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## Decennial census purpose

- Why take a census?
- Apportion the House of Representatives
- Determine political districts
- Disburse funds for programs (more than \$400 billion in federal funds every year)
- Develop a portrait of our nation


## Decennial census goals and methods

- The 2020 Census
- Goal: Count everyone once, only once, and in the right place
- One person reports for everyone else in the household
- Questionnaire: Just a few questions (name, age, race/ethnicity, gender, own/rent, relationships within household)
- Citizenship question controversy
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## Population estimates and projections

- Estimates are historical population figures
- Projections are future population figures
- Produced by the US Census Bureau and the California Department of Finance
- Estimates are based on decennial census counts updated with recent administrative data
- Projections are based on assumptions about future fertility, mortality, and migration rates


## Latest population estimates match closely



Source: Department of Finance

## Projections for California sometimes diverge
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## Two primary surveys of the U.S. population

- Both surveys conducted by the Census Bureau
- Current Population Survey
- Monthly survey
- Focus on labor market
- American Community Survey (ACS)


## What is the ACS?

- Monthly survey conducted by the Census Bureau
- Annually samples about 3 million households
- Items covered are similar to those of the long-form questionnaire of the 2000 decennial census
- Replaced the long form of the census starting in 2010


## Topics Covered

- Demographic characteristics
- Income and employment
- Transportation
- Education
- Origins and languages
- Migration
- Disability and caregivers
- Housing: Physical characteristics
- Housing: Financial characteristics


## Advantages of the ACS

- Large sample relative to the Current Population Survey
- 3 million versus 60,000 households
- Timely data relative to the decennial census
- Lots of topics covered-great portrait of the nation on many dimensions


## Limitations of the ACS

- Not a count of the population
- Small sample relative to the decennial census
- Census long-form went to one in six households
- ACS goes to one in forty
- A moving average rather than a point in time
- Estimates for census tracts and block groups will be based on five year periods
- Can't be used for redistricting
- Still pegged to the census estimates
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## Administrative and other sources of demographic and housing data

- California Statewide Database (UC Berkeley)
- Voter registration
- Election outcomes
- California Department of Education
- School demographics
- School test scores
- California Employment Development Department
- Unemployment rates
- Occupations
- Private sources
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## California tends to have high net undercount rates

- 1990
- CA: -2.7\%
- US: -1.6\%
- 2000
- CA: -0.1\% (one of only 10 states)
- US: +0.5\%
- 2010
- CA: -0.26\%
- US: +0.01\%


## Undercounts vary by county



PPIC

## Concerns about a 2020 Census undercount

- Funding challenges
- General distrust that depresses response rates
- First-time Internet survey
- More aggressive administrative matching
- Non-citizen distrust
- Many apply more to California than other states


## What should we do about a bad Census?

- Department of Finance estimates
- Post-Enumeration Survey and demographic analysis
- Should the state adjust for the sake of redistricting?
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## California still has a large and growing population

Populations in thousands 1900-2018


## Comparisons of population change 1950=100



Source: US Census Bureau
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## California Population by Race/Ethnicity 1970-2018



Source: United States Census Bureau, decennial censuses and American Community Survey

## Ethnic Majorities by Census Tract, 2010



## Percent foreign born population 1880-2017



## Latin America is the leading source of immigrants

| Latin America | $5,327,000$ | $(50 \%)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Asia | $4,261,000$ | $(40 \%)$ |
| Europe | 639,000 | $(6 \%)$ |
| Africa | 181,000 | $(2 \%)$ |
| Canada | 128,000 | $(1 \%)$ |
| Oceania | 96,000 | $(1 \%)$ |

Source: 2017 American Community Survey

## California immigrants come from dozens of countries

| Mexico | Iran | Thailand | Pakistan | Cuba | Burma | Belize | Jordan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| China | Taiwan | Russia | Iraq | Argentina | Romania | Ireland | Saudi Arabia |
| Philippines | Canada | Nicaragua | Colombia | Israel | Ethiopia | Tukey | Sweden |
| Vietnam | Japan | Honduras | Indonesia | Lebanon | Nigeria | Chile | Nepal |
| India | Hong Kong | England | Brazil | Afghanistan | Ecuador | Malaysia | Costa Rica |
| El Salvador | Germany | Cambodia | France | Italy | Poland | Spain | Greece |
| Korea | Peru | Ukraine | Egypt | Portugal | Australia | Bangladesh | Sri Lanka |
| Guatemala | Armenia | Laos | Fiji | Syria | Netherlands | Jamaica | Hungary |
| 米 PPリ匚 | 25 Y EARS |  |  |  |  |  | 36 |

## Latinos have become the single largest ethnic group



## Young Californians are much more diverse



## California Population by Age, 2017



Source: American Communities Survey

## California's diversity is not represented among its voters

## Likely voters

Not registered to vote


Source: PPIC Statewide Survey


- White
- Latino

■ Asian
-Black

- Other


## Voters have different opinions than non-voters

"If you had to choose, would you rather have a smaller government providing fewer services, or a bigger government providing more services?"


Source: PPIC Statewide Survey
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## Population deviations: US Congressional districts



## Population deviations: California Senate districts



## Population deviations: California Assembly districts



## Population deviations are smaller so far this cycle
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## The CRC districts had to meet several goals

- Equal population
- Compliant with Voting Rights Act
- Geographically contiguous, compact, and respectful of communities with common interests
- Nested: two state assembly districts in each state senate district
- Not skewed by party or incumbent favoritism


## VRA: new plans improved Latino, Asian-American representation

Majority-minority districts by plan

|  | 2001 Plan | 2011 Draft Plan | 2011 Final Plan |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| African-American | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Latino | 18 | 19 | 26 |
| Asian-American | 0 | 0 | 1 |

## Cities: modest decline in split cities

Share of cities split between districts

|  | 2001 Plan | 2011 | Draft Plan |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $11 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Assembly | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Senate | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Congress |  |  |  |

## Counties: decline in split counties for Senate

Number of counties split between districts

|  | 2001 Plan | 2011 Draft Plan | 2011 Final Plan |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assembly | 27 | 27 | 28 |
| Senate | 25 | 26 | 20 |
| Congress | 24 | 27 | 25 |

## Compactness: districts became far less convoluted

Average district compactness (Polsby-Popper)

|  | 2001 Plan | 2011 Draft Plan | 2011 Final Plan |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Assembly | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.26 |
| Senate | 0.12 | 0.21 | 0.23 |
| Congress | 0.13 | 0.23 | 0.23 |

## Nesting: some remaining improvement after dramatic change

|  | 2001 Plan | 2011 Draft Plan | 2011 Final Plan |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Average number of <br> Assembly districts <br> per Senate district | 6.35 |  |  |
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## Fair and competitive plans were hoped for but not required

- Fairness to the major parties
- No large gaps between the overall number of votes and seats won
- Competitive races in most or all districts
- 45\%-55\% vote share for each major-party candidate


## Partisan fairness: Efficiency gap trends over time are noisy
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## Competition: CRC assembly districts are consistently more competitive than the districts drawn in 2001



## Competition: CRC senate districts are consistently more competitive



## Competition: CRC congressional districts are consistently more competitive
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## Notes on the use of these slides

These slides were created to accompany a presentation. They do not include full documentation of sources, data samples, methods, and interpretations. To avoid misinterpretations, please contact:

Eric McGhee (mcghee@ppic.org; 415-291-4439)
Thank you for your interest in this work.

