STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

CRC BUSINESS MEETING

WEDNESDAY, OCTOBER 26, 2022 9:30 a.m.

Reported By:

Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Linda Akutagawa, Chair
Derric Taylor, Vice Chair
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernández, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Kennedy, Commissioner
Antonio Le Mons, Chair
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Vice-Chair
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Alvaro E. Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director Corina Leon, Staff Services Manager Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Wanda Sheffield, Office Technician Tim Treichelt, Senior Counsel

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3		PAGE
4	Call to Order and Roll Call	4
5	Executive Director Updates	11
6	Chief Counsel Report	29
7	Public Comment	53
8	Vote for Motion on the Floor	54
9	Motion passes	56
10	Public Comment Agenda Item 2	56
11	Subcommittee Updates	57
12	Public Comment	108
13	Vote for Motion on the Floor	109
14	Motion Passes	110
15	Cont. Subcommittee Updates	110
16	Public Comment	120
17	Closing	121
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
	3	

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 9:30 a.m. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Good morning, 3 4 Welcome to our October Citizens Redistricting 5 Commission meeting. I want to just welcome everyone and call this meeting to order. Alvaro, are you going to 6 7 take roll or will it be Wanda? 8 MS. SHEFFIELD: It's Wanda. 9 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you, Wanda. MS. SHEFFIELD: Good morning, Commissioners. 10 11 Commissioner Andersen? 12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here. 13 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fernandez? 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente. 15 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fornaciari? 16 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here. 17 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Kennedy? 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

21 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Le Mons?

22 Commissioner Sinay?

19

20

- 23 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.
- MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Taylor?
- COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I am present.

1 MS. SHEFFIELD: Okay. Commissioner Toledo? 2 Commissioner Turner? 3 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Good morning. I'm here. 4 MS. SHEFFIELD: Good morning. 5 Commissioner Vazquez? COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Here. 6 7 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Yee? COMMISSIONER YEE: Here. 8 9 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Ahmed? 10 COMMISSIONER AHMED: Here. 11 MS. SHEFFIELD: And Chair Akutagawa? 12 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Here. 13 MS. SHEFFIELD: Thank you. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you, everyone, 15 for joining us today. Let me just briefly go through --16 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: What was the one in the 17 (indiscernible) over there? Oh, I don't know. 18 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Let me just briefly run 19 through our run of show for today. It was posted, I 20 believe, yesterday, so that for those who are watching, 21 you'll have a sense of what our schedule is going to be 22 for today. We are scheduled until 4:30. However, I will 23 just say now that we don't anticipate that we're going to use the full time that's scheduled. 24 25 What we'll start with is with our director updates

and announcements and then we will move to our

subcommittee announcements and updates. We don't

anticipate the updates and announcements going beyond

lunch. So we plan to conclude, including the break,

conclude with our subcommittee updates just before we

break for lunch, at which time we plan to break for lunch

at 12:45.

We will take the hour for the lunch and then when we return, what the Commission is going to do is we will restart the meeting in closed session. We expect or we approximate that it will be about an hour in closed session, possibly slightly less, and we will return to open session at about 2:45, at which time we will take public comment and wrap up the meeting after that.

2.0

So just so that everybody who is watching, you'll have a sense of what our timeline is looking. So it's likely that we will not go beyond the 3:15 expected break that -- or actually, yeah, we will not go beyond, we think, 3:15.

All right. With that, I want to just turn to some quick announcements. I want to start with the announcements and then I want to also just let the Commissioners know that if there's any announcements that you want to make, you have time to kind of gather your thoughts together.

I want to start with an announcement that we will be -- we're hoping our last meeting for this year will take place in December. I believe the actual date is Wednesday, December 14th. Please correct me if I got either the day of the week or the actual date wrong, but I know it's that week.

2.3

Since it's going to be the last meeting before all of the current staff, with the exception of our newest member, our staff services manager, Corina Leon, the rest of the staff will be off-boarding in December and I thought -- or we had a conversation -- we thought it would be nice if all of the Commissioners -- I know that there's I think some efforts for the Commissioners to travel up to Sacramento to be in the office one last time before the last meeting of the year.

I thought it would be nice if we could all perhaps make plans for all of us to join together in Sacramento for the December meeting. One, to just celebrate the end of the year, but also secondly, to be able to say our farewells to our outgoing staff while we are able to.

And so I wanted to just put that out there. If you can't, no pressure, no problems. It's okay.

But we thought it would be helpful to let you know early that this is the effort that we'd like to make for December. And so you could make plans, make travel

plans, other things like that. So if you're planning on going up to Sacramento in November, that's no problem to then you get to see the staff twice.

Okay. So with that, I want to just see, is there any other announcements that any of the other

Commissioners may want to share or make?

Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Chair, and thanks for a wonderful idea. I think getting together would be good for all of us, for our souls. And it will almost be a year since we were together nonstop.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Anyway, so thank you for that great idea. Well, it is over -- it is a year since we were together nonstop, but it'll be almost the end. A couple of announcements. One, I know I announced it internally, but I just wanted to share it externally as well is that I was selected by How Women Lead as a fellow in their Latino cohort.

It's really a leadership program. So I don't get paid to be a fellow. I pay to get the leadership experience. But that's pretty common when it comes to nonprofits and leadership programs. And part of that — the Commission will not be funding any of it. The Commission is one of the organizations I'm associated

with, but I'm doing this as a volunteer with Common Cause.

2.0

And so everything I will be doing is -- will be along with Common Cause and the focus of my project and -- will be promoting independent redistricting commissions nationally, which is what a lot of what Commissioner Yee and I have been doing already with Common Cause.

And to that extent, that -- to that note, Ohio, they have a collaborative and they invited me to come and share our experiences. I was basically interviewed for an hour. And it's so funny how many of the little details you forget, just numbers and stuff. And I kept saying, oh, I'll send you that. But we talked more the big picture and kind of what were some of the lessons learned?

There were sixty-seven people there and at one point it was over seventy. But so it's really exciting that -- just the enthusiasm from Ohio. And from others to learn. And then that's it for now.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Congratulations, Commissioner
Sinay, on your selection for that fellowship. And sounds
like you have a really great and relevant project that
you'll be working on. Thank you.

Commissioner Fornaciari?



COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just I didn't know if you were going to bring this up, Chair. But I just want to comment on one of the letters we received, the one from Marco Mora, wondering about the precincts. So just to let everybody know and maybe I don't know if the staff has responded to this letter already or not, but the county Registrar of Voters do the precinct thing.

And if you are interested in finding out about the precincts, you can go to the Registrar of Voters website for San Diego County. Hover over the I want to tab and then on the very far right, the second from the bottom is maps. If you click on the first map that shows the -- I believe it shows the districts and the precincts.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: He's probably not listening or watching, I guess. So maybe the staff can send him a note to let him know that's how he can find the precincts.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Thank you. Thank you for addressing that question. And I do want to just note that we did receive two pieces of public comment, and we appreciate all of the continued comments that we receive. And I believe the staff is at least acknowledging the comments that are received. So thank you for that.

Okay. Any other announcements that anybody might



want to make before we move on with the next item on our agenda. Okay. All right. Thank you. Let's go ahead and we'll move on to director updates and announcements. We're going to start with Executive Director Hernandez.

2.0

MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair Akutagawa. And good morning, Commissioners. Want to let you know that we've reached out to Department of General Services to let them know the staff will be off-boarding at the end of December to ensure that everything is in order and that the transition for those who are going back into state service happens as seamless as possible.

We did run into some hiccups with some previous staff that transitioned. So we're trying to avoid a lot of that, especially because staff will be off-boarding. And so we want to make sure that it runs as smooth as possible. So we've done that. I wanted to mention the training that we've been doing with Corina, the SSM1. It's continuing and she's training on many different things.

As I mentioned, last meaning the first two months, we've really focused her training on both the accounting side and the budgeting side of things. She meets with Vanessa and Terri regularly to go over accounting and budgeting information, including the recently submitted BCP that we did get in last -- couple of weeks ago. She

then also meets with Raul and I weekly to go over contracting and other administrative activities. We're kind of shadowing today on how to manage the meeting.

2.0

And all these things will be transitioning over to her in January. So we usually talk and discuss any questions that she has or on any issues, and I include her in meetings with subcommittees, and she'll go over some of that information with you shortly.

Our goal is to make sure that she has the necessary information and historical context, which is very important with this commission as things change throughout the entire process with the COVID and so forth, so that she has a historical context to answer any questions that may come up in the future, especially after December.

Today, as part of that training, as I mentioned,

Corina is going to provide you an update. This will be

her first update, one of many. And so she'll briefly

share information about her training activities. Corina?

MS. LEON: Thanks you, Alvaro.

MR. HERNANDEZ: You have to turn on your microphone.

MS. LEON: There we go. Is that good? Okay. Good morning, Commissioners. Thank you for inviting me. I'm very happy to be here. As Alvaro mentioned, I'm going to provide an update on my progress of accumulating the

knowledge and contacts I'll need to -- and the many roles that I'm inheriting to support the Commission. And I'll start with the accounting. I'm getting training with Vanessa. She's been great. She's been training me in all the fiscal modules and processes and troubleshooting tools that we have.

2.0

And I've been trained on the contracts -- entering contracts and P.O.s and vouchers and receipts and all that. Fiscal is very extensive and not intuitive, so I feel very fortunate that she has experience and the willingness to provide me the training on fiscal and that's been very relevant and great in the time span that we have. So that's been wonderful.

Terri's been training me on the budget process, and while she's also dealing with a lot of budget -- several budget issues, she's been very committed to transferring her knowledge, historical information, about the budget from this Commission and from the previous Commission.

So that's been very, very helpful, and I think that'll be useful.

So I'm also great timing really, because I'm getting in on the ground floor of the BCP. So I'm attending all the meetings and going through all the processes with her and Alvaro and Raul that they go through to get one ready to submit. And she also wants to make sure I'm prepared

for next -- after December, because I'll be helping
getting -- delivering it and getting it to run home
because I guess it gets submitted in February. So she
wants to make sure I'm prepared for that. So that's been
great.

on Bagel --

I'm meeting with Alvaro and Raul more -- several times a week, I'd say once a week. So they've been great just in their time and being available to answer my endless questions. And they've been helping me understand how all of the roles that I'm learning fit together so I can support -- I'll be ready to support the Commission.

And let's see, and then also starting with training, withdrawal on the contracts. So we've been going over all that process and introducing me to Nicole at OLS -- all of these acronyms. I have a diary of those anyway. So I've met with her. She's great. She went over the review of what she looks for in approving our contracts, so we get that done. So that's been good.

We've been working with Jeff at State Archives to prepare for off-boarding our -- getting our data ready to be handed off to archives. I'm working with Paul.

Wonderful. Yes. So we've been working with him.

Anthony's been great. He's been giving me some training

1 MR. PETTY: Bagley --2 MS. LEON: Bagley Keene. MR. PETTY: It's breakfast time. 3 4 ATTNY PANE: More training. (Indiscernible). 5 MS. LEON: More training. So that's been great. Everybody has been great. So I really appreciate them --6 7 having this time to train with everybody. It's been very 8 relevant and it will be very useful. So and thank you 9 for that time frame that I asked you to train with these 10 great people. So they've been all wonderful. So if you 11 have any questions for me, otherwise, I'll give it back 12 to Alvaro. Okay. Alvaro? 13 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: It looks like Commissioner Sinay 14 has a question. 15 MS. LEON: Oh, okay. 16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Not a question. Kudos. I just 17 want to say it's been wonderful working with you and 18 you're just -- it's just been great. I'm really excited 19 for the next eight years. 2.0 MS. LEON: Oh, thank you so much. Thank you. 21 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Great. Thank you, Commissioner 22 Sinay. 2.3 Commissioner Fernandez? 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair.

quickly, thank you, Corina, for that information. And I

25

1 know it's a lot right now. My hope is that for the next eight years or a little less or whatever the case may be, all this information -- and we do know that things change 3 4 within state government sometimes not as fast as we'd 5 like it to --MS. LEON: Yeah. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEX: -- but it does change. hopefully at some point when it does, when you do have 9 some free time, that we'll just document all the 10 processes, our contacts, and then it'll continually be 11 updated due to new contacts or new information or 12 processes. But thank you so much --13 MS. LEON: Yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- for all of your work. 15 MS. LEON: Thank you. Absolutely. We are doing 16 that. We're documenting everything that we go through. 17 So that's been great. Yeah. Oh, thank you. 18 Thank you, Corina. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Great. 19 Alvaro, did you have any things to finish up on your 20 report before we go to Chief Counsel? 21 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, I do have some additional 22 information I wanted to share. 2.3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. Go ahead. 24 MR. HERNANDEZ: Oh. There are some additional 25 questions here.

1 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I see. Okay. Commissioner 2 Yee? Sorry, I didn't see that earlier. 3 COMMISSIONER YEE: No worries. Thank you, Chair. Just wondering what the plan going forward is for office 4 5 space. And Corina, are you going to be work from home or -- I'm just curious what the plan is. 6 7 Yes. I believe I'm going to be planning MS. LEON: 8 to work from home. But we are looking to move from here 9 to the legal office for storage and setting up office 10 space there as well when that's relevant -- when I need 11 to come in. So we'll be getting the mail from here. 12 I'll be coming in, you know, weekly at least. But yeah, 13 that's the plan. We're starting to actually work on 14 Raul's been working on the contract and he's 15 bringing me in on that right now to get the legal office 16 and get that contract situated. 17 COMMISSIONER YEE: Great. Thanks. 18 MS. LEON: Um-hum. Thank you. 19 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. 20 Okay. Commissioner Kennedy? 21 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 22 mentioned or maybe it was Commissioner Fernandez 23 mentioned the contact database, and I think that is one 24 of the most important things to be working on and kept up 25 to date over the next eight years.

1 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: In that regard, I think we should also contemplate how we could also take that 3 4 opportunity to build our county profiles. So the county 5 profiles are largely contact information for various entities, both official and nongovernmental in each of 6 7 the fifty-eight counties. But that's definitely 8 something that I would like to see turned over to the 9 2030 Commission. 10 And I know that we had maybe a few minor hiccups in as far as our contact database. Coming into this, I know 11 12 that staff put a lot of time and effort into building our 13 contact database. And so we definitely want to keep that 14 going and growing as a --15 MS. LEON: Okay. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: -- as an important asset for the Commission. 17 18 MS. LEON: Okay. Thank you. 19 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. 20 MS. LEON: I'll, write that down. I'll definitely 21 do that. 22 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. 2.3 Oh, Commissioner Andersen? 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Just a quick follow

up to Commissioner Yee's question. So basically, the

25

1 office will close the large section and move into what is now essentially the legal office section. Does that mean 3 that all the address, et cetera, our cards and our 4 stationery, that will stay the same? We'll still have 5 the same suite address number? I believe we can make 6 that happen. 7 MS. LEON: Well, the suite's going to change. we're moving from 262, the legal office -- I'm not sure 8 what that suite number is, but that's going to change. 10 But yeah, we're going to be having we're going to be 11 moving all the supplies and records and files to that 12 room. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, the reason I'm saying 14 is because our stationery, our business cards, they all 15 say suite 260. 16 MS. LEON: T --17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So is there any way they 18 could keep that as 260? 19 MS. LEON: Well, I'll talk with Raul. I think that 20 would be possible only because all the mail comes here. 21 So we go to the mail room to pick up our mail. 22 think that's possible to --2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, just to --

COMMISSIONER SNDERSEN: -- a administrative issue.

24

25

MS. LEON: Yes.

1 So thank you very much. 2 MS. LEON: Okay. I'll check into that. 3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thanks for asking that, Commissioner Andersen. 4 5 Commissioner Sinay? COMMISSIONER SINAY: So just a couple -- the one 6 7 thing when we were talking about the database, I was kind 8 of caught off guard that we received emails saying, do 9 you want to be taken off the database? You know, we 10 worked so hard to create a database and inform people and 11 then all of a sudden to get this. So I was just curious 12 how that decision was made and which commissioners were 13 brought in on that. 14 And then second, just wanted to support -- just, 15 Corina, to know that you're not in this alone. A lot of the transition stuff in the database and the contact 16 17 database as well as other issues, we did create a 18 transition committee. We're not doing much yet because 19 we know a lot will change, including contacts and --2.0 MS. LEON: Um-hum. 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- emails and all that. 22 Commissioner Fornaciari and I -- yeah, we'll have a plan 2.3 that we can all work together --24 MS. LEON: Okay. 25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- as a full commission to help

1 so that's not something that's just going to fall on you. 2 MS. LEON: Okay. Thank you. COMMISSIONER SINAY: And so we do have that so that 3 4 everybody knows as you bring those things up, that 5 Commissioner Fornaciari and I are capturing them. And at some point we will share exactly all the pieces that fall 6 7 under that transition piece, which we're looking at being 8 mainly the last two years. 9 MS. LEON: Okay. Wonderful. Thank you. 10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner --11 Director Hernandez? 12 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. I just wanted to circle back 13 on the office space. We're still working out negotiating 14 with DGS and also Department of Rehabilitation. 15 nothing is set in stone yet. We do receive the mail centralized so we can behind the scenes make sure it gets 16 17 to the Commission. 18 But as far as changing the suite, that's not 19 something that's likely going to change. So it'll still 20 get to the Commission. But we're not we're not going to 21 be able to change the suite because it's a different 22 suite number. So again, that's behind the scenes. We'll 2.3 make it -- make sure that all the mail gets to the 24 Commission.

It's going to the main address in the main central

25

mail room at this point. So that was one thing I wanted to mention. In regards to the notification whether or not you wanted to be on the mail, as I mentioned before, Nation Builders, we're transitioning from Nation Builder.

Nation builder has that function and so we're moving that function over.

2.3

of the 10,000, only 3,000 people respond. So we wanted to make sure we gave ample opportunity. There's been two notices that have been sent out asking if they want to continue to let us know so that they can continue on the mail address. A lot of those emails either bounce back or are no longer being used. And so it's more of a cleanup.

But we are getting away from Nation Builder, so we need to bring that over to a different product. The product that we'll be using as part of our office suite. We'll be able to send out notifications to the remaining, I believe it's 3,000 to 4,000.

And hopefully we can increase that number as we continue on this journey with the Commission. But at this point, more than half of those emails are not either looking at it or working. So it's more of a cleanup that we're doing right now.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for addressing that, Commissioner Hernandez.

Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I'm just also circling back to one other thing that was mentioned. You mentioned that Raul is working on the contract for the office space. And we had gone into this a little bit in one of the previous meetings. I want to make sure that we are continuing to have our office space at no charge to the Commission. I mean, my understanding is that that is something that Governor's office is required to provide for us. Okay. Very good. Thank you.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Just for the sake of it being verbalized, so I don't know if of Executive Director Hernandez or Corina, if one of you can address Commissioner Kennedy's question.

MS. LEON: Oh, sorry. Yes, I'll work with -- I'll circle back with Raul about that, Commissioner Kennedy.

And I'll get back to you or the committee -- Commission.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Corina.

Executive Director Hernandez, coming back to you, I don't see any of the questions.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, I just want to address that as well. Although that is what we would like, that everything is for free. It's likely that it's not. We did request funding for office space and that is part of the BCP as well. It's not guarantee that it will be for

1 free. So we want to make sure that we're doing our due diligence to try to ensure that there is funding for 3 that. So that was part of the BCP that we just recently 4 5 It was part of the previous BCP. approved from the last BCP that we submitted. So moving 6 7 forward, we are hoping that those type of funds will be available for the office space, wherever that may be. 8 9 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Alvaro. 10 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. 11 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay, I don't -- oh, and 12 Commissioner -- I see Commissioner Andersen. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you.

Regarding, the use of office space. I'm particularly thinking of the end of February when the emergency orders are over. When we have meetings, will we be actually required now or -- and if so and we have a meeting, where we will be going? And is that part of -- and the reason why I'm saying that is meeting space, was that out of -- on our dime or is that also on the Governor's dime?

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HERNANDEZ: That will likely be on our dime.

Obviously, we can request and work with a variety of different groups to request the space to do those inperson meetings. That may happen in, what is it, July?

Because that order doesn't expire until June of 2023 or

July 1 of 2023. And so at that point, that's a crossroads on how the Commission is going to be able to meet. If there's legislation that changes the meeting requirements, then you will have that to fall on.

But at this point, we did request funding for meetings. We did request funding to cover those costs as well. And in this most recent BCP, we included those costs.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.

2.3

MR. HERNANDEZ: And no guarantee that we'll have the conference room for free might be minimal cost. But Department of Rehabilitation has been very gracious in working with us this entire two-year time frame and then hopefully they'll be able to continue to do so. But we want to be realistic as well.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, thank you, Alvaro. I am sort of backhanded on that one. I wanted to address the cost, but I also wanted to do a public address the Governor's orders will end on the end of -- February 28th is 2023. But there is a difference about meetings date. I think I'd see if Chief Counsel Pane might give me some quick clarification on that, if he could.

ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Commissioner. Yes, and it's exactly as the executive director mentioned. There's a statute that sunsets July 1 that for Bagley Keene

1 meetings does not require a physical location. And so even though the Governor may remove the declaration of 3 emergency, this is technically separate from that. 4 the Commission will need to anticipate public meeting 5 space in accordance with Bagley Keene starting July 1. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that, Chief Counsel 6 Pane. Okay. I had seen hands earlier, but now I don't 7 8 see them. I just want to make sure one last call before I go back to Executive Director Hernandez. Okay. 10 Executive Director Hernandez, I think you had some additional items that you're going to finish up. 11 12 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you. I wanted to mention 13 that we have met with the subcommittee to discuss 14 timeline and next steps for moving the 2020 website from 15 the dot org over to the CA.gov. I'm going to go ahead 16 and defer at this point to the subcommittee who will 17 provide additional information about the website 18 transition, including the 2010 website. 19 In regards to our database, the contract with 20 Snowflake is proceeding. It is with DGS and OLS and we 21 anticipate to have a finalized later this week or early 22 next week. And at that point, we'll have a schedule of 23 how to -- how and when we're going to transfer those

files over to them, and we'll provide that information to

24

25

the subcommittee.

We are also working with DGS on the user interface contract and we hope to have that in place by early to mid-November. It is taking a little bit longer than we anticipated. But we are working on that one as well.

2.0

2.3

Moving on in regards to the State Archives, State

Archives has developed a transfer portal. As Corina

mentioned, she'll be working with them to transfer that

information. State Archives will communicate when they

are ready to have those records transferred. So the

first group of records will include the communication and

outreach records.

You'll notice there was a handout that was posted that identifies all the information that will be transferring over to them. This is based on what the State Archives indicated would be of historical interest to them and they kind of gave the guidelines. So that's how that document came about and that's the type of information that we're sending over to them. Okay. Any questions in that -- under that topic -- those topics?

If not, I'll go ahead and move on.

In regards to the budget, I wanted to let you all know that we did receive a response from the Department of Finance to our follow up letter that we sent in September. They did deny that request. However, we're not giving up and we are continuing our efforts.

Anthony, Corina, Terri and I met with the Finance and
Admin Subcommittee to discuss a new strategy. We've now
submitted a new request for the post map funds for our
post map legal services and have been responding to

follow up questions that they've had.

2.0

In addition, we did provide them a copy of the letter we received from the AG's office back in May of 2021, giving consent to the Commission to hire outside counsel to defend the redistricting maps. So that was a question that they had. And so we provided that documentation to them. And we'll continue to work with DOF and I'm going to defer to the Finance and Admin Subcommittee for additional information on this particular topic.

Moving on to the BCP, Terri has submitted the BCP to the Department of Finance. As Corina mentioned, this is a process. This was the first step of the process. They will have some additional questions that will come up from now until February when it's formally submitted.

And so that's one of the reasons that it's important for Terri and Corina and I to sit down and go over that information so that Corina has that context and frame of reference for any questions that they may have thereafter, December.

I also wanted to mention that the TECs -- staff is



1 continuing to follow up on TECs that have been previously submitted and have not been paid out. We're working with 3 DGS and SEO to get them processed on their end. There 4 have been some hiccups on their end that we're trying to 5 move along. We have also received communication from DGS that 6 7 TECs that are submitted after November 18th, 2022 will be on hold for processing until after January 1st of 2023 8 due to the year-end reporting that they have to do. 10 bring that up only because, as Commission -- Chair 11 Akutagawa mentioned, it would be nice to have all of you 12 join us here in December, but I just wanted to set the 13 right expectations that those won't start -- those TECs 14 wouldn't start the processed until after January. 15 with that, that concludes my report. Are there any 16 questions?

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you, Executive I'm not seeing any questions come up Director Hernandez. just yet. Let's go ahead and move on to chief counsel report.

Chief Counsel Pane?

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Chair. Good morning, Commissioners. Couple items under chief counsel update. The first is I want to let you all know, in case you haven't heard already or just for the public, that the

Governor has signed AB 1848. He signed it on September 29th, eight days after our previous meeting. And that, as you may recall, addresses how the Commission would address members of the state incarcerated prisons. And that's effective January 1. Plenty of time for the 2030 Commission, so.

2.0

The second piece I wanted to highlight and Tim

Treichelt will be able to speak to this here in a minute.

But in previous commission meetings, I highlighted that

we would be getting before you a request to have staff

effectuate a conflict of interest disclosure category

changes for the staff.

Again, these are disclosure categories. The amount of information that's otherwise required to be disclosed on an annual basis for covered employees which staff would be. Commissioners are listed in that but there's no changes to the disclosure level for Commissioners. So the same level of disclosure that you were providing before for a form 700, you will be doing that the same.

So this again is a change for staff. But in an abundance of caution, what we would -- what Tim will be seeking is a motion to have you let staff help to effectuate that change. We still need to do a lot of coordination with Fair Political Practices Commission and maybe a few other Office of Administrative Law. So with

1 | that, I will turn it over to Tim.

ATTNY TREICHELT: Yeah, thank you, Anthony and Madam Chair and members. Tim Treichelt, attorney for the Commission. And what is before you and with the handouts in the packet are -- is a proposal to submit a draft updated conflict of interest code to the Office of Administrative Law for finalization and public noticing and eventually becoming a regulatory document like the last one which was passed in 2015.

So this Commission inherited a conflict-of-interest code that was adopted in 2015 by the previous Commission. It only included the commissioners and one staff member. That doesn't exist now. So the Fair Political Practices Commission contacted us and asked for an update. They're actually required every two years to request updates, if there's been any changes.

So we had to -- we updated -- we work with FPPC and updated based upon the duty statements that existed at peak employment of the Commission and developed the conflict-of-interest code that's before you. And that includes the staffing at peak. And we anticipate it to be a code if passed, to be useful for the next Commission as they ramp up staffing rather than have to go through the process that we went through. It took a lot longer than we expected.

So the process, if you choose to move this draft document forward that the FPPC agrees with, they concur with the work that we both did to bring it to its current state. So if you move it forward, it will go to the Office of Administrative Law with accompanying documentation transmitted by the Executive Director.

The next stage is the code will be noticed in the California Regulatory Notice Register, which is published every Friday by the Office of Administrative Law. That will begin the 45-day public notice period, and the public will have notice and have the right to comment and staff will be obliged to answer any comment, although we don't really expect any nor does the FPPC, we will need to respond to comments.

After that period and public commenting process is completed, the code goes to the Secretary of state for finalization as a regulation and will become part of the California Code of Regulations. Just like the last code, the current code actually exists. So that's the process. I can walk through the code if you wish, or I can be available right now for questions.

I wanted to mention one thing. We received a comment yesterday -- an inquiry yesterday from a lady from the League of Women Voters here in Sacramento asking about what regulatory changes were being proposed by this

1 agenda item. I spoke with the caller and also followed up with an email. And I believe that everything is 3 cleared up and she is satisfied. So with that, I thank you for your attention and available for questions. 4 5 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Tim. I see that we have a question from Commissioner Kennedy. 6 7 Thank you, Chair. And thank COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 8 you for that. I have a much broader question, which is under whose authority is this regulation being issued? 10 If it's under our authority, I guess I'm a little 11 confused because my understanding all along is we don't 12 yet have regulatory authority. 13 So is it under our authority in some way or is it 14 under Secretary of State OR OAL or just help me 15 understand how this is happening. And if we do have 16 regulatory authority, then I think that's a much bigger 17 conversation that we will want to have at some point 18 going forward, because there are things that we don't 19 necessarily feel rise to the level of being -- needing to 2.0 be in the Government Code or the Electoral Code, but 21 could be in the CCR. Thank you. 22 ATTNY TREICHELT: Sure. Commissioner Kennedy, thank 23 you for the great question. I asked the same question of 24 the Fair Political Practices Commission, because as

you're aware, I was aware that there's no specific -- and

25

I checked with OAL. We're not listed as a regulatory
body. The regulations that were promulgated for the
Commission came from the Department of Finance. So under
their authority. So they said there's no problem. OAL
said there's no problem. And they didn't really get into
specifics about authority.

2.3

But my understanding is this is a unique situation where the FPPCs requirement to pass a regulation provides the specific regulatory authority only for this act, only for the code of -- conflict of interest code. And I defer to my chief counsel for any clarification.

ATTNY PANE: Yeah, no. Thank you, Tim. Just a quick add on to that. Even though we are independent, we are also a state entity. And because we are a state entity, a public facing transparent state entity, like many others, we fall under some of the other department's sort of I'll just call them rubrics of obligations.

And one of the obligations is that a covered employee as a state employee, as it's defined under the FPPC rules, you're required to file a form 700. And as a result of that, there are disclosure categories as a result of having to be a covered employee, of having to file a form 700.

So this is under that rubric. It would it would be nice if all of this sort of magically meant that



departments that don't have regulatory authority now have regulatory authority because they've used the word regulations for us, but it doesn't work that way. So we are obligated to file a form 700 and every department is obligated to have a conflict-of-interest code, and that's the mandate that's put on every department.

2.0

And we therefore have to have a conflict-of-interest code. And that's what Tim is trying to adjust. We already have one. We're making changes to it to update it. And so he's going to be working with Alvaro and Corina to update it and make the changes that he's referenced if that helps.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It helps, I guess. It seems to me that it's almost a policy issue at our level as to who is included for what. And that the regulation per say is more something for the FPPC to issue rather than for us to issue. Particularly, since we're looking at a boilerplate code, a conflict-of-interest code that we're, I guess, merely adopting.

ATTNY PANE: I think that's a great way to put it.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner

Kennedy. I also see that Commissioner Andersen has a

question and maybe before Commissioner Anderson starts, I

think Chief Counsel Pane, you are affirming what

Commissioner Kennedy just spoke about, and that is why

1 this is before us -- this topic?
2 ATTNY PANE: Yes. I mean,

2.0

ATTNY PANE: Yes. I mean, I think what Tim's going -- what Tim will be communicating is what Commissioner Kennedy said. The other departments like the Fair Political Practices Commission may not use the same verbiage that we will be using. And the reason for that is going to be because they're used to how -- dealing with departments that have regulatory authority. So they're not going to frankly be as exact as we will need to be. But he understands that in the communications.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for clarifying that.

Okay. Commissioner Andersen?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, thank you. This is along those very same lines, not the regulatory but in the policy aspect, because I recall that we actually did go through this conflict of interest and specifically about some of the people we hired when we were talking about actually going back and we're doing our contracts.

And I thought we actually made a policy regarding here is categories, what categories people sort of fit in which I noticed on this regulation there was actually specific categories and we actually came up with I wouldn't say categories, but who was responsible for what at different staffing levels. And I was going to ask, I

guess, the admin group if they recall any of this and if we had, in fact, a policy in hand to make sure it's consistent with what we've already adopted, which of course, was much later than 2015, I might ask the question.

2.0

ATTNY TREICHELT: If I may address that, we -- when working with the Fair Political Practices Commission staff, we evaluated all of the duty statements that the Commission adopted for all the positions for the potential of making decisions that could be could result in a conflict of interest.

So that was the policies that were incorporated into the duty statements for all the staff was considered and evaluated in coming up with the responsibility levels in this code. I'm not sure about the other discussion prior to that process, which may have been different, but we —but this code does seek to address all potential conflicts of interest and accordingly require disclosure that is appropriate.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I appreciate that,

Counsel. But actually I don't believe that our conflicts

of interest occurred. There was a duty statement we were

hiring people, which we put to the duty statement when we

were hiring people and we wrote specific you shall do

this, you must do this, and should do this when we hired

them. And then it was later that we actually thought about conflict of interest. And so I'd like to ask either Commissioner Fornaciari and Fernandez to address if -- I just want us to be consistent with everything.

2.3

Because even if there might be a couple of particular directors, there's executive directors but then the directors do different things. What we end up saying. So I don't have that handy, but I'm going to ask one of our administrators — the administrative committee to answer that.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Well, that's perfect because I see

Commissioner Fornaciari with his hand up next. So I

think hopefully you're going to address Commissioner

Andersen's question.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm going to try.

Let's see. So I'm looking at our policy manual that I

have on my computer. I don't know where it went. We had

it posted up on the shared Google Drive. I don't know

what happened where it is in the OneDrive. But anyway,

so we adopted a personnel policy. How we manage our

personnel. We adopted a Commissioner code of conduct

policy and a staff code of conduct. I remember seeing

this document floating around in the past. But I don't

think we did anything with this in particular. I have no

recollection of -- I mean, I recall seeing it. I recall

1 us going over it. But I don't think we adopted it or discussed it. It looks like Alicia might have a -- or Commissioner Fernandez might have --3 4 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So a hand off to Commissioner 5 Fernandez. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. 6 We're working on 7 slim memories here for -- Commissioner Fornaciari and I. I believe, and correct me if I'm wrong, Commissioner 8 9 Fornaciari, I believe the prior chief counsel was working on it and it never was finalized. 10 So I think now this is being finalized, which thank 11 12 you so much for picking that up because then we got 13 started -- then we went into the lane drawing and things 14 just got a little bit hectic. So I think this is just 15 kind of tying it back up and making sure that there's 16 something in place moving forward. Thank you. 17 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okav. 18 Commissioner Andersen? 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: If we could just make sure 20 that the policies we did have because I -- there were 21 extensive discussions about who should -- it basically 22 fits what categories these people would be in and 23 specific items we thought that would be a conflict for 24 these people, but it would not be for others. If this is 25 consistent, if you could maybe find that somewhere,

because I also, like Commissioner Fornaciari said, I knew
it was on the Google drive. I can't find it anymore.
And if we could find that somehow, that shared drive, and
then make sure that that gets to Counsel Treichelt so he

can make sure it's consistent, that'd be great.

6 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

7 | Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Director Hernandez just reminded me that our policy manuals actually are posted on our website. Shockingly. It's under about us, commission policy manual. So that's where you can find it.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So I just want to make sure that just maybe for the public and also I think for myself, I just need to repeat what I'm thinking I heard from Commissioner Andersen. So Commissioner Andersen, I think you're asking to ensure that for the sake of consistency across the various positions that we have, your request is for Counsel Treichelt to review the duty statements, for the need -- for I guess disclosure around conflict of interest. Is that based on what's in the manual?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I might clarify a little bit. It's not specifically the duty statements. It's he

has formulated and put into categories our -- the

positions for this form that we must actually now

1 officially adopt. I want to make sure that it is consistent with our policy, which we --3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Got it. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- we're using as a basis to 4 5 ultimately create this document, which never happened. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Got it. Okay. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But it is happening now. And it's basically background information that I don't 8 9 believe he realized was there and/or available. 10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you and great point. 11 Yeah. So making sure there is consistency across all of 12 our policies then. Okay. 13 ATTNY TREICHELT: Yes. In response to your request, 14 I am looking at the policy manual now and we'll review 15 and make adjustments or propose adjustments as necessary 16 in conjunction with the chief counsel. Thank you for 17 pointing that out to me. 18 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Excellent. Thank you. And thank 19 you for all of this conversation. 2.0 Okay. Chief Counsel Pane, coming back to you, is 21 there anything that you wanted to finish up your report 22 on? 2.3 ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Chair. No, that's all we 24 have.

Excellent.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay.

1 ATTNY PANE: I see there's a motion. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. We are ready to take 3 public comment on Agenda Item Number 2, which is the 4 director updates and --5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good, Chair. 6 here to help you with that. 7 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Oh, we got one last comment, Commissioner Fernandez? 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I'm just wondering 10 if -- I realize that we've given Tim direction to check 11 the policies to make sure we have consistency, but just 12 wondering if we want to go ahead and make a motion to 13 move forward with this because there's only a couple of 14 months left when we're going to have staff available. So 15 it would be great if we could get this done prior to 16 that. 17 So I don't know if, Chief Counsel Pane, is that 18 something that's doable? Like if we did a motion that 19 directed you to ensure that the policy that the, that 2.0 conflict of interest is in line with our policies, 21 something like that. 22 ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez. 23 Yes, I think if the Commission were inclined, we could still make sure that Mr. Treichelt was able to make sure 24

that any disclosure category edits are consistent with

the policies that have been adopted. I think we could still move forward on a motion if we were to have a first and then a second.

2.3

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So just for clarification, Chief Counsel Pane. So are we being asked to, to make a motion to approve this policy pending the review that Counsel Treichelt will make to ensure consistency with our policy manual?

what I would recommend is that we have a motion for staff to make the necessary conflict of interest disclosure category changes consistent with the Commission's policies. So that would be incorporating Commissioner Andersen's inquiry. And if we had a motion and a vote on that, Tim could make sure that that's something that's incorporated if the Commission so wishes.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that clarification, Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I guess in my mind I see it maybe happening the other way around and the motion including authorization to make conforming changes to the policy manual. I mean, if this is the most recent action and we're clear with FPPC that this is what needs to happen, then aren't we talking more about adjusting the policy manual to conform to this latest

1 action? Help me out. Thanks. ATTNY PANE: Sure, sure. Commissioner Kennedy, 3 what -- part of -- the change to the Commission's 4 disclosure categories requires a number of steps and a bit of cooperation and collaboration from our staff with 5 other Fair Political Practices Commission staff and so --6 7 and the Office of Administrative Law. To make these changes, there are these preset timelines and processes. So what we're mainly looking 10 for is we're mainly looking for the authorization for 11 staff to begin that process. That process would include: 12 Commensurate and consistent with policy changes and 13 exactly what Commissioner Andersen was talking about, 14 making sure that those are consistent with that, with 15 what she raised, but nevertheless, to be able to move 16 forward on it. So I'm open to the wording of the motion, 17 but that's what we're trying to get to if that helps. 18 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 19 Commissioner Fernandez, are you ready to make a 2.0 motion? Is that --21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm ready to second 22 Commissioner Kennedy's motion. I guess he has the 2.3 wording for it. How's that? Is that getting it started backwards? Is it the cart or is it the horse? 24

ATTNY PANE: Well, there's a second before a first.

1 | Impressive.

2.3

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And I don't think I'm ready to put a motion on the table, so.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So I would have a motion. Hopefully, I'm going to say it correctly. So you both can obviously correct me. A motion to allow staff to move forward with the conflict-of-interest statement to ensure that it is consistent with our current policies.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Is there a second?

Okay. Commissioner Andersen, is that a second?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would second that.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. Okay. Any discussion -- further discussion?

15 Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. I would offer a hopefully friendly amendment to authorize the staff to move forward and to propose conforming amendments to the existing policy manuals. Because I really do see that there's something that's been worked out between our attorneys and FPPC.

And I don't want to have to go back to FPPC and say, oh, this doesn't conform with our policies. I'd rather change the policies to conform to what's been worked out between the attorneys and FPPC. Thank you.

1 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okav. 2 Commissioner Fernandez, since you were the original 3 person to move -- make the motion, do you -- are you 4 accepting these friendly amendment to the motion? 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEX: I am. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you. 6 7 And Commissioner Andersen, I believe you were the second. Are you also in concurrence? 8 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I --10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Or do you just have a question? 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think if needed. 12 needed because --13 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I believe -- yeah, if needed 15 in that -- yes, if it turns out that no you can't move 16 people, but I believe the authority is sufficient for our counsel to make the adjustments accordingly. It's really 17 18 a question of moving different staff in different 19 categories. 2.0 And I believe it's -- I'm seeing a bit of a head 21 shake. Yeah. I think the authority would be -- if a 22 little bit of our policy needs to change were, yes, 23 versus if like a slightly different person fits a 24 different category, something like that, I believe that 25 they could given the authority to work this out with the

1 appropriate people would suffice. 2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think that --COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So the adding "as needed" I 3 4 think is what -- rather than saying "we must" change our 5 policy. I might have misunderstood the (indiscernible). CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Perhaps it might help if we could 6 7 all see what the proposed amended motion would be with the either "as needed" or "if needed". And so while that 8 9 is being put up, Commissioner Kennedy, I see that you 10 have your hand up again. 11 Counsel can help me COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. 12 out. But my understanding is conforming changes are only 13 the changes that are necessary to adjust the policies. 14 There's not going to be any wholesale change in policy. 15 But as I say, counsel can help me out if I'm wrong on the 16 meaning of conforming changes. 17 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 18 Commissioner Andersen, do you have additional 19 comments, changes? 2.0 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I just like a quick question 21 from -- I fully appreciate the issue that COMMISIONER 22 Kennedy has brought forward. And I don't know if that is 2.3 indeed the case or if we're making it seem much like, 24 well, it's a done deal with what has been put forth --25

put in front of us and if it's in conflict with our

1 policy, well, that's just too bad. We'll have to change our policy. And I'd like to see if counsel says, yes, 3 that is indeed the case, unless we want to go back and fight, or in which case this perfectly fits it. But if 4 5 it turns out that hey, we didn't want that staff member to have to do this because we're going to staff -- we 6 7 would put a person in that position. There were reasons why we said, well, that -- the 9 staff person should not have that kind of conflict 10 because they need to have that information. If that was 11 a conflict there they had to sign then they could not 12 fill the position. So and I believe chief counsel 13 understands the point I'm trying to make here. The fine 14 Is that an issue or does this indeed cover what detail. 15 Commissioner Kennedy covered? 16 ATTNY PANE: Commissioner Andersen, I'm going to let 17 Mr. Treichelt actually address that. He's been more 18 deeply involved with this. 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. 2.0 ATTNY TREICHELT: Thank you, Chief Counsel. 21 Commissioner Anderson, the -- I've just reviewed the 22 code of conduct on the web page, and there's -- the two 23 components that I believe are familiar to the proposal are the staff code of conduct and the Commissioner code 24

of conduct. And it's really just one page. And I don't

see anything that is incompatible with the proposed contact interest code.

2.3

And I think to distinguish the two, the Commission has, and it's a very good document, created a document for internal policies addressing staff conduct and Commissioner conduct which go way beyond that which is required by the FPPC. And it's a conflict-of-interest code that merely requires a certain level of economic interest reporting. Okay?

So just economic interest reporting is what, what is being controlled by the proposal before you. Whereas the Commission's code of conduct actually is more elaborate, gets into the details, possible scenarios, protocol of Commissioners, et cetera that is not affected at all by the code that's before you.

So the two true documents I don't think they're at all inconsistent. And I don't see anything going through its -- those particular items several times while we've been speaking, see anything that needs to be updated in the draft code that's before you or updated in your policies.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Perfect. Thank you very much. And then I certainly agree to this amendment.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: And Commissioner Fernandez, I just want to make sure that you are also in concurrence with

1 this amended motion. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. 3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you. I believe 4 we are ready to go to public comment on this specific 5 motion. Oh, Commissioner Kennedy? 6 7 Thank you, Chair. COMMMSISSIONER KENNEDY: Is what we're seeking or what counsel is seeking is authorizing 8 9 staff to move forward with conflict-of-interest 10 disclosure category changes consistent with the 11 commission's policies or consistent with the boilerplate 12 or the agreement with FPPC. I mean, in my mind, we're 13 authorizing staff to move forward with changes consistent 14 with what's been agreed with FPPC. 15 And then if -- and it looks like there won't be, but 16 if there were to be any changes, the changes would be 17 conforming changes to the Commission's policy manual. 18 Because if we talk about being consistent with the 19 policies, we wouldn't then make changes to the policy 20 manual. That's, I think, where I'm having a little bit 21 of a logic problem with this. Thank you. 22 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. 2.3 Commissioner Fernandez? And Commissioner Andersen,

I don't know if your hand is up for a question or if it's

24

25

just left up.

1	Okay. Commissioner Fernandez?
2	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That was a Taylor. Derek
3	Taylor. Yes. And this is why initially I wanted to be
4	the second motion. But yes, I do agree that we want to
5	make sure we our changes are consistent with whatever
6	regulations are or whatever however you want to is it
7	FPPC or whatever they're called? And then we if needed,
8	we would make changes to our policies. So yes. Thank
9	you, Commissioner Kennedy.
10	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay.
11	ATTNY TREICHELT: Well, I think I think a
12	suggestion would be to along Commissioner's
13	suggestion, as required by the Fair Political Practices
14	Commission, because we bring this to you after getting
15	approval from them to go forward. So by the Fair
16	Political Practice Commission, FPPC.
17	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. I want to make sure
18	Commissioner Fernandez
19	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I accept
20	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: do you agree with Commissioner
21	Andersen?
22	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I accept. And I don't
23	know if my co-motioner is that how you say it,
24	Commissioner Kennedy's good with it too. And then
25	Commissioner Andersen?

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I am good with it only because I actually did -- yes, we want to be consistent 3 with fair practices, but as we are the ones are supposed 4 to be writing this for us, our counsel said, we actually 5 have a very detailed discussion about this and goes into more depth. If there was a conflict, I'd really want us 6 7 to look at what that was compared to our policy. I understand that there isn't a conflict, so I'm 9 okay with this, but not the other way around. It's like 10 there's regulation. We just have to live with it since 11 we're such, "writing or regulation". But I think that's 12 a good point. 13 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. So I'm hearing that you're 14 willing to accept. 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm okay. 16 Thank you. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 17 Commissioner Kennedy? 18 Thank you, Chair. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 19 would just point out that this is allowing staff to 20 propose conforming changes, if needed, to the policy 21 manual, not make conforming changes. So anything would 22 come back to us in any case, and we would have a further 23 opportunity to discuss. Thank you. 24 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, which I think it is implied 25 in the way the motion is written that they would be

as Chief Counsel had said, this is the first step, but we need to take the step now. Otherwise we're going to run out of time before the end of the year, so.

Commissioner Kennedy, I think, I think what I heard is still that you are comfortable with the way the motion is, is here, I believe. Right? Commissioner Kennedy, is this reflecting what you were --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, I was nodding.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Okay. Just wanted to make sure because of the document that's shown on the screen, I'm not seeing everybody all at once. So I need to make it so that I could see everybody. All right. Excellent. We are ready to go to public comment on this particular motion.

Kristian, if you could call for the public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You got it. In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone for the motion on the floor. To call in, dial the telephone number provided on the livestream feed. It is 877-853-5247.

When prompted, enter the meeting ID number provided



1 on the livestream feed. It is 81861322117 for this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID, simply press pound. Once you've dialed in, you'll be placed in 3 4 a queue to indicate you wish to comment, please press 5 This will raise your hand for the moderator. When it's your turn to speak, you'll hear a message that 6 7 says the host would like you to talk. Press star 6 to 8 speak. 9 If you'd like to give your name, please state and 10 spell it for the record. You're not required to provide 11 your name to give public comment. Please make sure to 12 mute your computer or livestream audio to prevent any 13 feedback or distortion during your call. Once you're 14 waiting in a queue, be alert for when it is your turn to 15 speak. And again, please turn down the livestream 16 volume. And there's no one in the queue at this time, 17 Chair. 18 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Just let us know when the 19 instructions finish. 2.0 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Will do. And those 21 instructions are complete on the livestream, Chair. 22 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Excellent. Thank you. Okay. 23 We're ready to move to a vote then, if we don't have

24

25

anybody in the queue.

1	Commissioner Fernandez? Oh, I'm sorry, Commissioner
2	Andersen?
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
4	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Fernandez?
5	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
6	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Fornaciari?
7	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
8	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Kennedy?
9	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
LO	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Le Mons?
L1	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes.
L2	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Sadhwani?
L3	Commissioner Sinay?
L 4	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
L 5	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Taylor?
L 6	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
L 7	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Toledo?
L 8	Commissioner Turner?
L 9	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.
20	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Vasquez?
21	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.
22	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Yee?
23	COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
24	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Ahmed?
25	COMMISSIONER AHMED: Yes.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And Chair Akutagawa? 1 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Motion passes. 4 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Excellent. Thank you, everyone, 5 for that robust discussion. And I think it was good that we worked it all out. And I think we all clearly 6 7 understand it, too. So thank you very much for that. 8 All right. Let's go ahead. Let's move on. We are now 9 going to take public comment on the entirety of Agenda 10 Item 2, which is the director updates. 11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good, Chair. 12 Commission will now take public comment on Agenda Item 2. 13 To give public comment, please call 877-853-5247 and 14 enter meeting ID number 81861322117. Once you've dialed 15 in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. 16 full call-in instructions are read at the beginning of 17 the meeting and are provided on the livestream landing 18 page. And there's no one in the queue at this time, 19 Chair. 2.0 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. We'll wait for the 21 instructions to finish. 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And those instructions 23 are complete. 24 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Excellent. Okay.

Well, let's go ahead and we'll move on to the next item

1 on our agenda, which is the subcommittee updates. we're going to start first with an update from our Finance and Administration subcommittee. 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I don't think we have an 4 5 update because I believe Executive Director Hernandez gave the update or did we have something else, 6 7 Commissioner Fornaciari? Yeah, I think we're going to hopefully staff will be 9 drafting the report that eventually has to go to the 10 legislature on our expenditures. But I think that was 11 probably the only thing because we had -- we talked about 12 the VCP, we talked about our request to release some of 13 the funds. Thank you. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okav. 15 Commissioner Fornaciari? 16 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Anthony, were you 17 going to go over the request for funding? 18 Frankly, Commissioner Fornaciari, I MR. HERNANDEZ: 19 might need you to prompt me a little bit. 2.0 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: For our legal funding. 21 MR. HERNANDEZ: Let's see, if -- I can certainly go 22 through a little bit of a summary of what's transpired 2.3 and see if that -- see if we cover -- maybe you can help 24 me along with I'm just not make -- I have a thought in my 25 head but I want to make sure I'm getting to it.

1	As the Executive Director mentioned earlier, we have
2	been trying to get the services for post map operations
3	with legal services paid. And what we're hoping to
4	we're hoping to get to a point where the Commission is
5	and we believe this is the case under the California
6	Constitution, that they that this Commission is able
7	to and has sole legal authority to defend its maps.
8	And as a result of that, they are free to utilize
9	the AG's office or a separate firm. This Commission
10	chose to utilize a separate firm at Strumwasser, and
11	we're all familiar with that, and to defend those maps.
12	And that's what we did. Going forward, we want to make
13	sure that the other state entities have the same
14	understanding of that provision that we have.
15	And so part of part of the discussion that I think
16	I'm having right now, and I want to make sure that the
17	other departments are having this as well, that the 2030
18	Commission is set up for success. Does that kind of
19	address what you were getting at Commissioner Fornaciari?
20	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Well, so I thought
21	it was part of our budget request that we had requested,
22	some funding for this. And we requested 200k. The
23	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. We're just
24	COMMISSIONER FORNACIAIR: So we're just having the
25	Department of Finance to try to receive that

MR. HERNANDEZ: So right now, I think what we have is we have a situation where we are asking for -- we've provided, and Executive Director can jump in, if I don't have it quite right, we've asked for funding for legal services for the Commission for starting January 1 forward. And what we don't have right now is we don't have funding for legal services.

Assuming the Chief Counsel is not going to be here

January 1, we're left with Mr. Treichelt as a retired

annuity, but we don't have funding to pay for that use -
for your use of legal services. And the reason why this

is important is because just for everybody's

understanding, the way budget requests work is you don't

just ask for money and then you get money. It doesn't

work that way. You have to say we want to use -- we need

X dollars for these purposes.

And so to date, this commission does not have funding for legal services starting January 1. So you don't have funding provided to the Commission yet to have legal services. And while the Commission may decide to off-board the Chief Counsel at the end of the year, you still have Mr. Treichelt as a retired annuitant who could be used, but you don't have funding for -- starting January 1 to pay for that use of legal services.

Department of Finance has not said here's X thousand

1 dollars for use of legal services, which would include but not be exclusive to Mr. Treichelt. It could also it would also be needing, I would think, Strumwasser as well 3 4 because this is all post map. So I wanted you all to be 5 aware of that and maybe that's where I think you might be going there, Commissioner Fornaciari. 6 7 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. ATTNY PANE: Yep. 9 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Finance and Admin 10 Subcommittee, does that conclude your update? Okay. 11 Thank you very much. Let's go ahead and let's move on. 12 We have nine minutes. I just want to acknowledge this. 13 We have nine minutes for our next update from our Website 14 Committee. And if let's see if we could get it done in nine minutes. If not, we will reconvene after and have 15 16 them continue their report afterwards. 17 So Commissioner Kennedy? 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. I just wanted to 19 before we move on to the Website, make sure do we need to 20 take an action on this issue of the funding for legal 21 support at this point, or you're just continuing your 22 discussions with DOF and others? 2.3 ATTNY PANE: That's correct, Commissioner Kennedy. 24 I mean, the Executive Director is aware of this issue and 25

it's part of our ongoing communication with Department of

Finance that the -- and they know this, that you have to ask -- and we've itemized a request. You don't just get to ask for money and it gets back to you. You have to say what you're using it for. And to date, what you don't have is use and therefore paying an amount paid out to have legal services starting January 1.

2.3

And again, that would include any in-house legal services we might have, but it would also include use of Strumwasser as needed. So we've tried our best to get an estimate of what we think we will need for that. And so we will continue to work with the Department of Finance to get that amount out to the Commission so that they can use it for those purposes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: If I can, do you see any need for a sense of the Commission resolution on this at this point?

ATTNY PANE: I don't know that it would. I mean, certainly a Commission discussion in open session would probably serve the same purpose as anything because it's all in the public record. Certainly, it would be consistent with the communications that staff have had with Department of Finance. So I would leave that up to the Commission.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Kennedy, I'm going to ask you to pause right now. Let's see what Commissioner

1 Andersen has to say before we decide what would be the best course.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I am very concerned about this because I need a clarifying question answered, The post map legal services, does that mean they're not saying we can have any of the money that was supposed to have her post map? Because that is when you get sued. And the idea that, oh, well, if you haven't resolved the lawsuit between -- before December 31st, 2022, too bad?

You haven't had our election, first election with these maps is only coming up in November here. I mean, if we're going to get sued, it's going to be probably after that, if it hadn't already just on the basis of putting together. So I do not understand what the issue is here at all. And I think it would be very fruitful to have a commission discussion on this level because. The idea that, oh, well, is just not acceptable. I mean, if they put all the money into having us make these maps, we're not going to be able to defend them? That's crazy.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen.

I also see Commissioner Fernandez has her hand up.

Yes. You understand our COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: frustrations so far, Commissioner Andersen, we've been going back and forth and Finance has pushed back, saying that we can use -- we should use a -- reach out to the AG's office to have them represent us if we are sued. We also have a letter dated back in May 2021 where the AG's office explicitly states that they may not have the expertise to defend us.

So we have been going back and forth. And every time we ask for money to be released for litigation.

They ask us, Well, what litigation? You don't have any litigation so far. But that's just like anything else.

You have a contract in case you do get sued instead of I believe what they're looking for is once we get sued, then we can ask for the funding.

And that's, in my opinion, an inappropriate way to work, especially when we have -- the staff is going to be off-boarding. I would like to have a contract in place in case we get sued, because at the end of the line if we -- at the end of the day, if we don't get sued, the funding reverts back to the state. It's not like you can use it for something else.

If it's specifically for litigation, then it's used for litigation. If it's specifically for legal, then it's used for legal. So yeah, we're trying different approaches. We're trying to be creative and hopefully one of these will actually go through. So thank you. We're trying. Doors have been closing and we've been

1 trying to open new doors. So wish us luck. 2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Yee? 3 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. Yeah. 4 appreciate everyone's efforts on this. Besides the 5 possibility of lawsuits, what about just having legal counsel around our meetings, to review the things we're 6 7 working on, all those functions. Do those need the same 8 funding, do they not? 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And that is what we're 10 saying. I mean, we still want them -- still want legal 11 counsel for our meetings. We still have issues that come 12 up. Some of our subcommittees have been using have 13 benefited greatly from our chief counsel's expertise. 14 And so that's been the back and forth with Finance, 15 trying to justify exactly what we're going to need the 16 money for. And we're moving forward -- continuing the 17 forward justification. 18 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Fernandez. 19 Commissioner Andersen? 2.0 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would also say not just 21 for our meetings, but case in point, what we're 22 following, at the federal level, our mere existence. 2.3 These are issues which are not -- if we do not have 24 ability to hire legal counsel in their preliminary run up 25 to a litigation, we will lose. I mean, you must have --

1 you must be forward looking on these items. And the government is there. I mean, this is something that's --3 every politician understands. If you wait until your hit, it's too late and you're going down. And we don't 4 have that ability in the California Constitution. 5 We have to be able to defend ourselves. We have to 6 7 be able to defend our maps. And it would be what's the expression of penny wise, dollar foolish. If we do not 8 have ability to take care of small issues because, oh, 10 the funding isn't there. You haven't been already sued. You know, we don't want to be sued. 11 12 And by having legal counsel available to us, we can 13 minimize any overt or missteps it could create real havoc 14 for us. And then the expertise level alone is another 15 issue why we should have that funding. And also, thank 16 you very much. I did not realize that that was still up 17 in the air. I'm glad that you're actually bringing 18 that -- sounding the alarm very, very loudly today. 19 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner 2.0 Andersen. 21 Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Fernandez, I do 22 see your hands up for this. We are one minute to the 23 break time. I do want to say, I think if I can, this is 24 all, I think discussion that we've had in the past.

also want to say, I think the Finance and Admin

Commission Subcommittee, as well as the staff are very, very well, as you know, of all of the sentiments, I think that we all have and the concerns that we share about, you know, the lack of progress and on this.

I think at this point, I'm going to take what they shared with us as more of an update and that the team is going to continue to push it along. I think I trust that Chief Counsel Pane and Executive Director Hernandez is going to bring us back to -- along with the subcommittee is going to bring this back to us when we need to actually take action.

Commissioner Turner, if you don't mind, we'll come back to you after the break. I know we're at the top of the hour, so we need to take that break. Okay. Thank you. Fifteen minutes.

Hi, Everyone. Welcome back from that break. We are at our subcommittee updates and we were on the Finance and Administration update. When we went to break, we had one pending comment or question from Commissioner Turner. I'm going to turn to her now.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair Akutagawa. I just was in support of what was being stated prior to the break and lacking understanding and why there was a resistance in releasing the funds. My question was, does this differ drastically from what happened in 2010? We

have the same issue of non-release of funds or did
something different happen? I'm just wondering
comparatively so that I can try to get an understanding
of what would be the reason and rationale for not
releasing the funds.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think, Commissioner -- Director Hernandez, perhaps you could address that.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, thank you. And my understanding is that it was very similar in the last Commission at the end trying to get the post map funding for litigation or legal services. So they had some challenges there as well. I don't recall if you -- I don't remember if you recall that Raul had shared that there were two different legal -- what is it, firms and one of them decided not to continue with the Commission, partly because of that issue.

There wasn't the funding, they weren't paid. And so that definitely was a challenge. I'm hoping that in the future this doesn't continue to be as big of a challenge as it has been up until this point. I do feel confident that we are working with the Department of Finance in providing them the information that they've requested, and hopefully that will help them come to the determination that the funds are definitely needed.

How you define them shouldn't be their call, but



1 we're working with them. I'll keep you posted on that as much as possible. I want to give a shout out to Terri 3 because she's the one that's -- Terri Isedeh our budget 4 officer. She's the one that's been fielding all the 5 calls and the communications with the Department of 6 Finance throughout the process, so. 7 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Great. Thank you very much. Thank you for that question, Commissioner Turner. And 8 thank you, Director Hernandez, for that. I am looking 10 don't see any additional hands up. I think what we'll do 11 is we'll continue to trust our subcommittee to keep us 12 updated and work on this issue to resolve it as quickly 13 as possible, obviously, especially since the staff is 14 going to be off-boarding very shortly. And I believe 15 that they understand very much the urgency. So okay. 16 Commissioner Kennedy? 17 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 18 certain that staff understand the urgency and the issues 19 involved. It's not clear that folks at Department of 20 Finance do. And so I would ask that we not close this 21 I may come back after lunch with a motion item fully. 22 for a sense of the commission resolution that we would 23 put on paper that would essentially, at least in a 24 virtual sense, have the signatures and support of anyone

who voted in favor of that resolution so that it's not

1 just our staff talking to DOF staff, but it's the Commission speaking with the voice of the Commission as a 3 whole. Thank you. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. 4 5 And I think that would be a good suggestion. I think we -- it will also give all of us some additional time to 6 7 reflect and think about what would be then and the best 8 way to help facilitate moving this forward. especially I appreciate what you said about putting the 10 full weight of the Commission behind the work that is 11 urgently needed to be closed and resolved so that we can 12 ensure our future legal needs. 13 All right. Let's go ahead. We were about to 14 transition to the Website subcommittee. Let's go ahead 15 and move to that. That is either Commissioner Taylor or 16 Commissioner Kennedy. No updates? 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, it's Commissioner 18 Andersen. 19 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry. I forgot. 20 That's right. I kept thinking Commissioner Kennedy was 21 still involved with it. 22 Commissioner Andersen or Commissioner Taylor? 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think I might take the 24 lead on this one from Mr. Taylor. We had a subcommittee

meeting yesterday, and there was one item that -- well,

we'll give you an update. What's going on? There's one item we do have to bring to the attention of the commission just to make it -- the Commission's read on what they'd like to do with this.

And basically, just quickly this -- the staff have been doing a huge amount of work. Alvaro made that kind of a short little thing, turn it over to the subcommittee. But they were doing enormous amount of work on this. It is moving ahead very, very well. And the issue just for the public is moving our wedrawthelinesca.org over to dot -- wedrawthelines.ca.gov.

So when I refer to them, it might be dot org, which is now the 2020 or the dot ca dot gov, which at present is the modified 2010 website. When I say modified is because we took the 2010 website and thought we would use that and try to work with it and made some changes and things and then realized that this wasn't going to work very well if we couldn't actually make it website accessible for any -- and all disability accessible without doing a lot to it.

And so we abandoned that and went ahead with our dot org, which is our current website. And the plan is to move our current website to dot ca dot gov location, which will be staffed by -- well, not staffed -- it will

- 1 still be run by us, but it will be maintained by the
- 2 Department of -- our appointed Department of Technology.
- 3 | That's the plan. That is going very well. We could make
- 4 | that transition now. But what happens to the 2010
- 5 | website? And that's the item.
- 6 The plan has always been and still is to have a tab,
- 7 | a 2010 tab on our 2020 website that says -- well, I'll
- 8 | get into particulars of what it's going to say. But the
- 9 problem is in doing that, what happens to the 2010
- 10 | website? And we have a very thorough document which was
- 11 actually given to the full Commission and on the handouts
- 12 in July of what has been sent to the State Archives. And
- 13 that has been verified that it is there. It is
- 14 extensive.
- We also have through the Wayback Machine, you can go
- 16 to the 2010 website. However, and that was the plan.
- 17 Essentially, Friday, we were going to essentially overrun
- 18 the 2010 website and put the 2020 on it. However, this
- 19 just occurred that it turns out you would lose
- 20 administrative access to the 2010 website. And in our
- 21 modifications of the 2010 website, there are -- there
- 22 | aren't links to a lot of stuff.
- Now, whether there were links back in one of the
- 24 capsule twenty -- through the Wayback Machine on the 2010
- 25 | website, whether they were all linked in, we don't know.

But if we do, at this point, essentially override the 2010 website, we lose administrative access. And so at this point, what the subcommittee is and actually asked the staff to do, worth looking into, can we just take the 2010 website and keep it where it is, but just put into a separate folder or essentially like a partition.

So it's still there. We have administrative access to it, but it's not available to the public because as we say through the 2010 tab, we'll have how the public can access any document, a listing of things, they just won't have any administrative access.

And if we do that, we put in a partition and we can still -- if we find things, we say, wow, you did that better, or I didn't even know that existed, we can then take that and put it onto our website or as we move in perpetuity, we can still the 2030 Commission might say, I want that from the 2010 website, from their information.

You can do these things if you don't have administrative access. It's just a lot harder. And it's not, as Commissioner Taylor said, the information is not in its purest form. So at present, the staff is looking into can the Department of Technology just quickly do that into a partition? Will they charge us more to do that? Are they going to see that as a run around? Do they do not do archiving of websites?

We're not actually asking them to archive it. It's on our website. We're just not having that accessible through our website directly. We're actually having — the documents that — certain documents will be there, but it won't be so nuts. And the reason I'm bringing that up is because we may not get the answer to that question whether the California Department of Technology can easily do this.

I've been told by website people who basically —

tech people who do this all the time, they say, sure, you

can do it. The State does things differently and so

we're always looking at that. What I'd like the

Commission to consider is is that something that we feel

we do need to keep? I think it is. Because otherwise,

as I say, you could get stuff, but you might not know

about it.

And right now, there's a document that I found actually just by guessing, because on the 2010 website right now, the maps aren't linked. If you don't search, you can't easily find the maps and you'd have to go back and find the maps. We will have access to maps on our 2010 tab, but on that document I found that there is a link to -- you can look up any address for -- and through the statewide database there's a link saying, hey, you can compare going back to I think it's '91 the different

1 maps for any address I'm going from now for the 2020 to 2 the 2010 to the 2090, going backwards.

2.3

You can compare that on the Statewide Database. If we did not have access to that -- I mean, you could kind of copy paste and put it on. But if we have administrative access, it's much easier to do these things.

So that's the one item that I'd like the Commission to consider and give staff permission to pursue that. It might cost us some money, but I don't -- and we'll find out. Then, the 2010 website, what's on our page, I can give you a quick summary. If there's anything else we think you should have on it, please let us know.

Because at present, the idea of the tab will hold a description of the 2010 Commission, their bios — biographies, a timetable from their applications to the final maps, when they did what, like when they were seated, when they got the census data, when the draft maps were out, when the final report went, and links the 2010 maps and the final report. Then it'll also contain notes directing the public to the State Archives with a how to get there and also a brief summary of what's in the archives.

And then it'll also have directions to the Wayback

Machine with the general verbiage about what that is and

1 how to access it. That's the plan for the 2010 tab. so the only difference is what I'm asking now is do we have authority, the staff, to actually look into how do 3 4 we keep administrative access to? Can this be done 5 relatively easily or how -- not? And that's the recommendation from the Subcommittee is to get access to 6 7 keep it so we don't like lose it from now on. So first of all, Commissioner Taylor, did you have 9 anything to say before we take questions? 10 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: No, Jane, I think that was very 11 You hit it right on the point, trying to find a 12 way to maintain as much access to that website as 13 possible by being ADA compliant. And it's tuff. 14 puzzle piece at the moment. 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. 16 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you very much. 17 So Commissioner Anderson, I believe I heard you say 18 what you're -- the Subcommittee is recommending is that 19 this is about providing authority to the staff to explore 2.0 or to be able to enable access to the 2010 Commission via 21 our 2020 Commission website. 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, not quite. The access 23 will still be on the through the 2020 website will be a 2010 tab and it will contain connections and how to get 24 25

The specific is can we as the CRC keep

information.

plan was originally to just override it, in which case, 3 no, we can't -- by administrative actions, I should say 4 that means you can go in and you can change the website, 5 you can add documents, you can pull documents, you can make links, you can work with it, the website, and it can 6 7 be as simple as -- well, or basically that's it. You can 8 get a listing of what is there. You have to put a 9 program in. You have but you have access to modify it 10 and also make sure -- well, right now we don't actually 11 know what is on the website per say because there are --12 a lot of there's a lot of material that's there that is 13 linked. So if you search, you can find it, but you'd 14 need to know what to search for. 15 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So all that --16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Go ahead. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So Commissioner Andersen, I think 17 18 what I'm just trying to ascertain is what is the action 19 that you're looking for? Not so much what's the 20 information, but what's the action that you're asking 21 for? And then I know that Commissioner Kennedy and 22 Commissioner Turner, I think, also have some questions. 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's the act -- to keep 24 administrative access to the 2010 website. 25

administrative access to the 2010 website because the

1

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Via our website?

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Via a -- like a folder --2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: A check --3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Partition. 4 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: But that would be setting a --5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: (Indiscernible). CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: -- technical --6 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. And that would be 8 under there -- yes, essentially it would be on that not 9 accessible -- not publicly accessible. 10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I understand that. 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The information would still 12 be there. 13 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. Just wanted to 14 clarify that. Commissioner Kennedy? 15 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 17 things. One, no matter what, I think we will want to 18 remove 2020 content from the 2010 website. I was just 19 looking at it last night and this morning and there are 20 still -- there's still some of our content that is part of the 2010 website. So I think we need to divide the 21 22 two as cleanly as possible. And that would include 23 removing all 2020 commission materials from the existing 2010 website. 24 25 Second of all, colleagues will recall the letter

that we received from some of the community groups asking
that we incorporate the content from the Shape

California's Future website, the website for the
recruitment process -- recruitment and selection process
of the 2020 Commission. And I just wanted to remind

everyone that that letter did come in.

2.0

2.3

I am very supportive of that and hope that we can make that happen. I think that it might even make sense to look at some of the other similar materials from 2010, the recruitment of the and selection of the 2010

Commission. I was also looking at a web page last night that I believe was set up by the Secretary of State's office when they were handling the transition, the establishment of the 2010 Commission.

So just making sure that if we're going to have a single point of entry on the internet for California Redistricting Commissions that we incorporate as much as possible so that anyone who's interested in the entire history of citizen redistricting in California can go to one source.

The alternative is that we set up a 2020 Commission website so that instead of CRC dot ca dot gov, it would be CRC 2020 dot ca dot gov. And then there's CRC 2030 dot ca dot gov, et cetera. So those are two tracks that we could go on as far as access to material -- our

materials and those of future Commissions. And I think it would be helpful to make that sort of decision at this point. Thank you.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that. Before we move on to Commissioner Turner, I want to ask

Commissioner Taylor and Commissioner Andersen, given what

Commissioner Kennedy just suggested, there are some good suggestions here, is this something -- I know he has made a recommendation that we make an action on this -- is this something that you'd be -- well, I guess the distinction is to ask the committee -- subcommittee to further explore this and come back with recommendations versus are you ready to actually say this is something we could take on and move on and report back? There's a slight -- there's a nuance, I know, in that, so.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen, if you don't mind, I think that's something we still have to do -- have to explore. And I think one of the primary concerns, too, especially as we transition to the next phase of the Commission is how do we find those projects. So we're struggling.

Just as a point of comparison, we're struggling to get our legal funding. So we haven't set aside technology funding for our commission. So that just has to be something that we have to explore and see the

feasibility, what it -- was willing to be given to us to,
to take on that endeavor.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I might follow up with Commissioner Taylor. He's absolutely right. It is the funding. I do believe that we -- the Commission has discussed this about website previously that what Commissioner Kennedy is bringing up is sort of like the history, the CRC putting all those documents together, that is a continuing effort.

At this point, all that would have to happen in January because the staff basically has his hands full right now trying to take our 2020 website and material from Nation Builder, and move it on to a state-run site. The only item that -- and the subcommittee will finish on -- check this out, but I think the answer is we only get one website from the State and I don't know if they would give us 2020, 2010 that sort of thing, but that's certainly a question.

That's kind of what I'm trying to sort of do a little bit with the partitions that we could then work on in January to sort of clean up and organize in that manner. So I would kind of like the subcommittee to take this back -- come back for the next meeting about moving forward with that. But at this point -- and also the funding because that is that's crucial.



All of this would have to be done possibly by -there's very little that can be done with the staff at that point. And we'd have to do it ourselves and/or get a contractor chances are. But the issue now is, can we still work on keeping administrative access, which -well, that's what we're proposing. So we don't just lose it because we'd like this -- that will give us the most flexibility moving forward due to the stuff in January. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor and Commissioner Andersen. I'm going to go to Commissioner Turner for her question. COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I was trying to follow. Commissioner Andersen, you shared a lot in the initial and that was -- I appreciated all of that. was something in there that may not have been brand new, but it just does cause a question in my mind in seeking for administrative access into the website. For me, I almost had an opposite reaction. concerned about the ability to edit 2010. And I agree that we should remove our 2020 stuff that we added into 2010. But in making a decision going forward, I'm assuming then it would be one that we would support even for future Commissions. And I don't know if the partitions then would limit

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

what we would be able to change or shift. And we always

make decisions with the best intention of what we would add, remove. But for me, kind of a flag when we started talking about this time about editing, deleting, altering information.

And I just would want to make sure in whatever we're doing the work, our decisions, why we did what we did, how we did, what we put in the 2020, I would not want the thought of a future Commission to shift any of that.

And so I just wanted to name that because when you were talking, I kind of was trying to follow, but I got lost a little bit in there about what the ask is. And by us granting administrative access to edit, delete change. I want a clear understanding of what we're saying will be possible.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that, Commissioner Turner.

Commissioner Andersen?

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, thank you. And I appreciate that question, because I did try and say a lot in a very short period of time. And you're right in that if you do have administrative access, you could really change a website. That was not the intents except to, again, not right now, but in January, essentially clean up the 2010 website to restore it, essentially.

But it's more in terms of if we see information that we would like to then put into more like the history on the CRC, kind of like more like there's an overall website, which it's changed, a lot of it basically stays there. It's essentially like a history, what happens. It's like tabs on a website. What kind of like -- what Commissioner Kennedy was saying, if we have administrative access, it's just easier to copy the information and then like maybe say, modify on the new not modify 2010's except to restore it. But taking that some of that information and using it for other purposes, say putting some of it -- like we are going to put that on our 2020 website. So we're going to essentially copy and paste -- which copy and paste from the Wayback Machine. But if you have administrative access, it's a little bit easier. And that's my intent with this in terms of -- we might later on go you know what, we really don't need administrative access anymore. But if we get rid of it now, we can't get it back. And it's a little bit the only reason we're getting rid of it now is because we want to move our 2020 website in there. we don't think there's another way to do it. And so can we ask and really pursue, okay, are you sure we can't just partition it or put it in a separate folder, something like that, so we can still have administrative

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
    access. So we can decide later or will we have time?
         CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you.
         VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Chair --
 3
 4
         CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that.
 5
         VICE CHAIR TAYLOR:
                             (Indiscernible) --
         CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Taylor, did you want
 6
 7
    to follow up on --
         VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes, please. And just to
 8
 9
    address the same thing, our goal is preservation and to
10
    best preserve, we need to have access. So I think that
11
    that's gift, preservation. To preserve, we need access.
12
    That way, if there's a way that we need to copy, we can
13
    move it. But the goal is preservation.
14
         VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you for that.
                                                  That was
15
    very --
16
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Can I actually say also, if
17
    we if we have administrative access items that we do,
18
    then want to clean up or something, we would have to add
19
    to like the 2020 website, we'd have to make it accessible
20
    because right now a lot of the stuff on the 2010 website
21
    is not accessible. Readers can't read it -- yeah.
22
    everything that we would copy then we could make
2.3
    accessible.
24
         CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right.
                                       Thank you.
25
    Commissioner Taylor and Commissioner Andersen.
```

1 helpful.

2.3

Director Hernandez?

MR. HERNANDEZ: So I just wanted to mention again that right now that 2010 site is not accessible and so we cannot bring it up to par with all the accessibility.

It's basically recreating a new site. And that's one of the reasons that the Commission took the approach of going with Nation Builder, because it would be tremendous amount of work to move from one to the other in addition to trying to maintain the integrity of the 2010 site.

So those are some things that have been and continue to be the challenge as we're moving forward. But given the limited amount of time that we have with staff, I wanted to ask or stress the importance of the transition to the 2020 site on the CA dot gov website. Right now we have the staff moving forward in January. We will not obviously we've asked or are asking the question if we can partition.

Hopefully that is something that can be done. And if it can be done, that's great. If it's not able to be done, do we delay transitioning over to the 2020 website? What is the next step at that point? Now keep in mind that we have the information available through the archives.

We also have the Wayback Machine that could go back

in time at that point in time that people can look at the site as it was at that date -- that time. So those are some of the options that we have. I do want to get a sense of whether or not we're going to transition to the 2020.

2.3

We've been working up until this point to try to do the transition, and I'm not feeling a sense of we're going to do it or not do it at this point. So I'd like to get a better understanding from the Commission if we should move forward or not move forward at this point.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Director Hernandez. I think what you just brought up is a different issue than what Commissioner Andersen was talking about. Before we address the question that you just asked, Commissioner Kennedy, let's hear from you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thanks. Just to clarify that, when Director Hernandez is talking about the site not being accessible, it's not that you can't reach it. You can reach it, but it does not conform with web accessibility standards. So just in case anyone's confused, yes, you can still reach it live on the internet, but it does not meet current web accessibility standards.

So that that then brings us to the point of -- my recollection is a little fuzzy on this, but I thought at

1 one point we had heard that there was a date certain after which the California Department of Technology would no longer facilitate public access to the 2010 site 3 4 because it does not conform with web accessibility 5 standards. So I wanted to inquire if there is such a 6 date at this point that we are aware of. Thank you. 7 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. I think what I heard, and I think this is an 9 important consideration, given the parameters and the 10 restrictions that we're operating under. I think what 11 I'm hearing is that we have to do some very important 12 prioritizing. So Commissioner Andersen and Commissioner 13 Taylor, I think given what Director Hernandez just said 14 about the importance of the transition, where would you 15 put this in relation to the recommendation that you're 16 asking about? 17 Is it something that will delay the transition or is 18 it something that could be done simultaneously while the 19 transition is still ongoing? Can you then explore the 20 partition and the other options to make it so that we'll 21 continue to have that accessibility to the 2010 website? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I will jump in on that one. 23 It's never the intent to delay. It's just that right 24 now, because the time frame was Friday, the 2010 website

was going to go away. The 2020 website was going to take

its place. And rather than going way, what we would like to do is can we put in the partition so we still have access?

And so basically that is being asked and pursued right now. And are we going to get an answer today?

Tomorrow? That's not clear. Will we have an answer before Monday, Tuesday, yes. And if not, I mean, I — then I won't say anything. But yeah, it's not the idea to delay this because we want to have it happen.

The reason being is because once you do put it over there, then you have to clean it up and make sure it all works and that sort of stuff as well as -- well, once it's on there, the changing to Snowflake, that's -- that will happen. We won't know about it but will be in the in the background.

And as Commissioner -- Director Fernandez is saying, yeah, you want to get to it as soon as possible. So this is just -- it isn't like an either-or type of thing, but the way it is planned right now, it kind of is. And I'd like us to pursue -- because I've been that's been the idea, like, oh, well, look, we have all this stuff, so we don't need it. So don't even ask about it. Now we're asking.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. So Commissioner Andersen, I hear what you're saying. I don't think it's an either-

or. It seems more like a "if this, then that" kind of situation. Because what I'm hearing is that there is a timeline or a time crunch that we're under. And even though you may not get an answer today, I think the question becomes, when do you get an answer and when is it going to adversely affect the ability of the staff to transition the 2020 website to the Department of Technology? And it seems like that would be the first priority.

I also want to acknowledge what Commissioner Turner also said, and I think she does bring up a valid point about looking to the future. We don't necessarily want the 2030 Commission -- it's not that they wouldn't mess around. But I wonder if there is a value in ensuring -- I hear what you're saying about making it accessible, making it so that it's a cleanup so that parts could be restored. But again, in the list of priorities, is that really the highest priority given the timeline that we have and many other things that the staff have on their plate?

And I am concerned about that becoming a delay, which then delays the transition of the website, which then takes us into a whole new year period and you know, just perhaps it not getting done at all. And then 2030 is going to be dealing with some of the same issues that

we're spending quite a bit of time dealing with as well,
too. So for me, that would be my concern.

I also want acknowledge, Commissioner Le Mons has had his hand up. So I want to make sure that if you still want to say something, to go ahead and do that.

And then perhaps we could pose the question to the full Commission about prioritizing and what would be best based on your request for the ability to explore this accessibility or partition option.

Commissioner Le Mons?

2.3

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Thanks, Chair. Yeah, I did. I guess I'm not understanding when we say the 2010 website goes away, is it because we're transitioning to the URL that's dot ca dot gov? Is that why it goes away?

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: That's the first part of the question. And then the second part is, can't the 2010 website just be assigned a different URL? And then we deal with connectivity and all that after the fact.

Like, yeah, that's it. That's what I want to ask.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's basically this -- my understanding is The State will only let us have one dot ca dot gov. And hence we would have to then pay out of our pocket to have it as a separate URL. Now the idea that Commissioner Kennedy has brought up of can we have,

1 the 2010, 2020, that sort of stuff, I don't think the State will let us do that. But do I know that directly? I have not 3 4 specifically put that question out. We'd have to look 5 into that. But I'm pretty sure that that is a no hence -- and what is often done, people just put the keep 6 7 things on websites, you just put it in a partition or another separate folder actually is usually the 8 9 terminology. And that's what I'm requesting so we can still have administrative access to it. 10 11 The priority is always to get the 2020 website 12 moving and transitioned over, but it has never been -- it 13 was always a thought like we don't we haven't pursued 14 this -- it's like, well, we did like, okay, can't do it. 15 It was not like, wait a minute, here's another way. Can 16 we, can we check this other way? 17 So it's not like -- we have to have this transferred 18 over before the end of the year. Has always been the 19 website's -- the Subcommittee's understanding. But now 20 it's real. But it might not be at the expense of the 21 administrative access to the 2010 website. I just want 22 to say that. 2.3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Kennedy? 24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

essentially, as I'm understanding this, we could have all

of the 2010 Commission's website as it stood, as of, let's say, 30 June 2020, a couple of days before the RAM draw as www.crc.ca.gov/2010/ and then whatever their -- the full address of the pages would be.

Likewise our content would be CRC.ca.gov/2020/ and then however we want to structure everything under that and then going forward CRC.ca.gov/2030/ and then whatever. So I mean, that seems to be a good way to do this. And that would be there is one website but it is able to house the 2010 Commission's content, our content, 2030, 2040, 2050, et cetera. Thank you.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I'm going to -- I think, add to what Commissioner Kennedy just brought up. I think

Commissioner Taylor or Commissioner Andersen, I guess that I heard what you said, Commissioner Anderson. We're only allowed one URL. I don't know even with what

Commissioner Kennedy is suggesting, if that is going to allow us to deal with what -- the multiple Commissions.

I did like the idea of having one Commission page, maybe with multiple tabs. However, as you have said, I want to acknowledge, Commissioner Andersen, it is a different project that takes different more resources of which we may or may not necessarily have. But I still want to get back to the question, and I think now maybe it's -- maybe it's for me, maybe it's becoming more of a

chicken or egg question. We have to transition our 2020 website, but yet at the same time, I know your desire to do something with the 2010 website because it is going to be overwritten, I think.

I mean, honestly, Commissioner Andersen, I don't know if we have enough information at this time to figure out what is going to be the best course of action. Maybe it is to understand both the timeline implications, given the very limited time that we have left with the staff.

It sounds like there's some additional information that you're going to need to get from the Department of Technology before any decision about going forward can be made. That's my sense. But I'd love to hear from you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's exactly it. What we're kind of asking is the plan had been it gets overridden. And we're saying, can we look into alternatives and such as what -- actually what Commissioner Kennedy was saying. That's essentially what -- where we ultimately like to go because to have it - yeah.

But at that point, we would have to spend our time in January going in there and making sure because we would have to take it from, what was it, web mail or whatever it was in and bring it -- make it access a website accessible, not just administrative accessible,

but it's a little confusing, these two different terms but essentially disabled accessible and that it is not right now.

2.3

So while they might say yes, you can put it on as a /2010, they might say, oh, it might have a date. I don't know. These are things that Commissioner Taylor and I would have to look into. But that is exactly where we'd like to go. If we just override it now, that ship has sailed.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So Commissioner Anderson, if I may, I think what I'm going to do is I think this isn't necessarily something that the Commission needs to vote on. I think there's -- I think part of the reason why you're getting quite a few questions and also varying opinions on it is there's still a lot of open-ended questions that I think have yet to be answered.

And I'd like to -- I'd like to send this back to the Subcommittee to come up with some of the further answers, because I think right now, timeline, staff resources, I think is the Department of Technology even going to make this something that could happen? I think exploring it doesn't require us to, I think, give you the permission.

I think that that's something that as the subcommittee, you should see that as part of your charter of the subcommittee to explore and then come to us with

the details. So then we can make an informed decision based on what you're seeking from us, if that's what it is that you're looking for.

I think right now there's still a lot more questions than we have answers. And that can lead us to so many different places that I think it may be more helpful if you and Commissioner Taylor could come back to us in November. Hopefully by then, Department of Technology will have given you some of those answers.

And having heard also Commissioner Kennedy's suggestions as well to -- are those things that are going to give us options that can at least maybe buy us that extra time that we may need to do something with that 2010 Commission website?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, actually, the reason to bring it up is to see if there is any interest at all. And there obviously is. And so the plan is just for the website to go back, find out the details. But we do not want to have to -- this is not the intent of -- this is not to say that we can't go to the 2020 website, make the switch until we come back in November.

We'd like to do this as soon as we have -- if we have an answer that says, yes, you can do both, go. And that's what we'd like to do. Because, again, I believe there is and -- but I want to have make sure that we're

not pulling staff back arbitrarily. But if the whole commission said right now, well, we don't care. Then we would be -- we would be just doing it Friday.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I don't think it's a matter of we don't care.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well --

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think we just there's still too many options that I think -- possibilities. I mean, obviously, we want to try to preserve as full of accessibility as possible. But right now, I think it's harder to understand really what it is possible and what our options are.

And if it -- it's like you said, Department of Technology says, yeah, you could do tech -- you could do the partition, then it sounds like that that that kills the two birds that you're looking to kill, right, with that one stone, we can move our 2020 website, make that transition.

And then in the meantime, you can also buy some time to figure out how to make the 2010 content more accessible and meet the ADA requirements to make it web of -- web accessible or something else. I understand that it's going to be hidden. It's not going to be open to the public right now because there's going to be -- things that'll be done.

1 But it is available during, you know, via the State 2 Archives and the Wayback Machine. So it's not like it's 3 completely disappeared either. So I think that that 4 you've covered a lot of bases on this, so. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Great. 6 Thank you, 7 everyone, for that robust conversation. Let's move on. And we look forward, Commissioner Anderson and 8 9 Commissioner Taylor, to hearing what ultimately will be 10 or hopefully close to final recommendations in November 11 so that we can keep moving on the web site. 12 Let's move on to the next subcommittee update, which 13 is incarcerated populations for the Federal Incarcerated 14 Populations Subcommittee. And I think that is 15 Commissioner Fernandez and Commissioner Turner. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you, Chair. 17 Just wanted to update the Commission in terms of what 18 we've done so far. We sent a letter to Senator Padilla 19 asking for help in terms of information that we would 20 like for the 2030, in terms of those that are federally 21 incarcerated, the prior resident's information. 22 We did receive response from the Federal Bureau of 23 Prisons basically telling us, no, we can't give you that unless all of the federal incarcerated population, unless 24

all of them sign something saying they'll let you have

it, and then would also require coordination with staff and at all the different facilities.

2.0

So we went back to Senator Padilla's office asking for their help. There might have been miscommunication in terms of what we needed versus what the Federal Bureau of Prisons thought we needed. So we went ahead and forwarded that to Senator Padilla's office. So that was kind of just an FYI.

The second thing is that the Census Bureau has -- is allowing individuals or communities or whoever to provide input on any changes that we would like to see for the 2030 census. And so what the Subcommittee is recommending is that we forward our input to them similar to that we would want them to refine their procedures to count federal prison inmates at their last known location rather than at the prison.

And so I believe I would need a set -- need to be a motion. It does, Chief Counsel Pane is nodding yes.

That's my motion is to be able to provide input or feedback to -- and the specific group is the group quarters operation or whatever the appropriate branch is.

- CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Is that your motion?
- 23 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
- 24 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: And we'll meet in a second.
- COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. That's my motion.

1 Thank you. 2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you. Commissioner Yee, is that a second? 3 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. I'll second the motion. 4 5 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you very much. Now we can go to discussion. Any questions? Comments? Okay. 6 Ιf 7 not, then we can go to public comment on this. Oh, Commissioner Kennedy? 8 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 10 certainly supportive. I guess the one thing that I would 11 suggest on this is that we continue to look at how other 12 states are handling this, because my understanding from 13 some of the research that I did before I had to step back 14 from the Subcommittee is that there are states that 15 currently have laws in place requiring reallocation of 16 individuals in federal custody. And so it might be 17 useful to reach out to those states or to do some further 18 research and find out how it's being handled elsewhere. 19 Thank you. 2.0 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So noted. Thank you. 22 we we're planning to do that as well, but we're kind of 2.3 baby steps right now. Thank you. And thank you for the 24 work and all the information that you forwarded to me.

Thank you. All right. Any other

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA:

1 comments or questions. Otherwise, we can go to public comment on this particular motion. And Executive Director Hernandez, could you also 3 show what the motion looks like as it is written right 4 5 now? Okay. Okay, so we have the motion that the group 6 quarters operation or other appropriate branch refine its 7 procedures to count federal prison inmates up their last known location rather than at the prison. 8 9 Commissioner Fernandez, is that how you want it to 10 read? 11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I did have it like that, 12 but I would actually like to say that the federally 13 incarcerated population. Thank you. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. And Commissioner Yee, I 15 just want to make sure that you are comfortable with it 16 as the second? 17 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, that's fine. 18 Thank you. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 19 Kristian, let's call for public comment on this 20 motion. Oh, excuse me, Commissioner Kennedy and 21 Commissioner Andersen after that. 22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I would

offer a friendly amendment to this. Instead of the word

count, because my understanding, which may be wrong, is

that in group quarters, operation counts people in group

23

24

1	quarters and assigns them to the location where those
2	group quarters are. So we would actually be asking them
3	to refine their procedures to collect information about
4	the last known location of individuals in federal
5	facilities that we could then use to reallocate them.
6	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So would you offer the specific
7	language or Commissioner Fernandez, if you want to recap?
8	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I think what we're
9	trying to do is that they will initially already have the
10	population of the last known location, not to collect it
11	and then forward it to the states. That's not what we
12	want. We want the census information to already have
13	that distribution or already have them counted at their
14	last known location.
15	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Commissioner Kennedy does
16	that address
17	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, in that case, we would
18	be asking census to change the data that they provide to
19	all fifty states. And some states may not want that. So
20	I'm feeling that it may be more feasible for us to get a
21	change that addresses our wants and needs rather than
22	trying to change it for the entire country. Thanks.
23	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner
24	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Response to that, please.
25	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes.

1	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I don't believe it's
2	the census position. Or should it be their
3	responsibility to collect if it's just going to be for
4	our state, which is the other avenue that we're taking
5	right now with Senator Padilla's office? I don't believe
6	it would be their responsibility to collect it just for
7	our state and then disperse that out to the states to do
8	that to do with that what they want. I guess, I'm
9	I don't know.
10	Chief Counsel Pane, do you have a comment on that?
11	I just feel that that might be out of their scope.
12	ATTNY PANE: Well, I truthfully, I don't know,
13	Commissioner Fernandez, if it isn't or out of their
14	scope. My question actually was to Commissioner Kennedy
15	about your recommendation, your friendly amendment. How
16	that change would get this Commission to where it wants
17	to go.
18	For example, if the public comment by this
19	commission as a result of this motion isn't to count the
20	federally incarcerated population at their last known
21	location, what are we asking the Census Bureau to do
22	in for the group quarters operation?
23	Because I think the desire, at least from the
24	Subcommittee, and they'll let me know if this is not a
25	correct representation, is to have them counted at their

1 last known location rather than at the at the prison. if we go with your wording, does your wording alter that or is it -- how does it play with that proffered policy, 3 I guess. Or how does it interact? 4 5 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Kennedy, do you want 6 to just respond? 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. Yes. Basically, what I'm looking for is to establish or to 8 9 recommend that process be established that would be 10 precisely parallel to what we have at the State level, or 11 at least to what we had at the State level this time 12 around. 13 So this time around, the information that we 14 received initially or that the State received initially 15 had individuals in state custody assigned to the facility 16 in which they were in custody. And we went through the 17 process of reallocating. My understanding, from what we 18 went through, is that the number in federal custody is no 19 larger than the number in state custody. And setting it 20 up as a kind of parallel to what we had this time with 21 the State would be a way to go. Thanks. 22 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. 23 Let's go to Commissioner Andersen. 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, mine is very simple

that these points are paramount and absolutely important

1 to talk about. My quick question is this is actually to go to a public comment at Census Bureau. And is it 3 sufficient? Does it say -- that's my understanding --4 does it say that the group or operation of the 5 appropriate branch, do we need to say of the Census Bureau or this is a Census Bureau public comment in this 6 7 motion at all? If that's if that's a no, it's sufficient, then. 8 Okay. 9 Just reading this, I wasn't aware yet until I 10 realized. Right. That's how the count orders, that's 11 what it would be doing. Do we need to put a little bit 12 more verbiage in there? Yes. It could be no. So I'm 13 not going to make it, but unless we would need to do 14 that. 15 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen. 16 So Commissioner Fernandez, do you want to respond to 17 Commissioner Andersen's question? 18 Yes, thank you. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, 19 Commissioner Anderson. We'll respond if it's approved. 20 They provided a link, so we'll respond to the link so 21 it'll go directly to wherever it's supposed to go to. 22 How's that? And that's why we kind of said or other 2.3 appropriate branch, because we don't know for sure. 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. 25 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you very much.

Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Chair. And thank you Subcommittee for working on this. I was just curious if you all had been working with the Prison Policy Initiative on the Prison Gerrymandering Project? They were who worked with us on the State. There is a national movement around this and I'm on their website right now and they have a blog post that they that they shared, kind of saying what the next steps are for the 2030 census.

And I think that it makes sense to look at this as a national issue and not as just a California issue for the reason that California individuals are incarcerated outside of California. And we've discussed this. And if there is a movement -- if there is a movement, and we can support the movement that's nationally, we've always said it -- it's best to go on the coattails of others, if possible, or ask them if we should lead.

Should we lead or should we follow? But anyway, I'd like us to kind of work in partnership with them because they've been working on this issue for a really long time.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. It was a good reminder of some of the other organizations that are doing this work. Okay. So going back to the

motion.

25

1 Commissioner Fernandez, I believe there was a -- an amendment suggested. I want to just make sure. Do you 3 4 want to accept that amendment or do you want to keep the 5 motion as it is currently presented right now? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I wanted to keep it as it 6 7 is now. As Commissioner Sinay just noted, it's more of a 8 national level versus a California. Again, this is just one of the avenues. And I was involved with the State at 10 the State level, too, with the prison gerrymandering group. And so this is just the Commission's quick 11 12 response. And of course you will coordinate with others 13 if needed. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. 15 And then Commissioner Yee, I want to just also make 16 sure that you're comfortable with sticking to this as "as is". 17 18 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, I am. Thanks. 19 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. All right. 20 Let's go and let's open up for public comment. Kristian? 21 Oh, Commissioner Kennedy? 22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. A second 23 friendly amendment since the first one is not being 24 accepted, which is fine. I would say let's simplify it

and just say that the census refined its procedures to

1 count federally incarcerated population at their last 2 known location to make it simpler. Thanks. 3 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. Commissioner Fernandez, do you accept that? 4 5 COMMISSIONER Yes, I accept that. We have, like, mowing or something going outside our building, so like 6 7 I'm having a hard time concentrating. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So Commissioner Kennedy, will you 8 9 repeat that again so that it can be noted and --10 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. Motion that the 11 census refine its procedures? Yes, that's fine. 12 then in the -- just above that, the motion name, that 13 needs to be last known location. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Joining the grammar club and 15 spelling club. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. If we're going to 17 change --18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Fernandez is 19 always an inspiration. 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: That's why I love him. 21 Yes. Yes, thank you for changing that. You read my 22 mind, Executive Director Hernandez. How about, let's 23 just say federally incarcerated population counted out --24 at their last known location. Okay. Looks good. 25 COMMISSIONER YEE: How about instead of saying

1 (indiscernible) rather than have a prison rather than have that as the last place of incarceration? 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Rather than place of 4 incarceration. Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. Okay. So okay. 6 7 So Commissioner Fernandez, are you good with this revised motion? 8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. 10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 11 Commissioner Yee, are you also good with this 12 revised motion? 13 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. All good. 14 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Excellent. 15 Kristian, now we can try again for public comment. 16 Let's go to public comment on this specific motion. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good, Chair. The 18 Commission will now take public comment on the motion on 19 the floor. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and 20 enter meeting ID number 81861322117 for this meeting. 21 Once you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the 22 comment queue. 2.3 The full call-in instructions are read at the 24 beginning of the meeting and are provided on the 25 livestream landing page. And there is no one in the

1 queue at this time. 2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. We'll just wait 3 for the instructions to finish. 4 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are 5 complete. 6 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you. All right. 7 Let's go for the vote. 8 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We'll begin the vote. 9 Commissioner Andersen? 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. 11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Fernandez? 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. 13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Fornaciari? 14 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. 15 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Kennedy? 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Le Mons? 18 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Sadhwani? 19 20 Commissioner Sinay? 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Taylor? 2.3 VICE CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Toledo?

Commissioner Turner? Commissioner Turner?

24

1 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you. 3 Commissioner Vazquez? Commissioner Yee? 4 5 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Commissioner Ahmed? 6 7 Commissioner or Chair Akutagawa? CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay, motion passes. 10 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you very much. 11 Okay. We have about twenty-five minutes until -- twenty-12 four minutes until our planned lunch at 12:45. Let's see 13 where we can get to. Our next subcommittee update is 14 Lessons Learned. 15 Thank you, Chair. COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: 16 completed the coding of the inputs that we have from our 17 March Lessons Learned discussion, as well as inputs that 18 we received from a number of community groups. 19 also gone back through my notes from the very beginning 20 to find anything that was highlighted as a lesson 21 learned. Likewise for my emails. 22 So all of that has been captured. That totals just 23 shy of 1,400 inputs. I did not eliminate duplicates at 24 this point because having the duplicates will give 25 Commissioner Yee and me a sense of how strongly felt some of these items are and will help us place appropriate emphasis on them. We are now ready to begin the slog of drafting a document that we can bring to the Commission later in the year. And so that's basically where we are on the on the big picture in greater detail.

After our last meeting, I did send out an inquiry to the Arizona Commission, the Colorado Commission, Michigan Commission regarding compensation. The issue with compensation being there's been some critique of the independent redistricting commissions generally as not being fully reflective of the population.

And one of the points that I've made throughout is that if we want to attract a broader pool of applicants, we may need to offer potential applicants greater certainty as far as what they might earn in compensation from the process. If I were -- if I were someone living on a shoestring, living on the edge, and even if I had the ability to do this, if I were already living on the edge and were being asked to apply for something where I had no idea how much I would be compensated for it, I'm not sure that I would apply.

And some of these -- some of the Commissioners that I reached out to at the other Commissions agreed that, you know, if there were compensation or if there were greater certainty regarding compensation, that that might

1 attract a broader pool of applicants for future Commissions. So that was the spirit in which that 3 inquiry was sent out. The replies that I received are 4 summarized in a one pager that was posted in advance of 5 this meeting. So you have access to that. And with that, I'll turn it over to Commissioner Yee. 6 7 Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy, COMMISSIONER YEE: on your magnificent work on coding. Now it's time to 8 write like crazy. Meanwhile, I also posted in the 10 handouts for today, a ten and eleven-page glossary. We 11 had mentioned this earlier in our discussions, a 12 consolidated glossary would be useful and so I set myself 13 to doing that and make a draft for you to take a look at, 14 edits, additions, fact checks for putting it together. It's just astonishing to be reminded of how much we 15 16 all have to learn these past two years. And hopefully 17 this will help to bring the 2030 up to speed on what 18 quickly as they'll probably less time than we did. 19 that's what we're still working on, a narrative timeline, 20 and we'd like to include pictures of that. 21 I know there's still a little bit of an open legal 22 question on what we can and can't include in terms of

question on what we can and can't include in terms of pictures, but I have quite a few. But I know you also have pictures of our work together, and if any of them is something worth including, we could assemble it You can

23

24

send them to me, helped me assemble a set and then we can tackle the legal question with actual pictures to consider.

2.3

I don't think in the 2010 report there was kind of an odd selection of pictures. Some helpful, some not. I remember when I first looked at that report, it didn't really give me a great sense of what it was like to serve on that Commission. I'd like to give a better sense to future Commissioners.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you for that. I just want to see if there's any comments or questions about it. I do have one question in the meantime. Do you have a better sense of when you think we will see the final product? Because the staff is going to be off-boarding in December and we're now looking at roughly a month, month and a half.

I'm just curious if -- you've shared with us the glossary and table of contents previously. Is the report drafted in a place where it can be basically wrapped up in the next month and a half or so before the staff off-board? Especially if you're also looking at adding pictures, that's additional budget for graphic design, all that kind of stuff. What are we looking at in terms of what the end product is expected to look like?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I will say at this point and

- I will I will start out by apologizing that my health
 issues earlier this year have impacted this so
 significantly. I am trying to get things fully back on
 track, and I still hope to have a draft by the end of the
 year.

 But I don't know that we will be fully finished with
 this by the end of the year. But I would say that the
 - But I don't know that we will be fully finished with this by the end of the year. But I would say that the Commission can look forward to having a draft in hand before the December meeting. That's my goal at this point.

Commissioner Yee, do you have any further thoughts?

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's the hope, yes. And yeah,
the whole question of actually producing the report and
hopefully having some staff time still available for us.,
that's the hope.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. And then one other question. In terms of any edits that the Commissioners may have to the documents that have been submitted, did you want those edits to be sent to you? I'm not surewhat the what the processes that you'd be looking at. It was this just shared with us just as an FYI.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, ordinarily, I guess they have to go through staff and start procedure and then they forward it to us.

25 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. I'm sorry. Yes. So do you

- 1 | want us to send any edits to staff to then be shared with
- $2 \mid \mathsf{you}$? So you are seeking edits for the or the document.
- 3 Okay. Thank you. That's really what I was looking to
- 4 ask. All right. Okay. Any other questions? Comments?
- 5 All right. Wow. This is shorter than I thought it was
- 6 going to be. Thank you. All right. Let's move on to
- 7 our next subcommittee update, Long Term
- 8 Planning/Legislative.
- 9 Commissioner Fernandez?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, yes. Okay. Now, I
- 11 | remember what our update was. We won't be going over the
- 12 | spreadsheet because we -- that we've used in the past
- 13 | because we kind of went through it last time, which was
- 14 great. Initially, we had planned to have a panel to
- 15 discuss some of the -- a couple of items on the list.
- 16 We were planning to have the panel for this meeting,
- 17 but then realized that the election's right around the
- 18 | corner and some of the panel members are very busy with
- 19 the election. So what we're planning to do is have the
- 20 panel at the November meeting.
- 21 Again, it's going to be a panel where they can give
- 22 us their feedback and their thoughts regarding the
- 23 different issue items, not necessarily like a back and
- 24 | forth with Commissioners, but it's for the Commissioners
- 25 to have that information for future discussion when we

address the -- those issues again and it might be in the same meeting, but after the panel, we're not sure yet.

2.3

But I just want to give an update that that's where we're at. I don't think -- is there anything else, Chair Akutagawa? Okay. That was a quick update. Thank you.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Great. Thank you very much. Any questions or comments on that? Okay. Well, let's move to our next one and I believe our last update, subcommittee update from Redistricting Engagement.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I think the only -- I'll share the update around Ohio and then we are still working on getting some funding for the conference to bring commissioners from all over the country. We didn't want -- we don't want it to be California-heavy. And so we will create an advisory committee and kind of think through location.

Right now, we're looking at either Stanford or somewhere in Utah, but it might move depending on what the advisory group considers. So we'll keep you all abreast of it all. And of course, it all depends on funding. We're looking for about 75,000. And Common Cause would be the organization that would facilitate that.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Great. Thank you very much for that. And that update, any questions? Okay. I am going

1 to take -- I know that we have a little extra time before we are supposed to break for lunch. I do want to just come back to an announcement that I believe Commissioner 3 Yee would like to make. So since we do have this time, 4 5 I'm going to ask Mr. Yee to make that announcement now. COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. Is my voice 6 7 I tried logging out and in. So this is the 8 chair rotation question. And I sent you an email or staff sent you an email polling your availability and 10 everyone is still on board for the rotation glad to 11 report. So we'll continue having all 14 in the rotation. 12 I did inquire about the swap and we want to make of 13 Commissioners Sadhwani and Kennedy in the upcoming 14 The issue being that it does put three men rotation. 15 commissioners in a row coming up and nobody had any 16 objections to that. So I believe we can just go ahead 17 with that swap and that remains in the current rotation 18 then. 19 So at this point no changes are needed to the 20 rotation policy. But there was a mention last time we 21 discussed this of thoughts of possibly changing the term. 22 Currently it's quarterly. Of course, once we are down to

So at that point, you would Chair for a quarter and

our one staff member starting next year, at some point we

may go down to one quarterly meeting.

2.3

24

1 chair one meeting versus perhaps chairing for half a year and chairing two meetings. It seems a little bit 3 imponderable at this point because we really just don't 4 know what the workflow is going to be like and when that 5 all transpires. But I just didn't want to drop that point in 6 7 discussion in case anybody wants to pursue it. 8 would be a change into our -- change to our rotation 9 policy, which I think would take a vote. But does anyone 10 want to pursue that further? Otherwise we would just 11 stay with the quarterly rotation for now. And of course 12 we can always change it in the future. 13 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Sinay? 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think staying with the 15 quarterly kind of stays with what we've had with the 16 monthly. I mean, I chaired -- obviously we canceled two 17 meetings of the month I chaired. But I think one, 18 chairing for quarter is easier for people who need to 19 plan with their work and everything else, then trying to 20 think through six months. The whole idea of rotating 21 chairs was to give people opportunity to be engaged. 22 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. 2.3 Commissioner Turner, I know that you had your hand 24 up. 25 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Additive, not repetitive. Ι

1 agree.

2.3

2 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you.

sticking with the quarterly is acceptable. And I'm going to figure that everyone else, not having raised their hands to say differently, means they also agree. I see also, Commissioner Andersen, you have your hand up now.

Okay. Commissioner Yee, I think it sounds like

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, this is, again, after I agree with what was said before. I just want to bring up who's in charge of big events in our -- as we move forward? Right now it's obviously you, Commissioner Akutagawa or Chair Akutagawa and Vice Chair Taylor who are getting us ready for actually closing the office doing a major shift.

And then I want to say that in next -- the April

June through the 2023, it'll be then Chair Kennedy and

Vice Chair Le Mons, we'll be getting us ready to have

live meetings in person. So just kind of bring -- those

are a couple of transitions that we will need to go

through going forward.

CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. We do have some major things coming up still.

All right. Thank you, Commissioner Yee, for continuing to do the work of keeping us on track with the chair rotations that's been both nice to see and giving

1 everybody a chance to experience chairing the meetings and then also keeping us on track as to who's doing what. 3 Okay. So --4 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. 5 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you. All right. With that, that does conclude all of our subcommittee updates and 6 7 announcements. I am going to call for public comment on Agenda Item Number 3. Kristian? 8 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good. 10 Commission will now take public comment on Agenda Item 11 Number 3. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and 12 enter meeting ID number 81861322117. Once you've dialed 13 in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. 14 full call-in instructions are read at the beginning of 15 the meeting and are provided on the livestream landing 16 page. And there is no one in the queue at this time. 17 CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. And while we wait, I will 18 just let everybody know that I'm going to give you a few 19 extra minutes through the lunch. When we adjourn or 20 reconvene from the lunch, we will reconvene at 1:45 21 because I did tell a few of the Commissioners who had a 22 drop off earlier and who are going to rejoin us after 23 lunch, I told them that we would restart at 1:45 with the 24 closed session and that will be focused on pending 25 litigation.

1	Kristian, are the instructions done?
2	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The instructions are
3	complete.
4	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. All right. So with that
5	said, I'm going to give you an extra seven minutes for
6	lunch. So then we will see you all back at 1:45 in
7	closed session. Thank you.
8	All right. Thank you, everyone. Welcome back. We
9	had lunch and we returned from lunch to closed session.
10	I just want to report that we took no action during
11	closed session. And what we are now going to do is,
12	given that we have finished all of the subcommittee
13	updates, we are going to just move to general public
14	comment based on Agenda Item Number 5.
15	And so Kristian, I'm going to ask you to give us the
16	instructions for calling in to make comment public
17	comment.
18	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing. The
19	commission will now take general public comment to give
20	comment. Please call 877-853-5247 and enter meeting ID
21	number 81861322117. Once you've dialed in, please press
22	star 9 to enter the comment queue. The full call-in
23	instructions are read at the beginning of the meeting and
24	are provided on the livestream landing page. And there's

no one in the queue at this time.

1	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: All right. Thank you, Kristian.
2	And then I also realized that I did promise Commissioner
3	Kennedy a revisit of the earlier conversation. I believe
4	it was around the Department of Finance. He and I did
5	have a conversation, and we are going to postpone any
6	further conversation on this. And we will allow the
7	subcommittee and staff to take action, as was discussed
8	earlier. So I just want to close the loop on that in
9	case anybody ends up asking.
10	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And those instructions
11	are complete. There is no one in the queue.
12	CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Great. I think what we'll
13	do is we are looking at before I adjourn this meeting,
14	I know that we have dates for our November and December
15	meetings. And I think what we'll do is, Corina, I think
16	this will become one of your first actions as our sole
17	staff person in 2023.
18	I think it'll be helpful for all of us on the
19	Commission as well as anybody who follows along to go
20	ahead and take action to schedule meetings for that first
21	quarter in 2023 so that we could hold dates on our
22	calendars and will then be prepared.
23	And then once we get closer to 2023, we can look at
24	the rest of the year as well too. So if you could at
25	least take that action to schedule meetings in that first

```
quarter, that would be great.
1
 2
         MS. LEON: Okay. I'm happy to do that.
         CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Thank you, Corina. And with that,
 3
   wow, we have finished a little bit early. I am going to
4
5
    officially adjourn this meeting now. Thank you,
 6
    everyone.
              (Whereupon, the CRC Business Meeting adjourned
7
8
              at 4:30 p.m.)
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, of the videoconference recording of the proceedings provided by the California Citizens Redistricting Commission.

JENNIFER BARTON

November 8, 2022
DATE