# STATE OF CALIFORNIA

2020 CITIZENS REDISTRICTING COMMISSION (CRC)

In the matter of:

CRC BUSINESS MEETING

FRIDAY, MAY 12, 2023 9:31 a.m.

Reported By:

Peter Petty

## **APPEARANCES**

## COMMISSIONERS

J. Kennedy, Chair
Antonio Le Mons, Vice Chair
Isra Ahmad, Commissioner
Linda Akutagawa, Commissioner
Jane Andersen, Commissioner
Alicia Fernandez, Commissioner
Neal Fornaciari, Commissioner
Sara Sadhwani, Commissioner
Patricia Sinay, Commissioner
Pedro Toledo, Commissioner
Angela Vazquez, Commissioner
Russell Yee, Commissioner

#### STAFF

Anthony Pane, Chief Counsel
Tim Treichelt, Senior Counsel
Corina Leon, Staff Services Manager
Wanda Sheffield, Office Technician
Terri Isedeh, Fiscal Director

## TECHNICAL CONTRACTORS

Kristian Manoff, AV Technical Director/Comment Moderator

#### **INTERPRETERS**

ASL Interpreter Captioner

## DATA ANALYST TEAM

Paul Mitchell, VP Political Data, Inc. Brent Johnson, Analytica Consulting Sophia Sha, Analytica Consulting James Logan, Analytica Consulting

#### ALSO PRESENT

## PUBLIC INPUT/COMMENT

Rosalind Gold, NALEO

3

# INDEX

	PAGE
Call to Order and Roll Call	4
Run of Show, General Announcements	5
Admin Updates and Announcements	10
Chief Counsel Updates	12
Public Comment	23
Subcommittee Updates and Announcements	25
Public Comment for Motion on the Floor	58
Vote for Motion on the Floor	59
Subcommittee Updates, Continued	68
Public comment for Motion on the Floor	76
Vote for Motion on the Floor	76
Motion Passes, Report Publication	60
Motion Passes, Support Letter	77
Public Comment, Motion on the Floor	88
Vote for Motion to Approve Language	89
Motion Passes	90
Subcommittee Updates - Continued	140
Closed Session	149
Reconvene in Open Session	149
Adjournment	149

1

2

# PROCEEDINGS

9:31 a.m.

3

CHAIR KENNEDY: Good morning, California. Good

5

morning to others joining us on the internet. My name is

\_

Ray Kennedy. I'm the current rotating chair of the

6

California Citizens Redistricting Commission. Welcome to

7

our May meeting.

8

Wanda, would you please call the roll?

9

MS. SHEFFIELD: Yes. Good morning.

10

Commissioner Le Mons.

11

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Here.

12

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Sadhwani.

13

Commissioner Sinay.

14

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Aqui.

15

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Taylor.

16

Commissioner Toledo.

17

COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Here.

18

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Turner.

19

Commissioner Vazquez.

20

Commissioner Yee.

21

COMMISSIONER YEE: Here.

22

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Ahmad.

23

COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Here.

24

25

MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Akutagawa.

5

1 Commissioner Andersen. 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Here. MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fernandez. 3 4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Presente. 5 MS. SHEFFIELD: Commissioner Fornaciari. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Here. 6 7 MS. SHEFFIELD: And Chair Kennedy. CHAIR KENNEDY: Here. 8 9 MS. SHEFFIELD: You have a quorum. 10 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you very much. 11 MS. SHEFFIELD: You're welcome. 12 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So today's run of show, as 13 has been posted. After announcements we will go to Admin 14 Updates and Announcements, our Chief Counsel's Update, 15 and then go into Subcommittee Updates and Announcements; 16 first, with the Website Subcommittee, follow-up 17 presentation on the user interface. We'll have a report 18 out from the Lessons Learned Subcommittee, before the 11 19 o'clock break. 20 After the 11 o'clock break, coming back at 11:15, we 21 will have an update from the Legislative Affairs 22 Subcommittee, followed by the Continuity Affairs 2.3 Subcommittee; then we have lunch scheduled from 12:45 to 24 1:45.

After lunch, we'll continue with the Subcommittee

Updates. First with the Finance and Administration

Subcommittee, then a brief update from the Accelerated

and Deferred Districts Subcommittee; we will have, what

we anticipate, will be a brief Closed Session to finish

up some work on personnel issues.

2.3

We have a break scheduled at 3 o'clock. If we need to come back from that break, we have some more time allocated for Lessons Learned, which we may or may not need; and then, of course, public comment.

I will be out for a time in the middle of the day.

So Vice Chair Le Mons will handle things while I'm out.

I've been able to schedule it so that most of the -- or a chunk of the time that I'm out is the lunch break. So hopefully the disruption will be minimal.

Announcements: One thing that I wanted to announce, and this is in relation to our -- sorry -- in relation to our June Meeting, there is a new effort underway called Civic Season, and I don't know how much people have heard about it. There is a website, TheCivicSeason.com, and the mission statement says:

"Civic Season is a new tradition to turbocharge U.S. democracy for all. Co-created by Gen Z and cultural institutions, Civic Season invites you to discover your story and your role in history, supported by a vast array

of credible, relevant sources. It is the flagship program from Made By US, a movement driven forward in hundreds of communities by museums, historic sites, libraries, and archives to transform the way history is learned and used by younger generations who have the most at stake."

2.3

So Civic Season is defined as the period between

Juneteenth and July 4th. So my initial thought in

scheduling the June meeting for Juneteenth was that we

could use it as a kickoff for Civic Season. But we have

had requests to move that later. We also have some

practical reasons for moving the June meeting, later.

But the other part of the Civics Season website says:

"July 4th commemorates the moment a new nation was born based on ideals that each generation since has worked to bring to life: freedom, equality, justice, and opportunity.

Juneteenth, celebrated just a few weeks earlier, reminds us of the struggles and hardwon victories in our ongoing journey to form a more perfect union. Civic Season unites our oldest federal holiday with our newest, mobilizing a movement to understand our past

and shape our future."

So I would encourage colleagues, and I would encourage the public to learn more about Civic Season and to understand how our work, as the Citizens Redistricting Commission, can fit into Civic Season.

Does anyone else have any announcements, any updates that they'd like to share?

Commissioner Sinay.

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just wanted to let you all know that the National Convening, hosted by Common Cause U.S., around an Independent Redistricting Commission -- yeah, Independent Redistricting Commission and Committee is going to happen, and it is moving forward. I know I haven't given any updates in a while. We are working -- we've tried all sorts of different models, and we finally found one that'll work. I've been engaged as a volunteer as part of my fellowship.

So the date will be September 25th, in Los Angeles. There will be parameters on who -- you know, how many people to come from commissions, and whatnot; and we are -- Common Cause is raising money to give a travel stipend to those outside of California, because it will be critical to have voices from throughout the state, from throughout political parties, and from diverse types of independent redistricting bodies. So more to come.

Thank you very much for that. CHAIR KENNEDY: is very important news. And so let's all stay posted on that, remain attentive, and looking forward to more news as we move forward towards the 25th of September. Anyone else? Okay. Then we will move on to Admin Updates and Announcements. Sorry, Chair. Can I give COMMISSIONER SINAY: Oh. one more update? CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. COMMISSIONER SINAY: I'm sorry. A couple of people have asked me. CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. COMMISSIONER SINAY: The California -- anyway, the California grantmakers, I'm always forgetting their body of -- for the political stuff -- anyway, we shared a link -- we shared an event that they're hosting, and you need to say from which grantmaker association you're coming from, which when you're affiliated with. I'm affiliated with Catalyst San Diego & Imperial County, which used to be San Diego Grantmakers. We did check in with them to see if they were open to having Commissioners just listen, and they have -they were very polite and said: No. We want to create a

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

safe space for the nonprofit and the philanthropic -- and

foundations to talk about this. We will try to send you 1 2 a link of the video later. 3 But yeah, so I, you know, I have a lot of thoughts 4 on that response. I won't say any in public. But I just 5 wanted to let you all know. Thanks. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Well, as the -- I guess the 6 7 founder and a member of the Lessons Learned Subcommittee; I had rushed and signed up. And yes, there was a 8 mandatory question requiring an answer regarding an 10 affiliation with one of the three grant-making groups. 11 So I had to put something. But I guess I'll go back in 12 and decline the invitation, or the confirmation and 13 looked forward to getting a link to the video later, and 14 respecting their desires on that. So thank you for that. 15 Okay. With that, we will go to Corina for Admin 16 Updates and Announcements. 17 MS. LEON: Thank you, Chair. Good morning, 18 Commissioners. It's good to see everyone. So I wanted 19 just to provide an update on the IT projects. The UI 20 project is going well. The Website Subcommittee will be 21 offering an updated demo. And so I think you will all be 22 pleased to see what we've been doing there. 2.3 The website is coming along. We're still working on 24 that. And the next month I'll be working very closely

with our Budget Manager to transition the budget duties,

and reports, and all the other nice pieces that go with that, over to me.

I did want to mention that -- share with you that Wanda's last day will be May 22nd. I want to take this time to say thank you so much, Wanda, for all your work, and just being a joy to work with. Thank you so much.

I actually have been excited for her. She's got a lot of exciting plans. So I wish her well. I do. So thank you so much.

CHAIR KENNEDY: I do, too, as well. On behalf of the Commission, yes, I'd like to thank Wanda, who has been one of our longest serving staff; and certainly a joy to work with.

MS. LEON: She is.

2.0

2.3

Corina?

CHAIR KENNEDY: Always a smile, always a friendly greeting. We really appreciate that. We appreciate your putting your life on hold, so as to serve the Commission and the people of California during this period. And we do wish you all the best. Thank you, Wanda.

MS. SHEFFIELD: Thank you.

MS. LEON: Yes, thank you. So that's it, unless you have questions for me, I'll turn it back over to the Chair.

24 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Are there questions for

```
1
         Okay. Well, Corina, just for the benefit of the
    public. At this point, if the public is wanting to find
    information about us, which web address should they be
 3
 4
    using?
 5
         MS. LEON: WeDrawTheLines.CA.gov.
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So WeDrawTheLines.CA.gov is,
 6
 7
    at this point, the best source of information on the
 8
    Commission?
 9
         MS. LEON: Yes.
10
         CHAIR KENNEDY: I just want to make sure that
    everyone is clear on that.
11
12
         Commissioner Sinay.
13
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's good to know. If you go
14
    to the other website will it automatically send you to
15
    the Gov one?
16
         MS. LEON: No. But they are being updated in step
17
    with each other, they're both -- yeah.
18
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, eventually, one will send
19
    you to the other one, so people don't have to know?
2.0
         MS. LEON: Yes.
21
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay.
22
         MS. LEON: Yeah.
23
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good. Thank you so much.
24
         Chief Counsel Pane.
```

Thank you, Chair.

Good

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE:

1 morning, Commissioners. Just one, one brief update on the Bagley-Keene revision; it's Senate Bill 544. 3 mentioned it before. And the subcommittee is certainly 4 looking at sending out a letter of support to advance the 5 bill. But I did just didn't want to let you all know that 6 7 the bill is approaching the third reading in the Senate. So that's certainly an encouraging pace. And it seems 8 like in previous iterations of the bill, when there has 10 been a proposed modification of Bagley-Keene, the snags or the difficulties usually arise in the Assembly. 11 12 While it's positive that, you know, it's moving, 13 looks like positively moving, maybe, out of the Senate 14 soon, the Assembly probably won't be as smooth a ride. 15 So I will keep continue to keep you all posted on that. 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. And just one, I guess, 17 reminder on that. In previous discussions, we had 18 learned that that bill would, nonetheless, leave a gap 19 later this year when we would not have the option of 20 online meetings. So just if you can just remind us of 21 communications in that regard. 22 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Sure. Yeah. Thank you, Chair. 23 So the statute that modified and allowed the remote 24 teleconferencing for Bagley-Keene meetings is due to

25

sunset June 30th.

And so starting July 1, we go back to what was preCOVID Bagley-Keene Meetings, which is you post an agenda
at the location, the physical location that you're
holding the meetings. You can have multiple physical
locations that are ADA accessible, but in each of those
we have to have the addresses of all the meeting
locations, and members would convene in that spot, or in
those places.

And then, members of the public would show up, and then you would ask for public comment. And you could certainly do that at these locations. If you wanted to go above and beyond that, you certainly could. But those are sort of the pre-COVID minimum requirements. And there're others, of course. But just as a high level, that's what we'd be reverting to July 1.

So to the Chair's point, that if there is a bill passed, and there is no urgency clause attached to the modification, which currently there is not, if a bill were signed in this Legislative Session, it would be effective January 1 of 2024; which then goes to the Chair's point of it being about a six-month gap, where we sort of revert back to pre-COVID Bagley-Keene requirements.

And then we sort of jump forward again to what we've probably -- more likely what we've been used to for the

past couple of years. And that would start January 1, if the bill is signed.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you for that. And for Corina and -- I guess for Corina, since she will be our staff after -- as of the 1st of July. We did have, last year, a meeting in which Executive Director Hernandez had arranged a Southern California location. And so we do not yet know our meeting dates for the third and fourth quarters of this year. We anticipate, on the basis of the budget allocation, having four meetings during the course of the fiscal year.

Theoretically, two of those during the second half of the year; and so I would ask Corina to be looking into the possibility of establishing a Southern California location in addition to the Headquarters location, so that we would have the option of staying a bit closer to home, rather than all flying to Sacramento.

That being said, we've got our June meeting coming up, and we will talk about the date of our June meeting later today. But I would also encourage Commissioners to consider attending that June meeting in person, since it will be our last meeting before we go into this lower activity mode.

24 Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you, Chair.

1 Just one note on the two locations; that'll only work if we also have videography, because if we have two separate 3 locations which are not connected via video, I don't think that's going to work. So we really need to find 4 5 out a little bit more about what we will be given from July 1st. Otherwise, it needs to be one spot if we want 6 7 to hear each other. Because my understanding is that's 8 that. 9 You know, if you're public, too bad. Unless you can 10 get there, you don't get to hear it, unless we have videography. So just a thought, in terms of trying to 11 12 find a second location, it might be a moot point. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Perfect. No. And that would be 14 something that Corina would need to look into, and 15 particularly in relation to the budget. So it's not just 16 finding a venue, it's finding a potential venue, and 17 looking at the cost implications, and whether we can fit 18 So thank you for that, Commissioner Andersen. 19 Commissioner Sinay. 2.0 COMMISSIONER SINAY: This is an even more practical 21 question, I believe. One of the things that we brought 22 up in our Lessons Learned was kind of on staff and 23 managing staff, and you know, knowing what's happening. 24 And as we're meeting less and less, I just want us to 25 consider having at our June meeting a closed session

1 where we can talk about what is our expectations for staff, what is the work plan, how that's going to be 3 managed, if that needs to be a closed session. Maybe 4 it's an open session. 5 But I do want to ensure that -- yeah, success for Corina, success for all of us, and expectations are met 6 7 all the way around, as well as we're continuing moving 8 forward on the great work that the Commission has done in 9 outreach, and in helping people understand the importance 10 of redistricting, and things like that. So if we can, 11 please, include that in future agenda. 12 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you for that. I've taken 13 note, and Vice Chair Le Mons and I will discuss where we 14 put that on the agenda for June. Any further comment? 15 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Yes. Thank you for that. 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. Chief Counsel Pane. 17 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Just one more thing for -- and 18 I'll certainly be working with our Admin and Finance 19 Subcommittee on this -- but the Commission may want to 20 think about some sort of delegation letter and a vote 21 that's taken to empower the Chair to work with, say, the 22 Attorney General's Office in between meetings. 2.3 If the Commission doesn't have very many meetings,

representation that may be needed, for example, it may be

let's say, and there needs to be some sort of

24

difficult to convene everybody, especially with the Bagley-Keene requirements being reverted back in July 1.

2.3

So one option is to have a vote that would delegate to the chair, and we know the chair rotates, and that's okay, that the chair is empowered -- and we can work on the language, certainly would be happy to provide a draft for everyone's consideration for the next meeting; but something along the lines: That the chair is empowered to work with the -- you know, the Commission's legal representative, essentially, legal services in between meetings; something along those lines.

Just so that you guys are all covered, especially if you're having much more infrequent meetings, it could be very expensive to pull some sort of an emergency meeting together, especially with the Bagley-Keene requirements being what they will be.

So I'm happy to work with Admin and in Finance to come up with a draft action or motion for you all, for consideration at the next meeting, if you all would like that. But that's something I would probably recommend that you all consider.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you for that. In my mind, there's a vague recollection that the 2010 Commission did something similar. And I'm wondering if you could pull any language that the 2010 Commission used. But that's

1 an excellent suggestion. 2 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Otherwise, that's all I have. Commissioner Fernandez. 3 Oh. CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Fernandez. 4 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Yes. I just wanted to -- Chief Councilor Pane, you mentioned that SB 6 7 544 is going through the process, and the subcommittee will be sending a letter of support. I can't remember. 8 Have we already voted on that? And if not, should we 10 vote on that; because that will probably need to be sent 11 before our next meeting? 12 Well, I don't want to get ahead CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: 13 of the Bagley-Keene '88 Subcommittee, but one option is 14 you could vote -- if you wanted -- if the Commission 15 wanted to today, they could vote to authorize the 16 subcommittee to send a letter of support for that, today. 17 If you all don't think it would -- if it couldn't wait 18 until the June meeting. That's certainly an option. 19 But I do think the challenging pieces I think seems 20 like they are to come. I'm not sure -- we're not sure 21 exactly where the -- what the Governor's position is on 22 it. We're not sure exactly where the Assembly is on it. 23 It hasn't quite left the Senate yet.

24

25

So again, the Senate is where the bill originated.

1 where it's going to be a bit more difficult, or I would 2 predict that it would be a little bit more difficult. So I don't think we're -- I don't know that we're 3 4 necessarily missing any sort of deadline if the 5 Commission were to approve it at the June meeting. But if the Commission wanted to, they could certainly 6 7 delegate to the subcommittee to send a letter of support, 8 and do that today, if the Commission wanted. 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: And Chief Counsel Pane, on taking 10 action today on that. We don't have the Bagley-Keene 11 Subcommittee listed on the run of show. 12 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Is it listed on the agenda? I mean, 14 I don't --15 I believe it's listed on the CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: 16 agenda. 17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 18 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Let me verify that. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: Because otherwise, I mean, is that 20 something that could come out of the discussion of the 21 Legislative Affairs Subcommittee? 22 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: It could. Yeah. 2.3 CHAIR KENNEDY: In the meantime, Commissioner Fornaciari. 24

It is. Yeah, it is on there.

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE:

1 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you. 2 Commissioner Fornaciari. 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. So I'm just, I'm 4 just wondering, strategically, should we wait and see 5 what's happening to this letter? Or you know, if you think that the holdup might be in the Assembly, should we 6 7 send a letter to the Assembly? I mean, who -- so where would this letter go, to everybody? 8 9 CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Well, yeah, there's sort of 10 a -- like there's a location you send all Legislative 11 Letters of Support to, and they, you know, in a way, attached to the bill, of sorts. I mean, they follow the 12 13 bill. So certainly, the author's office provides a place 14 where you can submit letters of support. 15 So I think if and when the letter is ready to be 16 sent, we would just -- we would click that link, and we 17 would probably upload the letter of support. 18 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you for that 19 clarification. 20 Commissioner Fernandez. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, Chair. Also, 22 I'm just going to get ahead of myself just a little bit, 23 Chair, so sorry for this. And when we get to 24 Legislative, we're actually going to ask for approval for

letters of support for each stage, for the Assembly, the

1 Senate, and then when it gets to the Governor's Office? And so that's what I was thinking maybe we might want to 3 do with this bill as well. And we could specify, like 4 right now we know what it says, this iteration of the 5 bill, this is what we support. So I don't know. I'm just -- I guess I'm getting a 6 7 little bit panicky, because I know right now the bills move really fast the next couple of months, before they 8 9 go on their break in July. So everything kind of is done 10 before July. So I was just getting a little antsy. 11 CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good. Thank you for that 12 reminder. 13 Commissioner Sinay. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Also, I was going to bring this 15 up a little bit later. But just to support what 16 Commissioner Fernandez was saying. If you all recall, I 17 had brought up the now the census, and the changes that 18 they were doing at the census level. And a few 19 Commissioners had said, let's step back, and let the 20 community speak, you know, and not get involved. 21 I did sit in on a lot of webinars and conversations 22 with the community, and it was a resounding: Yes, please, 23 Commission, step up. There is a want and the opportunity 24 to advocate, for the Commission to share its perspective

on why it's important, as well as, you know, the space

1 for the community to.

2.0

And even if it's just: We appreciate that you're looking at this and improving the census, they would be happy -- they would have been happy with us submitting something like that.

So I just wanted to share back that the community does expect the Commission to step up when it's up to them. So I would -- and it is a complicated process, and it's good to encourage every step of the process. So I agree with Commissioner Fernandez just peeping in at every time it's being reviewed is a good, a good thing to do.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Great. Thank you very much for that. Okay. So with that we can now move to, yes, we need to have public comment. Is that correct, Chief Counsel Pane?

CHIEF COUNSEL PANE: Correct. Yeah.

18 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So Kristian, please, help us
19 with a call for public comment.

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sure thing. Just a moment, let me get my instructions here.

In order to maximize transparency and public participation in our process, the Commissioners will be taking public comment by phone. To call in, dial the telephone number provided on the live stream feed. It is

1 877-853-5247. When prompted to enter the meeting ID number provided on the live stream feed, it is 84694124372, for this meeting. When prompted to enter a 3 4 participant ID simply press pound. 5 Once you have dialed in, you will be placed in a queue. To indicate you wish to comment, please press 6 7 star 9. This will raise your hand for the moderator. 8 When it is your turn to speak, you will hear a message that says: The host would like you to talk, press star 6 10 to speak. If you would like to give your name, please state and spell it for the record. You are not required 11 12 to provide your name to give public comment. 13 Please make sure to mute your computer or live 14 stream audio to prevent any feedback or distortion during 15 your call. Once you are waiting in the queue, be alert 16 for when it is your turn to speak. And again, please 17 turn down the live stream volume. 18 And there is no one in the queue at this time, 19 Chair. 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. We will pause for a minute or 21 two to let the instructions complete on live stream, and 22 give anyone a chance to actually dial in. 2.3 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: And those instructions 24 are complete. And there is no one in the queue.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. We'll wait another thirty

seconds, or so in case somebody is in the process of dialing.

Okay. Thank you for that. We will now move to agenda item 3, Subcommittee Updates and Announcements. First up, is the Website Subcommittee, Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair. This morning, and I see their login are turning back on. Thank you very much.

We have got a very -- a presentation on a follow up of our user interface presentation. And this will actually -- what we'll be seeing here will actually go into our website replacing the Airtable, which is there now; so you'll go to the Data tab, you will see this when we make a switchover, which will be close to the end of June.

So right now, I'm going to introduce people from

Analytica, who is our contractor, we're working with very

diligently on this. You'll see we have a lot of

information here. Information that we had, it was not

actually all publicly available, which includes to the

Commission. We had all this information, but we didn't

quite know, since everyone didn't have it, we just didn't

share it. You'll see a lot more information on this.

And the reason why we are posting this one is

1 because it was submitted to us. And two, this is also to help going forward for the 2030 Commission. 3 So at this time, I'm going to introduce Brent 4 Johnson, Sophia Sha, I think we have James Logan on, and I don't know if Michael is with us. 5 MR. JOHNSON: No Michael today, just the three of 6 7 us. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All right. And we also have 9 Paul Mitchell who is our data analyst and geographer. 10 And of course, Corina, is going to help me out with this. 11 So Brent, I'm going to turn it over to you. 12 MR. JOHNSON: All right. Thank you, Commissioner. 13 And thank you all for having us again this morning; 14 excited to provide some updates from the preview that you 15 all saw at the April meeting. 16 So Sophia, will go ahead and pull up her screen to 17 share, and I will walk you through some of the changes 18 and updates for the user interface. 19 So can you all see the screen? 2.0 COMMISSIONER LE MONS: (No verbal response). 21 MR. JOHNSON: So as the Commissioner mentioned, this 22 is the existing location of the Airtable. So you can see 23 under the Data page, kind of "embed" of the application. 24 This is where the application that we show you today will

live. As she mentioned, it will be towards the end of

next month when we publish this and go live.

So switching over to the application itself, I'll just make this full screen. This is a new page that you all didn't see last week that we actually put together first when we were just wrangling the data, and getting a better understanding of the COIs themselves, as well as different stratifications of some of the features and dimensions of the inputs.

So with the various submissions, there's a ribbon along the top. You can see the total number of submissions for the 2020 period, 35,250, the nearly dozen submission sources, and the various subject types underneath it; so kind of just some high level key performance indicators about the data.

Beneath there, similar to some of the other pages that you saw previously, and various filters, you can filter by county. You can include or exclude input, that is for all California, so all fifty counties, or not.

There are various submission sources. So these will be eleven that we kind of divided these by. The five submission subject types, and then there's also a barbell time filter on the right that you can scroll across. If you hover over that info, there are some key dates there associated with certain items, and also corresponds to the bottom left chart, which is a time line of the

submissions over time. You can see kind of some of those key dates for when that spike happened, in terms of submissions.

I'll quickly go through just this chart, and again, this is just a kind of landing page to better understand the content of the data. So top-left chart, just the descending bar chart that includes accounts of the various subject types. So if you hover over you can kind of see the percentage as well as the number.

One thing I'll point out here; is some submissions fit into multiple categories, so that's why this total is more than the 35K total above, because some things are counted more than once.

Moving to the right, there's a donut chart that shows the breakdown of the various sources. You can see the majority are from the Commission Input forms, and then descending from there by percentage. You can kind of hover and see what the breakdown is as a percentage of the total.

I've already covered the submissions over time, that again, on this one. This is just the time series of when the submissions actually came in. And so you can see the peak there in November of 2021. And again, kind of the key dates associated with that to the left.

And then lastly, just the map showing the

distribution, you can see, obviously, the center in LA County that has kind of the bulk of where the submissions count originated from.

2.3

So this is again, just kind of a new landing page.

Just to give everyone an overview of the data before you can kind of dive in to some of the similar functionality that you had with the Airtables.

Along the top is kind of the navigation, and you might recall this from last time, we restructured this a little bit and renamed some of these just to make it a little bit more clear.

So the one we are on now is a summary analytics.

You can see it highlighted by the blue text. So if you'd just clicked on All Redistricting Data, I think we gave a pretty detailed version of this last time. But again, this is kind of a very similar one-ton-one functionality of the Airtables where there's, again, filters underneath.

You can look at all the 30,000-plus records, and you know, exclude, include, different categories, filter by submission source if you want to narrow this down to just the group you're looking at.

One piece of functionality that will be in the final version, that's not present today, is the ability to preview the PDFs from this view. We're just in the

1 process of cleaning up some of the accessibility issues, which I'll get into in a little bit, of the PDFs 3 themselves. So that's coming between now and June, but 4 you'll be able to see that before the go live. 5 Just moving again, left to right, there's a barbell 6 slider again with the dates. You can adjust kind of the 7 window that you're looking at. And then also word search for a wildcard match that you can do to highlight 8 9 keywords within this, to filter by, you know, specific 10 place, or any kind of keyword summary that you'd like 11 too, between the records themselves. 12 Moving right along to the next page; and I think 13 this is the one -- I'm sorry, was there any -- I'll pause 14 for any questions? 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Chair Kennedy? 16 I'm looking at this and CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. 17 thinking about our Constitutional criteria, particularly 18 criterion 4, which lists counties, cities, neighborhoods, 19 and communities of interest. So I see "cities" mentioned 20 as a column, I see "neighborhoods" mentioned as a column, 21 and then there's "other geography" mentioned. And what 22 I'm seeing in that column, are kind of either broader or 2.3 narrower than counties. So I'm wondering, do we need a 24 column for "county" mentioned, or are we going to --25 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That is, the second column

1 is "county". 2 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, far left, to the -- yeah. 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Over to the left. 4 CHAIR KENNEDY: Oh. Sorry, sorry, sorry. Okay. So 5 then that that pretty much covers criterion 4. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: 6 Yeah. 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: With counties, cities, 8 neighborhoods, and then communities of interest. Okay. 9 Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. And this is, I'll just do a quick little -- this is all of the data. 11 12 includes our public comments that came in, as well as all 13 of our -- you know, this is everything. 14 And when Brent was saying, the PDFs, those are the actual attachments, which was all the way to the right. 15 16 That is a -- similar to what we had in Airtable, if you 17 went all the way to the right, that was kind of 18 everything that had been submitted. That's what those 19 are. And that's what he's discussing, that you will be 20 able to go in and then see those. 21 They're not just all kind of shown, sort of like we 22 did before, which really slowed everything down. You can 23 actually go in and see them if you still want to. 24 it's a little faster the way we've done this now.

you'll see that there are more categories across the top.

As Commissioner Kennedy mentioned, we have "economic interests", "landmarks". There are more categories here than we ever saw on Airtable, and publicly in the Airtable. So continue on, please.

MR. JOHNSON: And yeah. And thanks for that aside.

So moving along the top ribbon, we are going next to Draw My Community Data, so again, this is a subset of kind of all the data in the previous one. This includes the mapping functionality that we demonstrated last time, and we'll go through that again quickly, just so you can see some of the new bells and whistles there.

So the map on the top left. This kind of shows the county outline, and then you can also toggle, so looking just at individual COIs. So I think we demonstrated this as well. You have the ability to select multiple COIs on the table underneath.

So Sophia is going to click on a couple here, and you'll notice just to the right of the map, it shows which COIs you're looking at, and it also reflects on the map, multiple ones at the same time. This includes the counties in bold, and some of the place names in kind of a lighter. You can adjust those layers; so it's a little bit hard to read, with looking at the COIs, the places, and the counties. You can kind of remove some of that just to clean up the map, if you want to just look at one

or two of those layers out of the three.

2.0

2.3

And then again, just to the right of that, there is the selected COI ID, a little -- a piece of real estate where you can see which COIs were selected from the table below.

So this is a little bit different than what you saw last time, just more flexibility in the way that users can interact with this map. And then also the filters are still available on the right; if you want to narrow kind of the pool of records below to just a specific county, specific submission source, subject type, or date of submission.

Any questions on this one? It is pretty similar I think to what we said last time. Yeah. And Sophia is also hovering over the records on the right. Some of these have a really long summary text, so it's not all visible with just kind of the limited space that we have within the rows. But if you do hover over it, you can kind of see what the full text was provided by the input.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Brent, I will jump in here for a minute?

MR. JOHNSON: Yes.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You'll notice, originally this column said COI was our top, and we changed this to, Draw My Community Data, because the only ones that

1 actually have a map connected with them are ones that people either submitted through the Draw My Community or we, our staff took that, took whatever was submitted and 3 entered it into the Draw My Community tool, so it would 4 5 all be sort of the standardized form. So this is, essentially, all the COIs that came in 6 7 which actually have a map function connected with them. And so this is -- that's what Brent said -- this is a 8 subset of all the redistricting data, which the previous 10 page, it says, you know: All redistricting data, if you 11 want absolutely everything, it's there, but that includes 12 general comments, et cetera, et cetera. 13 And you'll notice under our "Type of Submission", 14 that's where this comes from. And this is a -- this is 15 essentially COI input. 16 MR. JOHNSON: Uh-huh. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So that's where, there's a 18 little bit more -- there's more detail here, and you can 19 see it on a map as well, where not everything else is. 2.0 Commissioner Sinay. 21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: So maps that were submitted to 22 us from community groups, and special interest groups, 23 and cities, and such like that, that didn't use our --24 you know, the Statewide Database tool, would they be

found here? Or would they be found under the other PDF

1 | area?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Well, okay, everything is under the "All Redistricting." Anything that we could also grab and put on a map is also here, what is not here are when they submitted district maps, you know, like some groups submitted it like an entirety of the state and all districts, those are not on this because this is like community of interest.

So there are -- this is not an inclusive set of everything, it's virtually everything, though, that -- anything that came in through Draw My Community, and as I said, a lot of other data which was on different formats or things, our staff entered into the Draw My Community tool. So there's a few things that didn't -- and as I said, the district stuff, but almost everything that had a map is on this.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: And I just want to remind us that communities of interest are not always just maps, but that the narratives that folks shared were really important as well, even if they were at a very small -- you know, at a small space, or geographic space, or a large one.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct. Absolutely, and that's where the -- everything that was said is in the

1 summary. And so in all the -- you know, if you go back to the other one, in the attachments, anything that 3 people wrote and said is still there. You know, we 4 haven't lost any data whatsoever. Yeah, thank you. 5 You'll see there's still a summary here as well as an attachment. Because, you're right, a lot of it was, you 6 7 know, just what people said. Included also in this, which we had in different 9 locations before, is every single bit of just general 10 comment, and what they said, is all through here. And 11 that's where, Brent had said about include or exclude 12 comments about all California. Some of the general 13 comments were like, you know: Hey, all states should be 14 drawn -- you know, all districts should be drawn like 15 this. So that's where that "include/exclude" comes from. 16 So go ahead, Brent, if you will. 17 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. And like I said, I appreciate 18 the distinction. And that's a great question, just to 19 understand the difference. And that's again, why we 20 renamed some of these. I believe "Draw My Community" 21 originally was "COI", which as you mentioned, 22 Commissioner, can be a little bit different because, 2.3 there are some COIs that don't have kind of a map 24 attached to it.

So moving along from this one, I think we covered

the bulk of the functionality here. The fourth tab is called "Place, Social and Economic Interests." So this one has a little bit different style than I think you saw last time, but similar functionality.

So on the left there is a toggle, for interest mentioned, where you can switch between Place, Social, Economic, we've also added a couple of new categories that are, economic interest mentioned, class one and class two.

Thanks, Paul, for kind of filtering through the data.

And these are kind of a condensed version. So the first one we're looking at here for economic interest mentioned, is basically every category in the raw data for economic.

And Sophia, if you can go back to the dropdown for Interest Mentioned, class one and two are just condensed versions of that that are more summarized categories. So it's a little bit easier to wrangle around, just in terms of the number of categories, or fewer here. You can kind of see the distinction.

And again, the way this one works, for those who aren't familiar, this is a bubble map. So the size of the bubbles correspond to the number of records; so for example, here, Glendale, 659 is the most of the places

mentioned, that also it's kind of a center of the bubble chart there on the left.

2.3

And we've also added a feature to the right of the Date of Submission where you can filter this down. Some of these have hundreds of places, for example, within that. So if you just want to look at the top, you know, fifty, a hundred. Yeah, Sophia is going through the date submission there too.

And then just the right of that, you can also toggle the number of bubbles that you're looking at, and number of bars within the chart. If you just want to go down to like the top hundred, or fifty, or whatever the case may be.

I see a couple hands, questions?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: This is fascinating. And it could be fun to play with. But how did Long Beach not end up being the one who called us the most? That was my first, my first instinct. And then the second thing I want to say is: You go, Southern California. I mean, that's pretty impressive. So I just wanted to hear those two observations.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sadhwani.

COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, mine was quite

similar. And I agree. This is awesome. So thank you so

1 much for all of your work on it. I'm assuming Los Angeles covers all of the City of Los Angeles. I'm just 3 really shocked. Yeah. And I see that LA over there, but 4 I am assuming that's --5 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. I think that, yeah, it's the shortened version. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: -- it doesn't matter? MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. And if you hover over it, I 9 think it's La Canada, Flintridge, if I'm pronouncing that 10 right. 11 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. Yes. 12 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. 13 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Well, that's what I just 14 kind of find fascinating. So look, are these being 15 found -- are these being tabulated, if it appears -- like 16 so for example, if one email included -- because these 17 are all in the same area, Glendale, La Crescenta, 18 Sunland-Tujunga, and La Canada, all in one email. 19 each one get tabulated separately? 2.0 It's hard for me to envision that there's 21 technically more commentary coming from La Canada 22 Flintridge, which has about 10,000 people living in it, 2.3 versus Los Angeles, which has nearly four million. 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Is Paul on?

That's a Paul question.

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, Paul.

1 I was going to -- I was going to defer that. 2 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I was as well. MR. MITCHELL: Commissioner, the records were all 3 4 tagged individually, so if we came across a record that 5 would be San Fernando, we would just simply tag it once. So it's not going through like a summary, and each time 6 7 San Fernando is written, this is a summary of it. It is indeed just that, that one value for each record. 8 9 MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. We'll take a look at that. 10 mean, that's one thing I didn't mention at the top was 11 we're still kind of -- before they go live going through 12 some QA processing. So if there're any questions like 13 that, happy to kind of do a deeper dive just to confirm 14 that any of those types of things that seem maybe 15 counterintuitive, we can ensure that it is based on the 16 accuracy of the data and not some other factor. 17 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah, I would definitely suggest looking one more time, but only because all of 18 19 these areas are right next to each other. 2.0 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Like La Canada, La 22 Crescenta, Sunland-Tujunga, Pasadena, Glendale, Burbank, 23 they are literally all in the same area. And it just 24 would appear, and I recall at the time, especially when

we changed our Senate map, we had a lot of commentary

- 1 | coming in talking about connectivity between La Canada,
- 2 La Crescenta, Sunland-Tujunga, in particular. So I'm
- 3 | wondering if one email comes in, or one, you know,
- 4 message through the form comes in, but it's getting coded
- 5 multiple times.
- 6 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: It would. It would get.
- 7 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah.
- 8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, you're right.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.
- 10 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: If it did mention, you know,
- 11 | all five cities?
- 12 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Okay.
- 13 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: All five cities would get a
- 14 mention.
- 15 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Got it. Yeah.
- 16 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah.
- 17 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: That makes sense, because
- 18 | these cities are clustered together. Yeah, it makes no
- 19 sense for La Canada to be so much larger in volume than
- 20 | the city.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. It's kind of like
- 22 Alpine when, you know, like all those counties, they all
- 23 | said, "And Alpine," it's almost like, way more people
- 24 | than live in Alpine County. So yeah, that is what throws
- 25 | these things. But we will have to go back and have a

1 look at, you know, we are doing some cleanup. MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. And just confirming, there's 3 not any, like double counting, or some other kind of 4 thing like not being applied. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. 6 MR. JOHNSON: But that's a great comment. 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Fornaciari. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Really, really cool 9 stuff. I guess, so this is all the data, right? So for 10 instance, if a community group got together and sent us 11 600 emails with all those words in it, then that's how it 12 would get counted, right? 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. 14 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I quess -- I quess my 15 question is, so I was surprised, too, with the outcome 16 here. But I guess what surprised me is that, I guess 17 what comes to my mind first is -- are the phone calls we 18 got, right, in our public input meetings, and then when 19 we were mapping and taking public input. Is there a way 2.0 we can kind of filter and look at the public input 21 compared to the emails we got with this, at this point? 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's a good --COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: That would make too much 2.3 24 work.

That's a good question in

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:

1 terms of, can we sort interest, first filter it by county 2 or submission type. That's something I think could be --MR. JOHNSON: Yeah. We can take a look at that. 3 4 Yeah. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. MR. JOHNSON: I'm not too familiar with the way the 6 7 original data was collected. I know we did some cleanup 8 on the piece, but yeah, we'll take a look, if there's any 9 other useful filters that could be applied. I know we 10 are kind of in the homestretch of the requirement side. 11 But definitely something worth exploring before we sort 12 of lock the scope. 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I certainly think we 14 could easily do that, because they're tagged the same 15 way. 16 MR. JOHNSON: Yes. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: So it would just be a little 18 rearranging; so good point. 19 Commissioner Le Mons. 2.0 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Is there a way to provide, 21 excuse me, a brief description of, for example, this 22 "speaks of place" (ph.) mentioned. There'd been several 23 questions raised by Commissioners based on experience 24 that understands how to interpret this -- well, didn't

understand how to interpret this.

1 So if I am a community member coming here and not knowing what place mentioned, and what informs this data, I would have no idea. So I'm wondering if there is a way 3 4 for -- and I think we had a similar discussion a moment 5 ago on one of the other pages, where there is some kind of brief summary that depicts what this data represents, 6 7 or what informs it. You know, it doesn't have to be crazy where everything is a dissertation, but something that helps 10 hone in the framing in my mind of what I'm looking at. 11 Is that possible? 12 MR. JOHNSON: That's really helpful, yeah. 13 that's easy to add, so if you -- as a way to kind of look 14 at what that functionality would look like, similar to 15 the data submission. 16 Sophia, if you want to hover over that info box, we 17 can do something somewhere, something like a "question 18 mark" or "help". 19 MS. SHA: Uh-huh. 20 MR. JOHNSON: We do it a lot, like at the top right of the pages, where it's just like, About This Page, or 21 22 like it could even be kind of navigation tips similar to 23 the map, that kind of spell out what to do. 24 Happy to include some verbiage, probably want to a

hover just for real estate purposes, so it's not too

1 overwhelming, via text. 2 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Awesome. 3 MR. JOHNSON: But we'd be -- yeah, I think that's a 4 great idea to kind of just give some context or 5 clarification in terms of the data being represented. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh. 6 7 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you. 8 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Great, great points. 9 Commissioner Fornaciari. 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Commissioner 11 Andersen, so I just want to be clear. All those phone 12 calls we got during all that time, during public input, 13 and mapping, and all that, that was somehow captured by 14 someone, and is in here? 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes. 16 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Our staff --18 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, all right. 19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: The amount of work that was 20 done by our staff, and all the guys who were -- and when 21 I say "guys" I mean people -- who were taking notes, 22 typing it in, putting it into different locations, and 23 then tagging all of these things. The things that went 24 on behind -- you know, behind our faces, we really, you 25 know, thank you, thank you, thank you, thank

you. And it's nice to be able to say, and finally show some of the amount of work that all of these people did for us. So it was a full Commission; it really took a full Commission to do this.

Commissioner Sinay.

may not have thought about, but a lot of people in the community are really, really interested in, it's the COIs that were submitted by individuals who are incarcerated. And I know that that's our paper, and we called it the "paper form" versus the "paper COI", but if we can make sure we can pull that out a little bit more, because people are really -- they're interested in that, because it was unique, and I think right now it's not self-explanatory how to find that -- those COIs.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: That's a good point. Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. I do not know if we have -- I mean, if we have paper COI. I don't know if we actually have, and I don't know if we want to make a separation between, what came in from incarcerated people, or other people who just submitted paper COI.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I would encourage us to think about doing so, because it was so unique, and it was the first time that it was actually done. And when you talk about it nationally, people are like: How can I see

- 1 those? You know, there is a lot of interest in that.
- 2 And it's something that we -- you know, in the future, I
- 3 think we can do more around. And somewhat -- you know,
- 4 some people have mentioned that they would like to write
- 5 about it, and stuff.
- 6 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you.
- 7 Commissioner Le Mons.
- 8 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: It seems that we've focused on 9 that from the "form" perspective, because that was the
- 10 vehicle for the submission.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Correct.
- 12 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: But maybe the distinction isn't
- 13 | so much about paper COI, as it is about COI data
- 14 | submitted by incarcerated. So yeah, that's my
- 15 recommendation.
- 16 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. No, no. That is the
- 17 | rub here. I don't know if we can distinguish, but we'll
- 18 look into it.
- 19 MR. JOHNSON: Okay. Well, thank you for all the
- 20 questions, and feedback. Last, in terms of just
- 21 | functionality, Resources tab. I think we looked at this
- 22 | last time, we cleaned this one up a little bit, just to
- 23 make it a little, just more streamlined. So these are
- 24 just other references for folks who are interested in
- 25 kind of some of the content, in terms of where it came

from, or some of the related websites kind of associated with this.

2.0

Another idea just from the previous comment of where to put information about the data, is also not a bad place to kind of have summary information that is inclusive of all of the previous tasks, that might not be just specific to one in terms of that navigation.

Just kind of like a reference, appendix-type place where you can put information about how the data is collected, or any other kind of content that you want to share for reference purposes.

12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Commissioner --

MR. JOHNSON: And then I -- Oh, sorry. Go ahead.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yeah. This is awesome. And the reason why I keep bringing up questions is I keep thinking through, how are people going to use this data between now and 2030? So I'm not -- I hope you take it as, not a critique, but as excitement, and making sure that it's usable.

I don't know where this would be found, but I remember that we all learned at the end of the process that we had made some of the COIs, and such, accessible to those who were blind. And so I want to make sure that that's in here as well, and that all the way through the

1 process, that accessibility piece is thought through.

MR. JOHNSON: And it's a beautiful segue. We are kind of ending with a note on accessibility. So I really appreciate kind of that lead in. And so this dashboard itself is accessible to all kind of keyboard and screen reader-friendly.

The other thing we wanted to show you, just kind of as a last note, was the PDFs themselves. So to Tammy (ph.), and James, for that extensive work. Tammy is kind of resident, Section 508 expert.

So Sophia, if you want to pull up the PDF example, I want to briefly show you what it looks like from the attachments that came in, and then how that's been converted now into an accessible document that folks can read, or you know, use a screen reader, keyboard, et cetera, to access.

So essentially, for some of these inputs where there is multiple attachments, rather than having to kind of download each, individually, they've been tabulated and put together into a single PDF. They all lead with this sort of disclaimer along the top.

This was some information about, if you need it in a different format, who to contact, email address, phone number. There's a little bit of data underneath that about the input itself, so you can kind of see how many

affiliations, type of submission, et cetera. And then also what's included under this PDF, in terms of the files.

2.3

And if you continue to scroll, and again, this is all kind of done with accessibility in mind, this is all headers, and tags to be easily read, and that has the content itself underneath. This one has three attachments, including kind of a map, a Word doc that's been converted now into a PDF itself, that's all readable.

I think there's one more kind of bottom of this.

And they're all sort of separated by these kind of mini headers so that anyone can kind of use, you know, different tools to be able to ingest this information.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you very much, Brent. That's, as you know, the user -- you know, this group, and that entire website, has been spending a huge amount of time making everything completely accessible, and including the things that were -- that were accessible we've improved, and we've really, really, really ramped up the total. Any kind of screen reader capability is basically met.

And this has been a huge amount of work that's been -- I wanted to make sure everyone gets credit for this, because the amount of work that's gone into this,

and is still going into it, is staggering. And they've been doing a fantastic job for us.

Now, Brent, I don't know if that's --

MR. JOHNSON: Yeah, that was it, in terms of the demo. And just the reply to a comment from earlier, I think from Commissioner Sinay; definitely take all this in the spirit of feedback. So we appreciate -- keep it coming. If there's other things that you saw today, or you think of, you know, a few hours from now, or next week, feel free to let us know. We're still kind of in that window where we can make some tweaks, and adjustments, fix any defects, things like that.

Again, this is going to go live end of next month. So we are going to do some testing, so including some user testing with some other folks on this call. So yeah, really appreciate all the feedback and guidance from the Commission.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you very much, Brent. And as I said, the team has been fantastic. If you have any questions or comments, please submit it to the Website Subcommittee, myself and Commissioner Taylor, and it will be moved forward. You already went through the -- sort of the time line is, and basically it is going to go in, it's for testing, going live.

I think that's pretty a much complete summary. If

1 you have any questions, please ask. Otherwise we're going to carry on. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you very much, Commissioner 3 4 Andersen, and Commissioner Taylor, in his absence. 5 Any further questions before we move to Lessons Learned? 6 7 None seen. Okay. Next Subcommittee Update is Lessons Learned. 9 And I will turn it over to Commissioner Yee. We will be 10 meeting Commissioners for a vote between now and 11 11 o'clock, please. 12 COMMISSIONER YEE: We've been waiting a whole month. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, Chair. So Lessons 15 Learned. We continue to work on the draft 3-R (ph.) 16 Report: Recollections, Recommendations, and Resources. 17 You'll see in the handouts we got some good input from 18 outside sources, from NALEO, as well as from Ethan -- our 19 friends, Ethan Jones, and Diane Griffiths, from 20 Legislature, which we will incorporate. 21 We have gotten good quotes on production, design, 22 and then printing and distribution, and so we'd like to 23 request today an allocation for that. We are still in 24 the process of revisions, and we would certainly love to 25

have more personal statements from Commissioners.

see in the draft the ones we have so far, in Volume 2, near the end.

One change we'll make before we go to production,

Commissioner Kennedy and I have decided to actually swap

Volumes 2 and 3, so the staff reports will become Volume

2, and then resources will become Volume 3. It just

seems to make more sense that way.

Any questions?

CHAIR KENNEDY: I would say that we continue to post iterations of the document, even though we're not taking action specifically on the document itself. But we do want people to be able to follow the process as we progress towards what we hope will be adoption of the report before the end of June.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Thank you. And I'm one of these who is kind of late at reading the whole entire document. I got really into it the first time it got sent out. And then if it keeps changing. And so I'm like -- it's hard for me to justify.

So I'd like to ask a few things. And I know that -and they may not be popular. But the first one is, it
would be really helpful if you guys could populate that
section. That is a summary of all the recommendations,
because if we're going to vote on anything, I think

that's the main piece. I wouldn't want us to vote on the whole document because there's too much in there.

2.0

But it would be good if we did have consensus on what the top recommendations are. And it's hard right now because they're throughout the whole document. So we could have that in one place.

And then I would like to encourage -- I hate to say this -- but it is hard to read online, and write comments, and go back and forth. And one meeting we did get copies of the first draft in paper format. But if there's any way that we can receive a copy of at least that first piece of it; and for those of us who want to really support you all and go a little deeper, maybe you don't want that from us.

But at least get the recommendations all in one place so that we can read them and make -- be clear, because as we've talked about in the past, there's a lot in this. Some of it is subjective, some of it is objective, but we need to be clear when we have consensus.

And again, the definition of consensus is that we all agree. And we've used that word loosely in the past. And so we need to just be clear on the recommendations part, on where are we on those recommendations. Are we saying: The authors recommend this, the majority of the

1 Commissioners recommend it, or there is consensus? CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you for that. Commissioner Fernandez. 3 4 Thank you, Chair. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I did 5 have a comment on the report. But I think before we get to that, it sounds like we should take a vote ASAP; is 6 7 that correct, on a motion too. CHAIR KENNEDY: Uh-huh. 8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How about if we do that 10 first, and then maybe we get into all the discussions, 11 because I don't want to miss that opportunity for you. 12 Is that okay. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. Yes. And --14 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: While we have people. 15 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. And Commissioner Ahmad was 16 going to be back right around now, and I'm looking. We 17 may need her vote. 18 Anyway, Commissioner Yee; would you tee this up? 19 COMMISSIONER YEE: Okay. I may need a little help 20 with this. I'm not sure exactly how to propose it. But 21 I'd like to propose that we allocate an amount not to 22 exceed \$10,000 for the design, and production, and 2.3 distribution of the -- of the Recollections, 24 Recommendations, and Resources Report. 25

That's a huge amount of

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:

1 money. It's about 5,000 for COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. design, and about 5,000 for production and distribution. 3 4 It's a big report. And it's like 250 pages in three 5 volumes, which is why production is so much. CHAIR KENNEDY: And the production cost would be for 6 7 one hundred printed copies. VICE CHAIR LE MONS: I'll second it. 8 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Yee has moved, 10 Commissioner Le Mons has seconded. Discussion of the 11 motion; Commissioner Fernandez, do you have anything on 12 the motion? 13 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, I like it. I was just a little curious on why we're going to produce a hundred 14 15 copies if it's going to be online, right? 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. 17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just a question because it's something we might have to store then. If we print 18 19 too many we store it. 2.0

CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Yee.

21

22

2.3

24

25

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah, hard copies for all of us who want one, copies for the 2030 Commission, copies for folks like Statewide Database, Legislature, others who might want one, you know.

CHAIR KENNEDY: We don't have an exact list, but as

1 Commissioner Yee has laid out, we started with a smaller number, and concluded that there were probably more 3 people who would eventually want one. And so the list of 4 categories of people did grow, which is how we ended up 5 with the higher figure. Commissioner Akutagawa, do you have anything on the 6 7 motion? COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: No, it's -- well, related 9 to the report, but it's not necessarily related to the 10 motion. 11 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 12 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: We can move on. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: We'll come back. And we also have 14 time this afternoon. If we don't finish this, excuse me, 15 before 11 o'clock. We do have time reserved for Lessons 16 Learned after the afternoon break to finish up any 17 general discussion on the report. 18 Commissioner Sinay, anything on the motion? 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was actually going to say the 20 opposite of Commissioner Fernandez, and ask if a hundred 21 is going to be enough, but I see that you're thinking the 22 same folks that I was thinking about. So I would like to 23 support having some hard copies as well as it being 24 online.

Thank you.

Very good.

25

CHAIR KENNEDY:

1 Commissioner Sadhwani. 2 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yeah. I mean, I'll stay quiet and support it, but I don't think we actually need 3 4 printed copies in this day and age. I feel like having 5 things online is perfectly appropriate, and I regularly receive reports just virtually, that I don't see the need 6 7 to print it. But that's fine. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Kristian, can you ask for 8 9 public comment on the motion? 10 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. 11 The Commission will now take public comment on the 12 motion. To give comment please call 877-853-5247, and 13 enter meeting ID number 84694124372, once you've dialed 14 in, please press star 9 to enter the comment queue. 15 full call-in instructions are read at the beginning of 16 the meeting and are provided on the live stream landing 17 page. 18 And there's no one in the queue at this time. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. We'll give it just a few 20 seconds to catch up. 21 (Pause) 22 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. The instructions are 2.3 finished? 24 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: The instructions are 25

complete, and there are -- oh. We do have a caller.

1 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 2 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Just a moment. Caller 6337, we see you; caller 6337, please follow the prompts 3 4 The floor is yours. to unmute. Thank you, Commission. Rosalind Gold 5 MS. GOLD: with NALEO Educational Fund, just very quickly on the 6 7 motion; I would say there is value to hard copies of the 8 report. There are still people who may not have the kind 9 of broadband internet access that would allow them to 10 download and print the report. But also for all of the 11 historical archival reasons that people have mentioned. 12 I think that there is still a need for whatever amount of 13 hard copies that people are contemplating. Thank you. 14 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you very much for your input. 15 Okay. Then, Corina, we need you to take the vote. 16 MS. LEON: Okay. I'll share my screen. Oh, the 17 screen, okay -- sorry. Okay. 18 She stepped away? Okay. Commissioner Ahmad. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. 20 MS. LEON: Okay. All right. 21 Commissioner Akutagawa. 22 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: 2.3 MS. LEON: Commissioner Andersen. 24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.

MS. LEON: Commissioner Fernandez.

- 1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
- 2 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- 4 MS. LEON: Commissioner Kennedy.
- 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
- 6 MS. LEON: Commissioner Le Mons.
- 7 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yes.
- 8 MS. LEON: Commissioner Sadhwani.
- 9 COMMISSIONER SADHWANI: Yes.
- 10 MS. LEON: Commissioner Sinay.
- 11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 12 MS. LEON: Commissioner Taylor.
- 13 Commissioner Toledo.
- 14 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 15 MS. LEON: Commissioner Turner.
- 16 Commissioner Vazquez.
- 17 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Commissioner Yee.
- 19 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
- 20 MS. LEON: Okay. So that's it --
- 21 CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good.
- MS. LEON: Okay, great.
- 23 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, everyone.
- 24 | COMMISSIONER YEE: Thank you, everyone.
- 25 MS. LEON: Thank you.

1 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner 2 Sadhwani, for sticking around a few extra minutes. Okay, so back to hands up. Commissioner Fernandez. 3 4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: What was my comment? Oh, I 5 remember. On the first, the very first page of the Lessons Learned, and I also haven't read all of it, but 6 7 it talks about that beyond our own discussions, a number of external stakeholders collaborate informally, a set of 8 9 valuable recommendations that have been taken into 10 account. 11 And I think I have a little bit of an issue with 12 that, because I was thinking, it should be more of the 13 Commission's Lessons Learned, and external stakeholders, 14 and also some of the comments that we received. I don't 15 necessarily agree that their comments or their feedback 16 should be in our report, as our Lessons Learned. I hope 17 that makes sense. 18 I actually don't have a problem with -- when I used 19 to audit, we would have the audit, and then we'd have the 20 response from the auditee. I don't have a problem 21 attaching their responses to our Lessons Learned. 22 feel that our Lessons Learned, is our Lessons Learned. 2.3 It's like someone's opinion. 24 It is my opinion. And yes, this is your opinion,

and we'll go ahead and put that on there. But I just

feel that it needs to be pure as to what the Commission's 1 opinions, and the Commission's experiences were. 3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you for that. I'll speak to 4 that after a while, I think. 5 Commissioner Akutagawa. COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yeah. 6 I quess mine is a 7 general comment, and I would agree with some of the earlier comments that Commissioner Fernandez made about 8 9 the recommendations. And I think -- I guess this is just 10 more of -- maybe it's a process question. How are you 11 taking into account the comments that were made on the 12 earlier drafts of this report? 13 And I ask this because I did submit quite a few 14 edits to the report. Some of which, when I started 15 looking through the current report, I saw that a number 16 of them actually, a significant portion, were not, I 17 quess, taken into account; and so -- including typos that I had noted, too; so there are still typos in the report. 18 19 So I am just wondering, I know you're receiving a 20 lot of inputs, but I am just also wanting to understand 21 how you're going about doing it, because to be blunt, I 22 don't want to waste my time looking through it, if it's 23 not going to be taken into account. So because it's a 24 very real, unwieldy -- very long report.

Yeah.

25

CHAIR KENNEDY:

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I know you're getting a lot of stuff, but I'm just trying to understand, you know, what your -- what your processes is.

2.3

CHAIR KENNEDY: Right? Sure. So Commissioner Yee is taking general lead on the first half of it. I'm taking the general lead on the second half of it. We're collaborating on the executive summary, and those sorts of things. We are getting a lot of input, sometimes input conflicts. So you know, one person's yes is another person's, or another group of persons' noes.

And so where we're using our best judgment to look at all of the input that's coming in, and see where we think the report best lands.

Again, as we put out, a couple of meetings ago, if there are issues that people have, very specific issues but -- that they want to raise, but are generally okay with the report, then that's one of the purposes of the individual statements. And you know, I would be very -- you know, I would very much welcome individual statements that say, you know: I take exception to these two or three things, stating reasons. And then, you know, generally endorsing the overall report.

So I would encourage colleagues to make use of that option of submitting an individual statement that would be published along with the report.

1 Commissioner Yee, do you want to add anything? 2 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. No, we definitely are 3 trying to incorporate all input. And actually, 4 Commissioner Akutagawa, I'm trying to remember. I don't 5 think I got your input somehow. I don't know why I wouldn't have, but I'll ask if we can get another copy 6 7 from you. And I remember you promising that you would give input. And I was looking forward to that. And I'm 8 9 not sure actually, I got it. So that would be the 10 reason. So let's close the loop on that. 11 But yeah, definitely, we're trying to incorporate as 12 much as we can. And sometimes it does come to judgment 13 calls. But generally, you know, whenever -- we're trying 14 to incorporate, always deferring to your input whenever 15 possible, you know, it's been our general -- our general posture. Yeah. 16 17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you. Commissioner 18 Sinay. 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: (No verbal response). 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: No. Commissioner Le Mons. 21 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yeah. I just wanted to say in 22 relationship to the personal statement, I understand it's 23 open-ended, but when I look at the personal statements 24 submitted so far, it isn't -- at least those individuals 25 have not approached this as a position on the document.

1 So I would ask that if that's what you want, to separate that, and not make that a part of that section. 3 So if Commissioners want to offer a different perspective on aspects of the document, I'd encourage a delineation. 4 COMMISSIONER YEE: Sure. And you know, and we can definitely also put that into the text itself. You know, 6 7 this is one way of looking at this particular item. 8 Other Commissioners felt differently. Actually, we you 9 know, there are items like that already, so we can 10 definitely do that. 11 CHAIR KENNEDY: If there is further discussion, we are approaching our break time. I'm happy to hold further discussion over to the last block of the day 13 14 where we also have Lessons Learned scheduled. So you 15 know, if anyone has anything else right now, that they'd 16 like to bring up, we're certainly happy to hear it. 17 again, we can resume discussion of this in the final 18 block today. 19 Commissioner Le Mons. VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yeah. I just have more of a 21 comment, which is a thank you, again, to both yourself 22 and Commissioner Yee. This is a huge undertaking, and I 23 think what you've produced so far is actually an 24 incredible piece of work that I think is going to have

5

12

2.0

25

value on so many, many levels.

1 So I don't have anything to offer in terms of 2 feedback right now. I think that the feedback process you have currently established, from a process point of 3 4 view, makes the most sense to me. I can't imagine us 5 sitting up trying to have a conversation about everybody: I will welcome -- to start with a conversation, about 6 7 each of our individual feedback. So thank you. COMMISSIONER YEE: It's a pleasure working on it. 8 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you. 10 COMMISSIONER YEE: I mean, our story is a very happy 11 one in detail as well as in the big picture. 12 just been a -- it's a pleasure to retell our story. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Sinay. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I did have a comment. Thank 15 you. I would like to support what Commissioner Fernandez 16 said, and try to keep this report about us, and doing 17 maybe what was recommended. I think it was, NALEO said, 18 you know, just have, in the resource or in the appendix, 19 have other people's comments and recommendations. 2.0 And the reason being, for the same reason that 21 Common Cause is having the conference that's just for 22 Commissioners, and others, who have been involved in the 23 Independent Redistricting Commission, from our 24 perspective, there's very few of us who have a 25 perspective of actually drawing the maps, and very little

is written from our perspective, most things are written by the community's perspective, an academic perspective, the media's perspective.

2.3

And so I think it is important to have a clean -- I hadn't thought about it until Commissioner Fernandez said it. But you know, the community has a report out there that's already been sent to philanthropists, and others, but it was never sent to us. Our input isn't asked -- you know, isn't asked -- our perspective isn't asked.

And so I think we are open, and transparent, and we want people's input, but I think it should be separate from our -- the fifteen of us, and our learning. And then on the other report, the Staff's learning, just like we asked to keep the Staff's report clean on their perspective, and not necessarily, you know, bring our perspective into it.

So I would encourage -- I know that it might be late, and that a lot came at you all, and we didn't say this at the very beginning, but maybe somewhere we put a note in there saying, for future Commissions, the lesson learned is that a report like this should come from -- you know, not much is written from our perspective.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good. Thank you for that.

It's now 11:01. We are due for a 15 minute break.

25 So let's be back at 11:16. Thank you, everyone.

1 (Whereupon, a recess was held from 11:01 a.m. 2 until 11:16 a.m.) CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, everyone. Welcome back 3 4 to today's meeting of the California Citizens 5 Redistricting Commission. We have taken a break from our discussion on report 6 7 from the Lessons Learned Subcommittee, which we may 8 return to in the final block today. 9 Right now, we are turning our attention to an update 10 from the Legislative Affairs Subcommittee. So I believe that's Commissioner Fernandez and Commissioner Akutagawa; 11 12 is that correct? 13 Take it away. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you, Chair. 15 I'm a little winded. It's like sending the kid out for 16 recess and they never come back. So that was me. I was: 17 Oh, got to hustle back. 18 So we did have a few documents out there, and we had 19 a couple of items that we would like to take action or 20 have a motion on. And so we thought it's probably best 21 to maybe address those first. I think we -- I'm trying 22 to see who is here. I think we have enough for a motion 2.3 and a vote. 24 The first one, you should have seen the letter of 25 support that we posted at the last meeting.

1 Commission had voted to approve, that we send a letter of support for Assembly Bill 1761, and that one, that's the bill that's clarifying what a day is under the 3 4 Commission's statutory authority. And what we would like 5 to have is approval to have similar letters of support as it makes its way through the Legislative process. 6 7 Right now it did go through the Assembly, so the Assembly approved it. Now, it's at the Senate, so we 8 would like to submit a letter of support to the Senate. 10 And then, if and when it gets the Governor's Office, we 11 would also like to have authority to send a letter of 12 support to the Governor. 13 And the reason we're asking for this blanket approval ahead of time is that we realize in June that 14 15 may be our last meeting for a while. And this 16 Legislative process ends like end of August, early 17 September. And basically what we would do, would be just 18 to change who it's authored to, who we're sending it to, 19 the recipient is of these letters of support. 2.0 So are there any questions regarding a blanket -- I 21 don't say "blanket", but it kind of is like a blanket 22 type of letter of support as it moves along the process. 2.3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Any thoughts? Commissioner 24 Andersen.

Yeah, just sort of the

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:

```
1
    obvious one. Obviously, you will be following it in case
    it doesn't get changed contextually, for other than that
 3
    restriction, I have no objection whatsoever. It's a good
 4
    idea.
 5
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, we will. Thank you.
    We'll be following it. And we do continue to meet with
 6
 7
    our Legislative partners, biweekly, if needed. So we get
 8
    updates on that, which is great.
 9
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Any further questions or comments?
         Commissioner Fornaciari.
10
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Just to be clear about the
11
12
    process. The subcommittee would put the letter together,
13
    and then the Chair would review and sign the letter; is
14
    about how it goes?
15
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Well, what I was
    thinking --
16
17
         CHAIR KENNEDY:
                         The --
18
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
                                  Oh.
                                       I'm sorry.
19
         CHAIR KENNEDY: The handout that's currently posted
20
    is signed by the subcommittee members. And you know, as
21
    such, I mean, my sense is that in the past, we've
22
    generally said that a subcommittee -- subcommittee issued
23
    letters don't require the approval of the full Commission
24
    because they're, in essence, representing the
```

25

subcommittee.

1 And that, yes, if something is representing the full Commission, then it should come from the Chair. 3 that's my understanding. I'm not sure I understand. 4 Commissioner Andersen. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I agree with you, 6 Chair. And it says: On behalf of the Commission, in 7 which case -- yeah, can the subcommittee actually write -- I'd like to get a legal thought on this one. 8 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I have --10 CHAIR KENNEDY: And could you --11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I'm sorry. I have it 12 done both ways, where it's the chair that signs it, or 13 subcommittee signs it, that we've done in the past. 14 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Tim, do you have any thoughts 15 on this? 16 COUNSEL TREICHELT: Well, Commissioners, I'd like to 17 defer to Anthony. But just in general, it seems that if 18 the Commission is being represented as signing a letter, 19 it should be -- it should be adopted by the Commission or 20 its delegate, the Chair. 21 CHAIR KENNEDY: Right. Well, and then currently the 22 chair isn't necessarily delegated any authority in that 23 regard. I think Anthony is thinking that we may want to 24 take that step for the upcoming period when we have 25 longer gaps between meetings. Okay.

```
1
         COUNSEL TREICHELT: Yes. That makes sense.
    I'll note, and I'll let Anthony know the question, for
    confirmation.
 3
 4
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay, very good.
 5
         COUNSEL TREICHELT: Thank you.
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Fornaciari.
 6
 7
        COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I don't mean to be a pain,
 8
   but we have a policy that we adopted specifically on this
 9
    topic. And the policy, says: Correspondence on behalf of
10
    the entire Commission, blah, blah, blah, blah, the
    current Chair will sign the final version of the
11
12
    correspondence prior to release.
13
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. So we have policy guidance.
14
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And I'm just bringing that
15
    up because in an expectation that we might have another
16
   motion coming up to delegate to another subcommittee the
17
    authority to write a letter supporting some legislation
18
    that I want to have clarity on how we're going to get to
19
    do that.
20
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Perfect. Perfect. Thank you very
21
   much. I certainly support sending letters of support for
22
    this Legislation at the various points in the process,
2.3
    so --
24
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Is that a motion,
25
   Chair?
```

```
1
         CHAIR KENNEDY: The Chair will entertain a motion?
                                  Yes. I think the motion
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
 3
    would be to send letters of support as it moves along the
 4
    process -- the Legislative process, for Assembly Bill
 5
    1761, and we would follow policy.
         Thank you, Commissioner Fornaciari, because I was --
 6
 7
    I was looking back at some of the memos, and I think we
 8
    even had our Executive Director signed some memos. So we
    were totally off on our policy.
10
         So sorry, Californians, we'll do better. So letter
11
    of support for Assembly Bill 1761, as it goes through the
12
    2023 Legislative process. Does that sound okay?
13
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Corina, are you catching
14
    that?
15
        MS. LEON: I'm working on -- yes, I'm working on it.
16
    Let me share it.
17
         CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. And then you have a second?
18
        MS. LEON: -- it changed a little bit, so let me
19
    see.
20
         COMMISSIONER LE MONS: I'll second it.
21
        CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Le Mons seconded.
22
        MS. LEON: Okay. So okay, I think I need to change,
23
    okay, so change this a little bit. Did you say -- and
24
    the year, did you mention the year?
25
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, the 2023 Legislative
```

1 process. 2 MS. LEON: Okay. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And no hyphen, it's not --3 4 yeah. Yeah, there you go. 5 MS. LEON: How does that sound? CHAIR KENNEDY: Let's say, "Authorize letters of 6 7 support." 8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And let's say, "As it 9 progresses through the 2023." 10 CHAIR KENNEDY: Or actually, we're modifying the 11 "motion name" rather than the "motion details". 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. You're right. 13 MS. LEON: Okay. 14 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: And "authorized" should 15 be -- should not have that "D", yeah, it's not past 16 tense, yeah. 17 MS. LEON: Okay. Right. 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then after "as", just a 19 technical grammar, "As it progresses". 2.0 MS. LEON: Uh-huh. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And the motion name would 22 just be "Letters of Support for Assembly Bill 1761." 2.3 MS. LEON: Thank you. 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. 25 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Motion made by Commissioner

1 Fernandez; seconded by Commissioner Le Mons. 2 Commissioner Fornaciari. 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I'm just curious. 4 I don't really understand the process. Would that 5 include a like a letter to the Governor, too, that -when it gets here? Okay. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, just curious. 8 9 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Sorry. It should be Commissioner Le Mons. 10 11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I'm sorry. Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: And Commissioner Le Mons. 12 13 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Do we need something in 14 there -- we're just going to follow policy, but I'm 15 wondering, well, do we need any policy reference, or 16 chair reference in that motion detail? It's more of a 17 question than --18 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah, I would think not. 19 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: I think if we were going to diverge 21 from policy, we would need something specifically 22 authorizing it. But to follow policy, I would say we

Mons" has a space between the "E" and the "L".

Okay. No problem. And "Le

2.3

24

25

don't.

VICE CHAIR LE MONS:

1 MS. LEON: Thank you. 2 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Any further discussion? Commissioner Le Mons, your hand is up. Okay. 3 4 Kristian, could you call for public comment on the 5 motion on the floor? PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes. 6 7 The Commission will now take public comment on the motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-8 9 853-5247, and enter meeting ID number 84694124372. 10 you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the 11 comment queue. The full call-in instructions are read at 12 the beginning of the meeting and are provided on the live 13 stream landing page. 14 And there's no one in the queue at this time. 15 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay We'll wait until the 16 instructions finish. Give people a few seconds to call 17 in before we get to the vote. 18 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are 19 complete. And there is no one in the queue. 2.0 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Okay. Corina, can you 21 proceed with the vote? 22 MS. LEON: Sure. Thank you. 2.3 Commissioner Ahmad. 24 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.

MS. LEON: Commissioner Akutagawa.

- 1 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 2 MS. LEON: Commissioner Andersen.
- 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 4 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fernandez.
- 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
- 6 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- 8 MS. LEON: Commissioner Kennedy.
- 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes.
- 10 MS. LEON: Commissioner Le Mons.
- 11 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yes.
- 12 MS. LEON: Commissioner Sadhwani.
- 13 Commissioner Sinay.
- 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 15 MS. LEON: Commissioner Taylor.
- 16 | Commissioner Toledo.
- 17 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Commissioner Turner.
- 19 Commissioner Vazquez.
- 20 COMMISSIONER VAZQUEZ: Yes.
- 21 MS. LEON: Commissioner Yee.
- 22 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes.
- MS. LEON: Okay.
- 24 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, everyone.
- 25 Commissioner Fernandez.



- 1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
- 2 CHAIR KENNEDY: Back to you.
- 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. Okay, so back to us.
- 4 | Since we have everyone here, we have another item that we
- 5 | would like to take a motion on. And it was one of the
- 6 handouts, and it's a potential amendment to Assembly Bill
- 7 | 1761, and hopefully everyone had a chance to review that
- 8 information. I'll try to share my screen. Let's see if
- 9 I can do this. Let's see. I'm not seeing my -- maybe I
- 10 | won't share my screen in terms of pulling this up. Oh, I
- 11 | guess I don't have --
- 12 | Corina, could you call up that --
- AUTOMATED RESPONSE: Hmm? I don't know that.
- MS. LEON: Sorry. That wasn't me. That was Alexa
- 15 is talking to me. Sorry.
- 16 What would you like me to pull up, Commissioner?
- 17 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It is the amendment to
- 18 Assembly Bill number 1761. It is on the handout.
- 19 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Do you want me to pull it
- 20 up. I have it right here.
- 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oh. I think I have it. Is
- 22 | it there?
- MS. LEON: Yes.
- 24 | COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Do you see it. Okay. I
- 25 got it. I found it.

Thank you, Neal. Appreciate that. I phoned a friend, so appreciate that.

So this is a potential. It's already gone through the Legislative Council, and this is addressing one of the Legislative items that, as a Commission, we voted to move forward and hopefully find an author. And as you see in Section 8253 -- I've got to move my -- 8253, let's see, (a)(4), the item that is underlined,

the member serving as chair and vice chair as part of
this process." And that's the language that they came up
with -- excuse me -- to address being more specific as to
the ability to rotate the chair and vice chair.

"Notwithstanding any other law, the Commission may rotate

And so what we're requesting, hopefully, is a motion to approve the language. And we are still trying to see if we can talk to the author of 1761 to see if we can add this into the current bill.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Thank you so much to the subcommittee for this nice add. I like it. I will move that we go forward with it.

22 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Yee has moved. Do I 23 hear a second?

24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Second.

25 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Sinay, seconds.

Discussion: I will take the opportunity to just wonder out loud if this is necessarily something that needs to be in legislation, or if it could be, theoretically, in a definition in the form of regulation.

We still haven't resolved the question of who is responsible for putting things through the regulatory process on behalf of the Commission; whether it's the Commission, or the California State Auditor, or Secretary of State, or some other one, or whether the Commission needs to seek regulatory authority.

I'm just wondering if this is something that is better in a regulation than in the Government Code. I'm not objecting it -- objecting to it being in the Government Code, I'm just raising that question.

Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, very similar thoughts, Commissioner Kennedy. I appreciate that it is in here, and it does say that it can't be the same party. So I see why this is where we're addressing chair and vice chair. My only issue is the same bill that we just wrote that we're going to authorize to move forward; is this going to change that at all? I don't believe it actually is.

But I mean, if they say, no, you can't put it in there, or actually wanted to say something different,

1 then obviously that may completely change or authorization in it to go forward with supporting the 3 bill. So it's a little tricky. I'm assuming that you're going to the same author of 4 5 the bill to say: Hey, you're doing this; can you do this, too? 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, we're going to do that. But we've also talked about if this would change 8 9 it substantively from the current bill, then we would 10 seek another bill for the language, because we don't want 11 to -- right now, the current language is just going 12 through a consent calendar. And if this is going to 13 change it substantively is what -- if that's their 14 determination then we would see to have another bill. 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Got it. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. 17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Fernandez, has there 18 been any discussion of doing this through a regulatory 19 process? 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. There hasn't been a 21 discussion in terms of having regulatory authority, I've 22 talked briefly with Chief Counsel Pane, and that seems to 2.3 be kind of an arduous task on the agency that has that 24 regulatory responsibility. So we haven't even looked

into what that process would entail.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Right. Right. Well, you know, this is -- has been a common theme for me for, you know, many, many months, is: Do we want regulatory authority? We've had some discussion of it that has generally been inconclusive. We've had some discussion of whether others, such as the California State Auditor, or the Secretary of State, could use their regulatory authority, on our behalf, to do something like this, again, without any conclusive end to the discussion.

You know, it's clear that the State Auditor has regulatory authority when it comes to issues relating to the recruitment and selection of the Commission. But we know that their -- the regulations that they developed, basically, stop at the point at which the full Commission comes into existence.

And the only thing that comes, chronologically, after the full Commission is in existence, as far as the regulations put in place by California's State Auditor, has to do with the replacement of commissioners; again, something in which the California State Auditor has a major role.

So we still -- you know, maybe it would be useful for the Legislative Affairs Subcommittee to, just in its ongoing contacts with folks in the Legislature, just pose the question, you know: Is regulatory authority something

1 that would be appropriate for the Commission to have? 2 Commissioner Fornaciari. 3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I quess you kind of 4 answered my question, or concern, or whatever. I mean, I 5 quess my question was: Do we have to get granted regulatory authority over our stuff? Or do we just 6 7 magically have it? CHAIR KENNEDY: Tim, I'll refer that one to you? 9 COUNSEL TREICHELT: Thank you, Chair. 10 regulatory authority is granted, and the Office of 11 Administrative Law is in charge of the determination of 12 authority. We're not listed because the Commission is not listed, because it has not been granted. As was 13 14 mentioned, the initial -- the creation of the new 15 Commission was done through the Department of Finance. 16 They do have regulatory authority. I think that's the 17 closest thing that exists to the regulatory authority 18 that affects, or could affect the Commission. 19 So it needs to be granted. It could have been 20 granted in the initiative. I don't think it was. The 21 Legislature could grant it. But absent having it, I 22 don't believe it's possible to promulgate regulations. 23 I'll say, with one exception, and that is with our 24 Conflict of Interest Code that was recently submitted to 25 Secretary of State for final determination, or final

1 acceptance.

2.3

And that was based upon the regulatory authority that existed for the Fair Political Practices Commission.

Okay. So we were able to adopt, you could adopt then a code because it was required by the FPPC, and that was a regulation, and as a regulation, it's listed as being, affecting the Commission.

I hope that's not too confusing. But any questions?

CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah, I just have a quick one, to follow through on that. You mentioned that it could have been granted in the setup, that would be the Constitutional change that we could -- did have it set up? I mean, in terms of --

COUNSEL TREICHELT: Well, I believe the

Legislature -- the Legislature, as has been suggested,

Legislature could grant regulatory authority. It could

have been granted in the initiative, or specified. But

at this point, the Legislature has the power to grant

regulatory authority.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. So to proceed with this, we would have to go to Legislature.

COUNSEL TREICHELT: That's my understanding.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. And regulations can be as simple as definitions. I mean, if you look at the

1 regulations that currently apply to the Commission, a substantial chunk of those regulations consist of definitions. And so things like this, you know, that add 3 4 some more specificity to the language that's already in 5 Government Code, you know, can often appropriately be put in place through the regulatory process, rather than 6 7 having to go through the Legislative process. In this case, you know, I would tend to agree that it may -- I would tend to agree with the Subcommittee 10 that it may just be easier for us, at this point, to seek 11 to move this through the Legislative process. 12 The question then becomes: Is there any scenario in 13 which you might want to undo this? And I would say, I 14 would say no. So I don't see any harm in trying to move 15 it through the regulatory -- I'm sorry -- through the 16 Legislative process. 17 I just continue to believe that there are things, such as definitions, that the Commission may eventually 18 19 want to have regulatory authority be able to move things, 20 such as this, though. 21 So having said that, back to the subcommittee. 22 Commissioner Fernandez. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Thank you. Thank 24 you, Chair. Yes. So what we're really approving right

now is the language that is there that's gone through the

1 Legislative Council. We can pose the question to our Legislative partners at our next meeting as to what the 3 regulatory authority, what that would consist of, and 4 what the process is to obtain that authority, depending 5 on how -- and then we'll report back, at our next 6 meeting. 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Fair enough?. 8 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: But again, I don't see that as 10 necessarily standing in the way of moving this through 11 the Legislative process. I'm okay with moving this 12 through the Legislative process, unless Legal Counsel 13 tells us otherwise. And I'd be happy to entertain a 14 motion to seek this change to 1761. 15 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or another bill. 16 necessarily 1761, we're approving the language; it might 17 go into a different bill, but we're approving the 18 language. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Yeah. And so I 21 guess just public comment then at this point, or any 22 other comments. 2.3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Corina, do we have a motion 24 in your motion format?

Can I end share, now,

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:

1 Chair. 2 CHAIR KENNEDY: -- format. Yes, please. Corina? 3 4 MS. LEON: Let me unmute. Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And just say, "Approve the language." We're not -- I don't want to say that it's 6 7 specific to Assembly Bill 1761 in case --8 MS. LEON: Okay. 9 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. And it would 10 be: To approve the language related to the ability to 11 rotate the chair and vice chair. MS. LEON: Improve the language to rotate --12 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Can we say, "Regarding rotation of"? 14 We are not mandating, we are allowing. Okay. And so --15 okay. 16 MS. LEON: Please, do want to keep this "Seeking current author" or "Seek another bill"? 17 18 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. Take that off because 19 we --20 MS. LEON: Okay. 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- the motion is to approve 22 the language. 2.3 MS. LEON: Okay. 24 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. 25 MS. LEON: Okay.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think; Commissioner Yee, 2 and Sinay. 3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Yee, are you good with 4 this? 5 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes, all good. Thank you. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Sinay. 6 7 COMMISSIONER SINAY: (No verbal response). COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: She has thumbs up. 8 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thumbs up. Okay; so any further 10 discussion among Commissioners? 11 Not seeing any. Kristian, could you call for public 12 comment on this, please? 13 PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Yes, Chair. 14 The Commission will now take public comment on the 15 motion on the floor. To give comment, please call 877-16 853-5247, and enter meeting ID number 84694124372. Once you've dialed in, please press star 9 to enter the 17 18 comment queue. The full call-in instructions are read at 19 the beginning of the meeting and are provided on the live 20 stream landing page. 21 And there's no one in the queue at this time. 22 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. We'll wait for the 23 instructions to finish, and a few seconds for folks to 24 dial in. 25

PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Those instructions are

- 1 | complete, and there is no one in the queue.
- 2 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay, Corina, can you proceed
- 3 with taking the vote?
- 4 MS. LEON: Sure. Commissioner Ahmad.
- 5 COMMISSIONER AHMAD: Yes.
- 6 MS. LEON: Thank you. Commissioner Akutagawa.
- 7 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Yes.
- 8 MS. LEON: Commissioner Andersen.
- 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
- 10 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fernandez.
- 11 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes.
- 12 MS. LEON: Commissioner Fornaciari.
- 13 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes.
- 14 MS. LEON: Commissioner Kennedy.
- 15 COMMISSIONER KENNEDY: Yes.
- 16 MS. LEON: Commissioner Le Mons.
- 17 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yes.
- 18 MS. LEON: Commissioner Sadhwani.
- 19 Commissioner Sinay.
- 20 | COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes.
- 21 MS. LEON: Commissioner Taylor.
- 22 Commissioner Toledo.
- 23 COMMISSIONER TOLEDO: Yes.
- 24 MS. LEON: Commissioner Turner.
- 25 Commissioner Vazquez.

1 COMMISSIONER VAZOUEZ: Yes. 2 MS. LEON: Commissioner Yee. COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. 3 4 MS. LEON: Okay. It looks good. 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. The special vote passes. Thank you, to the Legislative Affairs Subcommittee 6 7 for that. Next item? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We are not done yet. 8 9 CHAIR KENNEDY: I saying next item of yours. 10 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Sorry. I know you 11 wish we were done yet, but. 12 This is important work. CHAIR KENNEDY: No, no. 13 mean, as far as post-mapping work, I think the 14 Legislative work of the Commission is certainly among our 15 most important activity in this phase of our process. 16 thank you to the subcommittee. 17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you, and thank 18 everyone for supporting us as we move forward. 19 just want to give an update on the proposed Legislative 20 That's one of the handouts that we had. changes. Those 21 are the items that we've agreed to move forward. 22 And just a quick update in terms of the reallocation 23 of the state incarcerated people, that did get resolved 24 last year in AB 1848. 25 The second one regarding the reallocation of

federally incarcerated people, as of today, we haven't
heard back from Senator Padilla's office. We continue to
reach out to his office, and his staff, and
unfortunately, we haven't had much traction there. We

2.3

will continue to do that.

The third item is empowering the Commission to make grants for prospective work. There wasn't any language passed in the last cycle for us to use for this grant authority, but we're tracking it in the 2023, and there might be a couple of areas that we can piggyback off some of the language, if it passes.

The fourth item is exempting the Commission from state procurement and contracting regulations. We're working with our Legislative partners on some of that language, and it looks like what we're coming forward with, potentially, would be that Department of General Services would give priority to future Commissions during — from inception of the new Commissioners to the final maps, DGS would give priority, in terms of processing any of their contracts. Unless there's some sort of, you know, statewide emergency, health emergency, that would trump that, or I shouldn't say "trump", that would, whatever.

Anyway, clarifying what a day is. We just did that.

So that's moving forward. So thank you for that.

1 The sixth item was ability to hire outside counsel without the Attorney General's prior approval. we've been unsuccessful in finding an author. I'm 3 4 thinking this -- we may not be able to go forward with 5 this if we can't find an author. Fortunately, on the flip side of it, the Attorney General's Office has agreed 6 7 to a thousand free hours to the Commission in terms of their service. 8 And then the last item is what we just voted on, was 10 the language noting: That nothing impedes the Commission 11 from rotating the chair and vice chair. 12 So that's just an update on all of the items. 13 Again, this was posted on the website, so all that 14 information is available to everyone. 15 And then the second spreadsheet that we have out 16 there, is the potential Legislative changes that pretty 17 much just documents our journey for the last year-and-a-18 half, or two years, or however long it's been, two 19 decades. I'm not sure, Linda, probably it felt like a 20 couple of decades. 21 But really, the only items I've want to draw your 22 attention to is on page 4, is the areas requiring further 2.3 discussion. The first item there --24 Commissioner Sinay, I'm sorry. Did you have a Oh.

25

question?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Well, I just wanted and make a statement. Regarding the prison gerrymandering, I think our approach originally was to go through Senator Padilla, and that he could make -- maybe move something nationally. But I wanted you all to know that there is a national movement right now that's really pushing the census, going straight to the census versus to the Legislature.

And that the National Council on State Legislatures (sic) has done a report on this, and the Prison Policy

(sic) has done a report on this, and the Prison Policy
Initiative had some feedback on it. And I thought at one
point we had a subcommittee that was focused just on the
prison gerrymandering piece. And maybe it's time to
work, you know, collectively, with those entities that
are pushing the census, because it looks like they're
having some traction. And I will -- and I just want to
leave it at that.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. Thank you. And I'm part of that Federal Incarcerated Subcommittee. So thank you, Commissioner Sinay.

And actually, what we were reaching out to the Senator Padilla's office, we were just trying to find a contact for the Federal Bureau of Prisons, so we could, you know, work directly with them. But it's good information. So if you can forward that to me. That

would be greatly appreciated. Thank you so much.

2.0

2.3

Okay. So then we go to the other document regarding potential Legislative changes, page 4. The first item is the earlier start date for Commissioners. We did have a -- Commissioner Akutagawa and I did meet with the new State Auditor on April 14th.

And so I will turn it over to Commissioner

Akutagawa, to give you a briefing on that meeting.

COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. So we didn't have a long meeting. It was scheduled for, you know, a brief -- a brief time. It was more of a meet and greet. And what we did talk about is, we first started with sharing our appreciation to the State Auditor for the work. Part of what we wanted them to also know and understand is that we know that there was more work that was conducted by them, and that they were much more involved than I think we were led to believe. And so we wanted to ensure that we did recognize and appreciate the work that the State Auditor's Office did.

We also shared with them, based on our Commission's conversations, we shared with them that we, as a Commission, are willing to help or provide support towards, you know, the recruitment, particularly of the 2030 Commission. Helping to help do -- work with the State Auditor to ensure that when the 2030 Commission is

seated, they will be as best positioned to hit the ground running.

2.3

And we did offer, you know, just some ideas that we had, but we also made clear that we're going to be taking their lead as well, too.

The new State Auditor also mentioned that he is hiring a new Chief of Legislative Affairs. And part of the reason why he mentioned that is that, that is likely the person that we may be coordinating with. It is also, just so that, for the full Commission's understanding, one of the things that we did take away is, we're still a little early in the process for them to start doing anything.

But we thought it would be important to share with the new State Auditor, and you know, any of the staff that will be -- you know, will be, later, working with that; you know, we are interested in. So that way, at least we wanted to put that seed.

I think, looking at the future, we're probably, you know, at least as Commissioner Fernandez and I are thinking, we are probably going to try to circle back to them around the 2028, I think, time frame, when I think they start to think a little bit more.

But right now, it was clear to them and clear to us,
that it's a little too early -- a little too soon right

now to actually start talking on, you know, what actually will happen.

2.3

The last thing I want to mention, in terms of the State Auditor, is he did mention that he will -- the State Auditor does take, as it pertains to us particularly, they do take direction from the Legislature.

And I think as it relates to, you know, can the new -- the 2030 Commission start earlier. You know, based on the conversations that we've had, and they were also aware of the panel that we had with the potential -- or the community-based organizations that we had, asking them about, you know: What's their thought about an earlier start date? What are the considerations that we would need to have? They were aware of that as well too.

Right now, I think, because they do also take direction from the Legislature, I think there's also going to be, in the future, some feeling out of the Legislature to see, you know, what their thoughts are around an earlier or slightly, even slightly earlier start date than what is currently mandated by the Constitution.

So I think right now, in conclusion, still too early. We had a nice conversation. They are aware of our offer to be helpful in as best the ways they want.

1 And we will be circling back with them. And also we'll continue our conversations with our Legislative partners that we're working with on the legislation. And as it 3 4 gets closer, we'll also be talking about earlier start 5 dates, and you know, what that might look like. How 6 early is early, so. 7 CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good. Thank you so much for 8 that important update. 9 Commissioner Andersen. 10 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you. That was very interesting, actually. The one thing that --11 12 because I think this is the only item that we're actually 13 working with the Legislature -- the State Auditor on 14 directly, is training. 15 That's one item, you know, we'd like to get involved 16 You know, if there's some training items that the 17 State Auditor will do, which we really think aren't there 18 specifically, I'm talking about administrative training, 19 for the new Commission, which we didn't get any of. 20 I think that would be very important. 21 I don't know if that is in this process, or where 22 that occurs. Is that just in our Continuity Subcommittee 2.3 or --24 COMMISSIONER SINAY: (No verbal response).

(No verbal response).

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: I'm getting a couple of nods 2 from that subcommittee. Okay. Thank you very much. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you, Commissioner 3 4 Andersen. Commissioner Yee. 5 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah. Thank you to the subcommittee, especially for the meeting with the new 6 7 Auditor. And just to mention so that the 3-R Report, as it currently stands, recommends a four- to six-month 8 9 earlier start than we had. There's no actually mandated 10 start date. It's only formation by dates. 11 And in our reading, in theory, the Commission could 12 start as early as January 1st, in a (indiscernible) zero 13 year. So we're recommending, basically, sometime between 14 January and March, I guess, as how I would read in our 15 report. But I can understand that the Auditor is really 16 just not ready to think concretely about that. 17 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Yee. 18 Commissioner Sinay. 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Kudos to the subcommittee for 20 meeting with the actual Auditor, the new Auditor. I 21 think that that's just really great that the Auditor, you 22 know, thought enough about us to meet with you directly 2.3 even if it was early on their time line; so kudos on 24 that.

Commissioner Yee brings up something that, of

course, isn't from this item, but on the recommendations, I still feel like recommendations are being made in that report, but we haven't all agreed on. So I just -- I do want to bring that up again, because I'm just a little concerned on things that are being put out there as recommendations from the Commission, which haven't necessarily been approved. Because when we have the conversation with the groups and stuff, we said we would discuss that later because we needed to have a longer conversation.

2.3

So again, I'd like to bring up that, please, if we could have a document that has all the recommendations, and we can see if there's consensus, or just whatever we want to call it. But I'm concerned to have a document out there that says "recommendations", when we haven't all agreed upon them.

CHAIR KENNEDY: Very good. Thank you for that. I wanted to go back to the list of -- and I forget whether it's potential, or proposed, and particularly the ability to hire outside counsel without the AG's prior approval, it was number 6 on the list of proposed Legislative changes.

And I am understanding, from the subcommittee, that we are looking for an author; and I'm wondering, have we all, as individual Californians, looked at the Committee

1 assignments of our respective Assembly members, and senators, and I'm just wondering if any of us, individually, as a citizen, might have a way in to see, 3 4 or speak with, an Assembly member, or senator, to see if 5 we might be able to get some traction on this. I mean, to me, this is one of those areas, along 6 7 with the budget, where our independence, which is supposed to be one of our chief features, is or can 8 theoretically be substantially constrained, unless and 10 until we get this kind of exemption, which is not unusual 11 within the State Government, in that there are other 12 entities in the state that do not require approval from 13 the Office of the Attorney General to hire outside 14 counsel. 15 And again, if we are to be a true Independent 16 Commission, I would advocate that this be one of our top priorities, Legislatively, before the 2030 cohort take 17 18 office. So just looking for thoughts on that, or if 19 nothing else, again to express my support for 20 prioritizing that issue on the list of proposed 21 Legislative changes. Thank you. Subcommittee? 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you. Yeah. I mean, 23 if anyone knows, if they can reach out to the 24 Assemblyman, or Assembly member, or Senate member, that 25 would be great. Okay.

1 So moving on; we move on to page 5 of that document that -- items that are still outstanding, one of them is 3 the Commissioner compensation in that one. Back in 4 February we tabled it, since there was a crucial need to 5 get this done right away and it would probably require quite a bit of work. It's deferred to a later date. 6 7 And then the last item that was added on the list that has yet to be determined which direction it's going 8 to go in, is maintain the website for ten years. And 10 that was at our March 13th meeting. We added it to the 11 list. 12 Right now, the County Board of Supervisors; have the 13 requirement to maintain their redistricting website for 14 ten years. And I know that we -- I don't know if it 15 was -- I can't remember if it was Chair Kennedy, or if it 16 was Chair Taylor, directed the Chief Counsel to review 17 the code language and report back. 18 And I don't know. Tim, did Anthony pass that on to 19 you, that information? COUNSEL TREICHELT: Yeah. Yeah. Yes. Anthony 21 shared that in review of the Election's Code section, he 22 noted that it did specify "maintain at the local level", 23 the "County Board of Supervisors to maintain", that was 24 specified. And also that the legislation was specific to

2.0

25

the County Board of Supervisors, the county level, you

- 1 know, so that is to be considered when trying to cite
  2 that legislation, of that code section for the
  3 Commission.
  4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
- 5 COUNSEL TREICHELT: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I did also want to point out that we do -- there is funding in our -- that has been approved, for website and IT maintenance for the next -- because that's ongoing -- so we do have funding in there for that purpose. Whether or not we wanted to go forward and try to get the Legislative change in this area. That's up to the Commission. I don't know if there's discussion on this.

14 CHAIR KENNEDY: Commissioner Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Representing the Website Subcommittee, I would really like it, because considering how we have to fight to get the funding, and you know, basically, the IT support that we need to do this, and for precedence. Because, you know, the technology that we have dealt with, you know, is quantum leaps more them the 2010, and the 2030, it's going to be more.

You know, and so I really think that that would help us not just be dissolved for three years which, essentially, is what most people, and pretty much, you know, the Department of Finance, believe that we indeed

- do. If we have a requirement, that we actually must
  maintain our website for ten years, I think that will
  only help us.

  And I mean, help us, just not just: Boy, help us,
  - but it would help the entire Commission moving forward.

    So I would really like to see that added somewhere.

2.3

- Again, I don't know where that would go in terms of if that's more regulatory I don't -- I'm not quite sure. But yeah, I would like to see that report.
- CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Andersen. I think in addition to the justification of budget after the initial three-year period, there's also the issue of just general harmonization between the requirements for local redistricting in California, and the requirements for state redistricting.
- This Commission was established first, when lessons were learned, and I feel like some of those Lessons

  Learned were incorporated when they -- the legal framework was established for -- or updated for local redistricting in the state.
- So in essence, local redistricting has benefited, and has leapfrogged over an older legal framework that exists for this Commission. And so to the extent that we can harmonize those legal frameworks, it will, (a) reduce confusion within the state, within the population of

California; and (b), also just bring us up to, in some areas, the level that local redistricting achieved on the basis of some early Lessons Learned at the state redistricting level.

Commissioner Sinay.

2.3

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I think what you -- I've been doing a lot of thinking on this, and not just at the -- you know, how you have said, harmonize the local with the state, but we -- one of the frustrations we have as one of the first commissions, Independent Redistricting Commission that was created in the country, is that it was an experiment, and something -- you know, ninety-five percent worked really well, there's five percent that --

And you hear that when listening in on conversations, because that's what I'm doing for my projects. You know, people say: Well, California was good, except: Don't do this, or don't do that. And you know, so there is also Lessons Learned regarding our Commission, as others are creating Commissions are implementing.

And I go back to that question, and that just keeps coming up, when we're looking at the Legislative changes and not -- is, at what point do we make those changes that, yeah, we know people feel that is needed. And we've said we don't want to make a Constitutional change

1 because it's a big -- it's a big lift. But I do want to put it out there that it's not just 3 us who says: Oh, it would be great if it was fifteen 4 people, or it would be great if we had three, three, and 5 three -- you know, or be five, five, five. 6 A lot of the things we are saying; is being said 7 nationally as well. And so I'm not sure if ever we have that conversation of what can we do to harmonize, not 8 just with the state -- I mean, with the local 10 Redistricting Commissions, but nationally, some of those 11 Lessons Learned. 12 And a lot of that is what's going to be discussed at 13 the conference in September, talking with other 14 commissioners nationally. But I just wanted to put it 15 out there that, we're great and -- but a lot was learned 16 by us. And unfortunately, the way we were set up makes it very difficult to change some of the things that could 17 18 make us even better. 19 CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. Any 20 further -- anything further from the subcommittee? 21 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I think that's it. 22 CHAIR KENNEDY: Perfect. We are right on schedule. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Okay. On this last 24 item, though, are we keeping it on this spreadsheet, or

moving it forward? I'm just trying to -- but I think

1 that's probably the last piece of it. Is it something that we're ready to move forward, or we just want to keep 3 on the spreadsheet to discuss at future meetings? That's 4 the only other item that needs to be -- action item for 5 Thank you. us. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Andersen. 6 7 I would like us to COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. 8 move forward. I would like us to take it off -- well, 9 we'll kick it down the road again. I would like to move 10 this forward. I don't know if there's anything --11 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: -- if I proposed something 12 13 or -- I don't think we are -- I think just talking about 14 it, moving it on to the other list is what I'd like to 15 do. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And I do want to mention 17 that we did, we did mention this item to our -- in our Legislative Meetings, our biweekly meetings, and the 18 19 feedback we received was: Yes, the counties have to 20 maintain a website. But it doesn't mean that they're 21 continually updating it. 22 They're maintaining the data, and the information 23 that they obtained during the redistricting cycle, and 24 that's being maintained. They're not necessarily -- you

know, it's not like a, you're continually updating it,

1 making it better, it's stagnant, you've got the 2 information; and that's like the bare requirement. 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. 4 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But that's just it. that is not what happens. If you don't actually 6 7 maintain, you know, that data, it crashes, because just as you get updates for, you know, every bit of software 8 9 that comes through: Oh, here's another update. If you 10 don't follow that through, you are not keeping that data. 11 And that is what happened to us. We actually 12 thought: Oh, great, we've got our data, we got our 13 website; but no. And so that's why we need this to be 14 maintained. And it doesn't -- by being maintained and 15 updated, they think: Oh, you're making a brand new 16 website. No. There are many, many different softwares, 17 and changes, even changes with who is hosting it, that 18 you have to go -- then go in, do technical updates just 19 to keep it where it is. It doesn't mean you're creating 2.0 a new website. 21 And that's where there's a bit of a misnomer here. 22 And what's happened is we've gotten -- we've suffered 2.3 because of it. And that's why I really want to put this 24 Yes, we maintain it all the way through. Or even, 25 even if we then, say, define "maintain". Keep it

1 functional, maintenance -- maintained and functional, maintenance and functionality. But no, I don't know. 3 Does that mean we need to make a motion here, or we just 4 move it forward? COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: How we've done in the past, 5 it's the Chair -- it's at the Chair's discretion based on 6 7 the feedback. It's how we've moved things forward. CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay, good. Commissioner 8 9 Fornaciari. 10 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I have something different 11 to talk about, so you can go ahead and finalize this 12 topic. 13 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Then I would concur with 14 Commissioner Andersen, and ask the subcommittee to 15 proceed with this item. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And with that, we're 17 done, I believe. Commissioner Akutagawa, do we have 18 anything else? 19 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: That's it. 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Commissioner Fornaciari. 21 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. Earlier in the 22 meeting, we talked about potentially entertaining a 23 motion during the Legislative Committee conversation 24 about us writing letters of support to Bagley-Keene --25 for the Bagley-Keene Bill. Are you open to that at this

1 point, Chair? Or do you want to -- I mean, it's in the -- the Bagley-Keene Committee is in the agenda. when do we want to address that, sir? 3 CHAIR KENNEDY: Let's address that in the final 4 5 block. I will put that note. And we will get to it later in the day. Since that subcommittee is on the 6 7 agenda, we'll be able to cover that. Commissioner Andersen. 8 9 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Just a quick procedural 10 question. Would that require a special vote; or just a 11 majority? CHAIR KENNEDY: As long as we're not voting specific 12 13 language that is part of the Government Code Chapter 14 relating to this Commission, my understanding is it would 15 not require special voting. If it is -- if we are 16 proposing specific changes to the Government Code Chapter 17 relating to the Commission, then that does require a 18 special vote. Tim? 19 COUNSEL TREICHELT: I believe that's correct. 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Thank you. Okay. So at this 21 point, we have the Continuity Subcommittee until 12:45 22 when we break for lunch. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Are you nodding at me to 24 qo, or?

(No verbal response).

COMMISSIONER SINAY:

1 CHAIR KENNEDY: Please. 2 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Well, thank you, 3 Commissioner Sinay. I appreciate that. I think I'm just 4 going to -- I can make this pretty simple. I think we --5 I'm just going to quote Commissioner Akutagawa, and she said something a number of times, you know, and she said, 6 7 "It's still too early." And I think I mean, a lot of -- if you guys have 8 9 taken a look at, I can pull it up here -- where are we? 10 Now, I have to find it. Sorry. I had it right here. 11 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Do you want me to do it really 12 quick? 13 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, I've got it. 14 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. 15 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: No. That's not it. Maybe 16 you should go. Do you have it handy? 17 COMMISSIONER SINAY: Yes. But you did have it up, 18 by the way. 19 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh. I did. I thought I 20 had the --21 COMMISSIONER SINAY: That's right. 22 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. Let me try again. 2.3 COMMISSIONER SINAY: But here it is. I got it. 24 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Okay. So you know, this

is a sort of map of the next seven years for the

Commission. And you know, we spent a lot of time
thinking about what the schedule would look like, or what
actions need to be taken when. A lot of it revolves
around whether or not we're going to -- well, the
question revolves around whether or not we're going to
propose to move the schedule up.

And in just thinking about it and working through it; it seemed to Commissioner Sinay and myself that there's still a lot of questions that needed to be answered, and a lot of support and agreement that we need in order to move the schedule forward.

And you heard from the Legislative Committee that, you know, while the Auditor, you know, is open to moving the schedule forward, and will do what the Legislature wants, they're not quite ready to think about it deeply. The Legislature is not quite ready to think about it deeply either.

So in that context, we put together this sort of notional schedule about what decisions need to be made when, and so you know, we kind of feel like now the -- really what needs to be done it's kind of, you know, continue to work on Legislative changes, update the RFPs, if they're not updated, or needed to be updated, while we still remember, or before we forget.

Update the appropriate job descriptions. I know

1 that Anthony updated his job description. Alvaro was supposed to look at his. I'm not sure if that happened. I'm not sure if our other two executive level staff --3 4 I'm having a little brain problem here -- updated theirs, 5 but those need to be looked at. You know, really the line drawer, or VRA, or litigation counsel, should be 6 7 reviewed, and updated if necessary. But just do that now. The appropriate committees 9 can take those on. You know, final --10 Are Fredy and Mercy, thank you. I appreciate that. You know, finalize the 3-R Committee, and post map 11 12 or -- oh, that's this. And yes. And then in 2024, this 13 Committee would begin conversation with the complete 14 count. They're going to begin to stand up, and let them 15 know we're interested in working together. That would 16 continue to 2025. 17 So you know, the next couple of years didn't seem 18 like there's a real lot of work going on. I mean, we 19 probably continue with Legislative changes, as needed, 20 but then, you know, begin to ramp back up in 2026 21 because, you know, that's probably when we begin to need 22 to think about what the budget looks like for the 23 preceding year, right. 24 And so you know, we need to -- we would need to

decide as a Commission what we want to propose the time

1 line to be, and work with JBLC, and the State Auditor to try to get their concurrence with moving the time line 3 forward. And then work on that what the budget would 4 look like, right, because the State Auditor has to start 5 twenty-four months before the Commission is seated. so we start in this for the 2027/'28 budget. 6 And then, you know, '27, finalize negotiation with 7 the State Auditor, and in 2028, you know, if we get 8 agreement on that. We're updating the training, support 10 the public education effort, look at civic engagement, 11 technology, and tools, and how we would -- how the next 12 Commission can gather input. You know, and then the 13 selection process would begin, you know, the very 14 earliest, in January of '28. 15 And then '29 prepare training workshops for the 16 Commissioners, help work with -- to prepare the binders, 17 you know, administrative stuff, policies, and these kinds 18 of things that need to be done in '28/'29. 19 But again, I'll just say, you know, we kind of felt 20 it was just too soon to -- that we didn't need to make a 21 decision yet. And the folks who need to support that 22 decision aren't, aren't ready to engage in that 23 conversation anyway. 24 So Commissioner Sinay.

Yeah.

I think, yeah, a long

COMMISSIONER SINAY:

1 time ago, Commissioner Le Mons, and I think it was -- and a few of you had asked us for a seven-year plan. I know we took the long route to kind of get here, but we --3 everything we did is going to help us later on, the 4 5 surveys we've done, and the conversations we've had. here is the seven-year plan we promised you all. 6 7 Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. CHAIR KENNEDY: I am going to be ducking out for the next two hours, and handing over to Vice Chair Le Mons. But before I go, I 10 wanted to say that I was contemplating some of this last 11 week, and came up with what might be an interesting 12 approach as far as supporting the 2030 Commission, with 13 recruitment of key staff. 14 And so rather than the approach from last time where the Auditor's Office hosted actual vacancy announcements, 15 16 and basically presented us with: Okay, here are people 17 who replied to the vacancy announcement that we posted. 18 Or even, you know, the idea of: We've posted it, but if 19 you want to take it down and post another one, you can. 20 My thought was: What if we simply posted a call for 21 expressions of interest, with the body text of that call 22 for expressions of interest being our best guess as to 2.3 what, you know, might be involved. 24 But it's not a vacancy announcement. It's a call

for expressions of interest, to build a list of potential

```
1
    candidates, who would be, you know, an audience to send
    the vacancy announcement to once the 2030 Commission
 3
    finalizes the vacancy announcement.
 4
         So my thinking was: How can we -- how can we give
 5
    them a leg up without, in any way, interfering with their
    independence?
 6
 7
         So I just wanted to put that on the table. I'll see
    you all, hopefully, in two hours.
 8
 9
         And Commissioner Le Mons, take it away.
10
         VICE CHAIR LE MONS:
                              All right.
         COMMISSIONER SINAY: Commissioner Le Mons, can I
11
12
    respond as to what --
13
         VICE CHAIR LE MONS:
                              Sure.
14
         COMMISSIONER SINAY:
                              -- Commissioner Kennedy said?
15
         VICE CHAIR LE MONS:
                              Of course.
16
         COMMISSIONER SINAY:
                              Thanks. Obviously, you know,
17
    one of the things that we purposely have done is, we're
18
    not going to have these discussions deeply until later on
19
    because there're different pieces. But we do want those
20
    ideas, those creative ideas; that allows Commissioner
21
    Fornaciari and I to do research. And when we're ready to
22
    actually make decisions, we'll be able to look at the
23
    different options.
24
         So any time you wake up in the middle of the night
```

and have an idea like that, feel free to send it over to

Commissioner Fornaciari, or I. You can't send it to both 1 of us, because then it would be a meeting, but either one of us, and we'll collect them. We are keeping a good 3 4 file of these things. 5 The other piece I wanted to correct is, at the top 6 two letters had dropped out. It should say, "A staff 7 work plan still needs to be created, " not "Not a 'sta' 8 work plan." So I apologize for that. 9 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. But if you wake up 10 in the middle of the night, wait until the morning to 11 send your ideas. Thank you. 12 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you. Anything else, 13 Commissioner Fornaciari and Commissioner Sinay? 14 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Commissioner Andersen 15 looks like she has a question. 16 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Commissioner Andersen. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you. Yeah, this is 18 great. I really like, you know, you kind of put all the 19 pieces, and kind of gave a location, which is really 20 wonderful. I just want to add a couple of items in 21 the -- you know, the RFPs, and the job description for 22 right now. The data manager, the line drawing, those we 2.3 can kind of have a look at now. It's kind of a waste of 24 time, though, to update it, because all the technology

25

will be out of date.

1 So those actually need to be moved to like -actually probably '27. And the reason I'm saying that 3 is, say you have some of these ideas, begin to explore 4 the technology tools, is DGS, because to do any of this, 5 you know there's -- you know, several months if you need to hire anyone to actually look at any of the stuff. 6 7 So I'm thinking the -- you know, an IT manager, we need to write that job description, and those items for 8 the RFPs will move forward. And I'm thinking, we have it 10 done in '28 for looking at those items. I think that would be really early-'28, or possibly late-'27 depending 11 12 on, as we've already mentioned, the early start date. 13 So I just want to kind of bring those up in terms of 14 those items will require more lead time than they did get 15 before. 16 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you, Commissioner 17 Andersen. 18 Commissioner Sinay. 19 COMMISSIONER SINAY: And just to respond. 20 strongly encourage everybody, all subcommittees, to look 21 at job descriptions and RFPs now, because there may be 22 pieces, and you will also see that we bring them up 23 again, to review again in -- right in 2029, because 24 things may not make sense anymore. And there's research

that we will need to talk to the state, because there

1 | will be all sorts of changes.

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.0

25

So we completely understand that there'll be changes, but we want to make sure that we capture the best of our memory now, even though it's already been two years, and we might be late, but we strongly encourage everybody to do it both times.

7 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you. Are there any other 8 questions or comments on this topic?

So we are coming up on our break in just a bit.

Well, actually, we have about ten minutes. We could go
into our next subcommittee report from Admin and Finance
if we could get started there and then continue --

I'm sorry. Commissioner Sinay, did you have a comment?

COMMISSIONER SINAY: Just a quick question. Do we need -- do we have consensus on this? Does it matter? I don't know what the -- what do we need to do, procedurally, right now?

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: With regard to.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: To the seven-year plan.

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: And what, what are you needing?

What is the subcommittee needing, as it relates to the

23 seven-year plan? Can you give me some more context for

24 your question?

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Does it seemed like a good

idea? I mean, does this look okay, to folks? I mean, I
guess, is what we're thinking. Patricia -- I mean,
Commissioner Sinay?

2.3

- just to help the other subcommittees, when thinking of budgets, and all that stuff. That's why the seven-year plan was asked for. And so we just want to make sure that everybody feels like, okay, it's making sense for right now. We understand it's a moving target, but just that we, as a subcommittee, and other subcommittees, we're all looking at the same work plan, as we're moving forward and also the work plan that needs to be created for staff.
- VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Commissioners? Commissioner

  Andersen.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. I'm sorry. My
comments were, except for pulling when you have to review
all updated RFPs in '29, that's too late for the IT
manager, the data manager, line drawing, those need to be
moved up to '28, and the begin to explore, again for
those items, the need from '28 to go up to '27. So with
those exceptions, I'd say, yeah, that's a great idea.
Oh. And add in "IT manager" under Job Descriptions.

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Other Commissioner feedback?

Commissioner Akutagawa.

1 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: I think, so just to ask the 2 question, I'm figuring that this is kind of like, in an ideal world kind of situation or scenario, right. 3 4 Because obviously, you know, I heard Commissioner 5 Fornaciari say, it's a little too soon, still. So you know, I'm going to figure that there will be adjustments 6 7 that will be made. Okay. I just wanted to make sure. The other question I have for the committee -subcommittee is: Can I figure that what you have here is 10 not necessarily that you, the subcommittee, has to do all 11 this work, but this is just, generally, what the 12 Commission --13 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Oh, yes. 14 COMMISSIONER AKUTAGAWA: Okay. I just wanted to, I 15 think, clarify that. So okay, good; otherwise, you'd be 16 very, very busy, just the two of you. 17 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So I quess, Chair Le Mons, 18 I guess the other thing is, you know, if there's general 19 agreement that, you know, now is a good time to review 20 the RFPs and the job descriptions, you know, I think that 21 those appropriate subcommittees that put the RFPs 22 together would take ownership in reviewing those RFPs, 2.3 and then --24 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Uh-huh. We would to 25 (indiscernible).

1	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: you know, I would it
2	seems to me that, you know, we have a the Executive
3	Director, the Chief Counsel, the Outreach Director, the
4	Communications Director, the IT manager, job descriptions
5	need to be just looked at. And so I guess for the ED
6	maybe it's the Finance Committee, I guess. And Chief
7	Counsel, maybe the Legal Committee can take a look at it.
8	I'm not sure. Maybe for the Outreach Director, and
9	the Communications Director, the Outreach Committee can
10	look at that; and then the Website Committee, maybe the
11	IT manager.
12	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes.
13	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And if that makes sense,
14	then I'd just like to ask those folks on those committees
15	to review those for us before, maybe before the next
16	meeting, if possible.
17	Does that seem reasonable, Chair?
18	VICE CHAIR LE MONS: I think each subcommittee, and
19	it looks like I'm getting general nods that those
20	subcommittee members are open to that. I think some
21	subcommittees probably have priorities currently in their
22	queue that may or may not make this particular task. So
23	I think I would leave that to their discretion. But in
24	terms of general assignment of the review to the
25	respective subcommittees, I would definitely support.

1 And then, whether or not there's any objection to being able to have that done by the next meeting, based 3 on other things that are being worked on, that would be 4 my only caveat. 5 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I mean, I don't think it's critical that they be done by the next 6 7 meeting. It's just, just want to make sure that we, you know, had appropriate belly buttons assigned to the 8 9 different -- the different things. 10 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. Fair enough. Thank you, 11 Commissioner Fornaciari. So yeah, so what we'll do is 12 have each of the committees that would be responsible for 13 the various areas, review those job descriptions 14 associated with their area for report back at our next 15 meeting, if time permits, based on your other priorities. 16 Does that feel okay there, everyone? Commissioner 17 Andersen. 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. The one item is, of 19 course, where are we going to get them? Because, you 20 know, are they -- I know most of them have been taken 21 down. And I just don't quite know where one would locate 22 all of the job descriptions. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I mean, Corina could (indiscernible) --24 Corina, is Corina still with 25 VICE CHAIR LE MONS:

1	us. I don't see Corina with us right now. But we'll
2	check in with her and see if she has thank you for
3	raising that, Commissioner Andersen; check in with her
4	and see if she has access to those in some type of an
5	archive that we may have. And then we can identify which
6	ones are available, and if there are ones that need to be
7	sought out.
8	Any other thoughts or concerns regarding this?
9	I see none. What we'll do is go on and break for
10	lunch. We're going to break in about three minutes.
11	We'll break a little bit earlier for lunch. And then we
12	come back from lunch, we will start with the Admin and
13	Finance Committee. Is that all right?
14	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: (No verbal response).
15	VICE CHAIR LE MONS: All right. Well, you have one
16	hour and three minutes for your lunch. So see you back
17	at 1:45.
18	(Whereupon, a recess was held from 12:42 p.m.
19	until 1:45 p.m.)
20	VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Welcome back, everyone. I'm
21	Commissioner Le Mons, vice chair of today's meeting.
22	We're not going to I hope everyone had a
23	wonderful lunch. Let's move into our next subcommittee
24	report, which would be from Finance and Administration.
25	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. Neal is taking his

time. Yeah, you're on mute. How convenient.

2.3

We did post the report. I think it's called the Legislative Report, I'm not exactly sure. So that's the report that we are required -- that the Commission is required to submit to the Legislature, and also a copy to Finance, and also posted on our website.

And it's not super long. We tried to keep it as short as possible. We kept it to the very minimum in terms of what's required that we produce.

And there're three areas that the report has to include: One is the actual cost on the Commission's operations. Up to the adoption of the final set of maps, the second one is the actual costs incurred after the adoption of the final maps. And then the third one is the actual cost due to the delay of the census data that impacted -- that was impacted as a result of COVID pandemic.

And so that's what we have forward here for you to review. We do want you to know that the numbers may change somewhat based on -- as we receive additional information, and expenditure information from the fiscal reporting system.

So Terri and Corina have helped us put this together, and tried to forecast what some of those expenditures would be to the end of this fiscal year.

And so what we're hoping -- but I'm not sure if we'll be able to do it, Neal, because I don't know if we have a quorum -- or not a quorum, but enough for a vote -- is to get to the point where we can at least approve the language, and the format, and finalize this, because it is due by June 30th of 2023.

Neal?

2.3

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah. I think that that covers it. Just a little footnote; we had to -- one of the things we had to do was kind of talk about, or estimate what the cost for the COVID delay was. And so we spent a lot of time with Alvaro kind of talking about it, and what does that look like? How are we going to define it? It was you know -- it was kind of hard because there was never, you know, a time where we just weren't actually doing Commission work.

But what we came up with it that we felt made the most sense was, you know, the delay was four-and-a-half months, so we chose the time from mid-December through April, because that was after we had hired the executive staff, but then before we really started staffing up big time for, you know, our public input, and our mapping exercise.

So we thought that four-and-a-half month period would be most representative of the time that -- of the

time for the COVID delay, because, again, we did delay hiring through that time. But we have been staffed up, which is what we would have had to have done anyway, so.

Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY: I was hoping for just -- the purpose of this report is just looking back, or are we trying to make any case for the future? That's my first one?

COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: The purpose of the report is to provide the actual expenditures for the, I guess, the first three years. We did put some information in there in terms of, if there were areas that we were significantly lower as, like for travel, our costs would be completely different had we -- had everyone had to travel to every meeting, so we tried to highlight a few of those. But the purpose of the report; and it's very clear as to what we have to do, we have to report actual costs.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: And yeah, the Legislature is going to use this information as a projection for next time around. And so that's why we included, you know, the bit of the narrative about, you know what, we didn't spend money on that we would have spent money on, most notably, you know, meeting expenses that we would have had, had we had our meetings live.

1	COMMISSIONER SINAY: And so that, so kind of, I feel
2	like you both gave me two different answers. And that's
3	where I was kind of confused, because I was I
4	understand that it's an accurate picture, but it's going
5	to be used for 2030, and therefore, there's some
6	narrative. And so one of my questions was, since we've
7	been talking about adding three to six months, we're not
8	sure, do we need to add that in the narrative, just so we
9	start planting those seeds for the future.
10	On table 2, did we want to add a because we make
11	the argument that we requested the money. It took a long
12	time to get it. So I was just wondering if we wanted to
13	include a column that said when we requested the when
14	we requested the funds, because we have, you know, kind
15	of I think that was my question.
16	And then I got a really what's OE&E, I know you
17	probably had told us before, but I forgot.
18	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It's operating expenses and
19	equipment, so it's everything else that doesn't fit into
20	the categories that we have.
21	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Nonpersonnel?
22	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.
23	COMMISSIONER SINAY: Okay. Well, okay, I get it. I
24	was just like, I guess, like, wracking my brain going:
25	Okay, I have to figure this out. So thank you.

1 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh. VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you, Commissioner Sinay. Does the Committee have the response for Commissioner 3 4 Sinay, with regard to the request date column potential; 5 and other thoughts from other Commissioners? Commissioner Fernandez. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I mean, we could add that column. I think what we're just trying to show is that 8 9 it should have been available July 1st, and it wasn't 10 until, like for one of them, the Budget Act of 2021, but 11 it wasn't released until November. We could go back and 12 try to dig up those dates. 13 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Is that sufficient, 14 Commissioner Sinay, to your thought with regard to the 15 request date? 16 COMMISSIONER SINAY: I guess my thought was that 17 they could say: Well, when did you request it? And so by 18 just putting in that column of when we requested it, it 19 just makes it very clear that we were on top of it, it 20 wasn't on us. But I definitely support whatever the 21 subcommittee and others want. 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And then, I'm sorry, 23 Commissioner Sinay, you also mentioned if we should add 24 like a three to six months. I don't think at this point 25 it's appropriate to add that into this report because

1 that really -- that's really out of our control, and it does not impact our expenditures. And the report is 3 supposed to be just what our expenditures were for the 4 first three years. 5 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Commissioner Andersen. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Thank you, Chair. Yes. 6 The 7 one thing I wanted to ask is, part of the line drawing 8 contract we had with them was, they were supposed to keep 9 track of what they considered to be costs due to the 10 COVID delay. And did you get those numbers from the line 11 drawing? 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No. We just did the 13 expenditures from -- whatever the expenditures were from 14 mid-December to the end of April, that's the expenditures 15 that we used for the COVID. So if there were some 16 invoices from the line drawer or any of our contractors 17 during that time; that would be included. 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Mid-December, which 19 December was that? 2.0 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Mid-December of 2020 to, at 21 the end of April 2021. 22 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. 2.3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: And as Commissioner 24 Fornaciari said, we picked that time frame because that's

when we had our Executive Team was up and running.

1 prior to hiring like, the Outreach, and really up and fully staffing the Commission, it's kind of like that little holding spot, period. 3 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Unfortunately, that 4 5 that will be accurate as far as the -- that's when a lot of the IT was being done, put together, attempting to be 6 7 put together as far as the data going, the data 8 management type, and the line drawers were drug into that, which was not originally part of their contract. So that's kind of a little bit like in the 2010 10 11 Commission, the line drawing had -- there's a huge 12 additional amount because they end up having to do the 13 VRA, which was not included in their contract. 14 And this time around, the line drawers also 15 participated in creating the -- helping us create the 16 data management, which was not originally -- coordination 17 was included, but not the amount that they needed to do to help us get there, because there's a gap that --18 19 Again, it's not expense that we spent, but it will 20 be expenditure for the 2030 in terms of, we spent money 21 for its under quote "line drawing" that I'm afraid is 22 being discounted, that will be spent for the 2030 as far 2.3 as an IT manager. So I don't know how we account for 24 that difference. The IT --25 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Table 3, shows the



1	information in terms of line drawing contract was what
2	some of those contracts were, so I would hope that the
3	Legislature, in the future, takes a look at how much the
4	totals were for some of those contracts.
5	Again, there's not going to be a perfect set of
6	four-and-a-half months that we can pick. This is just
7	the time period that we felt was most appropriate in
8	terms of trying to figure out what that additional four-
9	and-a-half months of delay during the before we drew
10	the final maps. So we didn't want to pick
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And so I yeah.
12	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: We didn't want to pick the
13	months where we were all up and running and fully staffed
14	because that would not be representative of the delay.
15	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right.
16	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Because up and running,
17	fully staffed, that's what every Commission is going
18	every set of Commissioners are going to need.
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Right. So I just want to
20	clarify. You actually took the full amount within those
21	four months and said: Oh, well, that was extra? I mean,
22	because that's not accurate
23	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes, we took the full
24	COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: accurate maybe.
25	COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: we took the full four-

1 and-a-half months, mid-December to end of April, whatever the expenditures were for those -- that time period, that's what we used as COVID. 3 4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. But the --5 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: As COVID related, or census 6 data delay, however you want to say. 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: A bunch of that work we 8 wouldn't have had to just cram into the other time. 9 think that is a little overly conservative on how much it 10 cost us -- for what was only due to COVID. But I know 11 you have to pick something. 12 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: You know, I'd say, Commissioner 13 Andersen, if you have -- if you want to put forward a 14 better formula that you think more accurately depicts the 15 task, because I think to Commissioner -- the 16 Subcommittee's point, unless we have any objections to 17 the approach they took, and then can offer -- the 18 approach, number one, that we find the approach 19 problematic. If there are Commissioners that find that 20 the approach was problematic, let's address that. 21 If you have an alternative approach that you think 22 accurately gets to the task with regard to the COVID 23 delay, then please offer that. Because we're going to 24 have to pick some approach, right? And so I think we

could go back and forth about whether that captures every

single penny, and so on, and so forth, which is not one to be realistic.

So I just asked all Commissioners, if you have a germane -- if you have an objection that's germane to the approach used, let's discuss that. And if you have a recommendation for a better formula or approach, you think can get more accurately to the information, please put that forward.

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. Thank you for that,

Commissioner Le Mons. I'm definitely going to have a

look back at the line drawing and see if there's -- if it

should be proportioned differently, and some other

portions. So thank you. I will forward that to the

subcommittee.

15 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Awesome.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So can I just --

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yes, please jump in,

18 | Commissioner Fornaciari.

COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yes. So I'm sorry,

Commissioner Andersen, I'm not -- we didn't have a line

drawn contract at that point; so there's not contract

costs in this, right. This is staff costs, Commissioner

per diem, meeting costs, and OE&E. I mean, if the

Statewide Database was supporting the definition of the

data, I mean, they were doing it on their dime, right?

1 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Good question. Yes. they were as far as -- let's see -- I can't remember. 3 have to look at the dates in terms of when, we were 4 writing, though, that was the bulk of the time when we 5 were writing the RFP for the line drawing. And so clearly, we were doing a lot of work at that point. 6 7 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Right, right. Everyone, I mean, all of us were doing a lot of work. But you know, just wasn't clear that we could come up with a kind of 10 formula for backing that out, you know, the work. I 11 mean, yeah you're right, in some ways the work -- some of 12 the work that we did was going to have to be done anyway. 13 So yeah, I mean -- but there's no contract cost in this. 14 I just want to be clear. 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. Yeah, I don't recall 16 when the contract started. So as I said, I'll get back 17 and forward what I think would be a little more helpful, 18 if at all. 19 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yeah. And thanks for that, 20 Commissioner Andersen. If you look at what the 21 Legislature appropriated 3.6 million for COVID-related, 22 and what we're seeing we spent of that 3.6 was 1.3 23 million. So we were way under what they estimated. 24 think really is kind of like the bare bones, because 25 although we were -- we did have quite a bit going on in

1 terms of Commissioners and subcommittee, we didn't have a lot of contracts at that point, or very few, if at all. 3 And I just think that is a good way -- a good time period to reflect those costs. 4 5 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Chair Kennedy. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thanks. You know, the (audio 6 7 interference) as not what I would come up with, in the 8 first trying to (audio interference) --9 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Chair Kennedy? Chair Kennedy, 10 Chair Kennedy your connection is --11 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yes. 12 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: -- really garbled. It's very 13 difficult to understand what you're saying. 14 CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. Can you --15 16 CHAIR KENNEDY: I was saying that that's --17 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Continue. 18 CHAIR KENNEDY: That's good? 19 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: 20 CHAIR KENNEDY: Initially I wasn't a fan of this 21 approach, but (audio interference) it the more I 22 understood the rationale behind it. And the one other 23 thing that I would say is, the Legislature receives the 24 report and has questions about the approach.

understand once it gets done, I (audio interference) the

1 rationale for approaching it this way, and if the 2 Legislature has questions. 3 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Commissioner Kennedy, tell 4 me if I kind of understood you. You said initially you 5 weren't okay with it, but then after the rationale, you kind of understood it. And then you said if the 6 7 Legislature has any questions, they can ask for follow 8 up. 9 Oh, did I lose him? I lost -- oh, he's here. 10 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yeah, that was the gist of what 11 he said, Commissioner Fernandez. 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay, I think that's -- I 13 don't want to put words in his mouth, but from what I 14 could get. 15 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Yeah. He said he wasn't 16 originally a fan. 17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Uh-huh. 18 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Once he understood the 19 rationale, the rationale makes sense. And more 20 importantly, the Legislature can ask questions if they 21 have questions about the report. Those were two key 22 points. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 2.3 Yeah.

I'm good with the approach.

VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Commissioner Sinay.

COMMISSIONER SINAY:

24

1	get it. I get what you were doing. But what I found
2	kind of funny was, you know, yes, we all did a ton of
3	work, because we didn't have staff. And if we would have
4	had staff during that time, the price would have been
5	higher than we, the Commissioners, doing the work.
6	And so it's kind of a chicken and egg. But I just
7	wanted to put that out there, that the number I mean,
8	that's what it is, and we need to give them a number,
9	right? And so they probably their estimate came that
10	we would have staff, but there is that question of; we
11	did it not because we well, we did it because we
12	didn't have staff, and it still needed to get done.
13	VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Commissioner Fornaciari.
14	COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, I think,
15	Commissioner Sinay, that's a great point. Yeah, the
16	Commissioners, themselves, did a lot of work that that
17	staff would have been doing had we had staff on board.
18	And Commissioner Andersen, my notes, from last
19	meeting, show that the line drawing contract was placed
20	in mid-March.
21	VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you. Any additional
22	comments, questions, concerns with regard to the Finance
23	Administration report?
24	Commissioner Yee.

COMMISSIONER YEE:

Think you, Chair -- Vice Chair.

1 Yeah. I love the report. I love how clear, and concise, and complete it looks, which I know reflects a lot of 3 work to get it in that kind of shape. So thank you to 4 the subcommittee. 5 And so I'd love to drop the whole thing into the RRR report whenever it's ready for that. So just let us 6 7 know, hopefully the next three weeks when it's ready to 8 be placed. Thanks. 9 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. Any additional items from the Finance and Administration Subcommittee? 10 11 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: Yeah, it doesn't -- we 12 don't have a -- we don't have the folks for a vote at 13 this point. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Huh-uh. 15 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: So we'll have to do that 16 next time. And we'll take a look at Commissioner Sinay's 17 suggestions. I just want to follow up and say, you know, 18 we've been going -- working with the Department of 19 Finance for our next -- budget for the next several 20 years, and we don't have a resolution to that at this 21 point. We're still waiting. 22 They've asked some questions, and we've responded, 2.3 and we haven't heard. So hopefully we'll know before 24 next meeting. So we will keep you up to date on that.

Commissioner Fernandez, is there

25

I didn't know.

```
1
    anything else?
 2
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Just, Tim, a quick
 3
    question. If we vote on this report, does it have to be
 4
    a supermajority, or can it just be a majority?
         COUNSEL TREICHELT: That, I'm not sure on that.
 5
    report, let me just think, as I advise the Chair, that
 6
 7
    unless it was a Government Code item, it didn't require a
    supermajority. Is what I recall, the advice I gave.
 8
 9
    this report is not a Government Code item; is that
10
    correct?
11
                                  The report is required when
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
12
    the funding -- for the funding of a Budget Act.
13
         COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: But when is it in the
14
    Budget Act to require this report?
15
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Right.
16
         COUNSEL TREICHELT: So the Budget Act; I don't know.
17
    I can't say for sure. And I have to do some analysis.
18
    Of course, the safe thing is to have a supermajority.
19
    But let me just do some research and I'll try to get back
20
    to you as soon as possible.
21
         VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Thank you, Counsel.
22
         COUNSEL TREICHELT: Thank you.
2.3
         VICE CHAIR LE MONS: We can always circle back once
24
    we get that answer this afternoon before we close the
```

meeting during -- for the subcommittee reports.

- the deadline for the report to be submitted?

  COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: By June 30th, we have to do

  it.

  VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. So that gives us, based

  on what our tentative scheduling is, it takes us right up
- on what our tentative scheduling is, it takes us right up to the wire. So we'll wait to hear back from Tim, and make a determination.
- 8 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Thank you.
- 9 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: You're welcome. Any other
- 10 comments, or discussion for the Finance and
- 11 Administration Subcommittee; okay?
- 12 COMMISSIONER FORNACIARI: I don't think so; nothing
- 13 else, Commissioner?
- 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: (No verbal response).
- 15 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: Okay. Thank you. So let's
- 16 move on to the Acceleration and Deferred Senate Districts
- 17 Subcommittee.
- 18 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Okay. That's Commissioner
- 19 Yee and myself.
- 20 COMMISSIONER YEE: Go ahead, yeah.
- 21 | COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: And I'll let Commissioner
- 22 Yee sort of give us the rundown. But this was,
- 23 basically, did we want to include -- having the 2030
- 24 | Commission go ahead and do that additional step to create
- 25 | the map of the accelerated and deferred areas.

And I'm going to have Paul Mitchell, who is one of our -- our geographer, data analyst, show us what that would look like. What we're proposing to put on our website.

2.3

MR. MITCHELL: Thanks, Commissioner Andersen. Are you ready now? Do you need to pull it up right now?

COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yes, please.

MR. MITCHELL: Okay. Let's see, share screen. All right, Commissioner. So this is a new -- you know, it's the same as our original map that I made using our existing license, except all of this map and the datasets now reside on the California Geoportal.

And Commissioner Andersen, it's noting this layer right here is what has been added. So this shows the accelerated areas, and the deferred areas. You can zoom in and interact with the dataset just as, you know, how the previous map worked. When you click on it you can see what this area is, unlike the map that is on the Geoportal, to address this from the Department of Technology. This does not include the names of the representatives, or any other information, and so it's pretty sparse.

And then also -- I'll leave this up here -- in meeting with Commissioners Andersen and Yee, we came up with, you know, some blurbs that we wanted to include on

1 the site, and I was able to squeeze those in with this Information tab 2. Primarily, this link here, through this text, when we click on here, this sends us to the 3 4 actual Senate page, giving some more information. 5 Let's see, let me go back now. And again, this map will be embedded in the site. And so there's opportunity 6 7 to include other bits of text in there, too. COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. So this will, basically, be on our Final Maps page, because right now 10 it looks very much -- very similar to what we have in 11 there. Our license for that, our actual web Map Viewer 12 has already expired, or just about to. And we are now 13 storing all the stuff on what's called the Statewide --14 the Geoportal. But Paul has created a little, 15 essentially a widget, or something to grab, but we need 16 and want, and it will be tucked into our little portal 17 area on our website page from our maps. 18 And you can see here, the text might look a little 19 small, but this actually gives you more details about 20 what happens with the Senate between these two, and then 21 four years, right at the beginning of the zero year 22 through, you know, two and then four. 2.3 And so it to give basically -- and this can go up, 24 virtually, like a week after our maps come out, which

will get everybody, all the public, access to what areas

1 would be affected, which has not happened now. It's over a year. Actually, the maps don't even come out, I think 3 even before the election, before any maps are really 4 there. And this does not have any political info with 5 it. It's literally just the land areas. So that's what 6 we propose. 7 MR. MITCHELL: Yeah. And again, Commissioner, this 8 was kind of a little bonus to be able to squeeze all this information into the web map. So I think the majority of 10 the text would be best, you know, treated in that -- on 11 the actual page. 12 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Perfect. Thank you, Paul. 13 Any questions? Commissioner Fernandez. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: I tried to read quickly, 15 Paul, but I tried my best. 16 MR. MITCHELL: Also it was not that big. 17 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: It was small, and I tried 18 to get closer and closer to the screen, and it just 19 wasn't happening. But that's okay. That's all right. 2.0 So I think -- thank you for doing this. Again this 21 I also feel like if we choose to move in this 22 direction, there also needs to be some information, 23 because it's one thing to show the areas that are 24 impacted by this deferred versus accelerated, but then

the second piece to that is, so what's going to be done

```
1
    with that, right? And that's not our responsibility.
    That's on the Senate -- I forget what they're called.
 3
         But they're the ones that are responsible. So it's
 4
    almost like, we can put it up there, but we're going to
 5
    get calls because we have it up there, and I know we're
    getting calls, we got calls this time around as well.
 6
 7
    But they want to know: Okay, so who is representing me?
    So I think there might have to be --
 8
 9
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN:
                                Yeah.
10
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: -- there should be some
11
    more information that refers them to the Senate; what's
12
    it called? Russell -- Commissioner Yee would know --
13
    that actually makes the decision as to which area is
14
    going to be represented by which Senator, right?
15
         COMMISSIONER YEE: It's the Senate Rules Committee.
16
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Senate Rules Committee,
17
    that's what they're called, yeah. So there has --
18
         COMMISSIONER YEE: Yeah.
                                   They have to know.
19
         COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ:
                                  There has to be there has
20
    to be some sort of a deferral to them, because they are
21
    the ones that are ultimately responsible to make that
22
    decision, not us. And so the calls need to go there, not
23
    with us. And maybe that will pressure them to, you know,
    be a little quicker with their determinations.
24
25
         COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Actually, it does.
                                                      Those
```

1 references take you back to those pages. So it basically, you're going: Oh. So if you look at that info, where it says, "And for information, go here" and 3 it takes you directly to those Senate pages in terms 4 5 of -- so basically, that's where a person would go to realizing: Oh. Okay. That's good. And it takes to 6 7 their blurbs, their explanation of what's happening. And 8 it doesn't really say when, but who's in charge. So 9 that's where we kind of -- we basically point a finger 10 where they're supposed to go. 11 COMMISSIONER YEE: And that's the best we can do 12 because, you know, it takes some time to make those 13 assignments, can take them over a year. So I think we 14 just -- you know, we'll just have to take the calls, you 15 know, and tell people to log on to the Senate site. 16 about the best we can do. 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: But it did help in that -- I 18 mean, it will really help in that they'll know who is 19 affected, who isn't affected. 2.0 COMMISSIONER YEE: Yes. Yes. 21 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Because originally that was 22 what they had no clue, no idea at all. And then they 23 knew like: Oh. Okay, so I do have to look at this 24 election that's coming up. And it isn't until after the 25 first election that you have the names and populate that

1 anyway. 2 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: So can I continue with my 3 question? 4 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. I'm sorry. I thought 5 you were. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: No, no, no, no. 6 I was 7 done. But now it leads to more stuff, right? And I apologize. You probably already said this, Paul. So you 8 9 drew the maps based on our -- you drew the deferred and 10 accelerated areas based on our final maps, right? 11 And Commissioner Andersen, are you proposing that we 12 post that up now? 13 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh, yeah. 14 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Or just for future? 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: No. 16 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Okay. And is there -- are 17 we confident that that's correct, that those deferral and 18 accelerated maps are accurate? That's my only concern. 19 Thank you. 2.0 MR. MITCHELL: Yes. Commissioner Fernandez, they certainly are correct, it's a bloc-based geography and 21 22 it's a pretty straightforward kind of overlay procedure. 23 Just like those, kind of anatomy textbooks where you have 24 the transparencies that you lay over. And so that's

literally what I did with the districts in this case.

1 The initial test I've used actually just the district boundaries. But then I had an attributed dataset of the census blocs too, so then it's detailed 3 4 down to the bloc level, in other words. 5 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Yeah. So yes, it is. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: 6 Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: You know, it's wonderful to 8 have an expert that you can say: Hey, can you do this for 9 us? And he did. MR. MITCHELL: Well, I see a little -- I see a few 10 11 of the errors in there, too. It's funny, I had gone back 12 to compare at one point to the dataset that the Geoportal 13 has posted, because there are a few random blocs, you 14 know, that were missed in the actual delineating process. 15 And those appear, and I could see where they had, you 16 know, edited them out. 17 I think some folks aren't confused when they zoom in and see those random one or two, you know, blocs, like a 18 19 floodplain bloc might show up, things like that in there. 2.0 So I followed suit with what the state has -- sorry not 21 state -- but the Department Technology has on Geoportal. 22 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Great. 2.3 MR. MITCHELL: I feel I should also add, 24 Commissioner Fernandez, just some follow up to your first 25 question there, because I -- I kind of lost track, when I

- said "map", and you guys disappeared on there; but I
  should have clicked through on the Senate page, it has
  that address locator within there.
- So if you're on the site, I mean, on our map, you click onto that link to the Senate page, and then at the bottom of that page is the Address Locator link, that
- 7 allows then, you know, the public or anybody to go on
- 8 there, put in your address and see, you know, who your
- 9 representative should be, or who you need to refer to, to
- 10 find.
- 11 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great. So I have nothing
  12 else. Commissioner Yee.
- COMMISSIONER YEE: No. That's all. Great work by 14 Paul.
- 15 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Great work by Paul.
- 16 MR. MITCHELL: All right. Thank you.
- 17 COMMISSIONER ANDERSEN: Oh. I'm sorry. Except that
- 18 | we think our work is done, and we can sunset our
- 19 | subcommittee.
- 20 VICE CHAIR LE MONS: All right. Sunsetted, done.
- 21 Thank you, Commissioners Yee and Andersen, for your work
- 22 | in this committee. We appreciate it.
- 23 With that, we're right on time; we do need to go
- 24 into Closed Session on our Personnel matter. And we'll
- 25 | step away, and be back at 3 o'clock, after going into

1	Closed Session.
2	(Whereupon, a Closed Session was held from 2:30
3	p.m. until 3:31 p.m.)
4	CHAIR KENNEDY: Good afternoon, everyone. Thank you
5	for your patience during our Closed Session. We are back
6	from that. The only action that was taken during the
7	Closed Session was to form a new subcommittee on
8	Management Oversight to discuss some issues as far as how
9	we move forward with our staff. That subcommittee will
10	report to us at our June meeting.
11	At this point, I wanted to open it up. Folks have
12	had, I guess, access to the Triple-R report, the Lessons
13	Learned report, and there are key recommendations in each
14	chapter of the body, I believe.
15	Just wanted to get any input that colleagues have at
16	this point, so that Commissioner Yee and I can take those
17	inputs into consideration as we try valiantly to finalize
18	the text, so that we can have this document finalized in
19	short order.
20	So anyone have thoughts on any of the
21	recommendations that you have seen so far in the
22	document?
23	COMMISSIONER YEE: And I will get you a copy of the

Word version of it. I'll get it to Corina by the end of

today. And there is a picture sorter as well.

24

1 graphic designer asked us not to put pictures in the text, but keep them separate. So I just put together a 3 document with all the pictures, I think are worth 4 including. So you take a look at those and comment on 5 those as well. CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Commissioner Yee. 6 7 And again, reiterating, Commissioner Yee's invitation, if 8 any Commissioners have their own photos, to offer up for 9 possible inclusion in the report; we'd very much 10 appreciate those. 11 Commissioner Fernandez. 12 COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Oops, I kind of 13 accidentally hit that. But anyway, I will go ahead and 14 say something. I really did appreciate the pictures. 15 That was like: Oh, that's right. You know, it was 16 stressful, but it actually brought back a smile on my 17 face. So thank you for including. 18 CHAIR KENNEDY: Great. Thanks. 19 Commissioner Sinay. 20 I appreciate all the hard work COMMISSIONER SINAY: 21 that you've all done. On the pictures, there's one 22 that's like Karin and Sara talking, and it's a great 23 picture, but I'm concerned that it might look like we worked behind the scenes and we weren't doing all the 24

live line drawing in public.

1 So that was my gut instinct when I saw that picture. So I just thought I would share -- put it out there and you all chuck me, if you all don't see that, because I 3 might be -- being hypersensitive. 4 5 CHAIR KENNEDY: I think it's good to bring up those sorts of issues. So thank you for that. 6 7 Commissioner Fernandez. COMMISSIONER FERNANDEZ: Yes. I understand where 9 Commissioner Sinay is coming from. That's a really good 10 point. But I also feel that we were very transparent 11 when we said that we -- one or two Commissioners would go 12 off -- would meet with the line drawers, to try to 13 facilitate some of the lines that we were drawing so that 14 it wouldn't have to occur during public viewing because 15 it -- sometimes it would take hours. 16 So I'm okay either way. I can definitely see where 17 Commissioner Sinay is coming from. But I also feel that 18 we were transparent, and ensuring that the public did 19 know that at times there were -- one, possibly two of us 20 working with the line drawer on different scenarios. 21 CHAIR KENNEDY: Yeah. Thank you for that, 22 Commissioner Fernandez. 2.3 Okay. Once again, the draft report is available on the Meeting Handouts page. We will make sure that 24

Commissioners have access to a Word version to be able to

work through that. We really are facing some looming and pretty hard deadlines for production, so we really need your collaboration and cooperation to get this put to bed as quickly as possible.

2.3

At this point I think the one other item that I need to take care of is the June meeting. Again, we had initially a 19 -- maybe not initially -- but at one point we had a 19 June date for the June meeting. We received some external feedback on that date with the suggestion that we move it off of Juneteenth.

I mentioned earlier the Civic Season concept, and my initial thinking that a 19 June Meeting could be a celebration of the kickoff of Civic Season. But the other factor is that we -- because of some of the deadlines for production of the Lessons Learned report, the Triple-R report, it would be better to have that meeting a week later.

And so my initial proposal would be a meeting on the 26th of June. At this point, I am concerned about the amount of material that we need to get through in our next meeting before the end of the fiscal year. And so I am proposing, and we will adjust our calendar accordingly, a two-day meeting for the 26th and 27th of June.

If the Vice Chair and I, in our run of show meeting,

1	do conclude that we can dispatch all of the business
2	before us in a single day, we would make an announcement
3	at that point. But at this point I would like to
4	schedule the next meeting for the 26th and 27th of June.
5	And with that, Kristian, we need to take public
6	comment on agenda item 3, the Subcommittee Updates and
7	Announcements, as well as general public comment.
8	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: Sounds good.
9	The Commission will now take public comment on item
10	3, and general public comment. To give comment, please
11	call 877-853-5247, and enter meeting ID number
12	84694124372. Once you've dialed in, please press star 9
13	to enter the comment queue. The full call-in
14	instructions are read at the beginning of the meeting and
15	are provided on the live stream landing page.
16	And we do have a caller. Caller 6337, if you would,
17	please follow the prompts to unmute? The floor is yours.
18	MS. GOLD: Great. So thank you so much, Commission.
19	Rosalind Gold here, from NALEO Educational Fund; just
20	wanted to comment on the latest draft of the Lessons
21	sorry RRR report. First of all, much appreciation to
22	Commissioner Kennedy and Commissioner Yee, you and all of
23	the other Commissioners for the very hard and thoughtful
24	work that went into the report.
25	Just, I did want to comment because the report is

talking about the time line for the Commission being seated, that we would like the Commissioners to think about adding the amount of time to the full term of service for Commissioners.

2.0

2.3

First of all, what impact is that going to have on the ability to recruit people to serve on the Commission, having to serve for a longer time, especially people from lower or moderate income households, where there may not be the ability to take time off of work, or to take a sabbatical, or try to balance work responsibilities with Commission responsibilities.

And then again, I also did want to mention, although this is not really addressed in detail in the report, should there be anything else mentioned with respect to the time line, with regard to when community of interest hearings start, that we would want those not to start right in a January -- at the beginning of January, at the beginning of a year, so that the work for organizing would have to be done over the holidays.

We very much appreciate seeing so many of the themes that we emphasized, both in our own letter, and in the letter that we worked on with our collaborative, the resonance in the report for that.

And I also just want to acknowledge, very much
appreciate that this is indeed the Commission's report.

1	I do in that regard, though, also want to note that we
2	have all been learning lessons from each other, and we
3	appreciate that opportunity very much. So we just wanted
4	to share our perspectives as part of our mutual learning
5	as we start to go to the next step of this journey.
6	Thank you so much.
7	CHAIR KENNEDY: Thank you, Ms. Gold, for calling in,
8	and those thoughtful comments.
9	PUBLIC COMMENT MODERATOR: That is all of our
10	callers, Chair.
11	CHAIR KENNEDY: Okay. Then unless Commissioners
12	have anything else to raise; going once, going twice.
13	I will adjourn the meeting, 3:45 p.m., on the 12th
14	of May.
15	Thank you, everyone; take care, and look forward to
16	seeing you at our extended June meeting.
17	(Whereupon, the CRC Business Meeting adjourned
18	at 3:45 p.m.)
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were reported by me, a certified electronic court reporter and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 24th day of May, 2023.



PETER PETTY, CER-493

## CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER

I do hereby certify that the testimony in the foregoing hearing was taken at the time and place therein stated; that the testimony of said witnesses were transcribed by me, a certified transcriber and a disinterested person, and was under my supervision

thereafter transcribed into typewriting.

And I further certify that I am not of counsel or attorney for either or any of the parties to said hearing nor in any way interested in the outcome of the cause named in said caption.

I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript, to the best of my ability, from the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

19 System

May 24, 2023

DELORIS GAUNTLETT, CDLT-257