STATE OF CALIFORNIA CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:
CRC BUSINESS MEETING

FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 10, 2023 9:30 a.m.

Reported By: Bruce E. Carlson

APPEARANCES

COMMISSIONERS

Derric Taylor, Chair
J. Kennedy, Vice Chair
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernández, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner
Angela Vázquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

STAFF

Corina Leon, Staff Services Manager Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel Terri Isedeh, Fiscal Director Wanda Sheffield, Office Technician Kevin Healy, IT Consultant

TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

ALSO PRESENT

ASL Interpreter Captioner

PUBLIC INPUT/COMMENT

Sofia Quinones, East LA Boyle Heights Coalition

		3
1	INDEX	
2		PAGE
3	Call to Order and Roll Call	4
4	General Announcements	5
5	Administrative Updates	6
6	Chief Counsel Update	10
7	Public Comment	15
8	Subcommittee Reports	17
9	Motion Proposed	49
10	Proposed Motion Amended	51
11	Public Comment	51
12	Subcommittee Reports Continued	17
13	Motion to Adopt Draft Policy	87
14	Public Comment	88
15	Voting Roll Call on the Motion	91
16	Subcommittee Updates Continued	92
17	Motion to add Rotating Chair	129
18	Public Comment	133
19	Voting Roll Call	133
20	Closed Session	135
21	Continuity Subcommittee Report	136
22	Public Comment	185
23	Closing	186
24		
25		

1

25

2 3 PROCEEDINGS 4 9:30 a.m. 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: Good morning, California. I hope the 6 New Year is progressing well enough for everyone. 7 I just want to say before we get started, while 8 turmoil might appear to be all around us, there's always a bright horizon to work towards. So anyone experiencing 10 any hardship, or challenges, or struggles as we see on 11 the news, we wish them well. 12 It is February 10th, 2023, at approximately 0930 13 hours. And I would like to call this meeting to order. 14 My name is Derric Taylor. I'm your rotating chair 15 for this meeting, along with Vice Chair Ray Kennedy. 16 Wanda, can you please call the roll? 17 MS. SHEFFIELD: Yes. Good morning, everyone. 18 Okay. Commissioner Toledo. 19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here. 20 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Turner. 21 Commissioner Vázquez. 22 Commissioner Yee. 2.3 COMMISSIONER YEE: Here. 24 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Ahmad.

I have Commissioner Akutagawa.

- 1 Commissioner Andersen.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here.
- 3 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fernández.
- 4 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Presente.
- 5 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 6 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here.
- 7 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Kennedy.
- 8 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Here.
- 9 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Le Mons.
- 10 Commissioner Sadhwani.
- 11 Commissioner Sinay.
- 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Here.
- 13 MS. SHEFFIELD: And Chair Taylor.
- 14 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, Wanda. I am present.
- We can begin with, are there any Commissioner
- 16 announcements, anything Commissioners would like to say,
- 17 or advise us of, or inform us of over the last month or
- 18 so?
- 19 Commissioner Sinay.
- 20 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Chair. I just
- 21 | wanted to let everyone know that February; my fellowship
- 22 has started with How Women Lead, and the core of -- it's
- 23 | a Latina cohort from all over the Americas, so it's very
- 24 exciting. They're all social impact leaders. And the
- 25 | intensive part is this month, and it's 8 to 12 Mondays

- 1 and Fridays.
- 2 So I'll be in and out today, but I will be back all
- 3 afternoon. And the reason I'm sharing is just because
- 4 this is the -- my project is with Common Cause and
- 5 promoting Independent Redistricting Commissions
- 6 nationally. So it does link back to the work that we've
- 7 all been doing.
- 8 And I've had an opportunity several times to talk
- 9 about the work we did together. And that's why I'm mushy
- 10 | right now, is that yesterday someone really got me going,
- 11 asking me questions. And I had to say that in this world
- 12 of turmoil, it was an honor to serve with you, and in
- 13 | California, and see what democracy is really about, and
- 14 hear from Californians, and see how messy and beautiful
- 15 it all is. So thank you.
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. Any
- 17 other announcements, any other statements from
- 18 Commissioners?
- 19 All right. It looks like we're ready to dive into
- 20 | the day's meeting. So from there, then we'll go to
- 21 agenda item number 2, and admin updates.
- 22 | Corina? Corina, I think you're on mute.
- MS. LEON: Thank you. Is that better? Thank you,
- 24 | Chair Taylor; and good morning, Commissioners. Good to
- 25 be here.

I wanted to start off with just mentioning that

Commissioner -- or the Executive Director Alvaro, he has
been offboarded. And we have hired a retired annuitant,

Kevin Healy, who is present here today, and we've hired
him to assist the Website Subcommittee with determining
the data storage options for our website and COI data.

Kevin, are you? Okay. He'll be on soon. And then -- oh, there you are. Hi, Kevin. I wanted to introduce you to everybody.

MR. HEALY: I'm here.

MS. LEON: Hi. So Kevin is here to help us, or help the Website Subcommittee with data storage options. The other thing I wanted to share is that we've made a lot of headway with the UI and data storage. Commissioner Andersen has been leading us with that, and we've had several meetings with Analytica. And we're pushing along in finding homes for our data and how we're going to access that, and that's coming along, made a lot of headway there. And Commissioner Andersen will share a lot of that during the Website Subcommittee.

The other thing I wanted to mention is the website is coming along well. Martine (ph.) is I'm getting all the videos posted in that. We have found we're ready to go through ADA, a scan for compliance, ADA compliance for our website. And Commissioner Andersen and I, we may

- 1 need a -- we will need accessibility, website
- 2 accessibility person to help with that because a lot of
- 3 | the documents may need some work. So I have recommended
- 4 | somebody that's a web Accessibility SME. She has a lot
- 5 of experience with websites and with that -- in
- 6 particular website accessibility, that was her
- 7 | responsibility with Department of Education.
- 8 So I was going to -- I wanted to ask the
- 9 Commissioners' permission to hire her to help out with
- 10 that effort. BCP, we had a meeting yesterday with DOF,
- 11 and I thought it was very promising, and Commissioners
- 12 Fornaciari and Fernández will be speaking to that.
- Working on the interagency agreements, being that
- 14 | we're, you know, downsizing so much, we're working that
- 15 out. It's a little bit new to the DGS, so been working
- 16 | with Jaime Tovar so he's been helping out with that
- 17 effort for HR, Budget, Accounting, and OBAS, and legal
- 18 services for the work -- if we have new contracts. So
- 19 those should be in place in a week or so.
- 20 And then I'm contacting the DGS leasing agent to
- 21 | work out the rental if -- I'm hoping that they see
- 22 | that -- to extend the courtesy of providing us office
- 23 | space. So I'm working on that.
- 24 And then I think the last thing I wanted to mention
- 25 is TECs, I believe we have those up to date. And if

```
anybody has any questions to please let me know, and
we'll take care -- Wanda and I will take care of that.
```

3 Thank you.

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

4 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Any questions related to 5 our admin update, or for Corina?

Oh. Commissioner Kennedy. Go ahead.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Corina, can you just give us a little more on the office space; because I guess I thought that was already taken care of?

MS. LEON: Sure. I've contacted Nathan (ph.), and he wasn't involved, so he referred me to a gentleman with DGS. I just talked with him this morning, so he referred me to another person. So I'm kind of following. I don't know if -- they haven't asked us to pay rent. I haven't seen anything, but I want to make sure and confirm that it's going to be extended as a courtesy. I'm just looking for confirmation for that.

CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, Corina. Any follow up, Commissioner Kennedy? Or you've got the answer you're looking for?

21 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: (No verbal response).

22 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Any other admin

23 questions?

All right. Corina, it looks like we are off the

25 hook.

- 1 MS. LEON: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR TAYLOR: And we'll move on to our Chief
- 3 Counsel update. Counsel Pane.
- 4 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Good morning, Chair. Good
- 5 morning, Commissioners. I did want to give you a little
- 6 bit of a brief update since our last meeting. Continue
- 7 to have discussions with the Attorney General's Office,
- 8 just working out some various details, and trying to
- 9 address the questions that you all have asked. So
- 10 | continue to chase, chase us down to work with them to get
- 11 to a finalization.
- 12 Commissioner Kennedy highlighted a -- introduced a
- 13 | bill that -- with the U.S. Congress requiring the use of
- 14 | Independent Redistricting Commissions, it's H.R. 157. It
- 15 was just introduced, and so I will monitor that
- 16 | legislation and inform all of you as changes occur.
- 17 | With that, I don't have any other announcements.
- 18 But I'm happy to answer any questions anybody has.
- 19 Commissioner Fernández.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Good morning. Thank you.
- 21 Anthony. I did have a question, because I really
- 22 appreciate you monitoring these bills and kind of keeping
- 23 an eye out. And so with your discussions with the AG's,
- 24 Attorney General's Office, is that a service that they
- 25 | would also provide to us? I mean, is that activities

that they would provide?

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Good question. I do. I do
think they would. Yes. And yes, I will make sure that
that's something that is within their purview. But it
is. They, typically, will monitor State Legislative
bills, but certainly they also keep an eye out on related
Federal bills for client -- departments who are relevant.

CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen.

up on that, I thought they were not going to give a specific person. So how would that occur, you know, if they're following the bill? I thought that would have to be at least one person to kind of continue following these things. I was not under the impression that that was what the AG did, or would do for us at all. It was a very specific case-by-case basis.

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Well, what would happened, I think, is the arrangement sort of is taking shape a little bit, is there is an ask for legal services, and then we find out from them who -- we certainly could ask for a dedicated person, but they wouldn't, at this point, dedicate a particular individual who everybody could go to. So what we would do is we would -- there would be a single attorney who would maybe receive all the incoming requests from the Commission. And then, depending upon

availability and who the AG dedicates, or may be a person to address a particular question.

So if there was an interest in looking at or monitoring bills, the AG's Office may dedicate a particular person to just that function and inform the Commission, as needed, on those. In addition, what may end up happening, as an alternative, is if that's something that's within the portfolio for the Commission, the attorney that represents or participates in the Commission meetings as part of the -- you know, the counsel report, could also provide a summary on whatever bill is relevant for the Commission at that point.

So I think there's a couple of ways the AG's office could do it. I can't speak for them exactly how. I don't have an answer as to exactly how they would do it. The most they would provide for me at this point is to say they would run -- they can't say right now that it's a dedicated attorney, but what they would say is, they would receive the ask, the legal service request, and then they would be in contact as to who could work on that.

And that may be one attorney all the time, the same attorney. And we would certainly put in a request for that. But it may also not be. But they would do that.

I mean, they -- summarizing, or otherwise, providing

1 advice on interpretation of legislation is something that 2 they could do.

2.3

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, just a quick follow-up on that. What, would we have to bring it to them, to their attention with: Hey, follow this, follow that, or you know, you often find things that we don't necessarily know about, and bring to our attention. And then, too, on that, I was not clear that they would be sending an attorney for our meetings? I didn't think that was ever a possibility.

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: No. I think there're sort of two Commissioners, there's about two -- well, there could be more areas, but certainly one of the areas that I've had discussions with them is on Bagley-Keene. So they would absolutely have someone support the Commission during Commission meetings, and provide any related advice during Commission meetings.

They just wouldn't be able to say at this point who that person -- who that attorney is. But that is definitely something that I've been discussing with them about, is one major area where the Commission needs legal assistance.

- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
- 24 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yeah. Commissioner Kennedy.
- 25 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I'm wondering. This may

1 not have come up yet, but if they could set up a single email address to which any legal query, or request that 3 we had could be directed, and then they could direct it, or they could give access to that account to anyone who 4 5 would be handling that, but so that we, essentially, would have at least a virtual single point of contact for 6 7 legal support. Thank you. Yeah. 8 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: 9 definitely mention that as a way to do things. 10 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 11 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Fernández. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Thank you, 12 13 Anthony, for working with them. And you know, it sounds 14 like there's going to have to be some back and forth, and 15 maybe a list of -- initial list of services that we would 16 need. So hopefully, maybe you're working with Corina on 17 that so that we have something in place moving forward. 18 You know, as we get down to just Corina, at some 19 point we're going to -- we're going to need to make sure 20 that she's in the loop, included in the loop and with the 21 communications. And I know she has been in the meetings 22 with the -- that you've had with the Attorney General's 23 Office. So I'm also, maybe, hopeful that, is the AG 24 willing to, like, for our future meetings for the -- at

least until the end of the fiscal year, even though

- 1 | you'll be here, maybe also attend. Just so that they
- 2 know and see maybe what some of our questions are, what
- 3 some of the support that you provide, so that they're
- 4 familiar with the type of services that we would require.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Definitely noted. I will make
- 7 that request to them.
- 8 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Any other questions for
- 9 | Chief Counsel Pane? And Counsel Pane, you should
- 10 | consider all these queries, a compliment. We're trying
- 11 to find the same level of service we've had over the past
- 12 | in our new status. So yeah, we're --
- 13 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Thank you.
- 14 CHAIR TAYLOR: -- we're looking for that quality
- 15 | within that allotment of legal hours, so we're
- 16 struggling. Any other questions?
- 17 Thank you very much, Chief Counsel Pane.
- 18 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Thank you.
- 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: Kristian, if we can open up the lines
- 20 | for public comment regarding agenda item 2, which is our
- 21 admin updates and our Chief Counsel report.
- 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing, Chair.
- In order to maximize transparency and public
- 24 participation in our process, the Commissioners will be
- 25 taking public comment by phone. To call in dial the

```
1 telephone number provided on the live stream feed, it is
```

- $2 \mid 877-853-5247$. When prompted, enter the meeting ID number
- 3 provided on the live stream feed, it is 85436289451 for
- 4 this meeting. When prompted to enter a participant ID
- 5 simply press the pound.
- 6 Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a
- 7 queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press
- 8 star 9. This will raise your hand for the moderator.
- 9 When it is your turn to speak, you will hear a message
- 10 | that says: The host would like you to talk, press star 6
- 11 to speak. If you would like to give your name, please
- 12 | state and spell it for the record. You are not required
- 13 to provide your name to give public comment.
- 14 Please make sure to mute your computer or live
- 15 stream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during
- 16 | your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert
- 17 for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please
- 18 turn down the live stream volume.
- 19 And there's no one in the queue at this time, Chair.
- 20 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. We'll give me the moment
- 21 | for it to catch up -- I mean, mesh.
- 22 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And those instructions
- 23 | are caught up, and there is no comment in the queue.
- 24 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, Kristian. We will move on
- 25 to our subcommittee report, agenda item number 3. We

- will begin with the Finance and Administration Committee,
 which is Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner
 Fernández.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Neal will start.

5 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And I was too quick on the 6 button there.

So let's see. As Corina mentioned, we met with some folks from the Department of Finance yesterday. And the meeting went very well, I think. So we met with three folks, Charles LaSalle (ph.), who is the analyst who is working on our program, Emma Chung-Wirth (ph.), who is his supervisor, and kind of temporarily in charge of our work. And actually there, Emma's supervisor, Amy Jarvis, who is a -- I see her title here -- she's a program budget manager, so she's pretty far up the ladder in the Department of Finance.

So what we did is we gave them a bit of background and context about, you know, how we see the Commission as an ongoing entity. We want to continue to work with the work we want to continue to do is, we posted a document that we shared with them just for FYI purposes. And so we shared that document with them, and spoke to the work that we're proposing to do over the next several years, and then tried to justify why we need the additional approximately a couple hundred K to do that work.

And so we went through our speech. And then there were a number of questions, especially from Amy and Emma, and you know, really good and thoughtful questions by them. And I think that they're -- I mean, I think they had a lot better -- I mean, clearly, they have a lot better understanding of what we're proposing to do, and why we're proposing to do it, and what is the legal basis for us to continue to work. I mean, that was one of the first questions.

As you know, there was a thought that we were just close to be done once the maps are done. But we shared with them the legal language that indicated that we can continue to work, and then the basis for what we want to do. And then there was a question about the Legislature, and does Legislature know what we're doing.

And I'm going to turn that over to Commissioner Fernández to share her response, right.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. So they did ask us that question, and since I'm also part of the Legislative Subcommittee, I did let them know that we do have some partners that we work with. We have a scheduled meeting every two weeks. We meet if we have to. If we don't, we cancel it. And so they are -- I did let them know, that they are aware of our desire, as a Commission, to continue to move forward, and to continue to build up the

future Commission, the 2030 Commission, so that they can spend more time on redistricting, versus setting up the office, and hiring, and staffing, and contracts, and outreach, and education.

2.0

- So they were a little surprised that we are in contact with the Legislature. And I did let them know that we were successful in the last cycle, where we did have a bill that was -- that passed, having to do with our state incarcerated population. But I think that's good that we let them know.
- And also the document that, and Commissioner

 Fornaciari noted that we shared with the Department of

 Finance, we also posted that as a handout, so that the

 Commissioners would be aware, and the public would be

 aware of the information that we have forwarded to

 Finance.
- I think that -- and they did say, I believe, they would let us know -- Commissioner Fornaciari, in March, early March?
- COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Uh-huh. Yeah. They were treating the conversation that we had with them as a formal request because they're doing a spring revise or something like that, right now. It's going on right now, this week they're due. And so they're just going to treat the conversation as if we submitted a formal

```
request, and they're going to actually run it up the
flagpole, the conversation, up to their leadership and
potentially to the Governor's Office to kind of get their
```

- 4 position on it.
- 5 But they were very interested in where the
- 6 Legislature was with regard to what we're planning to do.
- 7 And that's the question to Commissioner Fernández. If we
- 8 had -- if the Legislature was aware, or whatever, and you
- 9 know, they were kind of, I think, a little bit maybe
- 10 | surprised that we have a biweekly meeting with the
- 11 Legislature, but that the Legislature is aware.
- 12 And I kind of get the feeling that, you know -- that
- 13 they feel like we're sort of an odd duck here with regard
- 14 to how this all works, compared to all the other
- 15 government entities, or the other entities they work with
- 16 | in State Government. And so there was an interest in
- 17 | where the Legislature stands on this. And you know,
- 18 maybe we can, you know, get them all on the same page,
- 19 and they will enable us to move forward with our proposed
- 20 work. And we should know for --
- 21 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And I just want --
- 22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: -- March.
- 23 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. And I just wanted to
- 24 | clarify, when I say "Legislative", it's Legislative
- 25 staff. We do not meet with any members, any elected

1 officials. So I just want to make sure that the public 2 is aware that it's the staff that we meet. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Uh-huh. 3 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And then besides the 4 5 meeting with the Department of Finance, which actually is very -- it's a crucial -- it's crucial that we find out 6 7 if it's a yes or a no. And I think one of the other subcommittees would go into that further. 8 9 But we also, Commission Fornaciari and I also met 10 with Corina and Alvaro, and we are -- we're working on 11 the report that we're mandated to forward to Department 12 of Finance and the Joint Legislative Budget Committee by 13 the end of the -- excuse me -- by the end of the fiscal 14 year. And that would have the budget information for the 15 last couple fiscal years, and that information would be 16 forwarded to them. And theoretically, that would be used to fund the future Commission. 17 18 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. So one of the big 19 things we're waiting on, on that, is the information from 20 the State Auditor, and on the money they spent, and how 21 they spent it, and we're not going to get that info 22 until; May? 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah?

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So it's due in June, so.
    But we'll work -- we worked on the draft before Alvaro
 3
    left, and so we we'll continue to refine it, and get a
 4
    draft out to you all to review and provide feedback on.
 5
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Do we have any questions
    for our Finance and Administration Committee?
 6
 7
        Already we have one. Commissioner Andersen, go
 8
    ahead.
 9
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: First of all, great job.
10
    And thank you very much. I know this is taking a lot of
11
    time, and effort, and thought to how you can just get up
12
    there and say everything that you need to say. So thank
13
    you.
14
        A quick question: Did they ever discuss about why
15
    they're kind of, like, at the gate telling us what we can
16
    and can't have. I mean, you know, that's always struck
17
    us as, the Legislature has already approved it, the money
18
    has been approved, yet they're sort of reappraising it.
19
    You know, we have often talked about how that's not being
20
    appropriate. Did they happen to even mention anything
21
    like that?
22
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Commissioner --
23
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: We didn't ask them that
24
    part. We're just trying to get the BCP approved.
25
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I think, Commissioner
```

- Andersen, I think part of that is due to the language
 that's put in by the Legislature into the budget bill
 that funds the Commission. And so normally the way it --
- 4 and Alicia, correct me if I'm wrong here -- but normally
- 5 | the way it works is a -- the department will work with
- 6 | the Department of Finance on a proposed budget. They'll
- 7 go back and forth, and then that budget goes to the
- 8 Legislature. The Legislature approves it, and that
- 9 department gets all their money.

the money out as they saw fit.

- But the Legislature, in this case, didn't want
 fourteen newbies running amok with state money, and
 spending it all like, you know, drunken sailors or
 something. And so they put language in there that said,
 the Department of Finance will have the authority to dole
- And so you know, that's a big part of it. And so
 you know, I mean, that's potentially something that we
 could work on for next time around and demonstrate that,
 you know, the Commission is able to manage the money
 effectively, and maybe we cannot have that caveat in the
 language down the road. But you know, down the road.
- 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you.
- 23 CHAIR TAYLOR: And Commissioner Fornaciari, that's 24 an interesting caveat, in that every ten years you get
- 25 | fourteen new drunken sailors, so.

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right. Yeah. And so
    maybe I mean -- so that's something, you know, that we
    can think about is how, how can we propose to, you know,
 3
 4
    define fully functional in a way that the -- that the
 5
    Legislature is comfortable that we -- that there are, you
    know, people in place who understand State Government
 6
 7
    enough to manage the Commission effectively.
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you very much. Any other
 9
    questions for our Finance Committee, Finance
10
    Administration Committee?
11
        All right. With that, we're going to continue to
12
    move forward. The pacing is wonderful. And I shall turn
13
    it over to our Lessons Learned Subcommittee.
14
         VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Chair. And thanks
15
    to those colleagues who have gotten comments to us, both
16
    Commissioner Yee and I are, I guess, working at outside
17
    jobs, real jobs these days, but still trying to devote
18
    some time to Commission business. So we are digesting
19
    and figuring out how to handle the input that we have
20
    received so far.
21
         For those of you who haven't, I would say you're not
22
    entirely off the hook, in that we would still be happy to
23
    have your input if you have any to give. Just because
24
    the 20th of February has come and gone -- sorry -- 20th
25
    of January has come and gone, doesn't mean that we can't
```

- 1 take input that we haven't yet received. So if you are
- $2\mid$ interested in providing input and haven't yet, please do,
- 3 and we still anticipate having this, not necessarily
- 4 | ready for voting by the March meeting, but certainly
- 5 before the end of the fiscal year, we do anticipate
- 6 having this report ready.
- 7 It is quite a -- it is quite a beast. Seventy-five
- 8 pages, approximately, is the body length. Once we add
- 9 all of the appendices, and then we plan to add to it, I
- 10 | would estimate another fifty pages, at least, of
- 11 appendices. So we're talking probably 125 to 150 pages.
- 12 You know, the purpose of this was always to give the
- 13 | 2030 Commission a single source for as much information
- 14 as we could put together that would help make their lives
- 15 easier. So I'm not surprised with the length of it. And
- 16 hopefully when the 2030 Commission comes around, they
- 17 | will indeed find it useful.
- 18 Commissioner, Yee.
- 19 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy.
- 20 Indeed, January, we put the revising on pause, waiting
- 21 | for input, and we do appreciate the input that's been
- 22 coming in, and definitely welcome further input. January
- 23 | 20 was -- you know, used to be a deadline just to get a
- 24 deadline out there. But Commissioner Kennedy and I were,
- 25 otherwise, employed for most of January, and so February

- is the big revision month, and our goal is to get a next draft -- at least the next draft out by the March meeting, depending on what the input looks like and how realistic that will be. We've also been promised a significant input piece from -- our friends at NALEO, so
- 6 we're looking forward to that and there may be others as
 7 well coming.

By the way, some of the comments, you know, point out that we really need a strong executive summary, and that's absolutely true. And we did not even draft one yet, because it just seemed like something that could wait until we had a better sense of the whole scope of the report. So we definitely intend to feature that in the next draft.

Also late breaking, I'm sure you all saw Alvaro's significant Executive Director report, that draft that came out just last night, or that I saw just last night, and I'm kind of curious what other people think the future of that should be. You know, it relates to the Lessons Learned report in a lot of ways, quite a bit of overlap in some ways.

It's not quite a finished product. It could still use some revision and proofreading, I think, but should that just simply be a standalone, something that exists in our archives? Or what should we do with that; any

1 thoughts?

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.3

24

25

2 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Kennedy, then

3 Commissioner Fornaciari.

4 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. And this is, in part,

5 | why I had asked, several meetings ago, that he submit it,

6 | initially, to the Lessons Learned Committee because it is

7 so closely related to the Lessons Learned report.

8 Unfortunately, we did not get that submitted to the

Dessons Learned Subcommittee first.

I mean, there were certainly very useful information in there. I would say that, you know, it really opened my eyes on a number of fronts. It also confirmed something that I had said a couple of times along the way, which was that I wish we had gotten some of this

15 information in the form of more detailed reports from the

Executive Director as we went along.

And I think that -- you know, probably prompts me to add something to the draft Lessons Learned report about, you know, the Commission may wish, they don't have to, but they may wish to provide the Executive Director with

21 a reporting template, to ensure that they get at least

22 certain minimum items reported back to them.

My feeling was always that we got answers to questions, but if we didn't have questions, we didn't get nearly as much reported to us as I would have liked in

```
1 order to follow what was going on. Like I say, the
```

- 2 report itself really, I think, is valuable, and I think
- 3 | we could -- we could probably both include it as an annex
- 4 to the Lessons Learned report, but also do our own
- 5 summary of it as, perhaps, a separate standalone
- 6 document. Thank you.
- 7 | CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 8 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I think,
- 9 | Commissioner Kennedy, really, really great points about
- 10 reporting, and what the expectations of the next set of
- 11 Commissioners might want to have with regard to
- 12 reporting. So I can -- I'm going to just try to speak to
- 13 Alvaro's intent on this document. And it was, his intent
- 14 was as a stand-alone document for the next Executive
- 15 Director and team to have to -- you know, kind of a
- 16 reflection of what happens behind -- in the back office.
- I haven't had a chance to look at it yet, so I just
- 18 | want to respond to Commissioner Yee's question about what
- 19 we should do with it, and just share his intent. But
- 20 then I'm not sure who we want to have, you know, do some
- 21 | editing on it, to get it to a final state and -- I don't
- 22 know.
- 23 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen.
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you for all the
- 25 comments so far. The one thing that I read, I was struck

1 with almost immediately, is all the things that are in this Executive Director's summary, before Alvaro came on board, are wishful thinking, specifically about what the 3 role the California State Auditor did, because it's 4 5 basically incorrect all the way along. This is more like for Lessons Learned, these are things we would have liked 6 the State Auditor to do, but they did not. 7 Like, you know, in terms of: Oh, they supported us through this, they supported us through that. No. 10 is not what happened. I mean, there was some -- I was 11 skimming through this, and I thought: That's not right. 12 That's not right. And that's not right. You know, that 13 would be nice. And you know, the idea that the 14 biggest -- one thing he put in there, the biggest reason 15 why we had such a problem getting documents out and 16 things, is because the Commissioner didn't understand state procedure. That wasn't the case at all. 17 It was, we only had two staff, period. That was it. 18 19 And you know the eight -- from the time we all became fourteen, we really had no other use of the State 20 21 Auditor. And that's what Commissioner Kennedy kept on 22 saying: We need to be fully functional. We weren't even 23 close. And that really struck me as, for Lessons 24 Learned, we really need to read back and go: That would

That would have been nice. And request these

25

be nice.

1 from the State Auditor.

2.3

It was such a -- it really opened my eyes to Lessons

Learned from back at that time, which as times moved on,

you know, I sort of had forgotten about it. So I would

like us to take some of the -- you know, the first eight

to kind of go through that and go: That's not what

happened. And revise it. And so even if just really for

Lessons Learned, but also for correct -- just for it to

be correct.

And then, so I also thought about; who edits this as a -- it was an issue. I am not sure who ultimately oversees this, except that I do see this as a Lessons

Learned item. And there's one other item, I can't think of right now, but that was what struck me is, it was a great summary document, or it needs to be corrected for historical purposes, because to be accurate, and then also to be included in the Lessons Learned, I think.

So I kind of like it as a stand-alone document, as an insert, so it's an appendices, say, in the Lessons Learned document, but it does need to be corrected. So thank you.

CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Fernández.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you, Chair. I have not had a chance to review it. Commissioner Fornaciari and I -- I know we had forwarded like this three-page

- 1 document a couple of months back. And I had to respond and say: Actually, what we really want is all of this other information in terms of back office. So I was 3 hoping that it would have been forwarded prior to him 4 5 leaving, so that we could go through it, so Commissioner Fornaciari and I could go through it. We could provide 6 7 feedback. I'm really -- I'm challenged right now. I'm torn right now of editing someone's document. So for sure I'm going to review it, but I don't feel comfortable 10 11 editing somebody else's document, because that's from his 12 perspective. We can do a rebuttal or some other 13 documentation where we disagree. But I just -- I don't 14 know, because he's not here. Unless he's willing to --15 if we call him and if he's willing to take some of our 16 suggestions and change his report, but at the end of the 17 day, it's his report. It's not the Commissioners' 18 report. I quess that's how I see it. 19 And so I would opt to have it as a stand-alone
 - And so I would opt to have it as a stand-alone document that this is from his perspective as the Executive Director. I really, again, I wish that we would have had an opportunity to provide feedback, and maybe some back and forth. And potentially, we could reach out to him to see if he's still willing to do that. But at this point, I'm just he sitant to make any changes

20

21

22

23

24

1 to his report. Thank you.

2.3

CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And if I can take a moment, I would agree wholeheartedly with Commissioner Fernández. I would think it's a stand-alone document. I wouldn't want to edit his perspective, views, words on any form if we chose, or have a rebuttal, or if we wanted to address something that was factually inaccurate. I think that's how we would address it as a rebuttal. But I'd be against changing his is words or views. Editing for punctuation would be one thing. Editing his ideas, I think is a -- or his perspective I would not be in agreement with. Thank you.

Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: So it sounds to me like we're probably actually closer to a path forward on this than we might be thinking, because, you know if -- my initial sense was, let's have it as an appendix, but let's derive a document from it. So the document that we derive from it can be, yeah, our word rather than his words. So we edit his for grammar, et cetera, but we leave the thoughts as they are and then come up with a -- a shorter document that is our views on what he put forward; include both of them with the Lessons Learned report.

And the one thing that I would say is, if Admin and Finance, as the subcommittee that probably worked most

- 1 closely with him on all of the admin and finance stuff,
- 2 | could take a first shot at coming up with a document with
- 3 points where we might see things differently from him,
- 4 and then share that with Corina, and then Corina can
- 5 bring it to the attention of the Lessons Learned
- 6 Committee and Subcommittee, and we can go there. That's
- 7 how I would see it. Thanks.
- 8 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Yee.
- 9 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. Yeah, that
- 10 | sounds good to me. I think Lessons Learned, we can
- 11 | certainly do the proofreading. But for input, I'm
- 12 | wondering even -- I mean, if just raw input from those of
- 13 | you who want to comment on it, and just include that, you
- 14 know, as an appendix to that appendix. Maybe because,
- 15 you know, we may not even all agree exactly on how to
- 16 respond to different items in his report. So just to
- 17 | include those raw comments, and may or may not be worth
- 18 the effort to come up with a fully digested, fully
- 19 edited, fully approved response document that represents
- 20 all fourteen of us.
- 21 As long as -- as long as the content is there, you
- 22 know, anyone who's really interested will find it. And
- 23 you know, it just might be easier to get collated
- 24 responses rather than a fully digested and rewritten
- 25 | consensus document to respond to his report. That's my

1 thought.

2 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. The one thing I think we, sort of out of respect, we owe Alvaro a chance to -- you know, so the time before he was there it's not -- it's not his fault that he had made certain assumptions and things, because he had to make assumptions on what happened there.

There's a whole section about what he thinks, and what would have helped, and that's totally opinion, and that's fine. But there's a lot of things which just are factually incorrect. And I know if I wrote a draft and gave to someone and it had factually incorrect information, I would really appreciate a chance to address that.

And I think, you know, given all the time Alvaro spent with us, he deserves that. Now, whether he has time to do it, and he says: Hey, sorry, I don't know. But we get direction from him because I -- you know, it clearly says "draft" all over it as well. So to take that and just put it in without going back to him and saying: Hey, you know, sorry that didn't happen, this didn't happen, you know, that wasn't what happened, you know, certain things like that.

Now, he'll say: Well, so-and-so told me, you know,

- 1 that's what it was. And if he wants to say that's what I
- $2 \mid$ want to keep in that's -- it's his document. But the
- 3 other items which I don't know if they'll: Oh. Whoops.
- 4 Okay, I didn't know that, I didn't know that. And as I
- 5 said, if it was my document, I sent a draft to someone
- 6 and then they just published it knowing information in it
- 7 was incorrect, it would really bother me.
- 8 And so I think we owe Alvaro, you know, out of the
- 9 time he gave us, the chance to have a relook at this
- 10 document. Then we can do whatever, we're saying, I agree
- 11 | with the rest.
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other comment?
- So Commissioner Andersen, maybe to your point, would
- 14 you suggest that we would extend to Alvaro an opportunity
- 15 to submit another draft?
- 16 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Or you know, certainly
- 17 | we can get in touch with him. I mean, I can write a few
- 18 things that, you know that I, I know are correct. You
- 19 know, this is given, you know, the time before he was
- 20 hired, there are certain things he said, you know: The
- 21 | State Auditor did this, and this, and this, and that's
- 22 | not true. It was basically, you know, Raul and -- oh,
- 23 | dear, I'm blanking right now. Our two staffs who did,
- 24 and walked us through all of this.
- 25 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Marian

```
1
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And there are, you know,
    there are certain things -- yeah, exactly. And there are
 3
    certain things that, you know, it makes sense that he
 4
    assumed we had staffing people to help us do that, but we
 5
    did not. And that makes -- the reason why this is
    important, is because if an Executive Director assumes
 6
 7
    that: Oh, well this, see, we got this, this, and this,
 8
    that is not the way it's going to be in 2030, unless we
    can change it.
10
         They will be once the eight are set, they're turned
11
    over to other people -- or to other people that don't
12
    work. But the training we did as the full eight, that
13
    was not with the State Auditors, that was with Raul
14
    and -- anyway, I'm blanking.
15
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Marian.
16
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Marian, yeah, Marian,
17
    exactly. And who brought in, you know, the stuff that
18
    was set up, the canned presentations. So it's a -- it
19
    would be a disservice to the 2030 if we leave -- the
20
    Executive Director says: Oh, see, this is already in
21
    place for us, where it is not -- for the 2030, when it's
22
    not. So that's, yeah, that's another reason why I
23
    want -- I'd like it to be accurate. And I'd be happy to,
24
    you know, jot some things together. I would ask some of
```

the other -- the first eight as well, to help on that.

1 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Toledo. 2 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yeah. I'm just struggling 3 with asking a former employee to take time to work on 4 this without compensation. So that's my biggest concern. 5 You know, working through some of these edits and working -- getting some feedback to him, I think that 6 7 would be great if we are able to somehow compensate him for the time. And I'm not sure how, or if he's willing, 8 and whether we could do that. 10 That being said, I also would feel uncomfortable 11 editing somebody else's work because it is, specifically, 12 from his perspective. And until there's that, an 13 iterative process of giving feedback, and I think we 14 just -- or we weren't able to give that feedback prior to 15 his departure. So that's my two cents. Thank you. 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Commissioner Kennedy. 17 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I mean, I understand 18 where Commissioner Toledo is coming from. But you know, 19 going back to what Commissioner Fernández said, the Admin 20 and Finance Subcommittee did try to get a more 21 substantive report from him early enough on, that we 22 would have had time to have this done, quote/unquote, "on 23 the clock", and or that when -- in a way, I feel like

we're due this one way or another. And you know, yeah,

being able to compensate would be nice. But you know, in

24

- 1 a sense, we we've already paid for it. I'd like to get 2 what we paid for. Thanks.
- 3 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Thank you.
- 4 Commissioner Fernández.

addendum, or some other page.

- COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I agree with Commissioner

 Kennedy. But I feel at this point, honestly, like to try

 to go back and forth with Alvaro, and try to get edits, I

 feel it may be more appropriate to just take his

 report -- his report. It is how it is. And if there are

 some factual inaccuracies, then we can state that on an
 - I don't -- I just feel we take it, and we just move forward with it. And of course I'll review it. And I hope that the rest of you review it as well. But again, it's his report from his perspective. So for me, it's hard for me to say what his perspective was, because it's his perspective, right. It's like telling someone their opinion is wrong. Well, it's his opinion? It's not wrong. So that's just how I'd say we should move forward.
 - CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Commissioner Ahmad?

 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. Just chiming in on this conversation, I think you should just take what we got and move forward. I think it's completely inappropriate to contact a former employee to do work for us in this

case. I just imagine if any one of my former employees
called me to finish some type of something for them, I'd
be like: What the hell? Not okay.

- So I think we should just take what we got. And I think it's, this point has been brought up multiple times already. This was his perspective, and there's truth in his perspective as well. And he didn't write this document for the Commission, he wrote it for the next Executive Director, which is a different role than what we played in our past here. So I just wanted to chime in there.
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Commissioner Yee.
 - input. And you know, I'm thinking, you know, and

 Commissioner Andersen is completely correct. You know,
 we don't want to mislead the next ED. You know, we can
 put comments even in brackets, right, editor's comments
 in the text, I mean, that's not inappropriate, I think.

 So why do we do that? So that it's unmissable, you know,
 by somebody actually reviewing -- reading the thing.
 - So yeah, I think there's certainly ways that we can simply comment and respond to points that need additional factual input that would make it clear, and without changing his actual text and just making it clear what's his, and what's not.

- 1 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Kennedy.
- VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I mean, that that sounds
- 3 like a good approach to this. I like also Commissioner
- 4 | Fernández's suggestion basically of kind of an errata
- 5 document might not -- might be more than one sheet, but
- 6 you know -- but whether we -- whether it's a separate
- 7 document, or whether we incorporate it as footnotes or
- 8 bracketed texts, I think we're on track, and we know how
- 9 to move forward with this. Thank you.
- 10 | CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. Yeah, as
- 12 I'm the one who sort of brought up this, and I think
- 13 I'm -- I've probably read a bit more of it than most.
- 14 | And I'd really like, because there are sections where
- 15 | it's absolutely you know, I think this, and this, and
- 16 this, the next director, da, da, da, da; and which is
- 17 | all very interesting, and you know, that's the stuff, his
- 18 opinion, and it's absolutely valuable, whether or not
- 19 like, well, maybe I wouldn't agree with that. But so
- 20 what?
- 21 And then, but there're factual things which were
- 22 incorrect. What I really liked about Commissioner Yee's
- 23 proposal is to put those corrections right there in that
- 24 document as a errata, that would be very valuable,
- 25 | because what I don't want to have happen is the next

- director say: Look, we don't need that because we already have this, and that's a -- which we don't have.
- 4 | get lost, if someone is going to go: Here's the Executive

And I like it. If it's another document that can

- 5 Director Summary, and just read that and think it's
- 6 correct factually. You know, and it's very different.
- 7 There are parts where it said, will actually say: This
- 8 happened, this happened, this happened. And then he
- 9 says: And what will be helpful is, da, da, da, da.
- 10 So he really does kind of make a difference in what
- 11 he's saying as fact, and what are his opinions. And I
- 12 | really think it would be valuable for the next director
- 13 to make sure that the facts are there. Also
- 14 additionally, because these, some of the items which
- 15 | aren't correct are items which we are trying to make
- 16 Legislative changes on, so I think it'll be very valuable
- 17 to have it in the document.

- I really appreciate Commissioner Yee's idea. That's
- 19 | a very, very good one, to get around it.
- 20 Additionally, I would like to contact Alvaro to let
- 21 | him know what's going on, and give him the opportunity,
- 22 because I have been, as a former employee, contacted on
- 23 previous jobs for questions, and clarifications, and it's
- 24 | sort of a -- it's a courtesy almost. As an engineer, if
- 25 another engineer comes and works on something that you

did, you, as a courtesy, contact them. It doesn't mean they change anything, or whatever, but it's just a courtesy.

- I understand Commissioner Ahmad's idea, like: Wait, they want to call and do what? No, it's more of a courtesy, which I would -- I would like us to do, so.

 And thank you very much, Commissioner Yee. That's a great idea.
 - CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other question or comment?

 So I do think that this is embedded in the Lessons

 Learned Subcommittee. I agree with Commissioner Yee's suggestion, and I think that we, as Commissioners, after reviewing the documents should provide feedback en masse, so that it is a cumulative product, and not piecemeal from each one of us.
 - What would you need from us, Commissioner Yee? What direction, or where would you like to -- where would you like to go?
 - COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, I guess just to settle that this is being assigned to Lessons Learned, and that we'll receive feedback through Corina, and make good use of it.
 - CHAIR TAYLOR: That's it. That's where I land, settled in Lessons Learned, and Commissioners review the document, provide the feedback to Corina, or funnel to the Lessons Learned Subcommittee. And you guys have more

- 1 work.
- 2 And any other -- any other questions for the Lessons
- 3 Learned Subcommittee?
- 4 Thank you. I mean, Commissioner Kennedy,
- 5 | Commissioner Yee, a wonderful job, you guys. It's
- 6 something to emulate. I appreciate the work project.
- 7 | COMMISSIONER YEE: It's a lot of work, but it -- you
- 8 know, it's truly a pleasure, because I just love our
- 9 story. Every which way I can find to tell it, and retell
- 10 it, I'm happy to do, so.
- 11 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Going once, going twice.
- 12 This committee's report is sold.
- On to the Website Subcommittee, myself and
- 14 Commissioner Andersen; Commissioner Andersen has been
- 15 doing a lot of the technical heavy lifting. So I'm going
- 16 to turn it over to her, and Corina, and Martine, and
- 17 Kevin, and it's a growing group.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Thank you, Commissioner
- 19 Taylor. Yeah. Commissioner Taylor has been very
- 20 gracious and makes everything if he can. I mean, sort of
- 21 getting into the weeds with the whole group, as
- 22 Commissioner Taylor just said. And it's a really good
- 23 thing we have, because there are many items that have
- 24 | arisen which we didn't know about.
- Now, first of all what I -- okay, I want to talk

- 1 about three different things. The first one is the ADA
- 2 compliance. And then the second is the companies that
- 3 | we're sort of going with, or we're proposing to go with,
- 4 | the software, that sort of thing. That's essentially the
- 5 user interface, the data. And the third will be the
- 6 | Senate deferral maps. And I'm going to have
- 7 | recommendations that -- actually, you know, the
- 8 Committee, so recommendations for each of those items.
- 9 And number one, I want to talk about the ADA
- 10 compliance because -- and the recommendation on this is
- 11 | that we hire another RA whose specialty is ADA compliance
- 12 | for websites. And there, just briefly, I think it has to
- 13 be very brief because we all know our website must be ADA
- 14 | compliant. And it turns out, you know, we're -- we have
- 15 been busy moving content from our existing dot-org
- 16 | website to the dot-ca.gov website.
- 17 But it turns out that Martine, for all the work
- 18 | he's, been doing is wonderful, is not knowledgeable about
- 19 ADA compliance. So he's been moving all the content, but
- 20 | that does not mean it's ADA compliant. There's a whole
- 21 other step involved, and it turns out there are -- so
- 22 | what one needs to do is evaluate an entire website as it
- 23 stands, make modifications in it to be ADA compliant.
- 24 Then, every document that you then bring in, and
- 25 update, and to put on, anything you post on your website

```
1
    requires, essentially, like to -- you know, to be
    screened, sort of. You have to have tags and things put
 3
    on it. It isn't just what font are you using, what
 4
    size -- you know, what style, and size, or font, you
 5
    know -- or margins.
                        It's more than that.
        And while we have Corina, who excels at, you know,
 6
 7
    all things technical, this is not her expertise. And we
    really need to hire someone who, this is their expertise.
 8
    And I'm going to -- the reason why I'm going sort of --
10
    make a little stop right there is, to do this we would
11
    need to have a vote. And I don't know if we want to do
12
    this now or we want to talk a little bit about later.
13
    But I'm concerned because it would be a special vote.
14
         So Corina, could you please give us a little bit
15
    more information on this -- oh, I do see that
16
    Commissioner Fernández has a question.
17
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes, go ahead.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Actually, not a --
18
19
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes, go ahead, Commissioner.
20
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Actually, not a question --
21
    okay. That's what I was going to say. If it's hiring
22
    personnel, we don't have a supermajority right now. But
23
    if it is a personnel services contract that can be under
24
    10,000, then I do not believe it requires a supermajority
```

vote. I was trying to give you options. How's that?

1 MS. LEON: Yeah. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: But I'm sure, there may be 3 other options too, those of the two that I know of. 4 MS. LEON: Okay. I think we do have -- I mean, I 5 think we have the special -- for the special vote. believe we have the numbers for that, if we wanted to do 6 7 that but we --COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We're missing -- we only 9 have two Democrats on right now. 10 MS. LEON: Oh. 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Can we phone a friend. 12 MS. LEON: Kennedy --13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: We possibly can? 14 MS. LEON: Yes, actually, Commissioner Turner gave 15 me her number to call her if she needs to be on. So the 16 web SME that I know -- I get that she's been -- that was 17 her specialty was web accessibility. She took care of 18 all the Department of Education. And there're certain 19 tools, there's three tools that are required to do this. 20 And I think -- do you want me to go into the cost of 21 those, or is that something different? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, I don't think we 2.3 need to do that --24 MS. LEON: Okay. Yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- right now to --

```
MS. LEON: So there's three tools that are required.
```

2 And you know, she would come on board and just, you know,

3 assist Martine, and work on that part of the website, and

4 getting that compliant. So there are tags and that, that

need to be put on the documents before you post them, on

6 PDFs and that -- and that's what she would work on, is

7 strictly that part of the website, and making sure it's

also meeting state standards as far as the look, and

9 everything.

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So she's very familiar with all of that, and she's very good, very reliable. I've worked with her on several projects myself. So I do recommend her as a person that could take care of this for us.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And then one thing I'll say, the bonus is, and then she would also visually train Corina.

MS. LEON: Yes; on those too, yeah.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: To then, how to -- yeah, how to use this, you know, the different software, there are actually three different softwares involved.

MS. LEON: Yeah. And I'm familiar with two of them. So I've done a little bit of it, but she's very fast.

She's fast, and she can get this done before June. You know, she can get this done for us.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And that's the other reason

```
is -- that we're recommending to actually hire the

person, is because between now and June -- well, actually

in terms of moving forward with UI project, and that sort

of stuff, the website has to be ADA compliant, and we are
```

5 trying to make sure that everything we put onto this also

6 works. We don't want to have to then, after the fact, go

back and try to modify it, so it's all ADA compliant.

So getting her on board, doing this quickly now is the most -- one, it makes the most economical sense because, Corina does not know this, and would have to take a lot longer to do it. Where if we hire someone who, this is their expertise, we can essentially work with an expert on it, and then learn from that in half the time. And we need to do it in half the time, so we have time to finish the rest of it before the end of

MS. LEON: Okay. And that we do need to have a Website Accessibility Certificate attached to our website by July 1st. That's a requirement as well.

CHAIR TAYLOR: So the recommendation is from the Websites Subcommittee is that we hire a SME -- I just was looking for a chance to say "[shm-ee]", hire a subject matter expert for website accessibility, correct?

MS. LEON: Correct.

June.

25 CHAIR TAYLOR: Now, does that vote need to take

- 1 place in closed session, as it is, it's a personnel
- 2 matter.
- 3 MS. LEON: That's what I understand Anthony -- from
- 4 Anthony that it does.
- 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: And so my understanding is that we,
- 6 tentatively, in our pre-meetings, we had thought that
- 7 | that vote would take place at 1:30 when we had sufficient
- 8 Commissioners to conduct that vote; does that sound
- 9 correct?
- 10 MS. LEON: Yes.
- 11 CHAIR TAYLOR: Okay. So for the moment, we are
- 12 going to -- so we have a -- do we need a motion to go
- 13 forward for that?
- MS. LEON: Uh-huh. Yes. A motion and a second
- 15 motion. Okay.
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: We need a motion and a second, for
- 17 | that hiring -- hiring proposal, correct?
- MS. LEON: Uh-huh. Yes. That's my understanding.
- 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: So I'm anticipating a motion from
- 20 Commissioner Andersen, which I will probably second.
- MS. LEON: Yes.
- 22 | CHAIR TAYLOR: And that's from the Website
- 23 Subcommittee?
- 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. I move that we
- 25 | authorize Corina to hire an RA in a -- a SME, in the

- 1 | field of the ADA compliance for the website.
- 2 CHAIR TAYLOR: And I would second that. Any
- 3 discussion? Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 4 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So yeah, I was just
- 5 | wondering, that was a question I was going to ask. This
- 6 is an -- this would be an RA position?
- 7 MS. LEON: Yes.
- 8 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Thank you.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. Okay. So we should --
- 10 | well, actually doing the vote -- so we'll vote -- I
- 11 propose we vote in closed session on this. So is that.
- 12 MS. LEON: Uh-huh.
- 13 CHAIR TAYLOR: Does that --
- 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Taylor; is that
- 15 | correct?
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yeah. They were just trying to get
- 17 | the procedure correct. Is the close session on the
- 18 hiring of the person, and the motion for the acceptance
- 19 of the role?
- 20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. Correct.
- 21 CHAIR TAYLOR: And we have Anthony here with his
- 22 hand up; thank you, for a little bit of guidance.
- 23 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Good morning. Yes. So I
- 24 think, I think what Corina is asking for is a motion to
- 25 proceed with that. The actual vote would be a personnel

- matter voted, meaning with a supermajority in closed
 session.
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So with that, I'm

 modifying my motion slightly. I move that we proceed -
 allow Corina to proceed with the hiring of an RA for this

 SME position for the ADA compliance of the website.
- 7 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And I accept the 8 amendment. So any further comment?

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

- Kristian, can you open the line up for public comment as it relates to the motion on the floor?
- 11 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing, Chair.
 - The Commission will now take public comment for the motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247, and enter meeting ID number 85436289451. Once you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. The full call-in instructions are read at the beginning of the meeting and are provided on the live stream landing page.
 - And there is no one in the queue at this time, Chair.
- 21 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And let me know when the 22 feed has caught up to us.
- PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are complete, and there is no one in the queue.
- 25 CHAIR TAYLOR: Copy. So now we vote on proceeding

- 1 | forward with this. It's not a hiring decision, correct?
- 2 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: So I think -- if I could just
- 3 jump in here. I think what Corina is trying to do is
- 4 just to get a sense from the Commission that this is
- 5 something that you would do in closed session.
- 6 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes. Yes.
- 7 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: That's all this is. It's
- 8 | really a preliminary step.
- 9 CHAIR TAYLOR: Got it. So then we will
- 10 move forward with the vote in closed session.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Then, I'm going to
- 12 move on to -- thank you very much, everybody. The next
- 13 | item is actually specifics with the user interface, the
- 14 data, and even our maps.
- Just briefly, you know that we're talking about
- 16 using Snowflake for holding our data, and Analytica was
- 17 | the company we've hired to, essentially, build our user
- 18 interface. And in working with the two of them, it turns
- 19 out that Snowflake is not appropriate at all. We moved
- 20 into a contract, the emphasis being security and
- 21 maintenance, but what they do is they actually are very
- 22 | secure. And yes, they maintain their things, but secure
- 23 | to a level of, say, hospital data, individual hospital
- 24 data.
- Our data is actually public data. And where this

- 1 comes into play is our -- essentially our Airtable, as
- 2 | you look at it right now, we have, essentially, an Excel
- 3 | spreadsheet, right, that has data in it, and then it has
- 4 links, and those links to our different types of files,
- 5 our PDFs, our JPEGs, our shapefiles, we need to have
- 6 links that are available, that our user interface
- 7 addresses these links.
- 8 Well, Snowflake generates a new link every twenty-
- 9 | four hours, because it's that private so -- and they're
- 10 | called static links. They essentially don't do that.
- 11 And for us to pay for them to be updating a new link
- 12 | every twenty-four hours is, of course, costly. And
- 13 which, if we needed that service, it would be more than
- 14 | worth it. But that's just not what we need at all. We
- 15 | actually need static links.
- 16 And for them to try to do that, it's very Rube
- 17 | Goldberg. It's just you don't -- to try to do that is
- 18 really meshing things that don't mesh, and shouldn't, and
- 19 we really got into the weeds about how they could and
- 20 | couldn't, and everyone kind of went: This is really not
- 21 appropriate; you know, thanks very much, but this is not
- 22 going to work.
- 23 So we have switched out that and we basically --
- 24 also it turns out, you know, part of our data; now, I'm
- 25 talking not just the Airtable, but our other information

- 1 that we also have to have with the links are videos, videos for our meetings, also for all our training, and things on our -- we actually have training about how to 3 4 use our map viewer, trainings on all the training that we 5 Those are all videos. And not even stores videos, if they stored data they tend to store -- well, I 6 7 don't -- I don't want -- I'm trying to make this short version not into the weeds. 8 So we looked around. It turns out that our videos, 10 we're actually going to go into a YouTube channel. We'll 11 actually have our own YouTube channel, as the Governor 12 does, many of the state agencies have. And then we 13 started looking at, okay, what do we do for the actual 14 data-data, and that's where we hired, actually, Mr. 15 Healy.
 - And so I might actually have him sort of actually introduce himself, and tell a little bit about you, because you've been extremely valuable to get us understanding what our options are, what's available in a very, very quick, which is why we're looking -- you know, why we've hired him in the first place. This has gone so much quicker, and so much faster, because of his expertise.

So Kevin, could you please introduce yourself and

just tell us a little bit about yourself, please?

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

```
1
         MR. HEALY: Well, it's nice to meet you all.
    worked in IT for about thirty years. I started with
 3
    control systems in the power industry, and I spent the
 4
    last fifteen years at the State Data Center running
 5
    the -- for those who know what it is -- WebSphere
    infrastructure on UNIX for EDD, DMV, health services, and
 6
 7
    working on other projects as they came along. So I don't
    like getting into a lot of the IT stuff because most
 8
    people kind of fall asleep when I start talking.
10
         But yeah, Snowflake was a -- excuse me -- is an
    excellent product, but it's just not something that you
11
12
    guys need for your website at this point? I don't know
13
    if there's anything else you want me to cover.
14
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, thank you, Kevin.
                                                          We
15
    might have you jump in.
16
         But Corina, do you want to kind of just do a quick
    rundown of, you know, the data where -- sort of what
17
18
    we're going to be -- what we're talking about now,
19
    instead?
20
         MS. LEON: Okay.
21
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And a part of this is,
22
    there's a few things -- this is more of an update. We're
23
    still working out some of the details, but I'll have you
24
    give us, you know, where we are now, please, Corina?
25
         MS. LEON: Okay. So what we're doing now is -- oh,
```

- 1 it's just timing is very surprising sometimes, right. So
- 2 | while this was going on, when we were realizing that
- 3 | the -- Snowflake wasn't able to do static links with us,
- 4 | for us without a third-party app, we were contacted by
- 5 the Department of Transportation and Technology because
- 6 our maps -- district maps are very --
- 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, I'm going to hold
- 8 you there on that one.
- 9 MS. LEON: Okay.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Because I actually want to
- 11 | talk just briefly. You know, the data part that's in our
- 12 Airtable.
- MS. LEON: Okay.
- 14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: We actually have to have a
- 15 place for that.
- 16 MS. LEON: Right.
- 17 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So I believe Snowflake --
- 18 MS. LEON: Yeah.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- and remember we talked
- 20 about the different links, shapefiles are different.
- 21 MS. LEON: Right.
- 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Because not only does our --
- 23 when we were talking about our data, and what we're doing
- 24 for our website, our map viewer of our districts that
- 25 also expires, we actually have to have a whole new way of

- 1 putting our map viewer on our website.
- 2 MS. LEON: Uh-huh.
- 3 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So this is an item that we
- 4 hadn't really been talking about as far as for the full
- 5 Commission at all.
- 6 MS. LEON: Right.
- 7 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That was still out there.
- 8 MS. LEON: Right.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And so that one I want to
- 10 get into in just a minute.
- MS. LEON: Okay.
- 12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. If you could just,
- 13 say, back to where the data and the Tableau are.
- MS. LEON: Oh. Well, I brought that up because I
- 15 was thinking during those meetings that we discovered
- 16 | there's a data.ca.gov that we thought might be a fabulous
- 17 place for our public data. But as it is, we have too
- 18 many files, so that that didn't work out. So now we've
- 19 been looking at possibly -- we do have a cloud service
- 20 through CDT that we use right now for our Airtable.
- 21 And so we might -- I've been making some calls and
- 22 getting -- wanting to get some figures on that, the cost
- 23 on that. So that's one option. And the other option is
- 24 | we can actually store these files, because now we're down
- 25 to -- when we were looking at Snowflake, we were in need

of a database, but actually we have a flat file for a COI data that Analytica is actually going to be able to store within the application.

2.3

- So that relieved that need of a database, so we don't have that need, nor necessary to maintain that database. So then we're down to the attachments, which are PDFs, and image files, and shapefiles. So as it turns out, along with -- and Commissioner Andersen will get into that with -- part of data.ca.gov, they have a geoportal section, and so they have the tools for maps, the Esri tool. And so they are working on right now, being able to create a condensed file for our shapefiles, the COI shapefiles, to be able to display on our UI.
- So that's looking very successful right now.

 They're working on it. And so we have a lot of progress there. And so with that taken care of, now we're down to PDFs and image files; so that's where we're thinking maybe that what we're going to look into is our cloud storage option, or we can actually store those, I can create a SharePoint space, and secure it, and offer those files. They can actually access them through a SharePoint drive.
- And they're testing that out right now. I put those out there. Sent that link to Analytica, and they'll be letting us know how that works out. Is that accurate?

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. 2 MS. LEON: Yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, Yeah, Analytica is 4 basically using a program called -- it's called Tableau. 5 So I guess it's more than just a program, isn't it? MS. LEON: Uh-huh. 6 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And Tableau is actually kind of what -- it's a little bit like Airtable sort of in 8 that -- it's more than that, and Tableau will actually be 10 what Analytica is using for us to then grab our files and 11 things from multiple different locations. And in this, 12 because one thing that we're trying to make sure is we 13 can see it as a map, you can actually see the file, you 14 know, the JPEG, in the shapes -- specifically the 15 shapefiles. 16 You know, all the things from We Draw My Community, 17 all those sorts of things. And in doing this, that's 18 when it also came out that: Oh. By the way, or you know, 19 showing our districts, our total district maps, that also 20 is going to expire. We need a place for those too, which 21 I was actually not aware of. But it turns out that there 22 is this, we're going to just kind of briefly dashed --23 zipped over that, the data.ca.gov is another branch of 24 the California Department of Technology, and it's used

for all public agencies' data, but --

- 1 MS. LEON: Open data.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- yeah, open data, open
- 3 data. But as you said, ours is not just a data, it's
- 4 actually data with links and things. So it's really not
- 5 appropriate to be stored there, the data. But part of
- 6 this, the group also is called the Geoportal. And it
- 7 turns out that they have big maps. They have school
- 8 district maps, they have all the maps, and they are
- 9 trying to be the authoritative site for mapping in
- 10 California.
- 11 There was a similar kind of group -- well, I don't
- 12 know if the -- Esri, but they also use the Esri, which we
- 13 have used on our map viewer. So it's like a perfect
- 14 match. But it turns out that they came to us.
- And Corina, I'm going to have you quickly tell us
- 16 how we kind of walked into this backwards.
- 17 MS. LEON: Okay.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But it's exactly what we
- 19 need and -- go ahead.
- 20 MS. LEON: Well, Department of Technology and
- 21 Transportation contacted us for a meeting because it
- 22 turned out that Department of Education has also accessed
- 23 our maps, and they're using them to display the school
- 24 districts within the new districts, the Geoportal. And
- 25 | so they are in -- they are really -- our maps are very --

in high demand, and really used and wanting to be used by many, many other public entities.

And it's a perfect match, and that they weren't aware that we were a state agency. So when we explained that to them, they were just thrilled. And they offered, as a courtesy, because they usually charge -- they do charge for the departments to put their -- to use the Esri and the map hub. They don't charge to put your data, the open data portal that they host for no cost, that's a courtesy to all state agencies.

But the Geoportal they do charge a fee. And because they're really excited about having our maps, they're offering to do that as a courtesy. And what that does for us is, number one, free us from the need for the licenses. But it also is that they will maintain our map. And so that's a big plus as well.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, I see we're getting particularly short on time. Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Just you know, one thing that would be really nice, if there was some way that we could search, you know, via keyword or whatever for our -- the documents, you know, right now, all of our documents that we posted, you have to know which meeting it was from, and how to dig it up. But you know, I'm

- wondering if there's a way that we can structure it so that our documentation is searchable.
- 4 about the website, one of the tools that we do need is
- 5 called a Mapper, and what it'll do is map out all the
- 6 documents within our website. So I think we can use that

MS. LEON: Okay. Yeah. Yes. So we're talking

- 7 tool to provide like an index. I'll talk with -- I'll
- 8 talk with Tammy (ph.) on that. But I think that tool
- 9 | will help us with that.

- 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. What Corina is
- 11 talking about there's a -- there's kind of three
- 12 different softwares for ADA compliance, and one of those
- 13 | is Mapper, which the bonus is, it kind of puts out your
- 14 | website in -- essentially like an index. And so we're
- 15 thinking of the dual purpose there, that we'll actually
- 16 have that, so we can, actually, for our own purposes, but
- 17 | we can probably use that somehow or another as a tool to
- 18 do exactly that. To sort of search, you know, what we
- 19 need for it. We don't have to restructure it. Basically
- 20 | what we're doing here is -- so I'm kind of jumping to my
- 21 next topic, essentially --
- 22 | CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Andersen?
- 23 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 24 CHAIR TAYLOR: If we have a pause. We'll go ahead
- 25 and take that pause. We have to take our fifteen minute

- back, a break, and we will return in fifteen minutes and
 we'll come right back, right back to where we were.

 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's exactly what I was
 going to say. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: At 11:15. Thank you. See you guys 6 in a second.
- 7 MS. LEON: Thank you. Thank you.
- 8 CHAIR TAYLOR: Return at 11:15.
- 9 MS. LEON: Okay.

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

that spot.

- 10 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:00 a.m.
- 11 until 11:15 a.m.)
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: Welcome back to the February 10th,
 13 2023, Meeting of the California Citizens Redistricting
 14 Commission. We left as Commissioner Andersen was giving
 15 the Website's Subcommittee report. We will return to
- 17 And take it away, Commissioner Andersen.
 - COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you very much, fellow subcommittee member, as well as Chair Taylor. So just in kind of a summary, the first one was ADA compliance. The recommendation was that we hire someone, an expert. The second one is, you know, what's going on with, who we're hiring -- you know, who we're trying to hire, and who we're working with. Basically, as far as the user

interface, we do have a rough link of what it's looking

like. And we will be, if we haven't already, we'll forward that to the Commissioners.

It does look very different.

They could have -- could you please have a play
around with it? See if, you know, when you are using the
COI information and the Airtable, you know, what did you
look for? And to make sure that you can get that
information, and/or more, out of this new user interface.

know, play around with it a little bit, as I said, and send any comments, probably to Corina directly, I think. And then she'll forward it to the subcommittee. And the recommendation from the subcommittee on -- so this whole topic is to allow Corina and the Admin to move forward with the vendors and contracting as was previously -- we previously authorized her, but it's just, we're making changes.

And then, there is from this item of Lessons

Learned, and has come out of that, and specifically is,

we had the website as part of the communications group,

which was never intended, and it has always been awkward,

and someone came off Commission -- because that's not

really what they do, and not really what they're trained

for.

The website is integral to every subcommittee, and

- 1 it's like the office, and the phones, and the computers.
- 2 | I would -- at least we recommend that be moved to Admin
- 3 and have the appropriate technologically trained person
- 4 doing it, which would -- it would have eliminated so many
- 5 headaches all along the way.
- And so I can get more to that, definitely. But I
- 7 think we all think back: Boy, would that have helped?
- 8 And having that person involved in the administration
- 9 part for the contracts involved, any kind of technical
- 10 | would have saved us a lot. We didn't know at the time,
- 11 and we duplicated and it's -- we've gone around and
- 12 around, and: Oh, I didn't know that, and that person
- 13 | wasn't talking to this person, because it wasn't at the
- 14 admin level.
- And so I would highly recommend that. Then, moving
- 16 back to our mapping and the shapefiles from our data,
- 17 | this is when we got into a meeting with the -- under the
- 18 data.ca.gov, which again, is part of the California
- 19 Department of Technology, CDT, the Geoportal portion.
- 20 This is very exciting and we'll actually show you --
- 21 | well, it actually would appear similar to our mapping
- 22 tool. But the way -- and I'm sorry -- I'm going to have
- 23 to go back to Corina on this one. The way we got into
- 24 | that is, they actually came to us for a whole other
- 25 reason.

```
1 And so Corina, do you want to talk about that?
```

- 2 MS. LEON: Yeah. My understanding is that the
- 3 Legislature has asked Department of Transportation,
- 4 | because they're also into maps big time, to handle the --
- 5 how I understand it, is there's between 2020 and 2024 --
- 6 am I going in the right direction?
- 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, actually I was just
- 8 going to say how they --
- 9 MS. LEON: Okay.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- basically the Senate
- 11 deferral maps.
- 12 MS. LEON: Yes.
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Is what we're talking about
- 14 here.
- 15 MS. LEON: Okay.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. And why they were
- 17 | looking for it, I really don't want to get into, but
- 18 Department of Transportation --
- 19 MS. LEON: Yeah.
- 20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- was looking for those
- 21 maps.
- MS. LEON: Right. Well, they actually found
- 23 us because Department of Education used our maps --
- 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.
- MS. LEON: -- on the Geoportal. So that's why they

- 1 looked for us. And so they really -- I mean, our maps
- 2 | are in very high demand. And so that's why Geoportal,
- 3 | we've been working with Sam (ph.), and his boss has
- 4 offered us, as a courtesy, to host our maps and the use
- 5 of their Geoportal, Esri hub, to display our maps. And
- 6 then we can embed that, just like it looks right now
- 7 currently, into our website.
- 8 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.
- 9 MS. LEON: And that's how that would work.
- 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. For our map viewer,
- 11 and also, we're also going to use them for -- they're
- 12 | also on a different level; they'll be also hosting our
- 13 shapefiles.
- 14 MS. LEON: Yes.
- 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So we can actually grab
- 16 | that --
- 17 MS. LEON: Correct.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- back to our user
- 19 interface.
- 20 MS. LEON: Correct. Correct.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And the things that come out
- 22 about this is the Senate deferral maps, currently -- and
- 23 just quickly, I think we all know what those are. Do you
- 24 know how we do for -- we're actually numbering our Senate
- 25 districts, we actually decide what is odd and what's

even, when you start from the north, and head east, and move that way as you're numbering.

But for the Senate maps you have to go: Hang on a sec, because every -- it staggers, in 2022 the new districts that were up for election were the even ones, not the odds. So in '22 to '24, or you know, blank-2 to the blank-4, you know, every ten years, there is a stagger there, where the even -- the new even districts get -- elected new people. But The People who were in an even district last time but now are actually in an odd district, they're kind of thrown out. And basically there's an old -- there's people who are deferred, and the people who are accelerated.

And this is how we -- to minimize that -- is how we numbered our districts. So we sort of created this. But that map doesn't exist. Well, you can imagine that the Senate just, in the House Senate Rules Committee, is in charge of actually deciding, who gets what, and who -- you know the people who, quote, "aren't represented", who actually represents them? And the people who are doubly represented, who represents those?

And to do that, they kind of had to look at a map somehow or another. And everyone is asking us, it turns out, we're getting staff level -- we're getting questions about that all the time. And we point, we say, talk to

the Secretary of State. No one there answers the phone because they don't have that bandwidth to do it, and the Senate did something or rather.

So basically there is a real need for this map. And we've been asked, you know: Can you guys make the map?

You know, that's not -- and that's -- we were never charged to do that. However, I do think that for 2030 it would be a very good idea. Because we actually, essentially, do this work to figure out our numbering, but we don't decide who goes where or anything like that, and exactly the determinations, the differences between the Secretary of State and our maps, again that's not us, but a basis to begin with would be really helpful. And that would really -- the location of that, being on this Geoportal, would be a very valuable service moving forward.

It also fits into our working with the Legislature, the Secretary of State. We certainly would need to be funded through '24 to answer questions on that, which in case, you know, right now we're thinking we're done as soon as our maps are done. Clearly, this is an area of concern for everybody -- for the average public person. You know: Hey, who is my State Senator right now? Many people have no idea, and it's very open.

So that is something that I believe we need to look

into, possibly for legislation, and I would I would like
to say, can we -- if someone is already doing that, you
know, the Commissioner of another group, great. If not,
could that be, we look to pursue this, and see what's
going on, and who is -- who actually does want. To
pursue that, I'd like to propose that that be given to
the Website Subcommittee, unless it's already given to
someone else. And that's, again, going back to the

So Commissioner Yee.

Geoportal issue, which is pretty amazing.

2.3

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Thank you, Commissioner

Andersen. So just to see if I understand this; so of

course one can compare the old Senate map, the new Senate

map, and see the differences, what you're saying is that

there's no map that highlights, like one map for the

actually accelerated populations, and one map of the

actual deferred populations.

And then further, once the Senate decides on which senators to assign to which populations, then a further map that identifies those, that matches those regions -- accelerated in different regions to actual senators. So that's actually two separate -- two separate maps.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It's the overlap. You're right. And the overlap of the new evens, you know, the new even Senate maps with the pieces that don't fit, they

71

```
1
    got either cut out, you know, the people who are
    accelerated, or the people who are basically -- those not
    represented, essentially. You know, who were even before
 3
 4
    but are now in odd, except that odd doesn't extend over
 5
    that, it's just they're out -- they're hanging out there.
         And so the map to -- the Department of
 6
 7
    Transportation actually put together multiple examples,
    kind of, of this. And it sort of needs to be cleaned up.
    So you know, these are people -- and then if the Senate
10
    wants to -- because right now on our website: who your
11
    senator is, it doesn't say. We just have the Senate,
12
    here's Senate number 1, Senate number 2, da, da, da, da,
    da. We have nothing that links: And this is your
13
14
    senator.
15
         That's, you know, that's something else that could
16
    be -- that could be on the Secretary of State, or
17
    something like that. Because, again, we don't do the
18
    political part of it, we're doing the maps.
19
         But this map that shows, you know, these different
20
    areas, so then you could -- the State Senate Rules
21
    Committee could then say, and put a little ledger next to
22
    it saying, these people are represented. But that map
23
    does not exist, to my knowledge.
         COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. So we could add to our
24
```

25

line-drawer contract, a task --

```
1
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.
 2
         COMMISSIONER YEE: -- to produce a separate set of
 3
   maps, one for accelerated, one for deferred districts.
 4
    But you know, the assigning of senators, that's not our
 5
    job, right?
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. Correct.
 6
 7
         COMMISSIONER YEE: I mean, the Senate does that.
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:
                                Right.
 9
         COMMISSIONER YEE: And so I think -- I mean, I don't
10
    know, it seems like -- it seems to me that it's on them
11
    to produce the publicly accessible database, and you
12
    know, and data access for people to find their senators.
13
    I mean, that's really not our job, you know.
14
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But the map doesn't exist,
15
    in terms of there is a list. But when you go: Okay, am I
16
    in that area? You know, there's no map that shows it.
17
    That's why Caltrans has come to us to say: We need this
18
    map. Do you guys have it? They're kind of throwing one
19
    together, but they don't have a -- it's not sanctioned.
20
    You know, there's no one to say: Yeah, that's right.
21
         And it would be nice -- if that's what they're
22
    saying, you know we -- the Geoportal, they only take maps
23
    that are, quote, "authoritative", which are actually
24
    sanctioned by the officials saying, they're not just, you
25
    know: Hey, this Joe Blow's map. You know, this is the
```

school districts of such, and such, and such. And that's
what it is. And actually, that's how our maps got
introduced to the Geoportal.

- And that's what they're trying to do. They're trying to have the official map go on to their portal, which actually, I think, probably wouldn't happen until the Senate Rules do this. And then they can put on there basically we would, just like the Senate the school district has used our maps to show also their school district, we would have a map, that then they would go: this, you know, however they want to, you know, use it in terms of associating the senators. We don't care about that.
- It's just like the Secretary of State. We give them the maps, but there is no one to produce this map and they don't have -- I'm assuming that they might have gone back to Statewide Database to say: Hey, could you could you do this for us? Because, again, we actually had to do that map in a number or Senate districts. And that's where -- so we kind of half did it, but never, never produced a map for that, so that's why I --

And again, it needs looking into; it might be, shew, you know: hey, so-and-so does that, fine, and we'll just put them there. But at this point, it's an open question that we are -- our staff is getting all the time. So you

- 1 know, I was actually -- really, really, like, almost
- 2 | like: Could you do something about this, please? And I
- 3 thought, sure, I would bring this aside to say, who's
- 4 looking into this?
- 5 So that's the proposal right now. The request is:
- 6 | Can we look into it? Or someone is already looking into
- 7 | it? And it might be, we found out who you need to talk
- 8 to, and where the map will come from, and it's over here.
- 9 It might not be us. I think it would be a very
- 10 beneficial thing if we created the map. Again for
- 11 | someone else to assign who goes to what; but anyway.
- 12 Commissioner Fernández.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And I just agree with
- 14 Commissioner Yee. I don't believe it's our
- 15 responsibility. It's the Senate Rules Committee that
- 16 decides where all these -- who is represented by whom, it
- 17 | should be their responsibility. And just because they're
- 18 calling us doesn't mean we have to take on that role. I
- 19 don't want there to be any confusion between the maps
- 20 that we drew, and what our charge is to draw the maps,
- 21 between that, or scope creep, or whatever you want to
- 22 | call it, I just feel that it's not our responsibility.
- I appreciate you wanting to help. I get that
- 24 because sometimes we want to solve other people's
- 25 problems. But I don't think this is our issue. Thanks

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I can kind of understand where Commissioner Fernández is coming from. But I think in the future, it should very definitely be the Commission's responsibility to submit to the Secretary of State a set of maps for the Assembly, a set of maps for the Senate, a set of maps for Congressional districts, a set of maps for the Board of Equalization, and a set of maps showing the accelerated and deferred areas.

And then the Secretary of State -- the Office of the Secretary of State would have it on them to work with the Senate, and then Senate Rules Committee will assign people to cover the deferred areas. I mean, you're right that we produced the definitive maps. We need to, you know, look at this from that perspective, that our maps are the definitive maps.

And you know, this just seems like something that was left out of the original legislation, but very much needs to be on our list of proposed Legislative changes. I think this needs to be a very high priority on that list of proposed Legislative changes. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: If I might add on that one.

Commissioner Kennedy, as usual, that was very eloquently put, and that's exactly what I -- if we, you know, the Commission -- the subcommittee looks into it and finds

- 1 out that indeed this was an item, which I'm thinking this
- 2 | is an item that was dropped and should be in our charge.
- 3 | It is not right now. It would have to be added to the
- 4 2030 Commission's charge, which I think, like you just
- 5 perfectly said, would be a high priority to add into our
- 6 Legislative items.
- 7 I'm not prepared to say that right now, because I
- 8 don't know if someone else is covering this. But I think
- 9 we should look into it to figure out what is going on,
- 10 because it does, I agree, it seems like it should be part
- 11 of the charge to create that map. Again, and hand it to
- 12 the Secretary of State.
- So that's kind of basically summarizing everything
- 14 from the website. So basically, you know, the couple
- 15 | ideas; is continuing on with the vendors. Please look at
- 16 | this language, if you don't have it already, yeah, we'll
- 17 | send, we'll send it out. Play with it. Also play with
- 18 | the website, please. I don't mean the dot-org. Either
- 19 go to the, dot ca.gov, and have a look at that. It's not
- 20 | up to date right now because there's only enough
- 21 | bandwidth to work on -- you know, keep one up to date.
- 22 So if it's just like: Hey, this isn't done, but any
- 23 | comment you have, please forward, again, to Corina, on
- 24 both these items. But specifically, we will send out the
- 25 | link for the new user interface. Have a look at that.

```
1
         And the other item would be, you know, the RA for
 2
    ADA compliance, and who should the Senate deferral map
 3
    issue be assigned to.
 4
           With that, Commissioner Kennedy, I'm handing it
 5
    back to you.
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other questions for --
 6
 7
         VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Taylor --
         CHAIR TAYLOR: -- for the Website Subcommittee?
 9
    I know, that's a lot to chew.
         Go ahead, Commissioner Fernández.
10
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah, sorry. Thank you, to
11
12
    someone that's watching this right now. That they
13
    actually sent me a link to the Senate districts with
14
    accelerated and deferred areas. And it's a map.
15
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Excellent.
16
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: So I will forward that to
17
    you, Commissioner Andersen, and you can -- you can check
18
    that out if you'd like.
19
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
2.0
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                  Thank you.
21
         CHAIR TAYLOR: And thank you, Commissioner
22
    Fernández.
2.3
         Any other concerns or questions for the Website
24
    Subcommittee? It has expanded that role a lot more than
```

yeah, just a simple website, so we're busy trying to

- 1 answer all those questions.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. I will give a quick
- 3 | shoutout, though, to everyone who has been working on
- 4 this. Martine, our Paul Mitchell, Corina, Kevin, and the
- 5 amount of time and effort that has been put into this is
- 6 amazing; and the questions that they've had to learn, and
- 7 | relearn, and then go back to, to work this all out.
- 8 Thank you. Thank you. It's really an
- 9 amazing amount of work to move us forward. And they're
- 10 | thinking about the 2030 Commission. What do we need
- 11 | right now? And what will last? And how do we keep it
- 12 | lasting; the maintenance, the security, but actual
- 13 functionality? So thank you, to everybody.
- 14 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you and welcome aboard, Kevin.
- 15 All right.
- 16 So our next subcommittee report will be -- or the
- 17 | Legislative update. So I'll turn it over to Commissioner
- 18 Fernández and Commissioner Akutagawa.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Sorry,
- 20 | I'm just -- my partner in crime is at a conference, so
- 21 | I'm just texting her that we're on right now. And I will
- 22 get going.
- 23 First of all, we did -- at the last meeting we did
- 24 post a policy in terms of -- for public input at
- 25 Commission meetings and -- but this came about as part of

the Legislative's potential updates. One of them was
defining for public input versus public comment. And we
just didn't really seem to be making any headway.

- And so we felt, as a subcommittee, we thought: Well, since we can't seem to make headway in terms of coming together as a Commission, over whether or not to move this forward, we felt that policy would help in terms of defining what us, as a Commission, see as meetings for public -- for the purpose of public input, which would require a fourteen-day advance notice, versus just a regular meeting that would fall under the ten-day requirement.
- So we did post that last month. We did receive -we did request feedback from everyone, from the
 Commissioners, and we did have one Commissioner respond.
 So thank you for that. And so what we did is we reposted
 it, and at this point, if there's any other feedback, or
 if we want to adopt this, or not adopt this.
- We just felt that, basically, what it's saying, it's just highlighting those meetings that us, as a Commission, we feel would fall under the fourteen-day requirement, which would be our Public Input meetings, our Community of Interest meetings, Line Drawing, Drafting meetings, and Map Approval meetings.
- Obviously, these already occurred, but we just felt

- that it might be good guidance for future Commissions to review. There was something else, it just slipped my mind.
- Yeah, Ray, you're laughing, but you know me well enough that everything slips through my mind.
 - Once the maps are drawn, moving forward, we only have a ten-day policy, notice policy required, because we're no longer obtaining public input for district purposes -- district line-drawing purposes.
 - So are there any questions regarding the policy? Or is there a desire to move forward with it? Or just kind of leave it alone? Which, either way, I'm good -- we're good with at this point.
- 14 Commissioner Yee.

2.3

- COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Commissioner

 Fernández. I like the policy. You know, we should have thought of it lot earlier maybe. You know, it's kind of marginally helpful for us now, but it seems like it would mostly apply to the 2030 Commission. But at this point, that would simply be a recommendation to them, I guess, they would have to readopt it, which is fine. But is that the thinking?
- COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. What I was thinking is the policies are still valid. We have the whole -- we have all the policies that we've adopted throughout our

- 1 term. And as you mentioned, Commissioner Yee, the next
 2 Commission could choose to adopt them, or they could keep
- I don't believe they actually need to be changed if 4 5 they're okay with them, but I'm not sure -- you know, I'm not sure if each Commission would have to adopt, or would 6 7 have, like, publicly adopt the policies, either as a whole or individually. But it is something that we just 8 felt would provide good guidance for future Commissions 10 if they're grappling with it, like we grappled with it, 11 and we just said, you know, know, we're just going to 12 stick with the fourteen-day, but at least this would --
- 14 COMMISSIONER YEE: Actually, maybe Anthony was about 15 to answer this. You know, do our policies --
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. Okay.

this somehow would define it.

- 17 COMMISSIONER YEE: -- expire with the end of our 18 terms? Or you know, when do this happen?
- 19 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yes. Good question,
- 20 Commissioner Yee. These are Commission policies, and
- 21 | there's one Commission. And so yes, a new incumbent, or
- 22 | new incumbents could certainly edit it and change it if
- 23 | they want, or take them away and say this is not going to
- 24 be a policy any longer.

3

13

them.

25 But the way I like to analogize it is, Secretary of

- 1 State, new incumbent, it's one office, different office
- 2 | holders. Same for the governor; for example, a governor
- 3 issues an executive order. A future governor can rescind
- 4 | an executive order, but if he or she does not, it stays
- 5 on the books. So this policy and group of policies would
- 6 work much the same way.
- 7 These would be Commission policies. And if a new,
- 8 | later Commission body wants to amend or edit them, they
- 9 are certainly free to do that.
- 10 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you for that.
- 11 Commissioner Kennedy.
- 12 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Thank you. Thank
- 13 you to the subcommittee for this. I have been advocating
- 14 for this, I think, since we were eight of us.
- 15 Commissioner Andersen can and probably correct me if
- 16 | I'm wrong, but I have been pushing for this for a very
- 17 long time, and it is nice to see it on paper, and
- 18 | hopefully we can indeed approve this if we're -- if we're
- 19 ready to, I'm happy to make the motion to approve this
- 20 policy.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. And I'm sorry I
- 22 | wasn't part of the first eight, so I didn't hear your
- 23 | initial wanting of this. So we'd have jumped on it.
- 24 Commissioner Andersen.
- 25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, I will confirm that

- 1 | that did happen. Or I think maybe day three, but you
- 2 know, yes, that was right in the beginning with several
- 3 very good ideas, which Commissioner Kennedy has been
- 4 advocating for from the beginning. And we are finally
- 5 putting some of those into Lessons Learned. And I thank
- 6 you. Thank you. Thank you.
- 7 On the policy, I will also -- I'll second that if
- 8 you make that motion. I'm very, very pleased to have
- 9 this as one of our policies. Do we need to adopt this?
- 10 | I don't quite know if we just say -- you know, I don't
- 11 remember if we need to.
- 12 And the other question is -- or actually not a
- 13 | question -- we did, as a full Commission, actually look
- 14 | at the 2010's policies and adopt -- we didn't adopt all
- 15 of them, we did make some changes. But I can't remember
- 16 exactly what they were, but I do recall that whole
- 17 | conversation thing. So I agree that there is a -- oh,
- 18 actually, I do know how it happened. We didn't know that
- 19 there was -- there were policies. So we all had to read
- 20 them and then go: okay, yes, yes, yes, no, no, no on
- 21 these.
- 22 So at that point, I do think we should adopt it
- 23 | going forward, and put in Lessons Learned, make sure you
- 24 know that there are policies and the 2030 Commission,
- 25 | should review them, and adopt them or not. So thank you.

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. And I think
    what we've done in the past -- Commissioner Fornaciari,
    you can correct me if I'm wrong, because we've mainly
 3
 4
    been the ones that have brought forward the policies.
    think we have voted on them, correct, because we would --
 5
    there'd be a draft, and we'd go back and make changes.
 6
 7
    And then I believe it's just a simple majority. It's not
    a -- whatever it's called -- supermajority. And I don't
 8
    even know if you have enough for that; two, four, five,
10
    six, seven, eight of us, there's only eight of us right
11
    now, so I --
12
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, if I can, if I can
13
    comment too.
14
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Uh-huh.
15
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think -- I mean, we're
16
    going to have a much deeper discussion about --
17
    philosophical discussion about, you know, what is ongoing
18
    for the Commission a bit later. My recollection, though,
19
    is that Marian really pushed us -- I mean, Marian's
20
    model, mental model was each Commission stands itself up.
21
    And my recollection is she pushed us to use the previous
22
    Commission's policies as sort of a starting place.
2.3
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                  Uh-huh.
24
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: But Commissioner Fernández
25
    and I went through and revised each one, individually,
```

- and we voted and approved each one, individually.

 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. Thank you.
- 3 | Commissioner Yee.

- COMMISSIONER YEE: Right. And you know, and that was great work. But if I'm understanding Anthony, the fact is, legally, those policies were binding whether or not we approved them or did anything, right? And the same thing will be true for 2030. So you know, there's no readoption needed, there's only revision, if desired, right, or disapproval, or whatever the term would be; a negation, you know, if desired. But policies, once adopted, stand in perpetuity, I guess. That's the idea.
- CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yeah. Thank you for that,

 Commissioner Yee. I mean, that's -- and that's why I

 would recommend the Commission vote to adopt a policy,

 because then it becomes part of the group. Until then,

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Anthony, did you want to?

- 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Uh-huh.
- 20 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Which, of course, as a matter
 21 of policy, the Commission could do -- could go that route
 22 too.

it's just -- it's sort of a draft. It's an idea.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And Anthony, remind me,
what's the -- for voting, how many do we know -- do we
need? Is it eight or nine?

```
1 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: I think you need nine.
```

- 2 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Uh-huh.
- 3 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: But you don't need a special
- 4 nine.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Right. Right. But right
- 6 now we have eight.
- 7 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Is Commissioner Ahmad with us?
- 8 I mean, she's still logged in.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. There she is. Oh,
- 10 okay. So maybe we -- well, I can't assume, you know,
- 11 | that everyone is going to be -- but theoretically, I
- 12 | quess we could. Are there any more comments? I think we
- 13 | had a motion by Commissioner Kennedy, a second by
- 14 Commissioner Andersen; is that correct?
- 15 Oh. Commissioner Ahmad.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yeah. I wasn't paying
- 17 attention. What are we voting on?
- 18 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. So this is the policy
- 19 | that we -- it's one of the handouts, it's on the
- 20 application of a Public Input Commission meetings. And
- 21 | so basically, since as a Commission, we were kind of at a
- 22 conflict, or a -- we couldn't really -- we couldn't come
- 23 together in terms of our definition of a public comment
- 24 or what a public input was. The Subcommittee, what we
- 25 | felt we could do would be to at least come forward with a

```
1
   policy that highlighted those meetings that we deemed
   public input, and this would require the fourteen-day
   advance notice. And so what --
 3
 4
        COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Got you.
 5
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: -- this policy says is the
    four types that would require the fourteen days, would be
 6
 7
    the Public Input meetings, Community of Interest
   meetings, Line Drawing Drafting meetings, and the Map
 8
 9
   Approval meetings. So that's --
10
         COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Okay. I see it. I see it
11
    on --
12
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
13
        COMMISSIONER AHMAD: -- on the website. Thanks.
14
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Are you --
15
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I move --
16
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: -- comfortable to do the
17
    voting? Commissioner Kennedy.
18
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I move that we adopt the draft
19
   policy as presented.
20
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Second.
21
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                 Thank you. And now back to
22
    you, Chair; if we want to take a vote and public comment?
2.3
        MS. LEON: Oops. I'm sorry. I'm writing down.
                                                          Do
24
    I need to put this is in motions, the CRC motion?
25
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
```

1 MS. LEON: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. And then we could probably -- it's back to the Chair for now. 3 4 MS. LEON: Okay. 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: So any further discussion? If there's no further discussion; Kristian, can we 6 7 open this up for public comment regarding the motion on 8 the floor? PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing, Chair. 10 The Commission will now take public comment on the 11 motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-853-5247, and enter meeting ID number 85436289451. 12 13 you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the 14 comment queue. The full call-in instructions are read at 15 the beginning of the meeting, and are provided on the 16 live stream landing page. 17 And we do have someone in the queue with their hand 18 raised. 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. Invite them in, please. 20 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: All right. Caller 6005, 21 please follow the prompts to unmute. The floor is yours. 22 MS. QUINONES: Good morning. My name is Sofia 23 Quinones, and I'm calling on behalf of the East L.A. 24 Boyle Heights Coalition. As I was listening to your 25 meeting today, I was impressed by a lot of the

- 1 information you shared. But I do have some concerns
- 2 being, you know, appointments that means we're going to
- 3 have representation. And our ancestors fought for
- 4 representation. That's why the Voting Rights Act is so
- 5 significant.
- I live in an unincorporated area that has been
- 7 segregated for several generations. And unfortunately,
- 8 during the last redistricting process, I had to seek
- 9 legal advice, outside of California to see if California
- 10 | could be sued due to the discriminatory practices
- 11 happening by excluding communities of color, or the
- 12 disenfranchised communities.
- 13 As an example, one of the largest Mexican districts
- 14 | in the country was obliterated off the map. And that's
- 15 just unacceptable. And that was held by Congresswoman
- 16 | Allard. My concerns today have to do with proportional
- 17 representation. And just the recent study out of the
- 18 UCLA Latino Policy & Politics Institute, showed and
- 19 exposed, you know, the discriminatory practices in
- 20 California, and the lack of appointment, specifically of
- 21 people of Mexican descent.
- 22 | So I'm calling in regards to my community. And I
- 23 also am concerned with the issues of security, when it
- 24 comes to the mapping of what's going on, and just
- 25 districting as a -- just as a person that wants to

```
1
    participate. I have to vote for my delegates, right,
    that deal with the district. But we've had challenges
 3
    with the mapping even within parties. And there's
 4
    discrimination within the party when it comes to also
 5
    excluding people. When they themselves --
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: (Indiscernible) time.
 6
 7
        MS. QUINONES: -- tried to configure what the maps
 8
    are. But what I want to say is that there's problems
    there, but there's also problems with mapping. We have
10
    the fiasco of Cambridge Analytica, a private British
11
    equity firm. So my concerns with the mapping and the
12
    people that you're going to contract with, that the
13
    contractor not subcontract, that the contractor be from
14
    California, that the server be in California. Being that
15
    it's open source, it can be hacked. And so to me, this
16
    is an issue of national security.
17
         And you know, foreign actors are participating and
18
    already working with the names that you mentioned today.
19
    So that is a national security concern, and I hope you
20
    take that into consideration. Thank you for your time.
21
         CHAIR TAYLOR:
                       Thank you. And as a reminder for
22
    future callers, please limit your comments to the motion
23
    that is currently on the floor. Thank you.
24
         Kristian, do we have any other callers?
```

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR:

There are no other

- 1 callers at this time, Chair.
- 2 CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. So with that, we can
- 3 proceed to the vote, Corina.
- 4 MS. LEON: Okay. Commissioner Toledo -- oh, he was
- 5 on -- back, okay.
- 6 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Corina, would you like to
- 7 | share the tracking sheet?
- 8 MS. LEON: Okay. Yes. I would. I'm sorry, where's
- 9 the -- let me share the screen here, that's okay. So
- 10 this one, is this the one. Yeah. Okay. Can you see?
- 11 Okay.
- 12 Commissioner Ahmad.
- 13 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
- 14 MS. LEON: Commissioner Akutagawa.
- 15 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 16 MS. LEON: Commissioner Andersen.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fernández.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes.
- 20 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 21 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- MS. LEON: Commissioner Kennedy.
- 23 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
- 24 MS. LEON: Commissioner Sinay.
- 25 Commissioner Taylor.

- 1 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes.
- 2 MS. LEON: And Commissioner Yee.
- 3 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
- 4 MS. LEON: Commissioner Vázquez.
- 5 COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ: Yes.
- 6 MS. LEON: Thank you.
- 7 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: And Corina, you're going to
- 8 | want to just name all of them, even if they're absent,
- 9 just so it's fully clear.
- 10 MS. LEON: Okay. I apologize.
- 11 Commissioner Turner.
- 12 Commissioner Sadhwani.
- 13 Commissioner Le Mons.
- 14 And I believe that's it. So nine, yes, so that's a
- 15 pass, all right. Is that --
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. With nine yes votes, the
- 17 motion passes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Great.
- 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: And I'll give it back to Commissioner
- 20 Fernández.
- 21 MS. LEON: Okay.
- 22 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Great. Thank you. And I
- 23 think Commissioner Akutagawa -- there she is,
- 24 Commissioner Akutagawa is (indiscernible) this, so that's
- 25 | wonderful news. And before -- as I mentioned earlier in

- our meeting, we do meet, periodically, with some
 Legislative staff to discuss the items that we've moved
 forward, hoping to find an author.
- And then also we met with them yesterday, and I
 wanted to forward this to the Bagley-Keene Subcommittee.
- 6 | I believe that's Commissioners Fornaciari and Vázquez.
- 7 And they did inform us that there is some discussion
- 8 regarding what the protocols are. And as we -- if you
- 9 remember, there're due to expire June 30th, and I
- 10 | believe -- Commissioner Akutagawa, correct me if I'm
- 11 | wrong -- I believe what they said is it sounds like it
- 12 might be going back to how it used to be where you
- 13 can't -- you have to be in person.
- And so they recommended that we reach out to and
- 15 | coordinate, which I think you've already have, but you
- 16 may want to, again, with the Little Hoover Commission.
- 17 | So I just want to pass that on.
- 18 Was there something else on that one, Commissioner
- 19 Akutagawa?
- 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No. I think you got it.
- 21 | think the only other indication, or the only other
- 22 comment that we heard is that there's -- all indications
- 23 point to allowing the current legislation that allows us
- 24 to meet in a hybrid format to expire. So I think that
- 25 was the recommendation that we would like to see

- 1 | something different, that the Little Hoover Commission
- 2 | may be a good starting place for us to, perhaps,
- 3 | coordinate some -- you know, a response, or at least add
- 4 on to what response that may be provided to -- you know,
- 5 enabling the continuation of some kind of hybrid meeting
- 6 format.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Thank you.
- 8 Oh. Commissioner Andersen.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: On that one. The hybrid,
- 10 | what specifically ends is, the Commissioners have to all
- 11 be there. But then I really have a question about: does
- 12 that mean that we don't have to have a hybrid for the
- 13 | public? Because, you know, that has clearly been a
- 14 great, great thing, we had way more public participation
- 15 on virtually all meetings across the state. And I was
- 16 | wondering if that expires, what does that do, officially,
- 17 | for the public, and their requirements thereof?
- 18 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. Go ahead. Go ahead,
- 19 Linda.
- 20 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. I was just going to
- 21 respond to what Commissioner Andersen is asking. So I
- 22 | guess when I said hybrid, I guess I should be clear. You
- 23 know, the current state that we have right now, where we
- 24 can opt to join in virtually, or you know, if we're in
- 25 | the area to be able to join the meeting from the actual

1 Commission office.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

23

25

If right now, if the current -- I guess it's an emergency declaration, if that does expire, what it does mean is that we will have to be present, in person, you know, to attend meetings. That would also apply to any Californian, you know, who is interested in watching our meetings, or coming and making public comment at a meeting. They would actually have to come to a location that we would be holding, you know, where the Commissioners would be gathered to hold the meeting. So as before, there was a period of time where we had locations in Northern California and in Southern California that was open to the public, you know, to watch the meeting, to make public comment. All of that within, you know -- would come back. If we choose to participate in a meeting, we would be -- as previous, we would be required to disclose our physical location.

19 to join us at that physical location, then they would

have it in a way, where if a member of the public wanted

need to be able to do so. So it's basically going back

21 to what it was previous to the start of the pandemic.

22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay, I --

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I believe -- oh, wait, let

24 me just --

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Go ahead.

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Anthony, I think we can
    still -- I mean, we do have to meet in person or have
 3
    a -- at least publicly state where we're meeting from.
 4
    But I believe we can continue to do the hybrid in terms
 5
    of allowing individuals, or the public, to remotely call
        Is that correct, Anthony? I mean, we could do --
 6
 7
         CHIEF COUNSEL PANE:
                              Yes. Yeah.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: -- the public doesn't
 9
    necessarily have to be at a site, right?
10
         CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Well, so what is potentially
11
    the case, currently we have a statute that sunsets for
12
    the end of June. So starting July 1, for lack of a
13
    better phrase, we go back to how meetings were held under
14
    Bagley-Keene before the pandemic. And that required for
15
    every -- you could have multiple locations, but they had
16
    to be physical locations publicly accessible for the
    public, and those locations had to be agendized and
17
18
    noticed.
19
        And yes, you could have a call in if -- you know,
20
    for members of the public, or you could stream them if
21
    you wanted. But the minimum requirements were that we
22
    have a physical location for the public to attend. And
23
    that would require all Commissioners then to be at a
24
    publicly noticed location.
```

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So that my -- that's

- 1 exactly my question. I understand about the
- 2 | Commissioners. They're just like: No, you're in public,
- 3 | that's that. But did that extend -- I thought that they
- 4 did make an exception and it would -- that was not part
- 5 of the sunset. That, you know, the public could be, like
- 6 doing it the way we do now. They didn't have to actually
- 7 | physically be there. And I'm just wondering if that is
- 8 | indeed sunsetting, and then the public actually has to be
- 9 | there? That's my specific question.
- 10 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: You know, I can look and see if
- 11 | the -- if that specific piece you're talking about,
- 12 | Commissioner Andersen, is -- sort of lives on, so to
- 13 | speak. Or if that's a: nice to have but not required to
- 14 have.
- 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 17 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh. Since this came up,
- 18 | and we weren't going to Bagley-Keene update until we just
- 19 | found this out, because I'll just let you know where we
- 20 | were. We were kind of on hold and waiting to see what
- 21 | the legislation, whether or not there was going to be
- 22 legislation. But since it appears there won't be, we're
- 23 going to figure out how we can engage. So thank you for
- 24 that update.
- 25 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Anthony.

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Thank you. If I could just maybe provide a little bit more context, there's not, there's not a plan per -- as my understanding is, it's not a definitive Legislative plan in place. It's just what we're trying to keep our ears to the ground to hear a little bit of the water cooler talk. So there may be a plan. You know, there may be, you know, perhaps if the Commission wants to reach out to Hoover Commission to maybe put together a plan that might take a Legislative form.

I don't know that it's necessarily a foregone conclusion, but I did want the Commission to be aware that currently it's in statute, but it sunsets June 30th, so that what we're trying to do is keep our ears to the ground to see if there is any Legislative interest in making a permanent solution -- a permanent change I mean, that goes beyond June 30th.

And to date, I haven't heard of one. And just to clarify, if there isn't anything, or until there is one, the statute operates until June 30th, and then it goes away.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Okay. Thank you for that. So we will move on. It there aren't any other questions with those two. We're just continuing discussions at the last -- I think it was the last

- meeting we had a handout, and it showed some of the proposed language for those items that we have voted as a Commission to move forward.
 - So we shared that with the Commissioners, as well as the public, and then we also shared it with our -- the individuals that we meet with on the Legislative

 Subcommittee, and we -- during our meetings, we just kind of back and forth, and we're working on the language, and we're also trying to find a member to author our bills, who'll support our bills.
 - So as we find out good news, we'll bring it forward.

 And unfortunately, if we have bad news, we'll bring that
 forward as well. So I don't think there's anything else
 on, in terms of what we've moved forward.
- 15 Commissioner Kennedy.

December or January. Yeah, we --

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I just wanted to get clarity on something that you just said. I'm looking at the document that was posted for this meeting, Potential CRC Legislative Changes to 1023 (ph.). And I see where the table is saying: shared potential language with Legislative staff. But are we getting copies of that?

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. Yes. So it was a handout -- I believe it was a hand up in our last -- for our last meeting, or the meeting before. It was either

- 1 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah, definitely. I want to make sure that we all have the same information. 3 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you. 4 5 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: You're welcome. And with that, thank you. That's a great segue, Commissioner 6 7 Kennedy. We'll move on to the potential Legislative changes document, and that's -- oh. Commissioner Andersen. 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Sorry. I have one quick 11 question on that. It does, on your document, you say 12 that it -- the shared draft language with the full 13 Commission was in July, I always --14 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Right, but we did it again. 15 I'm sorry, I didn't -- I mean, we also sent it again via 16 handout, so. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Can we, can we get 18 like I mean -- I don't recall that. But I remember the 19 first one, and we all talked about and everything, but I 2.0 don't recall another one. COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Uh-huh. 21
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And my question actually is,
 because then you say, "Share potential language on

 January 19." I'm assuming that it's different again?

 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: No. We're still working on

- 1 | the same language.
- 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay.
- 3 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: So what we posted in
- 4 January is still the same language that we're working
- 5 | with. And those are for the items that we've -- as a
- 6 Commission, we've voted to move forward. The items would
- 7 be --
- 8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: -- the reallocation of
- 10 | federally incarcerated population. The other one is
- 11 empowering the Commission to make grants. Third one is
- 12 | exempting the Commission from state procurement
- 13 contracting regulations. The fourth one is to clarify
- 14 what its day is. And the fifth one is the ability to
- 15 | hire outside counsel without the Attorney General's
- 16 approval.
- And after the meeting, I will go ahead and resend
- 18 | that language to everyone again.
- 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. You're welcome. So
- 21 | we'll move on to the document, we kind of reorganized the
- 22 information a little bit, so that those items up front up
- 23 to -- Corina could you possibly share that from the
- 24 handout. Is she there?
- 25 MS. LEON: Sure. I'll do that.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. It's the one 2 that's titled, Potential Legislative Changes. 3 MS. LEON: Okay. 4 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. And so if we 5 move on to page 5, that's where we're starting, we need -- those are the items that we haven't found a home 6 7 for yet. Or decided how we're going to move forward. Corina, were you asking me something? MS. LEON: No. I'm going to pull up the document, 10 right now. 11 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Perfect. And the 12 first one, the 3-A, we've already -- we just took care of 13 with the policy. So that one we'll be able to close out, 14 and move to the salmon color. So thank you all. I will 15 put down today's date. So that we know that one's been 16 resolved. 17 Corina, could you move down to page 6, please? 18 MS. LEON: 6. 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah. There we go. Thank 20 you. So 5, 5-B, this is the earlier start dates with the 21 Commissioners. We will also need -- which would also 22 impact the start date of the application. And so what 23 are -- it's the same status. We've reached out to the 24 State Auditor. They do have a new State Auditor that was 25 recently appointed. And we've reached out to the Chief

```
Counsel as well. We're hoping to be able to meet with them, hopefully, next month, March or April; so that's
```

- 3 the update on 5-B.
- 4 And then if you keep moving, Corina, to the next
- 5 page, please. Thank you. C, that right there; C-11,
- 6 that one is commissioner compensation salary is exempt.
- 7 And that one, we had quite a discussion at our last
- 8 meeting. And I'm going to have to -- Commissioner
- 9 Akutagawa and I started looking into this, and we decided
- 10 | we're going to have to punt this to the Chair, because we
- 11 | realized it was going to be quite a bit of research and
- 12 time to look into this.
- So we felt, one, if we can establish general
- 14 consensus before we put all this effort and resources.
- 15 And then two, Commissioner Akutagawa and I, at this
- 16 point, did not feel that we could dedicate the amount of
- 17 | time that's going to be needed to research this forward,
- 18 and so we were hoping there might be two other
- 19 Commissioners that would be interested in researching
- 20 this specific item. How's that punt going?
- 21 CHAIR TAYLOR: That's a --
- 22 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: You know, like at the Super
- 23 Bowl?
- 24 CHAIR TAYLOR: That's a punt for certain. So then
- 25 | the first question would be to ask is, are there two

- 1 | Commissioners that are particularly interested in this
- 2 topic, and have the time right now to dedicate to
- 3 researching this information?
- 4 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Well, I think -- I think,
- 5 | if you don't mind, Chair. Maybe general consensus first
- 6 because if there isn't general consensus, then maybe not
- 7 move -- you know, have those resources to.
- CHAIR TAYLOR: So then you --
- 9 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Because part of this is
- 10 | going to require Corina and staff to do some research as
- 11 | well.
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: So in general consensus, are we
- 13 | seeking to have more discussion about this topic? We
- 14 have the room for it right now.
- 15 Commissioner Akutagawa.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. So just to build on
- 17 | what Commissioner Fernández was just saying; I think -- I
- 18 mean, to be blunt, I think, you know, obviously, there's
- 19 going to be resources that will need to be -- you know,
- 20 | that will be used to do -- take this further, however,
- 21 and resource, you know, being, you know, Commissioner
- 22 time, and per diem, and other things like that.
- 23 And I think before we go down this road of
- 24 establishing another subcommittee and doing further
- 25 | research on this, I think -- I think what we're seeking

- is: does the Commission even want to stand up another

 subcommittee to research this? Or is it one that there

 may not be enough of an appetite, you know, i.e. a

 general consensus amongst the Commissioners to even take

 this further, versus just this is -- this was an idea but
- 6 you know, this isn't one that we want to pursue further,
 7 and let's just end this now.
- 8 CHAIR TAYLOR: So then I'm open to comments on this
- 9 topic. Commissioner Kennedy, go ahead.

you.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I mean, I don't see this as urgent. I mean, I would be happy postponing this for two years. But I think -- I mean, my position has always been that I don't think we are going to get as diverse a candidate pool as we want for future Commissions unless we address this topic.

And you know, if we don't address it, then it wouldn't take effect until the 2040 Commission. So again, I'm happy postponing it for two years until we've cleared everything else off the plate. But I do think that we need to address it. And you know, two years from now, yeah, I might have time to just sit down and do the research. I'm not going to volunteer for it now, because I don't have the time now. But I don't think it's something that we can just permanently dispose of. Thank

- 1 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 2 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Thanks,
- 3 Commissioner Kennedy. I'm kind of on board with that. I
- 4 just, I want to step back a little bit. I mean, we're
- 5 going to have a philosophical discussion a little bit
- 6 later about where we think, as a group, the Commission is
- 7 going to go. I think that philosophical discussion, and
- 8 hopefully we'll come to some conclusion on a couple of
- 9 the topics we're going to talk about later, will help
- 10 inform a lot of these proposed amendments in the
- 11 direction that we're going to go.
- 12 You know, and we've talked about schedule, changes,
- 13 and I think it all has to be kind of looked at as a
- 14 whole. And so I would just offer that, yeah, in this
- 15 particular case, we can defer for the time being.
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: Any other thoughts or comments?
- 17 | Well, while still keeping it an option, a deferral seems
- 18 like a prudent choice and still be able to address this
- 19 and give it proper space. I know in a year and a half,
- 20 | I'll be a newly retired young man, and be happy to dive
- 21 into something.
- Commissioner Fernández, did you have your hand up
- 23 and put it down?
- 24 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I did. I had it up and
- 25 | then down. I mean, I've given my opinion in the past. I

- 1 am not forward looking -- I am not in favor of looking
- 2 | into this. I kind of feel like if we start going down
- 3 this road, we actually may jeopardize what we do have,
- 4 | which is, I believe is generous, because there are other
- 5 Commissions and Commissioners that only receive a stipend
- 6 of maybe, maybe \$100 a day, and maybe their travel costs.
- 7 | So I just -- at this point I'm not sold on it. But if
- 8 | you and Commissioner Kennedy would like to revisit this
- 9 | in a couple of years that'd be --
- 10 CHAIR TAYLOR: (Indiscernible).
- 11 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: -- I could probably put you
- 12 down.
- 13 CHAIR TAYLOR: So let's put it down, as tabled or
- 14 deferred. And how about, we know that we'll revisit this
- 15 | in a year's time, and then we'll work from there.
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Sounds good. I will
- 17 do that. Thank you.
- 18 CHAIR TAYLOR: It's another punt. That's a smaller
- 19 one.
- 20 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I see. It's an
- 21 | interception. Or a turnover, it's a turnover, there we
- 22 go.
- 23 CHAIR TAYLOR: It's a turnover?
- 24 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah. Okay. Thank you for
- 25 that.

1 CHAIR TAYLOR: You got it. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And then the next one --I'm sorry -- the next one is C-15. And that one is: 3 4 Further restrict amendments to Government Code statutes, 5 not within one year of certification of maps. And we -or I thought I had taken up -- we really have not had 6 7 much discussion on this in the past, and I thought I -the version I sent forward didn't have prior discussions. 8 9 I believe -- Commissioner Kennedy, I think this 10 might have been yours. 11 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: (No verbal response). 12 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Did you want to 13 explain it a little bit more, go into it? 14 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. I mean, my original 15 thinking on this was that I didn't want to see us rush 16 into making Legislative recommendations without adequate 17 time to reflect back on our experience. You know, I 18 think ideally the Legislative effort should allow 19 temperatures to cool off. You know, hindsight to come 20 into focus, but also not be so far out that it loses 21 focus. And so you know, I thought it would be prudent to 22 say, you know, give it a year, get the other things off 23 your plate, and then look at making changes. 24 You know, the other thing is because the 25 legislation, the Government Code currently precludes

- 1 | changes in years ending in 9, 0, or 1, we could
- 2 | conceivably have found ourselves, because of the
- 3 pandemic, in a situation where had the Supreme Court
- 4 | ruled differently and given us a mid-January deadline in
- 5 2022, that we could be introducing legislation before the
- 6 maps were even submitted. We would have been too busy to
- 7 do it, but you know, theoretically the possibility would
- 8 exist.
- 9 And so this was also an effort to just wipe out any
- 10 possibility of Legislative changes ever being proposed
- 11 before the maps were done. If you set a one-year period
- 12 after the maps are adopted as your exclusion, at this end
- 13 of the process, then you'd never have even the
- 14 | theoretical possibility of Legislative changes being
- 15 proposed before the maps were done. Thanks.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Do we have
- 17 any -- oh. Commissioner Andersen.
- 18 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, I understand that the
- 19 thought -- that would have violated that we could not
- 20 have done what we did, and specifically requiring the
- 21 | reallocation of state incarcerated people, their last-
- 22 known place of residence.
- 23 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Uh-huh.
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The timing of that was
- 25 perfect. We would not have been allowed to do that

- 1 | should we have made further restrictions. So I like
- 2 | leaving it the way it is, the 9, 0, and 1. And yes, I
- 3 | see it but I mean -- because we have to go almost into
- 4 another month that's so remote, that I don't think this,
- 5 C-15 is worth dealing with at this point.
- 6 It's just a -- and actually, turns out, it turns out
- 7 | there's something that we all did, we had to do, we voted
- 8 on, and we really wanted to make a change. And if we had
- 9 that restriction in we could not have done it. And the
- 10 Governor, I don't know if he could have done that,
- 11 | because we couldn't have changed or made recommendations.
- 12 So anyway, I think we should ditch that one, C15.
- 13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. I'll add my comment
- 14 as well. I do -- I agree with Commissioner Andersen. I
- 15 | see the value it's -- we're still relevant, people still
- 16 know who we are. And I'm going to probably punt to
- 17 | Commissioner Akutagawa. But I believe it's been very
- 18 | valuable for both of us, from the onset of once the maps
- 19 were drawn, to be part of this Legislative Subcommittee
- 20 and have those contacts.
- 21 Again, I think, leave it as is. It's up to each
- 22 Commission. Again, it wasn't something that we did right
- 23 away. You know, we were still kind of recovering from
- 24 | the -- drawing the maps, but I do feel that it's
- 25 important when the Legislature still knows who I am,

1 good, bad, or ugly, right? They know who we are. least, don't have to explain who we are, and we can move 3 forward trying to build those relationships with some of the Legislature staff.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

- And if there's an opportunity to put, you know, one or two items in that first session, then I believe we should. And as Commissioner Andersen mentioned, we were Thank you. successful.
- COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. And if I could chime in on that -- on what Commissioner Fernández just said, I would agree as well, too, I think being able to also be able to immediately start the discussion. And it wasn't immediate either. I mean, there was time that we took to have the conversations as a Commission. Fortunately, we were able to get in, in the latter part of the Legislative section, so that we could get the one bill around -- you know, the way the counting of incarcerated individuals, you know, we were able to get that into that same year's Legislative session.

I think also the relationships, you know, through the conversations that we've had, as we worked through that, and as we've also been presenting the other kind of Legislative ideas for other Legislative change, I think that that's also been very helpful as well too. I think they have a sense of what's going to be coming.

```
1 also then have a sense of, you know -- you know, at least
```

- 2 once the new Assembly and Senate is seated, you know,
- 3 | who -- depending on who is in the leadership, I think
- 4 they also have a sense of, you know, where, and if there
- 5 might be a fit as well, too, or if it's feasible.
- 6 So I think that, to what both Commissioner Andersen,
- 7 | Commissioner Fernández both said, I would agree, and I
- 8 | would wholeheartedly say that I think, you know, not
- 9 handcuffing ourselves would be important. And also to
- 10 Commissioner Andersen's point, I think that the chance --
- 11 you know, fingers crossed, hopefully, knock on wood,
- 12 everything, you know, that what happened to us is an
- 13 anomaly, and that the future Commissions will all just --
- 14 you know, it's going to be business as usual, and they
- 15 | will not have to grapple with, you know, some of the same
- 16 things that we had to grapple with. Thanks.
- 17 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Any other comments?
- 18 Commissioner Kennedy.
- 19 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: So then I will take the
- 20 opportunity to recommend that we formally drop this from
- 21 | the tracking table.
- 22 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Thank you for that,
- 23 | we'll move it to -- I'll just leave it as is then. Thank
- 24 you.
- 25 Okay. And then C-15 -- no, no, I'm sorry. C-17,

- 1 | changes to the size or composition of the Applicant
- 2 Review Panel. Some of the prior discussion was that
- 3 | we -- the three individuals that were on the panel with
- 4 | the State Auditor, they were White, there was -- we would
- 5 prefer a diverse panel.
- 6 And now the observation was that the State Auditor
- 7 place the prospective candidates in a separate group,
- 8 | similar to how the Commissioner candidates are randomly
- 9 | selected from each group. And that's kind of how it
- 10 turned out. Kind of similar to the first eight, and
- 11 | there's no Latinos kind of that's -- that's what I would
- 12 equate it to.
- And then the other thoughts were that they thought
- 14 the panel did a good job sifting through the applicants'
- 15 | qualifications. Again, this wouldn't -- the change to
- 16 | the composition of Applicant Review Panel, that isn't
- 17 | under our control. Depending on how the Commission felt,
- 18 | we would forward that information to the State Auditor's
- 19 Office.
- 20 Personally, I felt they did -- I felt that they were
- 21 equitable. I didn't feel that it was discriminatory in
- 22 any way. We all ended up here, the fourteen of us. So I
- 23 think that was pretty good. But any comments on this
- 24 one?
- 25 Commissioner Yee.

```
1
         COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. We actually brought this
 2
        Commissioner Kennedy and I brought this up. We met
    with said auditor's staffs, and their comment was simply
 3
    that, you know, this reflects to the greater pool of
 4
 5
    State Auditors, and unless you diversify that pool
    somehow, expanding the selection panel, will simply make
 6
 7
    it a larger, White panel. You know. So yeah, unless
    you're going to introduce some requirement for national
 8
    diversity quota, it is really just expanding the panel
10
    does not achieve the goal -- would likely not achieve the
    goal. So that was the response.
11
12
         You know, I thought, too, they did a fine job.
13
    know, the deeper issues should and deserved attention,
14
    but they're doing that through a Legislative change, I
15
    don't think is any -- that's a very useful way to go.
16
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner
17
    Sinay.
18
                              It's easy to say they did a
         COMMISSIONER SINAY:
19
    good job, you know, in retro -- in looking in the past.
20
    This is about looking into the future. I would like to
21
    at least make a recommendation so that it's on the books
22
    that -- because diversity is not just ethnic, and gender,
23
    and political, but it's also geographic. And they do
24
    have offices in different places, and they could have
25
    folks that are outside of the Sacramento bubble. And so
```

to me, it's important not to say: Well, they did a good

job last time, but to say: How could they do a better job

in the future? And one of them is to think about how

they could diversify the perspectives and the lenses that

are coming to the conversation.

Because we all know that -- I don't know -- you know, Russell, what you were saying, if we expand the number, you know, you have to expand it in all sorts of different ways, that that would make it better. They did take a year out of their life, you know, to do the work. But I don't want to lose the opportunity to make the recommendation just by saying: Oh, they did a great job last time.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. And I want to say that, you know, I think this is within our purview. This is part of the Government Code chapter on the Citizens Redistricting Commission, changes to which cannot be made without our concurrence. And you know, I think we would be fully within our purview to propose changes. I do think that discussions with the California State Auditor would be an important part of that process. But it is Section 8252, Subsection (b) of the Government Code chapter that controls this Commission. So I take it's well within our purview to consider this. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Yes, you're
right. I think they also have a Government Code section,
where it's in there as well. I think that's where I got
confused. It might be in two places. But I will
confirm, or I'll have Anthony look into that to make
sure. Any other comments?

CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Kennedy.

VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: So I think what the Auditor's Office has in place is more likely within the California Code of Regulations, or if it's a regulatory level, and there's plenty of language about how 8252(b) gets done. So 8252(b) is where it says,

"The State Auditor shall establish an Applicant Review Panel, consisting of three qualified independent auditors, that is responsible for screening of the applicants. State Auditor shall randomly draw names from a pool consisting of all qualified, independent auditors. The State Auditor shall draw until the names of three qualified independent auditors have been drawn," et cetera, et cetera.

But there is the regulatory language that sits underneath there that is what the State Auditor's Office put in place. So yes, to the extent that any change was

- 1 | made to 8252(b), it would require the State Auditor to go
- 2 back in modify the regulatory language that sits
- 3 underneath. Thank you.
- 4 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Any other
- 5 comments on this one? Okay. So in terms of this we
- 6 | could -- one suggestion could be when Commissioner
- 7 Akutagawa and I actually have a meeting with the State
- 8 Auditor. We could bring this up, and then maybe discuss
- 9 what our concerns were, and then we can bring that back
- 10 to the Commission, if that sounds okay with everyone for
- 11 | now?
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes. That sounds fine.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
- 14 CHAIR TAYLOR: And Commissioner Fernández does -- do
- 15 you need additional time after we return from break?
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh, wait. When is break?
- 17 CHAIR TAYLOR: Now.
- 18 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. It is. Well, we could
- 19 | just keep deferring 4-C, which is fine. We've already
- 20 deferred it in terms of not needing to discuss. So
- 21 either way is fine; if we don't need to.
- 22 Oh. Commissioner Kennedy.
- VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair. My watch is telling me
- 24 | that we've got about ten more minutes until break. We
- 25 came back at 11:15, so 12:45 would be lunchtime.

```
1
         CHAIR TAYLOR: That is correct. It's a little --
 2
    there's a little playtime. And it's scheduled -- it's
    scheduled at 12:30. I knew we had a little bit -- a
 3
 4
    little bit to play. And I could sense this conversation
 5
    wasn't quite over with, but I was trying to get us in
    that direction.
 6
 7
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY:
                              Okay.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Commissioner Sinay.
 9
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Excuse me. So not on this
10
    topic, but on advocacy in general; there are several
11
    bills that are in -- I don't know where -- yeah, in the
12
    Legislature, around redistricting at the local levels.
13
    And one in particular, one is on this, you know, kind of
14
    City of Los Angeles. Just focus on that. The other one
15
    is being held back a little bit because we're still
16
    working on some of the details, and Common Causes
17
    involved.
18
         I talked to Anthony asking him, you know, what role
19
    can or can't we play? And as a collective Commission, we
20
    can make that decision collectively. But as individuals,
21
    Common Cause wanted to know if it was possible for
22
    individuals to go to Legislative meetings, and such, to
23
    talk about their experience so that folks could
24
    understand why Independent Redistricting Commissions were
```

important. We cannot go as Commissioners, but we can go

- 1 as individual citizens.
- 2 And what that means is you can't charge for your
- 3 time, or the Commission is not going to cover your costs.
- 4 But if -- I just wanted to put it out there, and I think
- 5 | it would be good for us, as a Commission, just to kind of
- 6 | follow on with the bills that are being posed around
- 7 Independent Redistricting Commissions in the State of
- 8 | California, just so that we're informed, and in case we
- 9 do get calls from the press, and such, to ask for our
- 10 | input, because most of them are using the State
- 11 Redistricting as kind of the model.
- 12 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Chair, did you
- 13 | want to take it over? Or did you want me to take it
- 14 over? I don't want to take it over.
- 15 CHAIR TAYLOR: What's the ask?
- 16 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Well, I don't know, because
- 17 | Commissioner Kennedy has. So I didn't know if we were
- 18 done with the Legislative piece of it, or if.
- 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: No. Did you have sufficient time?
- 20 Are we concluded with this subcommittee report? Do you
- 21 | need some time after we come back from break?
- 22 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: We shouldn't need any time
- 23 after break. The last one was the 4-C, and that's adding
- 24 language.
- 25 CHAIR TAYLOR: Let's run. Let's run that.

- 1 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah.
- 2 CHAIR TAYLOR: Let's run the 4-C.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
- 4 CHAIR TAYLOR: And then we'll break after.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: We're going to go with that
- 6 one, with the last one, because we're hoping to kind of
- 7 come to some sort of decision so we have them in the
- 8 | correct categories, in terms of following up. And this
- 9 one, there was discussion kind of back and forth, and the
- 10 decision was for Chair -- I think it was Chair Toledo at
- 11 | that time, I forgot to put his name in there -- was to
- 12 | just move it down to a lower priority, because it was
- 13 just kind of going back and forth.
- Like, yeah, it's okay if we don't change it; or
- 15 | should we be more specific to show what the 2010
- 16 | Commission as well as us, just to clarify that, you can
- 17 | have rotating chairs, if that's what you desire, so.
- 18 Commissioner Kennedy.
- 19 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Actually, I was wanting to
- 20 comment on the previous discussion.
- 21 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh. Okay. Okay.
- 22 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I'll also address this one,
- 23 because this is something that, again, I've been pushing
- 24 for. But going back to Commissioner Sinay's suggestion
- 25 | that we pay close attention to changes to legislation on

- 1 local redistricting; I'm very much in favor of that. And
- 2 | I think, you know, there are some areas where there have
- 3 even been improvements to the legislation since the
- 4 creation of this body. And one example; and I had
- 5 mentioned this to Chief Counsel Pane recently, there is
- 6 actually language on local redistricting commissions that
- 7 requires them to maintain and retain their websites for
- 8 the entire ten years.
- 9 I mean, imagine how much easier the budget
- 10 discussions with Legislative Staff would have been, if we
- 11 | had similar language to what's already in place for local
- 12 redistricting bodies. So I do think that it is very
- 13 important for us to keep an eye on changes, or to some
- 14 extent to -- to look through the existing language on
- 15 | local redistricting, and see if there are ideas that we
- 16 | want to pick up from there for our own proposals, for
- 17 | changes to our legal framework.
- And you know, clearly, the requirement that they
- 19 retain and maintain their websites for ten years is an
- 20 example of something that we might be well advised to add
- 21 to our Legislative Framework matrix.
- 22 On the idea of rotating the chair, and then again,
- 23 | this was a point that I had raised a long time ago.
- 24 Being, yes, I think we all support the rotation of the
- 25 | chair. We all understand the benefits that that has

- 1 bestowed on us individually, and as a Commission. My
- 2 | concern has always been that the language in the statute,
- 3 in the Government Code I belief, you know, could easily
- 4 be read to say, you know: Shall elect a chair and a vice
- 5 chair.
- 6 And we never -- we never did that. We decided that
- 7 | we were going to go our own way, and we were going to
- 8 rotate. So I think that it's just a matter of prudence,
- 9 and you know, forestalling any possible challenge to this
- 10 | in the future, adding language that says, nothing impedes
- 11 the Commission from deciding to have a rotating
- 12 chairmanship. Thank you.
- 13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner
- 14 Yee.
- 15 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I agree with Commissioner
- 16 Kennedy. You know, the language says that the Commission
- 17 | shall elect one of their members to serve as chair, and
- 18 | one as vice chair. So you know, it does leave it
- 19 somewhat ambiguous. In the Lessons Learned report, you
- 20 know, we heavily document, and sing the praises of the
- 21 | rotating chairs for 2010 and 2020. And I think we all
- 22 agree, it served us very well.
- 23 So you know, I think if we do move forward with a --
- 24 perhaps a package of these, you know, 8552, 8253,
- 25 | amendments, it certainly would be worth including in

- 1 | that. And so I recommend we do pursue it.
- 2 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Commissioner
- 3 Andersen.
- 4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I totally agree. I also
- 5 agree with what Commissioner Kennedy said about following
- 6 ten years, particularly if they say, following the local
- 7 redistricting bills, particularly if one says already
- 8 | that, you know: Hey, keep that website going for ten
- 9 years. I'm all for that. But on this particular one,
- 10 I'm absolutely all for this. And the item I wanted to
- 11 bring in is twofold. One is by rotating chair, the
- 12 | additional benefit is it avoids the feeling of resentment
- 13 among you. It really does share. You know, everyone is
- 14 | in charge. It's not like they're always in charge and we
- 15 | don't count sort of. I think the rotating chair has
- 16 | really kept Commission together, and I simply heard that
- 17 from someone on the 2010.
- Additionally, though, in terms of I thought, a way
- 19 we could move forward on this, possibly, would be to make
- 20 | it a policy. That was just a thought. And then we --
- 21 because I don't think this is a -- I mean, maybe it's
- 22 Legislative, I don't know. But I thought I'd throw that
- 23 out.
- COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Hmm? That's a good way to
- 25 look at it as well, if we made it a policy. I can

```
1 also -- to be honest, I was not for this at the
```

- 2 beginning, but I actually do see the benefit of defining
- 3 | it more specifically, because when there's -- it's the
- 4 initial fourteen, we really don't know each other. We
- 5 don't know our leadership styles. We don't know how good
- 6 | we'll be at chairs or vice chairs. And I think it's good
- 7 | that is rotated, because there's always -- I appreciate
- 8 everyone's different perspective and different styles.
- 9 So I did like -- it's growing on me, Commissioner
- 10 Kennedy. How's that?
- 11 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Good. Are you also calling on
- 12 me?
- 13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes.
- 14 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay.
- 15 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: That was my Seque.
- 16 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Great. No, I mean, again, this
- 17 | is -- I think it's already a policy. We voted on the
- 18 | chair rotation, and approved it, we've approved the
- 19 modifications of the chair rotation along the way. So to
- 20 me, it already is a policy. But you know, as
- 21 | Commissioner Yee pointed out, when the when the statutory
- 22 | language says, "Shall appoint one member as chair" you
- 23 know, somebody could read that as, you know, very
- 24 literally and say, you need to appoint one person as
- 25 | chair.

```
1
        And so having language that says, "Nothing impedes
    the Commission from rotating the chair," is not saying
    that, you know, the Commission is required to rotate the
 3
    chair. It's simply an insurance policy that no one can
 4
 5
    come after a future Commission and say: You're not
 6
    following the law. Thank you.
 7
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Are there any
    other comments or anyone that is not in favor of moving
 8
 9
    this forward? Because if not, it sounds like the few
    that are for it --
10
11
        Anthony, remind me. We voted in the past, right,
12
    whenever we moved something forward?
13
         CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: So if you're -- if the question
14
    is, Commissioner Fernández, have we voted in the past to
15
    move it forward for the Legislative Subcommittee to
16
    pursue it?
17
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                  Right.
18
        CHIEF COUNSEL PANE:
19
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
20
        CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Does that have to happen right
21
    now at this meeting? No. If we're going to make changes
22
    to the Commission's statutes, it does have to follow
23
    those requirements. But there's nothing saying that
24
    those, there isn't necessarily a set order for those
```

25

requirements either, so.

- 1 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
- 2 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yeah, in the past, just to, I
- 3 think for clarification purposes, to point to sort of a
- 4 point in time when the Commission has said, yes, we like
- 5 this, we want to pursue this as a change, as a policy
- 6 matter, to make Legislative changes. We want to kind of
- 7 try to address this in a particular way. Yes, we have
- 8 done that.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. All right. So it's
- 10 | time for lunch. So I think we might need to -- I would
- 11 be more comfortable if -- it sounds like it's positive
- 12 | that there might be some support to move this forward.
- 13 I'd be more comfortable that we voted to move forward
- 14 versus just forwarding it to our Legislative Group. So
- 15 | we'll probably need to do that after.
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: So what we'll do is, we'll return.
- 17 | Commissioner Fernández, we'll open up for public comment,
- 18 take a vote on this issue.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Uh-huh.
- 20 CHAIR TAYLOR: Then if we have sufficient
- 21 | Commissioners present, we'll go to a closed session for
- 22 personnel issues. And then we'll return with the
- 23 | Continuity Committee -- Subcommittee.
- 24 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: All right. Thank you.
- 25 CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. We have our lunch in

1 front of us. I'll see everyone back at 1:30. 2 MS. LEON: Thank you. 3 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 12:47 p.m. until 1:30 p.m.) 4 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: Welcome back, California, to the February 10th Meeting of the California Citizens 6 7 Redistricting Commission. It's still tough to say after 8 all these years. 9 We have in front of us a closed session, and the 10 Continuity Subcommittee report. We left off with our Legislative Subcommittee. And I would like to return it 11 12 back to Commissioner Fernández. 13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. When we left 14 off, it sounded like we might be ready for a vote to move 15 forward, Item C-12, which is add language to note, 16 "Nothing impedes the Commission from rotating the chair." 17 But I don't think we have enough people online to 18 actually take the vote, so we might just need to go into 19 closed session. 20 CHAIR TAYLOR: Okay. 21 MS. LEON: I think we're missing one person, 22 Akutagawa. 2.3 CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Akutagawa, she might --I know she was at a conference and she was --24

COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:

- 1 CHAIR TAYLOR: -- on and off with us.
- MS. LEON: Yeah. She was going to come back on.
- 3 Let me send her --
- 4 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: But is Commissioner Toledo with
- 5 us?
- 6 MS. LEON: Yes. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIR TAYLOR: One, two, three --
- 8 MS. LEON: Let's see. She just texted me a quick --
- 9 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: There he is.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And someone, who just left?
- 11 Let me just look -- no.
- 12 CHAIR TAYLOR: Neal?
- 13 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Oh, he's connecting.
- 14 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes.
- 15 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yeah.
- 16 MS. LEON: I'll send her a note.
- 17 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yeah, we don't want a -- we don't
- 18 | want any reductions.
- 19 MS. LEON: Yeah.
- 20 CHAIR TAYLOR: Let's see -- eight.
- 21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I think --
- 22 CHAIR TAYLOR: And for, Commissioner Fernández, for
- 23 your vote do we need a --
- MS. LEON: Oh. There she is. There is Commissioner
- 25 Akutagawa.

```
1
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Oh.
 2
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I do not believe -- as
   Anthony said -- I do not believe we need a supermajority
 3
 4
    for this. It's when we come back later with specific
 5
    language then a supermajority would be needed, once it
    goes through the Legislature and it's in the bill.
 6
 7
    that correct, Anthony?
        CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: That's correct.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. So let's --
10
         CHAIR TAYLOR: So if Commissioner Akutagawa is
11
    listening in, I think we are at -- oh, yeah, we're at
12
    ten.
13
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. Yes.
14
        CHAIR TAYLOR: Let's seize the moment.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: There we go. There we go.
15
16
    Okay. Did somebody make a motion, or we were just going
17
    to -- okay, so I'll --
18
         CHAIR TAYLOR: I'm willing to entertain the motion.
19
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Ray, are you ready?
20
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I am ready.
21
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                  Let's go.
22
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I move that we develop language
23
    to say that nothing impedes the Commission from rotating
24
    the chair to add to Government Code -- the relevant
25
    Government Code section.
```

```
1
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Yee, is that a second?
 2
         COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
                                  That's a second.
 3
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Can I just clarify?
 4
    Commissioner Kennedy, when you're saying: To develop
 5
    language that includes meeting with our Legislative
    staff, in terms of that type of language, so that we can
 6
 7
    move forward -- to bring that forward to them as well?
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
 9
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. And "to say nothing
    impedes", is it chair and vice chair?
10
11
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Chair and vice chair.
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay. Thank you. I just
12
13
    want to make sure I capture it all.
14
        MS. LEON: Okay.
15
         CHAIR TAYLOR: So we have a motion that's been moved
16
    and seconded. Any further discussion?
17
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Anthony, is that good
18
    enough for us to then move it to the next list, which is
19
    the proposed -- I know we have the other list that is --
20
         CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yes. I mean, I think what
21
    we're doing right now is probably a little bit of
22
    preliminary step, but it's important. We get,
23
    essentially, direction that the Commission wants to
24
    pursue this Legislatively, and ask that the subcommittee
25
    work with staff to, hopefully, get some actual language.
```

```
1
   And then once we are able -- once the subcommittee is
    able to work the language, come back for an approval vote
    with a supermajority for the Commission.
 3
 4
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Okay.
 5
        MS. LEON: Shall I share this -- shall I share the
   motion?
 6
 7
        COMMISSIONER SINAY: (No verbal response).
        MS. LEON: Is that okay with the details?
 9
        CHAIR TAYLOR: No, not yet.
10
        MS. LEON: Okay.
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: "Nothing impedes rotating
11
    the chair and vice chair".
12
13
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Nothing impedes the
14
    Commission from rotating the chair and vice chair. And
15
    we can put that it's in Government Code, Section 8252 --
16
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Three -- oh, two.
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: -- or 8253(a)(4).
17
18
        MS. LEON: 8250 --
19
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: -- 3, Subsection (a), paragraph
20
    (4).
21
        CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. Is that a --
22
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: And to add the relevant Code
23
    section? And actually we can leave out "relevant Code
24
    section", just say, "To add to Government Code Section
25
    8253, Subsection (a) (4)." Yeah.
```

```
1
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: It's 8253 is the section
 2
   number.
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Yeah.
 3
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. Now, public
 4
 5
    comment?
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Right. Yes.
 6
 7
        COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Actually, it --
         CHAIR TAYLOR: I want to see -- I want to see the
 9
    verbiage first. So this is, we agreed upon, this is the
10
    verbiage that we're going forward with?
11
        COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Actually, if I can comment
12
   on it.
13
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Akutagawa.
14
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I'm going to join my other
15
    fellow Commissioners in doing some wordsmithing.
16
        CHAIR TAYLOR: Go ahead.
17
         COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: The part of -- if you could
18
    show the language or -- sorry. Okay. So the part that
19
    says, "developed language", and I would suggest moving
20
    "develop language" to "add to GC Section 8253 Subsection
21
    4", move that up there. Yeah. And then just get rid of
22
    the "to add to" after the vice chair. I think it just
23
    sounds cleaner that way, and clearer too.
24
        VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Agreed.
25
        MS. LEON: Are we ready for --
```

```
1
         CHAIR TAYLOR: No, hang on.
         MS. LEON: Okay.
 3
         CHAIR TAYLOR: So we have the accepted verbiage.
 4
    Motion has been properly moved seconded. No need for
 5
    further discussion.
         Kristian, can you open up the lines for public
 6
 7
    comment?
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing, Chair.
         The Commission will now take public comment on the
    motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-
10
11
    853-5247, and enter meeting ID number 85436289451.
12
    you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the
13
    comment queue. The full call-in instructions are read at
14
    the beginning of the meeting, and are provided on the
15
    live stream landing page.
16
         And there is no one in the queue at this time,
17
    Chair.
18
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. And let me know when they
19
    have -- when they've cut up or --
2.0
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are
21
    complete, and there is no one in the queue.
22
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, sir.
2.3
         Corina, we can proceed with the vote, please.
24
         MS. LEON: Okay.
25
         Commissioner Ahmad.
```

```
1 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
```

- 2 MS. LEON: Akutagawa.
- 3 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 4 MS. LEON: Andersen.
- 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 6 MS. LEON: Fernández.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes.
- 8 MS. LEON: Fornaciari.
- 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- MS. LEON: Kennedy.
- 11 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
- 12 MS. LEON: Le Mons.
- 13 Sadhwani.
- 14 Sinay.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 16 MS. LEON: Taylor.
- 17 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Toledo.
- 19 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 20 MS. LEON: Turner.
- 21 Vázquez.
- 22 COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ: Yes.
- MS. LEON: And Yee.
- 24 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
- MS. LEON: Okay.

```
1
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you. Motion, motion passes.
 2
        MS. LEON: Thank you. All right. Let me stop
 3
    sharing. Okay. Great.
 4
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Commissioner Fernández, any other
 5
    outstanding topics?
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: That's it. Thank you.
 6
 7
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you, as always, for the
 8
    wonderful work.
 9
         Commissioners, now, please follow the link that was
10
    sent to you. We're going to go to closed session.
11
    anticipate that we'll be back in general session at,
12
    let's say 1405, 2:05. I'll see you in closed session.
13
        MS. LEON: Okay. Thank you.
14
              (Whereupon, a recess was held from 1:41 p.m.
15
              until 2:30 p.m.)
16
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Welcome back to the February 10th,
17
    2023, Meeting of the California Citizens Redistricting
18
    Commission.
         The Commission met in closed session under the
19
20
    personnel matter's exception, and the Commission voted to
21
    hire a subject matter expert, a SME, as in a retired
22
    annuitant to assist with the website and ADA compliance.
2.3
        MS. LEON: Namely, me.
24
         CHAIR TAYLOR: So upon return, we are going to now
25
   move on to our Continuity Subcommittee report. And in
```

- 1 charge of that is Commissioner Sinay and Commissioner
- 2 Fornaciari. So I'll throw the ball into your court.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Do you want me to kind of 4 set some context?
- 5 CHAIR TAYLOR: Sure, absolutely.
- 6 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Well, so I think
- 7 | back when Commissioner Akutagawa was Chair, she -- no,
- 8 | who was it? I can't remember who -- oh, maybe it was
- 9 Toledo, yes, Commissioner Toledo, who brought up this
- 10 | idea of a Continuity Transition Committee to look at kind
- 11 of where we're headed, you know, in the long term. And
- 12 you know, what are the -- what are kind of the activities
- 13 | we want to do to help prepare the next set of
- 14 Commissioners?
- And I specifically say it that way because I really
- 16 think we need to stop talking about the '10, '20, and '30
- 17 as if they're separate things. But again, we'll have
- 18 | that part of that conversation in a minute.
- 19 At the last meeting, Commissioner Le Mons, then
- 20 asked a big-picture question, you know: What are we
- 21 doing? I mean, we're talking a lot about, a lot of sort
- 22 of point things that we want to maybe do, but how do they
- 23 | all fit together in the big picture? And being the
- 24 | systems engineer that I am, that's how I think is: how do
- 25 | all the pieces fit together?

1 And so Commissioner Sinay graciously agreed to join me on this journey. And at the last meeting we committed to coming back to you with kind of an initial plan. 3 Well, sort of -- you know, Commissioner Sinay, and I 4 5 talked about this, we kept coming back to two questions that we thought we needed to start with as a Commission. 6 7 And those questions are: Do we view the Commission as an ongoing entity? And question number two is: What is 8 fully functional? 10 And today, we want to have -- begin a conversation 11 about those two topics to kind of take the pulse of the 12 Commission, to kind of run through an exercise to see, 13 you know, what that looks like, decisions in either 14 direction on those two questions, it looks like. And 15 that discussion kind of will help lead us forward, I 16 think in answering a lot of the questions, a lot of the 17 Legislative issues that we brought up are, you know, 18 really tied into to this conversation. 19 And inform us, you know, what are we to do over the 20 next seven years, and how are we going to -- and what are 21 we going to be able to do to support the next set of 22 Commissioners as they come on board? 2.3 And of course, as we know, it's not all our

decision, right? We need to get some funding. And we've

worked on that, and at least for the next several years,

24

- and hopefully that'll come through. And if it doesn't,
 we'll have to revisit this. But that was a good time to
 start this conversation.
 - So Patricia, what did I miss?

2.3

- COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh. I think that was a good overview. We did want to also ground this conversation on what language do we need to understand. You know, what -- so I want thank Anthony for doing kind of that research, and saying, you know, where in the original ballot measures, or in the Legislature, or wherever, that they talk about these two issues.
- And just so that we don't confuse ourselves, we have, for the sake of this conversation, we're going to use the legalese term. So it's not "commissioners" but "occupants", so we can easily think about the occupants. And the reason being, sometimes we say "Commission" -- yeah, "CRC" or "Commission", and we mean "redistricting". Other times we say it and we mean the "entity"; and then third -- the third way we use CRC is to refer to ourselves. And even in documents today, now that I'm so -- I've been thinking about this so much, I've noticed that we use it in all different ways.
- So we're trying to just stay clear in our conversation. And there's a lot of questions here. And the idea was just to really spark -- hopefully you've

read it ahead of time, we tried to get it done early enough so that people could read it, but it's to spark your creativity in your thinking. "What if" questions are supposed to open up your mind instead of close your mind?

And we worked really hard -- except Neal blew it -I mean, Commissioner Fornaciari. We worked really hard
on not being biased, and not sharing our biases in the
responses, and because I don't think, necessarily,
there's a right or wrong answer and -- and any decisions
that we make as future -- you know, future occupants
think that we made the wrong decision, and they can
always change it as well. Yeah. So I just wanted to put
that out there, that let's just be creative.

Neal, do you want us -- should we start? So we created two -- kind of decision trees. We went through all different ways of how to present this, so on a Friday afternoon you guys would be really excited to have this conversation. But what we wanted to make sure was that decisions got made, because a lot of times we talk in circles and then we come back to a conversation, and some of us think we made a decision, others haven't. And what do you decisions mean? What are the consequences?

And so when you look at page 2 in the docket, the post-mapping -- the discussion document, not the

- 1 timelines, because we also played around with timelines,
- 2 because we keep talking about what this might look like,
- 3 and this, and that. And Neal, being linear and needing
- 4 to see it -- sorry -- Commissioner Fornaciari, he created
- 5 all these great timelines that are really helpful, also
- 6 in thinking through some of these things.
- 7 So the orange is the question -- are the questions
- 8 | that are being posed, the dark -- black is, the answers
- 9 are yes or no. And then the purple is the action that
- 10 | needs to be taken, because that's kind of where we're
- 11 trying to head to, to getting instructions from all of
- 12 | you on what the next step is. So that's our constant
- 13 desire -- oh, thanks for doing that, Corina.
- 14 And I was looking at my own screen, forgetting that
- 15 | I can share.
- 16 So there is some color coding here. And to give
- 17 | credit where credit is due, I think the first time that
- 18 | this was posed as a question, was by Commissioner
- 19 Kennedy. He said, you know, we need to start thinking
- 20 about the Commissioner as -- the Commission as an
- 21 | institution, not as something that gets created, and you
- 22 know, that is based on the individuals each ten years.
- 23 The reason we say -- call it a "phoenix", was kind
- 24 of that idea, that it sunsets and comes back. So when
- 25 | you read phoenix, that's why.

- 1 Neal, take it from there.
- 2 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Can you go ahead? I
- 3 | thought I'd take notes. Is that okay?
- 4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Sounds great. So the first
- 5 question: What if the California Citizen Redistricting
- 6 | Commission was an institution? And what we mean by an
- 7 institution is that it would be a -- that it's part of
- 8 the bureaucracy that is similar to all the other
- 9 commissions, that it has such policies that set staffs,
- 10 | that address -- you know, and the occupants, the
- 11 commissioners come and go, but the institution is there.
- 12 | And it is part of the fabric of the California
- 13 Government.
- On that one, do you want to say anything else,
- 15 Commissioner Kennedy, or Commissioner Fornaciari, on what
- 16 | it being an institution means to you all?
- 17 | COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'll jump in if
- 18 | that's okay. I mean, I think it -- I think that's what
- 19 | we need to decide what that looks like, right. Is it --
- 20 you know, I mean, it goes all the way from one end of the
- 21 spectrum as, you know, we lock up the key tomorrow; or
- 22 lock up the door tomorrow, and then the new Commission
- 23 comes back in 2020 or 2030 and starts up from ground
- 24 | zero, all the way to, you know, it's up and running when
- 25 | they come through the door, you know. And what "up and

1 running is", is another question. So I mean, it's a --2 COMMISSIONER SINAY: You mean occupants, right, not commission? 3 4 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: When they, the occupants 5 come -- get chosen, yes. And so you know, our hope and my hope in this conversation is we kind of come to where 6 7 we as a -- as a group feel, you know, where do we feel 8 that we are on that whole entire spectrum, or should be. 9 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Well, yeah. Commissioner 10 Sinay, if I may? 11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. Go ahead. VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: You know, I've working with the 12 13 election commissions for -- you know, essentially for 14 thirty years, and we view commissions as institutions, 15 and we distinguish between commissions and boards of 16 commissioners. So the commission is the institution, the 17 board, as we've said on a number of occasions, you know, 18 individuals come and go, rotate on rotate off, but that 19 doesn't mean that the institution, the commission ceases 20 to exist. 21 So you know that's where I've been coming from. 22 I'm very happy to see us having this discussion. And I 23 very much appreciate you and Commissioner Fornaciari for

having sat down and thought through this so thoroughly,

and brought this to us in this format. So thank you.

24

```
COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. We won. Commissioner
1
    Kennedy liked it. We're done. No. So on this, I know
 3
    it's Friday afternoon, and we've had a long week, but it
 4
    would be great just to hear what your gut is telling you
 5
    on kind of this, or you know, how have you been thinking
    about it, about the entity? I'm trying not to use the
 6
 7
    words that we're trying to define.
         But you know, about CRC, what are some -- if we were
    to go and say, okay, this is an institution, the
10
    Commission is an institution, and it's -- and it should,
11
    like, I think it was -- if you look at the list of
12
    commissions in the State of California, it doesn't pop up
13
    because it's not one that you -- yeah, it's not a
14
    standing one that's there.
15
         And so what would it look like? What would it --
16
    what would it feel like? What, you know, just any of
17
    your thoughts?
18
         Go for it, Commissioner Andersen.
19
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. I'll go for it,
    because I basically kind of said it earlier. The one
20
21
    question, though, I think we should be saying is, does
22
    anyone not think that the California Citizens
23
    Redistricting Commission should continue on? I mean,
24
    should we -- does anyone think we should close the door,
25
    and then come back years later?
```

```
1
         I think that's the question to decide right now.
    all our discussions, do I think there might be one or two
    that'll probably go: Sure, I'll go to that? But I don't
 3
    think that's many. And so that's the first question I
 4
 5
    would ask everybody.
         But then my vision has always been, and I think I've
 6
    said that's -- the website has been going is, yes,
 7
    we're -- this institution which is wildly busy, da, da,
    da, da, da. And then we kind of close things down, the
10
    archives, we kind of tailor things off of a little bit,
11
    and then unlike what the 2010 was able to do, then we
12
    come back to it, brush it all up, and get it ready for
13
    the next Commission.
14
         That's how I've always been this -- but ongoing
15
    institution that has -- you know, it has all these people
16
    employed the whole time, no, that was never my thought.
17
    And if we looked a little bit more into it, we only
18
    got -- you know, we did have, quote, "staffs", which were
19
    two people. From one, two, three, here you go. Okay.
20
    Here's your eight, here is your package training
21
    material, and these two people are going to help you
22
    through it from then on, off you go.
2.3
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Jane, can I ask you not to go
24
    into that question yet, right now, and just --
```

Yes.

25

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:

1 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Great. I just wanted 2 to --3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Perfect. 4 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- this is what happens, as we 5 start going all over. And so I'm going to try to keep us very focused so we -- sorry -- I should've said 6 7 Commissioner Andersen. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, that's all right. just want to conclude then, I think the issue is, it is 10 an institution, how do we define that? But if anyone 11 thinks it shouldn't be number one, and then it's your --12 on your little thing is: Can previous occupants hire? 13 Would be the next where -- area we could go to, because 14 there's history in that. 15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Commissioner Yee. 16 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. Thank you for this 17 good work. You know, on one hand, I think there's no 18 question we are -- you know, we're seated for ten years. 19 That's statutory, and constitutionally, I mean, so no 20 debate about that. And you know, institutions, I mean, 21 we had very sharp disagreement about that early on. You 22 know, to what degree we should try to learn from, and 23 pattern from, pattern ourselves around the 2010 24 Commission, rather than we should, intentionally, start 25 very fresh, you know.

And generally speaking, we lean towards the latter, you know, starting very fresh, up to and including not really using the 2010 maps, as a starting point.

On the other hand, you know, we've learned things.

Especially specific things like this whole website issue. You know, I mean, if we were to close up the website and let 2030 just start from scratch, I mean, that would be really a mess, you know, and a disaster. And so you know, whether or not we're an institution, I think there needs to be nuanced. I think we need to talk about specific functionalities, and resources, and tasks.

And you know, rather than: do we keep a stable office with an address, and you know, all that. And that will also, you know, it goes -- reflects back to our, earlier today, the whole discussion on budget, right?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

COMMISSIONER YEE: That's going to be a make or break. So anything we want, or desire, or plan, whether we can, you know, obtain budgeting for it, right. So even if we think it's a great idea, but can't a budget for it, then it seems a moot point.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner Yee.

And I think you're -- I think when Commissioner

Fornaciari and I were talking about this, we definitely

brought that up as well, the whole, what does it mean to

be an institution, and each occupant still has free will to design -- design their own process.

So there's different -- you know, as I said earlier, we mix up sometimes the process, the institution, and the individual. And so we're trying to kind of tease them all apart, and see if we can -- you know; is there a better way -- is there a better way -- a better -- is there something we can leave for the 2030 redistricting process that is better than what we inherited when we started?

And that's kind of the bigger question is, what -you know, that, I feel like that's where we always go
back to: on why are we still meeting? What's the purpose
of these seven years? And we've said it several times,
that it's to ensure that we're leaving it better for the
2030 redistricting process. Now, part of that process is
also the occupant, the staff, all those other pieces.

So Commissioner Toledo.

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: You know, I think for me, what differentiates us from other commissions is that the independent nature, and the -- and for the Independent Citizens Redistricting Commission, and being outside of the influence of the -- of elected officials, right.

That was the goal to have all of this for all of our -- all of the work of the Commission, of the institution,

and be done with as little influence from the political sector, as possible.

And how that happens in the -- with those civil service -- and how that happens with civil servants, which are oftentimes connected to the political process, right, as we've so just -- you know, how money is allocated, and under the control of the Legislature and the administration, does bring up some questions around independence, although I think there's ways of addressing those concerns and those issues, right.

Because they want to make sure that -- that it is -that the institution remains independent of political
influence, and that the Commission, or the occupants are
of -- and that the occupants are able to do their work
independent from that influence. And so yes, so that'll
be -- it's that portion, that independence that's so
important.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Toledo, on that word "independent", do you feel that we've defined it, and that we clearly understand independence from what?

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Well, for me, it's

independent -- and how I think of it, I don't know if
we've clearly defined it or not, but I think, maybe
Anthony can speak a little bit, too, from the legal
framework; but I think for me, based on the propositions

- 1 that were passed, and the spirit of the law, I think it's
- 2 | independence from our political -- from our elected
- 3 officials, right. From the political bodies that we -- I
- 4 think it's that, that type of independence, right. That
- 5 | we're not being influenced to make decisions to make
- 6 decisions, or to make -- that we're not being influenced
- 7 to make certain decisions, that we truly are independent.
- 8 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: When we were going through the
- 9 process, did others feel that there was a broader
- 10 definition of independence?
- I see, I see you, Chair Taylor, don't worry.
- 12 But did others feel that there was a broader
- 13 definition of independence, that was more than
- 14 | independence from the elected or -- yeah, the elected,
- 15 the incumbents, and candidates, and political parties?
- 16 Go ahead, Commissioner Fornaciari. You're muted.
- 17 You're still muted.
- 18 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I think our definition of
- 19 "independence" evolved. You know, I think that we came
- 20 to understand that everybody who's trying to influence
- 21 | the process has an agenda, and that we need to be
- 22 | independent from all parties that are, you know, trying
- 23 to influence the process.
- I think that we -- you know, there was, for a number
- 25 of reasons, as Commissioner Yee said, we felt we needed

- 1 to be independent from the previous occupants. I think
- 2 | that and I -- and there were a number of reasons for
- 3 that, right? But in some ways, I think that that
- 4 | independence that we felt at the beginning was maybe
- 5 excessive in reflection.
- 6 You know, as we went through this exercise to look
- 7 at, you know, what are the things that could be
- 8 | independent, and what are the things that don't need to
- 9 be independent? I mean, my perspective on that changed,
- 10 | right. I mean the -- you know, the approach to
- 11 | redistricting, the -- you know how they -- how to manage
- 12 VRA, those things, I think that the next set of
- 13 | Commissioners need to figure out.
- But I think a lot of the stuff that we felt we
- 15 | needed to do ourselves, maybe we really didn't. You
- 16 know, some more back office kind of stuff.
- 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. Commissioner
- 18 Taylor -- Chair Taylor, sorry.
- 19 CHAIR TAYLOR: Chair, Commissioner, Derric, it's in
- 20 works.
- 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Good.
- 22 CHAIR TAYLOR: So you know -- I guess, you know, I
- 23 | don't want to seem like you're "splitting the baby", but
- 24 | it would seem that, it would seem that a hybrid of these
- 25 | two would be the most optimal condition. There are

efficiencies in institutions that I feel that we were unable to take advantage of.

And even, even when you have the novel approach of what would be a phoenix, you lose that if you don't have some sort of institution in place in. And I'll put it into outreach. You know, let's take a look for -- if there was some points of institution that are in place, our outreach could be a lot more effective. But when you're -- when you're building a whole new ship, you spend time on those tasks when you could be -- when you could be tending to other business.

So I think there's element of an institution that can work to your advantage even when you're a phoenix, and you have to build your own ship. And so I think that's where we lose off -- lose out on by not having some of those in place. And so when I hear some of the things that we're suggesting or want to do, I see, I see that element of it.

We're trying to, or at least it feels to me, that we're trying to enable the future Commission to work better and more efficiently, for whatever their view is of this redistricting process. We're trying to better enable them to go about -- go about their job. I like to think of redistricting as it's not -- it's, on his face, it has no political value. We've made it so.

```
1
         But we're extending democracy to as many people as
              I don't care what your political view is?
    possible.
 3
    This is what's available to you. This is a part of your
    democracy. So I think of how to effectively, and
 4
 5
    efficiently, administer this to as many people as
    possible. So is it better inside of some structure?
 6
 7
    is it better that we had to build something new? I think
    I need elements of them both. Thank you.
 8
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: So Chair, first of all, I love
10
    how you put that. You know, the whole -- we're extending
11
    democracy, because that's kind of how I -- yeah, I think
12
    we all believe that and really felt it. When you say
13
    "elements of both", what elements do you feel that in a
14
    hybrid model would allow for the best efficiency? You
15
    know, what are the best efficiencies of an institution
16
    that would allow for the 2030 redistricting process to be
    more efficient and effective?
17
18
                       That's, you know, I wonder; but
         CHAIR TAYLOR:
19
    spending time, spending time creating, spending time
20
    creating RAs, or going through -- or going over that
21
    verbiage. And then the -- in the processes that we have
22
    in front of us, to approve things of that sort, it's just
2.3
    so time consuming.
24
         And we could be out in the fields. We could be --
```

So some of those structures,

25

we could be interacting.

```
1 some of those backroom items, those can be in place. You
```

- 2 know, I wonder -- I wonder how much different our
- 3 decisions would be made if those were handed to us. But
- 4 | we want to vet everything that's before us.
- 5 I mean, just as an example, since you asked me right
- 6 now, in the spot, in the moment, but yeah, there're
- 7 structures that could already be present, that really --
- 8 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I (indiscernible) to ask
- 9 you on the spot, because I think that the next piece of
- 10 | this conversation will be that, so I want to plant that
- 11 | seed for everybody, as we're moving forward on this
- 12 | conversation. And we're only taking ninety minutes
- 13 today, so it will be at the next meeting.
- But just think about what institutions, what would
- 15 be -- would have been helpful. Thank you, Chair.
- 16 CHAIR TAYLOR: You got it.
- 17 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Sinay.
- 18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oops. Commissioner Kennedy,
- 19 | there's a few people who've had their hands raised. Can
- 20 | I call on Commissioner Vázquez first, since she hasn't
- 21 | said anything yet?
- 22 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: For sure. Yeah.
- 23 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you.
- 24 COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ: Thanks. I just, Chair
- 25 | Taylor, I think said many of the things that I was

```
1 thinking of. I just wanted to note that I -- from my
```

- 2 perspective, I think for me, the greatest priority in
- 3 terms of defining, and creating structures around this
- 4 | idea of independence, for me, was much more in line with
- 5 | what Commissioner Toledo had expressed, which is
- 6 independence from explicit political, Legislative
- 7 influence, since that is -- that is sort of central to
- 8 this idea of independent redistricting.
- 9 I think from the beginning, I was somewhat confused
- 10 about our independence from other state agencies, and the
- 11 | state infrastructure, because we are -- I sort of
- 12 | considered us a state agency with independence from the
- 13 Legislature.
- So to me, I think there are a lot of benefits that
- 15 come from being a state agency that we weren't able to
- 16 | leverage because others or we defined us as more
- 17 | independent than I think was practical or supportive of
- 18 our ultimate mission, which was independent
- 19 redistricting. So thanks.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: I just realized I was muted,
- 21 sorry. I keep wanting to think about everything you all
- 22 | are saying, and I'm forgetting to facilitate.
- 23 Commissioner Toledo.
- COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Thank you. Yeah. For me, and
- 25 | I think that independence, going back to independence, I

think certainly it's that political influence directly
from the Legislature. And I agree with Commissioner
Fornaciari, I think our definition evolved over time, in
that understanding that influence sometimes comes -- was
coming from the -- you know, from the parties or other
groups within, you know, within the public comments,

right.

- And so just being -- just monitoring that influence, and where, potentially, you know, being able to evaluate that influence, and in the many ways that it plays out in this process, and being able to weigh that independently, each of us, independently as occupants of the Commission seats. Thank you.
- COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. And Commissioner Kennedy; and then I'll go to you, Commissioner Yee.
- VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

 A couple of things; one thing that I remember fairly clearly is when we were told early on that part of the reason that we did not have -- that we did not start out with delegated authority for procurement, was that there was no one to kind of hold that delegation from -- you know, to carry it over from the 2010 Commission to us.
- And so in my mind, that's one of the biggest things, that considering the Commission as an institution, and being able to carry things like delegated authority, from

one Board of Commissioners to the next is so vitally important to the future of -- the future success of future boards.

2.3

- I would also say, you know, we now have a much clearer understanding of when the census planning cycle starts. So we now understand that, you know, we have enough on our Legislative agenda that that might keep us busy for this year.
- But by next year, we need to be taking part in the early planning for census so that the 2030 Board can benefit from what we did not have, which was an institutional relationship with the California Complete Count Office, and that whole structure, that I think we could -- we know that we could have benefited from a better relationship, or a -- I guess, any real institutional relationship that didn't exist.
- And the third thing that I would say is, your decision tree focuses, maybe a little bit too much on hiring people. Some of the things under the -- on the right-hand side, but on the third line down under, "yes", "Litigation document process continually improve, prepare for next occupants", that could also be over on the left-hand side of the tree.
- And I'm just thinking back to the redistricting

 cycle diagram that I had distributed a couple of meetings

- 1 ago. And you know, I'm always looking for ways to
- $2\mid$ improve that diagram. And I think some of them are here,
- 3 and I certainly anticipate that more will come out in
- 4 | this discussion. And I just want to encourage colleagues
- 5 to, you know, bring that cycle diagram up in your brain,
- 6 or pull it up on the screen, and you know, keep data as
- 7 part of this discussion as well. Thank you.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And Commissioner Kennedy,
- 9 you're absolutely right. What I think. We got better at
- 10 | thinking through things, but that the first purple under
- 11 "Yes" was supposed to identify those kinds of systems.
- 12 And then when we went further down, we kind of started
- 13 bulleting them, and then put "other". So yes, you're
- 14 right.
- 15 Commissioner Vázquez, do you have a comment or is
- 16 | your hand up from before?
- 17 | COMMISSIONER VÁZQUEZ: (No verbal response).
- 18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Commissioner Yee.
- 19 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you. Just to throw another
- 20 variable in. Now, I think about how much we benefited by
- 21 having Q2 come back as our main line drawing team, you
- 22 know, from 2010, and how much it streamlined everything
- 23 that they had. And institutional memory was how it was
- 24 done. I know it was, you know, some mixed reviews,
- 25 especially about the visualization stage. But I think,

- you know, for most of the mapping, it just enormously

 streamlined things that they had done it before. And I

 kind of shudder to think, what if a team may come in here

 that had never done it before, and no idea how to

 approach the final report, even. You know, that would
- 6 have been scary, actually. You know, to try to break in 7 a whole new team.

So even though, you know, that's an outside contractor, I think the institutional memory that brought to us benefited us greatly. I'm not quite sure how that fits in here, but for 2030, if it is a whole new team, a whole different contractor, I think that'll affect them, pretty, pretty significantly.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And Commissioner Yee, I don't completely agree with you, that I think it would have fallen apart. And the reason is what I -- when people ask me why we were successful, or what my advice is for future Commissioners, I've come down to say, trust each other, you're fourteen smart people or however many, Michigan has a different number and whatnot. And trust the process.

And there's a lot of people that have been doing redistricting, have been doing the maps and such, but I think we did benefit from it as well. But I don't want a future -- our future people, if they don't have the

same --

2.3

COMMISSIONER YEE: Well, in future -- and of course Q2 was new in 2010, so I mean, you know, they were in that position. Not fall apart, but yeah, certainly much more challenging, I think.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. I, also,
and the independence of politics is exactly what I was
thinking. But I completely agree with Commissioner

Fornaciari, we were told: You're independent of
everybody, don't talk to anybody. And now I understand
now, there are political reasons for that. However, that
was a mistake. We lost a lot of good knowledge, and I
think that sort of also led us to: don't look at anything
that we were already pre-given.

You know, that extreme independence I think did hurt us. And I definitely have to, boy, it's my view, I have this, you know, da, da, da, da, da, I would like to move, to move forward for the 2030; however they all involve, you know, how we were set up in the hybrid mode which Commissioner Taylor was talking about. I completely agree with that.

The State Auditor is in charge of how -- right now, they're in charge of how the 2030 got set up. And we have a lot of very good ideas in terms of improving that.

```
1 | So I'd like to work with the State Auditors on that. And
```

- 2 | number one in that, I think what would really help is in
- 3 our training, if we actually had training on admin. So
- 4 | we understood what was going on, and all the -- the
- 5 importance of all of this, because they handed us
- 6 contracts, they handed us -- we only had two people, we
- 7 | should have had like five people, but they were
- 8 temporary.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Andersen, we're
- 10 | not going to problem-solve yet, we're still --
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No, no, no, but --
- 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- in the theoretical.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- but these are all --
- 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: But there is a right place for
- 15 our future conversation.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, but these are all
- 17 | things that it doesn't matter what we think, if we can't
- 18 talk the State Auditor into it, there we go.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Right. But it doesn't make --
- 20 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So I think that's huge.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- sense to talk to the State
- 22 Auditor without having or -- us understanding what we
- 23 | want to speak to the State Auditor about.
- COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. When do we want to
- 25 | hear that?

```
1
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: We'll get there. But right
    now, it's this idea of -- and I think what I'm hearing
    for most of us, but I haven't heard from Commissioner
 3
 4
    Fernández, I think my Commissioner Akutagawa is not here
 5
    right now, and Commissioner Ahmad, on their thought.
    what I'm hearing from most of -- most of us, is that we
 6
 7
    do want to look at a hybrid model. It would be helpful
    to have an -- you know, to think of it more as: What can
 8
    be in place, that will help the future occupants be able
10
    to do a better job -- not a better job, because we did an
11
    awesome job -- but you know what -- how can we help them?
12
    Because they're going have less time, and what not, be as
13
    successful as possible so that we have the best
14
    redistricting ever in 2030? Or what (indiscernible) --
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So I'll raise my hand
15
16
    again when you're asking for that.
17
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. So Commissioner Toledo,
18
    I'm going to be with you in a second.
                                           I wanted to see,
19
    since I opened the door for Commissioner Fernández, if
20
    she wanted to say anything.
21
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Well, I usually never say
22
    anything, so here it goes.
2.3
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: I know. But that's why, I'm
24
    like --
25
         COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ:
                                  That's what I usually do.
```

Like the whole independence, I will say that I did come in with a very narrow definition in terms of, I believe that we were independent, and independent not only from, you know, the political side of it, but also the community base, because I just felt like everyone is trying to manipulate me, right.

And then I also felt that I had to be independent from what the 2010 did in terms of their districts and their maps, because I felt independent, you need to start with a clean slate. And I still believe that is the case, because if we were to -- if we would have started with the existing maps, I mean, how can you consider yourself being independent at that point? Because all you're doing is maybe adjusting it here or there. So I just have more of a tighter, I guess, definition of independence.

And I did want to talk a little bit, Commissioner

Yee talked about the line drawer; yes, I think we worked

really well with them. But I also feel that that's what

line drivers do. And fortunately we have a contract. We

have an RFP that we could -- that future Commissions can

reference in terms of what needs to be done. We have a

report that they can reference in terms of what needs to

be done. And I just want to remind everyone that the

bulk of that report, the narrative, the line drawers did

1 not do.

2.3

It was our staff. And then we also work with Strumwasser, we had a contract with them. They helped us with some of the legal language, and also some of the descriptions, and just the editing which was -- because Commissioner Kennedy and I, honestly, that last month we didn't have time to work on a report. We were drawing lines.

And just a reminder that, yes, we want -- I've heard

And just a reminder that, yes, we want -- I've heard this done -- I've heard this said a few times, and thank you, Commissioner Sinay, for catching it; when someone says: So the next Commission can do it better. We did it great. I think a better way to say that would be: So the next Commission can truly concentrate all of their efforts on redistricting, or the maps, or the training, or whatever the case, not necessarily on all of, like, the administrative -- what I considered administrative type functions of trying to figure out what the state system, the bureaucracy, and doing RFPs, and processing contracts, and hiring people, and making sure that positions have been established, and that people get paid.

If we can at least alleviate that part of it, and they can focus on what their main task is. I don't even think I answered your question, Patricia -- or

1 | Commissioner Sinay. Sorry.

- COMMISSIONER SINAY: You did, perfectly.
- 3 Commissioner Ahmed, I'm coming back to you after 4 Commissioner Toledo.
 - COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: And I would agree with everything Commissioner Fernández said. And I still go back to the question of independence, especially after having drawn the maps, and just thinking about the next seven years. You know, we drew the maps where -- and we were still responsible, I think, in terms of defending those maps on behalf of the people of California.
 - Yet, if we were truly independent, I think we would be -- we would ensure that -- we would be the ones determining, you know, who we'd use as legal counsel, and the strategy around that, and really, you know, ensuring that -- you know, ensuring that those maps are protected, which I'm sure, of course, we would do. And of course we have -- we are still responsible for doing that.
 - But there is a connection to an elected official at the AG's Office who, at that point, would take the lead -- if I'm understanding correctly -- in that type of litigation, which is a political office. And that does -- to me that doesn't seem completely independent.

 So I think we're in this quasi-independent entity that

tries -- whose aim is to have as little political

1 influence as possible.

2.3

But of course, it's difficult not to have some political influence when we're embedded in the state of bureaucracy. And it has some, of course has some benefits and some disadvantages as well. Thank you.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So what I'm hearing is, we want to make sure that we get all the advantages of a bureaucracy and throw out all the disadvantages. Okay.

Commissioner Ahmad.

has to be rebuilt and recreated.

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Can you repeat the question?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh. We're just on this conversation of, you know, what are our feelings, our gut feelings regarding an institution -- the entity being an institution versus a phoenix; meaning that every time that individuals are selected, the board is selected, it

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Sure. Yeah, I'll just -- I guess my thought process on this is somewhat similar, but a little different to things that have been already stated. And we're sitting in 2023 now, we have seven years left in our term, the likelihood of any significant change being made to how the Commission has been structured and written into law, within the next seven years, I think is pretty slim to none.

Thinking about buy-in as well, and then thinking

1 about what all that would have to happen in order to make 2 such a change, so I'm not even entirely sure what this 3 would result in, besides just wishful thinking. And I 4 understand that like, you know, there are so many things 5 that we would have wanted to change or wanted to have seen done differently, but the way that it's currently 6 7 structured in the Prop and what Californians voted on, I don't think would allow for something like this to occur. 8 And the whole idea of an institution, in my mind, defeats 10 the purpose of the independent nature of what the 11 Commission was intended to be. That's all. 12 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Can we go up again to the 13 language that was in the proposition steps so that that 14 we can address why we think that there is room for this 15 conversation. And you know, our legal counsel -- or our 16 director -- Sorry, Anthony, I'm forgetting your title. 17 But he looked in every single aspect of it, and nowhere 18 was it solid in the definition that it couldn't. 19 couldn't think through -- nowhere does it define what our 20 role is between finishing the maps and the next occupants 21 coming in. 22 And so what we want to do is get some clarity, if 23 we're meeting, you know, we have meetings every month; we 24 keep saying we're going to go quarterly, but we're still

stay on monthly: What is the purpose? What is our

- intention? What you know -- and so right now, I think
 the conversation we're having is to really define how can
 we, as the occupants of 2020, help the occupants of 2030?
 And everything in the language allows for that.
 - Right now, we're not talking about Legislative changes, or changes in -- those conversations are the conversations that the other subcommittee was having.

 And there may be some things that come up, and we will add it to your to-do list.
 - Commissioner Fernández.

- COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. And I wasn't going to say anything else. But Commissioner Ahmad, you actually brought up an interesting point, in terms of, if it continues as an independent, and I like that, I'm going to have to think about that, because it's going to cause me to think of different scenarios.
- But I do, I do want to bring back something that Commissioner Toledo was talking about, that we truly aren't independent. And I'm sitting here grappling with this may not -- this may be moot if our funding is denied, because we won't be able to do any of this.
- So yeah, we aren't independent. No matter how much we think we are independent, there's language in the budget that says any time we want to use any of the funds, we have to do a: Mother, may I spend? Mother, may

1 you give me some of my allowance? And that's both by Finance and the Legislature. They both have to approve 3 that. And then two, they have to approve us being an institution. We don't even get to decide that. So I 4 5 it's just something to -- I think we just need to be reminded of. Thanks. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Fernández, can you tell me a little bit more why we need their approval? 8 9 Whoever they are, to be an institution? COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Because if we don't receive 10 11 the funding that we need to continue to exist, then we 12 aren't an institution. They've literally shut us down. 13 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Does anyone feel differently? 14 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: That means, like, 15 Department of Finance. The Department of Finance, 16 they've only approved partial funding, and they haven't 17 actually approved funding for us to do any of the work. 18 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So a question is, does funding 19 make something an institution of the state? Yeah. 20 are there other pieces of it that can still be institutionalized? That can be -- that can stay solid? 21 22 Commissioner Andersen. 2.3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Actually, 24 Commissioner Ahmad said something which made me realize,

I guess I am actually talking about more, it is kind of a

- 1 | phoenix. Now, I do see an institution, but I'm really
- 2 | talking about tweaking almost more what exists now,
- 3 because I do realize the limitations which she's speaking
- 4 of. And I think, really, that is a better option. It
- 5 does not mean -- it's like our institution kind of goes
- 6 underground for a bit, and then we bring it back up. So
- 7 | it's like we're kindling those ashes.
- 8 And then I have very specific ideas how to kindle
- 9 that. But then we turn it over, because we ditched
- 10 everything to be independent. And I kind of expect the
- 11 | next Commission to go: Yeah, like that contract, yeah no,
- 12 and toss it. And then come back to it like we did. So I
- 13 | guess my hybrid view is not -- is a much slimmed-down
- 14 | version of -- beefier than what we got.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. That's helpful.
- 16 Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 17 | COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Just, you know,
- 18 | really echo I think what Commissioner Andersen just said
- 19 | is, you know, I view this is really a tweaking of what's
- 20 | in place now. It's not that, you know, where any of us
- 21 | are going to be -- enough thought, to think this is going
- 22 to be a really heavy lift to do a lot of the things that
- 23 we talked about doing.
- You know, partnering with the census, working with
- 25 | the auditor to change the way the whole thing goes on,

- 1 | the training. I mean, there's lots of things that we
- 2 talked about, but you know, in working with the
- 3 Legislature and the Department of Finance to persuade
- 4 | them that what we're proposing to do here is in the best
- 5 interest of the people of California, that they should
- 6 give us money to do it. So I mean, that that all needs
- 7 | to be in our minds while we're going ahead. But you
- 8 know, I believe that a lot of this, you know, can be
- 9 done.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner
- 11 | Fornaciari. And obviously, I've got a bias, because I'm
- 12 | working on the National Independent Redistricting stuff
- 13 as a volunteer right now, and I --
- 14 Commissioner Kennedy.
- 15 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Commissioner Sinay.
- 16 You know, Commissioner Fernández is very helpful in
- 17 reminding us that, you know, our financial dependence can
- 18 | really undercut any real independence. And looking at
- 19 Government Code, Section 8253.6(a), at the very bottom of
- 20 (a) where it says, "The Legislature may make additional
- 21 appropriations in any year in which it determines that
- 22 the Commission requires additional funding in order to
- 23 fulfill its duties."
- So you know, that to me makes it clear that the
- 25 burden really is on us to demonstrate, as Commissioner

- 1 Fornaciari just said, that what we have in mind is indeed 2 in the best interest of the people of California.
- And you know, I continue to believe that it is, that
- 4 doing everything we can to make the 2030 Commissions'
- 5 | life -- the 2030 Board of Commissioners' life easier to
- 6 make anything they do more efficient, and resulting in
- 7 being able to spend more time focusing on coming up with
- 8 the best possible districts, and not getting distracted
- 9 | with administrivia, I think that's all very worthwhile.
- But you know, Commissioner Fernández is right; the
- 11 | burden is on us to demonstrate the importance of what it
- 12 | is that we are looking to do through this effort. Thank
- 13 you.
- 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner
- 15 Kennedy. So there's no hands up, woo. "Administrivia",
- 16 | I love it -- I wrote it down as well, Commissioner Yee.
- 17 I don't -- is it that -- so the second -- you know,
- 18 | I was trying to scroll. And the idea right now is not to
- 19 answer all the yeses and noes, but what I do feel on the
- 20 | first question we got; you've given us a lot of good
- 21 | information to be able to pose some questions to move us
- 22 in one direction or another.
- 23 And we are absolutely aware, we're not doing wishful
- 24 thinking, we are very aware that budget plays a big role,
- 25 | but because we were doing the "what ifs", especially with

1 the timelines and such, we stayed true to being in that place of "what if", and that way we could have -- and bringing in the different factors. And we will continue 3 to add some of the factors that we hadn't thought about. 4 5 So we're very appreciative. We only have, if I'm correct, Commissioner Taylor, 6 7 you correct me if I'm wrong, but do we need to do public comment? We need to leave space for public comments? 8 9 CHAIR TAYLOR: Yes. 10 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. So why don't we spend 11 twenty minutes. Does that sound good, Commissioner 12 Taylor? 13 CHAIR TAYLOR: (No verbal response). 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. We will spend twenty 15 minutes just thinking through the administrivia -- our 16 new word -- what is the this administrivia that we -- if 17 we remember, go back in time to when we first started, 18 and just focus on administrivia, not what type of 19 training we would want, or any of that, but what would be 20 helpful if it was in place when we first started. 21 And I know that Commissioner Taylor gave us a few. 22 He said, you know, it would be really helpful if the 23 delegation powers; delegated authority was in place, that 24 we had a relationship with the census. And I think

someone else had said, you know, spending time doing the

RA stuff wasn't that fun.

But if there is -- are there other ideas or categories, or thoughts, on what would have been helpful, when you look at the timeline, Commissioner Fornaciari and I kind of made that first period, the onboarding of the new occupants, and the standing up of the systems, and staffing, and all that. So that's the period we're talking about. What would have been helpful if it was already at that administrivia place?

Yes, Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Get your pencil ready. The training for admin, already mentioned. We had two, quote, I'm calling them "temporary positions", the two people. We should have had at least five. We should have had a communications person, we needed right away. Anyway, the communications, a website person, staff that could actually create the documents as we needed them and. And yet, the admin then -- those people in place that we would replace, so that more, the temporary people, you know, we actually hired them and knew -- and a training on, who the people are that we need, the timelines for those people.

And then also a presentation on contracts, you know, for our outside counsel, for all the line drawers, et cetera, et cetera, including our communications, all that

```
1 stuff, a nice little presentation on that, and timelines
```

- 2 | involved in those, like working with the state
- 3 administration. Those things would have helped us
- 4 immensely in the first month or so because we didn't know
- 5 | what we didn't know.
- 6 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Commissioner Yee.
- 7 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. I mean, thinking back, you
- 8 know, the earliest frustration was with the website,
- 9 | right? We couldn't update it, we couldn't update in a
- 10 | timely fashion, couldn't even post meeting notices in a
- 11 timely fashion. So bequeathing, you know, a functioning
- 12 | website and somebody to update it, would've been
- 13 | fantastic. Of course, this overlaps with the auditor's
- 14 responsibilities, the whole fully functional question,
- 15 | right, so that's kind of a separate issue. But who
- 16 | actually does this? Are we responsible for that? Or is
- 17 | it really on the auditor? The whole per diem --
- 18 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We don't --
- 19 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: We're not talking about the
- 20 "how" right now.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.
- 22 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: We will work on the "how", and
- 23 yes, you're absolutely --
- 24 COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay.
- 25 COMMISSIONER SINAY: -- right that it -- but even,

- again, if we were going to sit down and meet with the
 Auditor's Office and define what "fully functional"
 means, we need to have this conversation first.
- 4 COMMISSIONER YEE: Right.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: So don't worry about the "how" right now, but the "why" and the "what".

COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. So yeah, the website, the per diem, and the TEC system of course was so slow to get started, and really just an impediment to some of us, you know, personally, and so just to have that in place and functioning. Just this goes with the website, we're just having a one-stop source for data, and resources, and documents, and all the RFPs, all the contracts, you know, just how do you dig that stuff up, and how do you find it; took us a lot of time.

So just having one place to get a lot of that, and of course, the Lessons Learned report, one of the reasons it's growing so much is we're trying to make it one of those one-stop-shops for a lot of that stuff, all the job descriptions, and all those things.

The contracting, you know, I remember that we did get training from Raul on that. And it was just -- you know, is that state contracting is impenetrable. You know, it's just the nature of it. I remember him going over it, and just being stunned at how complex it all

- was. And I hopelessly was thinking how I was going to understand it all at the time.
- 3 So you know, but maybe -- I don't know, maybe to
- 4 have that driven home more completely, or more fully
- 5 earlier on. I don't know how that would have helped.
- 6 Certainly that was an impediment all along, the early
- 7 | contracting challenge. But just those basic things,
- 8 | website, per diems, having easy access to all the
- 9 documents and data, that certainly would help.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: Anyone else? Commissioner
- 11 | Taylor, Commissioner Vázquez --
- 12 Oh. I'm sorry. Commissioner Kennedy. Go ahead.
- 13 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. You know, my memory is
- 14 that one of the things that really held us up for a while
- 15 | was establishing positions, which is why I've always felt
- 16 like we should go ahead and establish as full a range of
- 17 positions as any Board of Commissioners might conceivably
- 18 | want to make use of. And then, you know, the hiring of
- 19 people against those is a different matter.
- 20 But if we could -- if we could leave behind a full
- 21 | range of established positions, subject to budget
- 22 availability, subject to decisions to hire, et cetera,
- 23 but not have any future Board of Commissioners have to go
- 24 through the process of establishing a position.
- 25 It just really seemed like -- I don't know, it

almost seemed like somebody was trying to trip us up with something like that. So you know, that would be, to me, one of the highest priorities.

2.3

Thank you.

- The next one is not so much administrivia, but it's still something that I think would be a big help to future boards, and that is having the fifty-eight county profiles, you know, fully populated, having a contact list throughout the state with all of these organizations and individuals that, potentially, our outreach targets, and so forth. And that's why I've said that, you know, I don't anticipate Corina ever having a spare moment, because if she's got a spare moment, I think that sort of contact database, populating all fifty-eight county profiles, is going to be a very good use of her time.
- COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you, Commissioner Kennedy. Commissioner Fernández.
- COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Thank you. I might be a little repetitive. I wholeheartedly agree with Commissioner Kennedy. I still do not understand why our positions for the Commissioners weren't established when we came on, and it took months for that to be created.
- So I feel that in terms of the administration, executive level positions should be hired by the new Commission, of course. I'm thinking more the working

level, like we need someone that's going to do the budgets, and the contracts, and admin assistant, and someone that's going to be in charge of the meetings, coordinate the meetings, getting the panels together.

Someone who also has a contract background, and again, establish the positions, and also the training, maybe have a timeline of the training. I mean, it was, I felt like the first, was the eight days of the full fourteen, we had so much training, it was like an overload. So I feel like that needs to be maybe in short bursts. Like give us a little bit, an hour or two, and then maybe a month later give us another hour or two, and then also repeat it periodically, because what we heard in the beginning we may have already forgotten.

And just to have like some systems in place, to already know what the systems are to process an invoice, to process a contract, to establish a position, and then have a list of all the positions that have already been established. We didn't get that list until -- I didn't even know -- I thought we had to create all the new positions, didn't realize that there were already positions there. And so have that information early on as well.

And I think that's probably about it. But it's just, mainly just making sure all the systems are in

- 1 place, the IT set up, so that when the new Commissioners
- 2 | are here they don't have to -- they don't have to worry
- 3 about that stuff, and it's already been figured out. And
- 4 once you hire your executive team, then they can also
- 5 come in and not have to worry about hiring staff right
- 6 away, but actually being able to make sure they come up
- 7 to speed with what their responsibilities are as well.
- 8 Thanks.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Fernández, I just
- 10 | wanted to confirm. Earlier you had said: administrative
- 11 positions should be hired by the Board, but do you mean
- 12 | the executive positions?
- 13 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Yes. The executive-level
- 14 positions should be by the Commission.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Great.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: And again, and any
- 17 | positions -- I mean, any positions that we do have, or
- 18 | that we're able to hire for them, of course, they always
- 19 have the ability to replace that position. Right, it's
- 20 just trying to help them to get up and running.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: I saw Commissioner Fornaciari
- 22 | is waving at me. Did you want to -- you're supposed to
- 23 be helping me with this, so if you want to say something.
- 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. I just want to have
- 25 | a follow up. So I'll just, since Commissioner Fernández

1 brought it up, I mean, this is an open question to everyone. So you believe that the executive level should 3 be hired by the next Board of Commissioners. Okay. Do you think that the current Commission -- Board of 4 5 Commissioners should post that job? Do you think the auditors should post those jobs? Do you think the jobs, 6 7 they should wait and be posted by the next -- by the following Board? I mean, who -- my question is, the 8 process for hiring the executive should we post them, and 10 get them a jump start? Or is that too far? 11 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: I would say, I mean, as a 12 reminder, the State Auditor had posted the jobs. And us, 13 as a Commission, the only one we kept -- or the only one 14 we followed through with, was the Executive Director, all 15 the others we felt that we wanted to go back and add more 16 to these or --17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: But we're saying it's not the auditor posting it, but we, the 2020 Board, post it. 18 19 COMMISSIONER FERNÁNDEZ: Right. And it's fine. 20 It's just, we can do it, but just realize that they may 21 do the same thing that we did. They may decide, no, 22 we're going to go out and do it ourselves. But I think 23 definitely, and that was something that I had requested, 24 probably about a year ago, I wanted all of the duty 25 statements, and all of the job postings to be reviewed by

the current occupants to make sure that they were

accurate as to what their functions were. But I don't

think it was ever done.

4

5

6

7

21

22

2.3

24

25

- So we'll probably have to revisit that. But I think that, yes, definitely give them a head start. So at least when they start, they may have some applications that they think may fit their needs.
- 8 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Fornaciari, did 9 you have any follow up?
- 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: (No verbal response).
- 11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Commissioner Andersen,
 12 and then Commissioner Kennedy.
- 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I want to go back and 14 say just one thing about, I forgot, I mean, the website 15 totally up to date, and functioning and -- when 16 Commissioner Fernández just said about "update it", we go 17 in like just a couple of years before we turn all this 18 over, and update all the contracts, you know, so they are 19 actually -- could be actually functional and usable right 20 now -- and for the 2030. All the scopes of work, of all
 - But I do not think that we, as the 2020 or the 2030, should be hiring anybody. The State Auditor did send out contracts and were literally eaten alive that they did

the different people, who did what, and make sure those

are updated, and hand that over.

- 1 | that. That 2010 Commission blew up. We got people
- 2 | calling in from -- everybody called in: Oh, my God, what
- 3 are you doing? You're taking all the power away from the
- 4 2020. I mean, it was like, phew.
- 5 So my idea is, we have these wonderful updated da,
- 6 da, da, da, and hand that to -- on our website, to the
- 7 2030, because if we just hand it to the State Auditor, we
- 8 don't know what happens to it. They may or may not. So
- 9 that was my idea of turning all these things over. And I
- 10 do not think that the admin or anyone should be hiring
- 11 the executive positions.
- 12 And those assistants, I really like that idea of
- 13 | having people in place, but again, all temporary. The
- 14 2030 should be able to, like, you know, it's kind of like
- 15 when administration changes over at the presidential
- 16 | level, they all turn in their notices, and they say, yes,
- 17 | I'll keep you -- after a certain amount of time. Let's
- 18 say after three months, or something, or whatever.
- 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I mean, there's elected --
- 20 | there're people who are on career tracks, and then
- 21 | there's appointed positions. There is both in
- 22 government, so that there is some continuity in the
- 23 institutions. So there is both.
- 24 Commissioner Kennedy.
- 25 VICE CHAIR KENNEDY: I'm good for now. Thanks

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Any time I don't see you muted,
I'm assuming you're raising your hand.

Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. So I just, I just kind of want to follow up on that topic. You know, of posting, potentially posting executive-level positions.

So I just -- I've been thinking a lot about that, and I just have to wonder if the pushback was around the independence of the Commission as an institution because the auditor posted the jobs. And if there would be pushback if the current occupants of the institution posted the executive positions.

You know, that the current occupants of the institution being the fourteen who actually know best, what would be needed in those jobs. And so I do remember the pushback. But again, I guess my question would be: was that because the auditor did it as opposed to the occupants of the institution?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I believe with that, we will send it back over -- and again, we're asking, if at any point you get an aha moment, or whatever, feel free to email either Commissioner Fornaciari, or I, you can't email us both, because then we would be breaking Bagley-Keene, or go ahead and email Anthony. But we'll come up with another way to capture, capture ideas and stuff. We

1 just wanted to start thinking through the "what ifs".

2.3

And then from here, what we will do is take all this information you gave us, and take kind of the -- you know, put it in a more -- you know, kind of thinking through what the systems, and infrastructure, and pieces so that we have -- we can talk more concretely. But today we purposely wanted to open it up so we could talk theoretically.

And we did create timelines. So please do take a look at those, and we'll talk about them in March. And because we keep kind of tossing around: oh, we'd like to start earlier, we'd like to -- and so the question is, why what -- you know, again, the burden is on us when we meet -- when we meet with, like, the State Auditor's Office; why do we want to start early? What will that look like?

So that those were some of the reasons we shared. The census timeline that's in that packet was created based on the census final report. So that is their -- that was the 2020 California complete counts, proposal to the future entity of what that could look like, or what they suggested.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'll just, you know, just chime in. Thanks, everyone, for the conversation. This has been great. A lot more energetic

185

```
1
    than I was feeling when we started. So this is -- this
    is great. And thank you all for engaging, and your
    feedback, and your thoughts. You've given us a lot to
 3
 4
    think about, and we'll, like Commissioner Sinay said,
 5
    we'll kind of get this summarized, and then figure out,
    you know, how we move forward to try to get to some more
 6
 7
    concrete decisions over the next few months.
         CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. So is it back in my
 9
    hands?
10
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: (No verbal response).
11
         CHAIR TAYLOR: Thank you very much for the
12
    conversation again, as we try to extract from our
13
    experience to better help the 2030 Commission to increase
14
    the efficiency of our own democracy.
15
         Our next meeting, our next meeting will be on
16
    Monday, Monday, March 13th. So that's what we're headed
17
    for. Any questions or concerns about that particular
18
    date?
19
         Great. Monday, March 13th, for our next meeting.
20
         Kristian, if you can open up the lines for public
21
    comments we are -- agenda item number 3, subcommittee
22
    reports, and also for general public comments.
2.3
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing, Chair.
24
         The Commission will now take public comment on
```

agenda item 3 and general public comment.

To give

```
1
    comment, please call 877-853-5247, and enter meeting ID
 2
    number 85436289451. Once you've dialed in, please press
    star 9 to enter the comment queue. The full call-in
 3
 4
    instructions are read at the beginning of the meeting,
 5
    and are provided on the live stream landing page.
         And we do not have any callers at this time, Chair.
 6
 7
         CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. Let's give it a second.
 8
    Let us know when we've caught up, please.
 9
         PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are
10
    complete, and there is no one in the queue, Chair.
11
         CHAIR TAYLOR: All right. With that, thank you,
12
    California. Thank you, Commissioners, for your
13
    participation. Pitchers and catchers report to spring
14
    training next week. So we're on our way. We're on our
15
    way. So I appreciate it.
16
         This meeting is adjourned. See you guys next month.
17
              (Whereupon, the CRC Business Meeting adjourned
18
              at 3:51 p.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th day of February, 2023.

BRUCE E. CARLSON

Brace E Cont

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

19 Alfastelli

February 24, 2023

DELORIS GAUNTLETT, CDLT-257