STATE OF CALIFORNIA CALIFORNIA STATE AUDITOR'S OFFICE (CSA)

In the matter of:

2020 CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC) FIRST EIGHT COMMISSIONERS PUBLIC MEETING

621 Capitol Mall, 10th Floor Sacramento, California 95814

TUESDAY, AUGUST 4, 2020 9:31 A.M.

Reported by: Peter Petty

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS:

Jane Anderson, Temporary Chair

Trena Turner, Temporary Vice Chair (Remote attendance)

Isra Ahmad (Remote attendance)

Neal Fornaciari

J. Ray Kennedy (Remote attendance)

Antonio Le Mons (Remote attendance)

Sara Sadhwani (Remote attendance)

Derric H. Taylor (Remote attendance)

STAFF:

Amanda Saxton, Counsel

Shauna Pellman, Secretary (Remote attendance)

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Raul Perez

Alejandra Ponce De Leon, Redistricting Alliance

David Sander, Resident of Rancho Cordova, California

Jim Glover, Resident of Eureka, California

Kimberly Coles, Professor

Rob Quan, Unrig LA

Byrd Lochtie, Northern California Resident

INDEX

4

INDEX (CONT.)

PAGE

Introduction of Temporary 2020 Commission Staff. -
Litigation Update (Informational Only).

- 7. Litigation Update (Informational Only).
 Marian M. Johnston, Retired Annuitant and
 2010 Citizens Redistricting Commission Counsel,
 will update the First Eight Commissioners on
- --
- 8. Public Comment on items not on the agenda.

Legislature v. Padilla, S262530.

Recess

6.

176

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

PROCEEDINGS

9:31 a.m.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Secretary, please call roll.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Andersen?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Here.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Here.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Here.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Present.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Present.

MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Turner?

20 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Here.

21 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful. Oh, just for the

22 public who's also coming in, at the time we have two

23 Commissioners in the room in Sacramento, with our counsel,

24 myself, and Commissioner Fornaciari, as well as the IT

25 people. And our remaining six, six of our first eight

Commissioners are on the Zoom call.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

So, if Commissioner Fornaciari and myself look side to side, it's because the screen that we see everyone else on is to our right.

Now, with that I'd like to move to Item Number 2 on the agenda.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair? Just to note we have a quorum.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yes, I'm sorry. Yes, we 10 have a quorum.

11 And so, Number 2 on the agenda is approval of the 12 minutes. And so, now, I'm going to turn to counsel.

13 MS. SAXTON: We just need a motion to approve the 14 minutes.

15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

16 CHAIR ANDERSEN: The Chair recognizes

17 Commissioner Kennedy.

> COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. There is an error. Under the attendance on July 23rd, I'm listed as participating by Zoom and Commissioner Turner is listed as participating in person. Those are backwards.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: So noted. Can we amend the 23 minutes?

24 That change will be made. MS. SAXTON:

25 Thank you. Any other CHAIR ANDERSEN:

corrections to the minutes? Seeing no corrections, the minutes are approved. Actually --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Well, we need a motion, right.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, sorry. Sorry. Seeing that there are no other corrections, do I have a motion to approve the minutes?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: I move that motion.

MS. SAXTON: As amended.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: As amended

CHAIR ANDERSEN: As amended.

MS. SAXTON: As amended.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: I move that the minutes are accepted as amended.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Seconded.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. We have a motion by Commissioner Turner and seconded by Commissioner --

MS. PELLMAN: I'm sorry, who seconded?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Commissioner Le Mons.

MS. PELLMAN: Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Having the approval of the minutes, we shall move on to Item Number 3 on the agenda, of the announcements, which will go to -- I'm again looking to counsel.

MS. SAXTON: You need to vote for the approval of

1	the minutes' motion.
2	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Sorry, yeah.
3	MS. SAXTON: It's okay.
4	CHAIR ANDERSEN: You'll have to bear with me I
5	lost my brother over the weekend.
6	MS. SAXTON: Would you like the secretary to call
7	the roll for the approval of the minutes, Chair?
8	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please. Thank you.
9	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Ahmad?
10	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Aye.
11	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Andersen?
12	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Aye.
13	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Fornaciari?
14	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Aye.
15	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Kennedy?
16	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Aye.
17	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Le Mons?
18	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Aye.
19	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Sadhwani?
20	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Aye.
21	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Taylor?
22	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
23	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Turner?
24	VICE CHAIR TURNER: Aye.
25	CHAIR ANDERSEN: The ayes have it. So, the

minutes are approved.

2.2.

MS. SAXTON: As amended, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: As amended. I'm sorry, as amended.

So, moving on to Item Number 3 on the agenda, time for announcements.

MS. SAXTON: I do not believe there are any general announcements at this time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Seeing no indication from any of the other Commissioners, we'll move on to Item Number 4 on the agenda, which is report of counsel. I'll turn it over to Ms. Saxton.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you. Good morning and welcome to the second meeting of the first eight Commissioners for the 2020 Citizens Redistricting Commission. Now, that you've received the required training in your first meeting, the purpose of this meeting is for you to select the final six Commissioners for the Citizens Redistricting Commission. At this time, this is your sole duty. And as you're aware, the first eight have no authority to take any other action regarding the redistricting process until you've adopted the final slate of six Commissioners.

By law, selection must be completed on or before Saturday, August 15, 2020. We've set your agenda to provide you with the maximum amount of flexibility to use

this time. If you find you must use the entire amount of time for selection, you have the next 12 days. Or, if you're able to complete the selection process in a much shorter period of time, you may do so.

You may have noticed Agenda Item Number 6, the introduction to temporary Commission staff. And we're happy to announce that we've made arrangements to introduce you to two of your temporary 2020 Commission staff members during this meeting, including Raul Villanueva, who will serve as your temporary administrator, and Marian Johnston who will serve as temporary counsel until the Commission's able to hire permanent staff and counsel of its choosing.

Mr. Villanueva and Ms. Johnston are both retired annuitants with the state who worked with the 2010 Commission and are preparing to provide you with brief introductions and information relating to your transition to a full operation. They're available to participate on Friday, August 7th.

Breaks. Breaks during the meetings, as you know, must be taken every 90 minutes for the benefit of our interpreters and others. So, please be mindful of their need for regular breaks and know that we will remind you as needed. This puts our first required 90-minute break at 11:00 o'clock. Additional breaks and time to stand down may of course be taken at the pleasure of the first eight

Commissioners.

Public comment. As you know, the Bagley-Keene
Open Meeting Act requires that the public be given an
opportunity to comment before or during the first eight
Commissioners' discussion or consideration of an agenda
item. You may, of course, invite additional discretionary
public comment as deemed appropriate during the selection
proceedings.

You may want to consider in advance whether there are any specific points in the selection process when you will regularly want to take discretionary public comment. For instance, when certain milestones are reached when building a slate of six. If there are, I can help remind when it's time to take that break so we can ensure consistency.

In addition to any specific public comment periods the first eight may wish to establish, if it seems appropriate I will interject to ask if the first eight might like to take public comment. Unless public comment's required, which I will so state, my query is intended simply as a suggestion for consideration.

Prior to taking public comment I'll read the instructions for those members of the public who wish to participate. I note that the two-minute time limit for each speaker is in place based on the instructions for the

public comment period during the last meeting. Unless the first eight Commissioners instruct otherwise, again the two-minute time limit continues in place. Time starts after the speaker states and spells his or her name. And as you know, and for the public, the speaker does not have to provide their real name.

As a courtesy for the speaker, the Chair and the first eight Commissioners, staff will provide a time check when 15 seconds remain on the speaker's allotted time. And staff will announce time when two minutes are up.

So, I'm going to move to the legal criteria for selection. And since you've all received training on the legal criteria that applies to the selection of the next six Commissioners under the Voters FIRST Act, and the implementing regulations, I will just briefly go over it again here.

Selection of the final six Commissioners requires you to balance three considerations. First, selections are to be made to ensure that the final full Commission reflects the state's diversity. Diversity is defined in the law as racial, ethnic, geographic, economic, and gender characteristics of the population of California.

However, what the law does not intend is for the first eight to apply formulas or specific ratios in order to achieve this. So, for instance, it would be improper to

design or modify a slate of six applicants for consideration by using formulas or specific ratios. Again, it's an emphasis on balance.

Building slates. During the meeting our staff will provide the administrative support necessary for you to build, track and vote on the slates of six. These tasks must be done accurately and completely. There is little to no room for error when recording the names on that slate.

On top of this, most of you are appearing remotely. And despite the amazing technology we have, it's not the same as if you were here in person.

Because of this and for those listening and watching throughout the state, and for each other I ask that each of you speak slowly, and clearly, and try to avoid speaking over the top of each other.

You may also find that even if you name or number your slates for convenience, motions or the names of those applicants that comprise a specific slate may be read or restated multiple times. This redundancy is by design for the purpose of accuracy.

Lastly, if I interrupt while one of the first eight Commissioners is speaking, asking for clarification, please know it is not out of rudeness, but rather necessity.

Before I conclude let's go over building and

approving slates again, although I bet most of you could recite this from memory at this point. The final six Commissioners must be selected as one group of six or slate. To recap from your training, a slate's comprised of two Democrats, two Republicans and two affiliated with neither Democrats nor Republicans.

Any Commissioner may propose a slate.

Commissioners may build a slate cooperatively for a proposal by one. Multiple slates may be considered, but only one slate per Commissioner may be considered at a given time. A slate may only be modified by the Commissioner who proposed it.

The first slate approved by five votes is the one adopted. That vote must be comprised of at least two Democrats, two Republicans, and one Commissioner not affiliated with either.

Remember, again, at no point in your selection process may you use formulas or specific ratios. Your focus in selecting your colleagues should be on creating a diverse panel of Commissioners who possess the requisite ability to be impartial, relevant analytic skills, and represent California's rich diversity.

Oh, one last thing. Late yesterday afternoon we received a Commissioner request asking that we provide a list of the first eight Commissioners and the remaining 27

applicants sorted by region, and then county north to south. I have asked staff to create that document, that we'll provide the information. Pulling the date from our records and sorting it as requested should be fairly straight forward. But before it can be disseminated to you and put up on the website, I will need to review it and it has to be formatted for posting. And we're all working at the meeting today. So, I anticipate that information should be ready for you tomorrow.

And that concludes my report of counsel. Does anyone have any questions before we move to Agenda Item 5, which is discussion, deliberation and action to select the final six members of the Commission. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Seeing no comment, at this time since that is an agenda item do we want to invite public comment?

MS. SAXTON: At the pleasure of the Chair and Commissioners.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioners?

All right, I make a motion that we request public comment at this time.

22 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Second.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, Secretary, could you call

24 the vote?

MS. PELLMAN: Yes. Commissioner Ahmad?

1	COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
2	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Andersen?
3	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Aye.
4	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Fornaciari?
5	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Aye.
6	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Kennedy?
7	COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Aye.
8	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Le Mons?
9	COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Aye.
10	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Sadhwani?
11	COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Aye.
12	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Taylor?
13	COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Aye.
14	MS. PELLMAN: Commissioner Turner?
15	VICE CHAIR TURNER: Aye.
16	CHAIR ANDERSEN: The ayes have it. I believe
17	we'll request public comment at this time.
18	MS. SAXTON: Chairman, can you repeat the motion
19	that was on the floor that was just voted upon, please?
20	CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm terribly sorry.
21	MS. SAXTON: Yeah.
22	CHAIR ANDERSEN: The motion was shall we request
23	public comment at this time, of Item Number 4, report of
24	counsel.
25	MS. SAXTON: And the motion carried.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: The motion carried.

MS. SAXTON: Okay. At this time, then I will read the instructions for those members of the public who wish to provide public comment on the report of counsel.

Agenda Item 4, report of counsel, please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment. And again that number is 844-291-5495. After dialing that number you will speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting. After providing this information, the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note that you're not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided.

Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator, you will be placed in a listening room. In this room you will be able

to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speaker phone and speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about this item, please press 1 0 and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment. After joining the queue to make comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue. When it's your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist, then state your comment clearly and concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you've finished making your comment we will move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone.

If you would like to comment on another agenda item or during another public comment period at a later time, please call back when we open for public comment on that item and repeat this process.

If you are disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then

repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0.

These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

AT&T Operator, do we have any public comment at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: If you would like to make a public comment at this time, we do remind you that you will want to press 1, then 0 on your phone.

And we do have a public comment in queue. It comes from the line of Raul Perez. Please go ahead.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Hello, are you there?

AT&T OPERATOR: It appears that that comment is no longer in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you.

MS. SAXTON: Chair, we may want to stand down for two minutes or so.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

21

22

MS. SAXTON: As we've done in the past to allow callers time to navigate the process and enter the listening room, et cetera.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Yeah, we'd like to recess.

The reason I sort of brought this up is to get our public comments in the whole process. I'd like to give the public

time to understand that before we move into Item 5, which is of course, we'll get more comments on.

So, at this time we'll recess for two minutes.

(Off the record at 9:50 a.m.)

(On the record at 9:52 a.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, is that correct? Not quite. We could go ahead with the public comment at this time, AT&T Operator.

AT&T OPERATOR: Thank you. We'll go back to the line of Raul Perez. Your line is open. You may share your comment.

MR. PEREZ: Thank you. Good morning

Commissioners and congratulations on being selected as

Commissioners in a rigorous process.

Although I appreciate that the initial eight Commissioners were randomly selected, it is still disappointing and disconcerting that not a single Latino or Latina was selected in the initial phase. Every ethnic group appears to be well represented --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Excuse me.

MR. PEREZ: -- reflecting the diversity of the state, but not a single Latino --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Mr. Perez, we really appreciate that comment. However, right now the public comment is only about the report of counsel. You may certainly call

back in when we move to our next agenda item, which is a discussion of adding the next six. And you can certainly call back at that time. However, right now --

MR. PEREZ: Okay, sorry, I misunderstood.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, that's quite all right.

Thank you very much. We appreciate your comments. And just to make the meeting move forward, right now we're only taking public comment on the report of counsel. So, thank you.

AT&T Operator --

AT&T OPERATOR: Thank you. And we have no further comments in queue. Please continue, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. As there are no other additional comments, I'd like to move ahead with Item Number 5 on the agenda. Discussion, deliberation and action to select the final six members of the Commission, which is legal guidance from counsel regarding the selection of the final six Commissioners.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Counsel.

MS. SAXTON: At any time I am ready to provide that advice. I think, however, that that's intended simply to be one of many options you have at this time. It's not necessarily needing to be sequential.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah, okay.

MS. SAXTON: Sorry if that wasn't clear.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, thank you very much. In which case, do I have a motion from the Commissioners on a -- since it's sort of Item A, B -- actually, Counsel, am I clear on Items A through E then are to be organized at the Commission's discretion?

MS. SAXTON: Yes, Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. With that in mind, I'm looking for a motion from one of the Commissioners in terms of how we should proceed next, under which particular item, or if we'd like to rearrange that.

MS. SAXTON: Chair? If you would of course like to go forward with a motion, you may. But I believe at this time if you'd like to just open up --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: For discussion?

MS. SAXTON: -- discussion, that that would be a fine thing to do.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Wonderful, thank you. I wasn't sure if the formal -- informal rules would allow that.

So, at that point, yes, it is discussion and deliberation. So, it's certainly open for any discussion.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Madam Chair? Commissioner

23 Ahmad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah, Commissioner Ahmad, the Chair recognizes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I was looking at the list of A through E. I would suggest or recommend that we, as a group, start by discussing how we want to present the slates, either individually or one person present one slate and we work collaboratively off of that one slate. So, I'd just like to open that up for discussion amongst the group to see how we would want to start the process.

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

Any other comments, ideas? Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. And good morning to everyone. I'm glad to see us all here again today and I look forward to our discussions today. I'm sure they will be very productive.

I agree with Commissioner Ahmad and I wanted to just point out I liked Item 6, discussion and deliberation regarding the qualities that the first eight Commissioners are seeking in the final six.

I think that might be kind of a helpful place, a helpful starting point. If it's possible, if we feel comfortable to just share a little bit, and hear a little bit from everyone about, obviously, over the last couple of weeks we've had the time to review all of the applicants remaining in the pool. I know from my process I was, you know, very glad to see everyone in the pool has a number of phenomenal qualities that I think that they could bring,

you know, bring to the Commission.

But it might be helpful just to spend a little bit of time, before we start throwing out names, just to hear a little bit from each person about what their thought process was, what kinds of potentially skills, or diversity considerations, you know, are kind of rising to the top for each of the Commissioners. And I don't know if others, you know, agree with that or not, but for me I think it would be helpful to hear a little bit about what people's thought process has been over the last couple of weeks. Because, of course, we haven't been in any communication. So, that might be a helpful starting point, at least from my perspective.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you,

Commissioner Sadhwani. That is certainly -- in my mind

that would also be a very good starting point in terms of

Items 5. You know, they've sort of listed, kind of

summarizing what we sort of need to do. And I would

certainly think, in my mind I would agree with that Item C

being kind of first and foremost.

But other Commissioners? Would we like to discuss other items in terms of how we'd like to proceed?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: The Chair recognizes

25 Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just more of a technical question at this point. If and when we have something to share with the other Commissioners, and counsel, and the public is that entirely -- is that done entirely verbally or does the staff want us to send an email to them with what we plan to present verbally, so that we have it in both formats?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Just a clarification question on that one, Commissioner Kennedy, does that mean -- are you actually talking about like a proposed slate or are you talking about everything you would be talking about?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yeah, in terms of a slate.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh. That's an excellent question. I'm thinking of visually, since we're sort of not all in the same room, are you thinking if counsel gets that, they could maybe put it up on the screen or something or --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: That is my question, yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, that's a very good question. Discussion on that one or particularly --

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, one thing about that that intuitively it seems as though putting that up on the screen would be helpful. We've done some testing. We've had some complaints. People are having a very hard time seeing and viewing that from the public on.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. SAXTON: And so, I would not recommend that if at all possible because of those reasons. It hasn't proven to be as useful as one would think.

Additionally, any written materials that staff should receive that are intended to be disseminated to the first eight Commissioners there is going to be a somewhat not insignificant time lag between when those come in, when they can be disseminated. They have to be prepared for posting on the website to share with the public.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. SAXTON: It may be, for instance, if something came in this morning it may not be ready to really be utilized in the fashion intended until noon.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

MS. SAXTON: So, I think that, and I know people are visual learners, obviously most folks are. But to the extent that you can have discussions and we can record that information for you, and have it ready to read back. For instance, if you have a slate that you want to propose and you say it's got this applicant, this applicant, et cetera, we'll have that. We can remind people who those applicants were, et cetera.

I am, of course, working right now in a vacuum of what exactly we're talking about in terms of what would

people intend to be disseminated. But those are some caveats. I think it's probably best for folks to build their slates by naming the applicants they would like on those slates name by name, and we will capture them and give that slate the name either that the Commissioners want, or some other protocol to name the slates, and we will have those for you to refer to by name, when you want to call upon us.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So, with that caveat,
Commissioner Kennedy, I believe that says, you know, if we
would like as a group, say potentially as we get closer to
really, actually trying to go between, say, one particular
slate or another particular slate, and say we'd maybe
possibly want to consider an overnight think about it, then
we could possibly do something like that. Would that allow
us time for the public to also consider?

MS. SAXTON: I believe so. And I think that this is going to be somewhat of an organic process. If we have two slates in play that's one thing. If all of the sudden there are multiple plates in -- slates in play, excuse me, it's perfectly fine to slow down, discuss amongst yourselves is this unwieldy? Is there a way to do this that would be better?

I, myself am a planner. But I think that this might be, since everyone's doing this for the first time,

one of those times where it's important, I would submit, for each Commissioner to interject when they need something to make the process easier.

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. Yes, I don't see -- would anyone -- any other comments about procedures here or that we'd like to sort of nail down at this particular time or do we want to --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. The Chair recognizes Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Just for clarification, I believe I heard earlier that we can only have one slate under consideration at a time. And now, I'm hearing that we might have two being considered at the same time. So, I just want to make sure we're all clear on that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, yes, I do have a clarification. Is that being considered or actually just being voted on? I know we can only be actually -- I believe, is there a discrepancy between, sort of like the game of Clue, is there a difference between I'm proposing a slate versus I'm discussing a slate? That's sort of a technical legal question here, which I think gets to the heart of our matter.

MS. SAXTON: Just one moment, if I might.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

2.2.

MS. SAXTON: Under the law, the first eight may consider multiple slates at a time, but only one slate per Commissioner can be considered at a time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

MS. SAXTON: Does that answer the question?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: It does, thank you.

MS. SAXTON: Of course.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. That does bring up one concern I have, which I think Commissioner Kennedy certainly spoke exactly on, I am a little concerned if it's five votes and your slate is that's it, that we do be careful about not proposing a slate unless we fully intend that that will basically, could indeed be it. That would be there's no more discussion, or only about that one.

Because if we vote a little early, we could risk, you know, oh, we've got six people, and not two where a little more deliberation we could have come up with a consensus.

So, I'd like that if we sort of try to stay to discussion purposes. But that's a concern I have, which I'm certainly wondering if other Commissioners would like to discuss that. I believe that would be under Item D. So, I'm sort of looking in my mind here, just getting A, B, C and D. I might, since we're not sort of -- I'd like to get us discussing a bit. Should we do maybe, say Item C,

then B, and then D? Or, any additional comments?

Commissioner Kennedy -- ah, Commissioner
Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. No, I think that's a good approach to go. I like the suggestion about all of us sharing, you know, going around and sharing our thoughts about the process and what we're looking for.

I think one of the pieces for me that's missing is a deeper understanding of the skill sets that we have in the group right now. And I haven't -- specifically haven't put a slate together, yet, because I lack that information that would help inform me building a slate.

You know, I went through everybody's -- all of our applications and, you know, made some notes about what I thought everyone was bringing to the table. But, you know, it's just brief, and not deep, and I'd personally like to see us go a little bit deeper sharing what each of us thinks we're bringing to the table.

And in addition to that what are the sets of skills that we need as a Commission as a whole, so we can identify maybe where the gaps are.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's certainly -- other comments from the Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: This is Commissioner Le Mons.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Chair recognizes Commissioner Le Mons.

interested in any discussions about our process and how we want to move forward. I think that we are working with the same information that the previous assessment group had that was all provided to us. And I think that rather than spending a lot of time trying -- because even if we go into what our -- what we're bringing to the table, we don't have any more information on the final 27, than the same information that's had on us.

So, I personally don't see how we're going to really be able to distinguish that beyond what we have available to us. I personally reviewed all my fellow Commissioners' packets, as well as the remaining 27, and have that informed, you know, my thinking.

I think our charge is to achieve the diversity piece. That's really it in my mind. And so, we have some pretty straight forward framework in how to do that and I think if we can get on a process page that allows us to go down that process together, collaboratively, I think we could get to -- get this task handled.

So, I personally don't want to depart off into a lot of discussion about the things that I think has already been made available to us. So, that's just my thought

about it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons. Other Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Taylor?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yeah, I sort of agree with Commissioner Le Mons. I think this is a matter of process and we need to agree about what process we want to go about selecting our slate and final six.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy.

also believe that at this point, given the thorough vetting that all 35 candidates went through, you know, people are qualified. We have an amazing talent pool to draw from. I think the diversity really is the most important issue before us at this point. No matter how well balanced the skills are if in the end people do not see the Commission as reflecting the diversity of the state, we're going to have problems. So, you know, that is where my thinking is. It's where my thinking has been for some time. I don't want to rush discussions but, you know, I've been able to spend a good bit of time on this and am prepared at any

point to put a proposal on the table. I just don't want to cut short discussion that any of the other Commissioners would like to have.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

I think we probably, on this point we should hear from all of the Commissioners because it seems like -- well, no, I was just going to say now that I read Item C, it seems like you're going to say that we really don't need to do that. Or, maybe I -- I want to make sure what the entire Commission is feeling that the skills sets are, I guess, in Item C. I think we are sort of talking about Item C.

Commissioner Le Mons?

2.2.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah, I don't want my comments to suggest that I want to limit anyone, either. I think that maybe what I'm maybe objecting to is the structure of the comments and feedback. I think if we're going to have a discussion -- so, say for example, if Commissioner Sadhwani wants whatever she wants to share, ad inquire among other Commissioners about to feel free to do that. Likewise, I will do the same and I would hope all Commissioners will.

But I think to have sort of a locked step like this is how we have to talk about it, this is what ever eight of us have to share, that's more what I'm objecting

to. Because I think we probably come at it from different perspectives. And I think an organic discussion hopefully will get every -- all of the eight Commissioners' needs met in this discussion process as we move into it. So, that's where I'm coming from, if there needed to be any clarity as to what I was suggesting.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons, for that clarification. I think that probably did help.

At this point, because we do need to move forward in terms of actually discussing, and I would say looking at items sort of, you know, C, B and D, I don't know if we want to lump those together or sort of do them one at a time. I'm sort of looking for a proposal on actually order, possibly. Or, if you believe those items are such that you cannot distinguish one from the other. A little discussion on that.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, I'm looking at those items and again, if we're talking about organic conversation some of these items will sort of come through as we discuss the slates, or the proposals.

So, maybe let me start this as it relates to methodology, which might help us to go a bit and maybe we can work on that. What about the thought of just maybe

having one round where every Commissioner would present for discussion, not for proposal, their slate of six. And after that goes around, we sort of would see some of the through process or some of where our fellow Commissioners are thinking, since we're unable to discuss this outside of our setting.

And then, we can come back and we can choose maybe a Commissioner's slate for further discussion after we see what every Commissioner sort of put out. And then, from that Commissioner's slate that we choose to discuss, we can begin to collaboratively build upon a slate that we like.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

Any comments on that one?

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes?

MS. SAXTON: I don't want to interrupt

Commissioner Taylor's momentum. But I just want to point

out that this item, Number 5, is really giving you as much

freedom as you need to do any of the things that are

authorized, which are all the things you're talking about.

All of those things for selecting the next six.

The subdivisions that you see are not discrete items that you have to tick off or any of that. Those are, it says including, and that means including but not limited

to. These are some of the things the public should know you're talking about. So, in general, everything that I am hearing, from a legal point of view, from all of the Commissioners about what they want to do, what they want to talk about are all perfectly within this agenda item and shouldn't be a concern. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. I think
that -- with that in mind, I agree with the Commissioner Le
Mons. If we say each one of us is sort of required to do a
certain, particular thing that does put us a bit formulaic.
And I think that would -- I know we all want to get to
slates. I, at this point, feel I'm not quite ready because
I would like to hear what other Commissioners are
considering in terms of qualities. And even their approach
for, you know, our diversity we all know is crucial and
absolutely number one, but there are many elements of it.

Are we, you know, considering at looking at how it all fits together geographically within the parties?

Again, the idea being we want the Commission to represent the state and for the state to -- the state being the entire public. Actually feel that, oh, you know, we're trying to build trust.

So, there are many items to consider. And I thought actually looking in terms of -- I actually was thinking that, you know, in terms of qualities that I'm

looking for and how the procedure -- how you actually happened to put together people that would be, say, top of your list. And I know this is very difficult because it's a team. You really -- this is very different, I found, from the Application Review Panel. There, they're looking at individual people and, boy, they're qualified. And we know, looking at these people everybody here is qualified, but in a different manner.

And I'm concerned that if we just sort of go, well, here we go, you know, there are many people on there that have very similar characteristics, very similar talents and what they would bring to the table. So, there's a skill set as part of diversity, as well, that I see. It's not just what we actually look like.

Because as you know, I mean I come back to both candidates for president here, Trump and Biden, are older white men and they bring very, very different perspectives to the group.

So, in terms of -- and, you know, different parts of the country. You know, I'd like us to sort of talk about -- have each Commissioner basically open to what they'd like to talk about and then possibly come down to, you know, our next -- you know, okay, these who are my proposal, sort of. So, that's my two cents.

Commissioner Ahmad?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, just based off of the conversation and in the spirit of keeping the discussion moving forward, I can share what my general thought process was going into reviewing all of the applicants.

So, first and foremost every single applicant was stellar. When reading through their application, watching their video I was blown away by their vast variety in experiences, life experiences, and just appreciation for California.

So, when looking through all of the applications
I was specifically looking for the balance in diversity and
what that would mean in complement to the eight of us. And
so, not focusing heavily on one side or another in the
definition of diversity by the Voters FIRST Act, but
actually looking for equity of all of the different
components within the definition of diversity for the
purposes of selecting the slate. So, that's where I
started.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Ahmad.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry, Commissioner Turner?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes, thank you. I appreciate all of the comments that's being shared. I appreciate all of the comments that's being shared and I think where I'm ready to move is to just be able to hear all of the

1 Commissioners thoughts and with discussion perspective of 2 who they're looking at to be able to balance out the 3 Commission. And I think through this sharing we will still 4 have opportunity for further discussion to either clarify 5 -- maybe not clarify, but to elaborate on choices, or what 6 have you. And I think some of that will allow you to see 7 some of the thinking that we have, the background of what 8 we're looking for. And I think we'll have a lot of 9 opportunity to continue the discussion after that. But to 10 get names out on the table and to know, we'll be able to 11 see how either close or far we are going into this process. 12 And so, I'm ready to list out names of those that I was 13 able to do the review of. They're all stellar, as was 14 said, candidates. I appreciate the work that's already 15 been done, the material that we've had access to and I'm 16 ready to list out some of the names. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Turner. 18 Well, with that in mind as a -- any other 19 Commissioner want to continue or next? 20 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair, if you desire, I 21 can start with names that I have available. 22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I think Commissioner

Sure.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, if you could sort of

VICE CHAIR TURNER:

23

24

25

Turner.

-- oh, sorry, one minute. Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Hi. Commissioner Turner, thank you, I like that. But I just am kind of an observer of people and I might be off on this, but I don't know I feel like Dr. Sadhwani wanted to hear some things, I don't know if she's heard it, before we jump into that. And also, I'm interesting in hearing, you know, what she wanted to share. So, you know, if it's appropriate now, great. I'm not trying to put you on the spot, Commissioner Sadhwani. But I did want us not to brush over. You know, I want -- yeah, I just really want to support people being able to share and be a part of the process to where they're comfortable. And I think that we'll suffer if we don't do that, if we get too far along and people feel like they weren't able to get something out, or we go off in a different direction and I just don't want that to happen.

So, again, I could be off on that, but I felt compelled to say it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons. I did notice Commissioner Sadhwani's hand, but I'd already sort of mentioned Commissioner Turner, so I apologize for that. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, no problem. Thank you so much, Commissioner Le Mons, I appreciate that. No, by no means -- I'm actually prepared to share my slate as

well. I think that everything that folks have said already applies to everything I was thinking. I do believe the legitimacy of this, the legitimacy of the Commission will hinge on our ability to ensure diversity at some level. That being said, a 14-member Commission will never completely reflect the vast diversity of California. The applicant pool itself does not reflect the vast diversity of California.

You know, as I had mentioned in the first meeting that we had, that we had had, you know, I particularly think that there are some racial and ethnic groups that are not represented. That is extraordinarily important. I think that there are regions that are not yet represented on the Commission.

And I also think, given the trainings that we had in our last session, particularly around the Voting Rights Act, I also -- to Commissioner Fornaciari's point around just sharing what's everyone's skills, and I also spent that time to take a look at everyone here, I don't believe, and please correct me if I'm wrong, I don't believe any of us were trained as attorneys. And please correct me if I'm wrong. I think it would actually be very helpful to ensure when we're thinking kind of broadly about this process that we're also thinking about that legal aspect as well. Of course we're going to hire legal counsel for the

Commission, but it might be extraordinarily helpful in tis broader landscape if we're also thinking about people that have legal training. But that was kind of the only thing I was thinking about in terms of sharing our thoughts. And I appreciate, I appreciate you all.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

I'd like to go to, and not to put someone on the spot, but Commissioner Kennedy you also had some particular

11 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well --

12 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, yeah. Golly, I'm 13 doing that again.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: He was sitting here last time so --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I apologize, Commissioner. When I turned to my left the last meeting almost every single time it was Commissioner Kennedy. So, Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. What's the question, I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, back to the question. So, you also were talking about skills and, you know, again as Commissioner Le Mons pointed out there is a concern if we jump in to sort of naming names that we might sort of skip

over some of that. Not necessarily, but you were talking about some skills that you were looking at or wondering what do we have versus what you're looking for.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. So, you know, when I looked at diversity and when I talk about the folks that are, you know, near at the top of my list, you know, it's the list of diversity that we were given. You know, racial, ethnic, geographic, economic, and gender, plus skill set diversity. And so, that's the approach I took.

I tried to -- you know, I evaluated all the candidates in that light. You know, not just on this list, but also skill sets that I thought we needed, including attorneys. There's some other skills in here that I think would be helpful, too.

You know, but I think, you know based on, you know, the proposal that, you know, if we start listing our folks, and the reasons why we chose those folks I mean we'll get there. You know, people will include all of the diversity characteristics that they looked at and I think we'll uncover, you know, what I was looking for in my previous comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari.

Anyone then wanting to kind of --

25 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Chair recognizes Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'll go into what I see as the most important requirement
for us at this point, without going into the presentation
part. So, to me, you know, we have the language reasonably
representative of California's diversity.

I think second of all we have to be mindful, or at least for me the next most important issue or perhaps even the most important is capable of achieving the objective. So, I looked very much at people that I thought would work together well with us as a group of 14. Now, the objective is crafting districts that reflect and are accepted by the people of California. The accepted by is going to, you know tie in very much with the representativeness of the group of 14. I think people need and -- and finally, you know, from the random draw itself, the limited size of the Commission, and the obligation to draw two from those remaining in each of the three pools.

I mean I think it would be, you know, we might have an easier time if we relaxed the last one or if we could, you know, come up with a total of a Commission of 20. We don't have that freedom. We have these constraints that we had to operate within.

So, that's kind of where I was going in my

thinking on how we put this together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. We lost you a little bit there. You kind of went in and out. I think we caught most of what you were saying, though.

So, if we sort of stop you every now and then to say could you repeat that, it's certainly a technical issue, not anything else that you're saying.

Well, with that in mind I also had -- you know, I agree with that. I had a tough time thinking we have these eight, now, you know, boy, can we -- yeah, they're qualified. But, oh, I can only have, say there can only be two Democrats, there can be only two Republicans, only two non-party. And then, so I'd have to reassess, and reassess, and reassess.

I actually never quite came -- which I thought
I'd easily come to a slate of six. I didn't actually get
there because I also led with geography and then that sort
of locks you in a little bit. Or, you're going, oh, okay
to represent that area you only -- they're all one party.
And it was trying to get this very bipartisan and sort of
skills, and also the parties I found was very difficult.

So, you know, I'll be the first to say I didn't -- I came up with like a slate of, you know, 12 or something. I really had a harder time thinking because

like, oh, boy I really like -- no, no, no. You know, if we could move one of those categories like, you know, and we could have more people on I'd have a great slate. So, that was sort of some of my thinking.

I did lead with geography which I sort of thought helped. I think there are certain -- like we did all sort of list -- I think there would be a couple of areas where you go, okay, we have to have a geographical representation as well as, you know, I also used a skill set as diversity because I feel it's extremely important in getting the job done. And also, on that one I looked back at comments from the 2010 Commission, some of the areas where they thought they had it covered and realized, ooh, we don't.

Because I, like Commissioner Sadhwani, I understand we'll be getting experts that we'll be hiring, but you need to have the expertise on the Commission as well to understand and, you know, basically to be using them as experts, but not as Commissioners. So, I think there's a subtle difference there. You know, it's sort of like you're checking on these are people who are being hired to help the Commission, but the Commission -- they're not the ones who we have to listen to. And I did notice in particular the Voting Rights Act. You know, right now we don't have any attorneys in our group.

And mapping, you know, we're all good with data.

There are some more qualified in mapping in the application pool that are not one of us, and I think they would augment us. So, as well as, you know, people who have really great connections. These are all things I was looking at.

So, I cannot be the first person to say, well, these are my six. So, if anyone else would like to lead with these are people I was really considering, chances are those are the same people I'm considering.

So, with that in mind I might see, you know, is there anyone else who wants to kind of dip their toe in the water first?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair?

14 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay, we have both

15 Commissioner Turner and Commissioner Taylor.

16 COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Go ahead, Commissioner

17 Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Okay, thank you. Madam Chair, I'd like to give it a shot on the names that I'm looking on.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: That looked as well at geography and some of the other areas. To start with, I was looking at Eddie Morgan from Humboldt, I think representing the North Coastal. Eddie Morgan.

I'm looking at Linda Akutagawa, both out of the neither party.

The other names I'm looking at is Richard Gallegos, and Alicia Fernandez from Republicans.

Particularly Alicia in the North Central Valley and mountain, Yolo County.

And then, I'm looking at Michael Gennaco and Patricia Sinay, representing San Diego.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Commissioner Turner, can you repeat your Republican?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Richard Gallegos, North Central Valley. And I'm sorry yeah I have Richard Gallegos, and then Alicia Fernandez from the North Central Valley.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: If I can just interject to just acknowledge and I really appreciate this,

Commissioner Turner, that this also -- one of the things we have not mentioned is also gender, and that one of the things I like about this is gender balance is considered here as well. So, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Turner.

Commissioner Taylor, you were -- I'm giving everyone a chance to sort of write these down and sort of contemplate. And then, I might call on the -- Commissioner Taylor, did you sort of want to step in next or do we want

to discuss a little bit here about qualities or --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I sort of was, as we were talking about our rationale for our choices, I agree we have to be representative of California's diversity. Also, but I specifically was looking for that skill set to both be able to corroborate and challenge the experts. Although we do have those experts, it would be nice to be able to have that in-house expertise with us, so that we can be able to question, challenge, be critical and self-aware of the information that we receive, and be able to validate that information.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, those are very good points. Well, I shouldn't say very good points. Well, in my opinion they're very good points because I also was concerned about, so skill sets and not having sort of -- spread the skill set around. And there are few people that I go, oh, they're qualified, but we already have someone who's virtually the same -- you know, the same skill set. And so, I had a couple of different people that I was sort of looking a little stronger at, you know a little slightly stronger, or different candidates. Because they're all, as I said, all strong candidates.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And if it's okay,

Commissioner Turner, I have a comment on your Democratic

selections. I think it's of special interest to note that

Michael Gennaco, he has experience with the Voting Rights Act.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And he has a law background. So, again, I think that's one place that will be able to help us as a Commission, and us be able to challenge some of the information that we receive.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum. Thank you, Commissioner Taylor. I agree with that, as well.

Anyone else want to -- any other comments or do you want to talk about, you know, people that you thought or -- don't all jump in at once.

Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Hi. Surprisingly, my slate, I did a slate plus.

(Laughter)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's my --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Kind of similar to you,

Madam Chair. Not quite as big a plus as you, but a slate

plus. And surprisingly, Commissioner Turner's slate lines

up pretty nicely with what I was thinking, with the

exception of maybe one or two people. So, I guess that as

just kind of nice, some of the same thinking. Like the

Republican -- excuse me, the Democratic category was right

in line directly, with a variation on each of the other two

categories.

But also, the individuals that Commissioner

Turner put forward were in my plus category. So, I'll just say I'm comfortable and could go in that direction. While it wasn't a direct mirror, it certainly was among the people that I had considered. So, I just wanted to share that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Le Mons.

Commissioner Fornaciari, do you want to name, or
you don't necessarily have to name a people of six, but any
comments about particular people or strong candidates you
were considering or --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Well, okay, I'll throw my names out there. I ranked everybody one to N on my list. So, I got -- so, half of Commissioner Turner's list and my list are the same.

Commissioner Sinay, I ranked her as my top

Democrat. You know, she brings diversity to the group.

She's from San Diego. I think, you know, we need

representation in San Diego. I think that's important. I

really like her. She's got a set of facilitation skills

that I think will help the group. She's experienced

hosting meetings. She's hosted meetings with translators,

which might be something important that we want to include.

She also has a great Rolodex that I think we can take

advantage of with a lot of experience with community groups. And I believe she -- you know, she's in San Diego, but I believe she's done some work -- she said she did some work in Orange County, which I think spent a lot of time up there which gives -- you know, she's got, so a little bit more of diversity of understanding of interests in different areas, besides areas she lives in.

Gennaco, you know, again diversity, attorney with Voting Rights Act experience. Although, it's a bit dated.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: His direct experience but, I mean I think he'd just be a phenomenal addition to the team.

My Republican list, so I had Alicia Fernandez on my list. North Central Valley, Yolo County, which is a rural area. She's from Clarksburg, which is a small town just right across the river from Sacramento. But she's on the school board of that county and that county goes, you know, from a little bit south of Sacramento all the way up to Davis and Woodland, and is — the county does, but her school board is in the south end of the county and goes actually into another county south. But it's all very rural, so a rural perspective. She speaks Spanish, which I think is an addition. I don't know what languages the team speaks at this point but, you know, it's good to have a

Spanish-speaking person on the team.

My other Republican I chose was Karla Van Meter. I think just beyond incredible capabilities with statistics and mapping. And, you know, the level of detail that she understands the statistics. And, you know, she brought up this issue of differential privacy a number of times that no one else had brought up, that I'd never heard of.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: But it's huge.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: But it's an important issue --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I did note that.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- that we all need to understand because of the way -- so, differential privacy is a way of masking the data so you can't identify an individual. And they may do that by changing race or changing some other characteristic that we would care about. So, we need to understand in detail what the Census has done with regard to differential privacy and how to manage it. And then, you know, not just date, but also mapping that data and, you know, hands on detail of doing that.

She's also from Petaluma, which is in Sonoma

County. Technically, that's a Bay Area county but

Petaluma's further north. I mean, you know, when I go to

Petaluma I don't consider that the Bay Area. I consider

that more of the northern part of the state. But, you know, I mean that's just me personally.

So, because of, you know, that level of diversity and capability, and skill set is the reason that I had her at the top of my list.

Then, my two nonaffiliated folks would be -- I can barely read my printing here. His last name is Gurinder.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Aujla. So, I picked him for a couple of reasons. He's from Fresno, Fresno County, Fresno itself. I felt like we needed somebody in the middle. You know, if you look at the map of where we're from, we're clustered around the Bay Area. We're clustered in L.A. with one of us in the Inland Empire. Although two of us are in the Central Valley, but if you look at it on our map they kind of all squish together there.

And so, what does he bring to the table, right, besides being from Fresno? He's an immigrant. He gives us economic diversity. He's in the 35 to 75K a year category. He's got legal knowledge and he speaks seven languages. He's an interpreter for -- a judicial interpreter.

Then my next person I liked was Tam Tran. She's Vietnamese. She lives in Oakland now, but she's from San

Francisco. She just moved to Oakland recently. She's got
-- she's an urban planner. She seems like a good team
player. She understands how to gather and manage, and use
public input. And so, you know, I had her as my second.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. In the non -- no party?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: In the nonaffiliated.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I'm sorry, did I miss your Democrats or did you say them?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Gennaco and Sinay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, you also said Gennaco and Sinay, okay. I did miss that, sorry.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: If at any time you would like our staff to read back the list of names for a particular Commissioner that have been brought up for clarity, let us know, or any other Commissioner.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you. Okay. I really appreciate the Commissioners going through their people, you know, and the whys, the qualities they bring. Because, you know, it helps I think in sort of comparing, you know, who you've been looking at and who other people have been looking at, and just sort of the different perspective on them. So, you know, at this point in the discussion I think this is the time to really definitely bring up

matters. This is not the time to be brief. This is the time to actually add those comments in.

Any other?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay. I will go ahead and share where I am on this. First of all, I believe this slate to be reasonably representative of the state's diversity within the constraints that I previously highlighted. The state would maintain our current 50/50 gender balance. The slate will maintain our current 50/50 north/south balance. The slate would ensure diversity in ages by including members ranging from their 30s to their 60s, if I've estimated people's ages correctly.

It would not only broadly reflect the diversity of the state, but would also include representation from the four largest population groupings in each of the four -- sorry, in each of the three partisan cohorts, which I think is an important feature of this.

And I believe it would, as Commissioner Taylor phrased it in his interview, inspire participation in our input sessions, and as Commissioner Turner phrased it in her application this is a group that would definitely lift up the missing.

I believe that the full Commission resulting from

this slate would be more than capable of working together to achieve our common goal within the time constraints. I mentioned previously that that was perhaps my overarching concern in doing this.

So, you'd like to know the names. Patricia Sinay from San Diego. Angela Vazquez from Los Angeles. Peter Garcia Blando from West Sacramento. Alicia Fernandez from Clarksburg. Scott McCarty from Ventura. And Petro Toledo from Petaluma.

MS. SAXTON: Commissioner Kennedy, could you repeat the last two names?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. Scott McCarty from Ventura. And Pedro Toledo from Petaluma.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, five minutes until our first 90-minute 15-minute break.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. Well, with that I might, since we have, you know, five minutes to a break -- oh, I'm sorry, Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'd like to just ask that those be repeated per party, please, because I missed a couple of names. So, it's more to repeat it per party.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sure. Two Democrats,

Patricia Sinay from Encinitas and Angela Vazquez from Los

Angeles.

The two Republicans, Peter Garcia Blando from

West Sacramento and Alicia Fernandez from Clarksburg.

And the no party preference, Scott McCarty from Ventura and Pedro Toledo from Petaluma.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I'll just make a couple of comments on some of these. I noticed that Patricia Sinay has been mentioned previously. Alicia Fernandez has been mentioned previously.

Angela Vazquez brings a youth focus to this. I think Peter Garcia Blando has done work, not just in the immediate area in Yolo County, but has worked, done a good bit of work farther north in the state as well. He's also Filipino, so I think an important diversity element there. Scott McCarty really impressed me with his ability to communicate. I mean I think we all are good communicators and I don't think we would have gotten this far if we weren't. But he just seemed to be an outstanding communicator to me. And Pedro Toledo from Petaluma, again has worked not only in Sonoma County, but in farther north in the state and I think brings an interesting perspective to things.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

I think, unless there's just a little bit more right now, I think is it time -- we'll go ahead and take

our 15-minute break for the court reporter and also the American Sign Language, and then we'll come back after that. So, I have it as right about 11:00 o'clock, so we'll meet back at 11:15. Call the meeting into recess for now.

(Off the record at 10:58 a.m.)

(On the record at 11:17 a.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Do we have everyone? Yes, we do. I'd like to bring the meeting back to order at this time. And we are -- for the public who is just joining us or coming in, we're in Item 5 on the agenda. We're discussing the deliberating action of, you know, picking the final six. We're sort of -- these numbers A, B, C, and D are all kind of merged together because they're all sort of similar.

So, with that in mind, I think we'd like to just sort of pick up where we were. Any thoughts after the break from any of our Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Hearing none, Madam

Chair, let me jump in. I am happy to share my six, but I also want to just recognize that, you know, I think we've already heard from two Democrats, we've only heard from one Republican. I know Commissioner Le Mons said that your slate looked a little bit like Commissioner Turner's. But I just want to -- I'm happy to go but I also, you know, just want to acknowledge and allow others to go first.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani. Yeah, I don't think there's any -- I do appreciate you paying attention to, you know, okay, that's -- because as I say, we have to be aware of people are thinking of being partisan. We do have to be a bit of aware of how we're going and alternating, that sort of thing.

But with that in mind, I don't think anyone would mind if you go ahead with what you're thinking. I don't see any other hands raised at this point. So, if you wouldn't mind, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. So, and bearing in mind, you know, many of the pieces that we've laid out already regarding racial, ethnic, gender diversity, diversity from various places across the state, as well as diversity of skills and experiences, I've already mentioned that I think that we do have a need for some legal background. I think we also have a need for folks with strong ties to communities. I think that's been mentioned before.

And I just also wanted to just press upon the diversity beyond just the lack of Latinos, also the lack of East Asians on the Commission currently, especially given the historic nature of Asian Americans in California.

So, for my list -- I have the wrong page up here.

For the Republicans -- and also, I just want to say my list is actually very similar to some of the others that have already put out there. So, I think that what I'm hearing is that we're starting to arrive at some agreement on some of the individuals in particular.

For the Republicans Alicia Fernandez. I know she's been mentioned several times, a school board member coming from the North Central Valley. And my second Republican would be Dr. Russell Yee, coming from the Bay Area. You know, I think in addition to all of the pieces that we have discussed, you know, I think we also need to think about some of the soft skills of Commissioners. And, you know, the video interview of Dr. Yee really showed him to be, you know, someone who seems curious and willing to learn new things, and work with different communities.

As far as the Democrats, I've heard this from several of the other Commissioners, Michael Gennaco with a background as an attorney, specifically with some background in the VRA. Patricia Sinay from San Diego, with a strong background in community involvement.

And then, for the independents, I think both have also been mentioned previously, Pedro Toledo, who is also an attorney. Though his specialty is not the Voting Rights Act, I still think having that, you know, that legal training might be extraordinarily helpful for us. And

Linda Akutagawa from Orange County, with a strong background in communities, particularly Asian American communities. But who also talked about in her interview, you know, having been born and raised in California, and yet still feeling a sense of discrimination and how she would be able to bring that to better understand communities from across California. And I thought that would be extraordinarily helpful in addition to her facilitation skills that, you know, that she has in her professional background.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

Any other Commissioners? So, we have -- now, okay, I have -- let me make sure I've done this. I have basically four -- oh, I see, I have four candidates and then four lists, essentially. And then, Commissioner Le Mons, you're sort of like me, you have yup, yup, and oh yup, I've got people from several of them. So, I see that that's -- and then, we don't actually have -- I mean, I don't want to put anyone on the spot, but we know who the other two Commissioners are. Commissioner -- thank you, Commissioner Ahmad, do you have something to say, or something to add or --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes. So, I would like to go ahead and share my list as well. It's interesting to note

that all of the names on my list have already been mentioned in some combination with the four lists that have already been discussed.

So, for the Democratic Party I have Michael Gennaco, similar to some of the names that have already been given, with his law background.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, could you speak up a little bit, we're --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

10 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

11 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Can you hear me now?

12 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Democratic Party, Michael

14 Gennaco, Vonya Quarles.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

15

16

19

20

21

22

25

Republican Party, Alicia Fernandez, Richard Gallegos.

17 And then, independents, Tam Tran and Eddie 18 Morgan.

Just based off of the four, now five lists presented, it's interesting to note that several names have been presented across all five lists. So, if we can keep track of that as we move into discussing further.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you,

24 Commissioner Ahmad.

Well, I have some of these names. I have other

names, as well. I mean, Commissioner Taylor did you want to sort of jump in with what your thoughts are or --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Sure.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: All right, no problem. For my Democratic selections I had Angela Vazquez and Michael Gennaco. And, you know, just as those additional stars, thought, I also had Vonya Quarles. And William MacPhail has — from his interview and his credentials, William MacPhail has extensive work with GIS data. And that might be something that might prove useful to us as we go on and the discussion is still open. And Vonya Quarles has a background in law, so that's especially of note.

For my Republicans I have Alicia Fernandez, Peter Blando, and star by Karla Van Meter. Her statistical analysis, of course, and her expertise in that field would be of benefit.

For my no-party preference I have Pedro Toledo and Tam Tran. And I also have Linda Akutagawa as a star.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I'm sorry, you went a little fast for me, I was trying to write those down.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I'm sorry.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Could you just go over those again, if you wouldn't mind? Just go ahead and start from the top, I have --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, for Democrat?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, just please. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Angela Vasquez.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And Michael Gennaco. And I have, if I can hop on the plus I have one, Vonya Quarles and William MacPhail. And I think it's important to note that William MacPhail has a history or has a background in GIS data.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: For the Republicans I have Alicia Fernandez and Peter Blando. And a star or a plus one of Karla Van Meter.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: For the nonaffiliated or no party preference I have Pedro Toledo and Tam Tran, with a star by Linda Akutagawa -- Akutagawa -- yeah, Linda.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Well, I might go ahead and lay out some of mine. I have about, you know, sort of three or four for each one.

I also had -- you know, one thing that I'm looking for, I was looking for legal experience because, as you know, Voters Rights Act primarily, is right now I kind of see only two. Michael Gennaco and actually Commissioner Sadhwani with her dissertation, who are actually kind of

current. Then we have a couple of lawyers involved, Pedro Toledo, and there's another person who had legal background, but that wasn't their sort of strong suit.

But then, I'm also looking at the actual map drawing. And I notice that there are several people who, I think Commissioner Sadhwani said, a bit more on the softer, you know, bringing more activists or overall people skills, who I sort of got the impression on the mapping they're going to go, well, we see what they bring to us.

Since my business is actually putting out drawings and the actual detailed nitty-gritty of that, I was really looking for a few more areas and experts in that field.

So, I also have William MacPhail because he's a geographer by trade and has done, it's not just GIS which he has GIS, but he's actually -- you know, he's been creating maps his entire career and looking at every bit of all the data in terms of how you put them all together and overlay.

So, also -- and I've got Peter Blando and Karla
Van Meter. Again, both -- what I liked about Peter Blando
is, of course, he's a Filipino. And similar to what
Commissioner Sadhwani was saying, you know, there are so
many areas, you know, groups of people which are so
important to the State of California, and they're -- you

know, we want to get them represented. So, you know, how do they fit. And that's why I was having trouble, if you can only come up with two and two and two, I'm having a real problem there. But Peter Blando, certainly his mapping capabilities and also his entire field of expertise with the entire UC system all across the state. He has a lot of experience with different areas of the whole state.

Karla Van Meter, her -- as Commissioner Kennedy said, her strengths are very, very strong.

I did also look at both Alicia Fernandez and Richard Gallegos, which again are strong candidates. But my concern with those is they're very, very similar. I mean very similar, almost like, well, you'd have one but not necessarily the other. I mean you can't really do that, of course, because they each have specialties, criminology versus finance, both from small towns. You know, very similar.

And on the non-party side, let's see, I've lost his name right now. Eddie Morgan. Who is the only person, really, from up north. And, you know, he has other skills as well other than, you know, oh, I'm from up north. He has done -- his military experience, his translating and cross-cultural work I also thought stood out.

So, and then, of course, balancing all things considered that was hard because I also have Linda

Akutagawa and, well, Pedro Toledo. So, he's -- I really liked him as well. Again, he has a bit of legal experience. His community work, he actually represents a small town as well, even though it's -- I mean, you know, people say that's the Bay Area. Petaluma is really, it's really more rural in a delightful, wonderful way as all of rural is.

So, I don't know if that necessarily helped. Oh I'm sorry, if I didn't say Democrats, Michael Gennaco.

Then I also liked, who I don't think has been mentioned, yet -- Patricia Sinay, she's from San Diego, has great connections, has worked in both nonprofit and government.

Oh, Margo Morales. Her finance, her managerial style and working for the cities, and large -- you know, we do need sort of manager types, which we have a few of. But I thought she was a strong candidate, too. So, that was very hard.

But Michael Gennaco, he was absolutely solid in many respects.

So, you know, I don't know if that helps any.

And then, Russell Lee -- Yee, he had a lot to offer, too.

So, those are sort of my thoughts. I think,

Commissioner Le Mons, if you wanted to -- since we're on

sort of the same page of a large number if that helps, was

that sort of similar to yours or maybe a little --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes, I'll commit. I'll commit to a six, since everyone has given one. Yeah, my earlier comments were that within my six plus certainly many of the same names, but I'll give a six for consideration -- for discussion.

So, in the Democratic category it would be Patricia Sinay. And also, Michael Gennaco. So, those would be the two individuals under the Democratic.

Under the Republican category it would be Peter Blando and Alicia Fernandez.

And then under nonaffiliated it would be Eddie Morgan and Pedro Toledo.

And then, if we're talking about -- I don't know if we want -- like Commissioner Taylor, I had a couple people in each category. Ted -- but I think I won't name those. I'll just put forward the six and then as we have the discussions in my head I know who that is and it gives me the latitude to support discussion, as well as other options for slates.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I appreciate that.

Now, is there -- oh, now, I did, and I don't know if this is going to help anyone, I also, I listed -- and I think staff's been very nice to me. Well, a Commissioner brought this forward, but that was actually me. I list them geographically. I started from the north and went

down south. And because I'm also looking at, you know, political parties north/south. And in doing so I found it's very interesting, and I think we might be getting that probably tomorrow, in terms of, you know, areas and what parties are represented there.

And so, you know, with the idea in mind I don't want to have sort of one area of the state having trouble and them sort of thinking, well, you know, that group is ganging up on me. And when you look at it that way it's kind of like, okay, do we have representatives from all the state, and how well -- how do they sort of match the actual, you know, how many -- I was also balancing urban and rural according to the number. So, you know, obviously not half and half. But, you know, we need rural people as well as urban. And that sort of helped me.

And that's where, you know, Eddie Morgan popped out in my non. And so then I was kind of, okay, well, who other in my none. I loved Pedro Toledo. But I really, really like Karla Van Meter and those two happen to both live in Petaluma.

And, you know, but I'm going well, they do, but we have -- when you look at L.A., they have people also all over in L.A. And they're not the only people from the Bay Area. Well, again, is Sonoma in the Bay Area or not, don't know.

1 And then, we also have -- then, of course, oh, 2 and I'm in the Bay Area. Neal and -- Commissioner 3 Fornaciari, Commissioner Turner are in the south central. 4 Oh, Commissioner Ahmad is from Central Coast, she's the 5 only one from Central Coast. With those two it's 6 Republican, it's Democrat. 7 VICE CHAIR TURNER: I'm actually in the Central 8 Coast. 9 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, what? 10 VICE CHAIR TURNER: I'm looking at this map. Ι'm 11 actually -- I'm trying to figure out how they have it. Ι'm 12 in Stockton. I'm in the Central Coast. 13 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry Commissioner Turner, I 14 couldn't quite hear you. 15 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Oh, I was saying I wasn't --16 if I misunderstood you, that as saying I'm in San Joaquin 17 County. I'm in Central Coast, Stockton. And how is this 18 mapped? 19 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, you know, we did get a 20 map that went out and I think that might help. No, you're 21 actually -- San Joaquin that is considered -- in the way 22 our demographics are broken down --23 VICE CHAIR TURNER: So, it's actually 24 (indiscernible) --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, it's -- I'm not quite

25

hearing. You're -- San Joaquin, Fresno, Kern, those are all considered Southern Central Valley and Mountain.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, it's kind of a weird designation. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. And that's where we were trying to get. You know, we have Tracy and Stockton. We are looking at someone, you know, possibly the Clovis, Fresno area, which the two there have been brought up. There's also in Kern County, which is more sort of inland. But the two there have been Gurinder Aujla and Richard Gallegos.

That ends up giving the South Central about, you know, that would be like four which is -- you know, is that a question of is that too many sort of for that area?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Madam Chair?

16 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

17 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Ahmad.

18 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner

19 Ahmad, thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

24

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I don't mean to interrupt.

21 I don't mean to interrupt but --

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no, I'm sort of -- I'm
23 rambling to get other people help say a few words.

rambling to get other people help say a few words.

25 I think it's going to be important for us to make sure that

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah, yeah, definitely.

in our discussions and our considerations that we don't prioritize one definition of diversity over another, and actually look for a full balance across all of the factors listed out in the law about what diversity is.

So, looking at racial/ethnic backgrounds, gender, economic status, region, as well as party across the whole 14. So, I just wanted to throw that out there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, thank you. Thank you, appreciate that. I do have -- if you wouldn't mind, both Commissioner Ahmad and Commissioner Turner, if you could, when you're talking get a little bit closer to the microphone just for -- it might sound fine, but I want to make sure that the court reporter is able to pick that up. So, thank you.

Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Now that we've all sort of offered up some names and some thinking behind those names, do you think that we could maybe try to collaboratively build a slate? Yeah, I'd like to recommend that, if the fellow Commissioners are open to that that with the names we have so far, and I think it can kind of structure a discussion, and see how far we get.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's a good idea. It's quarter to 12:00 right now. Would -- again, this is more sort of a discussion. Would that possibly be a time before

we kind of jump -- since we're sort of -- you know, we've kind of proposed. Before we then sort of start building would this be a time to possibly invite public comment? Is there any sort of thoughts on that one? This would be -- you know, then that would kind of maybe dovetail into having lunch and then sort of proceeding with a consensus building kind of post lunch. So, would the --

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: This is Commissioner

Taylor. I agree, this is a good time to invite public comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you. Yes, I'm getting a few nods. I'm seeing a few nods in the group. So, at this point I might just, in the essence of I think that's the next step we'll go and this might be a good sort of in between time.

So, at this point we would like to invite public comment and I would look for our counsel, Ms. Saxton, to go ahead and read the details of how the public can comment.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you, Madam Chair.

The Commission of the first -- the first eight Commissioners are going to now open up for public comment. If you'd like to make public comment regarding Agenda Item 5, please call in now by dialing 844-291-5495. That is 844-291-5495. After dialing the number you will speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access

code for the meeting, which is 8121803 or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note you're not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator you'll be placed in a listening room. In this room you'll be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speakerphone and speak directly into your phone.

When you decide that you want to make a comment about an action item on the agenda, in this case Item 5, press 1 0 and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment about that action item. After joining the queue to make a public comment, you should hear an automatic

recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue. When it's your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist. Then, state your comment clearly and concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you finish making your comment, we'll move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda item at a later time, please call back when we open up public comment for that item and repeat this process.

If you're disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0. These instructions can also be found on our website.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. AT&T

Operator Nick, do we happen to have any people in the queue

for a public comment.

AT&T OPERATOR: Thank you, Madam Chair. At this time there is no one in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. We'll wait a few minutes. I know this is sort of the topic that we received a lot of public comment, written public comment on. So, I think we'll give a couple of minutes here. That way we can

get a few callers.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, sorry, yes Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. Just while we're waiting, I might just through out there and certainly our roll right now is not to be thinking about, you know, the full Commission or anything like that. But I just want to acknowledge that given the flexibility in our agenda, I would imagine it's probably challenging for people who may be interested in the process to know — to be following along all day long, and to know exactly when we want to take public comment because our agenda is so flexible. Which I think we need, I think we do need that.

But just for the future, for moving forward, we might want to think about whether it's an online portal where people can leave their comments during our meetings, or even just acknowledging many of the written comments during the public meetings. They're obviously all on our website as well and I'm sure we've all taken the time to review those.

But I can imagine that it would be a challenge, you know, for people who are interested in this process to be listening all day long and being available at the time that we choose to have public comments. So, I just want to

put that out there for all of us to think about and consider for the future.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you, Commissioner
Sadhwani. These meetings are very tailored, which is
unlike, you know, the rest of our -- when the 14 gets
together. We have received actually quite a few comments.
But really, we do need to defer to the full Commission.
And that's certainly one.

But I think the full Commission will certainly lend itself to that because we're mostly looking for public comment on many, many things. Where these are sort of more — the first meeting was all that training. The second meeting is to get the Commission. So, these are a little more, where I feel like these we can actually sort of stick to the time. I totally understand, you know, we have the ability to be flexible and that does not mean other people do. And I think those are all good comments for the full Commission to consider. Which I'm sure actually all of us will have ideas that we think the full Commission should consider. But we'll all bring those up when the time comes. But you're right, as we're waiting here certainly nothing — no reason not to mention that.

I do want to say, well, for the people who are calling in, for all those people who are calling in there have been a lot of different articles, and things, and

editorials and things in the paper. And please go to the website of the Shape California's Future, and it's just a really short summary but of how this actually has been happening. Because there have been a lot of misinterpretations, just sort of the way it's phrased, you know, that there have been a couple of comments that have come in. And, unfortunately, the people I felt didn't have the facts correct and it wasn't through no fault of their own.

So, if I just say, you know, if anyone's listening, please go to the website, which is the Shape California's Future at this point, and read through the process. You know, we're picking -- the Commission has not been formed yet. The Legislature took the list of 60, created the list of 35. Eight were drawn at random, now the remaining six, then the Commission will be put together. So, just a clarification.

MS. SAXTON: One quick thing before I assume you'll want to check again, with respect to public comment. The Shape California's Future website does have a link where at any time comments can be provided in writing. And those are being marshaled and distributed. So that if someone doesn't have time to participate directly, they can participate in that way and their comments will be received in writing.

And additionally, with respect to public comment, the notion that you'll take it perhaps frequently, or recommended frequently, and at each stage as you're doing will hopefully provide the level of public comment to allow people to essentially hop on to this agenda item, which I assume is going to be the largest portion of this meeting in terms of the time you take.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Thank you. Thank you, Counsel.

At this time, AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line?

12 AT&T OPERATOR: Yes, we do have one comment in queue.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah.

AT&T OPERATOR: And that would -- my apologies that comes from Alejandra Ponce De Leon. Please go ahead.

MS. PONCE DE LEON: Hi. Good morning

Commissioners. My name is Alejandra Ponce De Leon, and on behalf of the Redistricting Alliance we thank you for upholding your responsibility for ensuring that the California Citizens Redistricting Commission reflect the diversity of our state.

As you're engaged and continue to engage in this pivotal decision of determining the final six members, you know, we continue to respectfully urge you that you

prioritize Latinx and Southeast Asian candidates. And candidates from regions and counties that hold substantial portions of California's population, especially the Bay Area, Inland Empire, Los Angeles County, Northern Central Valley, Orange County and San Diego County.

And so, I appreciate for some of the recommendations of candidates that reflect some of these, already these gaps. But in addition, because we recognize that your task of distinguishing between candidates who will fill the above gaps may be difficult, we encourage you to also seek those who show strong commitments to both racial and economic equity, and community engagement.

Racial and economic equity should be prioritized because at every point of our democratic processes, whether it is voting, engaging elected officials, or even running for office low-income communities and communities of color face a multitude of barriers that impede their participation and diminish their political representation. Thus, it's imperative that the final six members possess a racial and economic equity lens to help develop a redistricting process that identifies and eliminates barriers to participation for all residents.

Lastly, selecting candidates who show strong community engagement experience would heighten the CRC's ability to effectively and innovatively engage California's

diverse population. Community engagement was a key pillar for the 2010 CRC and as a result it successfully developed fair district lines.

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds.

MS. PONCE DE LEON: Community engagement should continue to be a cornerstone of the new CRC, especially in light of the challenges posed by the COVID-19 pandemic. Thank you for your service and for giving me the time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

Do we have anyone else in queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: There are no other comments in queue at this time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Well, considering it's -- should we wait a couple of minutes and then say go to lunch, since it's just close -- depending on which clock we're looking at it's close to 12:00, close to noon.

AT&T Operator, do we happen to have anyone else in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: No one else in queue at this time, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair, just one quick question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. Sorry, was that -
COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Kennedy.

CALIFORNIA REPORTING, LLC 229 Napa Street, Rodeo, California 94572 (510) 313-0610

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, Commissioner Kennedy. Yes, Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes. If you could just go over your list again, please?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. So, I was afraid you'd say that to try to pin me down. I have, similar to sort of what Commissioner Taylor said, and Commissioner Le Mons sort of indicated, I have probably three at each position, or it's like I'm alternating people based on skill sets as well as geography -- skill sets, geography, and the total demographic.

And so, say for Democrats I do have Michael

Gennaco. Then I have both either Patricia Sinay or William

MacPhail, who are both from San Diego. They both have, you

know, different characteristics, but they both represent

the same area. Actually, I have several other Democrats as

well but, you know, you can only have two.

There are some amazing community activities in that category, so I'd have to -- there's room there in my pool of people that if not one, then the other.

In Republicans I have Karla Van Meter, very, very strong. And probably number two would be Pedro -- let's see, where do I have him. I'm sorry, would be Peter Blando. Peter Blando. Yeah, again, because it's Sacramento, the Filipino.

And then, for the non I'd have Eddie Morgan. And then, this was tough because Pedro -- Pedro Toledo. Sorry, got those two sort of mixed up just because of the P, the P name. But then I also have Linda Akutagawa in, as well for the non.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: So, just to be clear, you had Morgan, Toledo and Akutagawa?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Akutagawa.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay.

10 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Linda Akutagawa. And I did have 11 Tam Tran in there.

AT&T OPERATOR: Pardon the interruption. We may have another comment. I don't know if you had time for that before lunch?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes, certainly. Please go ahead.

AT&T OPERATOR: Thank you. If you do have a -- thank you, Madam Chair. Were you finished with your list before we go on, I'm sorry?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, that's -- well, I guess in the -- well, I've already sort of mentioned that the number of Democrats I have in there. So, go ahead. Go ahead with the -- the AT&T Operator Nick, if you could go ahead with the public comment.

AT&T OPERATOR: Thank you. David Sander, your

line is open.

MR. SANDER: Yes, thank you. I am calling from Northern California and I'm calling from a region where we have really been divided up in previous redistricting.

There's a city here, Rancho Cordova that at one point in the process had four different Senators representing a city population of about 60,000 people.

We're really hoping to have better representation on the Commission this time so that that sort of division of our community doesn't happen again.

And we have a large Filipino population in our region and it's very diverse, you know, politically. But Peter Blando is a great representative of that community in the Sacramento region and we're really hoping that he can be chosen in your deliberations to represent us and, hopefully, make up for some of that historic issue we've had with redistricting.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for your comments.

Any additional comments in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: No other comments in queue at this time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, I think with that and it now is noon, unless I see -- unless I see a waving hand of objection, which I do not see, I say we'll take our lunch recess.

1 So, is it noon actually or is it five after? Can 2 I have a time check on --3 MS. SAXTON: It is 12:01. 4 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect. Okay, so then we'll 5 come back at 1:01. Call the meeting in recess. 6 (Off the record at 12:01 p.m.) 7 (On the record at 1:01 p.m.) 8 CHAIR ANDERSEN: And as I believe this was just 9 sort of a recess without a vote, I shall call the meeting 10 back into order after lunch. 11 And we are continuing -- for those in the public, 12 we are continuing on with our item discussion, which is 13 Item Number 5 in its entirety. Discussing our qualities, and characteristics of people in our group of six. 14 15 So, with that I throw it back open again to our 16 discussions. And I think we were with -- Commissioner Le 17 Mons had actually just sort of said something. While we 18 seem to have lost him for a minute, I might ask 19 Commissioner Fornaciari, I evidently was listening a little 20 more than writing when you actually proposed your six. 21 Would you mind reviewing that, please? 22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. I had Mr. A-U-J 23 24 THE REPORTER: Can you get a little closer, 25 please?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. Mr. A-U-J-L-A, from Fresno.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

2.2.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And Tam Tran in my nonaffiliated group.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I had Van Meter and Fernandez in my Republican group. And Gennaco and Sinay in my Democratic group.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, great. Thank you.

Okay, so we all talked about our groups.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think there's a question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. You have a question? Yes, thank you. Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Thank you. You know, not so a question and just -- just I wanted to acknowledge, you know, as we went through I was trying my best to keep track of everyone's -- you know, the selection that everyone had mentioned. During the break I did try to go through and tabulate them as best as possible. Certainly, there were a number of names that kind of kept rising to the top. Of course, there wasn't perfect agreement on any of the names. But I would be happy to share that with you all. And if I've mischaracterized anyone's positions, you know, please

1 let me know of course as well. But would that be helpful 2 if I share that? 3 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes. 4 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm seeing a couple of yeses. 5 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes, Madam Chair? 6 CHAIR ANDERSEN: I don't have you on the screen. 7 Was that --8 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: This is Commissioner Ahmad. CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Ahmad. 9 10 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes. 11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ahmad. 12 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I agree with Commissioner 13 Sadhwani proposed and I, too, went and have all the lists. 14 So, I think a good starting point would just be to look at 15 the agreement across all of us. I like what she proposed 16 as a starting point. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, we can certainly, you 18 know, as an area for a discussion and it certainly is as sort of good as any other proposal. So, yes, I believe 19 20 it's sort of go right ahead, Commissioner Sadhwani. 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. Would it be helpful if I share my screen where I have it written down? 22 23 Yes? 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Sure. 25 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay. So, I'm going to

-- I think I can do that from here. Can you all see that?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So, as you can see I attempted to go through each Commissioner's selections. Obviously, for some folks, you know -- Madam Chair, you had multiples in there. So, I tried to whittle that down to those that kind of rose to the top. So, some folks who were mentioned perhaps once, or just twice I didn't include in this list. But that doesn't mean that we can't still consider them, of course.

And again, if I mischaracterized anyone's, please feel free to correct me or let me know.

Amongst the Democrats it looked like there was a fairly large amount of consensus around Michael Gennaco. Seven people had mentioned him. As well as with Patricia Sinay from San Diego. I believe that there were six mentions for her.

Amongst Republicans, in particular those who sort of rose to the top -- again, others were mentioned that I didn't put on this list. Karla Van Meter, for example, Richard Gallegos as well. But the two that kind of rose to the top here were Alicia Fernandez and Peter Blando.

Alicia Fernandez had about six people mention her. Peter Blando less, at four.

And then amongst independents I think that's

where I saw greater variation. Pedro Toledo from Sonoma, about five mentions. Eddie Morgan had about four. Linda Akutagawa had somewhere between two to four. I think she was a couple people's alternates. And then, Tam Tran also had two to three mentions as well.

And again, if this -- you know, I tried to list everyone here, so if that -- you know, if I mischaracterized it please, please feel free to jump in.

And I will attempt to stop sharing the screen if I can figure out how to do that. There we go. Unless folks want it back up, still.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Commissioner Sadhwani, can you put it up for a few more seconds, please?

14 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Absolutely. Absolutely, 15 yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry, someone was --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Kennedy.

18 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, was -- sorry, Commissioner

Kennedy, did you want to say something?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, please.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Go ahead while we're all sort of writing down a few things from the screen here.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Right. Yeah, I'm just noting that I'm missing from the list supporting Alicia

25 Fernandez.

1 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Oh, my apologies. 2 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: You're welcome to leave 3 that up here. 4 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. No, you can certainly 5 leave that up for a while. But, you know, are tech person 6 is saying he can always jump back. The only thing is in 7 terms of you might have to speak up a little louder. I can 8 only see -- on the screen, now, I can only see a few faces. 9 So, you kind of have to announce yourselves if you want to 10 make a comment. 11 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair? 12 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Turner. 13 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, I'd just like to say 14 this is very helpful to see this in this manner. 15 Commissioner Sadhwani, I just want to really appreciate 16 that you took the time to do this over lunch. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you. 18 So, any --19 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: This is Commissioner Le 20 Mons. 21 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, Commissioner Le Mons, go 22 ahead. 23 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I just had a question for 24 Commissioner Ahmad. Was this -- I know you were also

tracking and I was just curious as to if this was

25

consistent with what you tracked as well?

2.2.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes, it is. The list is missing a few names, but I think Commissioner Sadhwani did address that, the names that may have only come up once. For what's listed up there it's pretty -- it's consistent.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Great, thanks.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, just from -- this is

Andersen speaking again. From, you know, my looking at it
yes there were several Democrats named who are not up
there. Mr. Gennaco and Ms. Sinay certainly had all this
backing.

The Republicans, I think there were definitely a few more. Again, you have the top two. My -- the Peter Blando and Ms. Fernandez was I did mention. Actually, Karla Van Meter was my actual choice there, with Peter Blando. I certainly did consider Ms. Fernandez, as I considered a few other people.

Again, on the -- again with me, I think Tam Tran was actually my second and then Ms. Akutagama [sic]. I also mentioned Russell Yee, who was also mentioned by, oh, Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. Yes. In those instances I saw about two people had identified Russell Yee. Two people I believe had mentioned Karla Van Meter.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, and then I had two people for William MacPhail.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: William MacPhail. And then, Richard Gallegos as well I think fall within that had also been mentioned.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Again, yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Partially because I did this in a PowerPoint so that I could -- and actually, I should share that in a large screen.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, I appreciate that.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just everyone didn't fit.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right, right. No, I see that.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: So, I kept to those with, you know, more than two. So, yes, by no means is this list exhaustive of all names mentioned. So, thank you, that's very important to note.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Commissioner Le Mons has his hand up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes. I think this is a good way for us to organize the information. I'm wondering, I realize, I understand Commissioner Sadhwani just said that maybe because it's in a PowerPoint it might be a little more challenging to have it fit on one screen.

25 But I think maybe what we could do is add those other names

to here, to this, since you've already started a table going. And then, maybe we could begin the process of seeing if we can actually build a slate from this through our discussion. And I think in order to ensure that those other names that were put out as possibilities stay in the conversation, it might be important to have them be here visually as well.

I didn't mean to put you to work, Commissioner Sadhwani.

(Laughter)

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: I work on data and tables like this all day long, so it's no problem for me. What I might just suggest then is I would maybe just move it over at least to Excel so that we can get everybody in there. I think once I started it in PowerPoint, I thought PowerPoint so that it was easier to share the screen, but then it was challenging just to fit everybody in to that small of a space.

So, if you all don't mind, I'm happy to kind of move it over to Excel and to include all of those names.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think I already have it in Excel.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah-ha. So, Commissioner

Kennedy, do you have it in a form we can actually sort of

-- you know, maybe not exactly the same, obviously, but

that we could have a look at this? Or, can you just share your screen or not at this time?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I think I can.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Then, also, since Commissioner Sadhwani has sort of, kind of been forcefully volunteered shall we say, would you like to take a short, you know, stand down while you kind of put that together or --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Well, we've got --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well, we do.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Who's this from? Is this

Kennedy?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR ANDERSEN: This is Kennedy, I'm assuming?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: If I may, I just would like to remind, as I'm sure you're aware, that all of the screen-shared documents are public records and they will be posted for the public to see on our website and treated as such.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right. Well, thank you very much. We do need to consider that.

Also since, yes, I have my glasses on to see things far away, the screens, you know, it's small and far away. Oh, I see, and you have -- oh, you have everyone sort of listed. And then that --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: What might be helpful with this one, Commissioner Kennedy, if I may, is if we hide some of the -- not to say we can't still consider them, but it might be helpful just to hide some of the names that we haven't -- that no one mentioned. Not that we can't consider them, but so that we can kind of whittle down that list a little bit more.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, do we feel, guys that -I'm sorry. I say guys a lot, I'm use to construction
sites. Do we, and by guys I mean everybody. You know,
guys, there's the group.

But I'm sorry. Commissioners, fellow

Commissioners do we think we should -- you know, since

we're asking, you know, can you do this, can you do that,

do we just want to stand at ease for a few minutes while

our wonderful volunteer Commissioners, you know, put this

together for us in a little easier fashion?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That's fine with me, yeah.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Sounds good.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. So, we'll just be at ease here for a few minutes. And Commissioner Kennedy and/or Commissioner Sadhwani if, you know, you're going to grab your couple of items, you know, in sense whoever gets there first. If you could just let us know, thank you.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair, one question.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Should I keep the eight of us in there or eliminate the eight of us?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: In terms of the eight are you sorting it then by region or something or I mean is there a particular reason to do that right now or would that be just confusing visually?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I believe it could be sorted however, but this is just I think the way that it was in one of the documents coming out of the Auditor's Office at one point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah --

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, maybe just hiding us for the time being so that we can have enough real estate on the screen to see all the ones that were voted for in large enough font so that we can read it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari.

Also, you know what, since we are sort of looking, now we're kind of going the Democrat, Republican, other, similar to the way the Applicant Review Panel has been sort of dealing with each group, the demographic info for the remaining 27, I think we all got a sheet of that. In terms of the public, this also went to the public. And

that's kind of a quick way just on our own to have a look at, you know, who we're looking at. I think that's the document that you started with. Is that correct, Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: I believe so, yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. You know on that can we say, can we kind of go through just going through the list, don't eliminate someone before they should be eliminated. Would that be helpful? Or, well, no one's really being eliminated at this point.

(Pause)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy.

Does that kind of -- oh, excuse me. Do any of the

Commissioners want to add some people there or feel that

someone was on, but shouldn't be?

Well, that certainly seems -- that's certainly a good starting point. Any ideas about how we'd like to do a consensus? This is now as opposed to like actually talking people, talking process. Any particular ideas about that? And you'll have to sort of speak up because, as I said, we only have a few faces here.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: This is Commissioner Ahmad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I think we're or I would propose or suggest where we start is just looking at the

candidates in which we have the most votes. And it seems like the most at this point is seven, and then just work our way down the list from those folks to reach in terms of consensus and for the discussion.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That sounds like -- well, there we go. Hearing no other particular plans, yeah let's, we can start there. Now, the one thing I think we need to consider is as I said, you know, previously, unlike the Applicant Review Panel these are good candidates. But, you know, for each person you put on then you have to consider how they interplay with other people.

So, if we say, well, you know, this candidate fits better, it's not candidate per candidate, it's actually in total. So, I think with that in mind because, you know, it's not sort of trading but it's like my analogy is a pitcher/catcher. You can have the greatest pitcher in the world but they have to have a catcher that they work with.

So, on a few, you know, yes, they're good, they have good qualities, but then you're losing this quality that sort of thing.

But so, yes, with that in mind who sort of wants to lead off here?

24 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Just to note that based on what we were seeing from what Commissioner Sadhwani had shared about where the general points of agreement are that we -- just from a very quick look, it looked like the geographic balance had shifted to the north and potentially the gender balance had shifted towards the men.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I did see the shift towards the men. I did not see that it shifted north. So, where -
COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Commissioner Le Mons.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Might I suggest that we go by party, for example, and start with in this case the Democratic Party's listed first, so starting with that party and looking to see if there were individuals who had substantial support as of our first run. And then, see if we concur that let's say, for example at a glance here it looks like Michael Gennaco and Patricia Sinay seem to be the frontrunners here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-huh.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: And say, you know, can we all support those two? Let's have a discussion about that. Is there someone we'd like to see as an alternate and then move those two out of the list down into what we can call a collaborate slate, in sort of the service of building a slate.

And then, we could do the same thing with each category. And then, we can kind of figure out how much discussion is needed, if we want to add names, move names, and I think the slate is what we want to alternately be looking at globally. As opposed to, you know, this however many number of names are here. And then, we'll know whether it's shifted north, south, men, women, you know that kind of thing we'll be able to see with the actual slate that we're considering. Does that make sense? Does that work?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's certainly -- you know, I think we're getting sort of head nods of the heads I can see.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: I'm so sorry to interrupt. We're getting reports that this cannot be seen by the viewing public.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. SAXTON: So, I think we're going to need to take a break right now and potentially make it so that that can be made for use, and also potentially get it out for everyone to see.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. SAXTON: I think that like I said at the

beginning --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, you did. Yeah.

MS. SAXTON: -- as much as we'd like to use this people cannot follow along. They can't see. They don't know what they're seeing.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. SAXTON: So, if we could take a break for a moment and try to get everybody situated so you can continue on or some other --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, I could propose since, you know, we're sort of doing this sort of as a visual thinking it will help. Back to what Commissioner Sadhwani sort of did originally, this was kind of sort of a starting point. Should we just follow Commissioner Le Mons' idea and, you know, we've kind of had like an idea. We can say, you know, I think, you know, start at the Democrats, or start up north or, you know, realizing that we're going to go back to the groups as, you know, you go oh, yes. But if we put the person in here then they're from the same area as the person up there, or something like that. We can -- but why don't we sort of start there just verbally, writing it down. Maybe would that help, so that way we don't have to -- I do see this as a problem and they could break for a I think that could be a technical issue that we long time. don't necessarily have to deal with. Is that correct?

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, in addition to it being a technical issue, it becomes a Bagley-Keene issue if the public cannot participate in a meaningful way.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yeah.

MS. SAXTON: If they can't follow along.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: So, what I would ask at this time is that any of the spreadsheets or visual documents that they can email them in to Mr. Porche. I believe they have his email address. We can work from there. And I think we're going to need to take a break in order to do this.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Right. Okay, well, I know we'll now because for Bagley-Keene we really do need to do that. Is there -- you know, can we take a short, a very short break so we can continue the discussion once that's being emailed and actually switch it to a different form. So, that would be more like --

MS. SAXTON: Well, what I would suggest at this point, as I said this morning, as perhaps not ideal in these days of videoconferencing, et cetera --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. SAXTON: -- that we can have you to go verbally.

24 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, that's what I'm saying.

MS. SAXTON: Go slowly so people can follow.

Create your slates. We can type up the slates that you want. I think Commissioner Sadhwani's sort of list of the applicants that were receiving the most -- they were appearing the most on the various eights' list of folks of applicants is something you can talk about verbally as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

MS. SAXTON: And we'll continue to track and discuss. I think it's just going to have to go a little bit more slowly than we might be accustomed to because of that public participating and being able to view the screen, and follow along for the public.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, thank you, Counsel. Yes, as you can tell -- you know, we can tell a lot of us have been on Zoom calls and things do kind of zoom along here, pun intended.

So, with that I think we will take a short break and ask --

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes, Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Right before we entertain the short break I'd like to suggest in conjunction with Commissioner Le Mons' suggestion that he put on the table, might we also create concurrently a bucket of diversity items that we're looking for. So that when we start the

discussion for Democrats, Republicans and Independents that we're also holding off to the side not names, but keeping in mind if we're looking for slots filled to represent, for example Inland Empire. Or if, indeed, we're looking for the category of \$35,000 and below or there are a couple of other areas I wanted to be sure, be it API, or whatever it is. If we can create buckets so that as we're putting slates of people in each of those categories, in my mind I'm thinking great, these Democrats and, yeah, that would knock that off the bucket, that list, those things that would be able to fill that slot.

And then, as we move to the next area if there's a shift and we, for example, put someone, take someone off of the list, we know that that gap then exists. I'm just trying to see how can we hold the diversity requirements with the names so that we're seeing them at the same time. And if, indeed, a category, regardless of which of the party types, if it fits also a bucket need we kind of can in our minds, or on this bucket list say, yes, we have that taken care of.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, that's absolutely correct. You know, unfortunately because it makes the print small, we need the entire line of how the information is given to us. We do need, you know, first name, last name, race, gender, county, region, economic status. We need all of

those.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes. My suggestion is not to mess with the list --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Exactly.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: -- (indiscernible) -- that they had it. It's just a totally separate running dialogue of a couple of things that we know we're trying to fulfill. We're trying to ensure that we have and we're committed to ensure that we have representation from the Latino community, for example. That we have representation from San Diego. And with those things in mind, I'm just looking for a separate bucket list to be able to say, yes.

Because we said earlier once we start putting names on and off, and playing around, I just want to make sure that we're not losing sight of an area, a diversity area that we want to ensure is present.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner Turner.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: Just, I'm sorry for the

21 interruption.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, no.

MS. SAXTON: I just do want to remind again that
of course you can keep your personal notes as you go along
with your conversation. But that to the extent any

documents are created that are sorted into categories, those that you use, those will be public records and those will be posted as you know. So, that will take time to do and also is maybe an issue for some Commissioners, et cetera.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's -- you know, thank you, Counsel. I was going to say as we -- kind of when we were talking about, I believe at the beginning of this, Commissioner Taylor -brought up, if we look at a slate and - and the entire demographics of it and then think about it and modify that in our discussion. That will indeed give us all of our diversity factors - at the same time. Which when you look at our sheets it has all that on it. So, we never say this is set or, you know, you go, oh, now looking at this whole group I see, you know, we're -- like as Commissioner Kennedy was saying, you know, that's very north heavy. Let's look at -- you know, we haven't looked at the economic status. We need to reevaluate all of it at the same time. As well as Commissioner Kennedy, and I, and Commissioner Sadhwani were saying also we need to look at skills.

So, I totally agree. I think we're all saying the same thing. Rather than like having separate lists, I think we'll, sort of as a shortcut look at names. But before we say oh, yes, then we look at the whole picture.

Is that kind of trying to capture what you had in mind, professor -- professor? Commissioner Turner?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: No, actually, it doesn't.

But I think and to the counsel's recommendation and reminder that perhaps I'll just keep my own personal note on the side.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, please -- you know, please, you know, keep us informed if you think something is -- let's always speak up if you think something's amiss, obviously any of us.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Commissioner Le Mons here.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So, I wanted to chime in on that. When I think of the diversity, I'm not thinking of it in isolated categories.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: And I think we're supposed to be looking at it integrated. So, I want us to be very careful that we don't slip into looking at it categorically.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I mean we understand what we're trying to accomplish and we've all been trained on how to take the information in. And I think that that lens that we bring to the process and the discussion each of us

is bringing the diversity lens, in its complexity. And I think I use that word complexity intentionally.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Because I think if we try to instill it down to a simplicity we're going to be headed in the wrong direction. So, I just encourage us to be thinking about it in the global sense and informed by the framework that we've been given in looking at diversity.

And I think as far as the process is concerned and what I was recommending, I think as we move individuals in and out of slots we'll have the responsibility, each of us, to have our mind to how we're approaching diversity, and what our considerations are. And then, if we're offering up an alternative, we're thinking about that and why we're offering up that alternative. And I think we should be thinking about when we offer up an alternative as opposed to we may end up with no one in the north. You know, who knows. All things considered.

But if we look at it as, oh, we don't have anyone in the north so we have to take that person out because we have to have somebody in the north that part makes me a little nervous if we go about it that way, to that exclusive element, if that makes sense. I don't know if anyone else can chime in on that concern that I have.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Ahmad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I agree with what

Commissioner Le Mons stated, and I think I mentioned this
earlier as well that we can't, we believe, and by the

Voters FIRST Act, in all honesty and fairness to the people
of California we cannot look at an individual and check off
one of those diversity categories in order for them to be
included in our slate. Our objective is to look at the
slate holistically -- with the different criteria under the
Voters FIRST Act, as well as the slate embedded and
integrated into the characteristics of the eight of us.

So, I second what Commissioner Le Mons stated.

And I think just because we put someone's name down on the slate doesn't mean that they won't be removed, or added, and switched around. I think that's the purpose of this discussion is to come in with an understanding that we all are going to share our thoughts on candidates, and move forward from there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner. I think that was very well spoken by Commissioner Le Mons. And, certainly, I think that is all of our intent.

It is tricky, as I said that's why I was having such a devil of a time with it because it's an entire package, it's an entire team. And, you know, while you might look sort of one at a time, it's like driving. You

know, it's a quick glance and you look at the whole picture. You can't just look at the speedometer or you're going to hit something. You know, we need -- we are looking at everything and I totally agree with that.

So, with that in mind have we had a chance to -you know, I'm sorry to ask, but this is again back on you
Commissioners. Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner
Sadhwani, were you able to email those -- the screen to Mr.
Porche? I'm getting a nod from --

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, I -- oh, I didn't email it directly to him, I'm sorry. I emailed it to the general -- the general email line.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, we have received the documents.

17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay, great.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Great. And I do just want to note that I did put a note on the front of that slide that that is what I had captured and if there's any -- you know, if by chance, you know, I identified anyone's or mischaracterized anyone's preferences.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Understood. Thank you very much, Commissioners.

Then I think we are sort of -- we are in

agreement of let's look at a consensus slate, you know, a tentative one and then sort of discuss it.

Who wants to lead?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Ahmad. I just wanted to circle back. Are we still taking a break or is that not happening?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Well --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Maybe that's a question for counsel.

10 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, that's a quick question for counsel.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Do you need time to publicize those documents that we were referencing.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I -- one minute, we're getting a --

MS. SAXTON: Thank you very much, Commissioner, for bringing that up. It would be necessary at this time to take a 15-minute break for staff to deal with some of the issues that have come up in the last few minutes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Correct.

MS. SAXTON: Thank you.

22 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Perfect. No, thank you very 23 much, Commissioner Ahmad.

So, it is now 1:45. Actually, I will ask do I need to vote for a recess in the informal rules?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: No.

MS. SAXTON: No.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No. Thank you. Because I know you do in the regular.

Okay, so we'll recess now and convene again at 2:00 o'clock.

(Off the record at 1:44 p.m.)

(On the record at 2:00 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: If one person's not quite here,
I think we certainly do have a quorum. I will go ahead and
bring this -- there we go. Bring the meeting back to order
at 2:00 o'clock. And we're working on -- counsel has told
me that they do have -- they have posted the documents that
Commissioner Sadhwani and Commissioner Kennedy created.
So, thank you very much.

For our -- so we don't have to stop and do things, I think we are -- Commissioner Le Mons' idea way back when of let's just kind of start, you know, verbally with who we think and modify it from there is certainly kind of the -- probably the easiest and we can just be, you know, asked to have it repeated again as needed.

So, with that in mind, I might ask Commissioner Le Mons to go ahead and say where he was. It was so long ago, if you wouldn't mind.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Sure. My thought was that

based on the information that we've all shared so far this morning that we could start building the slate by party, since we do know that we have to keep those three exclusive categories. So, I think it might, just from a process point of view, be easier to approach it that way.

And if we go with the information that was compiled over the break and what's now been posted on the website as those individuals that seem to be like, for lack of a better term frontrunners, to begin to build it from there. And then, we could have the discussion around whether we are, as a group, comfortable with whatever that turns out looking like and make the adjustments, but we'd have sort of a base to operate from. That was the thought.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: So, for example, in the Democratic category, if my memory is serving me correct and my notes, Patricia Sinay would be slotted, as well as Michael Gennaco would be slotted. And so, we could then talk about that. Are we comfortable moving on to slot the Republican category or do we want to have some discussions about a different configuration in the Democratic category.

So, that was how I was conceptualizing it.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Anyone want to go ahead with that or -- you know, we could go ahead and say, you know, should we -- as we're considering everyone how about, you

know, we kind of go -- do we want to actually have someone say why those people, you know, why we've kind of championed those people -- or not championed them but -- or just, or do we think, well, those are -- those are good, let's sort of tentatively put a slate and then discuss the entire slate?

Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yeah, I think we did that this morning is we talked about why we put forward the names that we put forward. So, I think we all have an understanding as to where each other are coming from.

So, for example, with the Democratic section of the slate. If, for example, someone, one of the Commissioners wanted to say, well, I like Patricia and Michael in those slots at this point. However, I really think that Angela might be a better fit and then talk about why that person thinks that whomever. I'll stop there. I think Commissioner Ahmad has a comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And so, just in the spirit of continuing the conversation, so if we tentatively have the name Gennaco on the Democratic slate, I would just like to recognize that I originally, in my slate had Vonya Quarles.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: My original slate that I didn't share had actually nine people, but I had to commit with six to share with the group. But when I was on my list of nine people for my slate, I do want to bring up for discussion Vonya Quarles. I think her law background will be very crucial to have in the group, as well as her unique history within California, and her interactions with a variety of different systems within California. That is currently missing within the eight of us.

So, I'm just going to leave that there and for our consideration once we have the full slate up for discussion to consider.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Like that, kind of like

15 that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Madam Chair, I have a --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It's Commissioner Taylor.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor, please go

21 ahead.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes. So, as I note I also
had Patricia Sinay as a strong candidate. But I like
Angela Vazquez in that role. As Commissioner Kennedy

25 stated earlier, it's a young voice, a perspective we might

not have as some of us are getting to the age of needing glasses and the whatnot.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Hey, I resent that. I'm kidding. I'm kidding.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: And she's familiar with policy analysis. She can break down some of those avenues. She has good contacts within the community and I think she would be a strong asset to the Commission.

And just as a note, not to muddy the field, in the Democratic pool there are three candidates that have law in their background. It's Vonya Quarles, it's Michael Gennaco, and also Ina Bendich. And I hope I'm not butchering her name. She also has background in law.

So, if one of the angles is to have someone with that background, there are a couple of other choices within the Democratic pool that could satisfy some of that need for law.

But for discussion, I like Ms. Vazquez in that role.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right, thank you, Commissioner Taylor.

You know what, I think, you know, this will be -we will go around. And I'm thinking at some point we
probably want to break and actually have us review the -possibly review the candidates. So, everything, you know,

like as -- it really is kind of fresh in our minds if we go, you know, we can actually come back and say, you know, I really think maybe these two are sort of the best.

That's just an idea I'm tossing out.

Do we want to try to pin that down a little bit more or do we want to do the same with the Republicans, the same with the nonpartisan?

Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, if I may just jump in. I mean I think I'll probably say this 20 times today. All of the candidates were great. Right, they all have such strong backgrounds in a variety of ways.

For me, I support, you know, the initial notion of Michael Gennaco and Patricia Sinay. I have been one of the Commissioners who have mentioned the need for a legal background. I do think that that's important.

And I think while several of the members do have a legal background, and you're absolutely right, and I was super impressed with all three of those that Commissioner Taylor had mentioned, Michael Gennaco was the one who had had some experience specifically with the Voting Rights Act, I believe. I could be wrong, but I don't recall Ina Bendich as having specifically VRA experience.

That being said, you know, training as an attorney I think would be helpful on the Commission.

Because Gennaco is from L.A. County, right, he also identifies as Latino, right. So, for me having additional diversity there made a lot of sense. And for me, that's why Patricia Sinay was kind of a really nice kind of counterpoint because she's from San Diego, right. And at present we have no representation from San Diego.

That being said, I recognize there are other members in the pool that are from San Diego, so we can certainly think about that.

She also brings Latina representation. And in particular, and I think others on the Commission have mentioned this one, her name was mentioned before just as really -- it seems she has very strong ties to communities in San Diego and also elsewhere. It sounded like she had worked in a number of different places around the state. And so, that was, you know, very interesting to me and I thought something very beneficial she would bring.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: It's Commissioner Taylor

again.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: To further that point, you know, it's just sometimes unfortunate where these categories fall. Our San Diego representation falls all in the Democratic Party. So, both of the two San Diegans are

in that party, it's William MacPhail and Patricia Sinay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: So, if we're looking at it sometimes from that angle, we have to just make a note that they are both within the same category.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Actually, that's similar in the North Central Valley Mountain. The two representatives are Republican.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes. I'd like to also add that Patricia has had a lot of support from her community in her application. So, I'll just -- I'll stop there.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, that's very true. Thank you.

Well, so let's -- I think, you know, this is sort of a -- we like these two. I don't think -- at this point we might sort of -- let's try and do the same about, say, moving on to the Republican, realizing that maybe, okay, as you're saying there are a couple of people from similar areas, or other similar demographics that if we say, you know, I really do like that person and I think, you know, if we could -- you know, like yes we could that makes a good slate. Or, if we switch to a different person and then we switch in a different area, then that makes a good slate.

So, without, you know, pinning it down any further do we want to look at the Republicans? I'm getting a couple of nods yes.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And I'll -- who would like to bring that up?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Top two -- this is

Commissioner Fornaciari. Top two vote getters or top two
candidates were Fernandez and I think Blando. Is that --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Blando.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Is that correct?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's -- I don't have that in front of me. I might ask either Kennedy or Sadhwani, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm getting a nod from Sadhwani. So, looking at Peter Blando, who is -- he's from Yolo County, Filipino, which is considered the North Central Valley and Mountain. He's in the -- you know, the 75 to 125 economic range, thousand.

And then, Alicia Fernandez, who's a Mexican American heritage, also Yolo County, North Central Valley.

Oh, so, yeah, those two are indeed from the very same area, not far from each other. I think in terms of -- we have --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Commissioner Ahmad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: So, Hernandez and Blando were also on my list of three. And I know we're discussing two. But I had proposed Gallegos. And what really stood out to me in his application was his experience working with communities, specifically in law enforcement, and how he described in his interview his passion for community, and just interacting with people.

He stated at one portion in his interview that, you know, while driving around he would just stop and have conversations with community members, just to see how they were doing. And that was really nice to hear. And he's also bilingual in Spanish. He has experience speaking to city councils. He is diverse in the sense that he is self-identified as Mexican American, and also comes from Fresno County. But that's just for a consideration once we have the whole slate. But I also had Blando on my list as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'd like to support

Commissioner Ahmad's comments regarding Richard Gallegos,

also, for some of the similar reasons. But I definitely

want to have him be a part of the conversation.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Yes --

1 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Madam Chair? 2 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, sorry. Yes, Commissioner 3 Sadhwani? Oh --4 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Commissioner Turner can 5 go first. 6 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Commissioner 7 Turner. 8 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yeah, I just wanted to also 9 have us revisit, and I don't think it was so many votes, 10 but on Karla Van Meter. 11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. 12 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Was another name that came 13 up. And there was a specificity around the mapping 14 differential. And so, I just wanted to lift her name again 15 because I thought it was something that I didn't capture in 16 my list. But when the comments were raised, I remembered 17 that and I think it is something very valuable we need to

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, Commissioner.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

consider as well.

Commissioner Sadhwani, did you want to -COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes. I wanted us also,
in the Republican category, to also think about diversity
in terms of region, in terms of the various kinds of skill
sets and trainings that people are bringing as well. I

think what's interesting about the first two, Fernandez and Blando, they both come from Yolo County. That may or may not be a consideration for us. Gallegos also is from the Central Valley, though from a different county.

I believe Commissioner Fornaciari is also from the Central Valley. Obviously, these are all different parts of the Central Valley.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: But I kind of want to raise that up as something that we might be thinking about. It's a very large state and I certainly do not suggest that the Central Valley is not deserving of its fair share here on the Commission. But we're talking about quite a fair number of people coming from that background.

In addition, and please correct me if I'm wrong, I think a lot of the folks that we're talking about, particularly in the Republican category, it's kind of interesting how we end up with these packets of folks either geographically or, you know, with various backgrounds.

Many of the folks have engineering backgrounds.

And I'm wondering if there might be opportunities to think

a little bit more diversely about that, as well as law

enforcement. I believe Commissioner Taylor comes from that

background, as well as Gallegos who we are considering

here.

And I think it was for those reasons that I had wanted to put forward Russell Yee's name for consideration. I think he comes from a different background, right. He's Chinese American living in Oakland. He has a PhD, but is also a pastor, works very closely within a very diverse community in Oakland, from what I can tell. So, I just wanted to put that one out there as well.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. You know, I also sort of noticed that there are sort of groups of skill sets and they are sort of congregated in certain parties. And, you know, I noticed that like, for instance we've mentioned Alicia Fernandez and Richard Gallegos, and they're sort of both Republican and both in law enforcement, and have very similar backgrounds and are in the Central Valley. Well, not quite Central Valley but --well, yeah, they are.

And, yes, I think that, you know, that is interesting. And then, in terms of sort of the engineering, actually there are engineers all across. We just haven't mentioned there are several -- several down south and several -- there's, you know, engineers and there's also managers who have engineering background. And so, you're right there are certain pockets.

And then, you know, community. It seems like

sort of the community activists have been or, you know, the ones who have great ties with the community, the ones we're sort of looking at a lot of them are down south sort of, which is not to say they're not up north as well. It's just kind of who we ended up with.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

But I also -- I was, you know, Peter Blando and Karla Van Meter because she really does -- her -- you know, I think Commissioner Fornaciari brought her up. She has outstanding statistics -- statistical and mapping skills. And she has also -- she's worked across the state with not only, you know, communities of health and written up programs, that she's actually worked with health communities across the state. She also did, what was the term, cultural -- like cross-cultural training for many years, which was actually working with groups who needed to then go overseas or work with other cultures, and how do they interact. So, she has that skill as well, which is eminently sort of relatable to what we need, you know, in terms of, you know, we all have our particular cultures and we're trying to reach all the cultures of California. And she has worked, actually consulted and worked with that for many years.

So, you know, and so she brings that. Now, she is from -- you know, if we do the Republicans as Peter Blando and Karla, they are both further north. But, yeah,

that does make the Republicans kind of further in the north than in the south, if we have those -- that becomes the five.

But Peter Blando would be West Sacramento and Karla Van Meter is Petaluma for that perspective.

So, any other, any other comments or do we want to sort of do a similar thing with the nonpartisan? I think we're kind of coming up with the -- rather than our two, we're kind of going to three, three and three a little bit here, but possibly.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So, actually, before we move on I'd like to make a couple comments, if I can.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes, certainly.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: This is Commissioner

Fornaciari. So, maybe I'm reading Ms. Fernandez's -
reading it a little wrong. I know she works for the

Department of Corrections, but I don't think she's in law

enforcement as much as she is an inspector who investigates

kind of HR, and other kinds of things. Did I

misunderstand, is she in law? I mean does that count for

law enforcement? That seems to me to be more of sort of an

auditor kind of a roll. Anyway, just my take on it.

A couple other comments. You know, I mean Peter Blando and Alicia Fernandez are from, yeah, the same area. I mean if we want a little geographic diversity I had

Fernandez higher on my list.

I spoke about Karla Van Meter. You know, I think she's bringing a lot to the table that we're really, really going to need.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think they relied, the 2010 Commission relied a lot on one of their members, I forget his name, who had statistical capabilities, too.

And I just want to comment about Russell Yee. I was really intrigued by him, too.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: He's definitely -- will bring a different perspective to the table. He was really an impressive quy.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I see I'm getting the nod, right. I think before -- we might take a couple more comments before we move to the non, you know, not affiliated with a particular party group. Is it time to take a 15-minute break for court reporters and -- yep, I'm getting that nod.

So, I think this might be -- unless, do we have any sort of things we want to sort of quickly say about the Republican group? I mean, we obviously haven't, you know, decided, wow, these are our two. But any additional thoughts before we take our break. Okay.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Just as a point of clarification is it that we need to take a break now? We had just had one.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That's a question of counsel.

Is that -- you know, since we just --

MS. SAXTON: That's a good point. Let me just check because we have provided times when breaks will be likely taken.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah.

MS. SAXTON: Because Commissioner Sadhwani, I understand if you're on a roll and you don't need to, then we don't want you to have to. Just give me a comment to check.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Certainly. That could be a contractual thing about expressed times.

So, I think we have some -- you know, this is hard. There are some really qualified people here and I think, you know, in the 15 minutes I'll be sort of looking in particular on some items here, if we do take the break.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, we're going to check with the support staff to see whether or not that break is sufficient for their needs.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. So, we'll stand at ease for a few minutes until we have a read if we are going on break or if that was just a short recess -- not a recess, a

stand down.

(Pause)

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, that break is deemed sufficient and you can move on, and we'll set the next break for 3:00 o'clock.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Three o'clock, okay, great. All right, so we're coming out of ease or back to attention and continuing on.

So, I'm sorry, the scheduled break will be around 3:00? Okay, great, thank you very much.

So, the Commissioners of the non -- no party, we do have a few. And it looks like the two who had the most is Eddie Morgan and Pedro Toledo. Is that correct, is that what people have on their lists?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That was what I had compiled and then there had been several mentions both of Tam Tran and Linda Akutagawa.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Also, Gurinder Aujla.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: This is Commissioner Ahmad.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: If it's helpful for the group I can read off who we have under each of the categories, just the political party category for consideration on the table. And if we're missing someone, we can just make sure that that's clear amongst the group,

131

if that's helpful.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Yes, please. Yes,

3 please.

1

4 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, I'm sorry, could you scoot a

6 little bit closer to your microphone?

7 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Sure, sure.

8 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

9 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: So, under the Democratic

10 Party we have Sinay, Gennaco, Quarles and Vasquez, in no

11 particular order.

12 Under the Republican Party we have Fernandez,

13 Blando, Gallegos, Van Meter, and Yee.

Under the no party preference group we have

15 Toledo, Morgan, Tran, Akutagawa, and Aujla.

Are we in agreement that's who we have? Am I

17 missing anyone?

18 VICE CHAIR TURNER: You missed -- what was the

19 last name you gave under the Republican?

20 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Under the Republican Yee,

21 Russell Yee.

25

22 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Uh-hum. So, we have a list

24 of 14. We can see ourselves out and we're good.

(Laughter)

1 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-oh. Wait, I only had 12 2 originally. 3 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: That's a Commission, we 4 can go. 5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, dear. No, this is tricky, 6 this is very tricky. Well --7 MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair? 8 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. Is this Commissioner Sadhwani? No? Yes? 9 10 MS. SAXTON: Oh, Madam Chair, legal counsel. 11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Sorry, wrong way. Thank you. 12 I'm flattered but --13 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Counsel. 14 MS. SAXTON: Just to read back, please let me 15 know if these are in fact the applicants that you are 16 considering at this time. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. 18 MS. SAXTON: We have Patricia Sinay, Democrat. 19 Michael Gennaco, Democrat. Applicant Quarles, Applicant 20 Vasquez, both Democrats. Peter Blando, Republican. Alicia 21 Fernandez, Republican. Applicant Gallegos, and Van Meter, 22 and Yee as Republicans. No party preference is Eddie 23 Morgan, Pedro Toledo, Tam Tran, and Applicant Akutagawa. 24 Is that correct? 25 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: One more.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And Aujla.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, under the no party preference Gurinder Aujla. Now, we've also lost a few.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, we have Commissioner

Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You had, according to my notes, you had mentioned William Roy MacPhail and I had mentioned Scott McCarty.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I was going to say that was -- those were names that were also -- yes. I have it, and this is --

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: And you had --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: You had also had as a star in your Democrat group Margo Morales.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, that's correct. Yes, so actually we have one, two, three, four, five six. And this is under Commissioner Kennedy's spreadsheet, which I know has been posted to everyone right now. There actually were we had a total of six under the Democrats, and five under the Republicans, and six under the no party preference.

You know, I think we were -- the last verbally, we did sort of remove a few or well, not -- we didn't mention. They're weren't quite as -- they didn't have as

many numbers as the others. I think the idea being, you know, are they are top choices.

I think we should talk about -- we could actually look at this sheet for a minute in terms of all the other factors involved.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: For clarification and tracking purposes I want to make sure that we're getting this down the way that it is intended. The names that I have down that are the first six that were the picks with the most Commissioners, current Commissioners selecting that person to be on that list --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. SAXTON: -- were listed out, and then backups. Are you doing this in no particular order or is it ordered according to what Commissioner Sadhwani first presented, which was a list of those applicants who had received or appeared to receive the most support? I'm sorry, is that clear what I'm asking?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. I don't believe -- it was close. I believe that what we were saying -- well, actually there are two of them. We were kind of a cross between -- and I think verbally we kind of came up with a third in between those two lists that we've actually been

talking about. Yes, I believe these are the six names that we did come up with and then we added, which were sort of like the ones who quote had the most, you know, the most not really votes, but they were on the most number of groups, in the group of six. And then, we did add some others that we didn't want to drop off. And that is less than all the different names that we mentioned.

Now, where do we want to go with that, I'm not quite sure. So, you were trying to make sure which particular names -- or, did you want them in a particular order or --

MS. SAXTON: I do not. I simply want to make sure that staff is capturing the names that you are forwarding in the way, and in the manner, and in the order that the Commissioners intend.

So, if for instance there is a test slate that's being built, then we would want to know if it was based on the applicants with -- that appear to have the most support and that's what was trying to be accomplished. And then, a separate list of, for lack of a better word, alternates or other applicants that didn't make the list but were high choices, or other Commissioners wanted to ensure that they weren't dropped off that that would be a separate list.

Perhaps you can -- I have no preference, obviously.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

2.2.

MS. SAXTON: I just want to make sure that if somebody comes back and says, well, what was our test slate, again for lack of a better word, that I haven't recorded this in a way of a list of names, you know, by party or non-party preference that's in quote, no particular order, unquote.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: This is -- Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: And anyone feel free to correct me if I'm understanding this incorrectly. I think that this portion of recommending names was to highlight those names that had the most votes and then also mention the names that we thought were of particular importance to continue to consider.

At this point, from my understanding, we have four names under the Democratic Party, five under the Republicans, and five under the no party preference. And from what I'm understanding our goal is to reduce those lists, those three separate lists into two each to make the six for the final slate.

I'm not sure if we agreed that those names with the most votes were part of any potential proposed slate or test slate. Am I understanding this correctly or does

anyone --

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: This is Commissioner Le Mons.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Le Mons, please go ahead.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes, I believe that there was a tad bit of an evolution of the goal. So, we were starting if we could potentially build it sounds what we're calling a test slate through this process, and having this foundation be built on those individuals that had the most support, with the intention with ensuring that there were certain candidates that we didn't want to drop off. And that's how we ended up with permanent list that Commissioner Ahmad I think accurately depicted.

So, I think we have a process that's going. We haven't committed to a slate and/or a test slate, but I think that's the direction we were headed. That's my understanding.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: And again, this goes back to the repetition that I cautioned everyone that you might be hearing from myself and from staff. But if it pleases the Commission perhaps I could read what we have down as the

names that fit with the structure that you currently decided is where you are at the moment. Is that ${\mathord{\hspace{1pt}\text{--}}}$

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, I think that's -- please do that.

MS. SAXTON: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And we will sort of move from there because to make sure we have the same names and we're talking about that. What we do with those we can decide, but we'll start --

MS. SAXTON: Of course. Of course.

11 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, thank you.

MS. SAXTON: So --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, please do read that. Thank

14 you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. SAXTON: For Democrats we have Patricia
Sinay, Michael Gennaco, William MacPhail, Vonya Quarles,
Angela Vazquez.

For Republicans Alicia Fernandez, Peter Blando, Richard Gallegos, Russell Yee, Karla Van Meter.

And for those affiliated with neither party we have Pedro Toledo, Linda Akutagawa, Eddie Morgan, Tran Tam, and Scott McCarty.

Please let me know if there are errors or if that sounds correct. And I'm happy to read any of those again.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'll just say that I think

that what my recollection was is that Commissioner Ahmad's list was the list that I was tracking and it's a little bit different than the list that was just read.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: In the Democratic category and the nonaffiliated category specifically, they were different.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, if it pleases the Commission, if other Commissioners agree with Commissioner Le Mons, then perhaps you would request that Commissioner Ahmad read her list again for us, so we can make sure that they match.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. And what I'd like to do is, yeah, because there are -- they're in different order, so it's a little tricky. But if we could -- I believe that is correct there are some different names. There are a few less, I'd say, on Commissioner Ahmad.

I think you were talking about four Democrats and I think counsel is taking about one, to, three -- were you talking about six Democrats?

MS. SAXTON: There are five on this list, unless I missed one.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Five on there, okay.

24 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Madam Chair?

25 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I can just go ahead and read the list.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Please do. Well, let's just do one group at a time.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Commissioner, before you start reading it can I make a suggestion?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Okay, could -- fellow

Commissioners, could you please listen carefully to the

list and make sure that this is the list you're comfortable

with so that we can, at the conclusion of her reading it

say yes, or so we -- you know, so we can move this part

ahead. And if you're not, of course say no.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, with that in mind --

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: All right. I will read the list very slowly, just so that we can capture the information accurately.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And go ahead and stop between, say let's do Democrats, let's do Republicans, just to make sure that's the list for each of them, if you wouldn't mind.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: All right, Democratic Party.
Sinay, Gennaco, Quarles and Vasquez. I'll read that again.

The Democratic Party, Sinay, Gennaco, Quarles and Vasquez.

Republican Party, Fernandez, Blando, Gallegos,
Van Meter, and Russell Yee. Again, the Republican Party is
Fernandez, Blando, Gallegos, Van Meter, and Russell Yee.

The no party preference group, Toledo, Morgan,
Tran, Akutagawa, and Aujla. Again, that's the no party
preference group is Toledo, Morgan, Tran, Akutagawa, and
Aujla.

Can we just go around one by one and verbally state if we agree with the list?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, one -- I did see
Commissioner Kennedy's hand up.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

I'm still not clear on how some people are falling off and some aren't.

17 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I can speak to that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, okay, Commissioner Le Mons.

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I think in the process we took it by political category and there was the opportunity in each of those categories to bring forward whomever any Commissioner wanted to bring forward, in addition to the individuals who were quote/unquote frontrunners, if you will, in terms of support.

So, it might have been that in that process there

may have been someone that one of the Commissioners wanted to stay a part of the conversation that they did not raise that. So, that would be my thoughts on why certain people fell off the list. It doesn't mean that they have to stay off. But from the process of what we've just experience, that's my understanding of what has happened.

So, right not it seems like we're confirming the list as we understand it now. And if there are people that -- there are candidates that you want or any other Commissioner wants returned to the list, or added to the list this would be the opportunity to do that in my mind.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, I agree with that. And yes, there are several people who did drop off. I -- actually, two in the Democratic Party, you know I did mention Margo Morales, who has administration and policy, you know, and management experience. Long term, actually Long Beach. She's lived there for a long time. She is Mexican American. And just has a lot of community experience.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: It's a tough group, though.

22 It's a tough group. Yes?

MS. SAXTON: I don't mean to interrupt, I apologize about that. But as you mentioned Morales, are you recommending us to put her back on the list for the

Democratic Party at this point or --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, just -- I did bring her up and why. I understand, given the strength of a few others why we might think that, you know -- you know, I understand that sort of you can only have two.

MS. SAXTON: Okay, I just wanted to make sure that my notes were reflective of everything --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. And additionally, the one loss I think if we -- I also brought up, or might not have brought up originally, but I did bring up William MacPhail. He's our geographer. And he, kind of alike to Andre Parvenu from the 2010. His experience I think would be very valuable. And if we don't get him, I would really like to have one of the other mapping people because I feel it's a need. Which is why I'm sort of mentioning Karla Van Meter much more strongly, since MacPhail is not available. You know, if he -- again, it's just because of just for those, you know, different parts of the country -- the country? Different parts of the state, you know different grouping, but it's a skill set that I think is very valuable.

But I understand, you know, the law with Gennaco, and the community experience of Patricia Sinay is -- that's outstanding. So, you know, you have to make those sort of trades. But that's why I think I'm -- I understand and I'm

not mentioning those two again. So, I think that's where we lost two there.

And then going on to -- now, on your list is -oh, I'm sorry, did we go through all of them, all three
groups? We did. So, is your under the no party preference
it's down to four now, is that correct?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Five.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Five. Okay.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes? Yeah, thank you for the hand. Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Yes. Under the no party preference I'm wondering, I'm clear and I've tracked in my notes the frontrunners that we had. And, of course, I'm real clear why I was interested in Akutagawa as well.

The name of Gurinder, Aujla Gurinder when it came -- I'm not sure when that came up in the conversation. Can the Commissioners, whoever's suggestion that was, revisit that name and tell me why we're -- what's the -- who was that's suggestion? What were we doing with him.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I had originally brought his name up. But, you know, at this point it seems that we can drop him from the list, too. You know, I don't -- I just -- I don't see him with the support to go

1 forward. 2 VICE CHAIR TURNER: Okay. Thank you. 3 CHAIR ANDERSEN: And Scott McCarty? 4 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Madam Chair? 5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Le Mons? 6 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Since we have a change, 7 maybe we should have the updated list be read so that 8 listeners can hear as well. And before we do that, I'd 9 like to just ask directly Commissioner Kennedy if he had 10 any adjustments that he might -- I know you asked for --11 you had a clarity question earlier, Commissioner Kennedy, 12 but I didn't know if that was in service of wanting to add 13 someone or strictly for clarity? 14 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you. It was for 15 clarity. I mean, I just wanted to make sure that we're all 16 clear on who's dropping off when and how. 17 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. 18 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Got you. 19 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner. So, 20 are we -- we have the same now, our lists that we're 21 working on? It's four -- four and five --22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Madam Chair? 23 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, I'm sorry. Yes? 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think the suggestion

was to read the list of no party preference again --

25

1 CHAIR ANDERSEN: One more time. 2 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- in light of dropping 3 one of the folks. 4 CHAIR ANDERSEN: All right, yes. Could you --5 let's see, how about if we look -- ask counsel, who's sort 6 of doing a tally for us, if she would please read the no 7 party preference list. 8 MS. SAXTON: The current no party preference list 9 as I have it recorded is Toledo, Morgan, Tran, Akutagawa. 10 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. MS. SAXTON: If it pleases the Commission, I can 11 12 quickly read the rest of the entire list to be certain, 13 since it was different than what staff had originally 14 recorded? 15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes. 16 MS. SAXTON: I will do that as quickly as I can 17 and I appreciate everyone's patience. 18 Democrats, Sinay, Gennaco, Quarles, Vasquez. 19 Republicans, Fernandez, Bando, Gallegos, Van Meter, and 20 Yee. 21 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. I think that is where we 22 are at this point. 23 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Madam Chair, if I may? 24 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Sadhwani.

Thank you. As we think

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI:

25

about the no party preference, I do just want to point out that I think we only have one candidate here at this point in time that we're considering, who was from Orange County. We have most certainly mentioned geographic diversity in the past. And I do just want to kind of raise up that consideration. Orange County is very different than Los Angeles County in a whole host of ways. And so, I do want us to consider that as we are thinking about the independent, the no party preference individuals who are left. And I think that Linda Akutagawa is the only one that we are at present considering. I do think there was perhaps one other person in the full pool. But I'd like to raise that for consideration.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Yes, that's true.

You know, we only -- and there's -- we do have holes in our list. I mean, there's no one from San Francisco County.

You know, there are definite holes, you know, we don't have. Well, and there are many, many counties we can say that we don't have any representatives of.

So, you know, we sort of have to think of, you know, the best we can. The best we can.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Of course.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: And the most diverse.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Of course. If I may,

25 just to respond to that, you're absolutely right there's no

way that we're going to cover the entire state or every county within the state. That simply would not be possible. However, Orange County is a very populous place, even though it may not be the city centers of San Diego, and Los Angeles, and other places. So, I would just put that out as a reminder that we're talking about a very large number of people.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: That is definitely true. Thank you. Thank you for that.

You know, we can --

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes?

MS. SAXTON: If I may, Kristian, is it time for us to take the break? Four minutes.

15 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. SAXTON: That we're four minutes away from a break at this point.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah, over the break I think we should -- I'm certainly going to look at a regional breakdown, just as another sort of geographical check. You know, we're always looking at all our checks. I'm just going to -- you know, so I think what's helped me is to look at them all from different angles. You know, realizing that you can't split anybody up at all because it's a total person, it's a total team. But it helps to

kind of like to reorganize, I guess. And I'm going to do a little reorganizing with who we have here, so we can come back sort of after our break, you know, and continue our conversation.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Turner.

VICE CHAIR TURNER: I'd just like to state for the record that when we're taking breaks, I indeed need to take a break from that work and reconfigure documents, and do additional work during the break. And so, if as a matter of protocol if there can be time when we're back from break where we need to give additional space to configure, reconfigure or do something different, I'd like to suggest that instead of missing out on being able to do work during a break time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I'm sorry, I sort of missed that. So, I'm sorry, we just have a stand down or, basically take a real break is what you're saying, and then try and do this as we're working or -- yes, was that -- well, that's certainly, yes, you know, you're absolutely right because this is time we're actually working. And it's good to take an actual break.

So, with that in mind, though, we need to take our break now and so we can begin all that in 15 minutes. So, it's 3:00 o'clock and we will now reconvene at 3:15.

So, thank you.

(Off the record at 2:59 p.m.)

(On the record at 3:15 p.m.)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I will call the meeting back to order, it being 3:15. And just for the record, in case some of you were -- we're all sort of talking, all the people here have your masks on. And counsel just said now I understand why Darth Vader is so cranky. With this mask on it is, you know, your face is hot, and you're kind of raspy, and it makes your voice dry. So, you guys are doing well from the Zoom.

So, back to the public who are back online as well, we are on Item Number 5. We're discussing, deliberating about our final six members of the Commission.

And we have sort of shortened our list down to about four Democrats, five Republicans, four nonparty, with many considerations obviously we're still working on, ultimately coming down to two, two and two. That's kind of where we are.

Any sort of thoughts? This is a -- it's a lot to condense. There's a lot of -- yeah, there are a lot of diverse demographics to consider. As we've sort of narrowed a pool down to these pools, before we sort of move any further. Is there any consideration, do we want to -- I'm going to ask this to the Commission in general. Do we

want to take public comment on where we are? Do we want to do some consideration and/or, you know, more documentation on our own and possibly even come back for this tomorrow?

Any -- I see Commissioner Ahmad has something to say.

Commissioner Ahmad.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Thank you, Madam Chair. I think it would be nice if we can, if we all need to process stuff moving forward from the list that we had agreed on, and in order to ensure that breaks are actually breaks maybe we can stand at ease and give everyone a chance to --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Can you get a little closer, a little closer to the --

a chance to review the list. And I can share with the Commission what I'm thinking my process will be based off of the reduced list that we now have is to give everyone a fair and renewed consideration based off of the diversity criteria that we must consider. As we each propose certain candidates, at least my list changed in terms of which categories of diversity were represented and which were not. So, that's just what I'm thinking for my next steps. If any others want to share what their next steps are and how we can proceed to make sure we move along efficiently, that would be great.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. I missed a little

bit of the first. Were you -- did you say you want to reduce your number or you want to consider before your reducing any of your numbers?

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: I think what I'm just suggesting is that we all take a look at the list that we have now, since we're working off of a smaller list now, to continue to build a so-called slate, and continue the process similar to what we just did, but now with a smaller list until we come to a consensus.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Thank you, I just needed that clarification. I wasn't sure, I thought that's where you were going but I missed that little first part. Thank you very much.

Other Commissioners?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah.

16 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay, Commissioner

17 Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I concur with that. I think at this point, for me I'd like to, you know, have some time to reflect on the list that we have now and kind of see how my perspective on things might change.

Look at the diversity characteristics of the list --

23 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- and where, you know,

25 I might want to change my mind or select, you know, someone

different.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So, you know, I mean were at almost 3:30 at this point. You know, I wouldn't -- I would support taking public comments and then recessing until tomorrow and that would give us all some time for independent reflection.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner

Fornaciari. I'll go ahead and throw my two cents in. I

also think that's a good possibility. It also sort of

follows the Applicant Review Panel when they'd sort of make
a sort of a cut that they would then pause and reconsider.

Again, thinking, because there's a lot to do and there are a lot of qualified people here, and how does that change our implications before we proceed. I'm of a similar vein. But I'd like to hear what other Commissioners have to say.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I agree.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Nice and simple. Other Commissioners? I believe, Commissioner Turner, not to put words in your mouth but, again, I also couldn't quite hear some of the things you were saying, I think this sort of dovetails into what you were saying, if that's correct?

VICE CHAIR TURNER: It does, indeed. I'm wanting

to just set protocol going forward that when we take a break we're actually on break and we reserve time in the meeting to conduct business, follow up with paperwork, do some of the administrative pieces, et cetera. So, yes, it absolutely is in alignment with what my thinking was.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Great. Okay, thank you, Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: I concur. I think that take public comment and then we should, on this reduced list, we strike down how they can -- how they fit into our potential Commission. And also, if perhaps tomorrow there are one or two deficits, or another name or two to bring that to the table after recess.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Right. Thank you. Thank you, Commissioner.

17 Commissioner, say Kennedy?

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes, I would agree with taking public comment now and recessing until tomorrow.

20 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. And Commissioner

21 Sadhwani?

22 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes, I concur with everything everyone has said.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, with that in mind,
I'll ask our counsel to please read the instructions for

public comment, which she happens to have in her hand. Thank you very much.

MS. SAXTON: If you'd like to make public comment regarding Agenda Item 5, discussion, deliberation and action to select the final six members of the Commission, please call now by dialing 844-291-5495. In the next few moments we'll begin taking public comment. And that number again is 844-291-5495.

After dialing the number you'll speak to an operator. You'll be asked to provide either the access code for the meeting, which is 8121803, or the name of the meeting which is CRC selection meeting.

After providing this information the operator will ask you to provide your name. Please note you're not required to provide your actual name. When the operator asks for your name, you may provide a name other than your own. When it's your turn to make public comment, the operator will introduce you by the name you provided. Please be assured our office is not maintaining any list of callers by name. We ask for you to provide some name to enable the operator to manage multiple calls simultaneously and let you know when it's your turn to speak.

After speaking with the operator, you will be placed in a listening room. In this room you'll be able to listen to live audio of the meeting. Please mute your

computer audio because the online video and audio will be delayed by approximately 60 seconds and feedback issues may occur, making it difficult for anyone to hear your comment. Also, please do not use a speakerphone and speak directly into your phone.

When you decide you want to make a comment about an action item on the agenda, in this case Agenda Item 5, press 1 0 and you'll be placed in the queue to make public comment about that item. After joining the queue to make a public comment, you should hear an automatic recording informing you that you've been placed in the queue.

When it's your turn, the operator will introduce you. At that time please state and spell your name for the transcriptionist. Then state your comment clearly and concisely. Comments will be limited to two minutes. You will hear a time check when 15 seconds in your time remains. After you finish making your comment, we will move on to the next caller. At that point please hang up your phone. If you'd like to comment on another agenda item at a later time, please call back when we open up for public comment for that item and repeat the process.

If you're disconnected for any reason, please call back and explain the issue to the operator, then repeat the process and rejoin the public comment queue by pressing 1 0. These instructions can also be found on our

website.

1

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

With that, AT&T Operator do we happen to have any people on the line?

AT&T OPERATOR: Nobody has queued up at this time. But as a reminder, please press 1 0 to testify.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

AT&T OPERATOR: And we'll go to Jim Glover.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Ah.

10 AT&T OPERATOR: Would you like me to open Jim,
11 Mr. Glover? He just queued up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, please, go ahead.

AT&T OPERATOR: Mr. Glover, your line is open.

MR. GLOVER: Okay, thank you very much. Thank you for listening to my comment. My name is Jim Glover, J-I-M G-L-O-V-E-R. I'm calling from Eureka, California.

And I just wanted to share a brief comment in that I have known a previous applicant for the Redistricting Commission that applied after the 2010 Census that would have represented the Humboldt County, North Coast part of the state. She was not selected.

And I wanted to emphasize the importance of selecting somebody from the rural part of California. And, certainly, Eureka represents the rural part of California. We're more than five and a half to six hours' drive from

here to San Francisco. Even areas like Santa Rosa, Petaluma are a good four hours' drive.

I hope that you'll take this into consideration, as well as the demographic requirements that you're trying to sort out. We need representation along with the bigger metropolitan areas of the state. And with that I would just say thank you and good luck.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for your comment.

Do we have any other public comment at this time?

AT&T OPERATOR: Not at this time, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. We'll obviously wait a

few minutes here.

2.2.

(Pause)

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Obviously, AT&T Operator, if someone does come on feel free to let us know.

AT&T OPERATOR: I will do that, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Madam Chair, while we're waiting to see if anyone does log in, I do just want to circle back to my prior comment. We've had so much public comment previously, and if there is no public comment then that's fair. But I do wonder if because it's not built into the agenda in terms of the times, if perhaps we are losing out on individuals, or maybe there just isn't comment. I know that we cannot change the agenda because

it needs to be posted many days in advance. But I do wonder if it makes sense at all, knowing that we are most likely going to recess for the day very shortly, to just lay out some of our intentions for public comment tomorrow. So that for anyone that may be interested to call in, that they might have a better sense of when they could do that, right. Perhaps we'll start the meeting tomorrow with public comment, or before we take our 90-minute break, you know our scheduled breaks, we'll plan to take public comment.

I certainly don't mean for that to be any change in the agenda. I actually hope that it would encourage people to -- you know, encourage more people to be able to participate in the process.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: No, thank you, Commissioner Sadhwani.

It is up to us to decide when -- you know, there are requirements for public comment. And as Item 5, basically Item 5 is essentially what we are doing. And so, often you do public comments at the end of agenda items. So, it's sort of at our discretion if we would like to do a particular time.

So, with that in mind, as we're waiting for someone to call in, do other Commissioners want to discuss this item, this issue of when -- if we think we might get

more comments if we actually sort of set a particular time. We might look to counsel, obviously, if there are any -- yeah, because we do have -- if anyone has been following, like where they would even look for the agenda it definitely says, you know, how to call in or how to write in. And we have gotten a lot, as you, well, we all know, lots of public comments.

But, Counsel, you know, is there sort of, you know, possibly a good idea to let the public know or we can't -- but to say, you know, we're going to take public comment right at the beginning tomorrow or anything like that or --

MS. SAXTON: As it pleases the Commissioners, you can take public comment at any time that you would like. It doesn't need to be agendized. We do have the agendized comments certain at the end. You will take comment prior to vote. But there's also nothing stopping you from saying that it is your intent that tomorrow, around whatever o'clock you plan to take public comment at that time.

And as you are doing, I think that it has been very generous, the amount of time that has been given as you go along to take public comment on the regular, so to speak, to offer it at every natural break.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

MS. SAXTON: But you have lots of options and it

isn't going to affect the agenda to continue to take more or try to have it at a particular time that you intend to let people know about. You can set that.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

So, with that in mind, any other discussion or want to --

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair --

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: -- if I may, just one more thing.

Like I said, if you wanted to add the notion that there's a particular time that you want folks to be able to call in about Number 5, but I would advise that that is in addition to the comment you take as you go along, at the natural breaks in subject matter and topic.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, yes. Absolutely, yes.

MS. SAXTON: Okay.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you. Yeah, so, you know, while we're sort of waiting here a little bit any thoughts on that matter, point of discussion? Not really.

Well, we can -- you know, tomorrow we can certainly address it. You know, and as I say there will be sort of natural breaks which is sort of what we've done a bit today, and sort of asked for public comment. Like when we're kind of -- when we reach sort of a different milestone, we can certainly do that at that time.

I understand you're trying to think of with an arranged time, thinking like people before work or after work. And this meeting is a little hard on that, which is probably why we got so many public comments, written public comments. And a lot, everyone spoke at the end of our first meeting. So, I was thinking we'd probably get more comments today. So, it's probably a good idea to ask for them first thing in the morning and then just see how that goes as the day goes on.

And with that, AT&T Operator, do we have any people on the line?

AT&T OPERATOR: We do have one person queued up at this time and we'll go to Kim Coles' line. Please go ahead.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you. Hello?

AT&T OPERATOR: Kim, your line is open.

MS. COLES: Hello, yes.

AT&T OPERATOR: There you are.

MS. COLES: Hello, can you hear me?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, we can. Please, you know, state your name and spell it, please.

MS. COLES: My name is Kimberly Coles, C-O-L-E-S. I'm a Professor of Cultural Anthropology and I have been attending all of your meetings, along with what seems like a great deal of other people.

And I'd like to reiterate Professor and Commissioner Sadhwani's comment that it is actually quite difficult to time public comment, unless you are like me, starting at the screen all day, and like you.

But as advocates, and interest groups, and researchers try and follow, it's quite difficult. And so, I would really appreciate, and perhaps I speak for others who would, whether or not it's not timed, but something like as she said at the beginning of the day, or 20 minutes before a scheduled break we're going to do something. And so that advocacy groups can plan their workdays a little bit better.

And then, my other comment, while I have you, if my two minutes isn't up, is whether or not you would consider moving to two and a half minutes, or three minutes. Because as you probably know, quite a few advocates in their first 15 or 20 seconds are talking about their credentials, which is to give them legitimacy and authority as they speak to you. But then this cuts off the very quality comments that I've been hearing. And so, I'd encourage you, as you move forward to perhaps lengthen, only slightly, the public comment. So, thank you for your time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you for those comments.

Any other in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: Nobody else has queued up at this time.

2.2.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. Well, given that her comment was directly at what Commissioner Sadhwani said, I think we should let it be known that we will start tomorrow, hopefully before we jump into everything, with public comment.

I don't know if that's in our -- you know, other than that I don't quite see how we can -- I guess in the beginning of the day we could sort of say approximately when we might be taking comment, but I don't know if we can pin it down like that. I'm not sure. It's certainly something that -- it will be handled differently as the full Commission. Again, this is a particular task, so it's a little harder to run the meeting that way, but it's certainly something to consider.

don't know how often we all feel that we need to take public comment. If we suggest that our intention is to take public comment at the start of the meeting tomorrow, which is 9:30 in the morning, and then we know that we'll take a break at 90 minutes, so at 11:00 a.m. Either it's, you know, at 11:15 when we get back, or before our lunch break at noon, or after our lunch break at 1:00. You know, I think just kind of laying out some general times and,

obviously, that might change.

Certainly, as counsel mentioned that if we advance in our, you know, in our agenda then of course we're going to have to take public comment, again. But to the extent that we continue to be on Item Number 5, I think it would be helpful to just identify at least, certainly at 9:30 in the morning tomorrow our intention is to take public comments, and perhaps at one other point in time in the day.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, Commissioner Fornaciari?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I agree. I think it's reasonable to -- it would be reasonable to set, you know, we intend to take public comment at the beginning of the meeting and, say, after lunch. So, that would give folks, you know, the morning to watch and distill.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Uh-hum.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And then, as counsel said, at natural breaks in between. But, you know, we could set two times for the folks. That seems reasonable.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. I certainly have no objection to that. It does sound reasonable and it might, you know, give people an opportunity to at least have a ballpark of when to clue in.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes?

MS. SAXTON: Additionally, not to supplant, but to supplement, for those of the public who find that they just don't have the time, there is again our website with a link to make written public comments that are forwarded to the Commissioners. So, I just want to make sure that everybody knows there's that other option as well, in addition.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel.

So, I don't know if we -- that's at our discretion. I don't know if we want a vote on that or I don't think that's actually --

MS. SAXTON: It's not necessary to take a vote -- CHAIR ANDERSEN: -- at our discretion.

MS. SAXTON: -- about when you would like to take public comment. It can be taken at any time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you.

So, our AT&T Operator, do we have anyone on the line?

AT&T OPERATOR: Nobody has queued up at this time, Madam Chair.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, if it is the intent of the Commissioners that tomorrow at 9:30 it's your intent that public comment will be taken, or that public comment will be taken, perhaps that would be something to announce

as a set decision.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: So, I'm -- that would be my inclination. Can I have just a sort of informal show of hands on that. We've got Commissioner Fornaciari. Oh, I see -- I see all hands up. Okay, there we go. So, it will be our intent to start the meeting, give a quick where we are, what we're doing, and say we're going to open it to public comment.

Then, I also actually like the idea of, say, after lunch because that's sort of an easily identifiable time. Again, and we're sort of shooting, this is again for our wonderful court reporters and our sign language people. So, we've kind of said, look, you know, we're going to give you noon to 1:00. So, we're trying to keep to that time frame as best we can.

So, then saying right after lunch is another time that we will take public comment.

Now, obviously, if we conclude an item or before we're actually going to vote on something then that we will take public comment again. But those are the sort of set times that that would be our intent.

Any kind of a quick hands on that one? A few more. Yep, okay. Then that would be our intent.

And unless we have -- AT&T Operator, do we have any people on the line?

AT&T OPERATOR: Not at this time, nobody is queuing up. 1 0 to make a comment, a public comment please, 1 0.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you very much.

Given it is 3:45 and we have a lot of work to do ahead of us and --

AT&T OPERATOR: Please excuse me.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

AT&T OPERATOR: We did just get somebody queued up.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thank you. We'll go ahead and take -- please let them through.

AT&T OPERATOR: Okay, thanks. We'll go to Rob Quan's line. Your line is open, sir.

MR. QUAN: Thanks. My name is Rob Quan with Unrig LA. And I appreciate the consideration of planning a better time to ensure that people can have some predictable public comment.

Here at city council we have annual budget hearings that extend for weeks and every single day they have 9:00 a.m. public comment to kick things off. Just so everybody can turn out. And, you know, they're long, exhaustive days and not everybody wants to tune into that. I don't mind it. But it's good to make it easy and predictable.

The one thing I would say is on that note, I found it kind of weird that the agenda does not contain the call-in information. I literally had the experience just now of trying to figure out how to call in. And I was kind of dumb and didn't look at the screen in front of me, or it popped up suddenly. But I looked at the agenda and I didn't see the call-in information. Is a reference to the website. I went to the Shape California First 8 page, where I'm looking at this, and I don't see a clear indication of the call-in information.

And I did finally get through. I'm honestly kind of surprised that I was able to make comment because I was sitting on hold. For some reason I was stuck in there as a participant, even though it said I wanted to submit public comment, and was indicating I wanted to, and wasn't getting heard.

I would just say that out of any meeting that

I've tried to participate in, and local government under

COVID, the call-in setup here is the most cumbersome and

difficult to navigate. So, I would just note that. I

don't know what needs to be done about it as far as clearly

indicating some of this pertinent information about how

people can be heard and navigate this, on the agenda or

somewhere else because --

MS. PELLMAN: Fifteen seconds, fifteen seconds.

MR. QUAN: $\mbox{--}$ you know, there are going to be some people that $\mbox{--}$

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay.

MR. QUAN: There are going to be some people calling in here, the usual suspects that you hear from all the time, but if we're asking average people in California to call in, then we've got to kind of make it easy on them. Thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, thanks for that comment.

Yes, actually, Counsel, we would like to comment on that or respond to that.

MS. SAXTON: Yes, Commissioners, one thing to know is that that call-in information is not available to us until right before the meeting.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh.

MS. SAXTON: We are not -- when the agenda has to be agendized, however many days in advance, 10 and eventually 14, it is impossible to agendize it. So, that's why the agenda clearly says to go to the website and that it will be announced. So, when that agenda first comes out or right before the meeting, the idea being that that instruction is to allow folks to get what information they need, while still working within the constraints of the technology, and that call-in information that's not available in time for the agenda.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay. So, is it readily available, though, on the website.

MS. SAXTON: Yes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: It is, okay. All right, let's clarify that so in case. Yeah, I appreciate that comment so --

MS. SAXTON: Also, Madam Chair, the call-in number is posted on the actual livestream of the video in caption.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Oh, okay.

MS. SAXTON: So, when you're watching it from the website there's a black box with white text that gives the call-in number right there.

14 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, great. Thank you very 15 much.

Do we have any other public people in the queue?

AT&T OPERATOR: Nobody else has queued up at this
time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much. Oh, we have a question from Commissioner Kennedy.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Thank you, Madam Chair.

Counsel, is it only the phone number that is there at the bottom of the box for those watching the livestream or is the access code there also?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: The access code is

there, also.

COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Okay, thank you.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: All good. Good clarifications.

Okay, we have a -- Commissioner Sadhwani?

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Sure. Sorry, just I'm just also curious, and it might be too late to change that method, but I'm also curious is it feasible that people could actually, you know, join the Zoom call, right, like you know in order to call in, even if it's like a -- well, I don't know if a chat would be okay for Bagley-Keene.

But, you know, I'm just trying to think about making -- what are the other ways that we can make it easy for people to join in? I think that we all agree that public comment, you know that we welcome it and it helps make the process so much better.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair, if I may?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: I was going to jump in on that one, too. Go ahead.

MS. SAXTON: These are, of course, wanting to include as many members of the public as possible and make it as convenient for them to participate, as Bagley-Keene requires, as I'm sure the Voter's Act, the Voters FIRST Act requires or intends.

But these are likely to be issues for the full

14, as this is our last meeting and we have all of our technology in place at this time. And it's not feasible to make changes.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, yeah.

MS. SAXTON: But definitely something that I encourage you all, once you pick your slate, and pick your next six Commissioners that as you move along to the full Commission these are all issues to discuss, of course.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. I also know, having children who are involved in school Zooms and I'm thinking probably everyone has heard some of the horror stories. Which if you just let call in with just coming into Zoom that could really get away from you.

So, I think at this -- for the rest of this meeting we'll make sure that the information is indeed on the website, it's very easy to spot. And I like the comments of calling in, you know, at the beginning of the day and at lunch.

Commissioner Le Mons?

COMMISSIONER LE MONS: Yes, I just wanted to say I support the predictable public comment options that have been discussed. I also feel that if people are -- I want to caution us to not assume there's a problem. If there is a problem, for people to email or use the methods to get in touch with us to let us know what the problem is so that we

can then confirm there's a problem, and solve for it.

Because we're kind of talking about this in a vacuum with several assumptions.

So, I think that our initial concern of making sure that it is predictable we've solved for, and let's see where this takes us. We are time limited in terms of just our function and what it is that we're doing. And I think it will be great to have a mechanism for the public to let us know some feedback after this process. So that when we go into the 14 we can bring that forward and solve for those things as we're putting our organization together.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Commissioner.

Any other comments or -- oh, any other people in the queue, AT&T Operator?

AT&T OPERATOR: No one is queued up at this time.

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

Well, with that said, and I believe we have concluded our bits of business for today or we're going to pause, I'm going to call the meeting into recess and we will commence, again, at 9:30 tomorrow morning. Thank you very much.

AT&T OPERATOR: One more person did just queue up. Would you like to entertain that?

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes, we certainly will.

AT&T OPERATOR: Okay, thank you. Sorry to

interrupt. We'll go to --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you very much.

AT&T OPERATOR: Okay, thank you. And we'll go to Byrd, and I hope I say this right, Lochtie. Please go ahead.

MS. LOCHTIE: Thank you. My name is Byrd Lochtie, B-Y-R-D L-O-C-H-T-I-E. And I would like to comment about the no party preference group that you have and put in a very, very clear request for Eddie Morgan to represent the North Coast and the northern part of the state which was ignored in 2010. I know that because I was the only one in that part of the state and I was ignored, not put on the Commission.

Eddie represents a large portion, including the North Coast, and parts of the Northern California that have more -- that have the largest Indian, American Indian population and many other smaller tribes. And he is in the pool of under \$35,000 for that, the economic one. And he is extremely knowledgeable about the area and works with the Human Rights Commission, and knows many, many people. And I believe would do a really excellent job. Please put Eddie number one out of the pool of no party preference. And thank you very much for the chance to comment on this. I hope you will put Eddie in the -- pick Eddie. Thank you. Thank you for the comment.

CHAIR ANDERSEN:

1 And do we have anyone else in the queue? 2 AT&T OPERATOR: Not at this time, Madam Chair. 3 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Okay, thank you very much. 4 MS. SAXTON: Madam Chair? 5 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Yes? 6 MS. SAXTON: If I may, one more thing about our 7 call system because we do take this very seriously, we did 8 test multiple systems, found that the system we have for 9 taking public comment was the very best. And additionally, 10 it is exactly the same system, from what I am told, that 11 the Legislature uses. So, as far as we know this is the 12 optimal way there is to take public comment. 13 CHAIR ANDERSEN: Thank you, Counsel. 14 So, I'll ask one more time, anyone in the queue? 15 AT&T OPERATOR: Nobody is queued up at this time, 16 Madam Chair. 17 Thank you very much. Now, the CHAIR ANDERSEN: 18 time being 3:55 and hearing no other comments, no other 19 hand waving from the Commissioners, I'm going to call the 20 meeting -- or not call the meeting, but have the meeting go 21 into recess and we'll begin again at 9:30 tomorrow morning.

24

22

23

25

(Thereupon, the First Eight Commissioners'

meeting recessed at 3:56 p.m.)

REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter

I do hereby certify that the testimony in

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 9th day of September, 2020.

transcribed into typewriting.

PETER PETTY CER**D-493 Notary Public

TRANSCRIBER'S CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 10th day of September, 2020.

Barbara Little Certified Transcriber AAERT No. CET**D-520