you live in Ridgecrest and
14	you give me an interesting point about Kern County, the
15	whole of Kern County, the pin would be in the center of
16	Kern County, not in Ridgecrest, if that makes sense.
17	Does that that help?
18	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yes. And then what do you do with
19	state wide input that's from statewide organizations
20	that's just giving you feedback advice, but they're not
21	giving testimony about any particular region or part of
22	California?
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So if it's just advice on how we
24	run or run the Commission that goes in the public input
25	area. But if it's advice on maps or on communities of
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	interest and that kind of thing, that would be included
2	in our communities of interest input. And I just want to
3	let you know that we did receive your email today. Thank
4	you very much for that.
5	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. Okay. I still have some
6	other corrections to send you, but I haven't had time to
7	type them up.
8	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, we appreciate that.
9	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. I'll be sending them in the
10	next couple of days.
11	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, thanks for calling.
12	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. Okay. Thank you.
13	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Take care. Okay. Well, I don't
14	see any callers anymore colors at this point. So we
15	will go into agenda item number 3, Director Reports, so
16	Director Hernandez?
17	MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. And good
18	afternoon, Commissioners and Californians. I will keep
19	my report very short today. I want to talk about the
20	meeting schedule. There have been a few changes that I
21	wanted to point out. And I'll be looking at the agenda
22	further after today's this week's meetings to see where
23	we can make additional changes where we need to make
24	additional changes.
25	For example, September 23rd meeting will begin at 1

1	p.m. instead of 4 p.m. The September 28th meeting will
2	be moved to start at 11 a.m. and go till 6 p.m., and the
3	September 29th meeting will remain the same, 9:30 to
4	4:30. But again, I'll be updating the meeting schedule
5	soon.
6	COI input meetings I posted on our website. A
7	summary of our COI input meetings. The total number of
8	speakers that we had for all COI input meetings. If you
9	recall, or if I recall correctly, Commissioner Kennedy
10	indicated that we were in the neighborhood of about 1,200
11	speakers.
12	He was very close, but we actually exceeded that
13	amount and we're at 1,340 speakers. 705 of those were
14	scheduled with an appointment and 635 called in during
15	the meetings. So that information is available on the
16	website for you to take a look at.
17	In regards to our database, I think as mentioned
18	earlier by Chair Fornaciari that our website or our
19	database is updated through August 31st. Our staff is
20	continuing to update and upload information, as
21	Commissioner Ahmad mentioned, from hour to hour it
22	changes, there's more being added. So we are making sure
23	that we're removing duplicates, that we're providing map
24	delineations for those that are submitted in letters and
25	do not have a specific map delineation.

1	But we can make one out of it based on their input
2	and then making sure that we're adding our meeting notes,
3	the testimony provided at the meetings, the last few
4	meetings in September. So we're working on those things
5	as we speak. That is the extent of my report for today.
6	Any questions?
7	Commissioner Kennedy?
8	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Kennedy?
9	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just checking to see the
10	status of the transcripts and the hosting of the 2010
11	site.
12	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. In regards to the transcripts,
13	we are finding out that all of the transcribers have had
14	challenges due to COVID. So we are working with our
15	vendor as much as possible to get the transcripts that
16	are needed. We have a spreadsheet that lists those that
17	we do not have. And we're trying to work with them to
18	get them to us as timely as possible.
19	But again, we're finding out that other transcribers
20	are finding themselves in a similar situation where they
21	can't keep up because everyone seems to have gone to Zoom
22	type meetings. And so we're finding that challenge to be
23	very difficult to overcome at this point. In regards to
24	the I believe it was regarding the 2010 website, if I'm
25	not mistaken, we're still looking into the options that
	escribers
	AN AD ADD THE

1	we have for archiving that data, that website, I should
2	say. Yes?
3	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Has contact been made with
4	The State Archives about their taking over the posting of
5	that 2010 database? I mean, it seems appropriate. I
6	understand that there are budget issues on our end, but
7	there is certainly active interest among Californians in
8	having access to the materials that were available on
9	that website.
10	The other thing is if you go to the dot ca dot gov,
11	the old website, so much of the content some of the
12	content is accessible if you search for it, but it's not
13	accessible if you try to follow if you try to click on
14	tabs and follow a trail. We really need to resolve this.
15	Californians need and want access to this. And we need
16	to find a way to make it happen sooner rather than later.
17	Thank you.
18	MR. HERNANDEZ: We're continuing to look into that.
19	As I mentioned before, we've had some challenges with the
20	accessibility. We have to meet certain standards for a
21	website. That's one piece of it. And then also having
22	that website of basically living in a specific location
23	where it still has a functionality. So those are the
24	challenges that we're exploring with them as well as
25	others.

1 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So we have made contact with 2 The State Archives? MR. HERNANDEZ: We have made contact on our 3 4 continuing conversations on how to do so --5 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. MR. HERNANDEZ: -- how to move forward with it. 6 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Sadhwani? 8 9 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Thank you. I just wanted to 10 really appreciate Commissioner Kennedy and his continuing 11 to raise this. I mean, we have heard loud and clear from 12 the public. And so I just really appreciate you not 13 letting that slip through the cracks. I think I probably 14 would have. So I really appreciate that. 15 I'm wondering, Alvaro, is there a particular staff 16 member that's working on this issue? And if not, can we 17 maybe just assign someone to really take this on as their 18 project? 19 MR. HERNANDEZ: Now, there is a specific staff 20 member assigned to this issue and has been since the 21 beginning. Again, countless calls, countless emails. 22 And that's kind of where we are right now, unfortunately. 2.3 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Okay. Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If I could follow up just by 25 repeating something that I've said in relation to other cribers

1 issues. I praised the outreach team the other day and 2 said, it'd be really great if we were getting regular 3 reports that really conveyed to us the extent of their 4 work. Because I was hearing things that I was really 5 thrilled with. But I was I was a bit disappointed that 6 we hadn't heard about them before.

7 And I guess I'm feeling in some ways the same about 8 this. I appreciate your desire to keep your report 9 short, but I don't feel like we're getting the level of 10 information that we need on an ongoing basis. Thank you. 11 It's a fair point. CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. Ι 12 think that I will just echo that too. The information we 13 got from the field leads was really, really impressive in 14 that -- in just more opportunity, provide more insight into what's happening. This will provide the entire 15 16 Commission with better understanding what the staff are dealing with. So thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. Any 17 18 more questions for Director Hernandez? Okay. 19 Director Ceja? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, wait. Can I --20 21 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Fernandez? 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And maybe -- yeah, that's 23 okay. I'm number 7 of 8, so I get ignored a lot. 24 Anyway, just to follow up on what you just said, Chair, 25 as well as Commissioner Kennedy, when I was on the school cribers

1 board, the board members always had questions of follow 2 up. So what we did is we actually had like a running 3 4 list of everything that we had asked and then the 5 superintendent, they would follow up every meeting to update us instead of us having to ask for that 6 7 information because it's still pending, it would be the superintendent would bring that up. 8 9 So I'm just asking if Director Hernandez can put this on his list in terms of the 2010 website as well as 10 11 status of transcripts to just be something that is -- and 12 also the COI paper tool now that I'm on that -- now that 13 I'm on a roll, if we can just put that as part of your 14 report, that would be great. Thank you. 15 CHAIR FORNACIARI: 16 Well, since you brought it up, the COI paper tool. 17 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. I will share where we are 18 with that. So we had to go back to the Office of State 19 Printing to make some additional changes. The U.S. Post 20 Office did not like our first iteration, and we thought 21 it was good to go. It wasn't. We've sent it back. And 22 Office of State printing is working on that to get it 2.3 back to the U.S. Post Office. We can't move forward 24 until the U.S. Post Office gives the green light. Then 25 we can go to print and start shipping out the

1 information.

2	We do have a list of all the different groups that
3	we're going to be sending out the COIs to, including the
4	prisons, county sheriffs, different entities, law
5	enforcement entities that we're sending it out to, as
6	well as public libraries and others. So that is the
7	status. So I apologize and I will continue to provide
8	this information as requested, whether there is action on
9	it or not.
10	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Sinay? You're
11	muted.
12	COMMISSIONER SINAY? Sorry. I lowered my hand, but
13	I didn't unmute. At what point do we decide that
14	investing in the paper tool is for not? Or are we
15	looking at it as a marketing tool that will raise
16	awareness that this is available for next time?
17	I mean, the we're getting very, very close
18	because then it's going to take a couple of weeks to get
19	it printed and get it out to folks. And then yeah, we
20	can tell them they have a two-week deadline, but it takes
21	them a couple of weeks to get it out. So now we're a
22	month out and then processing it, we've got to input it
23	all into our database. So I do want us to think through
24	when we have to cut our losses and put it into lessons
25	learned.

1 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Is that something the Materials 2 Committee is going to think about? 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I have a response, Chair. 4 Is that okay? 5 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. My hands kind of up. 6 7 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. I'm sorry. 8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's okay. 9 CHAIR FORNACIARI: That's not in my line of sight. 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's all right. My suggestion would be to possibly have the outreach staff 11 12 reach back out to California Department of Corrections, 13 as well as the youth detention and the sheriffs, and see 14 if we can get those paper COI -- paper or whatever you 15 call them, paper COI out to those facilities and then 16 have them mail it back to us instead of waiting for the 17 post office to tell us what the correct coding is. 18 Because I agree, I mentioned this last time too, is 19 we are down to the wire and it's been since probably 20 three or four months we've been waiting for this to be 21 finalized. So to say it's been frustrating is probably 22 putting it mildly at this point. Thanks. 23 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Turner? 24 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. And to add to that, 25 perhaps it will go without saying, but because of the few cribers

1 months delay when we look at should it still go to print,
2 hopefully we're looking at a reduced amount and not the
3 same amount because we wouldn't expect the same response
4 for this last minute as opposed to it's been out there
5 all along. So just another thought when we're -- if
6 we're able to go to print still.

7 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, yeah. Thanks for 8 that input and thought. And I guess I just ask the 9 Materials Committee to consider that and coordinate with, 10 Commissioner Fernandez, with your suggestions?

11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, in terms of the 12 materials, we've already passed it on to the outreach and 13 to the executive director. So our piece of it is done in 14 terms of coming up with the language and the document. 15 And that's what I meant when I said for the -- we've 16 handed off about three months ago. So there isn't --17 CHAIR FORNACIARI: So who's going to make the 18 I mean, who should be the decision maker as to decision? 19 whether we need to cut our losses, I guess, is my 20 question.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, hopefully when they report next time, we'll have further information. Also, as I mentioned, I would recommend that we reach out directly to corrections and the other contacts that we gave -- provided and see if they can mail that back to us

cribers

	195
1	instead of having a postage return postage
2	information. I don't want to
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
4	COMMISSIOENR FERNANDEZ: speak for them, but I
5	don't think that it would be too difficult for them to
6	do. So I'm thinking there's something we can move
7	forward with it without the postage, I believe. But we
8	just have to reach out to the specific agencies.
9	CHAIR FORNACIARI: But Marcy I mean, Director
10	Kaplan, that seem doable?
11	MS. KAPLAN: Yes. And I can have Alvaro elaborate
12	on this, because we have been working with them to look
13	at alternative solutions for return. So we have been in
14	communication in regards to that exact topic,
15	Commissioner Fernandez.
16	Alvaro, if you want to share a little bit more?
17	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. And one of the alternatives is
18	that we ship them the information without the envelope to
19	return or an empty envelope for them to put the document
20	in. And they return it in a box that we provide to them,
21	along with a shipping label that they send back to us.
22	So trying to expedite that process along with a cover
23	letter on how that should be sent back to us. So that is
24	something that we're definitely looking at to move
25	forward with for the prison industries at this point.
	escribers

CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Akutagawa then
 Commissioner Sinay.

3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I appreciate 4 everything that's been done. And I can definitely feel 5 and share the frustration that the Materials Committee, I think Commissioner Fernandez and Kennedy have had. 6 Ι 7 have a concern and I just want to perhaps see whether or not this is something that others would be agreeable to. 8 9 I think based on some of our other experiences, 10 we're looking at the -- at other entities -- other 11 agencies to play along with us in a way. And our 12 capacity or our ability to speed things along is going to 13 be only as fast as the others who are, A, willing to 14 respond and B, willing to work within the timeline that 15 we have, which is quickly, as Commissioners Sinay and I 16 had said, closing on us.

And so I think just so that this doesn't go on indefinitely, I think we should determine what is a date that at which point, if we don't have any resolution or any way to have it out in a reasonable amount of time, then we might just have to say, like she said, this is just going to become one of those 2030 lessons learned kind of things.

24 Because I'm just thinking we're quickly moving 25 through September. I can't believe we're already now in

196



cnpers

1	mid-September. If all goes well, maybe you might be able
2	to get something out next week. That'll give them how
3	long, a week, maybe two weeks? Are we going to continue
4	to receive communities of interest input through the
5	month of October?
6	What is our real time frame in which we will I
7	don't want to say stop taking COI input, but is there a
8	time frame where we're going to have to stop taking COI
9	input because we're going to be receiving COI input on
10	potentially the line drawing that we're doing, and that's
11	going to change things up.
12	So I guess I just want to just put this out there
13	that do we just need to have a drop-dead date that
14	we're just going to say once it passes this time frame,
15	we're just not it doesn't make sense to do this.
16	Thank you.
17	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. That's a great suggestion,
18	Commissioner Akutagawa.
19	Commissioner Sinay?
20	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sorry I did it again. I just
21	want to say that this wasn't just the outreach. I mean,
22	it wasn't just the Materials Committee, but it was also
23	the Incarcerated Individuals Committee that worked really
24	hard on creating those relationships and getting a plan
25	together. And part of that plan included a video and
	eribers

1	lessons and it wasn't just sending out a form.
2	And I just feel like there's been a couple of times
3	that committees have worked all the way to a certain
4	point and then have has moved it over to staff. And I'm
5	not and I think what's happened is we've given you the
6	hardest part. But I really do feel that we need to
7	capture this and lessons learned and try to figure out
8	how to do it differently because we are moving fast and
9	there is no time to kind of I don't know.
10	So it's the program. It was a program or a strategy
11	with incarcerated individuals and it's not called the
12	prison complex or any of that. So let's just be careful
13	how we word it.
14	Marcy, I see that you have
15	MS. KAPLAN: I just really want to clarify. I don't
16	want to derail this, but we have been carrying that
17	through the entire since that was passed over, staff
18	have been fostering and following up and meeting ongoing
19	with all of this context that you had provided in order
20	to gather addresses, and in order to facilitate a process
21	and how this would actually work, working on a script,
22	Fredy has that, in order to start working with our
23	videographer, for that to be going out we followed
24	through on that process.
25	So just that has continued and is right to this

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	point to be implemented as we get our next this last
2	little hang up with the tool. So I just wanted to
3	clarify that that has all continued.
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thanks for that. I mean, I think
5	for me, I guess I would just say okay, Commissioner
6	Fernandez, I see your hand.
7	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. My
8	recommendation at this point would for me, it's very
9	important, and I know for Commissioner Sinay because we
10	were part of the incarcerated population for The State
11	facilities. It's very important for us to get this out
12	to those facilities. And my recommendation would be to
13	get that out to them as soon as possible.
14	And in terms of how long we will be receiving
15	communities of interest input and in my position, it's
16	all the way until we have final maps. I know that's kind
17	of going beyond the line drawing, but I would say at
18	least till mid-December.
19	But again, it's moved so slowly that I don't want to
20	say let's wait two more weeks because now two more weeks
21	we're further behind and trying to start a new process.
22	I would like to see us move forward and working with
23	those state facilities of incarcerated population to get
24	the information out to them either with envelopes or
25	without envelopes. However they get it back to us would
	ecribers

1	be great.
2	If they if they ship them, at least for the
3	California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation,
4	they have an interoffice mailing system. They can mail a
5	model Sacramento, it's on 15th and our street right down
6	the street from here, we can go pick up all of the
7	information. So it's really not that difficult and it's
8	not that far to get the information.
9	And if we have good communication and relationship
10	with them, it should be something that we should be able
11	to do hopefully I don't want to say rather easily
12	because it hasn't been easy so far. I thought it was
13	going to be easy.
14	But I just really feel that we just need to at least
15	do it for that population because we voted as a
16	commission to exclude those counts from the facilities
17	and place that population back at their last known
18	residence. I do feel strongly about at least reaching
19	out and attempting to get their feedback. Thank you.
20	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Please, either, Alvaro or Marcy,
21	please have this as one of the items on your report out
22	at our next meeting. Let us know where we're at and
23	what's your recommendation for moving this forward.
24	Thank you.
25	Any other questions for Director Hernandez?
	ecribers
	mining and the second state of the

201
Okay. Director Ceja? Is he with us?
MR. CEJA: Yes, I am. Thank you, Mr. Chair. Can
you hear me?
CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yes.
MR. CEJA: Perfect. So I apologize to jump in my
car, take my mom somewhere. But I am here listening and
participating. I don't have much to report myself. The
only thing that I will say is that we met or I met
with the Outreach Committee and from that meeting, came
up with a process to report out.
So from now on both Outreach and Communications will
be reporting similarly. So I'll have a longer report
every time. I'm taking inspiration from what you covered
today. I'll have things that I update you on until they
fall off my to do list.
But part of that strategy or reporting out was going
to be covering what we've done communications wise for
every zone and then covering what every contractor that
we have doing the advertising is doing for each one of
those zones. So I'll compile that report and have it
ready for the next meeting.
CHAIR FORNACIARI: Any luck with placing contracts?
MR. CEJA: So we have one more pending approval.
But aside from that one, all the other billboard and
radio contracts are up and running and they've had to
escribers

1	modify a lot of the schedules because of billboard and
2	radio spot availabilities. So I'll share all that at the
3	next report. Some of the plans have shifted
4	dramatically.
5	CHAIR FORNACIARI: How about the language the in-
6	language contract for the in-language work we're going to
7	do that Commissioner Kennedy was asking about last time,
8	where does that stand?
9	MR. CEJA: The ethnic media contract was signed and
10	sent over to OLS where we're waiting for approval for
11	that. That one and the one of the billboard contracts
12	are the only ones pending. Everything else has been
13	approved. So we have the magazine contract moving
14	forward as well.
15	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
16	Commissioner Fernandez has a question.
17	COMMISSIONE FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Just real quick,
18	Fredy. Thank you for the information. I just thought of
19	this this morning, but any update on that individual that
20	was going to do a documentary on redistricting? I just
21	thought of that the other day.
22	MR. CEJA: Yeah. Good question. I actually reached
23	out a month ago and have not heard back. I'll check back
24	again. I think that they've disappeared.
25	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Well, he missed a great meeting
	ecribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	203
1	today.
2	Commissioner Sadhwani? I'm sorry.
3	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's okay. I think
4	Commissioner Sinay and I are often confused.
5	Fredy, this is super exciting. I haven't seen or
6	heard any of the media bias as of yet. So I think in the
7	future I would love to just like, see a picture of a
8	billboard, or listen to a radio ad or something like that
9	if they're available.
10	MR. CEJA: Yes, of course. I did send some of the
11	proofs, but I will send more. I do have a I'm
12	downloading all the radio spots and the artwork for the
13	billboard, so I will definitely share that with you as
14	well, as well as LED pictures for billboard that are out
15	there.
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: So what was the issue with the
17	billboards, Fredy? I forgot. You told us on Friday, but
18	there was what was it, the recall or something that
19	got all the billboard space?
20	MR. CEJA: Yes. So because some of our contracts
21	are taking so long to get approved by OLS. A lot of the
22	real estate out there for billboards was taken up by the
23	recall campaign. And the airwaves, of course, were the
24	same thing. So we've had to shift around some of those
25	buys to really September and October, because also we
	ecribers

1	don't know when our final deadline will be. So I have
2	those conversations with the contractors this week to
3	sort of plan for that. Depending on how the California
4	Supreme Court rules that might also affect our media
5	buys. And we'll have to allocate a lot of those
6	resources for September, October, bleeding into November.
7	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. But you'll have a more
8	detailed update on the 23rd?
9	MR. CEJA: Yes, sir. Correct.
10	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Ahmed, did you have
11	a question?
12	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Oh, I was just going to add
13	that Fredy did send over those ad proofs radio ad
14	proofs. And when I listened to it, it was very TV like.
15	The person's voice was very soothing, and it made me
16	really want to get involved with redistricting.
17	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Oh, very good. Yeah.
18	MR. CEJA: I have more samples. They're male,
19	female, different nationalities. And yeah, it sounds
20	really professional. Really great. I'll share those as
21	well.
22	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Very good. Any other questions
23	for Director Ceja? Thank you.
24	Thank you, Director Ceja.
25	Director Kaplan?
	escribers

1	MS. KAPLAN: Hi. Thank you, everyone. I just
2	wanted to share that we did post the COI meeting recap
3	from the last few COI meetings as well as the COI tool
4	update from this last week. Also, per your direction,
5	posted the outreach presentations that staff had provided
6	to the Commission on the August 31, September and
7	September 11 Commission meetings.
8	We are working together as an outreach team to
9	identify gaps in areas that staff have been targeting as
10	well as where we have not been receiving COI in addition
11	to the sectors that staff are still continuing to grow
12	outreach in.
13	We, as Fredy mentioned, we will be working closely
14	with the Outreach and Engagement Committee, and so I will
15	be providing further details to them on the particular
16	areas where staff is continuing to focus.
17	I also just want to highlight that we did push out
18	information about the COI tool, drawmycacommunity
19	training and staff has focused this week on a deeper
20	level to follow up with contacts and engage with new
21	stakeholders as well to promote that opportunity. Right
22	now we do have five requests, but there are many that are
23	about to come in.
24	So just some specific updates I even got today on
25	doing one strictly particularly in Spanish. Another
	escribers

focusing on the Filipino community as well as tribal communities. And what staff have been also discussing with stakeholders, and we discussed internally, is how can we encourage organizations to collaborate together to have a broader audience for some of these trainings. So that's what has been successful in some of these conversations so far.

I did also mention in the past that the K-through-12 8 9 curriculum that Sacramento County Office of Education 10 developed, along with the L.A. County Office of 11 Education, has been distributed to districts statewide. 12 This Friday is Constitution Day and Sacramento 13 County Office of Education was planning on doing an in-14 person event with the local sports team. And because of 15 COVID, that was -- they did have to cancel that, but 16 they're doing a push on social.

17 And so I've been talking with Fredy, so we are going 18 to do a push of that curriculum as well and working with 19 our field needs to also be following up with school 20 districts as well as other PTA and other contexts to 21 promote. Now that school is back in session to promote 22 the curriculum, as well as how parents and families can 2.3 also get engaged and use the tool to submit input to the 24 Commission. Along with that, I have also highlighted 25 that I have been in communication with the Secretary of



cribers

1 State's office.

2	They are launching a two-week high school voter
3	engagement week, so they are going to be able to
4	integrate in some way some of the redistricting
5	information, including the curriculum. And so I'll have
6	a better update on that as well. They've obviously been
7	very busy with the recall, so I'll get a little bit more
8	information on the level in which they're able to
9	incorporate redistricting as well in that two-week
10	engagement.
11	But I also wanted to highlight that we are now, as
12	schools coming back in session for higher ed, going to be
13	targeting additional outreach for the training with
14	students as well and campuses across the state.
15	I mentioned in a previous meeting that we met with
16	U.C. Office of the President, and also I've been in
17	communication with CS users there's been some transition
18	in staff, so identifying the right staff to push things
19	out at the statewide level. But leads are now also
20	focusing at the local level to engage with the particular
21	campuses as well.
22	And then just also highlighting that we've been
23	working closely with the Language Access Subcommittee on
24	a recap of the interpretation during the COI input
25	meeting. So I will have something that we'll be posting
	evcribers
	www.escribers.net 800-257-0885

	200
1	today that the subcommittee will be going over on our
2	Friday meeting. So I'll defer to them. Thank you.
3	That's my report.
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you, Director Kaplan.
5	Commissioner Andersen?
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEB: Thank you for that, Marcy.
7	I'm just wondering, the high school curriculum is that
8	I don't know how detailed and how voluminous it is if
9	it's not too much, could we get copies of it?
10	MS. KAPLAN: Yeah, I believe I've sent it out. If
11	not, I will send it. I think Fredy is posting it on
12	the will be posted on the website if it's not already.
13	COMMISSIOENR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you.
14	MS. KAPLAN: And apologies if I had not sent that to
15	you all. I will send it right now. And it will also be
16	on the website as well.
17	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
18	MS. KAPLAN: It goes through you'll see it when
19	you go through it. But we did work with them on the
20	companion activities that teachers will focus on with
21	students to tie in the COI tool. And so there's really
22	this they're learning about the process in general.
23	They're focusing in on California.
24	And then they have this opportunity to also really
25	apply what they're learning in a real-life scenario,
	eribers

	209
1	because it's happening right now. So that was kind of
2	exciting to get that. Make sure that we have the COI
3	tool in the in as the training as well in their
4	resource pages at the bottom.
5	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Akutagawa?
6	COMMISSIOENR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I mean, I think this
7	is really great and I'm so excited to hear about it. I'm
8	just curious, is this going to be something that's going
9	to be a continued part of the curriculum beyond, I guess,
10	redistricting and this year?
11	MS. KAPLAN: I believe so. But I can clarify. I
12	think this is a module that they could continue to
13	incorporate. But I can clarify that as well, how that
14	works when curriculum like this becomes developed.
15	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Very good. There's a lot going
16	on. So thank you for that. Are there any other
17	question?
18	Yes. Commission Akutagawa?
19	COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry, I forgot to ask one
20	more question. I was just curious, and I don't know,
21	Director Kaplan, if you have something that you could
22	show us. Just eyeballing it or just from what you
23	recall, do you know if the COI tool inputs are increasing
24	now that we're getting closer and closer to line
25	drawing the actual line drawing.
	escribers

1	MS. KAPLAN: So this is actually something we have
2	talked internally about on how we can more closely
3	evaluate outreach that's happening and the COI input that
4	we're seeing. So just anecdotally from Fredy noting the
5	input that we've gotten just over the weekend to the
6	Voter's First email, we are seeing, I believe it was an
7	increase of over 100 from the COI tool, which that may
8	also include some of the input from the COI input
9	meetings, but also just working more closely with Tony so
10	we can all of our work overlaps so that staff can be
11	able to as they continue to look at gaps, where are we
12	seeing the COI come in so that we can pivot when needed
13	and see what's working effectively in one region. And
14	that really really we saw an increase and how can we
15	replicate that somewhere else? So this is something we
16	are now that we have the database up that we can work
17	more closely and also had discussed this with the
18	Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee as well. So thank
19	you for raising that.
20	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Sorry about that. Okay. From
21	Fredy, nearly 200 COI come in this weekend via mail.
22	Commissioner Sinay?
23	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I had the privilege of going to
24	go to the San Diego Access Center with Andrew, with
25	staff. So I can officially say I've met one staff person
	escribers

1 in person and it was a wonderful experience. I took him 2 to lunch afterwards and we strategized in all sorts of 3 things.

I can't even tell you how big the San Diego Access 4 5 Center is. I mean, I really should just move my office in there cause they've got like six empty offices in 6 7 there. Yeah. Andrew and I were looking at it like, 8 wait, why aren't we in here? And we were invited, and 9 their staff person was very welcoming and said, yeah, 10 move in. He thought by himself most of the time. We 11 were actually the first guests that they have had. So I 12 just wanted to put it out there for anybody speaking that 13 they need office space to get work done in San Diego, The 14 State access center is quite nice. Oh, but bring your 15 laptop.

16 CHAIR FORNACIARI: I think, Commissioner Yee went to 17 the one in Oakland; is that right? Has anyone else had 18 an opportunity to go to one? Not yet. Yeah. I'm 19 looking forward to trying to get over to Oakland to take 20 a look.

Okay. If there are no other questions for Director Kaplan, then we will move on and we'll thank her and her team, and thank Fredy and his team, and Alvaro and his team. And move on to Chief Counsel Pane.

25 Counsel Pane: Thank you, Chair. Good afternoon,

cribers

1	Commissioners. I just wanted to give you a little bit of
2	an update. The first is, as you know, the Strumwasser
3	team has filed on behalf of the Commission a reply to the
4	Secretary of States and the Legislature's responses.
5	Our hope is that we would hear from the California
6	Supreme Court by October 1st, just given their previous
7	time from the last filings and when we received a
8	response. So our hope is that October 1st would be sort
9	of the end time from which we would hear.
10	We don't anticipate the Supreme Court scheduling
11	oral argument. So it would just be a motion or
12	essentially a decision on the pleadings. But I will keep
13	you posted on that if things change. But our hope is
14	that it's by October 1st, we know what our final
15	deadlines are.
16	Secondly, just want to give you a brief update as to
17	the status of the litigation contracts. Followed up with
18	Strumwasser on their litigation contract. I hope to hear
19	from them soon. On Gibson & Dunn I received substantive
20	edits back. The legal team here has produced proposed
21	responses and I anticipate providing those to the Legal
22	Affairs Committee. And we can they can give further
23	direction on what the final response would be to Gibson &
24	Dunn.
25	Right now, we wanted to make sure before we made
	ecribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 this proposal -- final proposed solutions to the Legal
2 Affairs Committee that we have Department of General
3 Services, because they do look and approve a lot of the
4 contracts that are deal breakers. We wanted to make sure
5 that any proposals or counters aren't any dealbreakers
6 for them.
7 So it's been ten days I think we're going to -- Raul

has proposed -- has followed up with them to inquire as 8 9 to the status. I think maybe as a strategy we're going 10 to be reaching out just to Legal Affairs just to schedule 11 something regardless. And it would have been more 12 helpful to get -- hear from DGS on any proposed counters. 13 But I think we need to keep this moving. So I'm going to 14 be reaching out to the Legal Affairs Committee for next 15 steps on any responses. And with that, does anyone have 16 any questions? 17 CHAIR FORNACIARI: So all the deadline for input to 18 the Supreme Court has passed, right --19 COUNSEL PANE: Yes. 20 CHAIR FORNACIARI: -- for (indiscernible). 21 COUNSEL PANE: The 13th was our reply due date and 22 we were the last ones to respond to -- we had an 23 opportunity to reply to the responses from the 24 legislature and the Secretary of state. 25 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. And I see we received a

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

cribers

1 couple of motion --2 COUNSEL PANE: (Indiscernible). CHAIR FORNACIARI: -- opposition letters from a --3 COUNSEL PANE: Um-hum. 4 5 CHAIR FORNACIARI: -- couple of counties. COUNSEL PANE: Yeah. Yeah. 6 7 CHAIR FORNACIARI: And I mean, those two we received 8 recently but there were others from a few other counties 9 to. COUNSEL PANE: I think Napa filed one and Los 10 11 Angeles County, I believe Solano was another one that had 12 filed. And I could be for getting a fourth one. 13 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: San Benito. 14 COUNSEL PANE: Thank you. 15 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Very good. Okay. Are 16 there any questions? Well. Thank you. Appreciate it. 17 COUNSEL PANE: Oh, it looks like Commissioner 18 Andersen has a question. 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. Just a quick one. 20 Any word on the -- I can't remember the name of the 21 bill -- having to do with Bagley-Keene? 22 COUNSLE PANE: So thank you, Commissioner. 8361 has 23 passed both the Senate and the Assembly. It is awaiting 24 the governor's signature. It's anticipated that the 25 governor will sign it. Nevertheless, we have a draft cribers

	215
1	proposed support letter that we will be sending to the
2	governor to encourage him to sign the bill.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Very good.
4	Commissioner Sinay?
5	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Was that the youngest or the
6	oldest that just screamed?
7	COUNSEL PANE: That was the youngest. I can't
8	believe you heard that. Thank you.
9	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think that was Anthony.
10	COUNSEL PANE: I will now mute.
11	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Oh, you embarrassed Anthony.
12	COUNSEL PANE: This is the reddest I think I've been
13	on camera. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.
14	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. That's why I closed my
15	door. Because I got background noise too.
16	Commissioner Kennedy?
17	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Just
18	wondering if we have any if anything has come up on
19	the radar as far as litigation in other states that might
20	slow down the process or present any sort of obstacle in
21	our path going forward?
22	COUNSEL PANE: I'm not aware of any. But you know,
23	we haven't well, we can look into that to see if there
24	is any pending litigation other states that could have a
25	ramification on us. I think maybe where you're going,
	ejcribers

1	Commissioner, is sort of the census data and the quality
2	of the data.
3	And I think you've been following this as well.
4	Each individual state is a little bit of a laboratory on
5	how that's how that gets how that affects individual
6	states. But yeah, I will we can look into that and
7	report back on that as well.
8	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I don't see any other questions
9	at this point. So thank you for those reports.
10	Katy, if we could please take public comment on
11	agenda item 3.
12	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. The
13	Commission will now take public comment on agenda item
14	number 3, Director's Report. To give comment, please
15	call 877-853-5247 and enter the meeting ID number
16	88286102051 for this meeting.
17	Once you have dialed in, please press star 9 to
18	enter the comment queue. The full call-in instructions
19	have been read previously in this meeting and are
20	provided in full on the livestream landing page. And we
21	do not have any callers in the queue. And we will let
22	you know when the instructions are complete.
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Please do. Thank you. So just
24	to let the Commissioners and the public know where we're
25	headed today, I'm going to we're going to end at 4:30
	escribers

1	today. We've got a long two days yet. We've got a
2	long a potentially a long two days coming up.
3	And for those of you who didn't appreciate my half
4	hour lunch, you're really not going to appreciate the
5	fact that I added an extra session at the end of those
6	days if we need them. I'm hoping we don't need them.
7	But I wanted to have it there just in case.
8	We'll start with the subcommittee reports and see
9	how far we get. But at 4:30 will adjourn for the day
10	because there will be time we'll start with we'll
11	start with the business meeting on Friday. So if we go
12	longer
13	MR. MANOFF: Chair, we do have a caller.
14	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yes, I see that. Thank you. If
15	I go out on Friday or Saturday, it'll be not because the
16	business meeting is going longer, but because of the
17	directions to the line drawers are going longer, if that
18	makes sense.
19	So yeah, Katy, go ahead.
20	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, Chair.
21	Caller 2829, if you will, please follow the prompts
22	to a mute at this time. The floor is yours.
23	MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yes. This is Renee Westa-Lusk. I
24	just want some clarification of terms regarding the legal
25	action the Commission is doing to, I guess, petition the
	escribers

217

1 California Supreme Court to extend the date by which the 2 maps -- the final draft maps have to be completed and 3 vetted by the public, et cetera. What do you call that 4 legal action? Is it actually a lawsuit? Or is it a 5 petition of a court? I just wanted some clarification. 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIR FORNACIARI: I am going to hand it off to Chief Counsel Pane. 8 9 COUNSEL PANE: So I'm sorry. The question was about 10 our filing or the filing -- the reply that we made. So 11 there was an emergency RIT petition that originally the 12 Commission filed and then The Secretary of State and the 13 legislature in the Padilla case were able to file a 14 response, and then we were allowed to file a reply to 15 that response. As far as what we call it, is that --16 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yeah. 17 COUNSEL PANE: Is that helpful? 18 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yeah, because I've heard people 19 refer to it that the Commission sued. 20 COUNSEL PANE: Yeah. So --21 MS. WESTA-LUSK: The Supreme Court for an extension 22 so --23 COUNSEL PANE: Right. 24 MS. WESTA-LUSK: -- you could get it till January 25 14th. I'm talking about the recent action. cribers

1	COUNSEL PANE: Right.
2	MS. WESTA-LUSK: I don't really know how to describe
3	that and I don't consider that suing the Supreme Court or
4	whatever.
5	COUNSEL PANE: Yeah. So I mean I think maybe one
6	way to couch it is that the case was Legislature v.
7	Padilla. So technically, those are the two main parties.
8	But the Supreme Court invited or allowed for the
9	Commission to come in to ask for further time if we so
10	chose. And the Commission made that call to do that. So
11	it was essentially a request for a later extension for
12	that time.
13	MS. WESTA-LUSK: So it's not really classified as a
14	lawsuit, so to speak?
15	COUNSEL PANE: Well, no, it is a formal pleading
16	with the California Supreme Court. So it is part of a
17	lawsuit. But I would say maybe and I think maybe this
18	might have been the context in which this might have been
19	brought up. I don't know. But the Commission isn't
20	suing a particular party.
21	It's much more it sounds more like what's
22	referred to as declaratory relief, where we're asking the
23	Court to clarify something they have already said. And
24	what we're saying and they've already said they've
25	already used terms like additional federal delay.
	ejcribers
	the second

1 And then we're -- and the Commission is saying so 2 California Supreme Court, we understand that to mean January 14th, for example. And they're going to confirm 3 4 whether that's the that's the correct interpretation. 5 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Okay. Okay. Thank you very much for that --6 7 COUNSEL PANE: Sure. MS. WESTA-LUSK: -- for explaining that. 8 9 COUNSEL PANE: You're welcome. 10 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you. Okay. Well, 11 Government Affairs Committee? 12 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO? I don't believe we have any 13 substantive update. So I think we can move to the next 14 subcommittee. 15 VICE CHAIR SADHWANI: Anthony covered it. 16 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Finance and Administrative 17 Subcommittee? 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We don't have anything 19 substantive to update, do we? 20 CHAIR FORNACIARI: I don't think so. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. 22 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Gantt Chart? 23 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No update at this point, 24 Chair. 25 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Outreach and Engagement? cribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	221
1	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Staff gave all the updates. We
2	are working to just be able to have reports that make it
3	easier for everyone to know where we're at.
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you. Materials
5	Development?
6	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No further updates.
7	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Although we are looking at
8	the language, Commissioner Kennedy, for what the 2010
9	Commission used on the with the final maps. So we're
10	reviewing that information.
11	CHAIR FORNACIARI: If you drafted you got your
12	first draft for the final report done yet? Okay.
13	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. We have the 176
14	districts drawn already. I'm not sure what the purpose
15	of this meeting was.
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you for that.
17	Data Management? I don't see oh, Commissioner
18	Turner?
19	COMMISSIONER TURNER: No updates to report this
20	time. Thank you.
21	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. COI Tool?
22	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No update at this point.
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Incarcerated Populations Federal
24	facilities.
25	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: We did receive a response
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1	from the Department of Justice on behalf of the Bureau of
2	Prisons, basically telling us that they were not able to
3	provide us with the information that we requested. I
4	think there was a little bit of a misunderstanding on
5	their part as to exactly what we were asking for.
6	I am going to draft a letter back to them on that
7	just to clarify that we're asking for exactly what they
8	said we couldn't have. But we are now past the deadline
9	that we had set for getting that data set.
10	So our focus will remain on laying the groundwork
11	and doing some legwork to hopefully ensure that the 2030
12	Commission can have access to the data that they need in
13	order to treat individuals in federal custody the way
14	the same way that individuals in state custody will be
15	treated by the 2030 Commission. Thank you.
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you. Okay. I guess,
17	Commissioner Kennedy, you're still up with Lessons
18	Learned. And Commissioner Ahmad.
19	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Keep them coming.
20	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Cyber Security, I don't
21	have anything substantive to update. I don't know if
22	Commissioner Taylor has anything to add.
23	COMMISSIOENR TAYLOR: No. I agree, Commissioner
24	Fornaciari. Just remember that we are the one ability
25	for some points of contact. So be careful with your
	ecribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 computers and what you open. Thank you. 2 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Commissioner Kennedy? 3 COMMISSIOENR KENNEDY: And just again, a reminder 4 that I think our understanding is that it's the Security 5 Subcommittee --CHAIR FORNACIARI: That's right. 6 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- to do so. So to the 8 extent that we are looking at in-person meetings, at some 9 point we want to hear from the Security Subcommittee on 10 security arrangements. 11 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, so my apologies. I was 12 focused on Cyber. Yeah. We do have an update. We 13 expect to have a security conversation in a closed 14 session at the end of tomorrow to talk about what our 15 proposed security strategy is for in-person meetings, so. 16 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you, Neal. I think the 17 stealth me always keep that close to the vest. 18 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. Yes. So we will get some 19 more information out to you all before that. Thank you. 20 And we'll remember Director Hernandez and to the next 21 chair, Commissioner Sadhwani, if you all could remember 22 to change the title of our subcommittee on subsequent 2.3 agendas, that would be -- that would be helpful. Legal affairs Committee? 24 25 COMMISSIONER YEE: Nothing to report, but it sounds cribers

223

1	like we'll be meeting soon to continue shepherding the
2	litigation contracts.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. And then Line Drawing
4	Guidelines subcommittee pretty much gave your update
5	earlier, but did you do you have anything you wanted
6	to add? Actually, what's the name of your subcommittee?
7	It's the? Commissioner Yee and Turner?
8	COMMISSIOENR YEE: Mapping Playbook Subcommittee.
9	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. We need to fix that. And
10	did you have anything to add?
11	COMMISSIONER YEE: No. So I'm meeting with
12	Commissioner Turner tomorrow, where we'll get some
13	feedback to the next draft from VRA counsel and I plan on
14	bringing it before the whole Commission September 28th or
15	29th.
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Let's see. So we're not
17	quite done with item agenda item number 4 because we
18	will address K in closed session tomorrow, so.
19	COUNSEL PANE: So a point just a point of
20	clarification there, Chair. We could finish we could
21	go into closed session on its own statutory authority
22	basis. So
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
24	COUNSEL PANE: we are free to close 4(K). We can
25	even have nothing to report on 4(K). We could report
	ecribers

1	something out out of closed session on Friday if and when
2	that's needed.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
4	COUNSEL PANE: So there's no need to hold 4(K) open
5	if we can have on some statutory basis.
6	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. So then it would need to
7	take public comment on it. Can I talk about one other
8	item and then take public comment on all of it? Or do I
9	need to do public comment right now?
10	COUNSEL PANE: I mean, you can as long as we're
11	covering public comment for each agenda item, that's up
12	to you. So if there's another item and you want to do
13	public comment for item 4 as well as another one, that's
14	fine.
15	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, because I do I want to
16	just briefly, if we can, talk about touch on future
17	meeting dates and agenda items. Which is not in 4. But
18	we'll lump it all together if that's okay. So if you
19	if you all take a look at the where is it the
20	handouts. Am I in the wrong handouts?
21	COMMISSIONER TURNER: I don't see it under the 9/15.
22	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Oh, it's under the 9/17 handouts.
23	COUNSEL PANE: It is under the 18th in-person
24	meeting
25	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I don't have it.
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	226
1	COUNSEL PANE: Is this what we're talking about?
2	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. Why did I get sign up with
3	Facebook thing? I got a pop=up.
4	COMMISSIONER TURNER: I got the same thing. I think
5	it's a bad link.
6	COMMISSIOENR FERNANDEZ: So don't look into the
7	light, Chair.
8	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah, no kidding. Okay. If you
9	go to the 18th okay. Yeah, I think we talk about this
10	now because posted under the wrong day.
11	COUNSEL PANE: So another option is you could pull
12	up the document you wish to discuss and you could display
13	it
14	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
15	COUNSELL PANE: if you so chose.
16	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. I will do that.
17	COUNSEL PANE: I'm sorry. Share screen, I think, is
18	the exact option.
19	CHAIR FORNACIARI: What is it called? So what are
20	you guys seeing? Are you seeing
21	COMMISSIONER TURNER: We see the in-person meeting
22	discussion.
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. I can't see it. Oh.
24	Okay. All right. Operator error. Okay. So I wanted to
25	take a moment to have a just a conversation, if we
	ecribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	227
1	could. Yeah, I apologize you haven't had an opportunity
2	to read this document. I thought it was going to be
3	posted in today's thing.
4	But I wanted to have a conversation about in-person
5	meetings for line drawing. We've had a number of touched
6	on this topic a number of times. There's been
7	commissioners who expressed interest in doing it. We've
8	given Director Hernandez direction to begin to schedule
9	it.
10	But we just in a conversation that Commissioner
11	Sadhwani and I had with Director Hernandez in planning
12	for this meeting and discussing those dates, we really
13	wanted to check in with the Commission and just check in
14	and see if there is first, if there is a desire and a
15	commitment to meet in person for these line drawing
16	meetings.
17	And if there is a desire and a commitment, what
18	would that what do we want those what would those
19	meetings look like in this in this environment? I think
20	it's a good opportunity to have this conversation at this
21	point since we just went through a day of kind of some
22	experience of what it would look like.
23	I mean, it's going to get a lot more detailed and
24	in-depth, of course, down the road. But we've done this
25	over Zoom and we know what that looks like. But I just
	eribers

1	want to open it up and see and ask, what's the interest?
2	What's the commitment to in-person line drawing meetings?
3	The idea would be so we would host a series of
4	meetings in Sacramento and we would alternate between
5	Sacramento and Southern California. We don't have
6	locations in Southern California as of yet. It's still a
7	bit of a challenge to get locations. But I just want to
8	take the pulse of the Commission at this point because it
9	is a lot of work.
10	So Commissioner Kennedy?
11	COMMISSIOENR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Two
12	questions on this. One, is there a possibility of going
13	beyond Sacramento as far as "Northern California"? I
14	mean, could something be organized in the Bay Area?
15	Could something be organized farther north? Just wanted
16	to put that on the table.
17	Second of all, I think at some point I had mentioned
18	or we had discussed the possibility of having respective
19	Northern California cohort and Southern California cohort
20	meeting in separate locations, but the cohorts together
21	as much as possible. So just wanted to see if that's
22	still something that's out there as a possibility. Thank
23	you.
24	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I think those are both
25	possibilities. But I think that the so yes, those are
	escribers
	이 이 가지 않는 것은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같은 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있는 것이 같이 같이 있는 것이 같이 있다.

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

1 all possibilities. We can design this however we would 2 like. But I think the first question we need to ask is what is the level of interest? 3 I mean, if we don't get enough folks who are either 4 5 willing to travel in their area to meet together and/or travel to the opposite ends of the state, I mean, if 6 7 folks just aren't willing to do that for whatever reason, right, health reasons, family reasons, whatever the case 8 9 may be, if folks just aren't -- if we don't get enough of 10 the commitment level to have folks travel, then it's a 11 lot of money and a lot of effort to plan these meetings 12 without the payback we're looking for. 13 So for instance, I mean, for -- we invited Alvaro -or Director Hernandez invited folks to come to Sacramento 14 15 on the 28th and 29th and just haven't gotten pretty 16 lukewarm kind of interest in that for instance. So 17 that's what kind of inspired me to throw this question 18 out there at this point and just understand the pulse of 19 the Commission. 20 Commissioner Sinay? 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm strongly for it -- for us 22 to meet in person during line drawings, especially after 23 today's experience, because it's really hard -- because 24 we need the full map up there. So it's taking up most of 25 the screen so we can't really see each other. And a lot cribers

1 of it is that is body language or just agreeing with each 2 other, especially as we're moving into the line drawing 3 piece. 4 It's like, okay, yeah, yeah. Even now, it'd be 5 easier for you if we were all together. You could say 6 thumbs up, thumbs down. But it's hard to say thumbs up, 7 thumbs down right now because we. Yeah, we've got your document onscreen, which is. Okay. I'm not harassing 8 9 you. So I'm for it to create a hybrid environment in both Northern California and Southern California. 10 11 I do understand keeping it simple and having the same location in Northern California and Southern 12 13 California just because it is a lot of equipment, it's a 14 lot of figuring out all the logistics of it. And I'm for 15 I'm committed. it. 16 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. I think I have 17 Commissioner Fernandez, then Commissioner Turner. 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I'm for it as well. 19 I just wanted to note that. 20 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Commissioner Turner? 21 22 COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think it's worth the work. 23 I'm for it. 24 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Others? Oh, I see, 25 Commissioner Yee, then Toledo.

cribers

	231
1	COMMISSIONER YEE: All for it. It's what I thought
2	we would be doing when I signed up for this.
3	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay.
4	Commissioner Toledo?
5	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm also for it. I agree with
6	Commissioner Yee. Are you looking for a motion or are
7	we are you looking to approve this or are you looking
8	for just consensus at this point, or some kind of straw
9	poll?
10	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. No, it's a straw poll at
11	this point. I just, first of all, wanted to make sure we
12	had a critical mass, if you will, of enough folks to make
13	it worthwhile. When I was thinking half, it's probably
14	should be more than half, I would think, but this half,
15	the commission committed to attendance, these in-person
16	meetings.
17	It's clear everyone can't make the meetings or the
18	meetings, and that's fine. They would still be held
19	virtually. I just first want to get a sense of the
20	commitment. It sounds like we've got interest in meeting
21	together.
22	Commissioner Sadhwani?
23	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Thank you. I wanted
24	to be just really transparent that for myself, my October
25	or fall schedule kind of broadly speaking, is a little
	escribers

1	chaotic. So I'm going to I definitely have a strong
2	interest to meet whenever possible, but I definitely will
3	also be using the virtual option just so that I can make
4	all of my commitments.
5	But that being said, I think part of the
6	conversation with Alvaro and staff has also been it's
7	a lot of work and so maybe there's a cutoff date, right?
8	And I think that's going to require responsiveness from
9	Commissioners and staff. If we know that we have three
10	days of meetings coming up in Southern California,
11	perhaps there's a cutoff date for RSVPing like one week
12	in advance so they know to really firm up all of the
13	logistics.
14	And if there's not enough people to make a decision
15	to have the ability to make the decision to cancel that
16	one, if there's only going to be two people traveling. I
17	think, and Alvaro can jump in here, but I think that that
18	was some of the concern and was ensuring that the
19	Commissioner feedback about availability and when people
20	will be traveling will be communicated in a timely way.
21	So I just wanted to raise that as our responsibility to
22	staff as well.
23	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah. It's a great point. And
24	Director Hernadez just messaged me saying that ten of us
25	have committed to traveling on the 28th. So I guess his
	escribers

	233
1	latest prompt got us going. That'll be outstanding.
2	That'll be ten of us together for that meeting.
3	Commissioner Akutagawa?
4	COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I mean, I'll come in
5	person whenever I can. I do want to just say out loud, I
6	think there's going to need to be some thought put into
7	how we manage hybrid meetings, because I think one of
8	the well, I have several reasons why I think the Zoom
9	meetings work.
10	Particularly, I'm also not only selfishly am I
11	thinking about just the kind of juggling that I do. But
12	also I'm thinking about Commissioner Vazquez and then my
13	other personal family reasons as well, too. But I think
14	for me, I don't know, we could call it equity.
15	We could call it just in terms of just
16	organizational culture or group dynamics. One of the
17	things that I've never liked about hybrid meetings is
18	that you always have some people who are in person have a
19	certain kind of dynamic versus everybody else.
20	I mean, these are all the jokes and the means that
21	you see on YouTube about like when people are in a room
22	and then people are on a phone call and all the repeating
23	and other things that go on and things like that, that is
24	still real. And I do feel like that is something that we
25	need to be thoughtful about and to think about then if
	ecribers

1 there is a portion of the commission that is in person 2 together in the room versus those who are on Zoom and 3 participating via electronic means, how do we ensure that we don't create a sense of we're not in on the joke 4 5 because we're not there in person? And that's for me, a really huge concern. And I 6 7 just want to just put this out there. And that's part of 8 the reason why I've been opposed to or not -- I shouldn't 9 say opposed. I mean, I knew what I was getting into and 10 I'm committed to -- if doing it in person, and I realize, 11 I think, I've gotten a little spoiled because being able 12 to be on Zoom, I could literally just like roll-up to the 13 computer and then just started. 14 It's been easy. Like going to a meeting means you 15 have to like do a lot more to get to a meeting and stuff 16 like that. But aside from that, I -- one of my big 17 concerns is how do we ensure that people feel like they 18 are included and equitably so in a way that they are not? 19 There's not an in-group and there's not an outside 20 group. And so I just wanted to just put that out there. 21 And I think that that just requires some thoughtfulness 22 on our part to ensure that that sense doesn't happen, you 23 know? 24 Pros and cons of Zoom, one of the pros is that it 25 has equalized a lot of things for people because we're cribers

1 all a Brady Bunch and if somebody wants to say something, 2 you could say it via chat. But for the most part, you 3 know, everybody is saying it and everybody is hearing it 4 and everybody is in on the same joke. And so I'll just 5 stop there. 6 CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you. 7 Commissioner Sinay? COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just want to say, because 8 9 I've gotten to chats on this. The San Diego Access 10 Office is really big for one-on-one like meetings, but 11 their conference centers really small. But there is a 12 potential -- because Alvaro's also lobbying for this to 13 have the Southern California one in San Diego. There 14 probably is a potential to find another meeting space 15 within The State building because it's a state office 16 building or there's other locations in San Diego that we can find. 17 18 Okay. Let's see, we're up CHAIR FORNACIARI: 19 against -- we're getting to be up against a break at this 20 time. And so you all haven't had a chance to kind of 21 look at what I wrote or think about this, and so I think 22 at this point I'm going to table this conversation, and 2.3 we can circle back to it in the morning on the 17th. And 24 I will take public comment. It's posted on the 15th now, 25 this document. So take a look at it and see what you all cribers

235

	236
1	think. And we can talk about it on the 17th.
2	So Katy, we could take public
3	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: comment on we would like to
5	take public comment on agenda item 4 on this conversation
6	and general public comment.
7	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: For items not on the
8	agenda?
9	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Yeah.
10	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The Commission will now
11	take public comment on agenda item 4 on the conversation
12	in regards to in-person meetings and for general public
13	comment for items not on the agenda at this time.
14	To give comment, please call 877-853-5247. Enter
15	the meeting ID number 88286102051 for this meeting. Once
16	you have dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the
17	comment queue. The full call-in instructions have been
18	read previously in this meeting and are provided in full
19	on the livestream landing page.
20	And we do have caller 6252 in the queue, if you will
21	please follow the prompts to unmute at this time by
22	pressing star 6. And the floor is yours.
23	MS. HUTCHINSON: Good afternoon, Commissioners.
24	This is Helen Hutchison with the League of Women Voters
25	of California. And I have a quick question, kind of
	ecribers

1	clarification from your discussion at your last meeting
2	on the public map presentation sessions. And I wanted to
3	clarify that the thirty the proposal is for thirty
4	minutes for statewide maps and that would be per map.
5	So if an organization wanted to present multiple
6	maps, an assembly map, a congressional map, a senatorial
7	map like that, that they would get an hour and a half.
8	So is that correct?
9	CHAIR FORNACIARI: I'm going to pass that to are
10	you waving at me, Commissioner Andersen? I kind of see a
11	big black blob. So Commissioner Andersen?
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I seem to be having issues
13	with the background and stuff. So I'm not actually
14	waiting. But that's still being worked on the actual
15	details of that. But it was not like it per map. It was
16	actually more like per group, depending on what
17	presentation you were going to do.
18	MS. HUTCHINSON: Okay.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But again, that's still
20	draft and we haven't discussed that because there will be
21	the redistricting tool available, which is different this
22	time than it was last time. So that's why it's a very
23	different situation.
24	MS. HUTCHINSON: Then we would strongly advocate
25	that it would be thirty minutes per map, that discussing
	escribers

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

	230
1	a full statewide district map would take up to thirty
2	minutes. And so we would advocate for thirty minutes per
3	map. Thank you very much.
4	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Thank you for your input. We
5	appreciate it. And then I guess I would ask the line
6	drawing team, when do we do we have an idea for to
7	let the public know when we're going to come to a
8	conclusion on what that looks like? Or when we're going
9	to have a conversation to make that decision. I'm going
10	to ask you, Commissioner Andersen, since I don't see
11	Commissioner Sadhwani.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: She ducked the question.
13	CHAIR FORNACIARI: She ducked out.
14	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, we actually have to
15	get together on that and see when we can put that on,
16	given, we have to agendize it as well.
17	CHAIR FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, we can probably have
18	that conversation in the context of the agendas that we
19	have in place now. So it's more of a matter of when you
20	guys are ready. Okay. Well, with that, and I see that
21	we have no other callers in the queue, I am going to
22	adjourn this meeting and we will reconvene at 9:30 a.m.
23	the 17th.
24	(Whereupon, the Public Input Meeting adjourned
25	at 4:30 p.m.)
	escribers
	and states a state states and a set of the states of the states of the states of the states of the

www.escribers.net | 800-257-0885

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the videoconference recording of the proceedings provided by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

Annifer Darton

JENNIFER BARTON, CDLT-247 DATE

January 10, 2023 DATE

