STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

PUBLIC INPUT MEETING

WEDNESDAY, JULY 7, 2021 9:30 a.m.

Transcribed by:

eScribers, LLC

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Russell Yee, Chair
Linda Akutagawa, Vice Chair
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
J. Kennedy, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Derric Taylor, Commissioner
Trena Turner, Commissioner
Angela Vazquez, Commissioner

STAFF

Fredy Ceja, Communications Director Alvaro E. Hernandez, Deputy Executive Director Marcy Kaplan, Director of Outreach Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Ravindar Singh, Administrative Assistant

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator Katy Manoff, Comment Moderator

Also Present

Public Comment

John Welborne, Windsor Square Association Geoffrey Neill, California State Association of Counties Adria Orr, Asian Americans Advancing Justice Jacqueline Colon, NALEO Educational Fund Ianna Best, California Black Census & Redistricting Hub Deborah Howard, California Senior Advocates League Gabriela Mungarro, Long Beach Convention & Visitors Center

Paula Zepeda, Long Beach Convention & Visitors Center

3

INDEX

	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	4
Public Comment	5
Executive Director Report	8
Communications Director Report	12
Outreach Director Report	14
Chief Counsel Report	25
Public Comment	26
Subcommittee Updates	27
Motion for Interpretation	68
Public Comment	100
Motion for Interpretation Continued	101
Public Comment	103
Motion for Interpretation Continued	120
Motion Passes	124
Second Motion on Interpretation	125
Public Comment	139
Second Motion Discussion Continued	140
Motion Passes	143
Subcommittee Updates Continued	145
Motion Outreach to Incarcerated Persons	157
Public Comment	165
Motion passes	174

	4
Public Comment	181
Committee Updates	187
Motion COI Input Meeting Schedule	198
Public Comment	199
Motion Passes	204
Committee Updates Continued	204
Public Comment	220
Closing	221

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 9:30 a.m. CHAIR YEE: Good morning, California. Welcome to a 3 4 regular business meeting of the California Citizens 5 Redistricting Commission. I'm Commissioner Russell Yee, 6 and I'll be chair for this meeting. Can we have a roll 7 call, please? 8 MR. SINGH: Yes, Chair. 9 Commissioner Ahmad? 10 Commissioner Akutagawa? 11 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Here. 12 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen? 13 Commissioner Fernandez? 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Here. 15 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari? 16 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here. 17 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy? 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here. MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons? 19 20 Commissioner Sadhwani? 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Here. 22 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay? 2.3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here. 24 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Taylor? 25 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Present.

1 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo? 2 Commissioner Turner? COMMISSIONER TURNER: 3 Here. 4 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez? 5 And Commissioner Yee? CHAIR YEE: 6 Here. 7 MR. SINGH: You have a quorum, Chair. Thank you, Ravi. Let's go ahead and CHAIR YEE: 9 open the lines for our opening public comment. 10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Good morning, Chair. 11 CHAIR YEE: Thank you, Katy. 12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: In order to maximize 13 transparency and public participation in our process, the 14 Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone. To 15 call in, dial the telephone number provided on the 16 livestream feed in is 877-853-5247. When prompted to 17 enter the meeting ID number provided on the livestream 18 feed, it is 98322642969 for this meeting. 19 When prompted to enter a participant ID simply press 20 the pound key. Once you have dialed in, you'll be placed 21 in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please 22 press star 9. This will raise your hand for the 23 moderator. When it is your turn to speak, you will hear 24 a message that says the host would like you to talk and 25 the press star 6 to speak.

If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You are not required to provide your name to give public comment. Please make sure to meet your computer or livestream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please turn down the livestream volume.

And at this time we do have a caller with their hand raised. I like to remind those calling in to please press star 9 to raise their hand, indicating they wish to comment. Right now we have caller 2242. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MR. WELBORNE: Good morning to the members of the Commission. This is John Welborne. I am a Los Angeles resident and the vice president for planning and land use of an association called the Windsor Square Association that has been around since about 1925.

We are very supportive of the work you're doing as citizen commissioners and thank you. And I just want to alert that we will be sending in a letter and some background about the greater Wilshire neighborhood in the middle of Los Angeles that unfortunately, and I believe accidentally was split by your predecessor commission ten years ago, and we request that you stop the split and put

our neighborhoods right back together. Thank you very much.

CHAIR YEE: Thank you.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much. And to our next caller, is caller 1679. Caller 1679, if you will follow the prompts to unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MR. NEILL: Good morning. This is Geoffrey Neill with the California State Association of Counties. I want to start off by thanking all of you for your service and the good work you're doing. And I just wanted to provide a brief comment related to the decision facing you about the timeline.

And I just want to -- and we submitted a letter a couple of months ago at this point that was entered into the record and I appreciate that. But just wanted to keep front of mind that the decision about the timeline and about when -- obviously we're not in control of when we get the data from the Census Bureau, but how quickly we can move through the process once we do get that data.

Of course, it's not just a state issue. There are, you know, fifty-eight counties, many cities, and special district, and school districts that also are undertaking the same process. And of course, we need to do planning not only around the election calendar, because we not

- 1 only do redistricting, we also administer the elections.
- 2 You know, if any changes in law are needed, obviously,
- 3 the legislature has their calendar as well. And so just
- 4 | a reminder that that the commission's decision here has
- 5 | had spillover effects. And we are all --
- 6 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds.
- 7 MR. NEILL: -- keenly interested in the decision
- 8 | that you make in this regard. Just wanted to -- that's
- 9 all. Thank you for the time this morning and appreciate
- 10 | the opportunity to speak.
- 11 CHAIR YEE: Thank you.
- 12 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And with that, Chair,
- 13 | that is all of our public comment at this time.
- 14 CHAIR YEE: Very good. Thank you, Katty.
- 15 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You're welcome.
- 16 CHAIR YEE: Let's move on to our director reports
- 17 and we'll start with our executive director, Director
- 18 Hernandez.
- 19 MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, good morning, Chair. I'll
- 20 | start with there's nothing to report for staff and
- 21 personnel at this time. And then I'll move on to the
- 22 Protocols and Commission Communication. So last meeting,
- 23 the Commission approved the schedule through July 31st
- 24 for the COI input meetings.
- 25 And you will find today posted is a proposed draft

for the August in-person/ virtual hybrid COI input meetings. So I'd like for the Commissioners to take a look at that and we'll have further discussion later on in the Public Input Design Committee section. But I wanted to bring that to your attention.

2.0

And I am looking for the Commission to decide if that's -- if the dates are beneficial and can we approve those. There is still a lot of work to do as far as the coordination of the actual events, but the dates are the -- are what we need to move forward if we have the dates so we can actually lock down locations and do all the necessary pre-planning that we have to do to have those public input meetings.

So that is important for today's meeting to get that moving forward. Obviously, this is a proposed draft.

The dates, the way I've put them together, are to minimize the amount of travel that is being done across the state and try to put it in a form that is more succinct.

And the travel is from the north down the coast to the south to the central valley where it's appropriate, rather than going from the north to the south within a day or two days. So there is a method to the plan. It's with the idea of driving. A lot of these locations are more remote areas. So there would need to be some

driving done. Some areas do not have airports where we would be able to fly into. So those were other considerations that were taken into place.

And again, the locations of the actual meetings ar

And again, the locations of the actual meetings are not set because we do not have dates to move forward with. So that's why we're asking for the Commission to consider the schedule and make a decision if possible today. Thank you.

In regards to the budget, as I mentioned before, we're still working with our fiscal director to come up with the budget for the year end. We have the year-end information for June 2021 and we're putting that together. That concludes my report. Any questions?

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Would you like a motion now for the input meeting schedule to draft -- to approve the draft input meeting schedule?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I'm okay if we do take a motion now.

I was going to allow for some conversations at the later

part of the meeting. But if you're comfortable, I'm

comfortable.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Two thoughts. I wasn't part of the input session yesterday, but I did receive an email from Karin this morning just asking about setting

- aside some time to -- no? Okay. Jane says no. The other piece I just wanted to bring up your first point around personnel was I just had a question about data management personnel and if those folks were being brought on, I just wasn't sure what the status of that was.
 - MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, we are still continuing our search for the data manager. We have received another candidate that we would like to take a look at before we make a final decision. So that's kind of where we're at right now.
- COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, I thought the person was already hired.
- MR. HERNANDEZ: No, we were considering that person.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I see.

2.0

- MR. HERNANDEZ: We were looking at the background check -- not background, but reference checks. And so with that, we want to take another look at this other candidate that we received an application for just to make sure.
- COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. I really appreciate that. So then given that, though, that puts all of our data management internal structure back, it would seem, including our ability to access the COIs being submitted through the core tool; is that correct?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I don't think it puts us back. 1 2 the reason I say that is because we're still working on 3 the Airtable contract. We're still trying to work that 4 So until we have that, we really can't do anything. 5 Although our consultant is working to move forward with that as much as possible. 6 7 Again, we're still waiting on the contract for Airtable to really get into the details, into the work of 8 9 Airtable, to get it set up, to get the information from 10 our cloud and import and then have it available to export 11 it to our line drawers. 12 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioner Sinay? No? 13 Is there anyone from the Public Input Design Committee 14 that wants to speak to whether to entertain a motion now 15 or to wait till later to approve the schedule? 16 Commissioner Andersen? 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think there are a couple 18 of items to be discussed a little bit later, and so we 19 just do that when it's time. And when the -- comes to as 20 Director Alvaro said -- Director Hernandez said at the 21 PIDC time. 22 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Any further thoughts? If not, 2.3 let's move on to the Communication Directors Report. 24 MR. CEJA: Thank you, Chair. I want to begin by 25

giving the social media analytics. So that was posted

yesterday. The total page likes for Facebook are at 910.
The followers for Instagram are at 157. The followers

3 for Twitter are 1,520. The followers for LinkedIn are at

4 201 and we have 55 subscribers for YouTube.

As far as the website membership, it's 11,302. It continues to grow. I get pinged every time somebody adds on to our database and we get at least three a day, which is pretty good. It means people are gravitating towards our website.

As far as the advertising bids are, we did set a deadline for next week, the 14th, to have all bids for advertising come in at 5 p.m. So hopefully by the next meeting I'll have some sort of announcement about how many we actually received for the advertising services.

We were able to reach out to at least three bids per zone. So it's exciting and folks are actually excited to bid on these services. So we'll see how many bids we actually receive. I also wanted to announce that I had a conversation with our executive director about getting additional help for the Comms team.

So we're looking at hiring a Comms coordinator to mainly deal with updates to the website and allowing me to actually do some of the media relations and start pitching stories and getting more attention for not only our events, but for our work. So hopefully that'll free

me up to do a lot more. And that's all I have for today.

We do have two upcoming interviews, one with [In Spanish] -- or tomorrow with Commissioner Sinay. And then the Southern California Newsgroup next week with Commissioner Kennedy. And in the news today, Cecilia sent out the report. The Fullerton observer was plugging our COI input meeting coming up.

Bloomberg Government wrote a piece on equity in the redistricting process. And then we got an editorial by Commissioner Toledo in El Tecolote. And also an op-ed in the bay area reported by Commissioner Ahmad. So that's my report for today.

CHAIR YEE: Excellent. Any questions for Director Ceja? Thank you for the good work, Director Ceja. Let's move on to our Outreach Director's Report.

Director Kaplan?

2.3

MS. KAPLAN: Hi. Good morning, Commissioners. And the public tuning in. The outreach team is continuing outreach efforts across the state, sharing information and ways to participate in the redistricting process. I want to highlight upcoming communities of interest meetings that the Commission is hosting across the state.

On Thursday, July 8th at 10 a.m., there will be a coffee input meeting focused on Orange County. On Saturday, July 10th, focused on San Diego and Imperial

1 Counties. On Monday, July 12th, at 10 a.m., focused on

2 Fresno, Kern, Kings, Madera, Mirsad, San Joaquin,

3 Stanislaus, and Tulare counties. On July 15 to 4 p.m.

4 focused on L.A. County.

together.

As noted in the past, the public is not limited to the focus counties to provide input at the upcoming meetings and information for all of these meetings, as well as other additional upcoming COI input meetings and CRC business meetings can be found on the meetings page on our website, which is at www.wedrawthelinesca.org/meetings. At this link you can also see the agendas as well as new English and Spanish specific fliers for the upcoming — several of the upcoming meetings that our communications team helped put

Additionally, there is a link to register in advance to get an appointment time slot. The public also has the opportunity to not schedule an appointment and call in during the day of the meeting and access codes for the meeting will be available on the lines stream the day of the meeting or by calling our office.

Registration closes 5 p.m. two days before the meeting and request for interpretation of public comment or disability accommodations are due five business days in advance of the meetings. And as the Commission

highlighted in the past, the COI input meetings are not the only way to provide input to the Commission. We also encourage all Californians to provide input in one of the following ways at our communities of interest mapping tool, drawmycacommunity.org. The tool is available in 16 languages -- non-English languages on our website. You can also access the tool on the Draw My California Community icon, by emailing the VotersFirstAct@CRC.ca.gov email, and by mailing our offices.

2.3

I also wanted to highlight the COI input meetings that the Commission recently held, as well as the participation at those meetings. On 6/26, the Commission hosted a public input meeting focused on the Los Angeles County area. There were forty-nine speakers who provided input and nineteen of those forty-nine had appointments.

On 6/28, in the -- in our Zone C which is focused on Alameda, Contra Costa, Marin, San Francisco, San Mateo, Santa Clara, and Solano counties, there were sixteen speakers providing input that day which covered five of the seven counties in that zone. There was one speaker from L.A. County, and eight of the fifteen -- or sixteen speakers had appointments.

On July 1st, the Commission hosted a public input meeting that was focused on Del Norte, Humboldt,
Mendocino, Lake, Napa, Sonoma, and Trinity Counties. The

Commission heard from twenty-seven Californians in total, and they represented all the counties in that zone. I also wanted to provide an update on the COI tool submissions. We now have a total of 639 submissions to the COI tool, which is an increase of 121 submissions in the last week.

I also wanted to provide an update on outreach efforts. The Commission continues to conduct redistricting basic presentations through the end of July. Field staff have been supporting with this effort and also helping with recording when necessary. Field staff have been helping to support with scheduling the last -- any other changes that were made.

And I also want to highlight outreach that's been happening across the field team for the upcoming COI input meetings. Tomorrow's July 8th COI input meeting focused on Orange County now has twenty-eight people registered.

Staff are following up with contacts that held redistricting basics presentations that commissioners have been in contact with, contacts that had engaged in census efforts, and also building contact lists of the various sectors we had highlighted in the phase two planning ranging from local governments, libraries, business sector and CBOs.

The 710 San Diego and Imperial COI Input Meeting has nine people signed up. Three of those signed up overnight. And this is consistent with the last few meetings where we we're seeing registration go up in the last two to three days before the meeting.

Andrew Amorao, our field lead focused on San Diego, Imperial, and Inland Empire regions, has been focused over the last two weeks on outreach in Imperial and San Diego County. The Government sector has been the most responsive in the region and also has engaged with local libraries who had requested -- who had helped distribute fliers in the region.

Staff field leads are also focused on building contacts and fostering those relationships. So that's also taking up some of the time and outreach to identify stakeholders to contact, but also reach a broad range of contacts of the public in the area. I also just want to highlight Commissioner Sinay's coordination with Andrew, and I'm really putting in a lot of outreach support for that COI input meeting as well.

For the COI Input Meeting on the 12th, we have seven people signed up so far. This is focused on Fresno,

Kerns, Kings, and other Central Valley communities. Some of them more responsive. Contacts have been farm bureaus and local governments, along with Chamber of Commerce.

Staff will also be reaching out to more faith-based contacts in the region. And I just want to also highlight coordination that Jose Eduardo has done with Fredy to connect with seven local news outlets in the region.

2.3

And I also want to highlight that Anne and I have been building on statewide outreach efforts that the Outreach and Engagement Subcommittee had begun, including focusing on government sector focused entities that reach county and city governments, and will also be focusing this coming week on K-through-12 and higher education engagement, among other sectors.

Last week, the Commission approved the hire -- I'm sorry --- this was the week before the hire of our Northern California lead, Ashleigh Howick, and she started on July 1. And Sulma and our team have been working to onboard her and provide a hand off of the outreach efforts that the other field leaders had been helping to support for Zones A, B, C, and D. So a lot of Northern California. And I'll have her join at our next Commission meeting so she can be introduced to all the commissioners.

I also want to provide some updates on additional efforts moving forward. We are focused on developing a COI tool training. And I also want to highlight that the



statewide database has released some additional short how to videos on the tool, and these were included in the Commission's newsletter last week. And we're looking at how to incorporate them into the training as well.

2.0

I also wanted to highlight that we received the COI Input Meeting flier that has all of the COI Input Meetings back from our language vendor and field leaders have been distributing that. The flier has meeting times through July 12th and after today's meeting we'll go back to the vendor for an update to provide times for the July and August meetings should those get finalized.

Each flier also highlights how to request interpretation of public comment in those languages. And so we'll look on other places where we can incorporate that language in our communications. And we will -- we are also working with the communications team to finalize a short digital action toolkit that will help organizations as they are interested in engaging on redistricting. Easy ways that they can help get the word out.

And I also wanted to highlight that we -- Anne and I met with Frank Pizzi with the Sacramento County Office of Education. They are finalizing the redistricting curriculum that you all discussed earlier this year on a panel that Commissioner Fernandez and Commissioner

Vazquez had helped coordinate. And this is a redistricting curriculum for 11th and 12th grades.

We discussed a variety of options to help spread the word once it's finalized and distributed. And we'll be circling back with you all with an update. It was really exciting. We also discussed the COI tool, and so they will be integrating the COI tool into the curriculum as an activity for students to utilize as a companion activity to the curriculum. And so that was a really exciting opportunity. And that is my update if there's questions?

12 CHAIR YEE: A lot going on. Yes. Good work.

Commissioner Kennedy?

14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you for that report.

15 That is fantastic to have all of that. Just a quick

16 question for you. And you had indicated that the

17 Government sector was being very responsive, I've heard

18 that. I had started working with The City of Barstow

19 back in April to try to schedule something, and they now

20 | want to schedule something on July 19th, but went to the

21 | website and found the speaker request form no longer

22 there. So how are we going to handle requests like that

23 CHAIR YEE: Director Kaplan. And then Commissioner

24 Sinay.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

MS. KAPLAN: Okay. So at a previous Commission



meeting, the Commission voted to close the registration
form on June 4th. Other organizations that have reached
out for requests. We are sharing the redistricting
basics video and other ways to participate.

I think as we develop this COI tool training, there will be additional opportunity for staff to then go out and do presentations on the COI tool and then kind of hop off and let the public go into the tool and actually submit public input.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

we've passed the deadline.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: There will be an email that we'll hear -- we'll get from Alvaro for all Commissioners. Please contact Alvaro if you are contacted by an organization who would like a presentation and we'll talk from there. Because we do understand that there will -- there are some groups that

are critical and some groups that it took some time and

But we do need to keep it --keep to the policy that we passed as a Commission as well as staff has moved forward. And we need staff to focus on phase number 2, which is the COI, communities of input, collecting as much as possible. So if you get contacted, please contact Alvaro.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. Any other thoughts?



Commissioner Akutagawa?

2.0

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I want to just -- I guess I just want to -- if I'm hearing it correctly and I'm saying this because I got to say, it's not sitting well with me. I guess that we would -- we either are going -- I mean, I think what's been drilled in, you know, for the purposes of both fairness and transparency is that we can't make exceptions.

And if I understood you correctly, Commissioner
Sinay, is that there will be exceptions made, which I'm
concerned that is just going to open up a can of worms
for all of us. Okay. So am I misunderstanding what I
heard then? Because I just want to make sure.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: The policy is as it stands and the Commission is no longer doing communities of interest basics. Having said that, there are some things that may come up that we need to be flexible. We can't be black and white on everything.

And we do -- we don't want to be saying no to the community because we do have the community of interest tool kit that's coming out. And we want -- unfortunately, some community members have come to commissioners saying, hey, the door got slammed in my face.

So we're trying to be more flexible and not flexible in that we will do the presentations. But our answer, our response needs to be let us take in your information and we will get back to you on what we can present or what we can't -- what we can and can't present.

2.3

But we need to understand who is calling in. And that's why I misspoke. Alvaro and Anthony should be emailed if someone reaches out, because we do need to know what's happening. And Anthony can speak more to the topic.

ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. And I think that's -- I think as she just said it, Commissioner Akutagawa, I think that's exactly right. I think we want to make sure that we all are aware of any sort of pending requests that are out there. And as Commissioner Sinay said, we do need to follow Commission protocol.

And until and unless the Commission changes that protocol, we need to enforce that. That said, we do want to work with folks to see what we can do to get them a response or some level of feedback. And as Commissioner Sinay said, we want to try to be flexible, but we do have to work within our boundaries as well.

But the point of reaching out to myself and Alvaro is so that we all know which -- how many are out there and which one of you have been contacted just so we're

1 all on the same page. 2 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen? COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: On that note, if we are not 3 4 contacted, but someone in like a zone contacts the staff 5 directly, could you please let the two liaison know what happened in their zone? Because this is obviously an 6 7 issue that --ATTNY PANE: Sure. Yes. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- came up was had heavy 10 debate and I was not -- anyway, it's a -- I'm glad that we are doing some sort of accommodation, but I'm 11 12 certainly glad that this came up for the full Commission 13 so we all know about it. 14 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. And I 16 just want to ensure that regardless of who contacts or 17 which organizations, they are all treated the same and 18 are -- all receive the same response and the same 19 information. 2.0 CHAIR YEE: Any other discussion on outreach? Ιf 21 not, let's move on to the Chief Counsel Report. 22 Chief Counsel Pane? 2.3 ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Chair. Good morning, 24 Commission. Just wanted to send some thanks to Marian. 25 She's been lightning fast on the legislative updates, and I know you all appreciate, as I do, the updates she's providing both for redistricting issues in other states as well as some of the Bagley-Keene watches that we're looking here in California.

2.0

- Another just sort of I guess legal personnel update. We were able to successfully start the contracts to a new attorney this week. And so he is just beginning to work with Raul on pending issues. So I think that'll be helpful. And I'm still working some details out with the other retired annuitants hiring.
- There's just some administrative details that

 Legal's not spared either as far as some a little bit of

 delay. And that's okay. But we'll work them out. And

 again, just thank you again for all of your -- all of

 your assistance and approval with it. With that, if

 anyone has any questions.
- CHAIR YEE: Any questions? Okay. Anything else on any of the directory reports before we go to public comment?
- Okay. If not, Katy, let's take public comment on agenda item 3, Director Reports.
- 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
- 23 The Commission will now take public comment on 24 agenda item number 3, Director Reports. To give comment, 25 please call 877-853-5247 and enter the meeting ID number

1 98322642969 for this meeting. Once you have dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. The full 3 call-in instructions are read at the beginning of the 4 meeting and are provided on the live stream landing page. 5 And at this time we do not have any public comment, Chair. 6 7 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Wait just a minute. After public comment, we'll be moving into our Subcommittee Reports. 8 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The instructions are 10 complete on the stream, Chair. 11 Thank you, Katy. Okay. CHAIR YEE: Let's move to 12 the agenda item 4, Subcommittee Updates. And we'll start 13 with Government Affairs, Commissioners Sadhwani and 14 Toledo. 15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I don't think we have 16 anything to report at this time. 17 Pedro, do you have any updates? 18 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think the only update is 19 that we do intend to send a letter to the legislature 20 just expressing our support for the trail bill -- just 21 support for flexibility with the Bagley-Keene issues 22 around -- just the continuance of the flexibilities that 2.3 we have to be able to do teleconferencing. 24 So we want to support that in the legislature and

just express our position about the importance of

25

- allowing for accommodations to improve communication with the public.
- COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, that's correct. And we are working with Chief Counsel Pane on that project. So more to report very soon.
 - CHAIR YEE: Very good. On the agenda, we also have this ongoing mention of the timeline and the census delay. Just want to check that. Going forward,

 Government affairs will take a lead on that. I know there's still advice being taken. And it's a big subject that we'll need to address most likely in open session next.
 - COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, that's correct. And we'll work to help coordinate those conversations. But of course, the final decisions are most certainly a full commission discussion. And so we'll work to figure out the next steps on that item.
 - CHAIR YEE: Very good. And as we heard even in our opening public comments that certainly a lot of people are waiting for that plane to land. So we'll look forward to that discussion. Okay. Let's move on to find -- okay. I'm sorry.
- 23 Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'd like to just ask
Commissioner Sadhwani and Toledo, what -- when do you

1 feel like this conversation is going to take place? Because I know that lots of people are holding tight and 3 wondering, and as are all of us. And I think it would 4 just be helpful to just mentally have an idea of when you 5 anticipate having the conversation. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa, if I could 6 7 just -- I could help answer that, if that's okay. Commissioner Akutagawa, I think right now we're still 8 9 waiting on some -- looking into some further legal 10 wrinkles associated with different options regarding the 11 timelines and what those look like. And I think once we 12 get some feedback on that, I think it might be more ripe 13 for further discussion. But I will certainly defer to 14 Commissioner Sadhwani and Toledo on that. 15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I think that's right. 16 And I would just also add -- while we had hoped to have 17 our external legal counsel here today, July 7th, this 18 date just simply didn't work for them to be present. 19 certainly stay tuned as we continue to engage with them. 2.0 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And I would just add, I think 21 we all know that this is an important issue, that we want 22 to get some resolution as quickly as possible. And we 23 also need to make sure that we have done all of our due

diligence. So at this point, we're still in the due

diligence process and gathering information.

24

25

1 hopefully as soon as we have all the information that we need to be able to move forward with bringing the information back to the Commission so that we can have 3 4 the conversation and also be able to make a decision at 5 that time. CHAIR YEE: So I know outside counsel will be 6 7 present at our next meeting on July 13th. Is it possible 8 that there will be a discussion then? I know that you're 9 still waiting on some things that have not arrived, 10 but --11 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I think it's very possible 12 that we can have a conversation at that point, if not at 13 that meeting, then the next Commission business meeting. 14 But it's very, very possible. That would be the hope if 15 they can get everything together. 16 CHAIR YEE: Very good. 17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So at least have the 18 conversation. We might not make the decision, but have 19 the conversation that will ultimately get us to a 20 decision point. 21 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Any further thoughts on that? 22 Let's move on to Finance and Administration, 2.3 Commissioners Fernandez and Fornaciari? 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So it looks like

Commissioner Fornaciari is still on mute.

25

1 continuing to revise the travel policy. We're trying to make it as simple as possible, because as you -- we have 3 all become aware and I have with my many years in state 4 government, it can be quite lengthy if you put every 5 single requirements who are trying to summarize that information for everyone, we get as simple as possible. 6 7 Also, I talked with Director Hernandez last week and I'll probably be working with the Budget Director on 8 9 expenditure information because the way we receive the 10 expenditure information from The State Comptroller's office is very different than is normally received of 11 12 course with other agencies because of course we have to be different. 13 14 It can't be simple, so hopefully we can work on that 15 and get some information ready for the full Commission 16 and then also, as Director Hernandez mentioned, get ready for your end. Is there anything else, Commissioner 17 18 Fornaciari? Okay. That's it. Thank you. 19 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good. Any questions? 20 not, we'll move on to Gantt chart. Commissioners Kennedy 21 and Taylor? 22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sorry. My screen started 23 floating. Nothing significant to report at this point. 24 Of course, once we have that discussion on the 13th or 25

whenever, we'll then be able to update the Gantt chart on

the basis of whatever it is that we do and don't decide.
Thank you.

2.3

CHAIR YEE: Okay. Moving on to VRA Compliance,

Commissioner Sadhwani and myself. Commissioner Sadhwani,

you want to update us?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. So the VRA

Subcommittee this coming week will be working with the counsel to prepare -- they will be preparing a training for the full Commission at our July 13th meeting, which has been agendized.

We anticipate that that will be based on the plan that they had shared with the Legal Affairs Committee last week. So it'll be both kind of their plan of action for moving forward as well as intermixing within it some VRA training, kind of as a refresher and hopefully being available to answer questions as we go through.

If you have any specific training needs or areas that -- we've had several trainings along the way, it's been a while. So if there are any specific items that you'd like to see incorporated into that training, please do let us know or let Chief Counsel Pane know so that he can pass that along to us to make sure that we are hitting all of those key components.

Commissioner Yee, do you have anything more to add to that?



1	CHAIR YEE: I'm trying to recall. Yeah. That's all
2	good. Also recalling that at some point we're seeking
3	Commission action on authorizing our outside counsel to
4	hire a racially polarized voting analyst. And I'm trying
5	to recall whether that was going to happen on the 13th.
6	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, I think that they were
7	going to update us at minimum. And then, yeah, I think
8	we have to work out the exact details. And part of that
9	depends on when they find their preferred candidate.
10	CHAIR YEE: Okay. So we'll look forward to that.
11	Questions? Commissioner Sinay?
12	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is July 13th when we'll talk
13	about what data we will or will not be used.
14	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Hi. Could you give me the
15	fragrance department?
16	CHAIR YEE: I'm sorry. Go ahead, Commissioner
17	Sinay.
18	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I don't know the number to the
19	fragrance department. Sorry, I was thrown off. Will we
20	be discussing on July 13th what data we will and will not
21	be using for the VRA? And I guess for line drawing maps,
22	that would be with the line drawing group. But it feels
23	like we still haven't had those really important
24	conversations on data data outside of the census data.
25	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: For the RPV?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: For RPV, yes. Because last time the Commission chose not to look at political voting data and political party data. And others have recommended that we do look at that. So that's still a conversation we need to have. So yes.

2.0

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. I mean, for racially polarized voting, we would have to look at election data that doesn't necessarily need to be partisan data per say. Certainly, the statewide database collects all of the election data for the state. And so I think it would be the question and what they're laying out in the plan, which will be presented more formally on the 13th, is trying to figure out those areas in which we target that analysis.

One of the things that they have suggested is using the current ACS data, the American Community Survey data, which is currently available to identify those areas where we anticipate we might need RPV analysis. So a part of it is how do we be robust in our approach and yet at the same time be cost effective? Right.

We don't need to run this analysis in every single corner of the state. So how can we -- how can we be strategic in where that role will lie? So I do think that we can have a great -- a fuller conversation about the data that would be used next week on the 13th.

1	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thanks.
2	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo?
3	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just a quick question
4	regarding it's more of a process question and whether
5	the racially polarized voting consultant will need to
6	get whether the Commission will need to secure
7	approval from any state bodies.
8	I believe staff is going to go back and look at
9	whether the subcontractor whether the contractor could
10	just hire them on their own without state approval or
11	whether we would still need other approvals. I'm just
12	thinking about timeline and how long it would take to
13	bring on these folks and to get them started. Right.
14	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right. I don't know if
15	Anthony or Raul Raul is not here with us today, I
16	don't think. But I believe that was going to be a
17	conversation at the staff level. I don't know if there's
18	anything to report back at this stage.
19	ATTNY PANE: Commissioners, I don't have anything to
20	report at this time. But that is a conversation I'm
21	trying to nail down. Yes.
22	CHAIR YEE: And I did check. It is agendized for
23	the 13th that we will probably seek Commission action on
24	approving that authority one way or another. But we hope

to have all information before we do so of course.

25

1	Okay. Anything else for VRA?
2	Commissioner Toledo?
3	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I believe Commissioner
4	Fernandez had something or was she going to pass?
5	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?
6	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I was just I was
7	going to ask Commissioner Toledo a little bit more about
8	what he was talking about regarding state approvals. Are
9	you talking about the analyst position potentially, or?
10	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: This is the racialized,
11	polarized now racially polarized analysis of
12	subcontractor in the so our agreement with the
13	Strumwasser is that they could hire a racialized
14	polarized voting consultant to do the data analysis for
15	us.
16	The question is whether the state has to give or
17	whether there's a state agency that has given approval
18	for that subcontract. And so that that was the
19	question
20	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay.
21	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: or whether they could do it
22	on their own without any additional approval other than
23	our approval.
24	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So what my feedback
25	to that would be because it sounds like they would be

1 paid by the VRA, so I would -- I believe they wouldn't have to go through, but of course, we'd have to confirm that. Since it wouldn't be a contract with us directly 3 with that racially polarized, I don't believe it has to. 4 5 But of course, we'd have to confirm that information. thank you. I just wanted to get an idea of --6 7 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So that's what we're trying to confirm. 8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Because ultimately it impacts our timeline. Right? 11 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. 13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Right. And so I think the piece here is like we as the Commission will still want 14 15 to retain the authority to approve of whomever they 16 select, but at the same time, hopefully not get bogged 17 down by the contracting process. 18 My understanding is that in 2010 it was done through 19 some sort of interagency agreement, but we tried to 20 structure the contract with Strumwasser to avoid that if 21 possible. But certainly we'll look forward to hearing 22 more about the ins and outs of contracting from Chief Counsel Pane. 2.3 24 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Anything to add to the discussion? If not, let's move on to outreach and 25

engagement, Commissioners Sinay and Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: We don't have a lot to report because we are going through the transition and staff is taking on more. We are asking that all Commissioners that are on social media please promote and help engage on social media the we draw the lines. They can promote an at people and all that, but it won't be -- the algorithms won't work as well as us, as if it's an individual.

So be creative at people and engage them especially -- it's a lot easier on Twitter. I know on Facebook I've joined some groups that I think would be interested and posted our items on there. We know that social media is not the best outreach, but it is a good outreach and so is op-eds and all that until we can we can do the paid ads, and we constantly need to think creatively just so that we get out of our bubbles.

The other piece I wanted to share was that

Commissioner Fornaciari and I created an analysis that's

on our handouts today on phase 1, and that's all the work

that we did. There's one piece missing. I realized

right after we did it, we started it and then we never

finished it. So we'll add the media piece, all the

interviews and op-eds and such that Commissioners have

done.

```
1
         So we apologize that we didn't include that. As you
    look at it, you'll see there's a lot there. And thank
    you again, Commissioners, for the amount of time that you
 3
 4
    took to really get the information out to Californians on
 5
    the work that's been done. So hopefully you'll review
    it, look at it.
 6
 7
         If you have any updates or we missed something, we
    tried really hard to do it well, but it was difficult.
 8
 9
    So yes, we do agree with some of the callers that say
10
    transcripts would be helpful. And please let -- please
11
    send your -- any comments you have to Director Kaplan.
12
    And she will put them together and get them to
13
    Commissioner Fornaciari and I anonymously.
14
         Commissioner Fornaciari, anything else you'd like to
15
    add?
16
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No. I think that captured
17
         Thank you.
    it.
18
         CHAIR YEE: It's a great document. It's a great
19
    summary of -- and overview of all the things we've been
20
    doing.
21
         Okay. Any other discussion? Thank you, Outreach
22
    and Engagement. Let's move on to Language Access. And I
2.3
    believe there's a handout as well today.
24
         So Commissioners Akutagawa and Fernandez?
25
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. So I'm going to hand
```

it off to Commissioner Akutagawa. So I'll start it and then punt it for you football fans out there. We did baseball sometimes now it's football today, so we'll do something else next week.

2.3

And I can't remember the last meeting or the meeting before we were -- there was a discussion about what the cost would be to provide a somewhat simultaneous interpretation of our public input meetings, which would be -- part of it was have them all in Spanish or if there are requests for different languages.

So what we did is we went back and I want to thank
Director Kaplan and Director Hernandez and also Anne for
their help in obtaining this information. And I know
Raul was involved too. So thank you Raul for that as
well. And also Kristian. I don't want to leave Kristian
out because Kristian helped us as well. So I think it
was everybody. It's definitely a village.

So what we did is we came up with the costing information Commissioner Akutagawa put together this two-page -- we wanted to make it as simple as possible. And again, I just want to -- we're going to provide the information, but that's not necessarily what our recommendation is, we're just coming back to you with the information.

So Commissioner Akutagawa, do we want to go with



1 | that? Thank you.

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I'm going to -- I'm going to go ahead and I'm going to show the handout in a presentation form just so that -- I'm realizing and I think I spoke about this before, it just makes it easier to just focus rather than just rattle off all the numbers.

I know it's also a handout so that, if you prefer, you could just look at the handout as well too. But I figured this might just be easier. What we wanted to do is -- what Commissioner Fernandez and I heard is what are -- what would it cost?

Because I know that when she and I looked at the cost, we were -- we felt that the costs were pretty significant and weighing the cost of the interpretation versus all the other things that we wanted to do, we thought, well, it just seemed cost prohibitive. But given the conversation, we thought it would be best if we just gather the information and then as a full Commission, we'll be able to make a decision together.

And so what you're seeing is I wanted to show

first -- and I just want to thank Commissioner Fernandez

because she's the one that really pulled together,

working with the staff all together, all the numbers.

But what I did is I put the Spanish interpretation first

up top, because that was the main part of the conversation that I think we all heard was that minimally we should be providing Spanish interpretation across all of the public input meetings.

2.3

There was conversation about business meetings and other things like that. I do want to say that what you're seeing here in terms of the cost is solely for the public input meeting, and it is for the cost of being able to have a phone line an audio-only line where somebody who wants to -- they can watch on the live stream, but they want the Spanish interpretation, they would need to call into the audio only line and be able to hear.

Now, so this does include the cost for just that. So to be able to listen, but also it includes the cost for the interpreter, for anybody needing interpretation to give public input as well too.

So if, we're to provide input for anybody needing interpretation, we were just assuming that we would just have them available -- the interpreter available to give that translation or interpretation service for anybody giving public input. The per meeting cost is 3,453.

Based on the timeline of when we could actually get it started, the earliest we could possibly start providing the interpretation would be August 1st. So

we're estimating seventeen meetings that we would be providing. Interpretation in Spanish. So the total cost for seventeen meetings is \$58,701. Now, we also know that we are providing -- we are saying that we're going to be able to provide interpretation in other languages.

To make it clearer in terms of the cost that we would be looking at, what we did is we looked at the other remaining eleven languages out of the twelve languages that we said that we would provide interpretation and translation in.

So for the other eleven languages, the cost to interpret and we were estimating that per language we would have a need to potentially have interpretation for at least two meetings per language. It would be roughly a cost of 4,578 to 5,778. Because different languages have different -- slightly different costs so that it's either going to be 4,578, or it's going to be 5,778.

There's no in between, just so that it's clear. But this was the easiest way to present it. Our total estimated cost for seventeen meetings if we were to provide interpretation in any of the other languages, at least two additional audio lines per language or per meeting would be a total cost of 124,272. The total cost, including Spanish, when it's all added together, would be 182,973.

Now, I also want to just show you this part real quick, and I'll go back to the numbers. I do want to again say that the costs that we estimated are based on public input meetings only prior to the receipt of the census data. So these are the meetings from in August and possibly into the early part -- or at least into the early part of September.

2.0

What we also estimated is that we would need to hire three interpreters per meeting, two for the simultaneous interpretation for those who are listening, so in other words, the output from us to the listener, and then one for the person who would want to give public comment. So this is the input. So the one person who would then interpret for someone giving public input. So that's the six minutes that we've been talking about.

I mentioned that we would only provide audio lines.

It would not be through the Zoom. And if approved, as -
I mentioned this also, the earliest we could start would

be August 1st.

I do want to also just make sure that everybody understands these estimated costs do not include -- do not include costs for if we were to provide interpretation of all of our business meetings, any committee meetings, for example, Legal Affairs and the Public Input Design Committee, any of the line drawing

committee meetings, and any public input public comment meetings after the census data is received.

And I'll stop here and see if there are any questions. And actually, I'll just stop sharing so that we can all see each other. I could always go back if you want me to share the numbers again.

CHAIR YEE: Thank you, Commissioner Akutagawa.

Any questions?

2.0

2.3

COMMISSIONFER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry.

CHAIR YEE: Go ahead, Commissioner Fernandez.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa, I don't know if we want to -- we did talk about, like, what our recommendation would be. Our recommendation would be to just stay with what we're doing. Where it's a five -- you require five advance days' notice if you require interpretation, because there is a tradeoff if you approve or if the Commission approves moving forward with this, then that we feel like the \$183,000 would be better used in our outreach efforts versus the interpretation.

And if for some reason there's confusion because we've announced that certain meetings have certain languages associated with them and some people are assuming that means we're going to automatically provide them and they don't submit interpretation requests, we can actually remove those languages from the meetings

because it's -- we're still requiring a five-business day advance notice if you want interpretation, if you request for interpretation. So I just I wanted to put that out there as well.

Commissioner Akutagawa, did you want to add --

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- to that at all?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: We did talk about some different options in terms of what could be done. So one is to stay with the status quo, which is the ask people to give us five days' advance notice so that if interpretation is required, then we can arrange for it.

The other option that we did consider and discuss is we remove all the languages that we said we would provide at the remaining meetings that we would start providing interpretation at or just even just beginning now, only because we're concerned that there seems to be some confusion and we would just send a message out.

If you need interpretation, please just let us know five days in advance. But we also thought it might create more confusion if we did that, too. So that was something that we considered as well, too. We also did consider should we just automatically for the meetings where we do have languages noted that we would be focused on providing interpretation, should we just automatically

provide interpretation for that?

We are concerned about doing that because if it is not -- it's a chicken or egg. If we don't provide it, will people not use it? If we do provide it, there's still a will people use it. And we're trying to balance the kind of -- I guess we're trying to balance the cost of the interpretation, which I think we all agree is important.

But also we also really felt that the outreach and we're concerned about outreach and we had quite a robust conversation the last time around, the costs of the outreach and how the money from what was supposed to be used for grants then became contracts. We did have a conversation about how that money would be distributed and used.

And so these are all the things that we did consider. But what we wanted to do is to present the numbers to the Commission so that you all are aware and also understand, then -- have the full information to understand what trade-offs we're going to need to consider.

But as Commissioner Fernandez said, we do believe that staying with what we're doing right now is the -- I guess, the course of action that would at least enable us to kind of balance both. Okay.



CHAIR YEE: Turner, Kennedy, and Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. And thank you to the subcommittee. You addressed, Commissioner Akutagawa, the piece that I wanted to lift up. There was intentionality and a lot of thought that went into which meetings would be for other languages.

And so I was wanting to suggest that we do focus on still providing interpretation for those particular meetings in the language that was advertised, and to go a step beyond and advertise not just those language specific meetings, but to also state we already have interpreters on hand.

We already have the translation available, and perhaps we can drive individuals into those meetings knowing that we've set up -- we've set aside the date and set aside the particular language. And we have everything that is needed to call in on those days.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. I guess colleagues are aware that I don't find the status quo very satisfactory. And doing some more research, it looks like The State elections code requires local redistricting bodies to arrange for live translation, which is actually interpretation translation of a written document, interpretation of a hearing held pursuant to

1 this chapter of the election code in an applicable language if a request for translation is made at least twenty-four hours before the hearing. So if local 3 4 redistricting bodies are expected to provide 5 interpretation with twenty-four hours' notice, I really don't see why we can't do the same at a minimum. 6 7 you. CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo? 8 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would like to concur with 10 Commissioner Kennedy. I mean, we've done such a great 11 job and in working to be inclusive and to develop an 12 inclusive process. And I think language access is 13 still -- I think it's a challenge across The State. 14 I know in Healthcare we're required to provide 15 interpretation for threshold languages and that there is 16 that minimum rate, that's the minimum bar that healthcare 17 organizations have to do it. And I know that there's the 18 concept locally as well. 19 But from a budgetary perspective and from a thinking 20 forward and strategically, I'm just thinking I know our 21 budget will be used to try to come up with the 2030 22 budget and in that -- so having this line item in there 2.3 for language interpretation might help not just our 24 Commission but future Commissions as well by including a

budget line item for interpretation that would hopefully

be able to increase access to the people who don't speak
English.

And certainly, I think that would be beyond Spanish if that's possible. But I'm just thinking, especially with COVID and our limited time frame and I think we should be doing all that we can to get the message out in as many languages as possible about the work that we're doing and get -- and try to get engagement from non-English speaking people.

And I know that we are we're doing that. And I just -- but it would be great to be able to do more if resources allowed. Right? I'm really coming back to that. Thank you.

CHAIR YEE: I'm wondering about the role of community partners. Of course, anyone can call in and one can make a request for translation -- for interpretation. But strategically, I mean, if we were engaged with community partners, different language groups, and prospectively planned on certain input days and days when they would especially make an effort to encourage people to call in and say, look, that would be a lot more effective than just waiting for whoever calls. Right? Yeah.

24 Commissioner Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you, Chair.



Yeah. I just wanted to comment on a couple of the comments. First of all, in terms of the twenty-four hours, we'd actually have to go back to the contractor because I'm not exactly sure what the language says, but I don't think it's twenty-four hours. So we have to go back and see what that is or how much of the time we would need.

And in terms of whatever is approved or not approved to move forward, there would be a trade off in terms of we -- there would be money that would have to be shuffled from some other area to pay for this, because this wasn't part of the initial -- the ask that we just asked for Department of Finance. I just wanted to throw that out there.

2.3

There's some money in there for interpretation and translation, but that also includes our materials. So again, whatever decision is made by the Commission, we'll just have to figure out how it's going to be paid within the budget that we have already gone forward with Department of Finance.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo and then Director Kaplan.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Just wondering if there might be an opportunity to go back to the Department of Finance and -- I mean, because this is not an unreasonable -- I

1 don't see this as an unreasonable amount of money for what -- for the return on investment. Right? And given 3 the constrained timeline and everything that's developing, I'm just wondering and curious whether there 4 5 is -- whether there is any -- a possibility of being able to go back to the Department of Finance and/or for any 6 7 interest to do so. Thank you. CHAIR YEE: Director Kaplan and Commissioner 8 9 Fernandez? 10 MS. KAPLAN: I just wanted to --11 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay, I'm sorry. 12 MS. KAPLAN: -- add, Commissioner Fernandez, the 13 original funding that was allocated was also for 14 interpretation of non-English public input through the 15 COI tool or to the written public comment -- public input 16 through the COI tool or to the Commission to translate 17 into English also. So that's also what the original 18 allocation is for. 19 CHAIR YEE: So Commissioner Sinay. And then 2.0 Commissioner Fernandez. 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. I couldn't tell if 22 I couldn't be seen sometimes. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. 24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So just a couple of things.

Chair, I appreciate what you're saying about community

partners, but we've said this before and I'll say it again. We can't push the cost of something like this on to community partners. We got rid of -- we weren't able to do the community grants and we cannot ask community partners to do things for us for free. And we've said that from the very beginning.

2.0

I guess I'm kind of concerned why this wasn't put into the full budget. If we knew what the cost was. And we knew so many commissioners wanted one when we were pushing for that direction. And I would say, at the minimum, we should be doing the seventeen meetings in Spanish. That's a minimum what we should be doing.

Yeah. So that that would be my -- I feel pretty strongly about that should be our minimum. And that if we can do what Commissioner Toledo said and ask for this to be in the budget -- I thought that the budget that we had submitted was kind of our dream budget and we were going to put everything in it. And so I guess I missed that part when we were looking at the budget.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioners Fernandez, and then Kennedy, and then Taylor.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And just to go back, the reason this was not put into the budget is that the recommendation that was approved by the Commission was five advanced days' notice. So that's what we based it

on.

2.3

And then secondly, in terms of, Commissioner Toledo, you asked about the budget. Yes, we can always go back. We've missed the -- they have different times. And I don't want to step on Director Hernandez's toes on this, but I've been in the budget life for many years. And so our next ask would be through the budget change proposal, which would be in the September-ish time frame, I believe, and that would go into the Governor's budget January 10th. So of course, that would be after.

And what I meant by shuffling money around, because there is some of our budget -- we don't know -- our budget is our budget, we don't know what we're going to spend. Right? You just estimate -- it's like anybody's budget. You estimate and you may end up not hiring like an IT manager or something like that still there.

There may be funding somewhere else that we're not spending as much or there's maybe some funding costs in future litigation costs that potentially -- but at some point we would have to go back to ask for additional funding if we would want to classify it specifically for translation so that it is highlighted for the future Commission. So thank you for bringing that up, Commissioner Toledo.

CHAIR YEE: You have about ten minutes before our



1 required break. So we'll go to Commissioners Kennedy, 2 Taylor, and Turner. 3 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 4 neglected to, but I do want to thank the subcommittee for 5 the work that they did. I think this is very helpful to 6 us in moving this discussion along. 7 Just as far as a -- an objective basis and building on what Commissioner Sinay had said, I keep coming back 8 to Section 203 of the Voting Rights Act and the language 10 requirements that are there, which currently require 11 support for Spanish statewide, but then a shorter list 12 than we currently have of languages on a by county basis. 13 So some counties would require support in other 14 languages. Los Angeles County is going to have several 15 languages required beyond Spanish, whereas many counties 16 would not. So I would -- I would say, beyond Spanish, I 17 would like us to look at, okay, where are the counties 18 where there are Section 203 requirements for support in 19 Chinese, Vietnamese, Cambodian, Filipino, and Korean? 2.0 Those are the ones that, on the basis of the 2010 21 census, had requirements for language support at the 22 County level in certain counties in the state. 23 you.

24 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Taylor?

25

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you, Chair. Just



1 because I heard ROI, return on investment, I would hope that our perspective as we look at this expenditure is as it relates to how we fulfill our mandate and not as 3 whether or not we get something from this investment. 4 5 we have a mandate to provide this access. So the only question is whether or not we can afford to do it given 6 7 our budget. That's what I would think our perspective 8 should be. 9 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner then Akutagawa. 10 COMMISSIONER TURNER: I'll just say that was a good adjustment and reminder for me, Commissioner Taylor. 11 12 I will pass. 13 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Akutagawa? 14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I mean, I don't -- if 15 I said return on investment, I don't think I did. But if 16 I did, I don't think that that was what was meant at all. 17 It doesn't apply in this particular case. I think it's 18 just we have trade-offs that we do need to think about 19 given our current budget. 2.0 And I think that's what I just wanted to present to 21 the Commission. It's not to say one way or the other. 22 think, if ideally we could be able to provide the 23 languages without having to make the request so that it's 24 automatic. I mean, that would be the best, so that it

makes it least -- we put up the least amount of barriers

to be able to have that.

But at the same time, I'm -- I think we're just trying to balance all of the different competing kind of interests that we have. I think minimally I mean, we did also speak about having Spanish. There's just various kinds of, I think, options that that we all have. I think the question is, what is the appetite of this commission to do? What we need to do?

And I will say this, Commissioner Kennedy, in terms of the Section 203, I think that's part of the reason why we try to assign different languages to the different meetings so that we can try to have that with that consideration in mind. And I think I -- we did mention whether or not we should just automatically do it.

But I will say this then, that means again, and I said this before, we have to do all of us, each and every one of us has to do a better job in trying to do the outreach that we need to do to get people to participate. We're not even getting English speakers participating. So they have now also become, in a sense, hard to reach.

It's going to be -- we know it's going to be even harder to reach those who are non-English dominant. And so we have a very -- a difficult task with the remaining meetings that we all need to also be very mindful of, of what do we do to get people to engage. So I just want to

1 just also say that as well. 2 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani? COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you so much. 3 And I 4 just really want to acknowledge the work of the 5 subcommittee here and really appreciate you going back to this question again. I mean, I think we've been 6 7 struggling for the entire year on language access. so I really appreciate all of the work that you've put in 8 9 to bringing back these numbers. 10 I am fully in agreement with many of the 11 commissioners who have already spoken before me that I 12 think this is a part of our mandate, and I do think that 13 we have the budget to do this. The timeline, I 14 recognize, having dealt now with state contracts a few 15 times, this might take a little longer than we 16 anticipate. 17 I'm not scared by that, though, right? I actually 18 think that what we're seeing is that there's not -- there 19 are community groups that are engaging, perhaps not as 20 many yet. And so my sense is, as we continue on

we're going to have continued outreach.

What will bring people out? Personally, I think

draft maps will bring people out. So to me, if these
seventeen meetings that we have funding for aren't all in

throughout the summer, as well as into the fall, that

21

22

2.3

24

August and early September, and some of them are also in October, November, and that timeline still has to be worked out. But when we go back out into the community, that would make a whole lot of sense to me.

2.3

And my sense is those organizations that are out there, those community partners, are going to be far more engaged at that point in time. That's what I keep hearing, right, is there's a desire for an extended timeline.

For me, the numbers don't scare me off. I don't find it terribly cost prohibitive because we do have these funds that we had had earmarked for community outreach and engagement. And I see this as being a part of that. And I think, if you build it, they will come is what I'm hoping for. Right?

If we offer offered these sessions in various languages, then hopefully we'll be able to pull more folks into them. And I think what I would love to see and hear a little bit more about is if we are able to plan out those meetings being in Spanish as well as in other languages, can we calibrate that with the ads that we're also buying? Right?

So if we know that we are doing a session in Korean, can we make sure that we also have some Korean ads going out to ethnic media as well in advance of that session to

- 1 make sure that we're pulling folks in? And so I
 2 definitely -- I'm very thankful that that the
 3 subcommittee went back and did this work. Thank you.
- with crickets in response. But so I really do appreciate that. And I would be very much in support of figuring out how we could move forward with this kind of program.

Because I know I was the one originally asking for that

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?

2.3

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. And thank you everyone for all your feedback. And I just wanted to remind everyone that the cost information is just for the public input piece of it. And I would 100 percent agree with Commissioner Sadhwani that if we do move forward with anything, we have to move forward with additional meetings at -- especially after our draft maps. I think after even the census data, once that's released, I think there's an opportunity for that.

So the information you have is obviously lowballed just for the public input. You'd probably have to maybe triple it at least to get to your beyond public input phase. So I just wanted to throw that out there.

Thanks.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. We have about one minute before break. Does the subcommittee want to continue this after break?

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, I think the Commissioners probably want to continue with it beyond 3 the break, right? 4 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. Thank you. CHAIR YEE: Very good. 6 7 Commissioner Akutagawa? VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I think there's -- to me there there's I guess maybe a couple questions. One, 10 is do we go with just Spanish only, or do we go with all 11 twelve languages? That's one. Do we then keep it at 12 upon request or do we just automatically provide it? 13 Because then we're already going to make a commitment to 14 those costs. 15 And then the third one, there's actually a third now 16 is beyond the public input meetings, are we are we also 17 wanting to see the same interpretation provided for the 18 business meetings and the committee meetings, because 19 then that will also be additional levels of costs. 2.0 But you have the per meeting cost. It will be the 21 same no matter what, whether it's a business meeting or 22 it's a committee meeting, or it's a public input meeting. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's hold those thoughts. And 24 we'll come back in fifteen minutes. We'll be on break 25 until 11:15.

(Whereupon, a recess was held)

2.3

CHAIR YEE: Perhaps the Language Access Subcommittee could repeat just its current recommendation to get us going.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, we didn't have -- our recommendation was to remain as is. But I believe other commissioners may have a different notion. So that's where we're at this point. And again as Commissioner Akutagawa she did point out three different options. Obviously, there's a multitude of options. And just a reminder that the information is for the seventeen public input meetings and it's for eight hour-long meetings as well.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Two further points on this.

One is I continue to believe that we have certain legal mandates that we need to make sure that we are meeting.

And so I think it would be prudent before we make a motion and take a vote on anything to first make sure that what we're moving actually meets or at least moves us towards whatever legal mandates we have in this regard.

Second of all, there are other offices in state government that deal with this on a much more regular basis whose experience might be useful to us. I have

1 reached out because I will be doing the California redistricting basics to the Voting Accessibility Advisory 3 Committee, which is convened by the Secretary of State's 4 office in, I think, two weeks or less. And the 5 individual who coordinates that body also coordinates the State's Language Accessibility Advisory Committee. 6 7 So I'm intending to speak with her, and she might 8 even be amenable to joining us as a guest speaker just to 9 share some more about the experience of language support 10 in election related work here in the state. So I just 11 want to offer those two further points. Thank you. 12 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy, could you say a 13 bit more about legal obligations that you have in mind? 14 What those are and where those are? 15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, I mean, I interpret the 16 Voting Rights Act as applying to us. I mean, it 17 obviously applies to the redistricting that we do. 18 to me, by extension, it should apply to our work in 19 coming up with those districts and doing our work leading 20 up to that. 21 So to me, and any language requirement in the Voting 22 Rights Act should apply to us in the course of our 23 business in developing the districts that are subject to 24 the Voting Rights Act itself. And so that's why I keep

coming back to the mandated languages under the VRA as at

1 least an objective standard to which we might want to 2 hold ourselves. CHAIR YEE: That's the California VRA, I think. 3 4 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No, this is the, yes. 5 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez, then Toledo. Yes. 6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I just wanted to let 7 everyone know that we did have the chief -- our chief counsel was involved in the conversations as well. And 8 9 then also, as anything, the longer we wait often taking 10 action, the further out this pushes the activation. 11 oftentimes, it's difficult to compare what other agencies 12 do, versus what they can do, versus what we can do. 13 Many other agencies already have delegated 14 authority, so they have other flexibilities within their 15 budget and we don't have that. That's why our contracts 16 are taking so long. So it's just like one piece of it. 17 So I just wanted to just throw that out there in terms of 18 the longer we wait, it's just pushing this out further. 19 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo? 2.0 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Now, I'm just curious -- and I 21 believe Commissioner Fernandez may have answered this. 22 Has our legal advice from counsel been that we are in 2.3 compliance with language access regulations so that our 24 current policy as it stands right now is compliant? 25 ATTNY PANE: So Commissioner Toledo, to answer that,

1 there's two pieces that Commissioner Kennedy has raised. One is the VRA piece of it. And we have reached out to 3 our VRA counsel to look at that particular issue that 4 Commissioner Kennedy has looked at. 5 Separately, we do have the Dymally-Alatorre Act that is for California, and 7299.1 says state agencies may 6 7 utilizing existing funds contract for telephone-based 8 interpretation services in addition to employing 9 qualified bilingual persons in public contact positions. 10 And we do currently have that with the five-day 11 interpretation services. So anything this Commission may 12 choose to do would be still compliant with that 13 requirement. 14 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: So it sounds like we're 15 getting guidance on what we are a requirement, but we are 16 okay on the state requirement. 17 ATTNY PANE: Yes, you could. From a legal 18 requirement, your interpretation services are currently 19 compliant, but you could choose to provide additional 2.0 services as well. 21 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you for that. 22 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen? 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy, could

we get the copy of what the local redistricting groups

are -- the language portion? I know you mentioned what

24

code section that was in, but I didn't catch it. Because
I think that would actually give us an idea if I believe
you're saying is that's -- I guess that's just the
twenty-four-hour difference. But if there's a little bit
more in that, we should certainly all as Commissioners

And I appreciate all of this conversation. I do see, as Commissioner Sadhwani brought up, if we do the interpretation for the COI input, that we'll certainly want to then continue. And that's a big discussion to have, but we also need to get the contractors on board. So I appreciate the whole conversation.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. Just to advance this conversation, I'd be happy to make a motion, but before doing so I just wanted to touch base and figure out who on staff would advance this directive if we were to move in such a direction? Someone on outreach staff? So before I make that motion, I just want to be clear about who that would go to. I see Marcy and Commissioner Fernandes raising their hand.

22 CHAIR YEE: Yes, please.

know what that is.

2.3

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I believe it would be the combination of Director Kaplan and Executive Director of Hernandez putting everything in motion with then Raul

involved in that as well. So it'd be a pretty much what
we've done so far to get all the information and get
everything going and also someone coordinating with
Kristian as well as needed. So it would -- we've got the
people in place, but Marcy, please, free to jump in.

MS. KAPLAN: Okay. So the more information you

clarified today will help in terms of next steps for contacting, such as number of meetings, languages, and hours. So that's some of the components that we'll need for the contracting.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: And if I may, as it relates to contracting, I knew that in the presentation that the subcommittee gave, the date was starting August 1st. Do we see that as a realistic date? And that's a question around state contracting, right? Like what would it actually take to have this started?

Because one of the things I'm just kind of toying with is if we approve seventeen meetings, it's just speaking hypotheticals here, would those seventeen necessarily be for August or might a portion of them be in August and a portion also be then later in October or November? Because realistically, perhaps the contract isn't finalized by August 1st, perhaps it's August 15th or 31st. I feel like we keep having those kind of snafus.

1 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez, then Sinay, and 2 Toledo. 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. I would recommend 4 that if there is a motion, it would be some -- and it's 5 not my motion -- it would be something to the effect of as soon as practicable to implement it and then maybe 6 specify the types of meetings instead of putting numbers. 7 8 Does that make sense? Like seventeen meetings or -- that 9 would be my suggestion. I'm just trying to word it 10 somehow. 11 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay? 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was going to say the same 13 thing, that this is -- we're not making a budgetary 14 decision here, but we're making more of a policy decision that we believe that interpretation is critical. Staff 15 16 can figure out that that other piece. But our role is 17 really to do the policy piece on this. 18 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo? 19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'll pass. 20 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani? 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I am happy to make a 22 motion. I haven't written anything down, so let's see 23 how it goes. And happy to take friendly amendments to 24 direct staff to develop a plan to implement language 25 interpretation services at our future community input

1 public meetings as soon as practicable. And that would be for both Spanish and the additional languages. 3 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay? 4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm worried about the word plan because then it has to come back here and we have to 5 prove it and that's going to push it even longer. So I 6 7 would recommend taking that out. COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. So for the 9 Commission -- for the staff to take action, and implement 10 interpretation services. 11 CHAIR YEE: Director Kaplan? 12 MS. KAPLAN: I'm recommending that you provide more 13 clarity on the number of meetings, or at least arrange 14 something in order for us to integrate into the contract 15 or identification of additional languages. 16 CHAIR YEE: I'm also wondering about the draft maps 17 stage -- whether or not we could even push into that. 18 Commissioner Fernandez, then Sinay? 19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, that's what I was 20 going to recommend. Maybe we just specify the type of 21 And the thing that's unclear will be you said 22 That's very clear. But then you said for Spanish. 23 additional languages. And I don't think your motion is 24 for addition -- for all of the languages, for every

25

meeting.

So I think that's what we like -- I'm trying to think like for staff, the parameters they're going to need have to be very specific, not the number of meetings. I wouldn't go that far. I would do like the type of meetings. Is it community of interest input meetings, so that we know it's tangible? Do you want our business meetings? I don't know.

2.0

I probably wouldn't recommend that or our committee meetings. But then also the meetings like the line drawing meetings, the after pre-draft maps, post-draft maps, I mean there's different types of meetings. And maybe we don't go that far, maybe we just get to like this phase and then next month we come up with, okay, what's the rest of it going to look like?

But it would be very helpful if we try to encompass as much as we think we're going to need so that we can go back to the budget and then figure out how we're going to do it, which would be great.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay, Toledo, and then Andersen.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Sadhwani, let me know if I've kind of covered the conversation. To provide interpretation in Spanish for all public input meetings, meaning communities of interest and line drawing as well as provide the -- as well as provide --

1 wait, as well as if feasible change the twenty-four hour to change the request for an interpreted at twenty-four 3 hours from five days. 4 So just for it to be at twenty-four hours. 5 those meetings that have been highlighted multiple languages that we have the translators there for those 6 7 languages -- interpreters. Sorry. Interpreters for 8 those languages. 9 ATTNY PANE: So Commissioner Sinay, if I could just 10 make a quick recommendation --11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. 12 ATTNY PANE: Perhaps what I'm hearing in that at 13 least proposed draft motion is one piece is I think more 14 of a policy choice, and another might frankly involve a 15 bit of a contractual piece if we need to go to a twenty-16 four-hour period. 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. 18 ATTNY PANE: So I would recommend that we split 19 those just for clarity purposes. Thanks. 2.0 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was wondering that piece. 21 Thank you. 22 CHAIR YEE: Commissioners Toledo, Andersen, Turner. 2.3 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I'm just wondering if perhaps

the committee might have -- recommend like amendments

that they might want to propose to the friendly

24

1 amendments so that it gets what you need out of the Because ultimately, you've done a great job of motion. 3 putting the thought process together, and we want to make 4 sure that -- and you've heard from the Commission and I 5 think together I think we can come up with a motion that we all can -- all support or as many of us could support. 6 7 So I'm just wondering what specifics you need specifically for Fernandez and Akutagawa just to help us 8 9 move this forward. 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's your turn, 11 Commissioner Akutagawa. 12 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen? 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. I think I have it. 14 First of all, there's only thirteen meetings on the new 15 proposed virtual hybrid for August, two in Zone F, and 16 two in Zone K. And so what I would propose -- going with 17 the idea of we're doing it in a couple of phases, this is 18 for public input, the COI public input meetings that 19 those meetings in August have all in Spanish and the appropriate zone languages in them, which is only 20 21 thirteen meetings. 22 Actually, it might be twelve because F is the 4th 23 and we might not have a locked up by that time. But then F would still have one. So essentially all zones would 24

have one meeting with all the languages for their zones

as possible in those particular meetings. So that's my proposal. That's why I propose to have the staff arrange those as possible.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you, Chair. I actually like the proposal that Commissioner Andersen just lifted. I think, and perhaps I should have left it in earlier, but I was still having difficulty thinking through the all-other languages, two meetings per language, and what exactly that looks like and how we believed it would be beneficial just because we named and paid for interpreters to show up that people would show up in those spaces.

So that's where my struggle is with this proposal.

Good. Of course, with the Spanish interpretation

seventeen meetings. We are California. All of that's

one. The other to me -- and even trying not to cost

justify it, it still seems to me that we have very little

evidence of people that would actually utilize those

services once we pay for them and put them in a certain

place.

So I do, of what's currently being discussed, can support what Commissioner Andersen just lifted at least in the zone areas. But to me that whole second part, it's still -- it still feels very loose to me. It still

1 feels like we're going to feel better about it. I don't necessarily know that it's going to do anything. CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Commissioner 4 5 Turner, for that comment as well. And also, Commissioner Andersen, I understand you're looking at the August --6 7 and I included the -- we include we included the four additional dates, the TBD dates, because I honestly think 8 that we're going to need them. And that's why I don't 10 want us just to be bogged down by August in case we need 11 to move into September. 12 And again, I guess this conversation is going to be 13 for the public input meetings and we're not going beyond 14 that which is great. But I think at the back of my mind, 15 we might -- I might try to budget for that as well, just 16 thinking about it. 17 But I do like what you did with the August and just go with those languages that have been identified for the 18 19 specific meetings. I think I could support that as well. 20 I would just like want to include the other four meetings 21 though is what I would prefer. Thank you. 22 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay? 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'd except that. I'd accept

I'm not sure that that's been

24

25

that modification.

COMMISSIONER SINAY:

1 made a motion yet but I would still like us to think about doing this as a policy versus as a contractual language with numbers and such. And really to think 3 4 about it -- to provide interpretation in Spanish for 5 meetings focused on public input, meaning communities of interest, and line drawings, I would like that the line 6 7 drawing in there now so that we don't have to go through this again when we're really busy trying to figure out 8 9 that piece, plus the appropriate zone languages and that. 10 I mean, I don't want us to continue doing things 11 piecemeal. We keep doing things piecemeal. We take one 12 way. And we try to get quicker. And everything's late. 13 So we don't have time to do this anymore. 14 CHAIR YEE: Okay. I'm not positive we've actually 15 had a motion made. We've had some drafting out loud. 16 Commissioner Sadhwani, wasn't quite clear. Was that a 17 full motion or are we're still trying to draft language 18 around that? 19 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: For me, it was a motion. 20 And I'm happy to accept all of these friendly amendments. 21 I think these are great. 22 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 2.3 Commissioner Fernandez? 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I want to -- I appreciate

with what Commissioner Sinay said, and I completely agree

with her that for contracting purposes, budget purposes, planning purposes, it would make sense if we're thinking we're going to need it in the future, then let's do it now. If we want to do a let's wait and see approach,

So yeah, I'm just trying to think for staff, if it's something that we feel we're going to also implement for line drawing or excuse me, at a future post-maps -- post draft maps, it would be helpful to do that now again for the budget so we can start looking at the numbers and

CHAIR YEE: Director Kaplan?

2.0

that's fine too.

figuring it out.

MS. KAPLAN: Yeah. And just to echo that, in order for us to solicit and cost the contracts, we will need to know which link, how many languages. You may not know all of the meetings for those different series, but at least the series of meetings and that -- for how long and how long the meetings will be. So we're going to need some of that detail in order to be able to move forward on that.

CHAIR YEE: Chief Counsel Pane?

ATTNY PANE: Thank you, Chair. And further clarification, I think will be needed as well on what we mean by interpretation services. Are we talking about interpretation of these meetings into Spanish, for

1 example, or are we providing mechanisms for the public to communicate with the Commission during these meetings? 3 We just want to make sure we have clarification, sort of 4 which direction the interpretation services are supposed 5 to be going for these, and perhaps both. But we would just want to have clarification. Thanks. 6 7 CHAIR YEE: Commissioners Fernandez, then Andersen. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I believe, Commissioner 9 Vazquez, did you have your hand up? Commissioner 10 Vazquez? Oh, she's passing. Okay. 11 CHAIR YEE: Sorry. 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It was a fly. And I 13 believe what Commissioner Sadhwani said, her motion was 14 for interpretive interpretation services for three 15 interpreters, one to provide interpretation for public 16 comment -- or for public input for those that want to 17 provide input. And then two for the simultaneous 18 interpretation. So correct me if I'm wrong, Commissioner 19 Sadhwani. And that's what we -- that's the information 20 we provided. 21 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. I want some real 2.3 clarification here because I agree with Commissioner 24 Turner. And even just to Mr. Fernandez, what you just

said, the two simultaneous, now what exactly -- what are

1 they doing? Yeah. Could you do elaborate on that? also then the languages. Does that mean we're actually 3 trying to have someone online for every single one of 4 these at the same time on like -- someone calls in or 5 would you give a bit more discussion? Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Is that your background 7 music? Right. I'm sure there'll be a lesson coming up 8 soon. 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think, Commissioner 10 Akutagawa, did you want to talk about the three? Because 11 we can't -- so two of them will be on the audio. And for 12 simultaneous interpretation, you need to have two 13 interpreters because they switch off. You can't --14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: It's like the ASL. 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. It's exhausting. 16 you had to do 8 hours of continuous interpretation. 17 they have it's a separate line, it's a teleconference 18 line and its audio only. However, they cannot provide 19 interpretation for anyone that calls in to our meeting. 20 So that's why we would need three. Does that does that 21 clear it up for you? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. And is that for the 23 entire meeting or -- sorry for the jazz trumpet there? 24 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Because for the person

who's doing the interpretation for the public comment,

1 they're on a separate line. And it was just going to get too complicated to use the people who are doing the 3 interpretation of what all -- everything else is going on 4 to try to have them switch forward. So it just became 5 much more simpler and cleaner to just have the three 6 interpreters. 7 I'm wondering if, Commissioner Sadhwani, CHAIR YEE: if you have an updated version of the motion. 8 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Well, my sense -- and this 10 is not responding to quite yet, Chair, but my sense is 11 that we approve at this point in time the Spanish 12 interpretation. And I think that this was a discussion 13 from the subcommittee that we maintain the five-day 14 request or perhaps we move the twenty-four-hour request, 15 if practicable, for the interpreters that if requested in 16 a language besides Spanish, as we have those days 17 identified, then we would trigger interpretation for 18 those other languages. Is that reasonable to folks? 19 Commissioner Kennedy -- I'm particularly curious, 20 Commissioner Kennedy, about your thoughts on this. 21 would be treating the language as somewhat differently. 22 So I want to acknowledge that. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo, you had a --24 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I just wanted to express my 25 support for Commissioner Sadhwani's thought process here.

1 CHAIR YEE: So if I heard correctly, then the proposal would be to proactively provide Spanish 3 interpretation services and for all the eleven languages 4 provide them per our schedule on request, which is the 5 current practice, perhaps moving to a twenty-four-hour notice, if that's possible. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's correct. CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez? 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And just for clarification, 11 Commissioner Sadhwani, is that just for the community of 12 interest input meetings -- public input meetings? 13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: At this point I would say 14 yes, community of interest input meetings in phase one 15 and phase two. Right. So that that includes August, but 16 also whenever we have draft maps and go back out there as 17 well. 18 Commissioner Toledo? CHAIR YEE: 19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would just -- I think we 20 would want to prioritize the draft mapping process, 21 just -- one because of timing. And it will take some 22 time to get these contracts up and running and to get our 23 processes up. But two, that's probably what more 24 Californians will get involved in this process at least 25 that's what we're -- that's what folks are saying right

1 on the ground. And so perhaps folk prioritizing the draft map process, phase two of our community of input 3 process, right. If we have to do it, we have to 4 prioritize. 5 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy? COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think if we just went with 6 7 a generic public input meetings, we have precedent in 8 the -- in our legal framework that makes a distinction 9 between our other meetings and meetings held for the 10 purpose of public input. And that would include both 11 community of interest meetings as well as public input on 12 the draft maps. So if we do it as soon as possible or 13 practicable for public input meetings, then I think we 14 cover what we need to cover. 15 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Toledo? 16 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I like the simplicity of that 17 just because it's easier. It's easy to get lost in the 18 complexity of -- this can become very complex. 19 can quickly -- if we can keep it simple, I think it'll be 2.0 easier for our staff and also for the public to 21 understand as well. 22 CHAIR YEE: Okay. So Director Hernandez? 2.3 I wanted to share my screen so MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. 24 that we can see the motion as I have captured it, which

is probably very limited compared to the discussion that

we're having. So I wanted to share that so that we can
agree on the actual motion.

Commissioner Sadhwani, if you could take a look at that motion to implement language interpretation services in Spanish for future community of interest public meetings -- it should be public input meetings -- and provide other languages for specific zone meetings per request.

CHAIR YEE: Let's see. I don't think we're specifying communities of interest. We're just saying public input meetings --

12 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay.

CHAIR YEE: -- which would include community of interest as well as line drawing meetings.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think this works. As soon as practicable might be added simply to the end. And I think the other piece that I would leave for staff to figure out is providing other language per request and just figuring out if it's feasible to have those requests coming in later than five days.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Right.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I think one of the things I heard in Marcy's report back was that there are people signing up within the last two days prior to a meeting in English. And I feel like if we get that from folks in

1 other -- for other languages, we should do our best to honor that. Of course, I don't know what the specifics 3 would be for the interpreter services. 4 CHAIR YEE: Let's see. I think it would be 5 languages, plural. Yeah. VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think, I -- actually, I 6 7 think it should be provide interpretation in other 8 languages for the specific zone meetings per request as 9 soon as practicable. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And shouldn't it be the 10 11 languages that we've approved? Because otherwise it's 12 just any language. 13 CHAIR YEE: Other approved languages? 14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Well, technically, we did say 15 that we would try our best to accommodate other languages 16 outside of the twelve if requested. That was part of the 17 previous policy. 18 CHAIR YEE: When we get to the drawing phase, will 19 that be done by zone? 2.0 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. 21 CHAIR YEE: By zone meetings? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: There's line drawing and 23 then there's public input about draft maps. So line 24 drawing are actually like business needs.

25

CHAIR YEE:

Right.

Τ	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: SO
2	CHAIR YEE: So the public input about draft maps
3	meetings, will those be by zone, I don't
4	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Probably.
5	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Probably. Potentially.
6	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Okay. How does this motion look
7	now?
8	Commissioner Fernandez?
9	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Anthony oh, am I
10	okay. Anthony, can you let me know, do we need to
11	specify what we mean by interpreters interpretation
12	services that we're talking about to on the audio and
13	then one for the meeting?
14	ATTNY PANE: So I think that would be that would
15	be helpful. More clarity, as always, preferable. I just
16	didn't want I was thinking more of the implementation
17	piece. I didn't want the Commission to think they were
18	voting on a particular application of interpretation and
19	staff thinking it meant something else.
20	So I think the language in the motion is fine. We
21	could certainly provide further detail if the commission
22	wanted, but I just wanted to make sure everyone was on
23	the same page when it comes time to applying the motion.
24	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Can I also just I think to
25	the question that was just raised, though, about what is

1 other languages and whether or not we should specify IT'S the -- that it's the either the twelve or even the 3 languages that we're using in the communities of interest 4 mapping tool that the statewide database has created, 5 which does include, I believe, two additional languages. So should we also be much more specific in that area 6 7 as well, too? And Anthony, I think I'm going to throw 8 this to you. 9 ATTNY PANE: So I guess what -- maybe, Commissioner 10 Akutagawa, to your point, I think when we say in other 11 languages, if the previous policy was any language, then 12 I don't know that we need to delineate. But if we are 13 referring to certain languages, which I believe we had --14 didn't we have a contract previously regarding specific 15 languages that were approved? Or were we limited in that 16 one? 17 MR. HERNANDEZ: Contracts were for those specific 18 But again, if we were to need any additional languages. 19 language, we would ask and see if we could accommodate --2.0 ATTNY PANE: Okay. 21 MR. HERNANDEZ: -- that particular language. 22 ATTNY PANE: So there's nothing new then in that 23 respect then. So I think maybe it would be good to have clarification if the Commission has a sense of what we 24

mean by other languages. If it's any and all and we'll

1 do our best, then I don't know that we need to list all of the languages. If we're talking about certain ones, 3 then I think it would be preferable to list which ones 4 we're thinking about. 5 CHAIR YEE: Okay. To the first question about interpretation services, perhaps we could say motion to 6 7 implement two-way interpretation services in Spanish. don't think we actually need the word language there 8 9 because that's -- okay. To provide two-way 10 interpretation services in -- okay. So are we saying any 11 other languages? 12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I would be comfortable 13 limiting it to those that are approved. That being said, 14 I do recall we had had collars. This is some -- quite 15 some time ago. We didn't have interpretation services. 16 They would not be covered by our current plan 17 necessarily. I could go either way on this in all 18 honesty. I would look to my colleagues to also weigh in 19 on this question. 2.0 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner? 21 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. On the language, I was 22 going to have motion to implement interpretation 23 services. Like you said, remove the language that's 24 there. And then also I am comfortable with the languages

that we've already approved. And that's, I think the

```
1
    subcommittee, and what they costed out, et cetera with
    those languages. And it does not take away from what
 3
    we've said about other languages, but I think we should
 4
    focus on the languages that we've preapproved for this
 5
    motion.
 6
         CHAIR YEE: Okay.
 7
         Commissioner Fernandez?
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And that and that's a great
 9
    point, Commissioner Turner. We already have a policy
10
    that says that if it's in any other language and if they
11
    give us five days, we'll try to do what -- we will try to
12
    find someone. So we already have that. It's not going
13
    to over override it or -- so we still have that option as
14
    well.
15
         CHAIR YEE: So in a sense, the second half of the
16
    motion here is it adding anything to what we already have
17
    in place then? Because we already are committed to
18
    providing such interpretation services per request,
19
    right --
2.0
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.
                                          Yes.
21
         CHAIR YEE: -- Commissioner Fernandez?
22
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: But this is making it.
23
    This is also providing the two-way interpretation for
24
    those approved languages.
```

CHAIR YEE: Okay. So we should say that to then.

```
1
         COMMISSIOENR FERNANDEZ: It says it in there.
 2
    says a two-way interpretation.
 3
         CHAIR YEE: Oh, covering both. Okay.
 4
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Chair Yee?
 5
         CHAIR YEE: Yes, Commissioner Akutagawa.
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I'm sorry. So I just -- I
 6
 7
    guess I just want to just state this. So what we're
    saying is we will provide the two-way interpretation,
 8
    both in terms of output for people listening and then
10
    input for people giving public comment in Spanish as well
11
    as the eleven other, so total twelve languages in which
12
    we will provide input and output -- so two-way
13
    interpretation for any other languages we will provide,
14
    as per our policy, we will provide public --
15
    interpretation for public comment in other languages, but
16
    not the listening or the output two-way interpretation.
17
    So I just -- I think just for clarity, I just want to
18
    state that that's what I think we're saying here.
19
         CHAIR YEE: Okay. Right.
2.0
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So we're still aligned with
21
    our previous policy.
22
         CHAIR YEE: Right. In that case, I don't think we
23
    are adding to our previous policy then. Because we've
24
    always been committed to interpreting input in any
25
    language?
```

1 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. So our previous policy 2 still stands. But what we're saying is that for the two-3 way interpretation, we are being specific as to when 4 we'll do it in terms of Spanish and then what we will do 5 for the other eleven languages that are part of our -- I guess our -- I don't know if approved is the right word, 6 7 but the eleven -- the eleven other languages besides Spanish that will be providing two-week interpretations. 8 9 CHAIR YEE: So if even one person requests to 10 interpretation in Korean, let's say, then that would 11 trigger that whole meeting being interpreted two-way in 12 Korean. 13 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes, because that is one of 14 our approved languages. But for example, we had somebody 15 who had requested interpretation in Oromo and Somali 16 previously at one of our meetings in February, what we 17 would do is we would do our best to look for an 18 interpreter, to provide interpretation for that person to 19 give public comment. But we will not be providing two-20 way interpretation. So there would not be a separate 21 line for them to hear the proceedings in their language. 22 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Then I think I'm hearing two 2.3 different versions of this. 24 Commissioner Andersen? 25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Then I agree we need

1 to modify because this is -- now we need to add another line to add that, basically motion to implement 3 interpretation services are in Spanish, dah, dah, dah, 4 dah, to approve languages, dah, dah, dah, dah, and two-5 way interpretation in the -- in other languages for public input in the specific zone meetings per requester 6 7 as soon as practicable, right? VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: No. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Wait, wait. 10 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Because it's not just for 11 public input. The other eleven languages --12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, the other languages --13 yeah, the other languages are all approved. 14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: In addition to those other 16 languages. 17 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: An additional language would 19 only be interpreted for public input. 2.0 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. 22 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Public comment. 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Or public comment.

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA:

24

25

Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So if we added after -- and

1 provide to interpretation in the approved languages and for other languages only for public comment only for the 3 specific -- no, no -- those that -- go ahead and type it 4 out and then put it before and for other languages. 5 CHAIR YEE: But we're already committed to that. 6 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, it's our policy. 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So you don't have to add 8 that. But that's already our policy. We don't have to 9 add that piece. It's already on our prior policy that we 10 approved. You don't have to add that language. 11 That's right. COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: 12 CHAIR YEE: Okay. All right. Okay. Commissioner Sadhwani? 13 14 Yeah, if I may. The way I COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: 15 see this is that we have approved key languages or zones 16 where we have identified that there's a large proportion 17 of people that speak those languages. So essentially what this motion is saying is that we're not necessarily 18 19 going to do it in those languages, but as soon as we 20 receive a request for that language, it ultimately serves 21 as a trigger in which we then provide that two-way 22 interpretation. 2.3 So people can listen in in Korean or in Tagalog or 24 whatever other language that it might be. So the second 25 half of this motion is ultimately like a trigger to

provide that two-way interpretation so anyone could call
in and listen in in that. What I like about that is it's
generous. And it creates a space where only one person
is needed to trigger that. And it's kind of keeping
within that that spirit that we acknowledge that there's
a large number of people within those zones that speak
those languages. And so once we trigger it, then it
would be available to anyone.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. So the motion --

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Commissioner Turner has a comment.

CHAIR YEE: I'm sorry. Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you. I appreciate that clarification because that is not how I read it. And I'm not necessarily against that interpretation. It's just that part of the discussion earlier hat I had hoped for when we invest and ensure that we're making the process available to all Californians, I hoped we would also advertise that as such, that this is what's going to happen.

And I think if we wait for one person and if that one person doesn't call in and we don't provide it, I think it's a lost opportunity. The areas that we've named for the large populations -- I think we know from the stats that was given earlier that people will call

1 even if they don't have an appointment.

So I think if we're advertising that for this particular day, we're going to be providing or have interpretation services available, the zone meetings, et cetera, if we're talking about that. I'm hopeful people will call in and make the appointments in that language and still holding out that people will call in for those languages even if they have not called in because we have evidence of that. That's what has occurred on a regular basis with people calling in to testify.

So I just wanted to name that as well. And again, can go either way, but I'm hopeful that we're advertising those languages and the interpretation services in those different languages for the particular zones that we'll be in.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. So that would bring into question the per request language. I'm also thinking the approved languages for the specific zone meetings, is that adequate to specify that we're talking about the zone meetings, the languages that we've set out?

So if somebody wanted Korean in a zone where we had not identified Korean as a large population, we would not contemplate providing two-way interpretation for that meeting. It's only the meetings where we've pre-identified a large enough population to advertise and

probably provide that; is that correct?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Could you say that one more time, Commissioner Yee?

CHAIR YEE: So we have the public input plan and the various zones have various languages associated with them. We're saying we would provide two-way interpretation with or without per request. We're discussing that for those.

So let's say there's a zone in which we had not identified a certain language and yet somebody requests to interpretation for that language at that meeting.

We're not saying we're providing two-way interpretation for any meeting in any language, any approved language, only the ones we have actually pre-identified. So I'm wondering if the language in the motion adequately specifies that.

ATTNY PANE: Chair, I think that -- I think you raise a good point as far as the clarification for that, because if we -- if they are the predesignated ones, then I think we need to make sure that that's going to be reflected in this motion. It's not any language that's going to trigger it. It's specifically designated ones within that.

CHAIR YEE: So perhaps in the approved languages for this specific -- I was going to say identified zone



- 1 meetings for the specific identified zone meetings.
- Yeah. Either way, I guess they would. Would that be sufficient?
- 4 Commissioner Sadhwani? I'm sorry.

approved for our zone meetings.

- COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, no, I think that that
 makes sense. And that is also my understanding that
 we're only talking about those -- providing two-way
 interpretation for those languages we have already
 - I wanted to just go back to Commissioner Turner's comment and maybe just ask for a little bit more clarification, because I think what I thought I had heard you say earlier was that there wasn't evidence that having interpretation available in other languages beyond Spanish that there wasn't evidence that there was a need for that, which was when I had originally heard that, that's when I made that shift to if requested.
- 18 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum.
 - COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But now I feel like I'm hearing, well, no, no, no, we need to have them. And I'm actually fine with whatever the full Commission wanted to do. So I think for me, it's just about clarity of what is the preference. Like, do we want to just say, hey, we said we would do this zone meeting in Mandarin, so therefore it's going to be available, or the alternative

was it's available once someone requests it.

2.3

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yep. Let me let me clarify.

Thank you for that. The evidence was when we were

talking, I believe it was during the time we were having

the conversation about all twelve languages and we had

not yet talked about zone specific languages.

And so therefore I was saying evidence -- it's not evident even that we're going to need all twelve languages, et cetera. And I was not clear how that was going to be applied. That was that part of the conversation.

What I'm saying now about where we do have evidence is, is that people will call in and give their public input, even though they've not made an appointment. And so I'm saying that when we're making an advertising language specific in the zones, when we're doing that advertisement, people very well could call in -- could have it -- appointments.

And then because we've advertised, people can very well call in even though they did not have an appointment for that language. So evidence, evidence, but two kind of different points about evidence.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioners Fernandez, Akutagawa, Andersen?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was just looking at the

1	language that was modified. And it still says per
2	request as soon as practicable. So I'm getting now
3	I'm getting confused. Are we. We're going to Spanish
4	for sure. That one's very plain. Spanish for sure.
5	And then are we trying to say we're also going to
6	include like what Commissioner Turner would like those
7	languages that we've identified for specific reason
8	meetings and then also upon request. So I mean, right
9	now it's not as clear to me.
10	CHAIR YEE: Yeah. We're still debating that point.
11	Commissioner Akutagawa and Andersen?
12	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I'll pass. I think a
13	lot of what I wanted to bring up has been brought up now.
14	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen?
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: This comes down to my
16	comment of we only have these many public input
17	meetings left. That's not considered these are the
18	COI input meetings. So it would actually be and
19	considering we added the four others that aren't just the
20	thirteen for the month of August, September, the COI
21	input meetings, a bunch of the ones that we've already
22	set for languages have already passed.
23	So we would actually have to move all of those and
24	there would be essentially one meeting for each zone in
25	all the languages of that zone. So we would be doing

1 until we move into for the maps. But for the COI input, we basically be -- and we have to have heavy advertising, 3 so it would actually be like I don't know how many, I don't know the specifics of how many languages in 4 5 different zones, but I know and one of them is at least four or five. 6 7 So we'd have Spanish and four or five others. If we add -- we take out a request, that's what we're talking 8 9 about for that set of public input, a set of public input 10 meetings, because we've all by the time we get the 11 contracts around, even if we say we can do it by August 12 1st, we've missed a ton of the meetings that already are 13 advertised in languages. 14 So I want everyone to know -- consider that. 15 that just the staff putting that on? That's how it would 16 have to work. 17 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. I mean for staff it would be a 18 trade-off between pre-arranging interpretation for --it's 19 going to happen ninety percent for sure and making 20 arrangements that have to get triggered with a little bit 21 of a flurry of activity right before the meeting. 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. One other 23 thing. Those are also hybrid possibly in-person meetings 24 in August.

Okay. We've not actually had a

25

CHAIR YEE:

Yeah.

1 second to the motion.
2 Okay. Commissioner Fernandez?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

25

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. I'm just going to respond to Commissioner Andersen.

The interpretation would also be done virtual just so that you don't have to be there to -- obviously you don't have to be there to listen to it, but if there's an interpretation being made, they will be on the virtual square, not actually in-person. Since we're doing hybrid, you don't have to be on site.

CHAIR YEE: So you could have a person -- the translator would be virtual even if the person is inperson? Yeah, okay.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Chair --

15 CHAIR YEE: Go ahead, Director Hernandez.

16 MR. HERNANDEZ: If I could just interject here.

17 When we talk about virtual, this is not to have a virtual

18 interpreter. In other words, they're not going to be on

Zoom. Okay? Let me just clarify that. It's for them to

20 be on a conference call and sharing the information

21 through the conference call, not to be in the virtual

22 world in a Zoom room or anything like that. So I just

23 want to make sure we clarified that so there wasn't any

24 confusion when we use the term virtual.

CHAIR YEE: Very good.



1	Commissioner Fernandes?
2	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, what I was referring
3	to is if a caller needs interpretation, that
4	interpretation will be provided via virtual and they will
5	be in the Zoom meeting with us. The one interpreter
6	would be with us. But the two will not that are doing
7	the simultaneous. The one will be with us.
8	MR. HERNANDEZ: Correct.
9	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Seeking a second to the motion.
LO	Commissioner Sinay?
L1	COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'll second.
L2	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Further discussion in a minute
L3	we'll open up to public comment.
L 4	We have the motion up again, please, Director
L 5	Hernandez?
L 6	Okay. The motion is to implement interpretation
L 7	services two-way interpretation in Spanish for future
L 8	public input meetings and provide two-way interpretation
L 9	in the approved identified languages for the specific
20	zone meetings per request as soon as practicable.
21	Katy, if we could go ahead and take public comment
22	on the motion on the floor.
23	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
24	The Commission will now take public comment on the
25	motion for interpretation. To give comment, please call

- 1 | 877-853-5247 and enter the meeting ID number 98322642969
- 2 | for this meeting. Once you've dialed in, please press
- 3 star 9 to raise your hand and to enter the comment queue.
- 4 The full call-in instructions are read at the beginning
- 5 of the meeting and are provided on the live stream
- 6 landing page. And we do have a caller. And I would like
- 7 to remind those calling in to please press star 9 to
- 8 raise your hand, indicating your wish to comment.
- 9 The caller with the last four 1940, please press
- 10 star 9 if you wish to comment.
- 11 At this time, Chair, we do not have any raised
- 12 hands.
- 13 CHAIR YEE: Okay.
- 14 Commissioner Fernandez?
- 15 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm just wondering. I want
- 16 to address this to Commissioner Turner. Is the motion
- 17 addressing what your concern was? Because right now, the
- 18 motion saying you have to let us know ahead of time, even
- 19 though we've identified potentially Vietnamese for that
- 20 meeting we still have --
- 21 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Right. No.
- 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. This is not
- 23 addressing your concern, correct?
- 24 COMMISSIONER TURNER: No, it does not.
- 25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. That's what I



1 thought when I was reading the language again. It didn't seem to address it. Thank you. CHAIR YEE: The motion as it stands. 3 4 Currently, the eleven languages have to be triggered by a 5 request. Commissioner Kennedy? 6 7 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Following up on Commissioner Andersen's comments, are we going to direct staff to 8 9 shift languages to later in the schedule on the schedule 10 so that we're not missing things? When I look at, for 11 example, I think I was looking at Zone K, so it might not 12 be -- but there's a meeting on -- well on the previous 13 schedule that I have scheduled for August 9th, Zone K 14 languages listed are Tagalog in Arabic. And the subsequent Zone K meeting on September 2nd 15 16 has no languages listed. So are we going to direct staff 17 to shift those languages to later in the schedule to make 18 sure that we are covering them with this new policy? 19 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 2.0 And let's take our public comment. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Chair. 22 I'm kind of leaning towards Commissioner Kennedy, but 2.3 then I'm also leaning towards Commissioner Turner. 24 I'm leaning somewhere else where if it's going to be --

if they have -- if something has to be triggered to

- provide interpretation in something other than Spanish,

 then I say we just remove the languages from the schedule
- 3 so that there is not this confusion for the public that
- 4 there's going to be interpretation for those languages.
- 5 That would be my recommendation. If we move forward with
- 6 this motion. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's go to public comment and 8 then to other Commissioners.
- 9 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you, chair.
- Caller 9290, please follow the prompts to unmute.
- 11 Go ahead. The floor is yours.
- MS. ORR: Hi. Thank you so much. My name is Adria
- 13 Orr. I'm calling in from Asian-Americans Advancing
- 14 Justice Asian Law Caucus. I want to thank the Commission
- 15 for again, the consistent and thoughtful work that you're
- 16 doing on language access for your redistricting process.
- 17 And I just wanted to uplift a concern regarding the
- 18 | sort of live -- potential live drawing sessions that
- 19 you'll be doing. I think earlier there was a comment
- 20 made, kind of distinguishing those from the public input
- 21 meetings where folks would be commenting on the draft
- 22 maps. And I just wanted to uplift that any sort of live
- 23 drawing or live mapping sessions that you do. Even if
- 24 | you're classifying them as business meetings, it would
- 25 still be really important for community members to be



1 able to understand and engage with those. So I would hope that you would consider also offering Interpretation services for those meetings as well. So I just wanted to 3 4 uplift that. And again, thanks for all of your hard work 5 on this issue. Thank you. 6 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Could we ask -- oh, she's 8 gone. I was going to ask a question of the caller. 9 CHAIR YEE: Let's see, I have Commissioner Turner, 10 then Akutagawa. 11 COMMISSIONER TURNER: That's funny. I was going to 12 say I didn't have my hand up, I did indeed. Yes. 13 the idea of totally scrapping the second part and 14 allowing current policy to prevail. 15 CHAIR YEE: Scrapping the second part of the motion? 16 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. 17 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 18 Commissioner Akutagawa? 19 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So I quess just for 20 clarity then, what we're saying is that Spanish would be 21 automatic for all of the remaining meetings as soon as we 22 can implement it. And then for the remaining zones, any 23 of the twelve languages can be requested with the five-24 days' advance notice. I think that's what you're

And then I have a

agreeing to, Commissioner Turner.

1 different comment. COMMISSIONER TURNER: That is what I'm agreeing to. And there was also a discussion on the floor about if 3 indeed that can be up to the twenty-four-hours or as soon 4 5 as possible or what have you. Yes. CHAIR YEE: Let's see, current policy -- does 6 7 current policy provide for two-way translation -interpretation? 8 9 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: No. 10 CHAIR YEE: Just meetings. VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: 11 CHAIR YEE: Or just for input? 12 13 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Just for making public 14 comment. 15 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. Yeah. 16 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: That's for any meeting. 17 Commissioner Turner, I will say I believe our 18 contract currently states that they need at least three-19 days' advance notice, but I think that that's something that we could have or direct the staff to look into to 20 21 talk with the contractor to see if something with a 22 shorter timeline can be accommodated. 2.3 Also, what I my original comment was actually based 24 on the public comment that was just made. I think for 25

clarification, she was referring to the, I believe, what

1	is the line drawing meetings. But my understanding is
2	that and maybe I'm wrong, but my understanding is that
3	the use of public input meetings was so that it would be
4	inclusive of even those meetings. Am I understanding
5	that incorrectly? And if not, then do we need to make
6	that clarification that that should also be included as
7	well too?
8	CHAIR YEE: I did not take it to include those
9	meetings, no. I don't know if others did.
LO	Commissioner Turner, then I think Commissioner
L1	Sadhwani.
L2	COMMISSIONER TURNER: I was going to say and then
L3	once this motion, however it plays out, if need be, there
L 4	could be a second motion where we could entertain two-way
L 5	translation in zone-specific languages as needed.
L 6	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani?
L7	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So I just want to understand
L 8	the direction that we're heading. So for this motion,
L 9	approving Spanish interpretation two-way interpretation
20	in communities in public input sessions. I did not
21	understand those two to include line drawing sessions.
22	In part, I think we can move forward now with the
23	input sessions. As much as I would love to just move
24	forth and say let's definitely do line drawing, I think

it does provide us a little bit of flexibility moving

1 forward. If we're seeing a big rise in callers in 2 Spanish, then we can always move forward and do it.

We'll have the interpreter services on board already. If we're not seeing that demand, then then perhaps it's not something that we engage in. So I think keeping it somewhat narrowly defined to public input at this stage still makes sense to me.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. So we've had some suggestion of amending the motion further, but as it is, it still stands as originally stated.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

2.3

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So I did get some additional information in terms of the contractor. The contract does state that they need at least five-day -- four to five business days. However, they will try to accommodate within two days.

So what we can propose is to minimally -- we'll do our best to with within two days of notice so forty-eight hours. Anything that is within twenty-four hours is -- we're told, is not going to be possible by the two business days. Sorry. Two business days not forty-eight hours. Two business days is going to be the minimum that can be accommodated. I was told that twenty-four hours is not feasible.

CHAIR YEE: Okay.



Commissioner Sadhwani?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: With the current contract. Sorry, let me let me clarify that. With the current contract. If we wanted twenty-four hours, that would mean a redo the contract, which would then mean pushing it out even further.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. So given all of this, I'm comfortable amending the motion to -- and limiting it to just that first half of including Spanish language -- two-way Spanish language interpretation in our public input meetings. We can continue to think about and handle the other languages as we move forward. Our current policy will still stand and that would only be for public comment.

I'm comfortable with that at this time. I think it was also telling that the premier Asian-American organization called in and didn't -- wasn't asking actually for additional Asian language interpretation, but only that the motion be extended to our line drawing sessions.

So I would feel comfortable moving forward with that at this time. And certainly we can also take a second motion or continue to think about those other approved languages for the future.

1 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 2 Director Hernandez, could we see the motion again? MR. HERNANDEZ: One second, Chair. 3 CHAIR YEE: And look at the second half that we're 4 5 now considering striking. Okay. So the portion that is highlighted in gray, Commissioner Sadhwani is proposing 6 7 striking. Commissioner Sinay, with your second are you okay 8 9 with that? COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm okay with striking that, 10 but I'm not okay with the other half that she had said 11 about the motion to implement interpretation two-way 12 13 services just being about the COI public input versus all 14 public input meetings. So if we're going to make it just 15 for COI, then we need a new second. 16 CHAIR YEE: No, I think it was all public input. 17 And then the question is whether to also include further 18 the line drawing sessions, which --19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. When we're saying all 20 public input, that means line drawing, public input as 21 well, not just the communities of interest ones. 22 CHAIR YEE: Well, there's two different kinds of 2.3 line drawing meetings. 24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. 25 CHAIR YEE: And a business meeting.

```
1
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: At minimum, we're doing a line
 2
    drawing where we're getting public input.
 3
         CHAIR YEE: Okay. There's two different kinds of
 4
    public input meetings -- line drawing meetings. One is
 5
    more of a business meeting --
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right.
 6
 7
         CHAIR YEE: -- where we draw lines.
                                              The second is
 8
    when we purposely go out zone by zone probably to get
 9
    input about specific lines --
10
         COMMISSIONER SINAY:
                              Right.
11
         CHAIR YEE: -- that we've drafted. So we're
12
    thinking of the latter, not the former.
13
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
                                    Okay.
14
         CHAIR YEE: Okay. I lost track of some hands I saw.
15
         Commissioner Akutagawa?
16
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.
                                       I just wanted to make
    sure -- I guess clarification, because I thought I heard
17
18
    differently. What we did discuss and I believe we agreed
19
    to is that two-way interpretation for Spanish that would
2.0
    be automatic for all the remaining meetings that we can
21
    implement the interpretation.
22
         And then for the other eleven languages, we would
23
    provide two-way interpretation, not just interpretation
24
    for public comment. So even if we got rid of this, I
25
    think we still need to retain the part that said that we
```

would provide two-way interpretation for the approved languages and it would still be upon request.

So in some ways I think it does need to remain, even though we will not designate the zones, because to make it easy, people would still have to be -- to make that request. And whether it's, I guess now two days versus five days. But I thought I heard Commissioner Sadhwani say public comment only. But I don't think that that's what we're agreeing to unless I misheard.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Just to respond.

That was what I was hearing was that there's -- if we strike this second half, which had been suggested, then we're back to our current policy, which is public comment only. If we want to move forward a second motion and think about all of these other languages, I think we can do that. We can do it still now if we want -- if we want to maintain this.

I think the difference ultimately ends up being quite a lot more. If we're talking about any language outside of the zone, then, Panjabi, which I think was only in perhaps one zone, could be requested at -- in all of the zones. Right. And then then we're incurring a greater cost, for example. So I do think that that is challenging and it's not clear to me exactly which

Τ	direction everyone wants to move forward in.
2	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner, and Akutagawa?
3	COMMISSIONER TURNER: So I'm for striking the second
4	and going with the second motion or having it read the
5	motion to implement interpretation services, two-way
6	interpretation in Spanish for future public input
7	meetings and provide two-way interpretation in the
8	approved identified languages for the specific zone
9	meetings period.
10	CHAIR YEE: So that would mean proactively providing
11	those services, and not waiting for any requests.
12	COMMISSIONER TURNER: In the zone areas. Yes.
13	CHAIR YEE: Yeah. It doesn't mean staying with our
14	scheduled languages as assigned to various zones.
15	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.
16	CHAIR YEE: Okay.
17	Commissioner Akutagawa and Toledo?
18	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. So I would agree with
19	that. I think now that what based on what
20	Commissioner Sadhwani said. I mean, I think that that
21	was one of the early concerns is that the all the what
22	ifs like are we just going to get one here, one here, one
23	here, versus if we just say, okay, we will provide
24	automatically the interpretation the two-way
25	interpretation for this meeting, even though it is for

zone, we have been clear in saying to everybody, anyone from anywhere in California can call into any meeting if it's a convenient day and time for them.

And in this case, we'll just also add that because a certain language that they are most comfortable giving input in or even just listening in in, would then enable them to hear what folks are saying. Then that would make it a little bit more easier in terms of people being able to make plans and for us to be able to be more clear about when we will provide those language interpretation services.

I think I would be more comfortable with this route than just saying, okay, anytime, anywhere. I mean, if we're concerned about budget, that could really become -- on the one hand, we want to be accommodating. On the other hand, it's -- it does seem like maybe this is going to be the best way where it's clear to everybody when we're going to be providing it.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. So this would be to strike the per request?

21 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Per request.

second half as a second motion.

22 CHAIR YEE: Okay.

- Commissioner Sadhwani, you okay with that?
- COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: My sense is we should do the



1 CHAIR YEE: Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: It's not entirely clear to

me. Like, I understand. I kind of feel like we're

talking in circles a little bit that -- on the one hand,

we want to be accommodating, we want to provide this to

folks. But at the other -- on the other hand, we want to

8 actually going to have any impact on improving folks
9 coming out.

be budget conscious. We're also not sure if this is

And I think that the Spanish piece is fairly straightforward. I'm fine leaving it if we feel like we have the support of the Commission. I'm just not sure if we're all there yet. I don't know. There's a lot of folks that haven't spoken yet.

I think it's also possible just to move forward with the Spanish today and then finalize what exactly it is that we want possibly get more public input on the second half before we move forward with it. From a budget budgetary perspective, it is a lot of a lot of funding.

So my sense is still to strike the second half and work on it separately for next week.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. Well, it's your motion. You can withdraw the original motion, and repropose it as a -- just the first half.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Chair, I think just for

- clarification, it would be helpful to sort of reclarify
 again what Commissioner Sadhwani's motion is, and if it
 is still seconded by Commissioner Sinay.

 CHAIR YEE: Okay. The motion as it's appearing on
 the screen right now is the motion that's on the floor
- 6 made and seconded. Commissioner Sadhwani is now
 7 contemplating striking the second half. So that could
- 8 either be an amended motion or a replacement motion. The commissioner said, Why did you wish to do that?
 - COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Commissioner Sinay, do you second, regardless of whether or not I strike that second half.
- 13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.

10

11

12

2.0

21

22

23

24

- 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. I would say let's
 15 strike the second half. We'll think about that for the
 16 future for our next meeting.
- 17 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good.
- Okay. Further discussion, Commissioner Toledo and then Fernandez, and then Akutagawa.
 - COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: From a budget forecasting perspective, it would be good to be clear about what we mean by -- and I think Commissioner Sadhwani is -- has given some clarity on public input meetings and what that means. But if we -- it would be easier to reduce that, then. I'm just wondering about the business line drawing

meetings and should we plan to have those translated at this point or at least project it, because otherwise it'd be harder to add it on in the future, potentially from a budget perspective. Right.

So you can budget -- and maybe it's not something we do with this motion, but rather that we just -- that's something the committee can do in terms of budget because there are -- I think the Commission is in favor of translating if it makes sense. Right. If the demand is there and if it fulfills -- there is a mandate, especially if there's a VRA mandate, we would want to do that.

So we would want to make sure that the budget is there to submit that requirement. And so that I mean, so that's just I think we just need to make sure that we're forecasting the appropriate budget, even if it -- if the motion here is limited to not all of our meetings, just the public input meetings.

CHAIR YEE: So that we contemplate potentially amending for future public input and line drawing meetings.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: That's right. So that we could contemplate having more of our -- well, all of our meetings translated right at that point, especially if there is a requirement to do so. So in worst case -- I

1 don't know if it's worse case scenario, but if there is a mandate to do so we would want to make sure that we have 3 a budget to be able to meet the requirement. There's 4 certainly, I think, a will to do that. But if we had a 5 compliance requirement, then that doesn't make it a little bit different because that becomes a mandate of 6 7 even more of a bigger thing I guess. 8 CHAIR YEE: Sure. Commissioners Fernandez, then Akutagawa? 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I kind of forgot what my 11 comment was, but I'm kind of remembering right now. 12 the story of my life. I do like the motion as it's 13 written now. So thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani. 14 I believe when Commissioner Akutagawa and maybe 15 Commissioner Ahmad, when we came forward and we decided 16 how many meetings we were going to have in Spanish, it 17 was all based on the LEP population. I just want you 18 to -- the magnitude of Spanish is 4.4 million in 19 California. And then the next language is Chinese and 20 it's 633,000. 21 So there's just a significant gap in terms of the 22 language. So I appreciate what everyone's saying. 2.3 do support this motion. And I will probably support

another motion if we want to address the other languages,

but I just wanted everyone to be aware of the numbers.

24

- And for Punjabi it's 80,000 Californians. And so just
 those numbers. That's kind of like the range. But thank
 you. I appreciate the conversation and everyone's
 comments and perspectives.

 CHAIR YEE: Okay. We have about nine minutes for
 - CHAIR YEE: Okay. We have about nine minutes for our required break.
- 7 | Commissioner Akutagawa?

- VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I mean, okay, I guess
 I'll just say, if we're going to do it separately, that's
 fine. I think we just need to do -- I would like us to
 do it both. I think if we're going to do it, we should
 just do it.
 - Commissioner Sadhwani, you said you were not afraid of the numbers.
 - I think we just need to go forward with it. If it's two separate motions, then let's just do it. I do agree with what Commissioner Toledo also said. I think, with the -- there's to me, there's a difference between our regular business meetings where we're talking about -- I guess, the kind of things that we do.
 - But I think from a line drawing perspective, I think. Given everything that that everyone has been saying, I think we just need to also include the actual meeting where we're actually going through the line drawing and not just the input after the maps are drawn

so that people can follow along for the sake of transparency.

2.3

I know that that's -- I mean, I guess I'm just thinking, look, if we're going to -- if we're going to be committed to doing this and this is what the Commission wants to do to provide these interpretation services, then we -- to kind of parse out what we will do and what we won't do just doesn't make sense to me.

If we're going to spend this money, let's just do it and let's do it right. And to me, I think the line drawing meetings are important as -- for everybody's understanding of what our process is. And if we have to provide Spanish interpretation for it, and then also figure out ways in which we will be able to provide interpretation in other languages, if there's requests for it, then we should really seriously think about that.

But whatever we do, I think we just need to make those decisions today versus just going back over and over again. I think that as was said earlier, time is going short. These contracts do take time. And it just seems like if this is -- if this is really going to happen, then let's just do it.

CHAIR YEE: So Commissioners Sadhwani and Sinay, the suggestion is to add line drawing to the public input meeting, so future public input and line drawing

1 meetings. Do you wish to make that amendment? 2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Not at this time. 3 opposed to it by any means in general. I don't think we've thought enough yet about how many meetings that's 4 5 going to be costing out of all of that. So I think at this time, I think let's just keep it set as is and we 6 7 can continue to think about this and further -- we're thinking about this this motion out loud. 8 9 I think in the next week, perhaps we can do some 10 more strategizing and come back with a further motion to 11 potentially include line drawing and/or other languages. 12 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Why don't we take public comment 13 on the amended motion? 14 Thank you, Katy. 15 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: You're welcome, Chair. 16 The Commission will now take public comment on the 17 amended interpretation motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and enter the meeting 18 ID number 98322642969. 19 20 Once you have dialed in, please press star 9 to 21 enter the comment queue. The full call-in instructions 22 are read previously and are provided in full on the live 2.3 stream landing page. And we do have a caller.

to unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

Caller 9290, if you will, please follow the prompts

24

1 MS. ORR: Hi, this is Adria Orr again with Asian-Americans Advancing Justice Asian Law Caucus. Sorry about dropping off after my earlier comment. 3 I had a little of confusion with navigating the phone system for 4 5 Zoom. I just wanted to call in to comment, to definitely 6 7 clarify it in case there was any confusion that we did definitely support the motion, the previous motion, which 8 9 was inclusive of the additional languages and providing 10 two-way interpretation for them.

My comment was intended to express a desire to expand that motion to include the line drawing meetings as Commissioner Akutagawa had identified. And I hope that you will definitely sort of re-expand the motion to include those other languages as well, rather than just limiting it to Spanish. Thanks.

CHAIR YEE: Thank you.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And I'd like to remind those calling in to please press star 9 to raise your hand indicating you wish to comment. Thank you so much.

Caller 7912, if you will, please follow the prompts to unmute. Thank you so much. The floor is yours.

MS. COLON: Hi. Good afternoon, Commissioners.

This is Jacqueline Colon with NALEO Educational Fund.

And I've been tuning in with your conversation in regards

- 1 to the interpretation services. And I just want to really call in to appreciate the Commission for offering 3 the Spanish language translation two-way for the 4 community to be able to call in to the COI input 5 hearings. We do have a large number of Latino community 6 7 members throughout the state, so this will definitely 8 help out those that are not fluent in English. Then just also to let you know that we do anticipate that turnout 10 for hearings will increase as we continue to engage our 11 community and mobilize the efforts on redistricting. 12 And we do have quite a few of the community members 13 wanting to need to be trained in Spanish. So we do 14 anticipate them calling in and participating in your 15 hearings in the near future. So thank you again. 16 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. 17 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And that was all of our 18 public comment at this time. 19 CHAIR YEE: Okay. The motion stands. Unamended. 20 It's not including line drawing sessions. We have just 21 enough time to go to a vote. So if there's no 22 discussion, let's take a vote.
 - Commissioner Ahmad?

2.3

24

25

now.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes, Chair. I will begin the vote

1 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes. 2 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa? VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 3 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen? 4 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fernandez? Sorry. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Si. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari? 8 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. 10 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy. 11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. 12 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Le Mons? 13 Commissioner Sadhwani? 14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. 15 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Sinay? 16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. 17 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor? 18 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Si. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo? 19 20 COMMISSIONER TOLEDIO: Yes. 21 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner? 22 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.

MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Vazquez?

MR. HERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Yee?

COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.

23

24

1 CHAIR YEE: Yes. 2 MR. HERNANDEZ: The motion passes. 3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Thank you, everyone. This is one 4 stepping stone in the process. It's not the end of our 5 language access, motions, and provisions. At this time, We'll go to lunch break. We'll be returning at 1:45. 6 7 1:45. (Whereupon, a recess was held) 8 9 CHAIR YEE: Welcome back from lunch. We're 10 continuing with agenda item 4-F, Language Access. 11 just passed a motion regarding Spanish language access at 12 our upcoming public input meetings. Now we can now 13 continue the discussion on Language Access in other 14 directions. And I might note that between two and three 15 this afternoon we have various Commissioners as well as director yendors in and out. So that'll be until about 16 17 three. 18 So let's continue our discussion of language access. 19 Things that have come up were other matters that have not 20 come up yet. We could also think about other motions 21 that we could entertain. In terms of staff direction, 22 Language Access Committee -- subcommittee do want to help 2.3 us think about what actually needs to be given in terms of direction? 24

Yes? Commissioner Fernandez?

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I'm sure everybody is
    for the staff. But I thought it would be important to
 3
    try to tie this discussion up. Like if there's going to
 4
    be another motion, let's go ahead and do that so that
 5
    staff can move forward with whatever direction.
    is the only motion, then that's fine too, and then we can
 6
   move on to the next. But I do know that -- I believe, it
 7
    was Commissioner Turner had additional thoughts too in
 8
 9
    terms that the other languages.
10
         CHAIR YEE:
                     Okay.
11
         Commissioner Turner, are you here? Let's see.
12
         COMMISSIONR TURNER:
                              I am here.
13
         CHAIR YEE: Yeah.
14
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. Yeah, in my --
15
         CHAIR YEE: Go ahead.
16
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: It is my additional
17
    thoughts -- and I think we're ready to entertain emotion
18
    was that we would repeat -- well, not so much repeat, but
19
    we would allow for the two-way interpretation services
20
    for the zones that we were going into, for the languages
21
    that were identified in the different zones.
22
         CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?
2.3
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I would support
    something like that as well, Commissioner Turner.
24
                                                        I'm
25
    just wondering, Commissioner Andersen, did make a good
```

1 point in terms of in July there's actually a lot of our meetings are identified with different languages and I 3 was wondering if perhaps we could look at some of those 4 languages and then maybe try to repeat those in August to 5 try to capture at least -- out of the remaining 11 6 languages, at least capture it once. 7 If everyone's amenable -- would be amenable to that, 8 we could go back and have staff go back and identify which zone we could do that in because I believe every 10 zone should have a meeting in August, unless I'm 11 mistaken. But we could figure that out or have staff 12 figure that out instead of Commissioners. 13 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Yep. 14 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Akutagawa? 15 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. Thank you, 16 Commissioner Fernandez, for bringing that up. I totally 17 support that. I think if we're going to I and I know 18 that there was -- I think it might have been Commissioner 19 Kennedy that brought this up early on in the early public 20 input meetings because they weren't quite as well-21 attended. 22 I think someone had brought up a comment or question 2.3 about whether or not we should shift some of those early 24 languages to one of the later public input meeting dates

so that as people kind of get -- kind of in the flow of

these meetings and they know that these are happening will make sure that we are covering all the languages.

2.3

And with thirteen meetings, I believe, remaining in twelve languages, I think we could figure out some kind of reassignment or new assignments of some of the languages that may have already passed so that we can make sure we're covering all of them in the remaining meetings that we do have, that we'll be able to provide those two-way interpretations.

And then just for clarification, so I do support any kind of proposal that would include what Commissioner Turner said. I do want to make it clear, I guess ask a clarification question. Will we then or is there an appetite to then have a conversation about the line drawing meetings, or are we going to hold off? Because I know that Commissioner Sobhani was concerned about what is the additional cost.

But to be honest, I think it's more how many of those line drawing meetings will there be, because the cost is what we presented. It's not going to change. It's just a number. It's just a matter of how many line drawing business meetings will there be that will then enable us to understand how much more the cost is going to be.

CHAIR YEE: Okay.



Τ	Commissioner remaindez:
2	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm wondering if at this
3	point, although I love the conversation and I was telling
4	Commissioner Akutagawa that we'll probably get a record
5	for the longest discussion on an agenda item.
6	Maybe to try to move it along, we could save the
7	line drawing discussion for a later date and maybe just
8	address the public input portion of it in other languages
9	now. I'm not sure if the remaining Commissioners are
10	okay with that, so I'm just trying to see how we can
11	because we still have quite a bit of agenda to get to.
12	Right, Chair?
13	CHAIR YEE: We do.
14	Okay. Well, Commissioner Akutagawa?
15	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, sorry. I'm in favor of
16	that. I guess I would like to ask the line drawing
17	committee, do you have do you have a sense now or when
18	would you have a sense of how many line drawing meetings
19	we would have?
20	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Chair, you want to
21	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sadhwani or Andersen?
22	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We're sort of talking about
23	that. We haven't actually gotten specifically on it
24	because it depends on how many drafts we're doing.
25	Right. We have 276 maps. How many times?

```
1
         So we have to -- it's a bit of a discussion.
    can you -- and it's we'd have to do a bit of training,
 3
    probably even. I mean, we can give you a ballpark
 4
    probably by next meeting, because we'll actually get more
    into this than our subcommittee meetings, but there are a
 5
    lot of variables on it.
 6
 7
         Sarah, do you want to say more on it?
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.
                                        There are a lot of
 9
    variables. I think part of it also comes down to two
10
    things, right? One, how much time do we as the
11
    Commission think that we'll need? Right. This is an
12
    ongoing question without a concrete answer. So if you
13
    wanted me to throw out a number, 15, but that's kind of
14
    just based on nothing, right? So just a random guess.
15
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'd go from there, depending
16
    on the -- because there's final maps. How many? How
    many? You'll remember there, it isn't just --
17
18
         COMMISSIONER SADHWNAI: Right.
19
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- one session, then they'll
20
    be going back, so.
         COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's true.
21
                                               So maybe
22
    thirty. Maybe not. Maybe less. Hard to say.
2.3
         CHAIR YEE: If anyone is thinking of making a
24
    motion, Director Hernandez requests that you type it out
25
    and send it to him.
```

So I believe the discussion right now involves -it's providing two-way interpretation for approved
languages, including stipulating, including at least one
public input meeting for each of the eleven languages
other than Spanish.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

2.3

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Does Director Hernandez still have the language that we had previously to start with?

I think that that language that got deleted was a good starting place.

CHAIR YEE: Director Hernandez is just about to go somewhere he needs to be for half an hour. As for catching him. We can proceed with the motion, but my it might be a little more cumbersome.

Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. Thank you, Chief

Counsel Pane. I think you put up the previous motion.

So if we were to take that and I think what I heard from

Commissioner Turner, I will propose or I would move to

implement interpretation services and in parentheses two
way interpretation in the other eleven languages as -- I

think as a sign to the remaining -- or as a sign to the

zones for future public input meetings, something along

those lines.



1 include both COI meetings and public input on draft map 2 meetings --3 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. 4 CHAIR YEE: -- do you want to distinguish those 5 somehow? So if --VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: No. That's --6 7 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. Yeah. Okay. I'm wondering if we 8 want to go in the direction of stipulating that all 9 eleven languages get covered. And we specified get 10 covered in the series of COI meetings or at least get 11 covered in the combination of COI and draft map meetings. 12 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Isn't that the same as what 13 we would be doing for Spanish? I mean, I think that that 14 was the conversation is keep it simple, according to 15 Commissioner Toledo. Use future public input meetings to 16 keep it simpler. 17 CHAIR YEE: Correct. But if we want to go in the 18 direction of making -- so since many meetings -- many COI 19 meetings have passed already, we could have made the 20 languages -- there is some discussion of adding some 21 language to ensure that all eleven of the approved 22 languages get at least one public input meeting. 2.3 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Oh, yes, yes. 24 CHAIR YEE: That is one COI meeting or one COI or 25 draft met meeting.

1 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: No. It would be one COI 2 meeting and the draft map meetings. 3 CHAIR YEE: And. Oh, okay. 4 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: And. 5 CHAIR YEE: So that means there would be at least two meetings per --6 7 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 8 CHAIR YEE: -- eleven languages. 9 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. 10 CHAIR YEE: Okay. So let's make sure the motion 11 reflects that. 12 Commissioner Fernandez? 13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was going to second the 14 motion. 15 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's make sure we have the 16 wording of the motion. Is someone --17 MR. SINGH: Can I --18 I was just going to say, Chair ATTNY PANE: No. 19 Yee, Ravi is attempting right now to cobble together the 2.0 motion. 21 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good. Sorry, Robbie. Ι 22 promise you, we were going to wait, but we're not, so. 2.3 MR. SINGH: That's fine. May I just have 24 Commissioner Akutagawa type that out in the chat, and 25 then I can go ahead and translate that into the motion,

1 please. VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Can you send me back the previous language and I'll edit it? 3 MR. SINGH: Absolutely. Thank you. 4 5 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy? COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So looking at this, if we're 6 7 if we're trying even attempting to have the same 8 languages set out against the same zones, our biggest 9 problem is that by the time we get to the 1st of August, 10 three of our four meetings in -- focusing on L.A. County 11 will already have passed. And L.A. County has the 12 largest language requirements. 13 We would we would literally end up with something 14 like Cantonese, Korean, Farsi, Armenian, and Japanese on 15 top of Spanish in that one meeting, which might overload 16 things. So the question then is how do we redistribute 17 those languages or do we schedule another input meeting 18 focusing on L.A. County that would allow us to divide 19 those languages out? Or do we shift those languages to 2.0 other zones? Thank you. CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 21 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. The intent would be

that because the interpretation will be provided virtual,

we -- those other languages could actually be attached to

different zones. And that's what Commissioner Akutagawa

23

24

1 and I did when we first assigned. We didn't assign all of the languages to Los 3 Angeles, even though they had the higher population in 4 those languages. We went to other zones that have also 5 like a somewhat high percentage of that language. that would be my recommendation is we kind of just 6 7 disperse it out. CHAIR YEE: So that would involve keeping the 8 9 current clean meeting schedule and language distribution. 10 Would it involve adding languages to some of the 11 remaining meanings? Yeah, probably right. Yeah. 12 Okay. Let's see. Ravi looks like he's still 13 concentrating. 14 MR. SINGH: Yes, I am working on the motion. Thank 15 you. 16 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good. Further discussion? 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just for the sake of giving 18 Ravi some time, like Farsi, the Mandarin - Chinese 19 Mandarin, Tagalog would shift over for H, the 20 (indiscernible) shift over for J, Vietnamese-Korean would 21 shift all this to the last meeting in August. 22 might have to we might move a couple of the ones from H 23 just to spread it out.

do have the other four meetings scheduled meetings -- COI

But also, as Commissioner Fernandez said earlier, we

24

- 1 | meetings for September, which have not been assigned yet.
- 2 | So possibly one of those would become H to divide the H
- 3 languages up.
- 4 CHAIR YEE: Statewide meetings?
- 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. Yeah. It would be
- 6 a statewide language meeting. So that was a time filler.
- 7 CHAIR YEE: Okay.
- 8 | Commissioner Kennedy?
- 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I had something that was
- 10 going to be a time killer as well. And now it has
- 11 | slipped my mind.
- 12 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Ravi is looking up. So maybe we
- 13 | can --
- 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Oh, I remembered. If
- 15 Director Ceja has any further thoughts on outreach to
- 16 | foreign language media, what kinds of reactions he's
- 17 getting in his contacts with them? Is anybody providing
- 18 any further input on what we can do better as far as our
- 19 | foreign language outreach?
- 20 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Now Ravi's disappeared, so.
- 21 ATTNY PANE: I'll be right back with that motion,
- 22 Chair.
- 23 CHAIR YEE: Okay. No worries.
- 24 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Chair, just as a quick
- 25 question, may I ask, do we have a lot of other items on

1 our agenda for today? 2 CHAIR YEE: We have the remainder subcommittee reports. I don't know if there's anything other than 3 4 updates for those and then Public Input Design, Legal 5 Affairs, and Line drawing may just be updates. Commissioner Akutagawa and Fernandez? 6 7 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Just while Ravi is doing 8 this, I'll just share what I sent to him and please feel free to take apart the language. I put, motion to 10 implement interpretation services and then in parentheses 11 two-way interpretation. And it should say, in the 12 remaining eleven approved languages for each of the 13 remaining COI input meetings by zone and for draft map 14 input meetings. 15 ATTNY PANE: And the language --16 CHAIR YEE: The draft input map meetings are by zone 17 also, I think we said earlier. 18 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. Except I didn't take 19 into consideration the -- I guess the tentative meetings 20 in September. 21 CHAIR YEE: Let's take a look at this. Then we'll 22 go to Commissioner Kennedy. Motion to implement --2.3 ATTNY PANE: Motion to implement interpretation 24 services in the remaining -- there you go. For each of 25 the COI --



1 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: It should be in the remaining 2 meeting. 3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Do you want to add bi zone at the 4 very end then too, after implement meetings by zone? 5 you are not intending to provide eleven languages at every draft -- in every meeting. And perhaps capitalize 6 7 zone since these are our specific zones. ATTNY PANE: COI input meetings --8 9 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioner Fernandez?

sorry. Kennedy first, then Fernandez, then Turner.

11 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair.

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

25

I'm on the same wavelength as you, I think. But the way I read this, having the words "each of" is in fact, telling us that we're going to do each of the 11 languages in each of the remaining meetings. So if we remove "each of" then, I think we will get to where we want it to go.

Or we could even do what was mentioned earlier, which I think is a good idea. I think it was from Commissioner Akutagawa. I would say that the goal of this is to ensure that each language appears at least twice between now and the end of the process. Not in those words. We want each other twice is the objective here. Thank you.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?



```
1
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was on the same -- along
    the same road with Commissioner Kennedy, where I was --
 3
    it makes it sound like we're going to do twelve different
 4
    languages at each meeting. So if we say something like
 5
    in the remaining eleven approved languages -- wait, at
    least once for the remaining COI input meetings by zone
 6
 7
    and at least once for the draft map input, something like
 8
    that.
 9
        ATTNY PANE: Why don't we just --
10
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So right after "in the
11
    remaining eleven approved languages" just put at least
12
    once.
13
        ATTNY PANE: Go ahead. Yeah.
14
        COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh, you could have left
15
    that. That's fine. At least one -- okay. For the
16
    remaining -- and then at least once for the draft map
17
    input meeting. Right before that. There you go.
18
        ATTNY PANE: Just once.
19
        COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Thank you.
20
        CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioner Turner.
21
        ATTNY PANE:
                    Good job.
22
         COMMISSIONER TURNER: Ditto. It was that "each"
23
    that got me. We're good.
24
         CHAIR YEE: Okay. Other discussion before we get a
25
    public comment?
```

1	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think you also need "the"
2	before the before between for and draft "for the
3	draft map input meetings". Yes. Thank you for that. I
4	was under pressure.
5	CHAIR YEE: No worries. Okay. The motion made by
6	Commissioner Akutagawa, seconded by Commissioner
7	Fernandez, is to implement interpretation services
8	two-way interpretation in the remaining eleven approved
9	languages at least once for the remaining COI input
10	meetings by zone and at least once for the draft map
11	implemented by zone.
12	Further discussion? Let's go ahead and take public
13	comment on the motion on the floor.
14	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
15	The Commission will now take public comment on the
16	motion for interpretation on the floor. To give comment,
17	please call 877-853-5247. Enter the meeting ID number
18	983226429694 for this meeting. Once you have dialed in,
19	please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. The full
20	call-in instructions have been read previously and are
21	provided on the live stream landing page.
22	And at this time, Chair, we do not have anyone in
23	the queue.
24	CHAIR YEE: We will wait a moment. I'm wondering,
25	actually, let's see. The remaining approved eleven

- 1 approved languages at least "once" -- should we not say
- 2 | "each"? Because otherwise it could be taken -- again, as
- 3 | all eleven languages at once. So at least once each for
- 4 | the remaining COI input meetings by zone and at least
- 5 once each for the draft map input meetings by zone.
- 6 Would that help?
- 7 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Sure.
- 8 CHAIR YEE: Okay.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa, are
- 10 | you okay with that?
- 11 CHAIR YEE: Just this once.
- 12 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. Once each.
- 13 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Looks good.
- 14 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Thank you, Ravi. Any further
- 15 discussion?
- 16 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I think on that last change
- 17 | that Ravi just made, I think it should read at least once
- 18 | for each, isn't it? So that it's consistent with the --
- 19 or is it consistent with the other one? Once each? No,
- 20 | I'm sorry. At least once each. Okay.
- 21 ATTNY PANE: Yeah.
- 22 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. Okay. Are there any callers?
- 23 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: There are no callers at
- 24 this time, Chair.
- 25 CHAIR YEE: Okay. We'll give it just one moment.



- Director Ceja and then Director Kaplan, had some thoughts.
- 3 Director Ceja, are you there?
- 4 MR. CEJA: Yes. Thank you. I was just chiming in
- 5 to say that my microphone was off earlier and just was
- 6 responding to Commissioner Kennedy's question. But I'll
- 7 defer to another time and we can continue with this
- 8 issue.
- 9 CHAIR YEE: Okay.
- 10 Okay. Director Kaplan?
- MS. KAPLAN: Not sure if you need to update the
- 12 | language to defer to the subcommittee or to defer to
- 13 | staff to identify which meeting will have what languages
- 14 or if that's assumed in this. Just raising that point.
- 15 CHAIR YEE: Thoughts?
- 16 ATTNY PANE: So Director Kaplan, I don't think
- 17 | that's necessary.
- 18 MS. KAPLAN: Okay.
- 19 ATTNY PANE: Only because to effectuate this, it
- 20 | will necessarily have to be applied by staff.
- 21 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. Okay. If there's no further
- 22 discussion, let's go to the vote.
- 23 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Ahamd?
- 24 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
- 25 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Akutagawa?



- 1 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 2 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Andersen?
- 3 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 4 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fernandez?
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
- 6 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Fornaciari?
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- 8 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Kennedy?
- 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
- 10 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Le Mons?
- 11 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes.
- 12 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sadhwani?
- 13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
- 14 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Sinay?
- 15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Si.
- 16 MR. SINGH: I'm sorry. Could you repeat that?
- 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 18 MR. SINGH: Thank you.
- 19 Commissioner Taylor?
- 20 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
- 21 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Toledo?
- 22 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 23 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Turner?
- 24 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.
- 25 MR. SINGH: Commissioner Vazquez?



1 COMMISSIONER VAZOUEZ: Yes. 2 MR. SINGH: And Commissioner Yee? CHAIR YEE: Yes. 3 4 MR. SINGH: The motion passes. 5 CHAIR YEE: Thank you, all. Thank you, Ravi. will mention to Director Hernandez to give you a raise. 6 7 Okay. Language Access, anything further? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just wondering if you can 8 9 sense it -- our subcommittee. 10 CHAIR YEE: Well, do you anticipate there will be no 11 more Language Access matters before us? There is the 12 line drawing question, so. Yeah, I think we'll need --13 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: I was just kidding. 14 CHAIR YEE: Okay. If there's no further business 15 for the Line Drawing -- Language Access Subcommittee, 16 let's move on to Materials Development. That would be 17 Commissioners Fernandez and Kennedy. 18 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. 19 Listening to speakers at the recent communities of 20 interest input meetings, I realized that we can't assume 21 that all participants in those meetings have seen the 22 California Redistricting Basics presentation. And so as 23 a result, we would probably need to highlight some of the 24 key messages coming out of that presentation during our 25 communities of interest input meetings and potentially

future meetings.

So we've started developing some draft language that we've shared with staff, and that was posted last week as potential FAQs or whatever use staff would like to make of it. But just highlighting that we probably need to raise some of these concepts and rules and so forth as we go through these.

Two of the ones that we've developed and shared, one is on incumbents, one is on gerrymandering, exactly what gerrymandering is and isn't, because there seems to be confusion as to what gerrymandering is and isn't.

The one on incumbents highlights that the State constitution says explicitly that communities of interest shall not include relationships with political parties, incumbents or political candidates, because there seem to be a lot of people providing community of interest input that is tied directly to incumbents.

So we just want people to be aware of what the Constitution says and how it constrains us in this process. We've also developed one that is currently with Karin MacDonald for review on the concept of contiguity, because it seems like the concept of contiguity may not be firmly settled in people's minds.

So we've done these. We've also reviewed the short video scripts that Director Ceja have developed on

1 communities of interest, why participate, and how the commission reviews communities of interest input. 3 again, the idea is that this language then is available 4 to staff to incorporate into the FAQ or any future 5 presentations where they think it can best be used. 6 thank you. 7 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen? COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. I really like 9 them. Would you also do one on why my district has to 10 change? Thank you. 11 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay? 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, these ones -- will these 13 be the talking points that the chair of the COI input 14 sessions can actually use to address callers so the 15 callers hear the responses right away, because there have 16 been some times when it's like, oh, we can't do that or 17 whatnot, or Is it the idea that it'll just live on our 18 website? 19 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy? 2.0 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'm happy for staff to 21 include it in the run of show document. As I said, it's 22 intended to be a contribution to staff and they can make 23 use of it as and when they believe it's appropriate. 24 think it's important for these to be in the FAQs. 25 think the FAQs need to be a living document.

1	But I do also believe that the folks participating
2	in these events need not necessarily a reminder because
3	as I say, they haven't all received the California
4	Redistricting Basics presentation. They haven't gone to
5	the website and sat through a video, read all the way
6	through the presentation. So I think it is important for
7	us to highlight some of these key points as we go
8	through.
9	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen?
10	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I was also wondering
11	if any of these could possibly be used when we break
12	during our COI input meetings. Could some of these, like
13	be on the screen or roll through the screen for
14	instead of a video? Because a lot of these information,
15	as Commissioner Kennedy said, these people do not know
16	what the rules and regulations are? And I would also add
17	to that is our slide on what are the six criteria?
18	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Anything else on Materials
19	Development? Not sure.
20	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Fernandez, do
21	you have anything?
22	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. Thank you so much for
23	covering that. And of course, we've already if the
24	outreach and communications feel that they should be
25	translated, then that would they have the authority to

1 do so as well. Thank you.

2.3

CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's segway right into Website.

3 | That's Commissioners Kennedy and Taylor.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I've gone through and developed a second set of recommendations, which are with Commissioner Turner and Yee has already started looking at those. We came up with one more during the course of the day today that we've already agreed on.

So once we have agreement on all of them, we'll be putting those through. This time we did it in the form of an outline of the entire website, which is going to help us see what information is covered where, where it might be duplicated, where there might be gaps. So as soon as we have agreed on those, we'll be putting those forward. Thank you.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's move on to Data Management.

Commissioners Ahmad and Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think the one just brief update is more of an announcement. Just to note that I think earlier Director Hernandez announced that we had brought on Phil Zigoris on a personal service contract. And just for clarity of roles and what have you, we have ended our engagement with USDR. And so just wanted to announce that so that there was not any perceived conflict and clarity of roles and responsibilities.

- 1 | That's it. You through? That's it.
- 2 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Moving on. We've sunsetted
- 3 breech contracts, but that happened after this agenda was
- 4 prepared. So we're going to K, communities of interest
- 5 tool, Commissioners Akutagawa and Kennedy.
- 6 | COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I normally provide the
- 7 statistics, but Director Kaplan has already covered that.
- 8 | So unless Commissioner Akutagawa has said anything, I
- 9 don't at this point.
- 10 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Moving on then to Director Ceja
- 11 and Director Kaplan.
- MR. CEJA: Yes. I just wanted to add that this
- 13 weekend I'm going to be updating a tab on our website
- 14 that's called public input. So we've received a lot of
- 15 COI input. And Ravi can attest to this via email. We
- 16 get at least three to five a day on input.
- So we haven't updated that anywhere or put that
- 18 | anywhere on our website. So when we report our numbers
- 19 from statewide database, it's not it's not the entire
- 20 picture. We have a lot of emails, so I'll hopefully do
- 21 | that this weekend and report on the actual number that we
- 22 have on email.
- 23 CHAIR YEE: Director Kaplan?
- 24 MS. KAPLAN: Yeah. I was just flagging if you want
- 25 | this to be a part of the Outreach Director Report, that's

1	why I had started adding in the COI input from the tool,
2	or if you want to continue having that be reported by the
3	subcommittee? I can continue to include that in my
4	report as I've been doing. Okay. Thank you.
5	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Andersen?
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Is there a discussion about
7	the not just the COI, but the redistricting tool at
8	all? If there isn't, there isn't.
9	CHAIR YEE: Anyone have an update on that the
10	separate tool that enables the public to deal with
11	districts? I'm not seeing anybody, so it will probably
12	come up later. Okay. So then
13	Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa?
14	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I was just thinking, just for
15	clarification sorry. I was a little distracted by the
16	trumpet playing in the background. Actually, not that
17	bad. You're pretty good. Just for clarification, if I
18	heard you correctly, I think you're asking if the COI
19	mapping tool will be able people will be able to see
20	the districts or some other layers of information.
21	I think that will come after we get the census
22	information. That's that was my recollection of what the
23	statewide database team had reported to us. So that's
24	probably not going to come until around September.
25	CHAIR YEE: Right. That's right. Because it will

1 require the updated database.
2 Okay. Moving on them, t

2.3

Okay. Moving on then, to Cyber Security, Commissioners Fornaciari and Taylor.

COMMISSIONER DORNACIARI: We have nothing significant to report at this time.

CHAIR YEE: No ransomware attacks. Good.

Okay. Incarcerated Populations State and Local Facilities. Commissioners Fernandez and Sinay?

COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Okay. I guess I'll go,
Commissioner Sinay. Don't you feel that I'm a little
drained from our Language Access -- not that I'm
drained -- this is a great discussion. So we did have -we did post our recommendations.

Initially, Commissioner Sinay and I were waiting for the paper Communities of Interest Tool to be available in order to come forward with recommendation. But upon further discussion between the two of us, we realized we could still come forward with our recommendation and hand it over to Outreach, and they could continue -- Outreach could continue with outreach to the incarcerated populations. And again, this is for the State and local facilities.

And so as you know, we did have a panel that consisted of the California Department of Corrections, and we also had a former incarcerated person and then

also an advocate for an incarcerated person. It was a great panel. They provided very helpful information for us in terms of how to conduct our -- how to conduct our -- recommendations on how to conduct our outreach.

2.0

And then aside from that, we also met again with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation because they have oversight of all of the State facilities in California. And we also met with the chief probation officers of California who oversee the juvenile facilities in California.

And the third piece to this was the California State Sheriffs Association, which oversees the adult local facilities in California. So that that would encompass all of the incarcerated people in California. Again, it's local and state. It's not federal.

So with that -- so that's kind of the background.

And on our recommendations document -- and some of these recommendations are similar to what we've heard in the past from our other panel members.

And the first recommendation is due to security concerns and reasons incarcerated people do not have access to the internet. So they could not -- they will not be able to submit any of these interests using the tool.

So we recommend that we provide these organizations

1	with the paper communities of interest tool in various
2	languages because there are incarcerated people speak
3	multiple languages. Again, I would reach out I would
4	suggest that the outreach reach out to the three main
5	stakeholders and to find out which languages they
6	would need this to the paper COI tool to be
7	interpreted in.

2.3

Again, we use trusted entities messengers, and we've heard this many times. We heard it through our language access. We probably just about every panel that we've had. So again, there are many community-based organizations that partner with the different facilities and also are able to -- some of them actually have newspapers as well or mailings. So again, partner with those trusted messengers.

And the third one is to utilize media. Again, engage in partner with imprisoned media. And also without media outside the facilities to connect with the families, which would be very important, and also identify social media groups for incarcerated people and post in those specific groups.

In terms of number 4 public hearings, because they do not have access to internet and they cannot leave the facilities. They're unable to provide input at our hearings. So again, that's why we're going back to the

paper tool. And the translation, we already talked about that ensure that we provide the translation in the languages that are needed by the facilities.

Public service announcements, because we cannot go out there and provide outreach to the incarcerated people, what they can do, and what they mentioned is they do have like monitors and they can continue to play certain videos.

So what we're recommending is that the commission create a short video specifically for incarcerated people that explain redistricting, that their input matters, and that they are be represented in their last home address before incarceration. And again, the facilities would broadcast these announcements, and that would go hand in hand with the paper COI tool.

And number 7, as we've been told many times, make sure we use easy to understand language, use trusted messengers. And by doing that, families and formerly incarcerated people will also be reached for these efforts. So that is our recommendation. Thank you so much for my partner, Commissioner Sinay, for coming on this journey -- going down this journey with. Are there any questions?

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Vazquez and Commissioner Turner.

1	COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Thank you so much for that
2	incredible work and a great report. Just a point of
3	information for the rest of the Commission. So starting
4	next summer, California will actually be closing its
5	juvenile detention facilities its state juvenile
6	detention facility. And young people in it currently in
7	state custody, will be transferred back to their home
8	counties.
9	So this is an incredibly, incredibly important
10	opportunity for to engage young people in this civic
11	process. Again, a civic process that is available to
12	people of all ages, California residents of all ages. So
13	thank you. Thank you for this.
14	And I'm really hoping that we can get our young
15	people involved in this civic opportunity because we know
16	civic engagement, especially for young people and young
17	people of color, is actually a mental health intervention
18	in of itself and can help with sort of the resilience and
19	healing that we know young people who have been
20	incarcerated absolutely need. So thank you.
21	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner.
22	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Um-hum. Thank you,
23	Commissioner Vazquez.
24	I wanted to ask of the Subcommittee if you had

opportunity to consider that insert. We had a public

1 comment received a couple of weeks back that had concern about the insert that actually is able to come out and be lost. And I'm wondering if that was -- it was kind of 3 after the fact, but I'm wondering if we've addressed that 4 5 concern in any way. CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandes? 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, the paper communities 8 of interest, too. I mean, it's going to be together, 9 right? You'll have the tear-off, but it won't be torn off until the individual turns it off and the tariff will 10 11 have instructions and information. It gives an example. 12 It has all the information there. 13 And then the individual can fill it out. And before 14 they mail it, they can tear it off and send it in. 15 You could actually probably mail it with the tear off. 16 You wouldn't have to tear it off. But the tariff there 17 they are together and it would be the individual that 18 would tear it off. 19 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Vazquez? 2.0 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Question that just came to 21 mind. Are we paying for postage -- for return postage? 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay? 24 COMMISSIOENR SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner

Fernandez, for putting all of the other and for opening

doors that -- we made a good team because we had two different approaches. One thing I wanted to share is Alberto Vasquez, who was the formerly incarcerated person who spoke. He's a former student of mine. A couple of weeks ago, he got his Ph.D. at UCSD. So I just thought that that was -- when I saw that it made me feel -- so I wanted to share it with all of you.

2.3

The other thing I wanted to share was I was really surprised by how open and excited all the facilities were to help with this. And I think part of it was that they were involved in the census and that kind of opened some doors and some understanding, but they were really excited and they were very positive about it and they were giving us some really good ideas.

And so I do feel -- I want to remind us that that we're doing this because they're being counted and we want to make sure that they know that they're being counted. But we're also doing this because of civic engagement as Commissioner Vazquez was saying. And that research shows that those who are incarcerated or formerly incarcerated, the more they are civically engaged, the less likely they are to commit a new crime.

And the importance of feeling connected to their community, so that if they don't participate -- if we don't get a high participation rate, we've still given

- 1 them a connection to the civic world and kind of saying,
- 2 hey, we want to hear from you. So we can't measure that
- 3 | right away, so -- and I'm bringing this up because we
- 4 | were talking about return on investment earlier, and I
- 5 agreed with Commissioner Taylor that you can't it's you
- 6 can't measure. Everything's not in our ROI.
- 7 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Anything else from State
- 8 Incarcerated Populations Subcommittee? No? Let's go to
- 9 the same for Federal.
- 10 | Sorry. Commissioner Fernandez?
- 11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I believe we want a motion
- 12 right, Commissioner Sinay, or --
- 13 CHAIR YEE: Oh, I'm sorry.
- 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah.
- 15 CHAIR YEE: Okay.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Sorry.
- 17 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: I closed the loop.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Pardon?
- 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Closed the loop.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Yes.
- 21 CHAIR YEE: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. Very good. Could
- 22 | you perhaps provide that motion in writing to --
- COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I knew that was going to be
- 24 | the second part. I think Commissioner Sinay -- something
- 25 in the terms of adopting the recommendations and



```
1
    forwarding it to the outreach, something like that.
 2
    think, Commissioner Sinay, probably has better --
 3
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah, I do.
 4
        COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- language for that.
 5
    Yeah.
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. All right. You want me
 6
 7
    to put it in chat? Sorry, I should have been better
 8
    prepared.
 9
         CHAIR YEE: No worries.
10
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: So we want to -- the motion is
11
    to accept the recommendations from the Incarcerated
12
    Population State and Local Facilities Subcommittee.
13
    Well, we had a whole bunch on there. But can we just say
14
    that or do we have to say more than that?
15
         CHAIR YEE: You need to specify it somehow.
16
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So to accept the
    recommendation. Okay. I got it. I got it. To accept
17
18
    the Incarcerated Population State and Local Facilities
19
    Subcommittee recommended -- multiple recommended multiple
20
    strategies to educate and activate incarcerated people
    housed in state and local facilities. Or it has to even
21
22
    be more than that?
2.3
         CHAIR YEE: What I --
24
        ATTNY PANE: Could I share what I've captured so
    far, Chair?
25
```

1 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Sure. 2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I have it in chat for you. ATTNY PANE: Okay. Okay. I'm catching up still. 3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Fernandez still 4 5 helped. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think if we just kind of 6 7 keep it simple and just say that we accept the 8 recommendations provided on July 7th, 2021 by the 9 Subcommittee, I mean, I think since we've already listed 10 up the recommendations and it's noted in the form, I 11 think that would be sufficient. 12 Anthony, do you have a --13 ATTNY PANE: Just a point of clarification. 14 motion is currently read is just that the Commission 15 accepts the recommendations. Is there a desire among the 16 Commission to do more than that? Or is, like for 17 example, is there some direction to -- is there some 18 involvement -- is there a piece of this about a direction 19 involvement to staff? 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Yes. 21 ATTNY PANE: Because currently it's just the 22 Commission is accepting the recommendations, which is 2.3 fine. But I just want to make sure --24 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Okay. 25 ATTNY PANE: -- that that's the intention.

1 CHAIR YEE: Perhaps "approves" would be more 2 efficacious. 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. 4 ATTNY PANE: So if I could make a recommendation, 5 then maybe a motion to accept and/or -- Marian, you suggested "adopts" -- a motion to adopt the 6 7 recommendations of the -- all right. COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do we need to -- I mean, does 8 9 it need to say motion to move the recommendations for our -- a motion to have staff -- do we have to put staff 10 11 does it -- or is that understood? 12 ATTNY PANE: Well, I don't think there's any other 13 way for the Commission to adopt it other than that. But 14 you could say --15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. 16 ATTNY PANE: -- motion to adopt the recommendations and direct staff accordingly. Something along those 17 18 lines if you want. But the only way to adopt the 19 recommendations is for staff to implement them. 2.0 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Is that true? Because in the 21 past we didn't get it. 22 ATTNY PANE: Well --2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm like hey, wait a minute. 24 25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm just being honest.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I would just put to 2 implement the incarcerated -- I would just put the 3 incarcerated people -- just the exact title of our form. 4 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. The handout. Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. The Incarcerated People, State, and Local Facilities recommendations. I 6 7 really wish I had the ability to type right now. There 8 you go. And they can get rid -- after recommendations, 9 you could get rid of that. And then if we could spell 10 incarcerated. 11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do you want to put the date --12 oh, the dates already on the motion. 13 CHAIR YEE: Maybe the date for the recommendation. 14 That would be good. 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah, I would put after 16 that, Alvaro, after at the end of recommendations before 17 the period just the recommendations dated July 7th, 2000. 18 That way, at least everyone's on the same page. 19 you. 20 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good. COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Oh, did I make the motion 21 22 or did you make the motion, Commissioner Sinay? I think 2.3 it's Commissioner Sinay. 24 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Do we have a second? 25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'll second.

1 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Discussion, and we'll open up for 2 public comment in a minute. 3 Commissioner Kennedy? COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I don't see really any 4 5 utility in pursuing a different set of recommendations for reaching out to incarcerated populations, federal 6 7 facilities. So I would say let's do this for all 8 incarcerated populations. 9 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 10 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Commissioners Sinay and I have not made contact on the federal side of it. 11 don't know what their process is. And also we don't know 12 13 how open and supportive they will be unless you're aware 14 of that, Commissioner Kennedy. Yeah. 15 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Kennedy? 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: But since this is a 17 conceptual approach that we are now handing off to staff 18 to implement, it seems to me that staff will be able to 19 take this conceptual approach and apply it as and when 2.0 possible, to all incarcerated populations. 21 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So if we just end it with 2.3 two all incarcerated people in California, something like 24 that, Mr. Kennedy. Right after the date. Okay. 25 Alvaro, did you get that? In California.

1	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?
2	COMMISSIONER SINAY: The only thing that's different
3	with the federal those who are incarcerated in federal
4	facilities we may have, it's a different message
5	because they're not necessarily being counted in their
6	last home address.
7	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner?
8	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I was thinking I like
9	the language in theory so that it will apply where it
LO	can. And my thought would be where it says motion to
L1	adopt for staff to implement the incarcerated people
L2	and I'm wondering if it's federal, state and local
L3	facility recommendations dated July 7th to all
L 4	incarcerated people in California.
L 5	CHAIR YEE: I understand the intent, but the handout
L 6	is not does not include federal. So you were
L 7	identifying the specific handout for today, which is only
L 8	for state and local facilities and then apply that to all
L 9	incarcerated persons.
20	COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay.
21	CHAIR YEE: If we continue can continue to take
22	comments on this. But let's go ahead and take public
23	comment.
24	Katy, can we take public comment on the motion on

the floor concerning incarcerated persons -- outreach to

1 | incarcerated persons?

2.3

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.

The Commission will now take public comment on the motion on the floor for incarcerated persons. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247 and enter the meeting ID number of 98322642969 for this meeting. Once you have in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue.

The full call-in instructions have been read previously and are provided on the live stream landing page. And I'd like to remind those who have called in previously to press please press star 9 to raise your hand indicating you wish to give comment about the motion that is on the floor. We do have one raised hand.

Caller 9538, if you will please follow the prompts to unmute at this time. Go ahead. The floor is yours.

MS. BEST: Good afternoon, Commissioners. My name is Ianna Best (phonetic), and I'm calling on behalf of the California Black Census and Redistricting Hub. As always, we want to thank you for your hard work. And we especially want to thank you for your thoughtfulness in engaging incarcerated population as the State of California continues to intentionally move away from mass incarceration.

We also thank you for incorporating much of the feedback you've gotten from the public around this issue.



1 In that spirit, we just had a few questions for the Commission on your strategy. One, in the second 3 recommendation, the strategy document says that you would 4 invest in CBOs engaging incarcerated populations. 5 We were wondering if you can give more detail on what the investment will look like. Would that consist 6 7 of grants to CDOs or some other form of investment that 8 the investment is in the form of grants? What would the 9 process and timing be for those groups? 10 And two, we wanted to ask if there is -- there could 11 be transparency around accessing submissions that come to 12 you from correctional facilities. In particular, it 13 would be great to have, A, a regular report out on how 14 many paper tools are submitted. B, if possible, reports 15 on how many of them are from these correctional 16 facilities. And C, if possible, flagging these 17 submissions in some way on the Airtable so that the 18 public can see which submissions come from correctional facilities in other forms. 19 2.0 Again, we thank you for your hard work and 21 dedication to --22 MR. MANOFF: Thirty seconds. 2.3 MS. BEST: -- an open and transparent process.

thank you for taking our comment.

Thank you.

CHAIR YEE:

24

Subcommittee, any response to any of those points?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The way I saw investment
was time investment in terms of us reaching out and
investing our time and our energies with them. We did
not, and correct me if I'm wrong, Commissioner Sinay, we
did not anticipate grants and any of you who
COMMISSIONER SINAY: We're not going down that road
again.
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Any of you that have
been following us, we cannot grant out funds. And then
the second part was
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Also we wanted to we were
hoping to share this also with some of the facilities who
they do have funding for groups and just as a way to
encourage them to invest in these groups to do this work.
CHAIR YEE: Okay.
Commissioner Fernandez?
COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. The second part
that she requested was if there's anywhere to if
there's some way for us to track them and to know which
ones come from a facility. I honestly don't know if we
would be able to track that other than looking at the
address, the postmarks, where they're postmarked from.
Yeah, I'm not sure if we can do that or if we want to do
that honortly

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay and then Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was just going to say, if anything, we would want to -- we might want to give an aggregate number. But I think as a Commission, we need to discuss the whole idea of confidentiality. And anyone who participates can participate anonymously. And we would want to allow that opportunity to these individuals as well.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: I think it would be something that would be beneficial to see the fruit of the efforts to reach out to incarcerated populations anonymity can be gained by simply putting some ID on the paper product that is the same number that says it came -- it won't say who it came from, et cetera.

But I think that there is a way to provide what's requested and a way then therefore for this Commission to show that our outreach efforts were beneficial to the incarcerated populations and we did yield a result in our efforts.

CHAIR YEE: Okay. The motion is still on the floor. Let's see, Commissioner Sinay, are you satisfied with the wording as it was read out?

Okay. Commissioner Turner?



1 COMMISSIONER TURNER: And I just lost -- I had that the document up until just now. But I'm wondering on the piece that speaks to investment, I'm wondering for those 3 4 that still have it up, another quick lead or read, is it 5 misleading in what our intent was as opposed to what is stated and if that needs to be adjusted? 6 7 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Akutagawa? VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I would agree with 9 Commissioner Turner. I think clarity of the word in this 10 particular case is going to be really important. And 11 given the rather long process of which we went through in 12 terms of the contracts and grants, I think I would 13 suggest also -- or I would look at what Commissioner 14 Turner just said. I think if the intention was investing 15 in in the form of some kind of time, I think that should 16 be more specific rather than implying that there's going 17 to be money. 18 CHAIR YEE: So on the handout this is item 19 recommendation 2, first bullet point. First sub bullet 20 point, invest in community-based organizations who have 21 deep roots, established trust and in-depth understanding 22 of the incarcerated population and so forth. 2.3 Commissioner Sinay? 24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: It's kind of redundant, but it

can be partnership with trust messengers. And then the

1 next one we connect with community-based organizations. And so it's much broader in that regard. 3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Connect with instead of invest 4 in. 5 Commissioner Akutagawa? VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Would another alternative 6 7 be -- and I don't know if this is correct or not, 8 Commissioner Sinay. What about work with? Or partner 9 with? 10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, partner infers money 11 again, so we don't want to go with -- it already says 12 partnerships up above. 13 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. And connect can be 15 ambiguous enough that staff can figure out what works 16 best for them. So I'm trying to leave it flexible to the 17 reality. 18 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Sounds good. 19 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner? 2.0 COMMISSIONER TURNER: And again, I want not to just 21 prolong this, but I'm wanting to be clear with what that 22 partner work invest -- I'm curious as to what exactly 23 that means and entail, because there is a thought, a 24 prevalent thought concern that's expressed about the 25 value that will receive from CBOs and how much we're

willing to take of what they share.

2.0

So in our partnering, working with them, what does that action look like? And when we do that, do we trust the information that comes from them? What is what is the ask in this partnership?

Because what we said earlier, too, is that perhaps there were different institutions that could -- that have money for the investment. That part makes sense. But what do we see ourselves doing in whatever we're going to term this interaction?

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, this is where our conversations with Initiate Justice came in. And in talking to others is they all have different ways that they're reaching out within the incarcerated -- with incarcerated people, their families, and formerly incarcerated. And it included things like -- I think Initiate Justice is one of the one of the few that has a newsletter that goes into the facilities.

What they have asked is almost the same thing that statewide -- the groups that we have talked to -- statewide partnerships have asked for. Give us the information, give us your newsletter, and we'll cut and paste and put it in our newsletter. Give us the PSA. So everything in here is the material that they have asked

1 to be created so that they can get it out for us. Alicia, I mean, sorry, Commissioner Fernandez, 3 anything you wanted to add to that? 4 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner? 5 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you for that response. So I guess my last question would be, is the partnership 6 7 working with Initiate Justice or with is it with all CBOs? 8 9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: The way there is no official 10 partnership if you know that we're using partnerships in 11 the loose sense of the word. And we could -- there are 12 partnerships within the facilities. 13 So some of the facilities have partnerships with 14 certain nonprofits and they have said we will help you 15 get the message to those organizations that they have 16 partnerships with -- that in other cases, it can be 17 whichever organization who wants to help get the word 18 out. 19 We're not being we're being inclusive, not 20 exclusive. We're leaving it again for the staff, as are 21 working with facilities to identify who are the right --22 what is the right approach at each facility because each 2.3 one has different partnerships and different programs. 24 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?



I believe Commissioner

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:

1 Sinay and I covered it. But yes, like, for example, when we spoke with the California Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, he mentioned that not only do they 3 have internal -- I don't want to say CBOs, but internal, 4 5 what would you call it -- there max. And I can't think of what they're called, but 6 7 they're incarcerated people run committees. So that's one advocate and then they also work with external 8 advocates for incarcerated people. And he had a list of -- he said he has a list of different CBOs that they 10 work with. And so they would work. He would work with 11 12 them to get the information out to them as well. 13 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's take a look at the motion 14 once more and have that displayed. Let's see. After the 15 date, July 7th, 2021, I think we want to insert "as 16 amended". So that's substituting "connect" with "for 17 partner with" -- or "invest in" -- I'm sorry -- "as 18 amended" comma. 19 Okay. How does that look, Commissioners Fernandez 20 and Sinay? 21 Okay. Any last discussion? If not, let's go to a 22 vote. 23 MR. HERNANDEZ: Chair, we'll begin the vote. 24 Commissioner Ahmad?

Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD:

- 1 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Akutagawa?
 2 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 3 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen?
- 4 Commissioner Fernandez?
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNADNEZ: Yes.
- 6 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari?
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- 8 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy?
- 9 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
- 10 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Le Mons?
- 11 Commissioner Sadhwani?
- 12 Commissioner Sinay?
- 13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 14 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Taylor?
- 15 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.
- 16 MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Toledo?
- 17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 18 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Turner?
- 19 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes.
- 20 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Vazquez?
- 21 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.
- MR. HERNANDEZ: And Commissioner Yee?
- 23 CHAIR YEE: Yes.
- MR. HERNADNEZ: The motion passes.
- 25 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. Thank you, subcommittee.

1	And thank you, everyone.
2	Commissioners Sinay?
3	COMMISSIONER SINAY: We believe that our
4	subcommittee can now sunset.
5	CHAIR YEE: Does everyone share that belief? Might
6	there be a follow-up when I'm just thinking staff will
7	be implementing this, but there would not be any further
8	direction needed from the subcommittee.
9	Commissioner Fernandez?
LO	COMMISSIONER SINAY: We can give it to Outreach and
L1	Engagement.
L2	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right. It's handed off to
L3	them. And if there's any issues or updates, they would
L 4	provide it would bring it forward to the full
L 5	Commission.
L 6	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Any objections? Okay. We will
L 7	sunset the Incarcerated Populations State and Local
L 8	Facilities Subcommittee.
L 9	Moving on, item 4-N Incarcerated Populations Federal
20	Facilities, Commissioners Kennedy and Turner.
21	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: One thing that remains
22	outstanding is I had anticipated receiving a response
23	from Senator Padilla's office or at least some update on
24	whether or not he was able to bring our concerns to the

attention of the Judiciary Committee of the Senate. So I

- am going to be following up with folks that I was in

 contact with and try to make sure that any feedback from

 his office is brought to the attention of the full

 Commission. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Anything else?

- 6 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Turner?
- 7 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Keep your mike hot, Commissioner 8 Kennedy and we'll move on to Lessons Learned.
 - COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Ahmad and I have been in contact and we are coming up on the first -- well, we've already passed the first anniversary of the random draw. We're coming up on the first anniversary of the first meeting of the first date, as well as the selection of the final six.

And it occurs to us that this might be a good time to pause and reflect a bit before we move into the next phases of our work. So we are working on some discussion questions and are looking at possibly putting this on the agenda for either the short meeting on the 5th of August, or the full meeting on the 10th of August.

As in the if there's nothing else, the short meeting on the fifth says only if needed. If it's not needed for anything else, I think it might be useful to use that period for a Lessons Learned session at the one-year mark. Otherwise, we could leave that session for

- 1 anything that's more urgent and go on the agenda for the 2 meeting on the 10th of August.
- 3 CHAIR YEE: Very good. Just get that on the agenda
- 4 builder document and Commissioner Akutagawa will be chair
- 5 at that time so. Okay, moving on. Item P, IT
- 6 Recruitment; that has been sunsetted.
- Q, Line Drawing Subcommittee, Commissioners Anderson and Sadhwani.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Is Commissioner Andersen --
- 10 no. Okay. I'm sorry. Just got back in the meeting, so.
- 11 CHAIR YEE: Line Drawing Subcommittee.
- 12 | COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Line Drawing Subcommittee.
- 13 | So we continue to meet regularly with the line drawers.
- 14 We have a standing meeting with them and I don't have
- 15 anything more to report at this point in time, but when
- 16 Commissioner Andersen comes back, she might be more on
- 17 | top of it than I am at this moment in time. My apologies
- 18 to all. Or if Alvaro or Anthony can recall any of the
- 19 pending issues with that conversation.
- 20 CHAIR YEE: I believe there was an upcoming
- 21 presentation of some kind. Was that true? Or did they
- 22 | already have that?
- 23 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Last time we did just take a
- 24 look at what is being developed for the pin map. We have
- 25 attempted to agendize time for the line drawers to come

1	in and present the COIs that we're receiving through the
2	COI tool. However, my understanding is until Airtable is
3	set up, that can't happen. So I believe we've agendized
4	that, but I'm not sure if that's going to still happen.
5	I think that's where we're at. But Alvaro, I saw had
6	something to contribute there, too.
7	MR. HERNADNEZ: Yeah, I also wanted to yes, thank
8	you. I'm sorry. I also wanted to share that the line
9	drawer and the Subcommittee will be discussing timeline
10	as well to figure out how much time they will need for
11	the line drawing sessions and start looking at that the
12	schedule for those as we move forward.
13	So that is upcoming. I know many of you are anxious
14	for that as well, so I wanted to share that they are
15	going to be working on that and discussing that further.
16	CHAIR YEE: Okay. That's the last of the
17	subcommittees.
18	Commissioner Siany?
19	COMMISSIONER SINAY: So this kind of goes with the
20	other question I asked earlier for VRA, but at what point
21	are we going to have a conversation about the census data
22	where some of the weaknesses may be and also some of the
23	changes in population that we already know of since 2020?
24	That's been asked a lot when we've done the meetings

like -- so because of the fires in the far north, there's

been a lot of population changes. There was a report. I

can't remember if I sent it out to Fredy -- for all

commissioners or not. That's already kind of showing

kind of where the changes are. I'm not advocating one

way or the other, but the question is out there regarding

the census.

2.0

2.3

And if the census data isn't accurate or whatnot -first, a conversation about the accuracy of the census
data, and we've talked about it a little bit in the past
with the Director of the California Census. And then
what other data can we use to check the accuracy,
especially around housing, college campuses, the fire
areas, military. There's certain populations that are
known to be undercounted. And I have that list
somewhere. I need to find it.

CHAIR YEE: Let's see, we did have the PPIC report some time ago. That gives us some initial look at population shifts. So that's available already in terms of double checking -- we're obligated by statute to use the database -- that statewide database provides us. Right.

We cannot adjust that further. So I don't know -- I don't know what latitude we have. Even if we were able to secure additional analysis or information or data, we cannot construct a further database on our own to use.

1	COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I still feel that that
2	maybe it's just an agenda in the future. It's a
3	discussion of the data, what are its strengths, what are
4	its weaknesses and public conversation about this?
5	Because people have, at least for me, it seems to come up
6	every other presentation I do.
7	CHAIR YEE: I'm wondering which subcommittee might
8	be able to keep an eye on that, since the census data
9	actually come out.
10	Commissioner Toledo?
11	COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: I would worry about us I
12	mean, certainly we I think there's a conversation about
13	the data. But there's potential litigation risk if we
14	are that is associated if we're if we don't with
15	the data. And so we may want to have some of that
16	connected to legal affairs.
17	And we'll be working with our litigation counsel to
18	come up with our strategy and to ensure that we have
19	appropriate framework, litigation framework, because I
20	think the data is one source of potential litigation.
21	It's one of the many, many areas where there might be
22	limitations on so.
23	So I'm just thinking maybe Legal Affairs might be a
24	good place to at least have the conversation with or
25	VRA could be the other have the conversation with

1	Strumwasser about potential risk and how we approach this
2	issue and what data sources to use and that sort of thing
3	but maybe starting the conversation there given the
4	potential exposure.
5	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Great concern. We keep that in
6	mind as we wait for the census data to drop.
7	Okay. Anything else on any of the subcommittee
8	reports before we take public comment on item 4.
9	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I just see that Jane is back
10	and we had just finished the Line Drawer Subcommittee
11	meeting. If she had anything additional to add.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Not knowing exactly what was
13	said, I'm sure you did a good job.
14	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's go to public comment on
15	agenda item number 4 subcommittee updates.
16	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.
17	The Commission will now take public comment on
18	agenda item number 4, subcommittee updates. To give
19	comment, please call 877-853-5247. Enter the meeting ID
20	number 98322642969. Once you have called in, please
21	press star 9 to enter the comment queue.
22	The full call-in instructions have been read
23	previously and are provided on the livestream landing
24	page. And we do have a caller with their hand raised.
25	Caller with the last four 5882, if you will please

1 follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star 6. Go The floor is yours. Go ahead. The floor is ahead. 3 yours. Caller 5882, go ahead. The floor is yours. 4 Please. Can you hear me now? 5 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, we can. 6 S3: Okay. Thank you very much. I've been 7 following your conversations today. Thank you, as 8 always, for your work. I didn't catch, however, when it might be possible to get access to the Airtable. 10 seemed there was some conversation maybe I missed about 11 it not being up and running yet for public consumption. 12 But I know that I think it was Marcy Kaplan 13 mentioned that there were 639 submissions in the COI 14 tool, and I had understood that those were going to be 15 dumped into the Airtable perhaps so that the public could 16 also see what was being submitted. And so I'm hoping 17 that someone can tell me what's going on. Perhaps I 18 missed that conversation today. 19 And I also missed -- and perhaps you didn't have 20 this conversation, a short reflection on the seven COIs 21 testimony so far it had seemed to me that they were 22 poorly attended or they didn't have as much participation 23 as perhaps you would want. And so I had wondered if 24 there was reflections that I had missed on that today.

25

Thank you very much.

1 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. We are up against a required 2 break. We have anymore callers? PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: I would like to ask 3 4 those -- we do have one more caller, Chair. 5 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's go ahead with that. PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Caller 2829, if you could 6 7 please follow the prompts to unmute yourself by pressing 8 star 6. Go ahead. The floor is yours. 9 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Hello. This is Renee Westa-Lusk. 10 And I just wanted to comment on the part of the 11 subcommittee meeting. Commissioner Kennedy was referring 12 to, I believe, some handouts that were posted either this 13 meeting or the last meeting. 14 One of them had to do with -- there's certain things 15 that you are required to take from the public input 16 hearings and the handout is entitled, "I want to keep my 17 current representatives. They're doing a good job for my 18 community". But it says community of interests in the 19 first paragraph shall not include relationships with 20 political parties, incumbents, or political candidates. 21 I think it would be a good idea to state that at the 22 beginning of the meeting to remind people whenever you're 23 having the public input meeting so they don't start 24 referring directly to their elected officials or party 25 candidates, et cetera, or their incumbents.

```
1
         Because I remember the first statewide COI meeting
    you had, there were at least four people that referred to
    elected officials, and some of them were -- what can I
 3
    say -- somewhat derogatory, if not directly derogatory.
 4
 5
    And I don't think that is the type of testimony that
    should be --
 6
 7
                      Thirty seconds.
         MR. MANOFF:
         MS. WESTA; LUSK: -- excepted for the COI public
 9
    input meetings.
                     Thank you.
10
         CHAIR YEE:
                    Thank you. Let's see. I see we have
11
    another caller.
12
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We do.
13
         CHAIR YEE: Can we squeeze that in? If we can,
14
    let's go ahead and take that.
15
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Thank you so much.
         CHAIR YEE: Yeah.
16
17
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Caller 3723, if you will
18
    please follow the prompts to unmute by pressing star 6 to
19
    unmute yourself. Caller 3723, if you could press star 6
20
    to unmute yourself, please. Go ahead. The floor is
21
    yours.
22
         MS. HOWARD: Thank you. Sorry for that. My phone
23
    apparently wasn't paying attention to me hitting star 6.
    This is Deborah Howard with the California Senior
24
25
    Advocates League. Thank you for taking the call right
```

before your break. I have a question. I believe this morning it was reported that the person that you were hiring for IT didn't check out through their references and so you were going back to interviewing.

2.3

- But then when Commissioner Turner reported out on

 IT, she reported that you had -- you were no longer

 working with USDR but you had somebody on staff that was

 taking over these responsibilities. And there wasn't a

 conflict, an overlap of responsibilities.
- So I apologize if I misunderstood that conversation, but I'm hoping that you would provide some clarity on that. And not to truly, this is a sincere I can't wait for the Airtable to be up and running I know like you and a lot of other people, so we can see the community of interest testimony you've been collecting. So that's my comment and my question. I appreciate the response.

 Thanks so much.

CHAIR YEE: Thank you, Ms. Howard. Why don't we go ahead and go to break? And if our callers can wait till after the break, we'll have various responses to these calls, questions about the COI table, questions about this hiring -- recent hiring, and about COI input meetings. Okay. Let's go ahead and go to break. Come back at 3:36.

(Whereupon, a recess was held)



1 CHAIR YEE: Welcome back to our what we hope to be our final session for today ending no later than 4:30. Before the break, we had some questions from public 3 4 comment. I'm wondering if various ones of you 5 commissioners and staff might be able to respond to those questions regarding the Airtable, our community of 6 7 interest input meetings, and then the IT hiring. I know some of those were mentioned before, but for 9 the caller's sakes, just to reiterate some of that 10 information. Maybe we can work backwards. The IT hiring 11 question, I didn't entirely follow it, but perhaps 12 someone did. 13 Commissioner Akutagawa? 14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think what she was 15 asking and it could have gotten confusing. I think 16 Commissioner Fornaciari talked about having to look -- or not having to -- they are looking at another applicant 17 18 that they got for I believe it was the data manager, I 19 believe this morning that you're talking about. 2.0 And then separately, what I heard Commissioner 21 Turner talk about is related to the Airtable and the 22 consultant that we're using. The data manager and the

I think there may have been some confusion that it

consultant are two different -- completely different

23

24

25

people.

1	might have been one or the same, but USDR, we no longer
2	are working with them. So there's no conflict of
3	interest in the in the consultant that we are working
4	with. All I got, his name is Phil.
5	CHAIR YEE: Director Hernandez?
6	MR. HERNANDEZ: Just going to follow up. And
7	Commissioner Akutagawa handled that question perfectly,
8	but I was going to address the Airtable question as well
9	I mentioned it earlier that we are working on the
10	contract and that's really where we are with things.
11	So once we get the contract, we can move forward
12	with our consultant that Commissioner Akutagawa was
13	referencing to implement the Airtable to transfer the
14	information from the COI tool.
15	CHAIR YEE: Okay. And then the question about I
16	think, the question about reflection on the COI meetings
17	thus far. Just to say, we certainly did note differing
18	amounts of attendance and interest in the various
19	meetings and what felt like too much scheduled time in
20	some of the meetings. And we definitely are adjusting
21	the length of meetings, the time of day to try to make
22	for a better use of time for everyone, so. As well as
23	continuing our outreach efforts full steam ahead.
24	Okay. Any other responses to any of the callers?

Now, let's move on to agenda item 5, Committee

Updates. Let's see, I believe Line Drawer has already shared their update, and is not -- doesn't have a presentation at this time, after all. So there'll be no report there.

Legal Affairs, only to say we have the VRA counsel coming next meeting, the 13th, using further training as well as to continue pursuing the timeline discussion as we discussed earlier. Which leaves Public Input Design Committee. And I believe this morning is open the topic of the COI meeting schedule going into August and said we would complete that discussion in this agenda item.

So Public Input Design Committee, take us away with that and any other items you have.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Yeah. Why don't we go ahead and start with that? I was going to give a sort of a summary recap of our meeting yesterday, but I think in the interest of ensuring we get to what we need to get to, let's start with that topic.

So as Director Hernandez mentioned, there's a handout with proposed meeting dates. And I believe what Director Hernandez is looking for is some discussion on this, if there's any feedback and then a vote, a motion and a vote to accept these meeting dates so they can go ahead and begin to schedule the meetings.

So I'll just tell you the process. Director

1 Hernandez and I had a meeting to talk about the schedule. We talked about moving a few of the dates around to make the travel make a little more sense since we'll be doing 3 4 these -- the intent is to do these in person with a 5 virtual and in-person. But so there will be a travel component for the Commissioners. 6 7 And I believe he met with at least one or two other Commissioners to get some feedback on the on the 8 9 schedule. But this is an opportunity for the rest of the 10 Commission to take a look and to provide input. And then 11 the idea would be to begin to book the venues. 12 talked this morning about the myriad of logistical 13 challenges of setting up these meetings. 14 So I don't know, Director Hernandez, do you have 15 anything that you want to add there? 16 ME. HERNANDEZ: No, I think you covered it. 17 CHAIR YEE: So this is the handout listed for item 18 3-A when it was first mentioned, although we are now in 19 item 5-A. So three-page handout gives the schedule for 20 August and September for proposed outreach meetings. Any 21 discussion? 22 Commissioner Fernandez? 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. And I just noticed 24 this now. I was in the meeting last night and I didn't

even realize it. This is a scheduling nightmare.

guess I'm going to just put it out there like that. And
the only, I guess, little bit of concern that I have is
for the month of August, which we plan to do the in
person. There's only one weekend date. There's only

2.0

Saturday.

And the only reason that's a little concerning to me is if we want people to actually come. It's one thing to attend when it's virtual. You can just log on and attend whenever. But if you want people to actually show up, you might have better response on a weekend. I'm not sure.

There might be less people working on those days, but other than that, thank you for the schedule. It works well. It seems to flow better in terms of -- from one venue to the next when you're not going -- doing a lot of back-and-forth stuff. And I'm a person that once I'm out traveling, let's get all the traveling done, and then I can come home and rest. So thank you.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I do have to ask the question and I will say, I will admit this is a little selfish.

The August 5th meeting, I noticed that there was a time change and I particularly noticed it because I am supposed to chair it. But I do have a conflict at that time change. And I was just curious as to why it got

```
1
    changed to that time from the previous time.
 2
         CHAIR YEE: Director Hernandez?
         MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah. So if you look at the night
 3
 4
    before in Zone F, which would be -- Zone F --
 5
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Central Valley.
         MR. HERNANDEZ: -- is the Central Valley: Fresno,
 6
 7
    Kern, Kings, Madera, Merced, San Joaquin, Stanislaus and
 8
    Tulare. Anywhere that you would travel from, you would
 9
    be traveling that night to set up a meeting or to go to
10
    the next meeting. And the meeting was scheduled four to
11
    eight. So there was a big gap the following day.
12
         That's part of the reason that we moved it ten to
13
    two thinking that the Commissioners would be at that
14
    location. Let's say, for example, it was in Fresno that
15
    the Commissioners would have the Commission meeting in
16
    Fresno and rather than waiting until 4 p.m. to start the
17
    meeting that we would have the meeting the following
18
   morning earlier than later. that was the thought process
19
    on that one.
2.0
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah.
                                       I think this is the
21
    challenge of going from being virtual to this hybrid in-
22
    person.
2.3
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And I'm your vice chair,
24
    so I could -- I can --
25
         VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA:
                                Yeah.
                                       I mean, I'm
```

- 1 completely -- I mean, I had already another thing 2 scheduled that was based on the time that we had previously agreed upon. That's the only reason why I'm 3 4 asking normally wouldn't even be an issue. So in this 5 case, Commissioner Fornaciari, you will be chairing that meeting -- the entire meeting. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And it's an if needed. VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: So I it sounds like it's 9 going to be needed. 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Needed or not. 11 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: It sounds like it's going to be if needed, it will be needed. 12 13 CHAIR YEE: Note that the four meetings after Labor 14 Day are the as -- are the as necessary ones. 15 especially useful for picking up languages that we didn't 16 cover elsewhere. 17 Commissioner Turner? 18 Chair, my apologies. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: 19 have to step out for just a second. I'll be right back 20 in a minute or two. Sorry about that. 21 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 22 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I wanted to go back to
- 25 CHAIR YEE: Um-hum.

meetings.

23

24

that. That was on the August 4th and August 5th

1 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Okay. And August 5th -- oh, okay. You're just the regular chair anyway. I was thinking August 5th Commissioner Akutagawa was based on 3 4 your area, but it's because you're scheduled to be chair 5 anyway. I see. CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Akutagawa, then Sinay? 6 7 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I am curious as to how many people are going to be actually going in person. 8 9 mean, we know that Commissioner Vazquez has already 10 stated that she will not be able to go in person, but is 11 everybody planning to travel? 12 COMMISSIONER TURNER: 13 CHAIR YEE: Yeah. 14 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Then I quess my question 15 would be, for those who are traveling, if you're in the 16 nearby area, are you going to be driving home that same 17 evening or are you going to stay? 18 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez? 19 COMMSSIONER FERNANDEZ: Are you asking for that 20 specific set for the --21 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Well, given what Director 22 Hernandez said that he was thinking that 4 o'clock would 23 be too long to wait, but if people are within a short 24 driving distance, my assumption would have been that they 25 would have driven home anyways.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Personally, I would probably spend the night on 8/4 because I wouldn't drive back. I think wherever I go, it's -- probably at least and again, the meeting on the fourth is until 8 o'clock and that we could actually go beyond that the 8 o'clock, right, if we have a lot of people.

I would definitely spend a night and then just go to the meeting the next -- and personally. I would prefer to

2.3

the meeting the next -- and personally, I would prefer to just spend the night and then attend the meeting and then drive home versus spend the night drive home and then attend a meeting that evening. For me that would be very draining, but whatever everyone wants to do -- everyone else wants to do will be fine with me.

CHAIR YEE: And a lot of these zones are very big. So it may depend on exactly where the meeting is.

Commissioner Sinay, then Turner.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: On a positive note, I'm really excited about looking at August. And I'm very excited to spend two days in the far north. I mean, I think that's going to be really exciting since we've got our zone meeting on the 9th and then the full CRC meeting the next day. That's pretty exciting.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah, I was just going to say when the question was asked earlier, it really will

depend on where the meetings are. There's about a sixhour span in the Central Valley end-to-end. And I am
not -- I would not be an advocate for just making all the
meetings in Fresno. So at some point they're going to
have to be on one end or the other.

And so I'm really curious to do the work with the

staff to determine where these meetings are going to be held and whether or not I would then go home to my place in Stockton would really depend on whether it's Bakersfield, Fresno, in which direction we're going.

So much of it is still not mapped out depending on where the meetings are being held and how much driving is required to get to the next one. I think it's still all up in the air.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: To answer that question, I will say I've been in this predicament before. And as a mother of children, I -- and I think a lot of parents are in the same boat, would love a night away. And get all the work and everything that needs to be away.

And I think sometimes we forget there's been times that people have said things that were not so nice to folks who do have those responsibilities of family and kids and such. And so I think a lot of us are being really flexible, but there are times that we would

1 rather -- I'm not speaking for you, Commissioner Sadhwani, or Marcy, or Derek, but --3 CHAIR YEE: I remain inspired by the 2010 Commission 4 and the unbelievable travel schedule they pulled off all 5 together. Okay. Are we going to look for a motion for this 6 7 schedule, Director Hernandez? MR. HERNANDEZ: Thank you. I wanted to just reiterate that this -- the schedule is, again, a proposed schedule. We can look at it -- the details. But in 10 11 order for us to secure a facility, we have to have a 12 date. So we have to have that before we can figure out 13 what location we will be going to and then figuring out 14 the time frame for travel and so forth. I did some just rough estimates as far as the -- if 15 16 it takes over an hour and a half from a location, more 17 than likely we're going to stay in that location because 18 most of the meetings are ending at eight. So you're 19 looking at driving -- the meeting could actually end 20 8:30, possibly even carry over till 9. Now you're 21 looking at drive time. 22 And from a safety perspective, I try not to travel

or you know, drive that late at night, especially when you've been listening to public input for a few hours.

Don't want to put the commission at jeopardy in any way,

23

24

shape, or form. So that's a consideration that I wanted to share with you in mapping this out.

2.0

2.3

Also, again, once we have the specific time frames, we'll figure out the locations. And Fresno is only one option in the Central Valley you have Stanislaus as well and several other counties that we would be looking into.

I mentioned it yesterday in the Public Input Design meeting that there's a lot of considerations. This is only one of the many considerations. One of the other considerations is that we have to make sure that wherever we go has adequate Wi-Fi so that we can set up our equipment and livestream from those facilities.

So that also creates some limitations on where we can go and what places are available to us. So I just wanted to share that with you all as well as we go through and maybe look at this —the actual travel time frames where we're going. And I am looking for some nice locations wherever we go to make sure that you enjoy your stay.

CHAIR YEE: Let's see. Director Kaplan, is that a hand? No?

Director Hernandez, are you seeking our motion on this schedule?

MR. HERNANDEZ: I am seeking a motion to approve the schedule. Locations to be determined.



1 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Commissioners Fernandez, then Turner? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I was going to make a 3 4 motion. But if Commissioner Turner still wants to 5 discuss, then we can continue to discuss. COMMISSIONER TURNER: I was going to make a motion. 6 7 You can make the motion, I'll second it. 8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: There you go. I'll second 9 it. 10 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Motion is made by Commissioner Turner, seconded by Commissioner Fernandez. 11 12 is to approve the proposed COI input meeting scheduled 13 for August and September. It was actually the COI input 14 meetings as well as the business meetings. 15 Okay. Commissioner Kennedy. 16 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. If we're going to 17 include the business meetings in the discussion, I just 18 want to highlight that we do now have the recall 19 elections scheduled for September 14th, so we may want to 20 consider dropping that day for our full CRC meeting. 21 We're shifting it so that instead of Tuesday, Wednesday, 22 it goes Wednesday, Thursday. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Thank you very much. 25 CHAIR YEE: Director Hernandez, does that sound easy

1 to switch the 14th to the 16th, perhaps? MR. HERNANDEZ: Yeah, that won't be a problem. 3 CHAIR YEE: Okay. So Commissioners Fernandez and Turner as amended? 4 5 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yes. CHAIR YEE: Any further discussion? You read my 6 7 mind, Katy. Let's go ahead and take public comment on the motion on the floor concerning the August and 8 9 September commission schedule. 10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. 11 The Commission will now take public comment on the 12 motion on the floor for the August-September meeting 13 schedule. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247. 14 Enter the meeting I.D. number 98322642969 for this 15 meeting. Once you have dialed in, please press star 9 to 16 enter the comment queue. The full call-in instructions 17 are read previously and are provided on the live stream 18 landing page. 19 There is no one in the queue at this time, Chair. 2.0 CHAIR YEE: Thank you. 21 By the way, I went over rather quickly over 5-B and 22 5-C. Were there any other items for either of those a 23 Legal Affairs Committee or the Line Drawing Subcommittee? 24 I don't think so. Yeah. Okay. In that case, can we 25 also take public comment as well for item 5?

1 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: We will also be taking 2 general public comment for item 5. And we do have a caller has is caller 9066. And they do have their hand 3 4 raised. 5 All right. And I would also like to remind those calling in to please press star 9 to raise your hand 6 7 indicating you wish to comment right. Up right now, caller 9066, if you will please follow the prompts to 8 9 unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours. 10 MS. MUNGARRO: Hi. My name is Gabby Mungarro. And I am the communications manager for the Long Beach 11 12 Convention and Visitors Bureau. I came to speak today in 13 strong support of keeping Long Beach together in Congress 14 and State Districts. Keeping Long Beach within its 15 current lines will ensure our interests are advocated for 16 in a way that accurately reflects our community. 17 The hospitality and conventions industry is the 18 second largest employer in the city. It is key for the 19 businesses and residents employed by the industry to 20 maintain their collective voice. I ask the Commission to 21 keep Long Beach together when drawing the lines. 22 you. 2.3 CHAIR YEE: Thank you.

be caller 2829. If you will please follow the prompts to

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And our next caller will

24

- 1 unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours.
- 2 MS. WESTA-LUSK: Yes. This is Renee Westa-Lusk. I
- 3 noticed on the revised schedule you have August 29th left
- 4 blank. And in the past you had that designated as Region
- 5 F COI input meeting. Is that being deleted or is it
- 6 being rescheduled?
- 7 CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?
- 8 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, I believe that one was
- 9 moved to August 25th. Is that correct, Director
- 10 Hernandez?
- 11 MR. HERNANDEZ: That is correct.
- 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because it was Zone F?
- 13 | PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. Thank you so
- 14 much.
- 15 COMMISSIONER TURNER: Commissioner Fernandez, August
- 16 | 25th is Zone I; is that not right?
- 17 | CHAIR YEE: Zone F on the current schedule.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER TURNER: Oh, it's an update on the
- 19 calendar. Okay.
- 20 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Should I go to the next
- 21 | caller, Chair?
- 22 CHAIR YEE: Yes, please.
- 23 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Okay.
- Caller 9089, if you would please follow the prompts
- 25 to unmute. Go ahead. The floor is yours.



```
1
        MS. ZEPEDA: Hi. Good afternoon. My name is Paula
    Zepeda. I am the community relations manager for the
    Long Beach Convention and Visitors Bureau. I would like
 3
 4
    to voice my support for keeping Long Beach together in
 5
    Congress and in State Districts. Doing so preserves the
    integrity of our community and ensures that our major
 6
 7
    industries are represented with unity.
         Long Beach Hospitality and tourism industry
    generates more than $1 billion in economic impact to the
10
    city annually, ensuring that this industry and other
11
    major sectors are represented as if one community is key
12
    to their success. I ask the Commission to keep on
13
    together when drawing the lines for the benefit of its
14
    working residents and business community. Thank you very
15
   much.
                     Thank you.
16
         CHAIR YEE:
17
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And with that, Chair,
18
    that is all of our public comment at this time.
19
         CHAIR YEE: Okay. I want you to hang on, Katy,
20
    because I'm seeing that we're maybe at the end of our
21
    agenda today. There is no closed session, so we're not
22
    addressing item 6. Item 7 --
2.3
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Russell -- sorry.
    Commissioner Yee?
24
```

CHAIR YEE: Yes.

1 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I just thought I saw a 2 couple more things --3 CHAIR YEE: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- To finish up. 5 CHAIR YEE: Very good. Let's continue taking calls on the motion, then. 6 7 Commissioner Fernandez? I'm sorry, Katy, I got that all mixed up. 8 9 more callers in the queue? 10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Correct, Chair. No more 11 callers in the queue. 12 CHAIR YEE: Okay. Any further discussion? 13 Approving the schedule as amended. Okay. Let's go to 14 the vote. 15 MR. HERNANDEZ: Okay. The motion is to approve the 16 August-September Commission meeting schedule as amended. 17 We will begin the vote. 18 Commissioner Ahmad? 19 Commissioner Akutagawa? 2.0 VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yes. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Andersen? 21 22 Commissioner Fernandez? 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari? 24 25 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.

1 MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy? 2 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Le Mons? 3 Commissioner Sinay? 4 5 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Sadhwani? 6 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Taylor? 8 9 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: MR. HERNANDEZ: Commissioner Toledo? 10 11 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes. 12 MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Turner? 13 COMMISSIONR TURNER: Yes. 14 MR. HERNADNEZ: Commissioner Vazquez? 15 Commissioner Yee? 16 CHAIR YEE: Yes. 17 MR. HERNADNEZ: And the motion passes. 18 Thank you, everyone. CHAIR YEE: Thank you, 19 Director Hernandez. And thank you, staff. 20 Moving forward with this very exciting prospect of in-person meetings -- hybrid meetings. 21 22 Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari, further items for 2.3 the IGC. 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. So we did a couple 25 of things at the meeting yesterday. The first thing we

did is kind of talk about how the Zone meetings provide feedback to the staffs. There was a number of pieces of feedback, mostly just technical potential changes for them to make and consider.

2.3

But and we one of the things we encouraged the staff to do that the communication staff has to consider really focusing like fourteen, ten, seven, five, two days ahead of time in with social media and press releases -- what they can do now and in. And then also a thank you posting after the meeting. And just a reminder for folks to provide other ways to provide input.

So we talked a bit about social media and how to make social media most effective. We talked about this a little earlier today. But so sharing that if the commissioners share or like or comment on the posts that they put out, it's much more effective and I guess especially sharing with groups.

And so part of the conversation we had is that, you know, for those of us who weren't super deeply familiar with how to do all that stuff on social media that she would be willing to help tutor us. And apparently, it's significantly more impactful on social media if the commissioners do it and engage their network.

So we want to make sure we all heard that and can engage with Cecilia if we need to. We also heard

yesterday that there are a couple of new videos that are -- being completed that will be included in the -- that the videos that we show during our downtime, if we have downtime in future meetings.

The topic again came up to as to whether or not we wanted to have the zone leads give a little spiel about the zones and a description of the zones. There were sort of mixed feelings on that. So we decided not to bring that forward as a recommendation.

But there was, I think, pretty uniform support for the idea of having the Commissioners engage in discussions about their experiences in the zones. I think all the Commissioners had fun sharing in those who didn't share, had fun listening. And so we could -- we would encourage the commission to continue that practice. And there were some suggestions that we could talk about food, books, and movies. So something for the next chair to think about.

Then the other big thing we talked about was there are those four dates in September that we had set -- that we had penciled in for potentially having group input meetings. So we talked a lot about that. Those dates are the -- September 8th, 9th, 10th, and 11th. And lots of discussion, lots of pros and cons. We all, of course, definitely want to ensure that we're getting as much

public input from all Californians.

2.3

Although it wasn't unanimous, the consensus from the committee was to not have public input meetings, and some of the reasons for that was how do you identify and define what a group is? How do you weigh group input versus individual input? There are a number of ways for individuals and groups to provide input, know they can join in to our regular meetings.

For some organizations are speakers are special interest groups. So we recommend that we keep those four dates open. And as we get a little closer to those four dates that we kind of look at where we're at with input from various regions and sort of identify how we might want to use those meetings to either focus more deeply in given areas or maybe move back and use them as statewide input.

And another option that we talked about today was use those -- have opportunities to provide users meetings for some of the language access that we talked about earlier, too. So that's kind of where we're at. But a couple of public comments -- only two public comments during the whole meeting.

And before the meeting started, we had a comment from Peter Cannon that I'll share and just kind of summarizing these if I don't get it right, I'll have my

fellow	con	nmissioners	chin	ne in	.]	here.	. B	ut	so	Peter	Cannor
had thr	ree	comments.	His	firs	t	one	was	wł	nat	crite	ria
 would w	<i>1</i> e. 1	ise to defir	ne ai	^OllDS	?						

The 2011 Commission hosted group presentations to present maps, not COI input and something to the effect that it had -- it has been said that the groups may be delaying the process, which makes sense because they would want to have the last word. That was his comment.

And then James Woodson called in with three questions for the Commission to consider. Is the Commission making it easy for all communities to participate in the process? What are the barriers to providing input, including internet and language access? And consider the role of CBOs and uplifting input from communities that are left out.

And so I wanted to share what we talked about in -with the Commission, but I think I think we need to make
a decision here on whether or not we're going to set
those meetings aside for specific for group public input
or if we're going to keep those meetings open to use them
as I mentioned earlier.

So that was our discussion. So I'll open it up to my colleagues to clarify or if I didn't quite catch everything.

CHAIR YEE: On the subject of group presentations, I



know the Commission did have at least a couple of 1 meetings to do that. I wonder if we could do more 3 research into how that went, what the reasoning was 4 behind it, and whether they would do it again. 5 Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner Turner? COMMISSIONER SINAY: The group presentations last 6 7 time were for the line drawing aspect of it. It wasn't for the community of interest input. 8 9 CHAIR YEE: Um-hum. 10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And so that's kind of the unity mapping and those things that we've talked about before. 11 12 So I just wanted to clarify that. 13 CHAIR YEE: Right. 14 Commissioner Turner? 15 COMMISSIOENR TURNER: The three meetings, the 8th, 16 9th, and 10th that we have currently, I'm still wanting 17 to hear from commissioners what would be the harm in 18 having an understanding that CBOs may call in even with 19 community of interest that they've gathered. 2.0 We want to hear from Californians. We do want to 21 hear the various voices. We're relying on CBOs for a lot 22 of other information that we need. And if indeed they 23 are the trusted messengers that are able to have a 24 certain segment of our community participate, we know 25 that people that are typically underserved are not going

to show up at our meetings or cannot show up at our meetings and speak.

2.0

2.3

And so they are trusted partners. They're used to seeing them in the communities, CBOs, and may be more inclined to share their communities of interest after feeling more comfortable, lots of hands-on meetings, the process explained, et cetera.

And so with the intentionality that we're putting into a lot of the other language access need for a lot of the other piece parts that we're trying to be so careful about, I'd like for us to also consider being careful about this noted, as you will, that it's a community, perhaps CBOs, that are providing the input. But I don't think there's harm in hearing from it.

And we have the days that are set aside and I'd really like to see what is offered. And even if they can get additional input and testimony to us -- public input to us so that will have a broader base of individuals that are responding.

CHAIR YEE: So as it stands now, I mean, a CBO representatives could also -- could always call in, write in, and so like any individual. But what we're thinking at these meetings, they would probably be given more time, more than three minutes, right, to present at more length. I think that's the idea. Yeah.

Okay. Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah, I do have a question on that. And I think it was what was raised by the caller yesterday is about -- it's a question of, I think, fairness. Do they get more time versus everybody else who may have lots of things to say representing various community organizations themselves?

And I think -- I guess the question I have is are we giving one person -- let's just say -- I'm making this up. So I just say let's give them fifteen minutes versus, you know, just an individual saying, I'm calling on behalf of a homeowners' association. They get the requisite three that everybody else is doing.

I'm bringing this up mostly because I'm wondering if there's other ways in which we can still be fair, but also still allow a group to be heard within the confines of the policies and the systems that we've set up for public input.

So for example, I think we had a caller particularly I think it was so it was from Orange County. They asked if -- would it be possible for their group not to do a group presentation like what we're I think considering right now.

But if individual members of the group could present to the Commission as part of their public input time, but to be able to do so in a row so that they could then have a more cohesive presentation, but it would be again within the confines of the rules that we've set up, which is each person getting three, then the next person getting up from their group, giving their testimony, but building upon what the previous person did.

Is that an option? Is that something that would make sense? And if we're going to do this, it would at least then be known to everybody so that any group that wanted to do so could also request a time, so that then it's both transparent, but it's also fair to all of California. I'm just thinking about that as an option, another alternative option.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. So right now we keep hearing that it may pick up and it will get busier and we certainly hoping that it is, but it's not that now. And so why would we not just allow that group and any other group that wants to participate a block of time, be it group presentations for thirty minutes, an hour, whatever it is we set.

And then in that manner, whoever the group is that would want to call in. And the I guess criteria would be that you are representing others and you're not telling us one hour about your neighborhood. So it must be

pretty special for an hour to talk about it. But either way, though, that's the criteria set that it is a group presentation and that we're hearing.

And if indeed we're inundated with those, then all of a sudden we get more testimony about COIs than we ever thought possible. We can always pivot based on the time element. But right now we're in a place where it's pretty much a desert. We have lots of time to hear however people are going to call in.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'll be honest, I go back and forth on all of this. I am one -- right now, I think we need to be more flexible than we have been. I feel like we have tried to create policies and we're worried about when we get crowded everything from the three-minute rule to one person at a time.

And so I do agree with what is being said that if a group is helping facilitate filling up time slots and it's going to be individuals filling up those slots, why not? If the community is being creative and helping, let's work with them.

The other thing -- and I sent the email to you all, but we know that there's a group in San Diego who's doing a big survey right now, and they're going to present to us. And they didn't say, you can call in yourself. They

just said, here is a survey. And the question that I had was, well, do they know they only have three minutes to speak? What's going to happen? How much are they going to be able to share from the information they collected?

And so I think different groups are doing different types of outreach, and we're just going to have to be open and learn as we go. And then maybe we follow up with the groups afterwards, staff does, say what worked or what didn't work. But I just -- I agree. We are right now. We got the time, so let's use it. We're paying for it in many different ways. So let's just hear and learn.

CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Turner, then Fernandez?

COMMISSIONER TURNER: In the added piece I was saying is, is that because we have the time or that I forgot to say because we have the time. I also would prefer not making them choreograph how they have people come and speak and one behind the other and all of that. To me, that's still us making them do too much.

If you're gathering it, I think we should land on a time period for groups that they can call in and do their group presentation, and they have lots of voices stepping up to the mic in that time. Or if they're representing and the people are standing there or however they choose to do it, we would still hear from those voices. I don't

want to put processes that makes it difficult for the community to provide us what we need.

CHAIR YEE: And we need to have some kind of way

CHAIR YEE: And we need to have some kind of way of defining what a community-based organization is because it could be any group.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah.

CHAIR YEE: It could be the Democratic Party of California. It could be the Petroleum Institute of California. It could be whatever.

Commissioner Turner?

And watching the clock, we have about two more minutes.

COMMISSIONER TURNER: Yeah. You want their C-3.

You want their -- how do you want them to identify
themselves? I mean, they certainly can be identified so
that it's just not any group of people. People can be
identified different ways. I guess it would depend on
what does this Commission want to feel comfortable that
they are a CBO attempting to report what they've gathered
from the community.

CHAIR YEE: Right, because we would be granting access to an organization that general public doesn't have, right, so in terms of additional time to share. So having some way of defining how you qualify for that access seems to me to be important.



Commissioner Akutagawa?

VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I think this is going to be an important conversation. I mean, I'm just kind of thinking about it in two ways. One, I mean, I guess for me, fairness is very important. And on the one hand, do we say that an organization that is a bona fide 501 C-3, which is a nonprofit organization that is a charitable organization, and there's all different other kinds of nonprofit codes, as the IRS would give it to them.

But what happens when somebody -- there is an organization that is not a recognized nonprofit by the IRS, because there are a lot of, quote unquote, community-based organizations that are all volunteer run. They may or may not have a tax site tax kind of designation as a not-for-profit organization.

And I'm thinking about in a number of especially smaller, diverse communities, and I think particularly you're going to see them in Asian, Middle Eastern, the Hispanic Latinx, and probably some of the black immigrant communities. There's probably very grass roots volunteer run organizations that will not have that, but will be those trusted messengers, maybe willing to at least representative of their group, be able to come and speak on something.

And then there's also the whole other gamut. You



got homeowners' associations. You have chambers and 1 others that may also feel like, hey, if you're going to accept group testimony, then they should also be given 3 4 that same equal time as well, too. And I'll just be 5 honest, an hour seems a little long for one group to present if we have multiple groups that will want to 6 7 present. CHAIR YEE: Okay. I'm wondering, since we're 9 running out of time today, I'm wondering if the PIDC 10 might want to do some more research on this and come up 11 with a proposal to move forward. 12 Commissioner Fornaciari? 13 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I don't think we 14 15

need to make this decision today, and it's definitely an important decision that needs some thought and discussion. And I would kind of offer I think this is better to have in the full Commission because we'll have this conversation for three hours in the PID and then we'll just rehash the conversation again in the full Commission meeting. So if we if we choose to have it here and have it the words and come to some conclusion, I think that would be the most effective use of time.

23 CHAIR YEE: Okay.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I'll add that to the -I'll make sure that's teed up for our next meeting.

1	CHAIR YEE: Okay. Very good. Okay. So that is the
2	end of item 5. We are not covering item 6. Anything
3	further on item 7 in terms of future meeting dates or
4	agenda items as we just mentioned?
5	Commissioner Andersen?
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Given the things that are
7	going to be discussed in this next meeting, this next
8	phase is only four hours. Can we do something about
9	that?
10	CHAIR YEE: I believe not since it's already been
11	announced.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It can be extended
13	afterwards until all business is done. It starts at four
14	and goes to
15	CHAIR YEE: Midnight. Yeah. Well, that's up to
16	staff whether they can cover us for that. I would say it
17	is becoming a very full meeting. Yeah.
18	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I would recommend we all
19	consider that possibility.
20	CHAIR YEE: Commissioner Fernandez?
21	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And if we're going to
22	consider it, it has to be more formal because we have to
23	ensure that we have ASL, and we have the video, we have
24	everything else, because right now they're just planned
25	from 4 to 8 block.

1	CHAIR YEE: The only potential would be to go later.
2	We cannot go earlier? Okay. I will inquire.
3	Okay. Anything else on any items before we go to
4	final public comment?
5	Commissioner Akutagawa?
6	VICE CHAIR AKUTAGAWA: I just have a question
7	because I'm not sure. We had I think two people call in
8	essentially giving us communities of interest testimony.
9	Given that we don't have line drawers here, I'm just
10	curious as to how that will be then captured and passed
11	on?
12	CHAIR YEE: Staff? Anyone? Director Hernandez? So
13	the general public comment when community of interest
14	testimony happens to be given, how is that being
15	captured?
16	MR. HERNADNEZ: I'm sorry. Can you repeat the
17	question? I was thinking something else.
18	CHAIR YEE: Sure. When we take general public
19	comment and a caller happens to call in with community of
20	interest input, how is that being captured?
21	MR. HERNANDEZ: Yes. So we will have our
22	administrative assistant collect that information, put it
23	in as a public input separate from our public comment
24	or part of our public comment because it was given during
25	the commission meeting. But we'll also ensure that it's

- part of the public input folder that we have that will be entering into our database when we have that database available.
 - CHAIR YEE: Okay. Let's go ahead and take public comment on item 5 once again. Sorry. And item 7, public comments for items not on the agenda.
- 7 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair.

2.3

- The Commission will now take public comment on item

 5 and item 7. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247.

 Please enter the meeting ID number 98322642969 for this

 meeting. Once you have dialed in, please press star 9 to

 enter the comment queue. The full call-in instructions

 have been read previously and are provided on the

 livestream landing page.
 - At this time, Chair, we do not have anyone in the queue.
 - CHAIR YEE: Wait just a minute. So we have our Zone J, Orange County Community of Interest Input meeting tomorrow at 10 to 6. Saturday, Zone K, Imperial and San Diego Counties, 10 to 6. Monday, Zone F, Central Valley, 10 to 6. And then our next business meeting next Tuesday the 13th, 4 to 8 or later possibly.
 - PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Our instructions are complete, Chair. And we do not have anyone in the queue at this time.

1	CHAIR YEE: Okay. And of course, besides those
2	meetings, as always, we encourage the public to submit
3	their community of interest testimony through our COI
4	tool at drawmycacommunity.org or email or snail mail or
5	phone call.
6	Okay. Is there any other business? If not with two
7	minutes to spare, this meeting is adjourned.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

19 JENNIFER BARTON, CDLT-247

February 7, 2023